CCITT SGXV Working Party XV/4 Specialists Group on Coding for Visual Telephony

Source : NL,BTRL,F,I,FRG,S,N Title : Loop filter Purpose : Proposal,Information

1 Introduction

54

This contribution contains a review of the performance, position and the type of the loopfilter [2,3,4,5,6], the outstanding points are studied and annexed to this document. First the outstanding points are mentioned after which the experiments and the results are discussed.

The introduction of a low pass filter after the frame memory could have the following advantages:

- A reduction of high frequency artifacts introduced by MC.
- A reduction of quantization noise in the feedback loop.
- improvement of the prediction.

The filter could be controlled with:

- Displacement vector
- Prediction error

The introduction of a filter before the frame memory could have the following advantages:

- reduction of the quantization noise,
- implicite image enhancement,

The filter could be controlled with:

• displacement vector

Doc. #422

- quantizer
- prediction error

From theory [1] it can be shown that two filters are necessary ¹, i.e. one filter which tackles the coding noise denoted with h_n the second filter which improves the prediction denoted with h_n . In the case that motion compensation is not used, both filters can be combined and the position is not important.

The introduction of the motion compensation causes for the modeling of the signal a lot of problems. The process can not be seen as a Gaussian process anymore. The influence of the block type discrimination causes a problem for the optimization of the codec.

The decision which filter h_p or h_n increases the performance of the codec is dependant on objective as well as subjective measures.

From [1] and previous work in [3,4] the hybrid coder could be improved by utilize motion compensation only in the low-frequency range and encode the highfrequency rage rather directly. This could be carried out by spatial optimum filtering for motion compensating prediction.

Due to the quantization a second problem occures i.e. the reconstruction and therefore the reconstruction error. This error yield in a quantization noise circulating in the loop. and should be removed by a filter optimized for this process.

Splitting the problem into two parts, one has to identify for each problem the optimum solution. The processes are not independent, so it is not easy to identify the optimum solution.

In RM6 several modifications have been installed, described in doc 396. Due to the introduction of e.g. the variable threshold technique the quantization noise is reduced. This reduction is most visible in stationairy backgrounds, less vissible in the moving areas, this will influence the performance of h_n . The implementations of the different motion estimation algorithms on the otherhand will influence the performance of h_p .

In the proceeding sections

2 Outstanding points from previous CCITT SG XV/1 meeting

• Filtering in loop (Doc #356 and #376). The following topics have to be checked:

- The number of filters in the loop

¹under the assumptions of Gaussian sources

- The position of th filter(s); before, after or both sides of the frame memory
- The adaptivity of the filter(s) and how to control it
- The effectiveness of the filter(s) in the loop v.s. outside the loop

3 Draft workplan

- 1. Subjective picture quality applying filter inside the loop versus filter as post processing
- The number of filters;
 Do we have to stick to the filter after the frame memory (i.e. the 1 2 1) or could we shift the filter in front of the frame memory.
- 3. The adaptivity rules of the filters
 - Motion vector
 - Prediction error
 - Energy of noise (look up tables)
- 4. The hardware complexity of the filters
 - using pels inside the block (was only verified for 384kbit/s)
 - using pels of neigbouring blocks
- 5. The characteristics of the filters
 - Simplified version from doc 356 for h_n^2
 - Simplified version from doc 376 for h_n
 - Changing filter coefficients of h_n (adaptively without overhead)
- 6. The implications of the optimized filters for higher bitrates
 - 384 kbit/s
 - 2 Mbit/s
- 7. Simulations of filter h_{post} .

²see annexed figure

4 The possible positions of the loop filter

In figure 4 all mentioned filters are depicted i.e.

- the noise reduction filter h_n
- the prediction filter h_p
- the post processing filter $h_p os$



Figure 1: Possible filters inside and outside the loop

5 Evaluation of the different results

In order to reduce the noise a filter in front of the memory is preferable Two measures are to be taken into account:

- Objective measure
- Subjective measure

The objective of this exercise should be to obtain the best picture quality at the lowest complexity.

At the last meeting there was a need of explenation for the position and the number of filters. It was recognized that the adopted filter is insufficient for the universal coding approach. The filter control is not correct, at the moment the filter action is controlled by the motion vector. I.e. in the case of MW = 0 the filter is not active, also for blocks for which the motion vector is forced to zero. This approach is not adequate. Just when a block has changed but the energy does not exceeds the threshold filtering is necessary.

6 Observations

Taking into account the results of the various laboratories:

- 1. the noise reduction filter h_n should operate inside the block boundaries,
- 2. the prediction filter h_p could operate inside as well as outside the block boundaries but inside is preferable,
- 3. the prediction filter h_p should be adaptive, the control mechanisms are under study,
- 4. the noise reduction filter is for further study, considering:
 - the obtained picture quality
 - the hardware complexity

7 Proposal

From the documents annexed to this contribution and doc 406 entitled — Loop filter in p x 64 kbit/s Flexible hardware — it is difficult to obtain a conclusive answer on the number and the position of the filters.

The subjective difference in quality between adopting h_{post} and h_p is not conclusive. As the meeting agreed upon the Reference Model 6, we therefore propose that the filter maintains after the frame memory but:

- the filter operates inside the block boundaries,
- the adaptation³ the filter characteristics of the h_p filter has to be verified
- the inclusion of the h_n filter depends on the performance and the complexity.

³control mechanisms

• a work plan should be developed to fix the outstanding parameters as soon as possible.

References

- Bernd Girod, The efficiency of Motion-Compensating Prediction for Hybrid Coding of Video Sequences, IEEE journal JSAC vol.SAC-5, No.7 pp 1140-1154.
- [2] Japan, Loop filter improvement, Document 356
- [3] The Netherlands Improvement Reference Model 5 by a noise reduction filter, Document 376
- [4] NTT, KDD, NEC and Fujitsu, Filter in the coding loop, Document 286
- [5] The Netherlands, The evaluation of filtering inside the hybrid DPCM/Transform coding loop, document 267.
- [6] Chairman, Conflicts in the loop filter proposals TD 4 Nurnberg meeting.

8 Tape demonstrations

- Noise filter h_n
- Prediction filter h_p
 - RM6 i.e. non adaptive h_p
 - RM6 directional h_p
 - RM6 post memory filter with edge preserving properties
- Prediction filter h_n
 - RM6 versus RM6 and h_n
 - RM6 and h_n versus RM6 and h_{post}
- Filter(s) operating inside and outside the block boundaries

A Simulation on loop filter, source: Source: Alcatel

La Haye, November 21, 1988

Title : Simulation on loopfilter Source: ALCATEL CIT (France)

The new loopfilter described on the document # 356 CCITT SGXV has been simulated. This filter is located before the frame memory and the previous loop filter defined in the RM 6 algorithm is not used. This new filter is applied to both luminance and chrominance signals.

We found that there were two errors in the doc # 356 concerning the threshold values. The real values have been confirmed by Japanese people abd are joined in the annex 1.

The statistical results and plots on SNR are given in the figures.

Conclusion

Up to now, using the threshold values given by Japanese people or using other values, we do not find any improvement. In comparison with RM 6 results, we obtain a loss of SNR value about 0,1 dB (CLAIRE) to 2 dB (SWING). About subjective quality picture observed on CLAIRE and BLUE JACKET, the blocking effect is a little reduced involving a slight blurredness and a granular noise on motion, however the picture quality is very closed. Unfortunately we had no time to display other sequencies. Regarding SWING result, this kind of filter seems to be not compatible.

ALGORITHM : RM6J BIT RATE : 59,4 kbit/s

-

SOURCE : ALCATEL CIT DATE : 20th November 1988

·····	·		1 1		J	
Se	equence	CLAIRE	MISS AMERICA	SALESMAN	BLUE JACKET	SWING
Number of	coded pictures	150	50	140	166	125
1) R.M.S. for	luminance	3, 3094	3,2760	7 3922	5.4486	8 1918
1) R.M.S. for	chrominance	2.5778	3,1148	3 1641	3.2466	5 (103
			511140		5.2400	5.4705
2) SNR for lum	inance	37.74	37.82	30.76	33.41	20 86
2) SNR for chr	minance	39.91	38.26	38,13	37.90	22 21
						55 97
3) Mean value (of the step size	18.94	19.35	26.85	22.39	24.41
4) Mean value (of the number of	2.70	1.89	2.41	3.06	3.64
	efficients				5.00	2.51
5) Mean value (of the number of					
zeros befor	e the last non-zero	4.39	2.46	7.17	7.17	15.09
coefficient						A 9.03
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ļ			
4) Mean value (of the number of	13.06	8.44	13.11	15.96	16.94
bits per si	gnif block					210124
	-					L
	Intra	0	1	3	1	2
TYPE	Fixed nf	257	201	239	269	266
OF	Inter nf	81	103	111	99	115
мв	Fixed MC f	8	18	8 .	4	2
	Coded MC f	48	70	32	21	5
					ļ	
	Intra	2	4	11	3	6
TYPE	Fixed nf	1237	1124	1227	1317	1364
OF	Inter nf	118	96	176	159	164
LUMI	Fixed MC f	100	232	88	49	18
	Coded MC f	123	122	75	52	11
					}	
	Intra	1	2	4	2	3
TYPE	Fixed of	656	536	685	705	711
OF	later af	21	73	16	32	५२
CHRO	Fixed MC f	86	132	77	41	9
	Coded MC f	25	45	4	9	5
	Attributs	583	743	680	571	615
	*****************		[
	Class. Index	332	571	328	310	342
8)	*****					
Number	EOB words	860	1103	895	773	564
of						
bits	Motion vectors	332	548	264	157	68
		3600			2007	2017
	Coeff. Y	3500	2238	9595	3807	sect
	Coeff. C	305	6//	100	295	850
	Coeff. Total	3805	2912	3/30	4102	40++
		5010	5990	5002	5012	5001
	TOTAL	2912	0000	LOKC	2742	3034.









A Filtering in the loop, Source: N T A

-

~

Source	:	Norway
Subject	:	Improvement of MC prediction by using:
		FILTER OVER BLOCK EDGES
		ADAPTIVE DIRECTIONAL LOOPFILTER

1 Introduction

This document deals mainly with twe different methods to make batter prediction for coding of block data. Simulation results are also obtained for loopfilter with and without filter over blockedges and for using one or three scanning classes. In the following section loopfilter is described.

2 Adaptive directional filter

The oidea here is to make the filtering more adapted to the block data to be coded. The 1 2 1 loopfilter is known to give good results concerning noise reduction. On the other hand, this filter removes some of the details in the prediction. This is particularly noticable for reconstruction of edges.

The here proposed approach may be summarized in the following way:

- When the MB is classified as having a well defined 'orientation', one os filter F2 F5 (fig. 1) is used.
- Otherwise the 'ols' 1 2 1 filter F1 is used as loopfilter.
- The filter is switched oin and off with the motion vector as in RM6

The general effect of this kind of filtering is for instance that predicted edges are not smeared. The effect is often that the block is represented by the prediction only. This gives both an objective saving of bits and a subjectively better reconstructed block with less 'edging'.

2.1 Scanning classes

Having introduces classification of data blocks according to direction, it is natural to investigate the use of different scanning classes connected to the different orientations of the data. The proposed scanning classes are shown in Figure 2. Simulations are shown using both one and three scanning classes together with directional filters.

2.2 How to find direction

The directions are obtained for each MB. At least at 64 kbit/s coding it does not seem useful to define directions for each subblock. Moreover, if the direction information is sent as side information, definition for each subblock would produce too much overhead.

The calculation of direction may be obtained in two waus:

- 1. On the original data block at the coder
- 2. On the predicted block. The same calculations are made both at the coder and decoder

Advantages of 1:	More exact directional information is obtained No extra burden on the decoder
	The method of finding the directionb does not have to be standardized.
Advantages of 2:	No extra overhead bits are needed Slightly better performance

We have used approach 2 in the simulation results to be presented here.

The determination of filter to be used is done according to the method described in [1]. There are listed two 'quality measures' of the direction: S2+S3 and Q. We have used two limits for the two quantities: L1=10000 and L2=0.4. If S2+S3 < L1 or Q < L2 we yse filter F1 and scanning class SC1. Otherwise we use the appropriate directional filter and scanning class.

The direction to be used is signalled for each MB. It is proposed to use a VLC for the coding. A proposal of such a VLC is given in Figure 3. It is based on the statistics of the directed directions from the test sequences CLAIRE, MISS AMERICA and SALESMAN.

3 Conclusions

The following observations could be made.

- Filtering over block boundaries give little SNR gain but reduced blocking
- Adaptive directional transform gives both objective and subjective improvement and should be considered. More work should be cone on a simpler method for filter decision.
- Different scanning classes togehter with directional filtering gives a small saving in bits.

Reference

CCITT SGXV Working Party XV/1, Specialist Group on Coding for Visula Telephony. Doc # 381.

1	2	1	F1 - used with no direction
2	4	2	
1	2	1	
0	0	0	F2 - used with horizontal orientation.
1	2	1	
0	0	0	
0	0	1	F3 - used with 45 deg orientation.
0	2	0	
1	0	0	
0	1	0	F4 - used with vertical orientation.
0	2	0	
0	1	0	
1	0	0	F5 - used with 135 deg orientation.
0	2	0	
0	0	1	

100

Figure 1. Loopfilters.

ZIG -	ZAG		SC1
1 2 5 6 14 15 25 26 33 34 41 42 49 50 57 58	3 4 7 9 17 19 27 28 35 36 43 44 51 52 59 60	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SC2
1 5 2 6 3 7 4 9 8 11 10 13 12 16 18 20	14 25 15 26 17 27 19 28 21 29 22 30 23 31 24 32	33 41 49 57 34 42 50 58 35 43 51 59 36 44 52 60 37 45 53 61 38 46 54 62 39 47 55 63 40 48 56 64	SC3

Figure 2. Scanning classes. SC2 is used for vertical structures and SC3 for horizontal structures. Otherwise SC1 is used.





Table 1. SNR gains for the different modifications M1 - M4

. . . .

	M 1	M1+M2	M1+M3	M1+M4	M1+M3+M4
CLAIRE		•3	.38	.03	.63
MISS AMERICA	.1		.24	.05	.26

Table 2. Simulations to be shown in split mode.

CLAIRE

M1,M3

M1,M3 M1,M4

M1,M3

RM6

RM6 RM6

M1,M2

MISS AMERICA

RM6	M1,M3
RM6	M1,M4
RM6	M1,M3

A Post memory filter with edge preserving properties, Source: BTRL TITLE:LOOP FILTERS IN RM6SOURCE:UKDATE:NOV 88

This paper describes some variants of the standard loop filter configuration in RM6.

Post frame memory filter with edge preserving properties

The existing RM6 filter was modified to give it edge preserving properties. This was done by first calculating the absolute difference between the pixel to be filtered and its filtered value and comparing this difference with a pre-determined threshold. If the difference was greater than the threshold then the pixel examined was not filtered else the pixel was filtered as normal. The filter was additionally modified such that the threshold was set to infinity for the pixels existing on the edges of previous frame blocks with a given block. The situation is illustrated in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Filter adaptivity illustration

This filter was studied with several threshold values ranging between 0 and 32. Some suprising results were obtained. With a threshold of 32 the codec peformance was almost identical to RM6. Results of thresholds of 0 and 8 are reported in detail. A threshold of 0 implies that only the previous block boundry pixels were filtered. Table 1,2,3 4, 5 and 6 show the results for thresholds of 0 and 8 for MISSA BLUE JACKET and CLAIRE. The results are most significant for CLAIRE with an improvement in SNR of 0.1dBs and a reduction in mean quantiser step size of more than 1 for a threshold of 0. The results indicate the most important part of the block to filter and that the contribution the intra block, block boundry discontinuities have to prediction error is much greater than distributed quantisation error.

Pre and post frame memory filters operating within the block

It has been shown a filter placed before the frame memory which operates across block boundries can lead to good results. A problem with this configuration is that it can be considerably more complex to realise in hardware than a post memory filter operating-within a block. A filter configuration is outlined here which has some of the advantages of both the pre and post frame memory filters. The arrangement is shown in Figure 2.





The pre frame memory filter (FMF) is activated as a function of how the block was coded in the previous frame, and the post frame memory filter is activated if the block was motion compensated, thus no overhead is required for either filter. The pre FMF is a simple spatial filter to reduce distributed quantisation noise. The post FMF is a filter as descibed in the above section. Table 7 illustrates a result where the pre FMF is activated for block which were motion compensated in the previous frame. So far the results are worse than RM6. This may be due to excessive filtering and could be reduced by using a less severe filter for the pre FMF.

--

HRESHOLD = (Þ.
--------------	----

x frame size				
RMSE value of luminance	3.44 37.45 37.33 38.06			
mean quantiser step size	19.84 1.68 1.19			
No of FIXED (NO MC) macro blocks	212 2 31 78 69			
Macro class 0 0 0 0 0 0	244			
Macro class 1 0 0 1 1 1	43			
Macro class 2 0 1 0 0	23			
Macro class 3 0 1 1 1 111	10			
Macro class 4 1 0 0 0	22			
Macro class 5 1 0 1 1	10			
Macro class 6 1 1 0 0	21			
Macro class 7 1 1 1 1 1 1	16			
Macro class 8 (INTRA)1	2			
Macro attributes	844 929 682 2426 363 261			
Total bits per frame	1930			

THRESH = Ø TAOLE 1

- 1947 - L	
1993	
1643	

THILESHOLD = 4

x frame size y frame size Bitrate Number of fra Run time cont Image source Image sink fa	ames trol modu file name	ule name	352 288 15 159 RM6 V1 /grahar gsprb	.1 /GGS/Oct 88 a/meiko/img/sou	rce/blue	
RMSE value of Signal to no.	f luminas ise ratio	nce o.Y		FRAME	15 AV 5.4 33. 37.	ERAGE 1 48 18
Signal to no.	ise ratio	o.V	•••••		38.	29
mean quantis No of non-ze No of zero t	er step : ro transmitte	size mitted c ed coefs	oefs		22. 2.6 3.2	61 6 2
No of FIXED No of INTRA	(NO MC) 1 macro blo	macro ble	ocks		289 2	
No of INTER No of INTER	+ MC mac: (NO MC) 1 + MC	macro block	ocks		5 80 17	
Macro class	0 0 1	0 01	0		294	
Macro class		0 1	1	13	9	
Macro class	2 0	1 0	0		23	
Macro class	3 0 0	1 1	1	3	4	
Macro class	4 1 j 0 j	0 0	0	27	22	
Macro class	5 1	0 1	1	0	3	
Macro class	6 1	1 0	0	15	22	
Macro class	7 1	1 1	1	9	11	
Macro class	± (8 (INT	I TRA)		3	2	
Macro attribu EOB bits Motion vector Y coef bits. Cr coef bits Cb coef bits	utes r bits	<u>.</u>			530 640 133 400 177 212	0
Total bits p	er frame	• • • • • • • •			590 220	6

THRESH = Ø TADLEZ

<pre>x frame size</pre>	AVERAGE
RMSE value of luminance	3.44
Signal to noise ratio.Y	37.45
Signal to noise ratio.U	38.35
Signal to noise ratio.V441.55	41.55
mean quantiser step size15.00	19.09
No of non-zero transmitted coefs	2.94
No of zero transmitted coefs2.00	1.81
No of FIXED (NO MC) macro blocks	271
No of INTRA macro blocks	2
No of FIXED + MC macro blocks3	11
No of INTER (NO MC) macro blocks	66
No of INTER + MC	43
Macro class 0 0 0 0 0	283
Macro class 1 0 0 1 1	5
Macro class 2 0 1 0 034	28
Macro class 3 0 1 12 0 1	3
Macro class 4 1 0 0 0	26
Macro class 5 1 0 1 1 1	4
Macro class 6 1 1 0 0	27
Maoro class 7 1 1 1 1	14
Nacro class 8 (INTRA)1	2
Macro attributes	547
EOB bits	724
Motion vector bits	318
Y coef bits	3690
Cr coef bits	127
Cb coef bits	217
Total bits per frame	5879 1857
DULLEL BUGUUR	

THRESH = Ø TABLED

٨		ss	Ca.	
	•	3-	-	_

منانل	filter4.c
	in warland

THRESMOND = 8

x frame 1ze	
v frame size	
Number of frames	
Run time control module nameRM6 V1.1 /GGS/Oct 88	
Image source file name/graham/meiko/img/source/missa	
Trace sink file and (anther indication (anther indication))	
image sink ine hame	
FRAME 15	AVERAGE
RMSE value of luminance	3.46
Signal to noise ratio.Y	37.39
	37 43
	30.00
Signal to noise ratio.v	38.28
	_
mean quantiser step size15.00	20.14
No of non-zero transmitted coefs	1.62
No of remotion with a conference of the conferen	1 30
NO OF 2010 CLAUSHILLORG GOOLS	1.50
No of FIXED (NO MC) macro blocks225	214
No of INTRA macro blocks1	2
No of FIXED + MC magro blocks	31
	70
NO OF INTER (NO MC) MACTO DIOCKS	78
No of Inter + MC	69
	246
	40
MACTO CLASS I U U U I I I	40
0 0 0	
Macro class 2 0 1 0 0	24
0 1	
Magro glass 3 0 1 1 1 1 1	11
	22
	22
0 0	
Magro class 5 1 0 1 1 1	10
110	
	22
MACTO CLASS 0 I I I U I U	L L
Macro class 7 1 1 1 118	15
1 1	
Magro class 8 (INTRA)	2
	-
Macro attributes	833
EOB bits	925
Notion vector bits	667
	2494
I GUUL MALMAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	250
Cr coer dita	550
Cb coef bits	238
Total bits per frame	5824
	1958
BUILEE STATUS	1900

TARESH= 8

x frame _1ze	
v frame size	
Bitrate	
Number of frames 159	
Bun time control module name DWS V1 1 /GGS/Oct 88	
The source file name (graden (source/b))	
They sould file and the set of th	l de la constante de
Inage Sink Lite Hand	
PNOT make of imposed	E 40
	5.45
Signal to noise facto.i	33.46
Signal to noise facto.U	37.23
Signal to noise ratio.v	38.36
	,
mean quantiser step size	22.72
No of non-zero transmitted coefs2.00	2.70
No of zero transmitted doers	3.23
No of FIXED (NO MC) madro blocks	290
No of INTRA macro blocks	2
No of FIXED + MC macro blocks2	4
No of INTER (NO MC) macro blocks	80
No of INTER + MC	17
Macro class 0 0 0 0 0	295
0 0	
Macro class 1 0 0 1 1 116	8
010	
Macro class 2 0 1 0 028	23
0 1 1	
Macro class 3 0 1 1 14	4
0 1	
Macro class 4 1 0 0 025	22
0 1 0	
Macro class 5 1 j 0 1 j 14	3
1 0	
Macró class 6 1 1 0 019	23
. 1 1	
Macro class 7 1 1 1 111	11
1 1	
Macro class 8 (INTRA)	2
Hacro attributes	525
EOB bits	643
Notion vector bits	128
Y coef bits	4023
Cr coef bits	169
Cb coef bits	206
Total bits per frame	5906
Buffer status	2215

SLUE with fille 4.

THRESMO-D=3

THRESH = 8 TABLES

	a			
3	÷,	÷		
÷,	8	à,		

x frame size y frame size Bitrate	• • • • • • • •			
Number of frame	8			
Run time contro.	1 module	nameRM6 VI	L.1 /GGS/Oct 88	
Image source fi	le name.	/grahs	m/meiko/img/source/	missa
Tmage eink file	name	anrh		
Image Stick Lite				
			FRAME IS	AVERAGE
RMSE value of 1	uminance			3.48
Signal to noise	ratio.Y			37.32
Signal to noise	ratio.U	1		37.39
Signal to noise	ratio.V	••••••		38.17
mean quantiser	step sig			20.62
No of pon-zero	transmit	ted coafs		1.49
No of sero tran	mitted	coefs	2.00	1.41
NO OL IGIO CIEM	DWTCCOC			****
	Mal	ma hlanka	222	A17
NO OF FIRED (NO	MC) mac	FO DIOCKS		217
No of INTRA mac	ro block		••••••••	2
No of FIXED + M	C macro	blocks		29
No of INTER (NO	MC) mac	ro blocks		78
No of INTER + M	c			68
Macro class 0	0 0	0 0		246
	0 0			
Macro class 1	0 0	1 1		40
	0 0			
Magro glass 2	ŏ i i	0 0		23
MECTO CIEDO I				
Manna alaan 3			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	10
MACTO CLASS J	011	1 1 1		* T0
	0 1 1			
Macro class 4	1 0	0 0		21
	0 0			
Macro class 5	1 1 0	1 1		10
	1 1 0	-		
Magro class 6	1 1	0 0		23
HECTO CIEDE V		• • • • • • • • • • • • •		
			14	16
MACIO CLASS /		I I		10
	1 1		_	-
Macro class 8	(INTRA	.)	1	2
Warney althout hart -	<u></u>		99/	601
RECTO AUTIDULE	* • • • • • • •	••••		027
EOB Dits				722
Motion vector b	its			656
Y coef bits				2542
Cr coef bits				349
Ch coef bits				220
W OVEL DIG				
H.L.I.L.			5020	6027
TOTAL DITS per	.			3027
Buffer status.				2008

error is to the

,	5	1		4		
1	ì	:			t	
	į	2	ŝ	i	į	

CLAIR with Filten4. THILESHOLD="3

y frame size	AVERACE
RMSE value of luminance	3.49
Signal to noise ratio.Y	37.30
Signal to noise ratio.U	38.25
Signal to noise ratio.V	41.57
mean quantiser step size16.00	19.51
No of non-zero transmitted coefs	2.87
No of zero transmitted coefs	2.01
No of FIXED (NO MC) macro blocks	273
NO OF INTRA MACTO DIOCRES	L C
	3
NO OF INTER (NO NC) MACTO DIOCKS	55
NO OI INTER + MG	44
Macro class 0 0 0 0 0 0	283
Macro class 1 0 0 1 1 18 0 0	5
Macro class 2 0 1 0 037 0 1	28
Macro class 3 0 1 1 12	4
Macro class 4 1 0 0 0 0	25
Macro class 5 1 0 1 1 19	5
Macro class 6 1 1 0 029	27
Macro class 7 1 1 1 1 1	14
Macro class 8 (INTRA)1	1
Macro attributes	543
EOB bits792	732
Notion vector bits	309
Y coef bits	3690
Cr coef bits	123
Cb coef bits	230
Total bits per frame	5881
Buffer status	1898

THRESH = 8 -AULE 6