

International Telecommunication Union

**ITU-T**

TELECOMMUNICATION  
STANDARDIZATION SECTOR  
OF ITU

**H.830.3**

(04/2017)

SERIES H: AUDIOVISUAL AND MULTIMEDIA SYSTEMS

E-health multimedia services and applications –  
Interoperability compliance testing of personal health  
systems (HRN, PAN, LAN, TAN and WAN)

---

**Conformance of ITU-T H.810 personal health  
system: Services interface Part 3: SOAP/ATNA:  
Health & Fitness Service sender**

Recommendation ITU-T H.830.3



ITU-T H-SERIES RECOMMENDATIONS  
AUDIOVISUAL AND MULTIMEDIA SYSTEMS

|  |                    |
|--|--------------------|
| CHARACTERISTICS OF VISUAL TELEPHONE SYSTEMS  | H.100–H.199        |
| INFRASTRUCTURE OF AUDIOVISUAL SERVICES   |                    |
| General  | H.200–H.219        |
| Transmission multiplexing and synchronization  | H.220–H.229        |
| Systems aspects  | H.230–H.239        |
| Communication procedures   | H.240–H.259        |
| Coding of moving video   | H.260–H.279        |
| Related systems aspects  | H.280–H.299        |
| Systems and terminal equipment for audiovisual services  | H.300–H.349        |
| Directory services architecture for audiovisual and multimedia services                            | H.350–H.359        |
| Quality of service architecture for audiovisual and multimedia services                            | H.360–H.369        |
| Telepresence   | H.420–H.429        |
| Supplementary services for multimedia  | H.450–H.499        |
| MOBILITY AND COLLABORATION PROCEDURES  |                    |
| Overview of Mobility and Collaboration, definitions, protocols and procedures                      | H.500–H.509        |
| Mobility for H-Series multimedia systems and services  | H.510–H.519        |
| Mobile multimedia collaboration applications and services  | H.520–H.529        |
| Security for mobile multimedia systems and services  | H.530–H.539        |
| Security for mobile multimedia collaboration applications and services                             | H.540–H.549        |
| Mobility interworking procedures   | H.550–H.559        |
| Mobile multimedia collaboration inter-working procedures   | H.560–H.569        |
| BROADBAND, TRIPLE-PLAY AND ADVANCED MULTIMEDIA SERVICES  |                    |
| Broadband multimedia services over VDSL  | H.610–H.619        |
| Advanced multimedia services and applications  | H.620–H.629        |
| Ubiquitous sensor network applications and Internet of Things                                      | H.640–H.649        |
| IPTV MULTIMEDIA SERVICES AND APPLICATIONS FOR IPTV   |                    |
| General aspects  | H.700–H.719        |
| IPTV terminal devices  | H.720–H.729        |
| IPTV middleware  | H.730–H.739        |
| IPTV application event handling  | H.740–H.749        |
| IPTV metadata  | H.750–H.759        |
| IPTV multimedia application frameworks   | H.760–H.769        |
| IPTV service discovery up to consumption   | H.770–H.779        |
| Digital Signage  | H.780–H.789        |
| E-HEALTH MULTIMEDIA SERVICES AND APPLICATIONS  |                    |
| Personal health systems  | H.810–H.819        |
| <b>Interoperability compliance testing of personal health systems (HRN, PAN, LAN, TAN and WAN)</b> | <b>H.820–H.859</b> |
| Multimedia e-health data exchange services   | H.860–H.869        |

*For further details, please refer to the list of ITU-T Recommendations.*

## Recommendation ITU-T H.830.3

### Conformance of ITU-T H.810 personal health system: Services interface Part 3: SOAP/ATNA: Health & Fitness Service sender

#### Summary

Recommendation ITU-T H.830.3 provides a test suite structure (TSS) and the test purposes (TP) for SOAP/ATNA messages through the Health & Fitness Service (HFS) sender in the Services interface, based on the requirements defined in the Recommendations of the ITU-T H.810 sub-series, of which Recommendation ITU-T H.810 (2016) is the base Recommendation. The objective of this test specification is to provide a high probability of interoperability at this interface.

Recommendation ITU-T H.830.3 is a transposition of Continua Test Tool DG2016, Test Suite Structure & Test Purposes, Services Interface; Part 3: SOAP/ATNA. HFS Sender (Version 1.8, 2017-03-14), that was developed by the Personal Connected Health Alliance. A number of versions of this specification existed before transposition.

This Recommendation includes an electronic attachment with the protocol implementation conformance statements (PICS) and the protocol implementation extra information for testing (PIXIT) required for the implementation of Annex A.

#### History

| Edition | Recommendation | Approval   | Study Group | Unique ID*  |
|---------|----------------|------------|-------------|---|
| 1.0     | ITU-T H.833    | 2015-01-13 | 16          | <a href="http://handle.itu.int/11.1002/1000/12251">11.1002/1000/12251</a> |
| 1.0     | ITU-T H.830.3  | 2015-01-13 | 16          | <a href="http://handle.itu.int/11.1002/1000/12589">11.1002/1000/12589</a> |
| 2.0     | ITU-T H.830.3  | 2016-07-14 | 16          | <a href="http://handle.itu.int/11.1002/1000/12923">11.1002/1000/12923</a> |
| 3.0     | ITU-T H.830.3  | 2017-04-13 | 16          | <a href="http://handle.itu.int/11.1002/1000/13203">11.1002/1000/13203</a> |

#### Keywords

Conformance testing, Continua Design Guidelines, e-health, ITU-T H.810, personal connected health devices, Services interface, SOAP/ATNA: Health & Fitness Service sender.

---

\* To access the Recommendation, type the URL <http://handle.itu.int/> in the address field of your web browser, followed by the Recommendation's unique ID. For example, <http://handle.itu.int/11.1002/1000/11830-en>.

## FOREWORD

The International Telecommunication Union (ITU) is the United Nations specialized agency in the field of telecommunications, information and communication technologies (ICTs). The ITU Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU-T) is a permanent organ of ITU. ITU-T is responsible for studying technical, operating and tariff questions and issuing Recommendations on them with a view to standardizing telecommunications on a worldwide basis.

The World Telecommunication Standardization Assembly (WTSA), which meets every four years, establishes the topics for study by the ITU-T study groups which, in turn, produce Recommendations on these topics.

The approval of ITU-T Recommendations is covered by the procedure laid down in WTSA Resolution 1.

In some areas of information technology which fall within ITU-T's purview, the necessary standards are prepared on a collaborative basis with ISO and IEC.

## NOTE

In this Recommendation, the expression "Administration" is used for conciseness to indicate both a telecommunication administration and a recognized operating agency.

Compliance with this Recommendation is voluntary. However, the Recommendation may contain certain mandatory provisions (to ensure, e.g., interoperability or applicability) and compliance with the Recommendation is achieved when all of these mandatory provisions are met. The words "shall" or some other obligatory language such as "must" and the negative equivalents are used to express requirements. The use of such words does not suggest that compliance with the Recommendation is required of any party.

## INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

ITU draws attention to the possibility that the practice or implementation of this Recommendation may involve the use of a claimed Intellectual Property Right. ITU takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of claimed Intellectual Property Rights, whether asserted by ITU members or others outside of the Recommendation development process.

As of the date of approval of this Recommendation, ITU had not received notice of intellectual property, protected by patents, which may be required to implement this Recommendation. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information and are therefore strongly urged to consult the TSB patent database at <http://www.itu.int/ITU-T/ipr/>.

© ITU 2017

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, by any means whatsoever, without the prior written permission of ITU.

## Table of Contents

|              | <b>Page</b>  |
|--------------|--|
| 1            | Scope..... 1   |
| 2            | References..... 1                                    |
| 3            | Definitions ..... 2                                  |
| 3.1          | Terms defined elsewhere ..... 2                      |
| 3.2          | Terms defined in this Recommendation ..... 2         |
| 4            | Abbreviations and acronyms ..... 2                   |
| 5            | Conventions ..... 3                                  |
| 6            | Test suite structure (TSS) ..... 5                   |
| 7            | Electronic attachment ..... 7                        |
| Annex A      | Test purposes ..... 8                                |
| A.1          | TP definition conventions..... 8                     |
| A.2          | Subgroup 1.2.1: SOAP headers (HEAD) ..... 9          |
| A.3          | Subgroup 1.3.1: ATNA general (GEN)..... 9            |
| A.4          | Subgroup 1.3.2: ATNA PCD-01 (PCD-01) ..... 10        |
| A.5          | Subgroup 1.3.3: ATNA consent management (CM)..... 17 |
| Annex B      | Schema for IETF RFC 3881 verification..... 21        |
| Bibliography | ..... 28   |

**Electronic attachment:** This Recommendation includes an electronic attachment with the protocol implementation conformance statements (PICS) and the protocol implementation extra information for testing (PIXIT) required for the implementation of Annex A.

## Introduction

This Recommendation is a transposition of Continua Test Tool DG2016, Test Suite Structure & Test Purposes, Services Interface; Part 3: SOAP/ATNA. HFS Sender (Version 1.8, 2017-03-14), that was developed by the Personal Connected Health Alliance. The table below shows the revision history of this test specification; it may contain versions that existed before transposition.

| Version | Date       | Revision history  |
|---------|------------|---|
| 1.3     | 2012-10-05 | Initial release for Test Tool DG2011. It uses "TSS&TP_1.5_WAN_PART_3_(SEN GEN)_v1.2.doc" as a baseline and adds new features included in [b-CDG 2011].  |
| 1.4     | 2013-05-24 | Initial release for Test Tool DG2012. It uses "TSS&TP_DG2011_WAN_PART_3_(SEN GEN)_v1.3.doc" as a baseline and fixes a typo error in ATNA Reliable Syslog Test Cases. It does not include technical changes in the test procedures because new features included in [b-CDG 2012] do not affect the test procedures specified in this document. |
| 1.4     | 2014-01-24 | Initial release for Test Tool DG2013. It is the same version as "TSS&TP_DG2012_WAN_PART_3_(SEN GEN)_v1.4.doc" because new features included in [b-ITU-T H.810 (2013)]/[b-CDG 2013] do not affect the test procedures specified in this document.  |
| 1.5     | 2014-04-24 | TM Lite & Doc Enhancements (Test Tool v4.0 Maintenance Release 1). It uses "TSS&TP_DG2013_WAN_PART_3_(SEN GEN)_v1.5.doc" as a baseline and adds new features included in Documentation Enhancements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• "Other PICS" row has been added</li></ul>  |
| 1.6     | 2015-07-01 | Initial release for Test Tool DG2015: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Test suite structure modified</li><li>• Applicability and Other PICS modified due to the inclusion of hData OU</li></ul>  |
| 1.7     | 2016-09-20 | Initial release for Test Tool DG2016. It implements changes according to [ITU-T H.810 (2016)]/[b-CDG 2016] (Iris + Errata) refreshments.  |
| 1.8     | 2017-03-14 | Editorial: added insulin pump and continuous glucose monitor specializations to the TSS list in clause 6.   |

## Recommendation ITU-T H.830.3

### Conformance of ITU-T H.810 personal health system: Services interface Part 3: SOAP/ATNA: Health & Fitness Service sender

#### 1 Scope

The scope of this Recommendation<sup>1</sup> is to provide a test suite structure (TSS) and the test purposes (TP) for the Services interface based on the requirements defined in the Continua Design Guidelines (CDG) [ITU-T H.810 (2016)]. The objective of this test specification is to provide a high probability of interoperability at this interface.

The TSS and TP for the Services interface have been divided into the parts specified below. This Recommendation covers Part 3.

- Part 1: Web services interoperability Health & Fitness Service sender
- Part 2: Web services interoperability Health & Fitness Service receiver
- **Part 3: SOAP/ATNA. Health & Fitness Service sender**
- Part 4: SOAP/ATNA. Health & Fitness Service receiver
- Part 5: PCD-01 HL7 Messages. Health & Fitness Service sender
- Part 6: PCD-01 HL7 Messages. Health & Fitness Service receiver
- Part 7: Consent Management. Health & Fitness Service sender
- Part 8: Consent Management. Health & Fitness Service receiver
- Part 9: hData Observation Upload. Health & Fitness Service sender
- Part 10: hData Observation Upload. Health & Fitness Service receiver
- Part 11: Questionnaires. Health & Fitness Service sender
- Part 12: Questionnaires. Health & Fitness Service receiver

#### 2 References

The following ITU-T Recommendations and other references contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this Recommendation. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All Recommendations and other references are subject to revision; users of this Recommendation are therefore encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of the Recommendations and other references listed below. A list of the currently valid ITU-T Recommendations is regularly published. The reference to a document within this Recommendation does not give it, as a stand-alone document, the status of a Recommendation.

- |                      |   |
|----------------------|---|
| [ITU-T H.810 (2016)] | Recommendation ITU-T H.810 (2016), <i>Interoperability design guidelines for personal health systems</i> .  |
| [ITU-T H.812]        | Recommendation ITU-T H.812 (2016), <i>Interoperability design guidelines for personal health systems: Services interface: Common certified capability class</i> . |

---

<sup>1</sup> This Recommendation includes an electronic attachment with the protocol implementation conformance statements (PICS) and the protocol implementation extra information for testing (PIXIT) required for the implementation of Annex A

- [ITU-T H.812.1] Recommendation ITU-T H.812.1 (2016), *Interoperability design guidelines for personal health systems: Services interface: Observation upload certified capability class*.
- [ITU-T H.812.2] Recommendation ITU-T H.812.2 (2016), *Interoperability design guidelines for personal health systems: Services interface: Questionnaires certified capability class*.
- [ITU-T H.812.3] Recommendation ITU-T H.812.3 (2016), *Interoperability design guidelines for personal health systems: Services interface: Capability exchange certified capability class*.
- [ITU-T H.812.4] Recommendation ITU-T H.812.4 (2016), *Interoperability design guidelines for personal health systems: Services interface: Authenticated persistent session certified capability class*.
- [IETF RFC 3195] IETF RFC 3195 (2001), *Reliable Delivery for syslog*.  
<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc3195>
- [IETF RFC 3881] IETF RFC 3881 (2004), *Security Audit and Access Accountability Message XML Data Definitions for Healthcare Applications*.  
<https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc3881>
- [IHE ITI TF-2] IHE ITI TF 2 (2010), *IHE IT Infrastructure Technical Framework, Volume 2 (ITI TF-2)*, Revision 7.0. It comprises three sub-volumes: 2a (Transactions Part A), 2b (Transactions Part B) and 2x (Appendices).  
[http://www.ihe.net/Technical\\_Framework/upload/IHE\\_ITI\\_TF\\_Rev7-0\\_Vol2a\\_FT\\_2010-08-10.pdf](http://www.ihe.net/Technical_Framework/upload/IHE_ITI_TF_Rev7-0_Vol2a_FT_2010-08-10.pdf)  
[http://www.ihe.net/Technical\\_Framework/upload/IHE\\_ITI\\_TF\\_Rev7-0\\_Vol2b\\_FT\\_2010-08-10.pdf](http://www.ihe.net/Technical_Framework/upload/IHE_ITI_TF_Rev7-0_Vol2b_FT_2010-08-10.pdf)  
[http://www.ihe.net/Technical\\_Framework/upload/IHE\\_ITI\\_TF\\_Rev7-0\\_Vol2x\\_FT\\_2010-08-10.pdf](http://www.ihe.net/Technical_Framework/upload/IHE_ITI_TF_Rev7-0_Vol2x_FT_2010-08-10.pdf)

### **3 Definitions**

#### **3.1 Terms defined elsewhere**

None.

#### **3.2 Terms defined in this Recommendation**

None.

### **4 Abbreviations and acronyms**

This Recommendation uses the following abbreviations and acronyms:

|      |                                     |
|------|-------------------------------------|
| ATNA | Audit Trail and Node Authentication |
| ATS  | Abstract Test Suite                 |
| DUT  | Device Under Test                   |
| CDG  | Continua Design Guidelines          |
| CGM  | Continuous Glucose Monitor          |
| GUI  | Graphical User Interface            |
| HFS  | Health & Fitness Service            |
| HFSS | Health & Fitness Service Sender     |



|       |   |
|-------|---|
| HFSR  | Health & Fitness Service Receiver                     |
| HL7   | Health Level 7  |
| HTTP  | Hypertext Transfer Protocol                           |
| HTTPS | Hypertext Transfer Protocol Secure                    |
| INR   | International Normalized Ratio                        |
| IP    | Insulin Pump  |
| IUT   | Implementation Under Test                             |
| NFC   | Near Field Communication                              |
| MDS   | Medical Device System                                 |
| PCD   | Patient Care Device                                   |
| PCT   | Protocol Conformance Testing                          |
| PHD   | Personal Health Device                                |
| PHDC  | Personal Healthcare Device Class                      |
| PHG   | Personal Health Gateway                               |
| PICS  | Protocol Implementation Conformance Statement         |
| PIXIT | Protocol Implementation extra Information for Testing |
| SABTE | Sleep Apnoea Breathing Therapy Equipment              |
| SCR   | Static Conformance Review                             |
| SDP   | Service Discovery Protocol                            |
| SOAP  | Simple Object Access Protocol                         |
| TCRL  | Test Case Reference List                              |
| TCWG  | Test and Certification Working Group                  |
| TLS   | Transport Level Security                              |
| TP    | Test Purpose  |
| TSS   | Test Suite Structure                                  |
| USB   | Universal Serial Bus                                  |
| WAN   | Wide Area Network                                     |
| WDM   | Windows Driver Model                                  |
| WS    | Web Service   |
| WSDL  | Web Service Description Language                      |
| XML   | extensible Markup Language                            |

## 5 Conventions

The key words "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "MAY", "MAY NOT" in this Recommendation are to be interpreted as in [b-ETSI SR 001 262].

- SHALL is equivalent to 'must' or 'it is required to'.
- SHALL NOT is equivalent to 'must not' or 'it is not allowed'.
- SHOULD is equivalent to 'it is recommended to'.

- SHOULD NOT is equivalent to 'it is not recommended to'.
- MAY is equivalent to 'is permitted'.
- MAY NOT is equivalent to 'it is not required that'.

NOTE – The above-mentioned key words are capitalized for illustrative purposes only and they do not appear capitalized within this Recommendation.

Reference is made in the ITU-T H.800-series of Recommendations to different versions of the Continua Design Guidelines (CDG) by a specific designation. The list of terms that may be used in this Recommendation is provided in Table 1.

**Table 1 – List of designations associated with the various versions of the CDG**

| CDG release      | Transposed as          | Version | Description  | Designation |
|------------------|------------------------|---------|--|-------------|
| 2016 plus errata | [ITU-T H.810 (2016)]   | 6.1     | Release 2016 plus errata noting all ratified bugs [b-CDG 2016].  | –           |
| 2016             | –                      | 6.0     | Release 2016 of the CDG including maintenance updates of the CDG 2015 and additional guidelines that cover new functionalities.              | Iris        |
| 2015 plus errata | [b-ITU-T H.810 (2015)] | 5.1     | Release 2015 plus errata noting all ratified bugs [b-CDG 2015]. The 2013 edition of H.810 is split into eight parts in the H.810-series.     | –           |
| 2015             | –                      | 5.0     | Release 2015 of the CDG including maintenance updates of the CDG 2013 and additional guidelines that cover new functionalities.              | Genome      |
| 2013 plus errata | [b-ITU-T H.810 (2013)] | 4.1     | Release 2013 plus errata noting all ratified bugs [b-CDG 2013].  | –           |
| 2013             | –                      | 4.0     | Release 2013 of the CDG including maintenance updates of the CDG 2012 and additional guidelines that cover new functionalities.              | Endorphin   |
| 2012 plus errata | –                      | 3.1     | Release 2012 plus errata noting all ratified bugs [b-CDG 2012].  | –           |
| 2012             | –                      | 3.0     | Release 2012 of the CDG including maintenance updates of the CDG 2011 and additional guidelines that cover new functionalities.              | Catalyst    |
| 2011 plus errata | –                      | 2.1     | CDG 2011 integrated with identified errata.  | –           |
| 2011             | –                      | 2.0     | Release 2011 of the CDG including maintenance updates of the CDG 2010 and additional guidelines that cover new functionalities [b-CDG 2011]. | Adrenaline  |
| 2010 plus errata | –                      | 1.6     | CDG 2010 integrated with identified errata   | –           |
| 2010             | –                      | 1.5     | Release 2010 of the CDG with maintenance updates of the CDG Version 1 and additional guidelines that cover new functionalities [b-CDG 2010]. | 1.5         |

**Table 1 – List of designations associated with the various versions of the CDG**

| CDG release | Transposed as | Version | Description                                    | Designation |
|-------------|---------------|---------|--|-------------|
| 1.0         | –             | 1.0     | First released version of the CDG [b-CDG 1.0]. | –           |

## 6 Test suite structure (TSS)

The test purposes (TPs) for the Services interface have been divided into the main subgroups specified below. Annex A describes the TPs for subgroups 1.2 and 1.3 (shown in bold):

- Group 1: HFS sender (HFSS)
  - Group 1.1: Web services interoperability (WSI)
    - Subgroup 1.1.1: Basic profile (BP)
    - Subgroup 1.1.2: Basic security profile (BSP)
    - Subgroup 1.1.3: Reliable messaging (RM)
  - **Group 1.2: Simple object access protocol (SOAP)**
    - **Subgroup 1.2.1: SOAP headers (HEAD)**
  - **Group 1.3: Audit trail and node authentication (ATNA)**
    - **Subgroup 1.3.1: General (GEN)**
    - **Subgroup 1.3.2: PCD-01 (PCD-01)**
    - **Subgroup 1.3.3: Consent Management (CM)**
  - Group 1.4: PCD-01 HL7 messages (PCD-01-DATA)
    - Subgroup 1.4.1: General (GEN)
    - Subgroup 1.4.2: Design guidelines (DG)
    - Subgroup 1.4.3: Pulse oximeter (PO)
    - Subgroup 1.4.4: Blood pressure monitor (BPM)
    - Subgroup 1.4.5: Thermometer (TH)
    - Subgroup 1.4.6: Weighing scales (WEG)
    - Subgroup 1.4.7: Glucose meter (GL)
    - Subgroup 1.4.8: Cardiovascular fitness and activity monitor (CV)
    - Subgroup 1.4.9: Strength fitness equipment (ST)
    - Subgroup 1.4.10: Independent living activity hub (HUB)
    - Subgroup 1.4.11: Adherence monitor (AM)
    - Subgroup 1.4.12: Peak expiratory flow monitor (PF)
    - Subgroup 1.4.13: Body composition analyser (BCA)
    - Subgroup 1.4.14: Basic electrocardiograph (ECG)
    - Subgroup 1.4.15: International normalized ratio (INR)
    - Subgroup 1.4.16: Sleep apnoea breathing therapy equipment (SABTE)
    - Subgroup 1.4.17: Insulin pump (IP)
    - Subgroup 1.4.18: Continuous glucose monitor (CGM)
  - Group 1.5: Consent Management (CM)
    - Subgroup 1.5.1: HFS XDR transaction (TRANS)

- Subgroup 1.5.2: HFS metadata validation (META)
- Subgroup 1.5.3: HFS consent directive validation (CDV)
- Group 1.6: hData Observation Upload (HDATA)
  - Subgroup 1.6.1: General (GEN)
- Group 1.7: Questionnaires (QUE)
  - Subgroup 1.7.1: General (GEN)
  - Subgroup 1.7.2: CDA validation (CDA)
- Group 2: HFS receiver (HFSR)
  - Group 2.1: Web service interoperability (WSI)
    - Subgroup 2.1.1: Basic profile (BP)
    - Subgroup 2.1.2: Basic security profile (BSP)
    - Subgroup 2.1.3: Reliable messaging (RM)
  - Group 2.2: SOAP (SOAP)
    - Subgroup 2.2.1: SOAP headers (HEAD)
  - Group 2.3: Audit (ATNA)
    - Subgroup 2.3.1: General (GEN)
    - Subgroup 2.3.2: PCD-01 (PCD-01)
    - Subgroup 2.3.3: Consent Management (CM)
  - Group 2.4: PCD-01 HL7 messages (PCD-01-DATA)
    - Subgroup 2.4.1: General (GEN)
    - Subgroup 2.4.2: Design guidelines (DG)
    - Subgroup 2.4.3: Pulse oximeter (PO)
    - Subgroup 2.4.4: Blood pressure monitor (BPM)
    - Subgroup 2.4.5: Thermometer (TH)
    - Subgroup 2.4.6: Weighing scales (WEG)
    - Subgroup 2.4.7: Glucose meter (GL)
    - Subgroup 2.4.8: Cardiovascular fitness and activity monitor (CV)
    - Subgroup 2.4.9: Strength fitness equipment (ST)
    - Subgroup 2.4.10: Independent living activity hub (HUB)
    - Subgroup 2.4.11: Adherence monitor (AM)
    - Subgroup 2.4.12: Peak expiratory flow monitor (PF)
    - Subgroup 2.4.13: Body composition analyser (BCA)
    - Subgroup 2.4.14: Basic electrocardiograph (ECG)
    - Subgroup 2.4.15: International normalized ratio (INR)
    - Subgroup 2.4.16: Sleep apnoea breathing therapy equipment (SABTE)
    - Subgroup 2.4.17: Insulin pump (IP)
    - Subgroup 2.4.18: Continuous glucose monitor (CGM)
  - Group 2.5: Consent Management (CM)
    - Subgroup 2.5.1: HFS XDR transaction (TRANS)
    - Subgroup 2.5.2: HFS service validation (SER)
  - Group 2.6: hData Observation Upload (HDATA)

- Subgroup 2.6.1: General (GEN)
- Subgroup 2.6.2: hData record format (HRF)
- Group 2.7: Questionnaires (QUE)
  - Subgroup 2.7.1: General (GEN)
  - Subgroup 2.7.2: CDA validation (CDA)
  - Subgroup 2.7.3: hData record format (HRF)

## **7 Electronic attachment**

The protocol implementation conformance statements (PICS) and the protocol implementation extra information for testing (PIXIT) required for the implementation of Annex A can be downloaded from <http://handle.itu.int/11.1002/2000/12067>.

In the electronic attachment, letters "C" and "I" in the column labelled "Mandatory" are used to distinguish between "PICS" and "PIXIT" respectively during testing. If the cell is empty, the corresponding PICS is "independent". If the field contains a "C", the corresponding PICS is dependent on other PICS, and the logical expression is detailed in the "SCR\_Expression" field. The static conformance review (SCR) is used in the test tool to assert whether the PICS selection is consistent.

## Annex A

### Test purposes

(This annex forms an integral part of this Recommendation.)

#### A.1 TP definition conventions

The test purposes (TPs) are defined according to the following rules:

- **TP Id:** This is a unique identifier (TP/<TT>/<DUT>/<GR>/<SGR>/<XX> – <NNN>). It is specified according to the naming convention defined below:
  - Each test purpose identifier is introduced by the prefix "TP".
  - <TT>: This is the test tool that will be used in the test case.
    - HFS: Health & Fitness Services Interface
  - <DUT>: This is the device under test.
    - SEN: HFS sender
    - REC: HFS receiver
  - <GR>: This identifies a group of test cases.
  - <SGR>: This identifies a subgroup of test cases.
  - <XX>: This identifies the type of testing.
    - BV: Valid behaviour test
    - BI: Invalid behaviour test
  - <NNN>: This is a sequential number that identifies the test purpose.
- **TP label:** This is the title of the TP.
- **Coverage:** This contains the specification reference and clause to be checked by the TP.
  - Spec: This indicates the earliest version of the specification from which the testable items to be checked by the TP were included.
  - Testable item: This contains testable items to be checked by the TP.
- **Test purpose:** This is a description of the requirements to be tested.
- **Applicability:** This contains the PICS items that define if the test case is applicable or not for a specific device. When a TP contains an "ALL" in this field it means that it applies to the device under test within that scope of the test (specialization, transport used, etc.).
- **Other PICS:** This contains additional PICS items (apart from the PICS specified in the Applicability row) which are used within the test case implementation and can modify the final verdict. When this row is empty, it means that only the PICS specified in the Applicability row are used within the test case implementation.
- **Initial condition:** This indicates the state to which the DUT needs to be moved at the beginning of TC execution.
- **Test procedure:** This describes the steps to be followed in order to execute the test case.
- **Pass/Fail criteria:** This provides criteria to decide whether the DUT passes or fails the test case.

## A.2 Subgroup 1.2.1: SOAP headers (HEAD)

|                           |                       |  |               |  |
|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|---------------|--|
| <b>TP Id</b>              |                       | TP/HFS/SEN/SOAP/HEAD/BV-001  |               |  |
| <b>TP label</b>           |                       | Requirements for Transactions which do not use HL7 V3 Messages   |               |  |
| <b>Coverage</b>           | <b>Spec</b>           | [IHE ITI TF-2], Volume 2x, Appendix V  |               |  |
|                           | <b>Testable items</b> | IHE-WSA101; M  | IHE-WSA102; M |  |
| <b>Test purpose</b>       |                       | <p>Check that:</p> <p>All &lt;wsa:Action&gt; elements shall have the mustUnderstand attribute set (mustUnderstand='1') [AND]</p> <p>The &lt;wsa:ReplyTo&gt; element of the initiating message shall be present and shall have the mustUnderstand attribute set (mustUnderstand='1').</p>   |               |  |
| <b>Applicability</b>      |                       | C_SEN_000 AND C_SEN_GEN_003  |               |  |
| <b>Other PICS</b>         |                       |  |               |  |
| <b>Initial condition</b>  |                       | The simulated HFS receiver has published a WebService that allows a TLS v1.0 connection and supports SAML 2.0 as an authentication token only and the HFS sender under test is ready to send a SOAP message.   |               |  |
| <b>Test procedure</b>     |                       | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The HFS sender under test sends a SOAP message to the HFS receiver using addressing header blocks.</li> <li>Check that: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> All &lt;wsa:Action&gt; elements have the mustUnderstand attribute set (mustUnderstand='1' or 'true').</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> The &lt;wsa:ReplyTo&gt; element of the initiating message shall be present and shall have the mustUnderstand attribute set (mustUnderstand='1').</li> </ul> </li> </ol> |               |  |
| <b>Pass/Fail criteria</b> |                       | All elements are as specified in step 2.   |               |  |
| <b>Notes</b>              |                       |  |               |  |

## A.3 Subgroup 1.3.1: ATNA general (GEN)

|                          |                       |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| <b>TP Id</b>             |                       | TP/HFS/SEN/ATNA/GEN/BV-006   |  |  |
| <b>TP label</b>          |                       | Reliable Syslog ATNA Actor behaviour   |  |  |
| <b>Coverage</b>          | <b>Spec</b>           | [IHE ITI TF-2]   |  |  |
|                          | <b>Testable items</b> | Audit_MT-1; M  |  |  |
| <b>Test purpose</b>      |                       | <p>Check that:</p> <p>If Audit Record repository is not available, the HFS actor shall store the Audit Record in a local buffer until the Audit Record Repository is available again</p>   |  |  |
| <b>Applicability</b>     |                       | C_SEN_000 AND C_SEN_GEN_001 AND C_SEN_ATNA_001   |  |  |
| <b>Other PICS</b>        |                       | C_SEN_GEN_003, C_SEN_GEN_004   |  |  |
| <b>Initial condition</b> |                       | The simulated HFS receiver has a WebService enabled for PCD-01 message reception; if needed, another WebService is enabled for consent document reception; the simulated audit repository with reliable syslog transport is intentionally disabled; and the HFS sender under test is shutdown. |  |  |

|                           |  |
|---------------------------|--|
| <b>Test procedure</b>     | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The HFS sender application under test is started and it sends the corresponding audit record message to the audit repository. Since the simulated audit repository receiver is disabled, the message will not be delivered.</li> <li>2. Wait for one minute.</li> <li>3. The test tool starts the simulated audit repository.</li> <li>4. Force the HFS sender under test to send a SOAP message (PCD-01 message, consent document or both).</li> <li>5. The test tool receives the SOAP messages and the audit record messages sent by HFS sender under test.</li> </ol>  |
| <b>Pass/Fail criteria</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• At least 2 audit record messages must be received by the simulated audit repository, one for the HFS sender start action (step 1) and another for the SOAP message sent in step 4.</li> <li>• There is at least one audit record with attribute "code" of the element EventID set to "110106" (PHI-export) and the EventDateTime attribute of the EventIdentification element is set to the expedition time of the SOAP message sent in step 4.</li> <li>• There is one audit record with attribute "code" of the element EventID set to "110120" (start action) and the EventDateTime attribute of the EventIdentification element is set to at least one minute before the expedition time of the SOAP message sent in step 4.</li> </ul> |
| <b>Notes</b>              | In step 4 the way to force the WAN sender to send the pendant audit record that was not delivered in step 1, depends on the vendor implementation. A typical strategy could be to send another WAN message and its corresponding ATNA record. In this way, when the HFS sender under test sends the ATNA record PHI-export then it would send the pendant audit record along with the newer one.   |

#### A.4 Subgroup 1.3.2: ATNA PCD-01 (PCD-01)

|                 |   |                    |                  |                 |
|-----------------|---|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>TP Id</b>    | TP/HFS/SEN/ATNA/PCD-01/BV-000             |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>TP label</b> | PCD-01 – Reliable Syslog ATNA Actor Start |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>Coverage</b> | <b>Spec</b>                               | [IHE ITI TF-2]     |                  |                 |
|                 | <b>Testable items</b>                     | AuditMess-2; R     | AuditMess-3; M   | ActTrans-8; O   |
|                 |   | ActTrans-6; O      | ATNA_IP-2; O     | ATNA_PF-1; M    |
|                 |   | ChainTrust-2; M    | DirectCert-1; M  | DirectCert-2; M |
|                 |   | DirectCert-3; M    | Trigg_Event-1; M | Audit_RF-1; M   |
|                 |   | Rel_Syslog-1; M    | Rel_Syslog-2; M  |                 |
|                 | <b>Spec</b>                               | [ITU-T H.812]      |                  |                 |
|                 | <b>Testable items</b>                     | SecGuidelines 3; O |                  |                 |
|                 | <b>Spec</b>                               | [IETF RFC 3881]    |                  |                 |
|                 | <b>Testable items</b>                     | SAAAM-DD-01; M     | SAAAM-DD-02; O   | SAAAM-DD-03; M  |
|                 |   | SAAAM-DD-04; M     | SAAAM-DD-05; O   | SAAAM-DD-06; M  |
|                 |   | SAAAM-DD-07; O     | SAAAM-DD-08; O   | SAAAM-DD-09; O  |
|                 |   | SAAAM-DD-10; O     | SAAAM-DD-11; O   | SAAAM-DD-12; O  |
|                 |   | SAAAM-DD-13; O     | SAAAM-DD-14; M   | SAAAM-DD-15; O  |



|                           |   |                |                |
|---------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|
|                           | SAAAM-DD-16; O  | SAAAM-DD-17; O | SAAAM-DD-18; O |
|                           | SAAAM-DD-19; M  | SAAAM-DD-20; O | SAAAM-DD-21; M |
| <b>Test purpose</b>       | Check that:<br>When SUT starts the application then audit log start message is received from the SUT and it is conformant to the ATNA specifications  |                |                |
| <b>Applicability</b>      | C_SEN_000 AND C_SEN_GEN_001 AND C_SEN_ATNA_001  |                |                |
| <b>Other PICS</b>         | C_SEN_GEN_003, C_SEN_GEN_004  |                |                |
| <b>Initial condition</b>  | The simulated HFS receiver has a WebService enabled for PCD-01 message reception and a simulated audit repository with reliable syslog transport is running. The WAN sender under test is shutdown.   |                |                |
| <b>Test procedure</b>     | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The WAN sender application under test is started and it sends the corresponding audit record message to the audit repository.</li> <li>2. The audit repository receives the audit record message and verifies that: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. TLS is used and the encryption suite is TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA</li> <li>b. It conforms to reliable syslog's cooked profile [IETF RFC 3195]</li> </ol> </li> </ol> |                |                |
| <b>Pass/Fail criteria</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The ATNA XML log file conforms to the [IETF RFC 3881] schema included in Annex B.</li> <li>• In the audit record, the attribute "code" of the element EventID is set to "110120" and the attribute "displayName" of the EventTypeCode element is set to "Communicate PCD Data".</li> <li>• The received audit message conforms to the reliable syslog's cooked profile [IETF RFC 3195].</li> </ul>                                     |                |                |
| <b>Notes</b>              |   |                |                |

|                       |                                      |                    |                  |                 |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>TP Id</b>          | TP/HFS/SEN/ATNA/PCD-01/BV-001        |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>TP label</b>       | PCD-01 – BSD Syslog ATNA Actor Start |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>Coverage</b>       | <b>Spec</b>                          | [IHE ITI TF-2]     |                  |                 |
|                       | <b>Testable items</b>                | AuditMess-2; R     | AuditMess-3; M   | ActTrans-8; O   |
|                       |                                      | ActTrans-6; O      | ATNA_IP-2; O     | ATNA_PF-1; M    |
|                       |                                      | ChainTrust-2; M    | DirectCert-1; M  | DirectCert-2; M |
|                       |                                      | DirectCert-3; M    | Trigg_Event-1; M | Audit_RF-1; M   |
|                       |                                      | BSD_Syslog-1; O    | BSD_Syslog-2; M  | BSD_Syslog-3; M |
|                       |                                      | BSD_Syslog-4; M    | BSD_Syslog-5; R  | BSD_Syslog-6; O |
|                       | <b>Spec</b>                          | [ITU-T H.812]      |                  |                 |
|                       | <b>Testable items</b>                | SecGuidelines 3; O |                  |                 |
|                       | <b>Spec</b>                          | [IETF RFC 3881]    |                  |                 |
| <b>Testable items</b> | SAAAM-DD-01; M                       | SAAAM-DD-02; O     | SAAAM-DD-03; M   |                 |
|                       | SAAAM-DD-04; M                       | SAAAM-DD-05; O     | SAAAM-DD-06; M   |                 |

|                           |   |                |                |                |
|---------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-07; O | SAAAM-DD-08; O | SAAAM-DD-09; O |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-10; O | SAAAM-DD-11; O | SAAAM-DD-12; O |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-13; O | SAAAM-DD-14; M | SAAAM-DD-15; O |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-16; O | SAAAM-DD-17; O | SAAAM-DD-18; O |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-19; M | SAAAM-DD-20; O | SAAAM-DD-21; M |
| <b>Test purpose</b>       | Check that:<br>When SUT starts the application then audit log start message is received from the SUT and it is conformant to the ATNA specifications  |                |                |                |
| <b>Applicability</b>      | C_SEN_000 AND C_SEN_GEN_001 AND C_SEN_ATNA_002  |                |                |                |
| <b>Other PICS</b>         | C_SEN_GEN_003, C_SEN_GEN_004  |                |                |                |
| <b>Initial condition</b>  | The simulated HFS receiver has a WebService enabled for PCD-01 message reception and a simulated audit repository with BSD syslog transport is running. The HFS sender under test is shutdown.  |                |                |                |
| <b>Test procedure</b>     | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The HFS sender application under test is started and it sends the corresponding audit record message to the audit repository.</li> <li>2. The audit repository receives the audit record message and verifies that it conforms to BSD syslog [b-IETF RFC 3164].</li> </ol>  |                |                |                |
| <b>Pass/Fail criteria</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The ATNA XML log file conforms to the [IETF RFC 3881] schema included in Annex B.</li> <li>• In the audit record, the attribute "code" of the element EventID is set to "110120" and the attribute "displayName" of the EventTypeCode element is set to "Communicate PCD Data".</li> <li>• The received audit message conforms to BSD syslog [b-IETF RFC 3164].</li> </ul> |                |                |                |
| <b>Notes</b>              |   |                |                |                |

|                 |  |                    |                   |                 |
|-----------------|--|--------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| <b>TP Id</b>    | TP/HFS/SEN/ATNA/PCD-01/BV-002                  |                    |                   |                 |
| <b>TP label</b> | PCD-01 – Reliable Syslog ATNA Actor PHI-export |                    |                   |                 |
| <b>Coverage</b> | <b>Spec</b>                                    | [IHE ITI TF-2]     |                   |                 |
|                 | <b>Testable items</b>                          | AuditMess-2; R     | AuditMess-3; M    | ActTrans-8; O   |
|                 |  | ActTrans-6; O      | ATNA_IP-2; O      | ATNA_PF-1; M    |
|                 |  | ChainTrust-2; M    | DirectCert-1; M   | DirectCert-2; M |
|                 |  | DirectCert-3; M    | Trigg_Event-15; M | Audit_RF-1; M   |
|                 |  | Rel_Syslog-1; M    | Rel_Syslog-2; M   |                 |
|                 | <b>Spec</b>                                    | [ITU-T H.812]      |                   |                 |
|                 | <b>Testable items</b>                          | SecGuidelines 3; O |                   |                 |
|                 | <b>Spec</b>                                    | [IETF RFC 3881]    |                   |                 |
|                 | <b>Testable items</b>                          | SAAAM-DD-01; M     | SAAAM-DD-02; O    | SAAAM-DD-03; M  |
| SAAAM-DD-04; M  |  | SAAAM-DD-05; O     | SAAAM-DD-06; M    |                 |

|                           |   |                |                |                |
|---------------------------|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-07; O | SAAAM-DD-08; O | SAAAM-DD-09; O |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-10; O | SAAAM-DD-11; O | SAAAM-DD-12; O |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-13; O | SAAAM-DD-14; M | SAAAM-DD-15; O |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-16; O | SAAAM-DD-17; O | SAAAM-DD-18; O |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-19; M | SAAAM-DD-20; O | SAAAM-DD-21; M |
| <b>Test purpose</b>       | <p>Check that:</p> <p>When SUT sends a PCD-01 ORU message, then an audit log PHI-export message is received from the SUT and it is conformant to the ATNA specifications</p>  |                |                |                |
| <b>Applicability</b>      | C_SEN_000 AND C_SEN_GEN_001 AND C_SEN_ATNA_001  |                |                |                |
| <b>Other PICS</b>         | C_SEN_GEN_003, C_SEN_GEN_004  |                |                |                |
| <b>Initial condition</b>  | The simulated HFS receiver has a WebService enabled for PCD-01 message reception and a simulated audit repository with reliable syslog transport is running. The HFS sender under test has a PCD-01 message ready to be sent.   |                |                |                |
| <b>Test procedure</b>     | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The HFS sender application under test sends a PCD-01 message to the simulated HFS receiver and the corresponding audit record message to the audit repository.</li> <li>2. The simulated HFS receiver receives the PCD-01 message.</li> <li>3. The audit repository receives the audit record message and verifies that: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. TLS is used and the encryption suite is TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA</li> <li>b. It conforms to the reliable syslog's cooked profile [IETF RFC 3195]</li> </ol> </li> </ol>  |                |                |                |
| <b>Pass/Fail criteria</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The ATNA XML log file conforms to the [IETF RFC 3881] schema included in Annex B.</li> <li>• In the audit record, the attribute "code" of the element EventID is set to "110106" and the attribute "displayName" of the EventTypeCode element is set to "Communicate PCD Data".</li> <li>• In the audit record, the value of the attribute EventDateTime of the element EventIdentification is inside a one minute interval of the Date and Time indicated in the MSH-7 field of the received PCD-01 message.</li> <li>• The received audit message conforms to the reliable syslog's cooked profile [IETF RFC 3195].</li> </ul> |                |                |                |
| <b>Notes</b>              |   |                |                |                |

|                 |   |                 |                   |
|-----------------|---|-----------------|-------------------|
| <b>TP Id</b>    | TP/HFS/SEN/ATNA/PCD-01/BV-003             |                 |                   |
| <b>TP label</b> | PCD-01 – BSD Syslog ATNA Actor PHI-export |                 |                   |
| <b>Coverage</b> | <b>Spec</b>                               | [IHE ITI TF-2]  |                   |
|                 | <b>Testable items</b>                     | AuditMess-2; R  | AuditMess-3; M    |
|                 |   | ActTrans-6; O   | ActTrans-8; O     |
|                 |   | ChainTrust-2; M | ATNA_IP-2; O      |
|                 |   | DirectCert-3; M | ATNA_PF-1; M      |
|                 |   | BSD_Syslog-1; O | DirectCert-1; M   |
|                 |   | BSD_Syslog-4; M | DirectCert-2; M   |
|                 |   |                 | Trigg_Event-15; M |
|                 |   |                 | Audit_RF-1; M     |
|                 |   |                 | BSD_Syslog-2; M   |
|                 |   |                 | BSD_Syslog-3; M   |
|                 |   |                 | BSD_Syslog-5; R   |
|                 |   |                 | BSD_Syslog-6; O   |

|                           |   |                    |                |                |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------|----------------|----------------|
|                           | <b>Spec</b>   | [ITU-T H.812]      |                |                |
|                           | <b>Testable items</b>   | SecGuidelines 3; O |                |                |
|                           | <b>Spec</b>   | [IETF RFC 3881]    |                |                |
|                           | <b>Testable items</b>   | SAAAM-DD-01; M     | SAAAM-DD-02; O | SAAAM-DD-03; M |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-04; M     | SAAAM-DD-05; O | SAAAM-DD-06; M |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-07; O     | SAAAM-DD-08; O | SAAAM-DD-09; O |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-10; O     | SAAAM-DD-11; O | SAAAM-DD-12; O |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-13; O     | SAAAM-DD-14; M | SAAAM-DD-15; O |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-16; O     | SAAAM-DD-17; O | SAAAM-DD-18; O |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-19; M     | SAAAM-DD-20; O | SAAAM-DD-21; M |
| <b>Test purpose</b>       | <p>Check that:</p> <p>When SUT sends a PCD-01 ORU message, then an audit log PHI-export message is received from the SUT and it is conformant to the ATNA specifications</p>  |                    |                |                |
| <b>Applicability</b>      | C_SEN_000 AND C_C_SEN_GEN_001 AND SEN_ATNA_002  |                    |                |                |
| <b>Other PICS</b>         | C_SEN_GEN_003, C_SEN_GEN_004  |                    |                |                |
| <b>Initial condition</b>  | The simulated HFS receiver has a WebService enabled for PCD-01 message reception and a simulated audit repository with BSD syslog transport is running. The HFS sender under test has a PCD-01 message ready to be sent.  |                    |                |                |
| <b>Test procedure</b>     | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The HFS sender application under test sends a PCD-01 message to the simulated HFS receiver and the corresponding audit record message to the audit repository.</li> <li>2. The simulated HFS receiver receives the PCD-01 message.</li> <li>3. The audit repository receives the audit record message and verifies that it conforms to BSD syslog [b-IETF RFC 3164].</li> </ol>   |                    |                |                |
| <b>Pass/Fail criteria</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The ATNA XML log file conforms to the [IETF RFC 3881] schema included in Annex B.</li> <li>• In the audit record, the attribute "code" of the element EventID is set to "110106" and the attribute "displayName" of the EventTypeCode element is set to "Communicate PCD Data".</li> <li>• In the audit record, the value of the attribute EventDateTime of the element EventIdentification is inside a one minute interval of the Date and Time indicated in the MSH-7 field of the received PCD-01 message.</li> <li>• The received audit message conforms to the BSD syslog [b-IETF RFC 3164].</li> </ul> |                    |                |                |
| <b>Notes</b>              |   |                    |                |                |

|                 |  |                |                |               |
|-----------------|--|----------------|----------------|---------------|
| <b>TP Id</b>    | TP/HFS/SEN/ATNA/PCD-01/BV-004            |                |                |               |
| <b>TP label</b> | PCD-01 – Reliable Syslog ATNA Actor Stop |                |                |               |
| <b>Coverage</b> | <b>Spec</b>                              | [IHE ITI TF-2] |                |               |
|                 | <b>Testable items</b>                    | AuditMess-2; R | AuditMess-3; M | ActTrans-8; O |
|                 |  | ActTrans-6; O  | ATNA_IP-2; O   | ATNA_PF-1; M  |

|                           |  |                    |                  |                 |
|---------------------------|--|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
|                           |  | ChainTrust-2; M    | DirectCert-1; M  | DirectCert-2; M |
|                           |  | DirectCert-3; M    | Trigg_Event-1; M | Audit_RF-1; M   |
|                           |  | Rel_Syslog-1; M    | Rel_Syslog-2; M  |                 |
|                           | <b>Spec</b>  | [ITU-T H.812]      |                  |                 |
|                           | <b>Testable items</b>  | SecGuidelines 3; O |                  |                 |
|                           | <b>Spec</b>  | [IETF RFC 3881]    |                  |                 |
|                           | <b>Testable items</b>  | SAAAM-DD-01; M     | SAAAM-DD-02; O   | SAAAM-DD-03; M  |
|                           |  | SAAAM-DD-04; M     | SAAAM-DD-05; O   | SAAAM-DD-06; M  |
|                           |  | SAAAM-DD-07; O     | SAAAM-DD-08; O   | SAAAM-DD-09; O  |
|                           |  | SAAAM-DD-10; O     | SAAAM-DD-11; O   | SAAAM-DD-12; O  |
|                           |  | SAAAM-DD-13; O     | SAAAM-DD-14; M   | SAAAM-DD-15; O  |
|                           |  | SAAAM-DD-16; O     | SAAAM-DD-17; O   | SAAAM-DD-18; O  |
|                           |  | SAAAM-DD-19; M     | SAAAM-DD-20; O   | SAAAM-DD-21; M  |
| <b>Test purpose</b>       | <p>Check that:</p> <p>When SUT stops the application then audit log stop message is received from the SUT and it is conformant to the ATNA specifications</p>  |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>Applicability</b>      | C_SEN_000 AND C_SEN_GEN_001 AND C_SEN_ATNA_001   |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>Other PICS</b>         | C_SEN_GEN_003, C_SEN_GEN_004   |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>Initial condition</b>  | The simulated HFS receiver has a WebService enabled for PCD-01 message reception and a simulated audit repository with reliable syslog transport is running. The HFS sender under test is running.   |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>Test procedure</b>     | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The HFS sender application under test shuts down the application and sends the corresponding audit record message to the audit repository.</li> <li>2. The audit repository receives the audit record message and verifies that: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. TLS is used and the encryption suite is TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA</li> <li>b. It conforms to the reliable syslog's cooked profile [IETF RFC 3195]</li> </ol> </li> </ol> |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>Pass/Fail criteria</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The ATNA XML log file conforms to the [IETF RFC 3881] schema included in Annex B.</li> <li>• In the audit record, the attribute "code" of the element EventID is set to "110121" and the attribute "displayName" of the EventTypeCode element is set to "Communicate PCD Data".</li> <li>• The received audit message conforms to the reliable syslog's cooked profile [IETF RFC 3195].</li> </ul>  |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>Notes</b>              |  |                    |                  |                 |

|                 |                                     |
|-----------------|-------------------------------------|
| <b>TP Id</b>    | TP/HFS/SEN/ATNA/PCD-01/BV-005       |
| <b>TP label</b> | PCD-01 – BSD Syslog ATNA Actor Stop |

|                           |   |                    |                  |                 |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| <b>Coverage</b>           | <b>Spec</b>   | [IHE ITI TF-2]     |                  |                 |
|                           | <b>Testable items</b>   | AuditMess-2; R     | AuditMess-3; M   | ActTrans-8; O   |
|                           |   | ActTrans-6; O      | ATNA_IP-2; O     | ATNA_PF-1; M    |
|                           |   | ChainTrust-2; M    | DirectCert-1; M  | DirectCert-2; M |
|                           |   | DirectCert-3; M    | Trigg_Event-1; M | Audit_RF-1; M   |
|                           |   | BSD_Syslog-1; O    | BSD_Syslog-2; M  | BSD_Syslog-3; M |
|                           |   | BSD_Syslog-4; M    | BSD_Syslog-5; R  | BSD_Syslog-6; O |
|                           | <b>Spec</b>   | [ITU-T H.812]      |                  |                 |
|                           | <b>Testable items</b>   | SecGuidelines 3; O |                  |                 |
|                           | <b>Spec</b>   | [IETF RFC 3881]    |                  |                 |
|                           | <b>Testable items</b>   | SAAAM-DD-01; M     | SAAAM-DD-02; O   | SAAAM-DD-03; M  |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-04; M     | SAAAM-DD-05; O   | SAAAM-DD-06; M  |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-07; O     | SAAAM-DD-08; O   | SAAAM-DD-09; O  |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-10; O     | SAAAM-DD-11; O   | SAAAM-DD-12; O  |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-13; O     | SAAAM-DD-14; M   | SAAAM-DD-15; O  |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-16; O     | SAAAM-DD-17; O   | SAAAM-DD-18; O  |
|                           |   | SAAAM-DD-19; M     | SAAAM-DD-20; O   | SAAAM-DD-21; M  |
| <b>Test purpose</b>       | <p>Check that:</p> <p>When SUT stops the application then audit log stop message is received from the SUT and it is conformant to the ATNA specifications</p>   |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>Applicability</b>      | C_SEN_000 AND C_SEN_GEN_001 AND C_SEN_ATNA_002  |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>Other PICS</b>         | C_SEN_GEN_003, C_SEN_GEN_004  |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>Initial condition</b>  | The simulated HFS receiver has a WebService enabled for PCD-01 message reception and a simulated audit repository with BSD syslog transport is running. The HFS sender under test is running.   |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>Test procedure</b>     | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The HFS sender application under test shuts down the application and sends the corresponding audit record message to the audit repository.</li> <li>2. Audit repository receives the Audit Record Message and verifies that it conforms to BSD syslog [b-RFC 3164].</li> </ol>  |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>Pass/Fail criteria</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The ATNA XML log file conforms to the [IETF RFC 3881] schema included in Annex B.</li> <li>• In the audit record, the attribute "code" of the element EventID is set to "110121" and the attribute "displayName" of the EventTypeCode element is set to "Communicate PCD Data".</li> <li>• The received audit message conforms to BSD Syslog [b-IETF RFC 3164].</li> </ul> |                    |                  |                 |
| <b>Notes</b>              |   |                    |                  |                 |

## A.5 Subgroup 1.3.3: ATNA consent management (CM)

|                          |   |                           |                   |                 |
|--------------------------|---|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| <b>TP Id</b>             | TP/HFS/SEN/ATNA/CM/BV-000   |                           |                   |                 |
| <b>TP label</b>          | CM – Reliable Syslog ATNA Actor PHI-Export  |                           |                   |                 |
| <b>Coverage</b>          | <b>Spec</b>   | [IHE ITI TF-2], Volume 2a |                   |                 |
|                          | <b>Testable items</b>   | AuditMess-2; R            | AuditMess-3; M    | ActTrans-8; O   |
|                          |   | ActTrans-6; O             | ATNA_IP-2; O      | ATNA_PF-1; M    |
|                          |   | ChainTrust-2; M           | DirectCert-1; M   | DirectCert-2; M |
|                          |   | DirectCert-3; M           | Trigg_Event-15; M | Audit_RF-1; M   |
|                          |   | Rel_Syslog-1; M           | Rel_Syslog-2; M   |                 |
|                          | <b>Spec</b>   | [IHE ITI TF-2], Volume 2b |                   |                 |
|                          | <b>Testable items</b>   | ProvideAudit1; O          |                   |                 |
|                          | <b>Spec</b>   | [IETF RFC 3881]           |                   |                 |
|                          | <b>Testable items</b>   | SAAAM-DD-01; M            | SAAAM-DD-02; O    | SAAAM-DD-03; M  |
|                          |   | SAAAM-DD-04; M            | SAAAM-DD-05; O    | SAAAM-DD-06; M  |
|                          |   | SAAAM-DD-07; O            | SAAAM-DD-08; O    | SAAAM-DD-09; O  |
|                          |   | SAAAM-DD-10; O            | SAAAM-DD-11; O    | SAAAM-DD-12; O  |
| SAAAM-DD-13; O           |   | SAAAM-DD-14; M            | SAAAM-DD-15; O    |                 |
| SAAAM-DD-16; O           |   | SAAAM-DD-17; O            | SAAAM-DD-18; O    |                 |
| SAAAM-DD-19; M           |   | SAAAM-DD-20; O            | SAAAM-DD-21; M    |                 |
| <b>Test purpose</b>      | <p>Check that:</p> <p>When SUT sends a Consent Document, then an audit log PHI-export message is received from the SUT and it is conformant to the ATNA specifications</p>  |                           |                   |                 |
| <b>Applicability</b>     | C_SEN_000 AND C_SEN_GEN_001 AND C_SEN_ATNA_001 AND C_SEN_GEN_002 AND C_SEN_GEN_003  |                           |                   |                 |
| <b>Other PICS</b>        |   |                           |                   |                 |
| <b>Initial condition</b> | The simulated HFS receiver has a WebService enabled for PCD-01 message and consent document reception and a simulated audit repository with reliable syslog transport is running. The HFS sender under test has a consent document ready to be sent.  |                           |                   |                 |
| <b>Test procedure</b>    | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The HFS sender application under test sends a consent document and the corresponding audit record message to the audit repository.</li> <li>2. The audit repository receives the audit record message and verifies that: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. TLS is used and the encryption suite is TLS_RSA_WITH_AES_128_CBC_SHA</li> <li>b. It conforms to the reliable syslog's cooked profile [IETF RFC 3195]</li> </ol> </li> <li>3. The audit record includes the following elements: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. EventIdentification element that contains: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "EventActionCode" attribute set to "R"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the EventID sub-element with attributes "code" set to "110106" and</li> </ul> </li> </ol> </li> </ol> |                           |                   |                 |

|                           |  |
|---------------------------|--|
|                           | <p>"displayName" set to "Export"</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the EventTypeCode sub-element with attributes "code" set to "ITI-41", "displayName" set to "Provide and Register Document Set-b" and "codeSystemName" set to "IHE Transactions"</li> </ul> <p>b. An ActiveParticipant element that contains:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "UserIsRequestor" attribute set to "true"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "NetworkAccessPointTypeCode" attribute set to "1" or "2"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "AlternativeUserID" attribute is present</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the RoleIDCode sub-element with attributes "code" set to "110153" and "displayName" set to "Source"</li> </ul> <p>c. An ActiveParticipant element that contains:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "UserIsRequestor" attribute set to "false"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "NetworkAccessPointTypeCode" attribute set to "1" or "2"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the RoleIDCode sub-element with attributes "code" set to "110152" and "displayName" set to "Destination"</li> </ul> <p>d. A ParticipantObjectIdentification element that contains:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "ParticipantObjectID" attribute is present and not empty</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "ParticipantObjectTypeCode" attribute set to "1"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "ParticipantObjectTypeCodeRole" attribute set to "1"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the ParticipantObjectIDTypeCode sub-element with attributes "code" set to "2", "displayName" set to "Patient Number" and "codeSystemName" set to "RFC-3881"</li> </ul> <p>e. A ParticipantObjectIdentification element that contains:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "ParticipantObjectID" attribute is present and not empty</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "ParticipantObjectTypeCode" attribute set to "2"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "ParticipantObjectTypeCodeRole" attribute set to "20"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the ParticipantObjectIDTypeCode sub-element with attributes "code" set to "urn:uuid:a54d6aa5-d40d-43f9-88c5-b4633d873bdd", "displayName" set to "submission set classificationNode" and "codeSystemName" set to "IHE XDS Metadata"</li> </ul> |
| <b>Pass/Fail criteria</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The ATNA XML log file conforms to the [IETF RFC 3881] schema included in Annex B.</li> <li>• The audit record content is according to values described in step 4.</li> <li>• The received audit message conforms to the reliable syslog's cooked profile [IETF RFC 3195].</li> </ul>  |
| <b>Notes</b>              |  |

|                 |                                       |                           |                   |                 |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| <b>TP Id</b>    | TP/HFS/SEN/ATNA/CM/BV-001             |                           |                   |                 |
| <b>TP label</b> | CM – BSD Syslog ATNA Actor PHI-Export |                           |                   |                 |
| <b>Coverage</b> | <b>Spec</b>                           | [IHE ITI TF-2], Volume 2a |                   |                 |
|                 | <b>Testable items</b>                 | AuditMess-2; R            | AuditMess-3; M    | ActTrans-8; O   |
|                 |                                       | ActTrans-6; O             | ATNA_IP-2; O      | ATNA_PF-1; M    |
|                 |                                       | ChainTrust-2; M           | DirectCert-1; M   | DirectCert-2; M |
|                 |                                       | DirectCert-3; M           | Trigg_Event-15; M | Audit_RF-1; M   |
|                 |                                       | BSD_Syslog-1; O           | BSD_Syslog-2; M   | BSD_Syslog-3; M |



|                          |  |                 |                 |
|--------------------------|--|-----------------|-----------------|
|                          | BSD_Syslog-4; M  | BSD_Syslog-5; R | BSD_Syslog-6; O |
| <b>Spec</b>              | [IHE ITI TF-2], Volume 2b  |                 |                 |
| <b>Testable items</b>    | ProvideAudit1; O   |                 |                 |
| <b>Spec</b>              | [IETF RFC 3881]  |                 |                 |
| <b>Testable items</b>    | SAAAM-DD-01; M   | SAAAM-DD-02; O  | SAAAM-DD-03; M  |
|                          | SAAAM-DD-04; M   | SAAAM-DD-05; O  | SAAAM-DD-06; M  |
|                          | SAAAM-DD-07; O   | SAAAM-DD-08; O  | SAAAM-DD-09; O  |
|                          | SAAAM-DD-10; O   | SAAAM-DD-11; O  | SAAAM-DD-12; O  |
|                          | SAAAM-DD-13; O   | SAAAM-DD-14; M  | SAAAM-DD-15; O  |
|                          | SAAAM-DD-16; O   | SAAAM-DD-17; O  | SAAAM-DD-18; O  |
|                          | SAAAM-DD-19; M   | SAAAM-DD-20; O  | SAAAM-DD-21; M  |
| <b>Test purpose</b>      | <p>Check that:</p> <p>When SUT sends a Consent Document, then an audit log PHI-export message is received from the SUT and it is conformant to the ATNA specifications</p>   |                 |                 |
| <b>Applicability</b>     | C_SEN_000 AND C_SEN_GEN_001 AND C_SEN_ATNA_002 AND C_SEN_GEN_002 AND C_SEN_GEN_003   |                 |                 |
| <b>Other PICS</b>        |  |                 |                 |
| <b>Initial condition</b> | The simulated HFS receiver has a WebService enabled for PCD-01 message and consent document reception and a simulated audit repository with BSD syslog transport is running. The HFS sender under test has a consent document ready to be sent.  |                 |                 |
| <b>Test procedure</b>    | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The HFS sender application under test sends a consent document and the corresponding audit record message to the audit repository.</li> <li>2. The audit repository receives the audit record message and verifies that it conforms to BSD syslog [b-IETF RFC 3164].</li> <li>3. The audit record includes the following elements: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. the EventIdentification element that contains: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "EventActionCode" attribute set to "R"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the EventID sub-element with attributes "code" set to "110106" and "displayName" set to "Export"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the EventTypeCode sub-element with attributes "code" set to "ITI-41", "displayName" set to "Provide and Register Document Set-b" and "codeSystemName" set to "IHE Transactions"</li> </ul> </li> <li>b. An ActiveParticipant element that contains: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "UserIsRequestor" attribute set to "true"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "NetworkAccessPointTypeCode" attribute set to "1" or "2"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "AlternativeUserID" attribute is present</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the RoleIDCode sub-element with attributes "code" set to "110153" and "displayName" set to "Source"</li> </ul> </li> <li>c. An ActiveParticipant element that contains: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "UserIsRequestor" attribute set to "false"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "NetworkAccessPointTypeCode" attribute set to "1" or "2"</li> </ul> </li> </ol> </li> </ol> |                 |                 |

|                           |   |
|---------------------------|---|
|                           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the RoleIDCode sub-element with attributes "code" set to "110152" and "displayName" set to "Destination"</li> </ul> <p>d. A ParticipantObjectIdentification element that contains:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "ParticipantObjectID" attribute is present and not empty</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "ParticipantObjectTypeCode" attribute set to "1"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "ParticipantObjectTypeCodeRole" attribute set to "1"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the ParticipantObjectIDTypeCode sub-element with attributes "code" set to "2", "displayName" set to "Patient Number" and "codeSystemName" set to "RFC-3881"</li> </ul> <p>e. A ParticipantObjectIdentification element that contains:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "ParticipantObjectID" attribute is present and not empty</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "ParticipantObjectTypeCode" attribute set to "2"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the "ParticipantObjectTypeCodeRole" attribute set to "20"</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> the ParticipantObjectIDTypeCode sub-element with attributes "code" set to "urn:uuid:a54d6aa5-d40d-43f9-88c5-b4633d873bdd", "displayName" set to "submission set classificationNode" and "codeSystemName" set to "IHE XDS Metadata"</li> </ul> |
| <b>Pass/Fail criteria</b> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The ATNA XML log file conforms to the [IETF RFC 3881] schema included in Annex B.</li> <li>• The audit record content is according to values described in step 4.</li> <li>• The received audit message conforms to the BSD syslog [b-IETF RFC 3164].</li> </ul>   |
| <b>Notes</b>              |   |

## Annex B

### Schema for IETF RFC 3881 verification

(This annex forms an integral part of this Recommendation.)

```
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
  elementFormDefault="qualified" attributeFormDefault="unqualified">
  <xs:element name="AuditMessage">
    <xs:complexType>
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="EventIdentification"
          type="EventIdentificationType" />
        <xs:element name="ActiveParticipant"
          maxOccurs="unbounded">
          <xs:complexType>
            <xs:complexContent>
              <xs:extension base="ActiveParticipantType" />
            </xs:complexContent>
          </xs:complexType>
        </xs:element>
        <xs:element name="AuditSourceIdentification"
          type="AuditSourceIdentificationType"
maxOccurs="unbounded" />
        <xs:element name="ParticipantObjectIdentification"
          type="ParticipantObjectIdentificationType" minOccurs="0"
          maxOccurs="unbounded" />
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:complexType>
  </xs:element>
  <xs:complexType name="EventIdentificationType">
    <xs:sequence>
      <xs:element name="EventID" type="CodedValueType" />
      <xs:element name="EventTypeCode" type="CodedValueType"
        minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded" />
    </xs:sequence>
    <xs:attribute name="EventActionCode" use="optional">
      <xs:simpleType>
        <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
          <xs:enumeration value="C">
            <xs:annotation>
              <xs:appinfo>Create</xs:appinfo>
            </xs:annotation>
          </xs:enumeration>
          <xs:enumeration value="R">
            <xs:annotation>
              <xs:appinfo>Read</xs:appinfo>
            </xs:annotation>
          </xs:enumeration>
          <xs:enumeration value="U">
            <xs:annotation>
              <xs:appinfo>Update</xs:appinfo>
            </xs:annotation>
          </xs:enumeration>
          <xs:enumeration value="D">
            <xs:annotation>
              <xs:appinfo>Delete</xs:appinfo>
            </xs:annotation>
          </xs:enumeration>
          <xs:enumeration value="E">
            <xs:annotation>
              <xs:documentation>Execute</xs:documentation>
            </xs:annotation>
          </xs:enumeration>
        </xs:restriction>
      </xs:simpleType>
    </xs:attribute>
  </xs:complexType>
</xs:schema>
```

```

        </xs:annotation>
      </xs:enumeration>
    </xs:restriction>
  </xs:simpleType>
</xs:attribute>
<xs:attribute name="EventDateTime" type="xs:dateTime" use="required"
/>
<xs:attribute name="EventOutcomeIndicator" use="required">
  <xs:simpleType>
    <xs:restriction base="xs:integer">
      <xs:enumeration value="0">
        <xs:annotation>
          <xs:appinfo>Success</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
      </xs:enumeration>
      <xs:enumeration value="4">
        <xs:annotation>
          <xs:appinfo>Minor failure</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
      </xs:enumeration>
      <xs:enumeration value="8">
        <xs:annotation>
          <xs:appinfo>Serious failure</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
      </xs:enumeration>
      <xs:enumeration value="12">
        <xs:annotation>
          <xs:appinfo>
            Major failure; action made unavailable
          </xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
      </xs:enumeration>
    </xs:restriction>
  </xs:simpleType>
</xs:attribute>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:complexType name="AuditSourceIdentificationType">
  <xs:sequence>
<xs:element name="AuditSourceTypeCode" type="CodedValueType" minOccurs="0"
maxOccurs="unbounded" />
  </xs:sequence>
  <xs:attribute name="AuditEnterpriseSiteID" type="xs:string"
use="optional" />
  <xs:attribute name="AuditSourceID" type="xs:string" use="required" />
</xs:complexType>
<xs:complexType name="ActiveParticipantType">
  <xs:sequence minOccurs="0">
<xs:element name="RoleIDCode" type="CodedValueType" minOccurs="0"
maxOccurs="unbounded" />
  </xs:sequence>
  <xs:attribute name="UserID" type="xs:string" use="required" />
  <xs:attribute name="AlternativeUserID" type="xs:string" use="optional"
/>
  <xs:attribute name="UserName" type="xs:string" use="optional" />
  <xs:attribute name="UserIsRequestor" type="xs:boolean" use="optional"
default="true" />
  <xs:attribute name="NetworkAccessPointID" type="xs:string"
use="optional" />
  <xs:attribute name="NetworkAccessPointTypeCode"
use="optional">
  <xs:simpleType>
    <xs:restriction base="xs:unsignedByte">
      <xs:enumeration value="1">
        <xs:annotation>

```

```

        <xs:appinfo>
            Machine Name, including DNS name
        </xs:appinfo>
    </xs:annotation>
</xs:enumeration>
<xs:enumeration value="2">
    <xs:annotation>
        <xs:appinfo>IP Address</xs:appinfo>
    </xs:annotation>
</xs:enumeration>
<xs:enumeration value="3">
    <xs:annotation>
        <xs:appinfo>Telephone Number</xs:appinfo>
    </xs:annotation>
</xs:enumeration>
</xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
</xs:attribute>
</xs:complexType>
<xs:complexType name="ParticipantObjectIdentificationType">
    <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="ParticipantObjectIDTypeCode"
type="CodedValueType" />
        <xs:choice minOccurs="0">
            <xs:element name="ParticipantObjectName"
                type="xs:string" minOccurs="0" />
            <xs:element name="ParticipantObjectQuery"
                type="xs:base64Binary" minOccurs="0" />
        </xs:choice>
        <xs:element name="ParticipantObjectDetail"
type="TypeValuePairType"
minOccurs="0" maxOccurs="unbounded" />
    </xs:sequence>
    <xs:attribute name="ParticipantObjectID" type="xs:string"
use="required" />
    <xs:attribute name="ParticipantObjectTypeCode" use="optional">
        <xs:simpleType>
            <xs:restriction base="xs:unsignedByte">
                <xs:enumeration value="1">
                    <xs:annotation>
                        <xs:appinfo>Person</xs:appinfo>
                    </xs:annotation>
                </xs:enumeration>
                <xs:enumeration value="2">
                    <xs:annotation>
                        <xs:appinfo>System object</xs:appinfo>
                    </xs:annotation>
                </xs:enumeration>
                <xs:enumeration value="3">
                    <xs:annotation>
                        <xs:appinfo>Organization</xs:appinfo>
                    </xs:annotation>
                </xs:enumeration>
                <xs:enumeration value="4">
                    <xs:annotation>
                        <xs:appinfo>Other</xs:appinfo>
                    </xs:annotation>
                </xs:enumeration>
            </xs:restriction>
        </xs:simpleType>
    </xs:attribute>
    <xs:attribute name="ParticipantObjectTypeCodeRole"
use="optional">
        <xs:simpleType>

```

```

<xs:restriction base="xs:unsignedByte">
  <xs:enumeration value="1">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:appinfo>Patient</xs:appinfo>
    </xs:annotation>
  </xs:enumeration>
  <xs:enumeration value="2">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:appinfo>Location</xs:appinfo>
    </xs:annotation>
  </xs:enumeration>
  <xs:enumeration value="3">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:appinfo>Report</xs:appinfo>
    </xs:annotation>
  </xs:enumeration>
  <xs:enumeration value="4">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:appinfo>Resource</xs:appinfo>
    </xs:annotation>
  </xs:enumeration>
  <xs:enumeration value="5">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:appinfo>Master file</xs:appinfo>
    </xs:annotation>
  </xs:enumeration>
  <xs:enumeration value="6">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:appinfo>User</xs:appinfo>
    </xs:annotation>
  </xs:enumeration>
  <xs:enumeration value="7">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:appinfo>List</xs:appinfo>
    </xs:annotation>
  </xs:enumeration>
  <xs:enumeration value="8">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:appinfo>Doctor</xs:appinfo>
    </xs:annotation>
  </xs:enumeration>
  <xs:enumeration value="9">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:appinfo>Subscriber</xs:appinfo>
    </xs:annotation>
  </xs:enumeration>
  <xs:enumeration value="10">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:appinfo>Guarantor</xs:appinfo>
    </xs:annotation>
  </xs:enumeration>
  <xs:enumeration value="11">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:appinfo>
        Security User Entity
      </xs:appinfo>
    </xs:annotation>
  </xs:enumeration>
  <xs:enumeration value="12">
    <xs:annotation>
      <xs:appinfo>Security User Group</xs:appinfo>
    </xs:annotation>
  </xs:enumeration>
  <xs:enumeration value="13">

```

```

        <xs:annotation>
            <xs:appinfo>Security Resource</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="14">
        <xs:annotation>
            <xs:appinfo>
                Security Granularity Definition
            </xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="15">
        <xs:annotation>
            <xs:appinfo>Provider</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="16">
        <xs:annotation>
            <xs:appinfo>Report Destination</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="17">
        <xs:annotation>
            <xs:appinfo>Report Library</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="18">
        <xs:annotation>
            <xs:appinfo>Schedule</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="19">
        <xs:annotation>
            <xs:appinfo>Customer</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="20">
        <xs:annotation>
            <xs:appinfo>Job</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="21">
        <xs:annotation>
            <xs:appinfo>Job Stream</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="22">
        <xs:annotation>
            <xs:appinfo>Table</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="23">
        <xs:annotation>
            <xs:appinfo>Routing Criteria</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="24">
        <xs:annotation>
            <xs:appinfo>Query</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
</xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
</xs:attribute>

```

```

<xs:attribute name="ParticipantObjectDataLifeCycle"
  use="optional">
  <xs:simpleType>
    <xs:restriction base="xs:unsignedByte">
      <xs:enumeration value="1">
        <xs:annotation>
          <xs:appinfo>
            Origination / Creation
          </xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
      </xs:enumeration>
      <xs:enumeration value="2">
        <xs:annotation>
          <xs:appinfo>
            Import / Copy from original
          </xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
      </xs:enumeration>
      <xs:enumeration value="3">
        <xs:annotation>
          <xs:appinfo>Amendment</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
      </xs:enumeration>
      <xs:enumeration value="4">
        <xs:annotation>
          <xs:appinfo>Verification</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
      </xs:enumeration>
      <xs:enumeration value="5">
        <xs:annotation>
          <xs:appinfo>Translation</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
      </xs:enumeration>
      <xs:enumeration value="6">
        <xs:annotation>
          <xs:appinfo>Access / Use</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
      </xs:enumeration>
      <xs:enumeration value="7">
        <xs:annotation>
          <xs:appinfo>De-identification</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
      </xs:enumeration>
      <xs:enumeration value="8">
        <xs:annotation>
          <xs:appinfo>
            Aggregation, summarization, derivation
          </xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
      </xs:enumeration>
      <xs:enumeration value="9">
        <xs:annotation>
          <xs:appinfo>Report</xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
      </xs:enumeration>
      <xs:enumeration value="10">
        <xs:annotation>
          <xs:appinfo>
            Export / Copy to target
          </xs:appinfo>
        </xs:annotation>
      </xs:enumeration>
      <xs:enumeration value="11">
        <xs:annotation>

```



```

        <xs:appinfo>Disclosure</xs:appinfo>
      </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="12">
      <xs:annotation>
        <xs:appinfo>
          Receipt of disclosure
        </xs:appinfo>
      </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="13">
      <xs:annotation>
        <xs:appinfo>Archiving</xs:appinfo>
      </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="14">
      <xs:annotation>
        <xs:appinfo>Logical deletion</xs:appinfo>
      </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
    <xs:enumeration value="15">
      <xs:annotation>
        <xs:appinfo>
          Permanent erasure / Physical destruction
        </xs:appinfo>
      </xs:annotation>
    </xs:enumeration>
  </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
</xs:attribute>
<xs:attribute name="ParticipantObjectSensitivity" type="xs:string"
use="optional" />
</xs:complexType>
<xs:complexType name="CodedValueType">
  <xs:attribute name="code" type="xs:string" use="required" />
  <xs:attributeGroup ref="CodeSystem" />
  <xs:attribute name="displayName" type="xs:string" use="optional" />
  <xs:attribute name="originalText" type="xs:string" use="optional" />
</xs:complexType>
<xs:complexType name="TypeValuePairType">
  <xs:attribute name="type" type="xs:string" use="required" />
  <xs:attribute name="value" type="xs:base64Binary" use="required" />
</xs:complexType>
<xs:attributeGroup name="CodeSystem">
  <xs:attribute name="codeSystem" type="OID" use="optional" />
  <xs:attribute name="codeSystemName" type="xs:string" use="optional" />
</xs:attributeGroup>
<xs:simpleType name="OID">
  <xs:restriction base="xs:string">
    <xs:whiteSpace value="collapse" />
  </xs:restriction>
</xs:simpleType>
</xs:schema>

```

## Bibliography

- [b-ITU-T H.810 (2013)] Recommendation ITU-T H.810 (2013), *Interoperability design guidelines for personal health systems*.
- [b-ITU-T H.810 (2015)] Recommendation ITU-T H.810 (2015), *Interoperability design guidelines for personal health systems*.
- [b-CDG 1.0] Continua Health Alliance, Continua Design Guidelines v1.0. (2008), *Continua Design Guidelines*.
- [b-CDG 2010] Continua Health Alliance, Continua Design Guidelines v1.5 (2010), *Continua Design Guidelines*.
- [b-CDG 2011] Continua Health Alliance, Continua Design Guidelines (2011), "Adrenaline", *Continua Design Guidelines*.
- [b-CDG 2012] Continua Health Alliance, Continua Design Guidelines (2012), "Catalyst", *Continua Design Guidelines*.
- [b-CDG 2013] Continua Health Alliance, Continua Design Guidelines (2013), "Endorphin", *Continua Design Guidelines*.
- [b-CDG 2015] Continua Health Alliance, Continua Design Guidelines (2015), "Genome", *Continua Design Guidelines*.
- [b-CDG 2016] Personal Connected Health Alliance, Continua Design Guidelines (2016), "Iris", *Continua Design Guidelines*.
- [b-ETSI SR 001 262] ETSI SR 001 262 v1.8.1 (2003), *ETSI drafting rules*.  
<https://docbox.etsi.org/MTS/MTS/10-PromotionalMaterial/MBS-20111118/Referenced%20Documents/Drafting%20Rules.pdf>
- [b-IETF RFC 3164] IETF RFC 3164 (2001), *The BSD Syslog Protocol*.
- [b-HFSR PICS & PIXIT] Services HFS Receiver DG2016 *PICS and PIXIT excel sheet v1.7*.  
<http://handle.itu.int/11.1002/2000/12067>
- [b-HFSS PICS & PIXIT] Services HFS Sender DG2016 *PICS and PIXIT excel sheet v1.7*.  
<http://handle.itu.int/11.1002/2000/12067>





## SERIES OF ITU-T RECOMMENDATIONS

|                 |   |
|-----------------|---|
| Series A        | Organization of the work of ITU-T   |
| Series D        | Tariff and accounting principles and international telecommunication/ICT economic and policy issues   |
| Series E        | Overall network operation, telephone service, service operation and human factors   |
| Series F        | Non-telephone telecommunication services  |
| <b>Series G</b> | <b>Transmission systems and media, digital systems and networks</b>   |
| Series H        | Audiovisual and multimedia systems  |
| Series I        | Integrated services digital network   |
| Series J        | Cable networks and transmission of television, sound programme and other multimedia signals   |
| Series K        | Protection against interference   |
| Series L        | Environment and ICTs, climate change, e-waste, energy efficiency; construction, installation and protection of cables and other elements of outside plant |
| Series M        | Telecommunication management, including telecommunication network management and network maintenance  |
| Series N        | Maintenance: international sound programme and television transmission circuits   |
| Series O        | Specifications of measuring equipment   |
| Series P        | Telephone transmission quality, telephone installations, local line networks  |
| Series Q        | Switching and signalling, and associated measurements and tests   |
| Series R        | Telegraph transmission  |
| Series S        | Telegraph services terminal equipment   |
| Series T        | Terminals for telematic services  |
| Series U        | Telegraph switching   |
| Series V        | Data communication over the telephone network   |
| Series X        | Data networks, open system communications and security  |
| Series Y        | Global information infrastructure, Internet protocol aspects, next-generation networks, Internet of Things and smart cities                               |
| Series Z        | Languages and general software aspects for telecommunication systems  |