Source:

SA&A/ATMF

Title:

Liaison Letter to Rapporteur for ITU-T SG15 Q.2/15

Re: Definition of H.310 Receive Only Terminal(ROT) and Send Only Terminal(SOT)

Purpose: Reply to Correspondence of Rapporteur for Q2./15 in ITU-T $\,$ SG15

The AMS Ad-hoc Group of the ATM Forum SAA WG has been working on the AMS Phase 1 Specification (Audiovisual Multimedia Services: Video on Demand Specification 1.0) which is now under final letter ballot.

In the current text of our VoD Specification 1.0, 8.3.3.4 Broadband higher layer information element specifies the codepoints for H.310(ROT)-client and H.310(SOT)-server as the terminal protocol identification.

However your correspondence says that, according to the current draft recommendation of H.310, H.310(ROT) and H.310(SOT) are required to support H.245 as their communication control protocol. This requirement is in conflict

with the current text of VoD Specification 1.0 because any session control protocol such as H.245 or DSM-CC is beyond its scope.

In order to align with the current VoD Specification 1.0, the AMS Ad-hoc Group solicits SG15 to inform The ATM Forum how interoperability should be encouraged, since The ATM Forum has been trying to be consistent with all previous drafts

of ITU-T Rec. H.310 concerning the requirement of H.245 for H.310(ROT) and H.310(SOT). We are also interested in hearing (should SG15 decide to stay with the October 1995 H.310 draft Recommendation) of any decisions within SG 15 to add additional codepoints for J.82 compliant terminals that are

 $\rm H.310\,(ROT)$ and $\rm H.310\,(SOT)$ -like but do not have a requirement for $\rm H.245$.