CCITT SGXV Working Party XV/1 Specialists Group on Coding for Visual Telephony

Doc. #405 Dec. 1988

SOURCE : JAPAN

TITLE : IMPROVEMENT ON MOTION COMPENSATION

1. Introduction

In this document, a range of motion compensation is proposed and some simulation results on motion compensation are presented for information. Details of each item are described in the attached annexes.

2. Motion Compensation Range

As shown in ANNEX-1, coding performance is hardly improved by enlarging the MC tracking range up to ± 15 pel/frame (both directions). This is because (1) test sequences have only a small motion or small moving area, (2) motion compensation is not so effective for coded pictures of low quality, (3) the number of bits used for motion vector increases.

In the actual TV conferencing sequences, however, a large motion or large moving area will sometimes occur, and the motion compensation range for Flexible Hardware should therefore be ± 15 pel/frame (both directions) taking the addressing complexity into account.

3. Criterion for MC/no-MC

As described in ANNEX-2, the criterion for MC/no-MC affects coded picture quality for the "Miss America" sequence, though it hardly changes the SN ratio. (This criterion is outside the scope of the recommendation or FH specification, but it seems worth considering for FH manufacturers.) 4. Motion Vector Transmission in the 2nd Frame

As shown in ANNEX-3, transmission of a non-differential motion vector is more efficient for the 2nd frame where picture quality is poor and the detected vector diverges from the true motion. For other frames, however, the differential vector (difference between a predicted vector and estimated vector) gives higher efficiency, which slightly depends on a vector prediction method.

5. Motion Compensation with Predicted Vector

As presented in ANNEX-3, the motion compensation method, which uses a predicted motion vector for compensation when no motion vector information is transmitted, improves the SN ratio by 0.15 dB. Improvement of coded picture quality at 64 kbit/s, however, looks small.

ANNEX-1

Motion Compensation Range

1. Modifications on motion compensation range

Simulation was carried out with motion compensation method modified as follows.

- (a) Full search algorithm is employed to examine the motion compensation effects.
- (b) MC tracking range is enlarged up to ± 15 pels/frame (both directions).
- (c) MC tracking area is limited to range of 4-2-1 3step search.
- (d) VLC table is same as that of RM6 described in Doc.#395R.

2. Simulation results

Table 1.1 - 1.4 show the simulation results of the RM6 modified as above.

As shown in tables , coding performance is hardly improved by enlarging the MC tracking range up to ± 15 pels/frame.

Notes ; In table 1.1-1.4 motion compensation range is as follows.

7×7 \longrightarrow \pm 7pixels × \pm 7lines 7×15 \longrightarrow \pm 15pixels × \pm 7lines 15×15 \longrightarrow \pm 15pixels × \pm 15lines

STATISTICS	:	RM6
SEQUENCE	:	Salesman
MODIFICATION	:	HC full search

INSTI	TUTE	:	OKI	
DATA	:	NOV.	16.	1988

FRAME RATE : 10 Hz P : 1

			org.	7*7	7*15	15*15
	ITEM		mean	mean	mean	mean
1.	RMS for luminal	nce	7.261	7.250	7.260	7.255
2.	SNR for luminat for chromi for chromi	nce nance(U) nance(V)	30.911 38.461 39.259	30.925 38.435 39.225	30.912 38.410 39.129	30.918 38.346 39.196
3.	Mean value of	step size	26.71	26.58	26.56	26.55
4.	Hean value of non-zero coef	the number of ficients	2.62	2.59	2.59	2.61
5.	Mean value of before the la	the number of zeroes st NZ-coefficient	6.90	6.84	6.85	6.69
6.	Block type of MACRO	FIXED CODED MC FIXED MC CODED INTRA	260.8 35.6 6.7 91.2 1.7	259.7 36.8 7.5 90.4 1.6	260.0 37.2 7.6 90.0 1.2	260.6 37.8 7.5 89.3 0.8
7.	Block type of Y	FIXED CODED MC FIXED MC CODED	1258.9 89.0 80.3 155.7	1254.2 92.8 84.3 152.7	1254.4 93.7 85.5 150.5	1255.5 94.8 86.6 147.0
8.	Block type of UV	F I XED CODED	775.6 16.4	776.2 15.8	776.6 15.4	776.8 15.2
9.	Number of bits	Macro attributes End of block Motion vectors Intra DC coef. Coefficients Y U V Total	595.3 1050.2 282.3 13.4 3896.1 42.2 31.4 3983.0	595.6 1051.9 286.3 12.6 3894.5 41.7 28.3 3977.1	595.5 1048.6 303.1 9.8 3884.2 40.6 28.8 3963.5	591.8 1046.2 326.5 6.3 3869.2 41.4 28.9 3945.8
		Total	5910.9	5910.9	5910.6	5910.3

Table 1-1

STATISTICS	:	RM6
SEQUENCE	:	Miss America
MODIFICATION	:	MC full search

I	Ν	S	T	I	T	U	T	E	:	01	I
n	٨	Υ	A						NOV	10	4 0

DATA : NOV. 16, 1988

FRAME RATE : 10 Hz P : 1

...

			org.	7*7	7*15	15*15
	ITEM		mean	mean	mean	mean
1.	RMS for lumina	nce	3.257	3.227	3.220	3.223
2.	SNR for lumina for chromi for chromi	nce nance(U) nance(V)	37.875 37.892 38.865	37.956 37.948 38.881	37.974 37.908 38.886	37.966 37.930 38.913
3.	Mean value of	step size	19.64	19.25	19.29	19.31
4.	Hean value of non-zero coef	the number of ficients	2.00	1.99	1.99	1.98
5.	Mean value of before the la	the number of zeroes st NZ-coefficient	3.48	3.56	3.54	3.61
6.	Block type — of MACRO	FIXED CODED MC FIXED MC CODED INTRA	213.5 76.0 21.4 85.0 0.1	211.3 77.6 21.6 85.4 0.1	210.8 77.0 22.3 85.8 0.0	211.4 77.0 22.4 85.3 0.0
7.	Block type of Y	FIXED CODED MC FIXED MC CODED	1117.3 132.6 257.1 77.0	1110.9 129.2 267.5 76.3	1111.5 128.7 268.7 75.2	1111.9 129.9 267.5 74.7
8.	Block type of UV	FIXED CODED	681.9 110.1	677.8 114.2	677.2 114.8	678.7 63.3
9.	Number of bits	Macro attributes End of block Motion vectors Intra DC coef. Coefficients Y U V Total	690.5 1526.5 594.9 0.7 2375.8 299.5 348.2 3024.2	696.0 1548.3 581.6 0.5 2327.1 315.6 366.8 3010.0	697.2 1545.6 602.0 0.3 2312.8 321.6 361.7 2996.4	$\begin{array}{c} 695.3\\ 1531.6\\ 629.0\\ 0.0\\ 2312.1\\ 323.9\\ 350.4\\ 2986.4 \end{array}$
		Total	5836.1	5835.9	5841.2	5842.3

Table 1-2

STATISTICS	:	RM6(384K)
SEQUENCE	:	Hiss America
MODIFICATION	:	MC full search

INSTITUTE : OKI DATA : NOV. 16, 1988 • • •

FRAME RATE : 15 Hz P : 5

			RM6 org.	7∗7 full	15*15 full
	ITEM		mean	mean	mean
1.	RMS for lumina	nce	2.444	2.438	2.437
2.	SNR for lumina for chromi for chromi	nce nance(U) nance(V)	40.370 39.620 41.935	40.391 39.665 41.956	40.393 39.648 41.945
3.	Mean value of	step size	8.32	8.25	8.25
4.	Mean value of non-zero coef	the number of ficients	2.80	2.78	2.80
5.	Mean value of before the la	the number of zeroes st NZ-coefficient	7.91	8.13	8.04
6.	Block type of MACRO	FIXED CODED MC FIXED MC CODED INTRA	63.2 70.7 1.0 261.0 0.0	63.1 71.2 1.1 260.6 0.0	62.9 71.0 0.8 261.3 0.0
7.	Block type of Y	FIXED CODED MC FIXED MC CODED	980.3 195.5 91.5 316.8	977.8 193.7 95.4 317.1	980.8 191.0 96.1 316.1
8.	Block type of UV	FIXED Coded	384.5 407.5	382.1 409.9	380.5 411.5
9.	Number of bits	Macro attributes End of block Motion vectors Intra DC coef. Coefficients Y U V Total	1262.8 3854.5 352.2 0.3 9529.6 3365.0 1390.2 14285.1	1263.9 3857.4 329.8 0.3 9453.9 3431.2 1417.2 14302.6	1264.8 3857.9 336.1 0.1 9428.1 3454.6 1415.9 14298.7
		Total	19754.7	19753.6	19757.5

Table 1-3

STATISTICS	;	RM6(384K)
SEQUENCE	:	Salesman
MODIFICATION	:	MC full search

INSTITUTE : OKI DATA : NOV. 16, 1988

FRAME RATE : 15 Hz P : 5

			RM6 org.	7∗7 full	15*15 full
	ITEM		mean	mean	mean
1.	RHS for luminal	nce	3.395	3.375	3.382
2.	SNR for lumina for chromi for chromi	nce nance(U) nance(V)	37.515 42.905 43.817	37.566 42.940 43.866	37.546 42.924 43.841
3.	Hean value of	step size	8.52	8.48	8.44
 Hean value of the number of non-zero coefficients 			4.65	4.59	4.64
5.	Mean value of before the la	the number of zeroes st NZ-coefficient	10.44	10.51	10.47
6.	Block type of MACRO	FIXED CODED MC FIXED MC CODED INTRA	176.7 30.9 0.3 187.4 0.6	174.6 31.3 0.4 189.2 0.5	175.8 31.8 0.4 187.6 0.3
7.	Block type of Y	FIXED CODED HC FIXED MC CODED	1090.5 107.7 17.1 368.7	1086.2 109.0 17.8 371.0	1088.2 110.5 18.5 366.8
8.	Block type of UV	FIXED CODED	712.6 79.4	710.6 81.4	713.7 78.3
9.	Number of bits	Macro attributes End of block Motion vectors Intra DC coef. Coefficients Y U V Total	930.6 2096.9 179.1 5.1 15263.0 456.5 201.1 15925.7	936.1 2126.8 175.3 4.1 15223.2 456.1 203.3 15886.7	933.1 2102.6 189.1 2.7 15230.2 447.8 199.9 15880.6
		Total	19132.3	19124.9	19105.3

MC on/off decision rule

1. Introduction

In RM5, MC on/off decision rule had been given by a complex relation between x (block difference) and y (displaced block difference) as shown in Fig.l (a) to prevent to select "MC on " when block difference is small.

But in RM6, motion vector information is sent by its differencial form and variable length code, and number of bits needed for motion vector is less than in RM5. So it can be possible to get better picture quality by changing decision rule so that "MC on " is more preferably selected.

2. Simulation

A simulation has been carried out on the MC on/off decision rule shown in Fig.1 (b).

The simulation results are shown in Table 2-1. At the same time, RM6 performance are shown in Table 2-2.

On "Miss America ", mean SNR is almost the same for RM6 and modified method, but we can find from decoded images that subjective picture quality is better on modified method because of less mosquito noise on the background around head (we can show this on VIR demonstration).

On the other hand, mean SNR gets down about 0.3 dB from RM6 on "Claire ".

3. Conclusion

As shown in simulation result above, MC on/off decision rule can have a great effect on subjective picture quality. When we discuss about coding method, this point should be taken into account.

MC on/off decision rule

1. Introduction

In RM5, the MC on/off decision rule was given by a complex relation between x (the block difference) and y (the displaced block difference), as shown in Fig.1 (a), to prevent the selection of 'MC on' when the block difference is small.

But in RM6, motion vector information is sent in its differential form and by a variable length code, and the number of bits needed for a motion vector is less than in RM5. So it will be possible to get a better picture quality by changing the decision rule so that 'MC on" is more preferably selected.

2. Simulation

A simulation has been carried out on the MC on/off decision rule, as shown in Fig.1 (b).

The simulation results are shown in Table 2-1. At the same time, RM6 performance are shown in Table 2-2.

On "'Miss America", the mean SNR was almost the same for RM6 and the modified method, but we were able to find from the decoded images that the subjective picture quality was better by the modified method because of less mosquito noise in the background around the head (we can show this by VIR demonstration).

On the other hand, mean SNR gets down about 0.3 dB from RM6 on "Claire".

3. Conclusion

As shown by the simulation result above, the MC on/off decision rule can have a great effect on subjective picture quality. When we discuss about coding methods, this point should be taken into account.



(a) RM6 (same as RM5) (b) modified

x = | b d | / 256y = | d b d | / 256

Fig. 1 MC on/off decision rule

.

STATISTICS	RMG		- INST Date	ITUTE JAPAN :DEC. 1988	
MODIFICATIO	DN :NONE		FRAM	IE RATE :10Hz	
	ITEM		Miss America	Claire	
1.RMS for	luminance		3.25	3.16	
2.SNR for for for	luminance chrominance (U) chrominance (V)		37.92dB 37.88dB 38.81dB	38.20dB 38.99dB 42.18dB	
3.Hean val	ue of step size		19. 7	19.0	
4.Mean val non-zero	ue of the number of coefficients	<u> </u>	2.0	2.9	
5.Mean val of zeroe NZ-coeff	ue of the number s before the last icient		3. 1	5.4	_
6.Block type of MACRO	FIXED CODED MC FIXED MC CODED INTRA		214.3 75.5 21.6 84.6 0.1	277.0 46.7 6.3 65.9 0.1	
7.Block type of Y	FIXED CODED MC FIXED MC CODED		1120.1 130.3 258.0 75.6	1267.6 124.7 87.3 104.5	
8.Block type of UV	FIXED CODED		682.4 109.6	754.9 37.1	
9.	Macro attribute	S	1264.1	868.2	
Number	End of block		998.1	755.7	•
numper.	Motion vectors		596.0	312.2	
of bits	Coefficients	Y U V Total	2340.1 293.4 346.4 2979.9	3707.6 183.0 .84.0 3974.6	

•

· 、 ・

				<u></u>	
STATISTICS	RM6		INST DATE	ITUTE JAPAN :DEC. 1988	
MODIFICATIO	DN :MC on/off		FRAM	E RATE :10Hz	
	ITEM		Miss America	Claire	
1.RMS for I	luminance		3.24	3.29	
2.SNR for for (for (luminance chrominance (U) chrominance (V)		37.97dB 37.83dB 38.88dB	37.88dB 38.28dB 41.32dB	
3.Mean valu	ue of step size	<u> </u>	20.9	22.3	<u></u>
4.Hean valu non-zero	ue of the number of coefficients		2.1	2.8	
5.Mean valu of zeroes NZ-coeff	ue of the number s before the last icient		3.9	6.3	
6.Block type of MACRO	FIXED CODED MC FIXED MC CODED INTRA		210.5 79.2 50.2 56.1 0.1	232.4 51.1 74.9 37.5 0.1	
7.Block type of Y	FIXED CODED MC FIXED MC CODED		1018.0 129.7 387.8 48.4	1012.8 121.1 383.0 67.2	
8.Block type of UV	FIXED CODED	<u>, i - i - i - i - i - i - i - i - i - i </u>	694.1 97.9	761.4 30.6	
9.	Macro attributes		1174.4	942. 0	
Number	End of block		860.8	602.3	•
numder	Motion vectors		890.6	971.0	
or bits	Coefficients	Y U V Total	2107.8 361.9 441.6 2911.3	3199.5 134.3 60.8 3394.5	
	Total		5837.6	5910.8	

.

ANNEX-3

Motion Compensation with Predicted Vector and Motion Vector Transmission in the 2nd Frame

1. Modifications on motion compensation

Simulation was carried out with a motion compensation method modified as follows.

- (a) A predicted vector of the macroblock is calculated by averaging vectors which are used for compensation of the upper and left macroblocks.
- (b) Compensation errors by the predicted vector and the estimated vector for the macroblock are compared. The comparison algorithm is the same as that of RM6 except that the compensation error without motion vector is replaced by the compensation error with the predicted vector.
- (c) When the predicted vector is chosen, the motion vector of the current macroblock is replaced by the predicted vector, motion compensation is performed, and no motion vector is transmitted. On the other hand, an estimated vector is chosen, motion compensation is performed with this vector, and the differential of the predicted and estimated vectors is transmitted.

In this method, some technique is effective which concentrates vectors in the background on zero.

2. Motion vector transmission in the 2nd frame

In the several frames following a scene change, picture quality is poor and detected motion vectors are not accurate, so transmission of a differential vector seems ineffective. Simulation was performed with the same motion vector transmission method as that of RM5 in the 2nd frame.

3. Simulation results

Table 3-1 shows the simulation results for RM6 modified as above. Modification 1 has approximately 0.15 dB gain for both sequences. Modification 2 has an advantage for the 2nd frame of "Claire".