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# Abstract

(Remove these parenthetical remarks before submitting: Provide a brief abstract here describing the content of the contribution in a form suitable for inclusion in the meeting report as a summary of the content of the document. Please make sure that the abstract is brief (but not so brief that it fails to be an adequate description of the contribution). Write the abstract from a ***third person*** perspective. Do not say "we present" or "our technique" – say "this contribution presents" or "this proposed technique". Please avoid "salesmanship" or expressions of opinion in the abstract – it should be worded in the way someone with a *neutral* or even *negative* opinion about the contribution would agree is an accurate description of the content of the contribution. Words and phrases that implicitly express opinions or allege disputable facts, like "new", "novel", "elegant", "flexible", "improved", "optimal", "best", "advanced", "harmonized", "just", "only", "enhanced", "low complexity", "shows", "demonstrates", "elegant", "innovative", "simple", "friendly", and "therefore" should generally be avoided. Instead of saying that the contribution "shows" something, please say that it "states" or "reports" or "reportedly shows" something. Try to describe the content of the contribution in a way that someone who ***disagrees*** with the contribution's conclusions would agree is an adequate overall description. For any conclusions and statements of fact, say things like "It is reported that the ZMPQ process is too complex" or "It is asserted that the ZMPQ process is too complex" rather than just "The ZMPQ process is too complex" (because the fact that you think that the ZMPQ process is too complex does not mean that the whole JVET will agree that it is too complex, and the abstract should be written from the perspective of the whole JVET). Make sure that the abstract contains the appropriate summary results. Do not "cherry pick" what to report – for example, do not report your best test results in the abstract while failing to report overall average results. Please do not use referencing citation numbers in your abstract. If your abstract says that something was proposed in [2][3], no one will know what [2][3] means if your abstract is extracted from your document. Instead, use the document number of the document you're referring to (e.g. say "proposed in JVET-AL0897 and JVET-AK0789") or refer to who reported something and when they did it rather than using citation numbers, and provide the detailed reference somewhere else later in your document that is *outside* of the abstract.)

(To register or upload a document, use the <https://jvet-experts.org/> web site; don’t register JVET documents via <https://dms.mpeg.expert/>, as they might not be assigned a correct JVET document number, and could not be accessed by ITU experts in JVET. You need to get your own personal login credentials on the JVET site to do that. When registering a document in the system, please use abbreviated first names of authors, and spaces between the name parts, e. g. “J. F. Miller” or “L.-Y. Ly”. Don’t forget to add the affiliation directly after the name of the last author of the same company, such as “F. J. Muller (XYZ Corp.)”. It is not mandatory to register email addresses of authors, and in particular in case of many contributors, you may want to enter email addresses only for the lead author(s). This is mainly for the sake of brevity in the meeting notes. In the header above, you may better use full names of authors.)

(If you have questions, you may consult the Chair for assistance: Jens-Rainer Ohm <ohm@ient.rwth-aachen.de>. Also inform the Chair if you change the title or author list in an update of the document.)

(Use the document number as your filename – e.g., JVET-AM0756.docx for the document contribution, JVET-AM0756.xlsx for results, and JVET-AM0756\_presentation.pptx for a corresponding presentation slide deck. Bundle all associated files into a zip archive – e.g., JVET-AM0756.zip. Do not append lengthy unnecessary details such as the title of the document in the filename, as some systems have filename length restrictions and long filenames make it hard to see the version number at the end of the filename.)

(If you later wish to upload a new revised version of your contribution file(s), append a version indicator in the filename – e.g., JVET-AL0756-v2.doc for version 2 of the document. Do not use a version number higher than 1 for the first uploaded version. Do not exercise your own judgment about whether changes are significant enough to use a new version number or not – if you changed the file, please increment the version number, as this helps avoid confusion. Use Microsoft Word's revision marking feature to indicate what you have changed. Each time you upload, upload a *complete* zip archive package – not just the file that you changed – because most people will only download the last zip archive that you uploaded.)

(If you prepare a presentation slide deck to use in the presentation of your contribution at the meeting, please upload that also – so that people can follow your presentation and so that we will have a proper record of what was presented at the meeting. The presentation deck can be supplied later than the original contribution submittal, but shall be made available prior to showing the presentation to the group. For the formatting of such presentations, PowerPoint format is preferred, or PDF when the file size of the PDF is much smaller than the PowerPoint deck would be and there is no need for the slide deck to be editable by others. Be reminded that the JVET chair or chairs of breakout groups often rely on editable content to be copied into the notes, such that it might be at your own disadvantage if the presentation deck is not available or its content cannot be edited.)

(If your contribution proposes a change to be made to the draft standard, please provide the exact suggested text for those changes – not just a concept-level description.)

# Introduction / Problem Statement / …

(Remove these parenthetical remarks before submitting: Begin text of remainder of document here: 11-point font is suggested for short documents, 10 point for long ones)
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# Patent rights declaration(s)

(Remove these parenthetical remarks before submitting: NOTE – Activities in the JVET and contributions to the JVET are subject to the common patent policy for ITU-T/ITU-R/ISO/IEC. A statement of that policy can be found at <http://www.itu.int/ITU-T/dbase/patent/patent-policy.html>, with further information available at <http://www.itu.int/ITU-T/ipr/index.html> and in [the ISO/IEC Directives](http://isotc.iso.org/livelink/livelink?func=ll&objId=4230455&objAction=browse&sort=subtype). The form to be used for the formal reporting of patent rights to ITU-T/ITU-R/ISO/IEC can be found at <http://www.itu.int/ITU-T/ipr/index.html>. Contributions to the JVET proposing normative technical content shall contain a non-binding informal notice of whether the submitter may have patent rights that would be necessary for implementation of the resulting standard. The provided informal notice shall indicate the category of anticipated licensing terms according to the ITU-T/ITU-R/ISO/IEC patent statement and licensing declaration form. This obligation to provide an informal notice is supplemental to, and does not replace, any existing obligations of parties with technology included in a final or draft standard to submit formal IPR declarations to ITU-T/ITU-R/ISO/IEC. Two examples of such an informal IPR notification statement for a contribution are provided below.)

**XYZ Corporation does not have any current or pending patent rights relating to the technology described in this contribution.**

or

**XYZ Corporation may have current or pending patent rights relating to the technology described in this contribution and, conditioned on reciprocity, is prepared to grant licenses under reasonable and non-discriminatory terms as necessary for implementation of the resulting ITU-T Recommendation | ISO/IEC International Standard (per box 2 of the ITU-T/ITU-R/ISO/IEC patent statement and licensing declaration form).**