

TELECOMMUNICATION STANDARDIZATION SECTOR OF ITU



SERIES Z: LANGUAGES AND GENERAL SOFTWARE ASPECTS FOR TELECOMMUNICATION SYSTEMS

Formal description techniques (FDT) – Testing and Test Control Notation (TTCN)

Testing and Test Control Notation version 3: TTCN-3 mapping from CORBA IDL

Recommendation ITU-T Z.168

1-0-1



ITU-T Z-SERIES RECOMMENDATIONS

LANGUAGES AND GENERAL SOFTWARE ASPECTS FOR TELECOMMUNICATION SYSTEMS

FORMAL DESCRIPTION TECHNIQUES (FDT)	
Specification and Description Language (SDL)	Z.100–Z.109
Application of formal description techniques	Z.110–Z.119
Message Sequence Chart (MSC)	Z.120–Z.129
User Requirements Notation (URN)	Z.150–Z.159
Testing and Test Control Notation (TTCN)	Z.160–Z.179
PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES	
CHILL: The ITU-T high level language	Z.200-Z.209
MAN-MACHINE LANGUAGE	
General principles	Z.300-Z.309
Basic syntax and dialogue procedures	Z.310–Z.319
Extended MML for visual display terminals	Z.320–Z.329
Specification of the man-machine interface	Z.330–Z.349
Data-oriented human-machine interfaces	Z.350–Z.359
Human-machine interfaces for the management of- telecommunications networks	Z.360–Z.379
QUALITY	
Quality of telecommunication software	Z.400–Z.409
Quality aspects of protocol-related Recommendations	Z.450–Z.459
METHODS	
Methods for validation and testing	Z.500–Z.519
MIDDLEWARE	
Processing environment architectures	Z.600-Z.609

For further details, please refer to the list of ITU-T Recommendations.

Recommendation ITU-T Z.168

Testing and Test Control Notation version 3: TTCN-3 mapping from CORBA IDL

Summary

Recommendation ITU-T Z.168 defines the mapping rules for CORBA IDL to TTCN-3 (as defined in Recommendation ITU-T Z.161) to enable testing of CORBA-based systems. The principles of mapping CORBA IDL to TTCN-3 can be also used for the mapping of interface specification languages of other object-/component-based technologies.

The specification of other mappings is outside the scope of this Recommendation.

The first revision of the Recommendation contains amendments (i.e., mapping of CORBA system exceptions), clarifications and editorial corrections.

This second revision of the Recommendation contains amendments, clarifications, corrigenda and editorial corrections.

This Recommendation is technically aligned with ETSI ES 201 873-8 V4.4.1 (2012).

History

Edition	Recommendation	Approval	Study Group
1.0	ITU-T Z.168	2007-11-13	17
2.0	ITU-T Z.168	2011-03-16	17
3.0	ITU-T Z.168	2012-05-29	17

FOREWORD

The International Telecommunication Union (ITU) is the United Nations specialized agency in the field of telecommunications, information and communication technologies (ICTs). The ITU Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU-T) is a permanent organ of ITU. ITU-T is responsible for studying technical, operating and tariff questions and issuing Recommendations on them with a view to standardizing telecommunications on a worldwide basis.

The World Telecommunication Standardization Assembly (WTSA), which meets every four years, establishes the topics for study by the ITU-T study groups which, in turn, produce Recommendations on these topics.

The approval of ITU-T Recommendations is covered by the procedure laid down in WTSA Resolution 1.

In some areas of information technology which fall within ITU-T's purview, the necessary standards are prepared on a collaborative basis with ISO and IEC.

NOTE

In this Recommendation, the expression "Administration" is used for conciseness to indicate both a telecommunication administration and a recognized operating agency.

Compliance with this Recommendation is voluntary. However, the Recommendation may contain certain mandatory provisions (to ensure, e.g., interoperability or applicability) and compliance with the Recommendation is achieved when all of these mandatory provisions are met. The words "shall" or some other obligatory language such as "must" and the negative equivalents are used to express requirements. The use of such words does not suggest that compliance with the Recommendation is required of any party.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

ITU draws attention to the possibility that the practice or implementation of this Recommendation may involve the use of a claimed Intellectual Property Right. ITU takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of claimed Intellectual Property Rights, whether asserted by ITU members or others outside of the Recommendation development process.

As of the date of approval of this Recommendation, ITU had not received notice of intellectual property, protected by patents, which may be required to implement this Recommendation. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information and are therefore strongly urged to consult the TSB patent database at <u>http://www.itu.int/ITU-T/ipr/</u>.

© ITU 2012

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, by any means whatsoever, without the prior written permission of ITU.

Table of Contents

1 Scope 2 References 2.1 Normative references 3 Abbreviations and acronyms 4 Approach 5 Lexical conventions 5.1 Comments 5.2 Identifiers 5.3 Keywords 5.4 Literals 6 Pre-processing 7 Importing from IDL specifications 7.1 Importing module declaration 7.2 Importing undule declaration 7.3 Importing value declaration 7.4 Importing constant declaration 8.1 IDL basic types 8.2 Constructed types 8.3 Template types 8.4 Complex declaration 10 Importing exception declaration 11 Importing tribute declaration 12 Names and scoping Appendix I – Examples I.1 Example Appendix II – Mapping lists II.1 IDL keyword and concept mapping list II.2 Comparison of IDL, ASN.1, TTCN-2 and TTCN-3 data types <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>			
2 References 2.1 Normative references 3 Abbreviations and acronyms 4 Approach 5 Lexical conventions 5.1 Comments 5.2 Identifiers 5.3 Keywords 5.4 Literals 6 Pre-processing 7 Importing from IDL specifications 7.1 Importing module declaration 7.2 Importing undule declaration 7.3 Importing constant declaration 7.4 Importing constant declaration 8.1 IDL basic types 8.2 Constructed types 8.3 Template types 8.4 Complex declaration 10 Importing operation declaration 11 Importing turbute declaration 12 Names and scoping Appendix I – Example Appendix I – Example Appendix II – Mapping lists II.1 IDL keyword and concept mapping list II.2 Comparison of IDL, ASN.1, TTCN-2 and TTCN-3 data types	1	Scope	
2.1 Normative references. 2.2 Informative references. 3 Abbreviations and acronyms 4 Approach 5 Lexical conventions 5.1 Comments 5.2 Identifiers 5.3 Keywords 5.4 Literals 6 Pre-processing 7.1 Importing from IDL specifications 7.1 Importing module declaration 7.2 Importing interface declaration 7.3 Importing constant declaration 7.4 Importing constant declaration 8.1 IDL basic types 8.2 Constructed types 8.3 Template types. 8.4 Complex declaration 9 Importing operation declaration 10 Importing tribute declaration 11 Importing attribute declaration 12 Names and scoping Appendix I – Examples I.1 I.1 Example Appendix II – Mapping lists II.1 II.2 Comparison of IDL, ASN.1, TTCN-2 and TTCN-3 data types <td>2</td> <td>Refere</td> <td>nces</td>	2	Refere	nces
2.2 Informative references 3 Abbreviations and acronyms 4 Approach 5 Lexical conventions 5.1 Comments 5.2 Identifiers 5.3 Keywords 5.4 Literals 6 Pre-processing 7 Importing from IDL specifications 7.1 Importing module declaration 7.2 Importing interface declaration 7.3 Importing constant declaration 7.4 Importing constant declaration 8.1 IDL basic types 8.2 Constructed types 8.3 Template types 8.4 Complex declaration 10 Importing operation declaration 11 Importing attribute declaration 12 Names and scoping Appendix I – Examples I.1 I.1 Example Appendix II – Mapping lists II.1 II.2 Comparison of IDL, ASN.1, TTCN-2 and TTCN-3 data types		2.1	Normative references
3 Abbreviations and acronyms 4 Approach 5 Lexical conventions. 5.1 Comments. 5.2 Identifiers. 5.3 Keywords. 5.4 Literals. 6 Pre-processing 7 Importing from IDL specifications. 7.1 Importing module declaration 7.2 Importing interface declaration 7.3 Importing value declaration 7.4 Importing constant declaration 7.4 Importing type declaration 8.1 IDL basic types 8.2 Constructed types 8.3 Template types 8.4 Complex declaration 10 Importing operation declaration 11 Importing attribute declaration 12 Names and scoping Appendix I – Examples I.1 I.1 Example Appendix II – Mapping lists II.1 II.2 Comparison of IDL, ASN.1, TTCN-2 and TTCN-3 data types		2.2	Informative references
 Approach	3	Abbre	viations and acronyms
 5 Lexical conventions 5.1 Comments 5.2 Identifiers 5.3 Keywords 5.4 Literals 6 Pre-processing 7 Importing from IDL specifications 7.1 Importing module declaration 7.2 Importing interface declaration 7.3 Importing value declaration 7.4 Importing constant declaration 8.1 IDL basic types 8.2 Constructed types 8.3 Template types 8.4 Complex declaration 10 Importing exception declaration 11 Importing attribute declaration 12 Names and scoping Appendix I – Examples I.1 Example Appendix II – Mapping lists II.1 IDL keyword and concept mapping list II.2 Comparison of IDL, ASN.1, TTCN-2 and TTCN-3 data types 	4	Appro	ach
5.1 Comments 5.2 Identifiers 5.3 Keywords 5.4 Literals 6 Pre-processing 7 Importing from IDL specifications 7.1 Importing module declaration 7.2 Importing interface declaration 7.3 Importing constant declaration 7.4 Importing constant declaration 8.1 IDL basic types 8.2 Constructed types 8.3 Template types 8.4 Complex declaration 10 Importing attribute declaration 11 Importing attribute declaration 12 Names and scoping Appendix I – Examples I.1 I.1 Example Appendix II – Mapping lists II.1 IDL keyword and concept mapping list II.2 Comparison of IDL, ASN.1, TTCN-2 and TTCN-3 data types	5	Lexica	l conventions
5.2 Identifiers		5.1	Comments
5.3 Keywords		5.2	Identifiers
5.4 Literals 6 Pre-processing 7 Importing from IDL specifications 7.1 Importing module declaration 7.2 Importing interface declaration 7.3 Importing constant declaration 7.4 Importing constant declaration 8 Importing type declaration 8.1 IDL basic types 8.2 Constructed types 8.3 Template types. 8.4 Complex declaration 9 Importing operation declaration 10 Importing operation declaration 11 Importing attribute declaration 12 Names and scoping Appendix I – Examples I.1 I.1 Example Appendix II – Mapping lists II.1 II.1 IDL keyword and concept mapping list II.2 Comparison of IDL, ASN.1, TTCN-2 and TTCN-3 data types		5.3	Keywords
 6 Pre-processing		5.4	Literals
 7 Importing from IDL specifications	6	Pre-pr	ocessing
7.1 Importing module declaration 7.2 Importing interface declaration 7.3 Importing value declaration 7.4 Importing constant declaration 8 Importing type declaration 8.1 IDL basic types 8.2 Constructed types 8.3 Template types 8.4 Complex declaration 9 Importing operation declaration 10 Importing operation declaration 11 Importing attribute declaration 12 Names and scoping Appendix I – Examples I.1 I.1 Example Appendix II – Mapping lists II.1 II.1 IDL keyword and concept mapping list II.2 Comparison of IDL, ASN.1, TTCN-2 and TTCN-3 data types	7	Impor	ting from IDL specifications
 7.2 Importing interface declaration 7.3 Importing value declaration 7.4 Importing constant declaration 8 Importing type declaration 8.1 IDL basic types 8.2 Constructed types 8.3 Template types 8.4 Complex declarator 9 Importing exception declaration 10 Importing operation declaration 11 Importing attribute declaration 12 Names and scoping Appendix I – Examples I.1 Example Appendix II – Mapping lists II.1 IDL keyword and concept mapping list II.2 Comparison of IDL, ASN.1, TTCN-2 and TTCN-3 data types 		7.1	Importing module declaration
 7.3 Importing value declaration		7.2	Importing interface declaration
7.4 Importing constant declaration 8 Importing type declaration 8.1 IDL basic types 8.2 Constructed types 8.3 Template types 8.4 Complex declarator 9 Importing exception declaration 10 Importing operation declaration 11 Importing attribute declaration 12 Names and scoping Appendix I – Examples I.1 I.1 Example Appendix II – Mapping lists II.1 II.1 IDL keyword and concept mapping list II.2 Comparison of IDL, ASN.1, TTCN-2 and TTCN-3 data types		7.3	Importing value declaration
 8 Importing type declaration		7.4	Importing constant declaration
8.1 IDL basic types	8	Impor	ting type declaration
 8.2 Constructed types		8.1	IDL basic types
 8.3 Template types		8.2	Constructed types
 8.4 Complex declarator		8.3	Template types
 9 Importing exception declaration		8.4	Complex declarator
 Importing operation declaration	9	Impor	ting exception declaration
 Importing attribute declaration	10	Impor	ting operation declaration
 Names and scoping Appendix I – Examples I.1 Example Appendix II – Mapping lists II.1 IDL keyword and concept mapping list II.2 Comparison of IDL, ASN.1, TTCN-2 and TTCN-3 data types Bibliography 	11	Impor	ting attribute declaration
 Appendix I – Examples I.1 Example Appendix II – Mapping lists II.1 IDL keyword and concept mapping list II.2 Comparison of IDL, ASN.1, TTCN-2 and TTCN-3 data types Bibliography 	12	Name	s and scoping
I.1 Example Appendix II – Mapping lists II.1 IDL keyword and concept mapping list II.2 Comparison of IDL, ASN.1, TTCN-2 and TTCN-3 data types Bibliography	Appe	endix I –	Examples
Appendix II – Mapping lists II.1 IDL keyword and concept mapping list II.2 Comparison of IDL, ASN.1, TTCN-2 and TTCN-3 data types Bibliography		I.1	Example
 II.1 IDL keyword and concept mapping list II.2 Comparison of IDL, ASN.1, TTCN-2 and TTCN-3 data types Bibliography 	Appe	endix II -	- Mapping lists
II.2 Comparison of IDL, ASN.1, TTCN-2 and TTCN-3 data types Bibliography		II.1	IDL keyword and concept mapping list
Bibliography		II.2	Comparison of IDL, ASN.1, TTCN-2 and TTCN-3 data types
	Bibli	ography	

Introduction

Object-based technologies (such as CORBA, DCOM, DCE) and component-based technologies (such as CCM, EJB, .NET) use interface specifications to describe the structure of an object-/component-based system and its operations and capabilities to interact with the environment. These interface specifications support interoperability and reusability of objects/components.

The techniques used for interface specifications are often called interface definition language (IDL), for example CORBA IDL, Microsoft IDL or DCE IDL. These languages are comparable in their abilities to define system interfaces, operations at system interfaces and system structures to various extends. They differ in details of the object/component model.

When considering the testing of object-/component-based systems with TTCN-3, one is faced with the problem of accessing the systems to be tested via the system interfaces as described in an IDL specification. In particular, for TTCN-3 based test systems a direct import of IDL specifications into the test specifications for the use of, e.g., system's interface, operation and exception definitions is prevalent to any manual transformation into TTCN-3.

The present document discusses the mapping of CORBA IDL specifications into TTCN-3. This mapping rules out the principles not only for CORBA IDL, but also for other interface specification languages. The mapping can be adapted to the details of other interface specification languages.

The Interface definition language (IDL) (chapter 3 in [3]) is a base of the whole Common Object Request Broker Architecture (CORBA) [3] and an important point in developing distributed systems with CORBA. It allows the reuse and interoperability of objects in a system. A mapping between IDL and a programming language is defined in the CORBA standard. IDL is very similar to C++ containing pre-processor directives (include, comments, etc.), grammar as well as constant, type and operation declarations. There are no programming language features like, e.g., if-statements.

The core language of TTCN-3 is defined in [1] and provides a full text-based syntax, static semantics and operational semantics. The IDL mapping provides a definition for the use of the core language with IDL (Figure 1).



Figure 1 – User's view of the core language and the various presentation formats

It makes no difference for the mapping if requested or provided interfaces are required by the test system and SUT. Hence, TTCN can be used on client and server side without modifications to the mapping rules.

The present document is structured similar to the IDL specification document to provide easy access to the mapping of each IDL element.

Recommendation ITU-T Z.168

Testing and Test Control Notation version 3: TTCN-3 mapping from CORBA IDL

1 Scope

This Recommendation defines the mapping rules for CORBA IDL (as defined in chapter 3 in [3]) to TTCN-3 (as defined in [1]) to enable testing of CORBA-based systems. The principles of mapping CORBA IDL to TTCN-3 can be also used for the mapping of interface specification languages of other object-/component-based technologies.

The specification of other mappings is outside the scope of the present document.

2 References

References are either specific (identified by date of publication and/or edition number or version number) or non-specific. For specific references, only the cited version applies. For non-specific references, the latest version of the referenced document (including any amendments) applies.

Referenced documents which are not found to be publicly available in the expected location might be found at http://docbox.etsi.org/Reference.

NOTE – While any hyperlinks included in this clause were valid at the time of publication ITU cannot guarantee their long-term validity.

2.1 Normative references

The following ITU-T Recommendations and other references contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this Recommendation. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All Recommendations and other references are subject to revision; users of this Recommendation are therefore encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of the Recommendations and other references listed below. A list of the currently valid ITU-T Recommendations is regularly published. The reference to a document within this Recommendation does not give it, as a stand-alone document, the status of a Recommendation.

[1] Recommendation ITU-T Z.161 (2012), *Testing and Test Control Notation version 3: TTCN-3 core language*.

ETSI ES 201 873-1 (2012), Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS); The Testing and Test Control Notation version 3; Part 1: TTCN-3 Core Language. <<u>http://webapp.etsi.org/workprogram/Report_WorkItem.asp?WKI_ID=35092</u>>

- [2] Recommendation ITU-T T.50, International Reference Alphabet (IRA) (Formerly International Alphabet No. 5 or IA5); Information technology – 7-Bit coded character set for information interchange.
- [3] ISO/IEC 10646:2011, Information technology Universal Multiple-Octet Coded Character Set (UCS). <http://www.iso.org/iso/iso_catalogue/catalogue_tc/catalogue_detail.htm?csnumber=51273>
- [4] Object Management Group (OMG) (2002), *The Common Object Request Broker: Architecture and Specification, Version 3.0, formal/02-06-01.* <<u>http://www.omg.org/cgi-bin/doc?formal/02-06-01</u>>

2.2 Informative references

The following referenced documents are not necessary for the application of the present document but they assist the user with regard to a particular subject area.

[i.1] Recommendation ITU-T Z.167 (2012), *Testing and Test Control Notation version 3: TTCN-3 mapping from ASN.1.*

ETSI ES 201 873-7 (2012), Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS); The Testing and Test Control Notation version 3; Part 7: Using ASN.1 with TTCN-3. http://webapp.etsi.org/workprogram/Report_WorkItem.asp?WKL_ID=35098

- [i.2] ISO/IEC 646:1991, Information technology ISO 7-bit coded character set for information interchange. <<u>http://www.iso.org/iso/catalogue_detail.htm?csnumber=4777</u>>
- [i.3] IEEE 754-2008, *IEEE Standard for Floating-Point Arithmetic*. <<u>http://standards.ieee.org/findstds/standard/754-2008.html</u>>
- [i.4] ISO/IEC 8859-1:1998, Information technology 8-bit single-byte coded graphic character sets – Part 1: Latin alphabet No.1. <<u>http://www.iso.org/iso/catalogue_detail.htm?csnumber=28245</u>>
- [i.5] ETSI ES 202 781 (2010), Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS); The Testing and Test Control Notation version 3; TTCN-3 Language Extensions: Configuration and Deployment Support.
 http://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi es/202700 202799/202781/01.01.01 60/es 202781v010101p.pdf
- [i.6] ETSI ES 202 782 (2010), Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS); The Testing and Test Control Notation version 3; TTCN-3 Language Extensions: TTCN-3 Performance and Real Time Testing. <<u>http://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_es/202700_202799/202782/01.01.01_60/es_202782v010101p.pdf</u>>
- [i.7] ETSI ES 202 784 (2011), Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS); The Testing and Test Control Notation version 3; TTCN-3 Language Extensions: Advanced Parameterization. <<u>http://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_es/202700_202799/202784/01.02.01_60/es_202784v010201p.pdf</u>>
- [i.8] ETSI ES 202 785 (2010), Methods for Testing and Specification (MTS); The Testing and Test Control Notation version 3; TTCN-3 Language Extensions: Behaviour Types. http://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_es/202700_202799/202785/01.01.01_60/es_202785v010101p.pdf

3 Abbreviations and acronyms

For the purposes of the present document, the following abbreviations and acronyms apply:

- ASN.1 Abstract Syntax Notation One
- CCM CORBA Component Model
- CORBA Common Object Request Broker Architecture
- DCE Distributed Computing Environment
- EJB Enterprise JavaBeans
- IDL Interface Definition Language
- .NET XML-based component technology
- SUT System Under Test
- TTCN Testing and Test Control Notation
- XML eXtended Markup Language

4 Approach

Two different approaches can be identified: the use of either implicit or explicit mapping. The implicit mapping makes use of the import mechanism of TTCN-3, denoted by the keywords language and import. It facilitates the immediate use of data specified in other languages. Therefore, the definition of a specific data interface for each of these languages is required. Currently, ASN.1 data can be used besides the native TTCN-3 types (see [i.1]).

The present document follows the approach of explicit mapping, i.e., IDL data are translated into appropriate TTCN-3 data. And only those TTCN-3 data are further used in the test specification.

5 Lexical conventions

The lexical conventions of IDL define the comments, identifiers, keywords and literals conventions which are described below.

5.1 Comments

Comment definitions in TTCN-3 and IDL are the same and therefore, no conversion of comments is necessary.

5.2 Identifiers

IDL identifier rules define a subset of the TTCN-3 rules in which no conversion is necessary.

5.3 Keywords

When IDL is used with TTCN-3 the keywords of TTCN-3 shall not be used as identifiers in an IDL module.

5.4 Literals

The definition of literals differs slightly between IDL and TTCN-3 why some modifications have to be made. Table 1 gives the mapping for each literal type.

Literal	IDL	TTCN
Integer	no "0" as first digit	no "0" as first digit
Octet	"0" as first digit	'FF96'O
Hex	"0X" or "0x" as first digits	'AB01D'H
Floating	1222.44E5 (Base 10)	1222.44E5 (Base 10)
Char	'A'	"A"
Wide char	L"A"	"A"
Boolean	TRUE, FALSE	true, false
String	"text"	"text"
Wide string	L"text"	"text"
Fixed point	33.33D	(see useful type IDLfixed)

Table 1 – Literal mapping

IDL uses the ISO Latin-1 character set for **string** and **wide string** literals and TTCN-3 uses Recommendation ITU-T T.50 [2] for **string** literals and ISO/IEC 10646 [3] for **wide string** literals.

6 **Pre-processing**

Pre-processor statements are not matched to TTCN-3 because the IDL specification must be used after pre-processing it.

7 Importing from IDL specifications

The import of module, interface, value and constant declaration are described in this clause. The type and exception declaration as well as the bodies of interfaces are described later.

All imported IDL declarations are in TTCN-3 public by default (see clause 8.2.5 of [1]).

7.1 Importing module declaration

IDL modules are mapped to TTCN-3 modules. Nested IDL modules must be flattened accordingly to TTCN-3 modules.

As one IDL module can contain many nested IDL modules where several nested modules can have equal names in different scopes, these names can clash. Hence, module names identifiers are to be used which are composed of the identifiers of the upper level IDL modules (from hierarchical point of view) and the nested IDL module name, separated one from each other by two underscores.

According to the IDL scoping rules nested modules have access to the scope of upper level modules. As there are no nested modules in TTCN-3, TTCN-3 modules have to import upper level modules. For avoiding name clashes, a prefix for the imported definitions composed of the identifier of the module from which it is imported shall be used. The prefix and the identifier are separated by a dot (.) as defined in TTCN-3.

```
IDL EXAMPLE:
module identifier1 {
    typedef long mylong1;
   module identifier2 {
      typedef string mystring2;
      typedef mylong1 mylong2;
      module identifier3 {
        typedef mylong1 long from module 1;
         typedef mystring2 string from module 2;
        typedef mylong2 long from module 1 2;
      };
   };
};
TTCN EXAMPLE:
module identifier1 {
    type long mylong1;
}
module identifier1 identifier2 {
    import from identifier1 all;
    type iso8859string mystring2;
    type identifier1.mylong1 mylong2;
}
module identifier1__identifier2__identifier3 {
    import from identifier1 all;
    import from identifier1 identifier2 all;
    type identifier1.mylong1 long_from_module_1;
    type identifier1__identifier2.mystring2 string_from_module_2;
type identifier1__identifier2.mylong2 long_from_module_1_2;
};
```

7.2 Importing interface declaration

Interfaces are flattened and all interface definitions are stored in one group. In contrast to interfaces in IDL, groups in TTCN-3 do not create a scope. Therefore, prefixes for all identifiers of type definitions inside of the interface shall be used, which are a combination of the interface name and two underscores as the prefix.

Import of single interface definitions from other modules via the importing group statement is possible. This can be used if inheritance is used in the IDL specification.

For each interface, a procedure-based port type is defined for the test specification. It is associated with signatures translated from attributes and operations of the interface.

An IDL attribute is mapped to two signatures: one for the setting of a value and one for getting it. These signatures have names composed of the prefix (interface name and two underscores), attribute name and the word "Set" (except for "readonly") or "Get" correspondingly.

Since an interface can be used in operation parameters to pass object references, an address type is also declared in the data part – the concrete implementation is left to the user. Components are used as collection of interfaces or objects.

```
IDL EXAMPLE:
interface identifier {
    attribute long attributeId ;
    void operationname ( in string param_value ) raises ( ExceptionType ) ;
... other body definitions ... };
TTCN EXAMPLE:
group identifierInterface {
    signature identifier_attributeIdGet () return long
    exception ( ... /* and all system exceptions defined in clause 9 */ );
    signature identifier_attributeIdSet (in long identifier_attributeId)
    exception ( ... /* and all system exceptions defined in clause 9 */ );
    signature identifier_operationname ( in iso8859string identifier_param_value )
    exception ( ExceptionType, ... /* and all system exceptions defined in clause 9 */ );
    ...other body definitions ...
    type port identifier procedure { ... }
    type charstring identifierObject; /* a possible definition for the address type */
    type identifierObject address;
}
```

Interface inheritance is executed by rolling out all inherited elements. Thus, they have to be handled as defined in the interface itself. Multiple inheritance elements have to be inherited only once! As normally an inherited IDL interface uses types defined in the module, usually it is essential to import the complete mapped TTCN-3 module. All inherited elements have to be rolled out directly in the TTCN-3 group for the interface, even if the inheritance is multiple.

Forward references of interfaces are provided by forward referencing the according port of the interface. Local interfaces are treated as normal interfaces. However it is recommend not to use forward references and to move a TTCN-3 definition of the interface (group) to a place where a forward definition is used first time.

7.3 Importing value declaration

In contrast to type interface, the IDL type value has local operations that are not used outside the object, and are therefore not relevant from the functional testing point of view. However, since the public attributes of value instances are used to communicate object states, the IDL value type is mapped to the record type in TTCN-3.

The example below shows how to map valuetype and was used from clause 5.2.5 in [4].

```
IDL EXAMPLE:
valuetype EmployeeRecord {
    // note this is not a CORBA::Object
    // state definition
    private string name;
    private string email;
    private string SSN;
    // initializer
    factory init(
        in string name, in string SSN );
};
TTCN EXAMPLE:
```

```
type record EmployeeRecord {
    iso8859string name,
    iso8859string email,
    iso8859string SSN
}
```

7.4 Importing constant declaration

Constant declarations can be transformed by use of literal (see Table 1) and operator mapping for floating-point and integer values (see Table 2).

Operator	IDL	TTCN
Unary floating-point		
Positive	+	+
Negative	-	_
Binary floating-point		
Addition	+	+
Subtraction	-	-
Multiplication	*	*
Division	/	/
Unary integer		
Positive	+	+
Negative	-	-
Bit-complement	~	not4b
Binary integer		
Addition	+	+
Subtraction	-	-
Multiplication	*	*
Division	/	/
Modulo	୍ଚ	mod
Shift left	<<	<<
Shift right	>>	>>
Bitwise and	۵	and4b
Bitwise or		or4b
Bitwise xor	^	xor4b

 Table 2 – Operators for constant expressions

IDL EXAMPLE: const long number = 017; // 017 == 0xF == 15 const long size = ((number << 3) % 0x1F) & 0123;</pre>

TTCN EXAMPLE:

const long number := "17"O; const long size := ((number << 3) mod '1F'H) and4b '0123'O;</pre>

8 Importing type declaration

Type declaration mapping will be shown in the following clauses.

A construct for naming data types and defining new types by using the keyword typedef is provided by IDL. This can be done under TTCN-3 via the keyword type, too.

To enhance readability and to provide a clear distinction, mapped IDL data types get the prefix IDL and the extension attribute "variant" as done in TTCN-3 for type IDLfixed (see clause D.2.3.0 in [1]).

8.1 IDL basic types

IDL basic data types are mapped to predefined or useful types in TTCN-3.

8.1.1 Integer and floating-point types

Integer and floating-point types are mapped onto the corresponding useful types short, unsignedshort, long, unsignedlong, longlong, unsignedlonglong, IEEE754float, IEEE754double, and IEEE754extdouble.

IDL EXAMPLE: const long size = ((number << 3) % 0x1F) & 0123; const float decimal = 15.7;

TTCN EXAMPLE:

const long size := ((number << 3) mod '1F'H) and4b '0123'0; const IEEE754float decimal := 15.7;

8.1.2 Char and wide char type

The IDL char and wide char type represent a single and wide character. They are mapped to the self-defined type iso8859char and type uchar.

IDL EXAMPLE: const char letter = 'ABCD'; const wchar wideLetter = L'ABCD';

TTCN EXAMPLE: type universal charstring uchar length(1); type uchar iso8859char (char (0,0,0,0) .. char (0,0,0,255)) with { variant "8 bit" }; const iso8859char letter := char (65, 66, 67, 68); const uchar wideLetter := char (65, 66, 67, 68);

8.1.3 Boolean type

The IDL boolean type is equivalent to the TTCN-3 boolean type.

IDL EXAMPLE: const boolean isValid = TRUE;

TTCN EXAMPLE: const boolean isValid = true;

8.1.4 Octet type

octet cannot be mapped onto an integer type because it has the special feature that it will not change its internal ordering if transferred between different system architectures. To represent it octet is mapped to octetstring.

```
IDL EXAMPLE:
const octet data = 0x55;
```

```
TTCN EXAMPLE:
const octetstring data = '55'H
```

8.1.5 Any type

The IDL any type is mapped onto anytype in TTCN-3 which was especially introduced for this mapping.

IDL EXAMPLE: typedef any AllTypes;

TTCN EXAMPLE: type anytype AllTypes;

8.2 Constructed types

IDL provides the three constructed types struct, union, and enum. Recursive construction of types is only permitted with the sequence template.

8.2.1 Struct

struct is used to collect ordered data in one place where it is mapped onto record in TTCN-3.

```
IDL EXAMPLE:
typedef struct NC {
    string id;
    string kind;
} NameComponent;
```

```
TTCN EXAMPLE:
type record NameComponent {
    iso8859string id,
        iso8859string kind
}
```

8.2.2 Discriminated unions

In IDL, unions are discriminated to determine the actual type. Therefore, a record type is used, which contains two members. The first one stores the discriminator information using an enumeration type. The second member is a TTCN-3 union type which members are defined according to the specified IDL union members.

In addition, two types are defined to express the link between discriminator's type and union's type: a type to reflect the discriminating type of a union and an enumeration to distinguish the discriminated cases. Using the information provided by these type definitions, the marshalling/unmarshalling for discriminated unions is possible in an unambiguous manner: to encode or decode a union value, we use the value of the kind field to resolve the corresponding chosen option and calculate then the real value for the discriminator by resolving this value in the discriminator enumeration.

```
IDL EXAMPLE 1:
union MyUnion switch( long ) {
    case 0 : boolean b;
    case 1 : char c;
    case 2 : octet o;
    case 3 : short s; };
```

TTCN EXAMPLE 1:

```
type long MyUnion Switch;
type union MyUnionType {
   boolean b,
    iso8859string c,
    octetstring o,
    short s }
type enumerated MyUnionEnumType {
    boolean_b, iso8859string_c, octetstring_o, short_s
}
type record MyUnion {
    MyUnionEnumType kind,
    MyUnionType value
}
IDL EXAMPLE 2:
Enum MyDiscr {
    BOOLEAN_DISCR,
    CHAR DISCR,
    OCTET DISCR,
    SEQ_DISCR,
    SHORT_DISCR
};
union MyUnion switch( MyDiscr ) {
    case BOOLEAN_DISCR : boolean b;
    case SHORT_DISCR : short s;
};
TTCN EXAMPLE 2:
type enumerated MyDiscr {
    BOOLEAN_DISCR, CHAR_DISCR, OCTET_DISCR, SEQ_DISCR, SHORT_DISCR
}
type MyDiscr MyUnion__Switch;
type enumerated MyUnion CasesType {
   case_BOOLEAN_DISCR,
    case_SHORT_DISCR
}
type union MyUnionType {
   boolean b,
    short s
}
type enumerated MyUnionEnumType {
   boolean_b,
    short_s
}
type record MyUnion {
   MyUnionEnumType kind_,
   MyUnionType value
}
```

8.2.3 Enumerations

Enumerations are equally defined in IDL and TTCN-3.

IDL EXAMPLE:

```
enum NotFoundReason {
    missing_node,
    not_context,
    not_object };
```

TTCN EXAMPLE:

```
type enumerated NotFoundReason {
    missing_node,
    not_context,
    not_object }
```

8.3 Template types

IDL supports the template types sequence, string, wide string and fixed type.

8.3.1 Sequence

IDL sequence is mapped to record of in TTCN-3 to maintain order and to allow unbounded sequences.

IDL EXAMPLE 1:
typedef sequence<NameComponent> Name;

TTCN EXAMPLE 1: type record of NameComponent Name;

IDL sequences with a specified maximum size are mapped to **record** of with limited number of elements to maintain order and restrict the maximum number of elements.

IDL EXAMPLE 2: typedef sequence<NameComponent, maximum_size> Name;

TTCN EXAMPLE 2: type record length (0, maximum_size-1) of NameComponent Name;

8.3.2 String and wstring

string and wstring types are sequences of char and wchar. Therefore, string and wstring are mapped to the useful type iso8859string and universal charstring.

IDL EXAMPLE: const string name = "My String"; const wstring wideName = L"My String";

TTCN EXAMPLE:

const iso8859string name := "My String"; const universal charstring wideName := "My String";

8.3.3 Fixed types

The fixed type represents a fixed-point decimal number. It is mapped to the corresponding useful type IDLfixed in TTCN-3 (see clause D.2.3.0 in [1]).

IDL EXAMPLE:
typedef fixed<12,7> myFix;

TTCN EXAMPLE: template IDLfixed myFixTemplate := { 12, 7, ? }; // e.g., in module definition part var IDLfixed myFix := { 12, 7, "12345.1234567" }; // e.g., in module control part

8.4 Complex declarator

The last kind of type declarators are the complex array and native types.

8.4.1 Arrays

IDL array is equal to the TTCN-3 array type.

IDL EXAMPLE:
typedef long NumberList[100];

TTCN EXAMPLE: type long NumberList[100];

8.4.2 Native types

Native types are used to allow implementation of dependent types. TTCN-3 provides the type address to address entities inside a SUT. Hence, address can be used for mapping of type native and concrete implementation is left to the user.

IDL EXAMPLE: typedef native MyNativeVariable;

TTCN EXAMPLE: type MyNativeVariable address;

9 Importing exception declaration

In IDL, exceptions are used in conjunction with operations to handle exceptional conditions during an operation call. Thus, a special struct-like **exception** type is provided which has to be associated with each operation that can trigger this exception. TTCN-3 also supports the use of exceptions with procedure calls by binding it to signature definitions. However, it provides no special **exception** type. Hence, exceptions are defined by using type **record**.

A definition of an **exception** is shown in the following example. The use of exception binding in signature definitions and exception catching is shown in the context of operation declaration.

IDL EXAMPLE:

```
exception NotFoundException {
    NotFoundReason why;
    Name rest_of_name; };

TTCN EXAMPLE:
// definition of an exception type
type record NotFoundException {
    NotFoundReason why,
    Name rest_of_name }

// definition of a template for the
// defined exception type
template NotFoundException
NotFoundException
NotFoundExceptionTemplate ( NotFoundReason reason, Name name ) := {
    why := reason,
    rest_of_name ;
    }
}
```

In addition to user defined exceptions, there are CORBA system exceptions defined in chapter 4 in [4]. In order to make them available for use in TTCN-3, the following definitions are to be used:

// CORBA system exceptions // the unknown type record
// an invalid parameter was passed type record UNKNOWN{} type record BAD PARAM{} type record NO_MEMORY{} // dynamic memory allocation failure type record IMP_LIMIT{} // violated implementation limit type record COMM_FAILURE{} // communication failure type record INV OBJREF{} // invalid object reference
// no permission for attempted op. type record NO PERMISSION{} // ORB internal error type record INTERNAL{} // error marshalling param/result type record MARSHAL{} type record INITIALIZE{} // ORB initialization failure type record NO_IMPLEMENT{} // operation implementation unavailable
// bad typecode type record BAD_TYPECODE{} // invalid operation // insufficient resources for req.
// response to req. not yet available // persistent storage failure
// routine invocations out of order
// transient failure - reissue request // cannot free memory
// invalid identifier syntax type record INV_IDENT{} // invalid flag was specified type record INV_FLAG{} type record INTF_REPOS{} // error accessing interface repository type record BAD CONTEXT{} // error processing context object type record OBJ_ADAPTER{} // failure detected by object adapter cype record OBJECT_NOT_EXIST{} // non-existent object, delete reference type record TRANSACTION_REQUIRED{} // transaction_required type record_TRANSACTION_REQUIRED{} type record TRANSACTION_REQUIRED{} // transaction required type record TRANSACTION_ROLLEDBACK{}// transaction rolled back type record INVALID_TRANSACTION{} // invalid transaction type record INV POLICY{} // invalid policy type record CODESET INCOMPATIBLE{} // incompatible code set // rebind needed type record REBIND{} type record TIMEOUT{} // operation timed out type record TRANSACTION_UNAVAILABLE{} // no transaction // invalid transaction mode type record TRANSACTION MODE{} type record BAD_QOS{} // bad quality of service type record INVALID_ACTIVITY{}
type record ACTIVITY_COMPLETED{} // bad quality of service // bad quality of service type record ACTIVITY_REQUIRED{} // bad quality of service type union SYSTEM EXCEPTION { uNKNOWN, UNKNOWN BAD PARAM bAD PARAM, NO MEMORY nO MEMORY, IMP LIMIT iMP_LIMIT, cOMM_FAILURE, COMM_FAILURE iNV_OBJREF, nO_PERMISSION, iNTERNAL, INV OBJREF NO PERMISSION INTERNAL iNTERNAL, mARSHAL, iNITIALIZE, nO_IMPLEMENT, bAD_TYPECODE, bAD_OPERATION, nO_RESOURCES, nO_RESPONSE, pERSIST_STORE, bAD_INV_ORDER, tRANSIENT, fREE_MEM. MARSHAL INITIALIZE NO IMPLEMENT BAD_TYPECODE BAD_OPERATION NO_RESOURCES NO RESPONSE PERSIST_STORE BAD INV_ORDER _____ORD tRANSIENT, fREE_MEM, iNV_IDENT iNV_IDENT, iNV_FLAG iNVFLAG, iNTF_REPOS iNTF_REPOS, BAD_CONTEXT bAD_CONTEXT, OBJ_ADAPTER oBJ_ADAPTER, DATA_CONVERSION dATA_CONVERS OBJECT_NOT_EXIST OR.TECT TRANSACTION_REOUTDET TRANSACTION_ dATA CONVERSION, OBJECT_NOT_EXIST OBJECT_NOT_EXIST, TRANSACTION_REQUIRED tRANSACTION_REQUIRED, TRANSACTION_ROLLEDBACK tRANSACTION_ROLLEDBACK, INVALID_TRANSACTION iNVALID_TRANSACTION, INV POLICY iNV POLICY, CODESET_INCOMPATIBLE CODESET INCOMPATIBLE, REBIND rEBIND, TIMEOUT tIMEOUT TRANSACTION UNAVAILABLE tRANSACTION UNAVAILABLE,

```
TRANSACTION_MODEtRANSACTION_MODE,BAD_QOSbAD_QOS,INVALID_ACTIVITYiNVALID_ACTIVITY,ACTIVITY_COMPLETEDaCTIVITY_COMPLETED,ACTIVITY_REQUIREDaCTIVITY_REQUIRED
```

10 Importing operation declaration

}

Apart from attributes, operations are the main part of interface definitions in IDL and are used, for instance, in the CORBA scheme as procedures which can be called by clients. Procedure calls in general are supported by TTCN-3 by means of synchronous communication operations which are used in combination with ports.

IDL supports an optional oneway attribute for operations which implies best-effort invocation semantics without a guarantee of delivery but with a most-once invocation semantics. Message or procedure-based ports can be used for oneway procedures because both would be a valid mapping based upon IDL. However, the use of procedure-based ports for oneway procedures is recommended because the IDL specification does not guarantee that oneway calls are non-blocking or asynchronous. Furthermore, CORBA implements oneway procedures by synchronous communication, too. Use of non-blocking or blocking procedures for oneway operations is left to the user. Mapped oneway operations acquire an additional variant attribute (see example).

The parameter attributes in, inout and out describe the transmission direction of parameters and can be mapped directly to the communication parameter attributes in TTCN-3 because they have the exact same semantics.

A raise expression specifies all user-defined exceptions which can be thrown by an operation. In addition, all CORBA system exceptions as defined in clause 9 can be raised. The raise expression can be mapped directly to TTCN-3 because it can be indicated by the procedure signature definition by specifying the list of exceptions.

A context expression provides access to local properties of the called operation. These properties consist of a name and a string value. The context expression can be matched by redefining the operation with the context parameters included in the operation parameters (see clause 4.6 in [4]). The additional parameter must be of type array containing a type record for each context parameter. The record itself contains two variables of type string for the context name and value.

IDL EXAMPLE:

```
// NotFoundException is defined clause "Exception declaration"
string remoteProc1( in long Par11, out long Par12, inout string name1 )
    raises( NotFoundException )
    context( "MyContext1" );
// oneway procedure: no return value and no inout or out allowed!!!
oneway void remoteProc2( in long Par21, in long Par22, in string name2 );
TTCN EXAMPLE:
// only operation definition
type record IDLContextElement {
   iso8859string name,
   iso8859string value_
}
type record of IDLContextElement IDLContext;
signature RemoteProcSignature1(
            in long Par11, out long Par12,
            inout charstring name1, in IDLContext context )
         return iso8859string
         exception( // user-defined exception
                       NotFoundException,
                        SYSTEM_EXCEPTION
```

11 Importing attribute declaration

An attribute is like a set- and get-operation pair to access a value. If an attribute is marked as readonly, only the get-operation is used. Therefore, attribute mapping can be done by the operation mapping.

12 Names and scoping

The name definition scheme of IDL does not collide with the name definition in TTCN-3. Scoping is more restrictive in IDL than in TTCN-3, where the IDL scoping rules have to be mapped appropriately to allow seamless mapping. IDL uses nested scopes for modules, interfaces, structures, unions, operations and exceptions and identifiers are scoped in types, constants, enumeration values, exceptions, interfaces, attributes and operations. The hierarchical scopes in TTCN-3 are module, control part of module, function, testcase and statement blocks within control part of module, function and testcase.

Furthermore, TTCN-3 supports no overloading of identifiers so that no identifier name can be used more than once in a scope hierarchy. However, IDL allows redefinition of self defined types if defined inside a module, interface or valuetype. Hence, identifiers have to be mapped by using their path name including all interface and valuetype names as designated in IDL and TTCN-3. The use of module names is not necessary because they are reflected by the TTCN-3 module structure. An underscore is used as a separator and existing underscores are doubled.

Several new identifiers are generated during transformation of IDL types by adding to the original IDL type identifier suffixes like: "Type", "Enum", "Object", "Interface", etc. This approach and the use of TTCN-3 keywords in IDL modules can cause name clashes, which are to be resolved by a suffix "_":

NOTE - [1] clause A.1.5 Table A.2 defines the keywords of the core language. However, TTCN-3 language extensions (see [i.5] to [i.8], but other extensions may also be published after the publication of the present document) may define additional keywords and rules for handling those keywords in TTCN-3 modules requiring the given extension.

IDL EXAMPLE:

```
interface identifier {
    ... body definitions ...
};
//an example of the identifier, which can cause a name clash
typedef long identifierObject;
```

TTCN EXAMPLE:

```
group identifierInterface {
    ... body definitions ...
```

```
type port identifier procedure { ... }
```

```
//the suffix '_' is used only where necessary
//to resolve the name clash
type charstring identifierObject_;
type identifierObject_ address;
}
type long identifierObject;
```

To indicate the special treatment of TTCN-3 statements derived from IDL, TTCN-3 provides a new mechanism to attach attributes to language elements. The use of attributes makes code more readable and requires no special naming scheme. Therefore, the **variant** attribute can be used to indicate the derivation of types from IDL and the special treatment for encoding by the test system. This is used in TTCN-3 for the **IDLfixed** useful type:

```
type record IDLfixed {
    unsignedshort digits,
    short scale,
    charstring value_
    }
    with { variant "IDL:fixed FORMAL/01-12-01 v.2.6" };
```

Names of new types which are specially defined for the IDL mapping and their use in conjunction with IDL shall always begin with the word IDL to provide better distinction.

Appendix I

Examples

(This appendix does not form an integral part of this Recommendation.)

I.1 Example

The following example shows how a mapping would look like if a complete IDL and TTCN-3 specification, including a test case, is used. It is only intended to give an impression of how the different elements have to be mapped and used in TTCN-3.

Some parts are used from the CORBA standard like the Naming Service with slight modifications to cover more IDL elements.

I.1.1 IDL specification

```
module ttcnExample
    // *********
    // Basic Types
    // *********
    const long number = 017; // 017 == 0xF == 15
const long size = ( ( number << 3 ) % 0x1F ) & 0123;
const float decimal = 15.7;
    const char letter = 'A';
const wchar wideLetter = L'A';
    const boolean isValid = TRUE;
const octet anOctet = 0x55; // limited to 8 bit
    const string myName = "my name";
    const wstring wideMyName = L"my name";
    typedef string MyString;
    // ************
    // Constructed Types
    // ***********
    typedef struct NC {
        MyString id;
        MyString kind;
    } NameComponent;
    union MyUnion switch( long ) {
       case 0 : boolean b;
        case 1 : char c;
        case 2 : octet o;
        case 3 : short s;
    };
    enum NotFoundReason { missing_node,
                           not_context,
                           not_object };
    // *********
    // Template Types
    // ***********
    typedef sequence <NameComponent> Name;
    typedef sequence <NameComponent> Key;
    typedef fixed<12,7> Fix;
    // *************
    // Complex Declarator
    // ***************
    typedef long NumberList[100];
    native MyNativeVariable;
    // ***************
```

```
// Valuetype Definition
// ********************
valuetype StringValue string;
valuetype EmployeeRecord {
   // note this is not a CORBA::Object
    // state definition
   private string name;
   private string email;
   private string SSN;
    // initializer
    factory init(in string name, in string SSN);
};
// ***************
// Interface Definition
// **********************
interface NamingContext {
   attribute string object_type;
   readonly attribute Key external_form_id;
   exception NotFoundException {
       NotFoundReason whv;
       Name rest_of_name;
    };
   MyString bind( in Name n, inout Object obj, out Object myObj )
        raises( NotFoundException ) context ( "Hostname" );
    oneway void rebind( in Name n, in Object obj );
}; // end of interface NamingContext
```

```
}; // end of module ttcnExample
```

I.1.2 Derived TTCN-3 specification

```
module ttcnExample {
       import from IDLaux all;
       // *********
       // Mapping of the IDL Specification
       // *******
       // Mapping of Basic Types
       // ****************
       const long number
                                := oct2int('17'0) ;
                                := oct2int(int2oct(oct2int(int2oct(number,4)<<3) mod
       const long size
hex2int('1F'H),4) and4b '0123'O);
       const IEEE754float decimal := 15.7;
       type universal charstring uchar length(1);
       type uchar iso8859char (char ( 0,0,0,0 ) .. char ( 0,0,0,255))
       with { variant "8 bit" };
                                        := "A";
       const iso8859char
                               letter
                               wideLetter := "A";
       const uchar
                                       := true;
:= hex2oct('55'H);
       const boolean
                               isValid
       const octetstring
                               anOctet
       const iso8859string
                              myName
                                        := "my name";
       const universal charstring wideMyName := "my name";
       type iso8859string MyString;
       // ************
       // Constructed Types
       // ***********
       // *****
       // Struct
       // *****
       type record NameComponent {
          MyString id,
```

```
MyString kind
};
// ****
// Union
// *****
type union MyUnion {
   boolean b,
   iso8859char c,
   octetstring o,
   short s
};
// *********
// Enumeration
// *********
type enumerated NotFoundReason {
   missing node,
   not_context,
   not_object
}
// *******
// Sequence
// *******
type record of NameComponent Name;
type record of NameComponent Key;
//*****
// Fixed
// *****
// see also using of fixed in testcase below
template IDLfixed fixTemplate := { 12, 7, ? };
// ************
// Complex Declarator
// ***************
type long numberList[100];
// see using of native in testcase below
// **************
// Valuetype Definition
// *****
type iso8859string StringValue;
type record EmployeeRecord {
   iso8859string name,
   iso8859string email,
   iso8859string SSN
};
// ***************
type record IDLContextElement {
   iso8859string name,
   iso8859string value_
}
type record of IDLContextElement IDLContext;
group NamingContextInterface {
    type charstring NamingContextObject;
    type NamingContextObject address;
   // attribute object type
   signature NamingContext_object_typeGet () return iso8859string
   exception ( SYSTEM EXCEPTION );
   signature NamingContext_object_typeSet ( in iso8859string NamingContext_object_type )
   exception ( SYSTEM EXCEPTION );
   template NamingContext__object_typeSet ObjectTypeSetSignatureTemplate := {
       object_type := "my object type"
   }
```

```
// attribute external_from_id
    11
    signature NamingContext external form idGet() return Key
    exception ( SYSTEM EXCEPTION );
    // exception notFoundException
    type record NamingContext__NotFoundException {
        NotFoundReason why,
        Name rest_of_name
    }
    template NamingContext__NotFoundException
    NamingContext__NotFoundExceptionTemplate ( NotFoundReason reason, Name name ) := {
        why
                    := reason,
        rest of name := name
        3
    // bind procedure
    11
    signature NamingContext__BindSignature
      ( in Name n, inout address obj, inout address myObj,
        in IDLContext context ) return MyString
        exception( NamingContext__NotFoundException,
                   SYSTEM_EXCEPTION );
    template NamingContext BindSignature
    NamingContext BindTemplate ( charstring object, IDLContext con ) := {
                := { {"name", ""} },
       n
        obj
                := object,
       myObj
                := ?,
        context := con
    }
    // rebind procedure
    11
    signature NamingContext RebindSignature( in Name n, in address obj )
    exception ( SYSTEM EXCEPTION )
    with { variant "IDL: oneway FORMAL/01-12-01 v.2.6" };
    template NamingContext__RebindSignature
   NamingContext RebindTemplate ( address object ) := {
    n := { {"name", ""} },
        obj := object
    }
    type port NamingContext procedure {
        out NamingContext_object_typeGet;
out NamingContext_object_typeSet;
        out NamingContext__external_form_idGet;
        out NamingContext BindSignature;
    }
// component is necessary for test case
type component CorbaSystemInterface {
   port NamingContext PCO;
// somewhere has main test component MyMTC to be defined
type component MyMTC {
   port NamingContext NamingContextPCO;
// *************
// Testcase Definition
// *************
testcase MyNamingServiceTestCase() runs on MyMTC system CorbaSystemInterface {
    // examples to show how above definitions can be used inside a
    // testcase definition
    var CorbaSystemInterface myCorbaSystem := CorbaSystemInterface.create;
    connect( self:NamingContextPCO, myCorbaSystem:PCO );
    myCorbaSystem.start;
```

}

}

}

```
//
// Fixed Type
11
var IDLfixed fix := { 12, 7, "12345.1234567" };
11
// Native
11
var address MyNativeVariable;
11
// Procedure Calls
//
var MyString
             myResult1;
              myResult2;
var Kev
var MyString myResult3;
var address object, myObject, resultObject, resultMyObject;
var IDLContextElement contextElement := {
    name := "Hostname",
value_ := "disen"
}
var IDLContext contextParameter := { contextElement };
11
// procedure get object type
11
NamingContextPCO.call( ObjectTypeGetSignature )
{
    [] NamingContextPCO.getreply( ObjectTypeGetSignature value * )
        -> value myResult1 {}
}
11
// procedure set object type
11
NamingContextPCO.call( ObjectTypeSetSignatureTemplate );
//
// procedure get external_from_id
11
NamingContextPCO.call( ExternalFormIdGetSignature )
{
    [] NamingContextPCO.getreply( ExternalFormIdGetSignature value * )
        -> value MyResult2 {}
}
// procedure bind (with template)
11
NamingContextPCO.call( BindTemplate( object, contextParameter ) )
{
    [] NamingContextPCO.getreply( BindTemplate( * ) value * )
        -> value myResult3
        param( resultObject, resultMYObject ) sender mySender {}
    [] NamingContextPCO.catch( BindSignature,
            NamingContext__NotFoundExceptionTemplate )
    {
        setverdict( fail );
        stop;
    }
}
11
// procedure bind (without template)
11
NamingContextPCO.call(
           BindSignature:{ myName, object, myObject, contextParameter } )
{
    [] NamingContextPCO.getreply( BindSignature:{ -, *, myObject }
    value * ) -> value myResult3 param( resultObject, resultMYObject ) sender mySender
}
```

{}

```
} // end of testcase MyNamingServiceTestCase
```

}

Appendix II

Mapping lists

(This appendix does not form an integral part of this Recommendation.)

II.1 IDL keyword and concept mapping list

Table II.1 lists the mapping of keywords and concepts of IDL to TTCN-3 keywords or concepts. Literal and operator mapping can be seen in Tables II.1 and II.2.

IDL	TTCN-3	IDL	TTCN-3
FALSE	false	module	module
Object	address	native	address
TRUE	true	octet	octetstring
abstract	has to be rolled out	oneway	operation with variant attribute
any	anytype	operation	signature for procedure
array	array	out	out
attribute	get (and set) operation	raises	exception
boolean	boolean	readonly	only a get- operation for the attribute
char	iso8859char (self defined type)	sequence	record of
const	const	short	short
context	additional procedure parameter of type record	string	iso8859string
enum	enumerated	struct	record
exception	record	typedef	type
fixed	IDLfixed	union	record, enumerated, union
float	IEEE754float	unsigned long	unsignedlong
double	IEEE754double	unsigned long long	unsignedlonglong
long double	IEEE754extdouble	unsigned short	unsignedshort
in	in	valuetype	record
inout	inout	wchar	universal charstring
interface	group, port	wstring	universal charstring
local			
long	long		
long long	longlong		

Table II.1 – Conceptual list of IDL mapping

II.2 Comparison of IDL, ASN.1, TTCN-2 and TTCN-3 data types

IDL	ASN.1	TTCN-2	TTCN-3
Object	ObjectInstance (X.500 Distinguished name)	IA5String	address
any	SEQUENCE {typecode, anyValue}	CHOICE	anytype
array	SEQUENCE OF (with sizeConstraint subtype)	SEQUENCE SIZE(n) OF	array
boolean	BOOLEAN	BOOLEAN	boolean
char	GraphicString	GraphicString or IA5String(SIZE(1))	iso8859char (self-defined type)
enum	ENUMERATED	ENUMERATED	enumerated
exception	SPECIFIC ERRORS	SEQUENCE	record
fixed	See note	See note	IDLfixed
float	REAL	See note	IEEE754float
double	REAL	See note	IEEE754double
long double	REAL	See note	IEEE754extdoub le
long	INTEGER	INTEGER	long
long long	INTEGER	INTEGER	longlong
native	See note	See note	address
octet	OCTET STRING	OCTET STRING (SIZE(1))	octetstring
sequence	SEQUENCE OF (with optional sizeConstraint subtype for IDL bounds)	SEQUENCE OF	record of
short	INTEGER	INTEGER	short
string	GraphicString	GraphicString	iso8859string
struct	SEQUENCE	SEQUENCE	record
union, switch, case	CHOICE (with ASN.1 TAGS)	SEQUENCE	record, enumerated, union
unsigned long	INTEGER	INTEGER	unsignedlong
unsigned long	INTEGER	INTEGER	unsignedlonglo ng
unsigned short	INTEGER	INTEGER	unsignedshort
valuetype	See note	See note	record
wchar	See note	GraphicString or BMPString(SIZE(1))	universal charstring
wstring	See note	GraphicString	universal charstring
NOTE – Mapping of	f this type was not considered.		

Table II.2

Bibliography

[b-ISO/IEC 9646-3]	ISO/IEC 9646-3:1998, Information technology – Open Systems Interconnection – Conformance testing methodology and framework – Part 3: The Tree and Tabular Combined Notation (TTCN).
[b-Ebner 1]	Ebner, M., Yin, A., Li, M. (2002), Definition and Utilization of OMG IDL to TTCN-3 Mappings. In: Schieferdecker, I., König, H., Wolisz, A., eds. <i>Testing of Communicating Systems XIV – Application to Internet Technologies and Services</i> . Norwell, Massachusetts, IFIP/Kluwer Academic Publishers, pp. 443-458.
[b-Ebner 2]	Ebner, M. (2001), <i>A Mapping of OMG IDL to TTCN-3</i> . SIIM Technical Report SIIM-TR-A- 01-11, Lübeck, Institute for Telematics, Medical University of Lübeck.
[b-Ebner 3]	Ebner, M. (2001), Mapping CORBA IDL to TTCN-3 based on IDL to TTCN-2 mappings. In: <i>Proceedings of the 11th GI/ITG Technical Meeting on Formal Description Techniques for Distributed Systems</i> , 21-22 June 2001, Bruchsal, International University in Germany.
[b-Yin 1]	Yin, A. (2001) <i>Testing Operation-Based Interfaces Exemplified for CORBA</i> <i>with ADL and TTCN-3.</i> [Diplomarbeit] Technical University Berlin, Telecommunication Network Group, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science.
[b-Yin 2]	Yin, A., Schieferdecker, I., Li, M. (2001), <i>Mapping of IDL to TTCN-3</i> . Technical Report, Berlin, Fraunhofer Institute for Open Communication Systems (FOKUS).

SERIES OF ITU-T RECOMMENDATIONS

- Series A Organization of the work of ITU-T
- Series D General tariff principles
- Series E Overall network operation, telephone service, service operation and human factors
- Series F Non-telephone telecommunication services
- Series G Transmission systems and media, digital systems and networks
- Series H Audiovisual and multimedia systems
- Series I Integrated services digital network
- Series J Cable networks and transmission of television, sound programme and other multimedia signals
- Series K Protection against interference
- Series L Construction, installation and protection of cables and other elements of outside plant
- Series M Telecommunication management, including TMN and network maintenance
- Series N Maintenance: international sound programme and television transmission circuits
- Series O Specifications of measuring equipment
- Series P Terminals and subjective and objective assessment methods
- Series Q Switching and signalling
- Series R Telegraph transmission
- Series S Telegraph services terminal equipment
- Series T Terminals for telematic services
- Series U Telegraph switching
- Series V Data communication over the telephone network
- Series X Data networks, open system communications and security
- Series Y Global information infrastructure, Internet protocol aspects and next-generation networks

Series Z Languages and general software aspects for telecommunication systems