ITU-T

1-0-1

TELECOMMUNICATION STANDARDIZATION SECTOR OF ITU



SERIES X: DATA NETWORKS, OPEN SYSTEM COMMUNICATIONS AND SECURITY

Cybersecurity information exchange – Overview of cybersecurity

Overview of cybersecurity information exchange

Amendment 9: Revised structured cybersecurity information exchange techniques

Recommendation ITU-T X.1500 (2011) - Amendment 9



ITU-T X-SERIES RECOMMENDATIONS DATA NETWORKS, OPEN SYSTEM COMMUNICATIONS AND SECURITY

| PUBLIC DATA NETWORKS | X.1–X.199 |
|--|---------------|
| OPEN SYSTEMS INTERCONNECTION | X.200–X.299 |
| INTERWORKING BETWEEN NETWORKS | X.300–X.399 |
| MESSAGE HANDLING SYSTEMS | X.400–X.499 |
| DIRECTORY | X.500–X.599 |
| OSI NETWORKING AND SYSTEM ASPECTS | X.600–X.699 |
| OSI MANAGEMENT | X.700-X.799 |
| SECURITY | X.800-X.849 |
| OSI APPLICATIONS | X.850–X.899 |
| OPEN DISTRIBUTED PROCESSING | X.900-X.999 |
| INFORMATION AND NETWORK SECURITY | |
| General security aspects | X.1000-X.1029 |
| Network security | X.1030-X.1049 |
| Security management | X.1050-X.1069 |
| Telebiometrics | X.1080-X.1099 |
| SECURE APPLICATIONS AND SERVICES | |
| Multicast security | X.1100-X.1109 |
| Home network security | X.1110–X.1119 |
| Mobile security | X.1120–X.1139 |
| Web security | X.1140-X.1149 |
| Security protocols | X.1150-X.1159 |
| Peer-to-peer security | X.1160–X.1169 |
| Networked ID security | X.1170–X.1179 |
| IPTV security | X.1180–X.1199 |
| CYBERSPACE SECURITY | |
| Cybersecurity | X.1200-X.1229 |
| Countering spam | X.1230-X.1249 |
| Identity management | X.1250-X.1279 |
| SECURE APPLICATIONS AND SERVICES | |
| Emergency communications | X.1300-X.1309 |
| Ubiquitous sensor network security | X.1310–X.1339 |
| PKI related Recommendations | X.1340-X.1349 |
| CYBERSECURITY INFORMATION EXCHANGE | |
| Overview of cybersecurity | X.1500-X.1519 |
| Vulnerability/state exchange | X.1520–X.1539 |
| Event/incident/heuristics exchange | X.1540–X.1549 |
| Exchange of policies | X.1550–X.1559 |
| Heuristics and information request | X.1560–X.1569 |
| Identification and discovery | X.1570–X.1579 |
| Assured exchange | X.1580–X.1589 |
| CLOUD COMPUTING SECURITY | |
| Overview of cloud computing security | X.1600–X.1601 |
| Cloud computing security design | X.1602–X.1639 |
| Cloud computing security best practices and guidelines | X.1640–X.1659 |
| Cloud computing security implementation | X.1660–X.1679 |
| Other cloud computing security | X.1680–X.1699 |
| | |
| II. I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I | |

For further details, please refer to the list of ITU-T Recommendations.

Recommendation ITU-T X.1500

Overview of cybersecurity information exchange

Amendment 9

Revised structured cybersecurity information exchange techniques

Summary

Amendment 9 to Recommendation ITU-T X.1500 (2011) provides a list of structured cybersecurity information techniques that have been created to be continually updated as these techniques evolve, expand, are newly identified or are replaced. The list follows the outline provided in the body of the Recommendation. This amendment reflects the situation of recommended techniques as of March 2016, including bibliographical references.

History

| Edition | Recommendation | Approval | Study Group | Unique ID* |
|---------|----------------------------|------------|-------------|--------------------|
| 1.0 | ITU-T X.1500 | 2011-04-20 | 17 | 11.1002/1000/11060 |
| 1.1 | ITU-T X.1500 (2011) Amd. 1 | 2012-03-02 | 17 | 11.1002/1000/11574 |
| 1.2 | ITU-T X.1500 (2011) Amd. 2 | 2012-09-07 | 17 | 11.1002/1000/11751 |
| 1.3 | ITU-T X.1500 (2011) Amd. 3 | 2013-04-26 | 17 | 11.1002/1000/11942 |
| 1.4 | ITU-T X.1500 (2011) Amd. 4 | 2013-09-04 | 17 | 11.1002/1000/12041 |
| 1.5 | ITU-T X.1500 (2011) Amd. 5 | 2014-01-24 | 17 | 11.1002/1000/12159 |
| 1.6 | ITU-T X.1500 (2011) Amd. 6 | 2014-09-26 | 17 | 11.1002/1000/12334 |
| 1.7 | ITU-T X.1500 (2011) Amd. 7 | 2015-04-17 | 17 | 11.1002/1000/12446 |
| 1.8 | ITU-T X.1500 (2011) Amd. 8 | 2015-09-17 | 17 | 11.1002/1000/12596 |
| 1.9 | ITU-T X.1500 (2011) Amd. 9 | 2016-03-23 | 17 | 11.1002/1000/12851 |

^{*} To access the Recommendation, type the URL http://handle.itu.int/ in the address field of your web browser, followed by the Recommendation's unique ID. For example, <u>http://handle.itu.int/11.1002/1000/11</u> <u>830-en</u>.

FOREWORD

The International Telecommunication Union (ITU) is the United Nations specialized agency in the field of telecommunications, information and communication technologies (ICTs). The ITU Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU-T) is a permanent organ of ITU. ITU-T is responsible for studying technical, operating and tariff questions and issuing Recommendations on them with a view to standardizing telecommunications on a worldwide basis.

The World Telecommunication Standardization Assembly (WTSA), which meets every four years, establishes the topics for study by the ITU-T study groups which, in turn, produce Recommendations on these topics.

The approval of ITU-T Recommendations is covered by the procedure laid down in WTSA Resolution 1.

In some areas of information technology which fall within ITU-T's purview, the necessary standards are prepared on a collaborative basis with ISO and IEC.

NOTE

In this Recommendation, the expression "Administration" is used for conciseness to indicate both a telecommunication administration and a recognized operating agency.

Compliance with this Recommendation is voluntary. However, the Recommendation may contain certain mandatory provisions (to ensure, e.g., interoperability or applicability) and compliance with the Recommendation is achieved when all of these mandatory provisions are met. The words "shall" or some other obligatory language such as "must" and the negative equivalents are used to express requirements. The use of such words does not suggest that compliance with the Recommendation is required of any party.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

ITU draws attention to the possibility that the practice or implementation of this Recommendation may involve the use of a claimed Intellectual Property Right. ITU takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of claimed Intellectual Property Rights, whether asserted by ITU members or others outside of the Recommendation development process.

As of the date of approval of this Recommendation, ITU had not received notice of intellectual property, protected by patents, which may be required to implement this Recommendation. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information and are therefore strongly urged to consult the TSB patent database at <u>http://www.itu.int/ITU-T/ipr/</u>.

© ITU 2016

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, by any means whatsoever, without the prior written permission of ITU.

Overview of cybersecurity information exchange

Amendment 9

Revised structured cybersecurity information exchange techniques

1) *Replace Appendix I with the appendix below.*

Appendix I

Structured cybersecurity information exchange techniques

(This appendix does not form an integral part of this Recommendation.)

| Table I.1 – Techniques in the weaknes | s, vulnerability and state exchange cluster |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Table 1.1 Teeningues in the weakies | s, vulner ability and state exchange cluster |

| Technique | Description | References |
|---|--|------------------|
| Common vulnerabilities and exposures (CVE) | Common vulnerabilities and exposures is a method for identifying and exchanging information security vulnerabilities and exposures, and provides common identifiers for publicly known problems. The goal of CVE is to make it easier to share data across separate vulnerability capabilities (tools, repositories and services) with this "common enumeration". CVE is designed to allow vulnerability databases and other resources to be linked together, and to facilitate the comparison of security tools and services. As such, CVE does not contain information such as risk, impact, fix information, or detailed technical information. CVE only contains the standard identifier number with status indicator, a brief description and references to related vulnerability reports and advisories. The intention of CVE is to be comprehensive with respect to all publicly known vulnerabilities and exposures. While CVE is designed to contain mature information, the primary focus is on identifying vulnerabilities and exposures that are detected by security tools, as well as identifying any new problems that become public, and then addressing any older security problems that require validation. | [b-ITU-T X.1520] |
| Common vulnerability scoring system (CVSS) | The common vulnerability scoring system process provides for an open framework for communicating the characteristics and impacts of ICT vulnerabilities. CVSS consists of three groups: base, temporal and environmental. Each group produces a numeric score ranging from 0 to 10, and a vector, a compressed textual representation that reflects the values used to derive the score. The base group represents the intrinsic qualities of a vulnerability. The temporal group reflects the characteristics of a vulnerability that change over time. The environmental group represents the characteristics of a vulnerability that are unique to the environment of the user. CVSS enables ICT managers, | [b-ITU-T X.1521] |

| Technique | Description | References |
|---|---|------------------|
| | vulnerability bulletin providers, security vendors, application vendors and researchers to all benefit by adopting a common language of scoring ICT vulnerabilities. | |
| Common weakness enumeration (CWE) | Common weakness enumeration is a process for identifying and exchanging unified, measurable sets of software weaknesses. CWE enables more effective discussion, description, selection and use of software security tools and services that can find these weaknesses in source code and operational systems. It also provides for better understanding and management of software weaknesses related to architecture and design. CWE implementations are compiled and updated by a diverse, international group of experts from business, academia and government agencies, ensuring breadth and depth of content. CWE provides standardized terminology, allows service providers to inform users of specific potential weaknesses and proposed resolutions, and allows software buyers to compare similar products offered by multiple vendors. | [b-ITU-T X.1524] |
| Common weakness scoring system (CWSS) | The common weakness scoring system (CWSS) provides an open framework for communicating the characteristics and impacts of information and communication technology (ICT) weaknesses during development of software capabilities. The goal of CWSS is to enable ICT software developers, managers, testers, security vendors and service suppliers, buyers, application vendors and researchers to speak from a common language of scoring ICT weaknesses that could manifest as vulnerabilities when the software is used. | [b-ITU-T X.1525] |
| Critical Security Controls for Effective Cyber Defence (CSC) | The Critical Security Controls and associated compendiums describe a specific set of technical measures available to detect, prevent, respond, and mitigate damage from the most common to the most advanced of cyber attacks. The measures reflect the combined knowledge of actual attacks and effective defences | [b-CSC] |
| Open vulnerability and assessment language (OVAL) | The language for the open definition of vulnerabilities and for the assessment of a system state (also known as Open vulnerability and assessment language) is an international specification effort to promote open and publicly available security content, and to standardize the transfer of this information across the entire spectrum of security tools and services. OVAL includes a language used to encode endpoint details, and an assortment of content repositories held throughout the community. The language standardizes the three main steps of the assessment process: representing configuration information of endpoints for testing, analysing the endpoint for the presence of the specified machine state (vulnerability, configuration, patch state, etc.), and reporting the results of this assessment. The repositories are collections of publicly available and open content that utilize the language. OVAL schemas written in XML have been developed to serve as the framework and vocabulary of the OVAL language. These schemas correspond to the three steps of the assessment | [b-ITU-T X.1526] |

Table I.1 – Techniques in the weakness, vulnerability and state exchange cluster

| Technique | Description | References |
|--|--|--|
| | process: an OVAL system characteristics schema for representing endpoint information, an OVAL definition schema for expressing a specific machine state and an OVAL results schema for reporting the results of an assessment. | |
| eXtensible configuration checklist description format (XCCDF) | The eXtensible configuration checklist description format is a specification language for writing security checklists, benchmarks and related kinds of documents. An XCCDF document represents a structured collection of security configuration rules for some set of target systems. The specification is designed to support information interchange, document generation, organizational and situational tailoring, automated compliance testing and compliance scoring. The specification also defines a data model and format for storing results of benchmark compliance testing. The intent of XCCDF is to provide a uniform foundation for expression of security checklists, benchmarks and other configuration guidance, and thereby foster more widespread application of good security practices. XCCDF documents are expressed in XML. | [b-XCCDF] |
| Common platform enumeration (CPE) | Common platform enumeration (CPE) is a standardized method to identify and describe the software systems and hardware devices present in an enterprise's computing asset inventory. CPE provides: a naming specification, including the logical structure of well-formed CPE names and the procedures for binding and unbinding these names with machine-readable encodings; a matching specification, which defines procedures for comparing CPE names to determine whether they refer to some or all of the same products or platforms; and a dictionary specification, which defines the concept of a dictionary of identifiers and prescribes high-level rules for dictionary curators. | [b-ITU-T X.1528] [b-ITU-T X.1528.1] [b-ITU-T X.1528.2] [b-ITU-T X.1528.3] [b-ITU-T X.1528.4] |
| Software identification tag | Software identification tags (SWID tags) record unique information about an installed software application, including its name, edition, version, whether it is part of a bundle and more. SWID tags support software inventory and asset management initiatives. | [b-ISO/IEC 19770-2] |
| Common configuration enumeration (CCE) | Common configuration enumeration provides unique identifiers to system configuration issues in order to facilitate fast and accurate correlation of configuration data across multiple information sources and tools. For example, CCE identifiers can be used to associate checks in configuration assessment tools with statements in configuration best-practice documents. | [b-CCE] |

Table I.1 – Techniques in the weakness, vulnerability and state exchange cluster

| Technique | Description | References |
|--|--|-------------------|
| Incident object description exchange format (IODEF) | The incident object description exchange format defines a data representation that provides a standard format for the exchange of commonly exchanged information about computer security incidents. IODEF describes an information model and provides an associated data model specified with XML schema. | [b-ITU-T X.1541] |
| Extensions to IODEF for reporting Phishing | This extends the incident object description exchange format to support the reporting of phishing events. Recommendation ITU-T X.1500 is intended to only describe techniques for commonly understood, assured means for cybersecurity entities to exchange cybersecurity information, and does not include the uses of that information. | [b-IETF RFC 5901] |
| An Incident Object Description Exchange Format (IODEF) Extension for Structured Cybersecurity Information | This document extends the Incident Object Description Exchange Format (IODEF) defined in RFC 5070 to exchange enriched cybersecurity information among security experts at organizations and facilitate their operations. It provides a well- defined pattern to consistently embed structured information, such as identifier- and XML-based information. | [b-IETF RFC 7203] |
| Common attack pattern enumeration and classification (CAPEC) | CAPEC is a specification method for the identification, description and enumeration of attack patterns. Attack patterns are a powerful mechanism to capture and communicate the attacker's perspective. They are descriptions of common methods for exploiting software. They derive from the concept of design patterns applied in a destructive rather than constructive context and are generated from in-depth analysis of specific real-world exploit examples. The objective of CAPEC is to provide a publicly available catalogue of attack patterns along with a comprehensive XML schema and classification taxonomy. | [b-ITU-T X.1544] |
| Cyber Observable eXpression (CybOX) | Cyber Observable eXpression (CybOX) is a structured language for the specification, capture, characterization and communication of cyber observables. A wide variety of high- level cyber security use cases rely on such information. CybOX provides a common mechanism (structure and content) for addressing cyber observables across and among this full range of use cases improving consistency, efficiency, interoperability and overall situational awareness. | [b-CybOX] |
| Malware attribute enumeration and characterization format | The malware attribute enumeration and characterization (MAEC) is a standardized language for encoding and communicating high-fidelity information about malware based upon attributes such as behaviours, artefacts and attack patterns. | [b-ITU-T X.1546] |

Table I.2 – Techniques relevant to the event, incident and heuristics exchange cluster

| Technique | Description | References |
|---|--|------------|
| Structured Threat Information eXpression (STIX) | Structured Threat Information Expression (STIX) is a structured language for describing cyber threat information so that it can be shared, stored and analysed in a consistent manner. The STIX Language intends to convey the full range of potential cyber threat information and strives to be fully expressive, flexible, extensible and automatable. | [b-STIX] |
| Malware Metadata Exchange Format (MMDEF) | The Malware Metadata Exchange Format (MMDEF) is a collaborative effort with industry to capture and share information about malware in a standardized fashion. The initial MMDEF schema, which is currently in use by AV vendors, has been augmented to include attributes and metadata specific to the characterization of clean (benign) files, thus supporting the exchange of information on such files and datasets. The MMDEF schema has been enhanced with additional attributes, such as a digital signature object for characterizing digitally signed binaries, as well as a software package object for the linking of files with the software packages that they may belong to. Along with these new types, many tool-extractable elements, such as the version and internal name, were added to the existing file object for their utility in whitelisting. Current enhancements under way include additions for capturing blackbox behavioural metadata, such as the type of information captured by dynamic malware analysis tools. This allows for the creation of a standardized format for such data, permitting correlation and clustering based on shared behavioural functionality, as well as facilitating the exchange of such information across various entities. | [b-MMDEF] |

Table I.2 – Techniques relevant to the event, incident and heuristics exchange cluster

| Technique | Description | References |
|---|--|------------|
| Traffic light protocol (TLP)Th sh w w in ac be ar or in ur di tr tr ow ha R R th to pa A in r mR R th to pa A in r m r mR th to pa A in in r w th to pa A in m r e ci in m w th to w to | The traffic light protocol (TLP) was created to encourage greater haring of sensitive information. The originator signals how videly they want their information to be circulated beyond the mmediate recipient. The TLP provides a simple method to chieve this. It is designed to improve the flow of information etween individuals, organizations or communities in a controlled nd trusted way. The TLP is based on the concept of the riginator labelling information with one of four colours to ndicate what further dissemination, if any, the recipient can ndertake. The recipient must consult the originator if wider issemination is required. The TLP is accepted as a model for rusted information exchange among security communities in ver 30 countries. The four "information sharing levels" for the andling of sensitive information are: RED – Personal. This information is for named recipients only. In the context of a meeting, for example, RED information will be assed verbally or in person. MBER – Limited distribution. The recipient may share AMBER formation with others within their organization, but only on a need-to-know" basis. BREEN – Community wide. Information in this category can be irculated widely within a particular community. However, the aformation may not be published or posted on the Internet, nor eleased outside of the community. WHITE – Unlimited. Subject to standard copyright rules, WHITE formation may be distributed freely, without restriction. | [b-TLP] |

 Table I.3 – Techniques relevant to the policy exchange cluster

Table I.4 – Techniques relevant to the identification, discovery and query cluster

| Technique | Description | References |
|--|--|--------------------|
| Discovery mechanisms in the exchange of cybersecurity information | These techniques include methods and mechanisms which can be used to identify and locate sources of cybersecurity information, types of cybersecurity information, specific instances of cybersecurity information, methods available for access of cybersecurity information as well as policies which may apply to the access of cybersecurity information. | [b-ITU-T X.1570] |
| Guidelines for administering the OID arc for cybersecurity information exchange | A common global cybersecurity identifier namespace is described, together with administrative requirements, as part of a coherent OID arc, and includes identifiers for: cybersecurity information; cybersecurity organizations; cybersecurity policy. | [b-ITU-T X.1500.1] |

| Technique | Description | References |
|--|--|--------------|
| Resource- oriented lightweight indicator exchange | The resource-oriented lightweight indicator exchange (ROLIE) defines a resource-oriented approach to cyber security information sharing. Using this approach, a CSIRT or other stakeholder may share and exchange representations of cyber security incidents, indicators and other related information as web-addressable resources. The transport protocol binding is specified as HTTP(S) with a MIME media type of Atom+XML. An appropriate set of link relation types specific to cyber security information sharing is defined. | [b-ROLIE] |
| XMPP protocol extension for generic publish- subscribe functionality | This specification defines an XMPP protocol extension for generic publish-subscribe functionality. The protocol enables XMPP entities to create nodes (topics) at a pubsub service and publish information at those nodes; an event notification (with or without payload) is then broadcasted to all entities that have subscribed to the node. Pubsub therefore adheres to the classic Observer design pattern and can serve as the foundation for a wide variety of applications, including news feeds, content syndication, rich presence, geolocation, workflow systems, network management systems, and any other application that requires event notifications. | [b-XEP-0060] |

Table I.4 – Techniques relevant to the identification, discovery and query cluster

Table I.5 – Techniques relevant to the identity assurance cluster

| Technique | Description | References |
|------------------------------------|--|------------|
| Trusted platforms | Computing and communications products with embedded trusted platform modules (TPMs) advance the ability of businesses, institutions, government agencies and consumers to conduct trustworthy information exchange; therefore, TPMs are relevant to most CYBEX implementations. TPMs are special-purpose integrated circuits (ICs) built into a variety of platforms to enable strong user authentication and machine attestation – essential to prevent inappropriate access to confidential and sensitive information and to protect against compromised networks. Trusted platform module technology is based on open standards to ensure interoperability of diverse products in mixed-vendor environments. The prevalent TPM standard consists of a set of specifications developed and maintained by the Trusted Computing Group (TCG), alongside with a protection profile for security evaluation against the common criteria. | [b-TPM] |

| Technique | Description | References |
|-------------------------------------|---|------------|
| | The design principles give the basic concepts of the TPM and generic information relative to TPM functionality. A TPM designer must review and implement the information in the TPM main specification (parts 1-3) and review the platform specific document for the intended platform. The platform specific document contains normative statements that affect the design and implementation of a TPM. A TPM designer must review and implement the requirements, including testing and evaluation, as set by the TCG conformance workgroup. The TPM must comply with the requirements and pass any evaluations set by the conformance workgroup. The TPM may undergo more stringent testing and evaluation. | |
| Trusted execution environment | Trusted Execution Environment (TEE) defines a standardized isolation environment for Systems on Chip (SoC) in which sensitive code, data and resources are processed away from the main operating environment, software and memory on the device. This isolation is enforced by hardware architecture and the boot sequence uses a hardware root of trust in the SoC package making it highly robust against software and probing attacks. In addition, code running in the TEE and using protected resources (known as 'Trusted Applications') is cryptographically verified prior to execution, leading to high integrity assurance. | [b-TEE] |
| Trusted network connect | ICT security operations often desire to discover the state of operating system (OS)-level and the application software used by the supporting network. For example, when systems lack OS security patches or antivirus signatures, reliable notification is crucial to containing the damage associated with network- based attacks. Making this appraisal requires reliable information that a connected system is in a particular state. In order to prevent systems (e.g., hacked systems) from falsifying information, successful appraisal requires a hardware basis on the system to be appraised. Trusted platforms are embedded in the hardware to record certain facts about the boot process and deliver them in digitally signed form. Furthermore, major chip manufacturers are now supplementing the trusted platforms with a "late launch" capability that allows for execution of trusted code later in the boot sequence. This, in turn, allows events to be reliably recorded after the hardware-specific boot process. | [b-TNC] |

Table I.5 – Techniques relevant to the identity assurance cluster

| Technique | Description | References |
|---|--|---------------------|
| | Network configuration management is effectively a deployment of system attestation: software agents on enterprise machines that periodically send configuration reports to a central repository, which evaluates and flags non-compliant systems. Data from these software agents, while valuable, is easily modified by an attacker. Using the widespread deployment of trusted platforms to enable a more trustworthy evaluation of system state would greatly increase an enterprise's confidence in its configuration management data. Trusted network connect (TNC) is an open architecture for network access control. Its aim is to enable network operators to provide endpoint integrity at every network connection, thus enabling interoperability among multi- vendor network endpoints. | |
| Entity authentication assurance | This standard provides an authentication life cycle framework for managing the assurance of an entity's identity and its associated identity information in a given context. Specifically it provides methods to 1) qualitatively measure and assign relative assurance levels to the authentication of an entity's identities and its associated identity information, and 2) communicate relative authentication assurance levels. | [b-ITU-T X.1254] |
| The DNS-Based Authentication of Named Entities (DANE) Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol: TLSA | Encrypted communication on the Internet often uses Transport Layer Security (TLS), which depends on third parties to certify the keys used. This document improves on that situation by enabling the administrators of domain names to specify the keys used in that domain's TLS servers. This requires matching improvements in TLS client software, but no change in TLS server software. | [b-IETF RFC 6698] |
| Extended validation certificate framework | The extended validation certificate framework consists of an integrated combination of technologies, protocols, identity proofing, life cycle management and auditing practices that describe the minimum requirements that must be met in order to issue and maintain extended validation certificates ("EV Certificates") concerning a subject organization. The framework accommodates a wide range of security, localization and notification requirements. | [b-EVCERT] |
| Policy requirements for certification authorities issuing public key certificates | The specified document specifies policy requirements relating to certification authorities (CAs) issuing public key certificates, including extended validation certificates (EVC). It defines policy requirements on the operation and management practices of certification authorities issuing and managing certificates such that subscribers, subjects certified by the CA and relying parties may have confidence in the applicability of the certificate in support of cryptographic mechanisms. | [b-ETSI TS 102 042] |

Table I.5 – Techniques relevant to the identity assurance cluster

| Technique | Description | References |
|---|---|------------------|
| Real-time inter- network defense (RID) | Real-time inter-network defense (RID) provides a framework for the exchange of incident information. The RID standard provides the set of incident coordination messages necessary to communicate IODEF documents securely between entities. RID is a wrapper for IODEF documents, including any extensions of IODEF. The standard messages and exchange formats include security, privacy and policy options/considerations that are necessary in a global incident coordination scheme. RID is the security layer between IODEF documents and the transport protocol. The transport selected is decided upon by the entities communicating incident information. The transport may be the specified RID transport (HTTP/TLS), BEEP, SOAP, or a protocol specified in the future. | [b-ITU-T X.1580] |
| Transport of real-time inter- network defense (RID) messages | This mechanism specifies the transport of real-time inter- network defense (RID) messages within HTTP Request and Response messages transported over TLS. | [b-ITU-T X.1581] |
| Trusted automated exchange of indicator information | Trusted Automated eXchange of Indicator Information (TAXII) enables sharing of actionable cyber threat information across organization and product/service boundaries. TAXII, through its member specifications, defines concepts, protocols and messages to exchange cyber threat information for the detection, prevention and mitigation of cyber threats. | [b-TAXII] |

Table I.6 – Techniques relevant to the exchange protocol cluster

2) *Replace the bibliography with the bibliography below:*

Bibliography

| [b-ITU-T E.409] | Recommendation ITU-T E.409 (2004), Incident organization and security incident handling: Guidelines for telecommunication organizations. |
|--------------------|---|
| [b-ITU-T X.800] | Recommendation ITU-T X.800 (1991), Security architecture for Open Systems Interconnection for CCITT applications. |
| [b-ITU-T X.1205] | Recommendation ITU-T X.1205 (2008), Overview of cybersecurity. |
| [b-ITU-T X.1254] | Recommendation ITU-T X.1254 (2012), Entity authentication assurance framework. |
| [b-ITU-T X.1500.1] | Recommendation ITU-T X.1500.1 (2012), Procedures for the registration of arcs under the object identifier arc for cybersecurity information exchange. |
| [b-ITU-T X.1520] | Recommendation ITU-T X.1520 (2014), Common vulnerabilities and exposures. |
| [b-ITU-T X.1521] | Recommendation ITU-T X.1521 (2011), Common vulnerability scoring system. |
| [b-ITU-T X.1524] | Recommendation ITU-T X.1524 (2012), Common weakness enumeration. |
| [b-ITU-T X.1525] | Recommendation ITU-T X.1525 (2015), Common weakness scoring system. |
| | |

| [b-ITU-T X.1526] | Recommendation ITU-T X.1526 (2014), Language for the open definition of vulnerabilities and for the assessment of a system state. |
|---------------------|--|
| [b-ITU-T X.1528] | Recommendation ITU-T X.1528 (2012), Common platform enumeration. |
| [b-ITU-T X.1528.1] | Recommendation ITU-T X.1528.1 (2012), <i>Common platform enumeration naming</i> . |
| [b-ITU-T X.1528.2] | Recommendation ITU-T X.1528.2 (2012), Common platform enumeration matching. |
| [b-ITU-T X.1528.3] | Recommendation ITU-T X.1528.3 (2012), Common platform enumeration dictionary. |
| [b-ITU-T X.1528.4] | Recommendation ITU-T X.1528.4 (2012), <i>Common platform enumeration applicability language</i> . |
| [b-ITU-T X.1541] | Recommendation ITU-T X.1541 (2012), Incident object description exchange format. |
| [b-ITU-T X.1544] | Recommendation ITU-T X.1544 (2013), Common attack pattern enumeration and classification. |
| [b-ITU-T X.1546] | Recommendation ITU-T X.1546 (2014), Malware attribute enumeration and characterization. |
| [b-ITU-T X.1570] | Recommendation ITU-T X.1570 (2011), Discovery mechanisms in the exchange of cybersecurity information. |
| [b-ITU-T X.1580] | Recommendation ITU-T X.1580 (2012), Real-time inter-network defense. |
| [b-ITU-T X.1581] | Recommendation ITU-T X.1581 (2012), <i>Transport of real-time inter-network defense messages</i> . |
| [b-ETSI TS 102 042] | ETSI TS 102 042 (2011), Electronic Signatures and Infrastructures (ESI); Policy requirements for certification authorities issuing public key certificates. |
| [b-IETF RFC 5901] | IETF RFC 5901 (2010), Extensions to the IODEF-Document Class for Reporting Phishing. http://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc5901/ |
| [b-IETF RFC 6120] | IETF RFC 6120 (2011), <i>Extensible Messaging and Presence Protocol</i> (<i>XMPP</i>): Core. http://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc6120/ |
| [b-IETF RFC 6698] | IETF RFC 6698 (2012), The DNS-Based Authentication of Named Entities (DANE) Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol: TLSA. https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc6698/ |
| [b-IETF RFC 7203] | IETF RFC 7203 (2014), An Incident Object Description Exchange Format (IODEF) Extension for Structured Cybersecurity Information. https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/rfc7203/ |
| [b-ISO/IEC 15026] | ISO/IEC 15026-2:2011, Systems and software engineering – Systems and software assurance – Part 2: Assurance case. |
| [b-ISO/IEC 19770-2] | ISO/IEC 19770-2 (2009), Information technology – Software asset management – Part 2: Software identification tag. |
| [b-ISO/IEC 20004] | ISO/IEC TR 20004-1:2012, Information Technology – Security Techniques – Refining Software Vulnerability Analysis under ISO/IEC 15408 and ISO/IEC 18045. |

| [b-ISO/IEC 24772] | ISO/IEC TR 24772:2013, Information technology – Programming languages – Guidance to avoiding vulnerabilities in programming languages through language selection and use. |
|--|--|
| [b-ISO/IEC 27034] | ISO/IEC 27034-1:2011, Information Technology – Security Techniques – Application security – Part 1: Overview and concepts. |
| [b-ISO/IEC 27036] | ISO/IEC 27036-1:2014, Information technology – Security techniques – Information security for supplier relationships – Part 1: Overview and concepts. |
| [b-ISO/IEC 29147] | ISO/IEC 29147:2014, Information Technology – Security Techniques – Vulnerability Disclosure. |
| [b-A2] | Terada, M. et al. (2009), <i>Proposal of MyJVN (Web Service APIs) for Security Information Exchange infrastructure</i> , 21st Annual FIRST Conference, June 2009. http://jvnrss.ise.chuo-u.ac.jp/jtg/doc/21thFirstConference_paper.pdf |
| [b-CCE] | Common Configuration Enumeration. https://cce.mitre.org/https://nvd.nist.gov/cce/index.cfm |
| [b-CSC] | ETSI TR 103 305 (2015), <i>CYBER; Critical Security Controls for Effective Cyber Defence.</i> http://www.etsi.org/deliver/etsi_tr/103300_103399/103305/01.01.01_60/tr_103305v010101p.pdf |
| [b-CybOX] | Cyber Observable eXpression. https://www.oasis-open.org/committees/tc_home.php?wg_abbrev=cti |
| [b-EVCERT] | CA/Browser Forum (2016), <i>Baseline Requirements for the Issuance and</i> <i>Management of Publicly-Trusted Certificates</i> Version 1.3.3. <u>https://cabforum.org/baseline-requirements-documents/</u> |
| | |
| [b-MMDEF] | Malware Metadata Exchange Format, IEEE ICSG Malware Metadata Exchange Format Working Group, https://standards.ieee.org/develop/indconn/icsg/mmdef.html |
| [b-MMDEF] [b-NIST 800-53] | Exchange Format Working Group, |
| | Exchange Format Working Group, https://standards.ieee.org/develop/indconn/icsg/mmdef.html National Institute of Standards and Technology, Special Publication 800-53 Revision 4, "Security and Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations", Joint Task Force Transformation Initiative, Computer Security Division Information Technology Laboratory, April 2013. |
| [b-NIST 800-53] | Exchange Format Working Group, https://standards.ieee.org/develop/indconn/icsg/mmdef.html National Institute of Standards and Technology, Special Publication 800-53 Revision 4, " <i>Security and Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems</i> <i>and Organizations</i> ", Joint Task Force Transformation Initiative, Computer Security Division Information Technology Laboratory, April 2013. http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/PubsSPs.html. See also, https://web.nvd.nist.gov/view/800-53/home OMG Specification ASCSM 1.0 (12/14), <i>Automated Source Code Security</i> |
| [b-NIST 800-53] | Exchange Format Working Group, https://standards.ieee.org/develop/indconn/icsg/mmdef.html National Institute of Standards and Technology, Special Publication 800-53 Revision 4, " <i>Security and Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems</i> <i>and Organizations</i> ", Joint Task Force Transformation Initiative, Computer Security Division Information Technology Laboratory, April 2013. http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/PubsSPs.html. See also, https://web.nvd.nist.gov/view/800-53/home OMG Specification ASCSM 1.0 (12/14), <i>Automated Source Code Security</i> <i>Measure</i> . OMG, 2014. Open Group O-DA, <i>Dependability through Assuredness (O-DA) Framework</i> , |
| [b-NIST 800-53] [b-OMG ASCSM] [b-OG O-DA] | Exchange Format Working Group, https://standards.ieee.org/develop/indconn/icsg/mmdef.html National Institute of Standards and Technology, Special Publication 800-53 Revision 4, "Security and Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations", Joint Task Force Transformation Initiative, Computer Security Division Information Technology Laboratory, April 2013. http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/PubsSPs.html. See also, https://web.nvd.nist.gov/view/800-53/home OMG Specification ASCSM 1.0 (12/14), Automated Source Code Security Measure. OMG, 2014. Open Group O-DA, Dependability through Assuredness (O-DA) Framework, Open Group O-TTPS, Version 1.1 Mitigating Maliciously Tainted and Counterfeit Products – Open Trusted Technology Provider Standard, Open |
| [b-NIST 800-53] [b-OMG ASCSM] [b-OG O-DA] [b-OG O-TTPS] | Exchange Format Working Group, https://standards.ieee.org/develop/indconn/icsg/mmdef.html National Institute of Standards and Technology, Special Publication 800-53 Revision 4, "Security and Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations", Joint Task Force Transformation Initiative, Computer Security Division Information Technology Laboratory, April 2013. http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/PubsSPs.html. See also, https://web.nvd.nist.gov/view/800-53/home OMG Specification ASCSM 1.0 (12/14), Automated Source Code Security Measure. OMG, 2014. Open Group O-DA, Dependability through Assuredness (O-DA) Framework, Open Group. ISBN 1-937218-36-2, July 2013. Open Group O-TTPS, Version 1.1 Mitigating Maliciously Tainted and Counterfeit Products – Open Trusted Technology Provider Standard, Open Group. ISBN 1-937218-55-3, July 2014. OMG Specification SACM 1.0 (02/13), Structured Assurance Case |
| [b-NIST 800-53] [b-OMG ASCSM] [b-OG O-DA] [b-OG O-TTPS] [b-OMG SACM] | Exchange Format Working Group, https://standards.ieee.org/develop/indconn/icsg/mmdef.html National Institute of Standards and Technology, Special Publication 800-53 Revision 4, "Security and Privacy Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations", Joint Task Force Transformation Initiative, Computer Security Division Information Technology Laboratory, April 2013. http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/PubsSPs.html. See also, https://web.nvd.nist.gov/view/800-53/home OMG Specification ASCSM 1.0 (12/14), Automated Source Code Security Measure. OMG, 2014. Open Group O-DA, Dependability through Assuredness (O-DA) Framework, Open Group O-TTPS, Version 1.1 Mitigating Maliciously Tainted and Counterfeit Products – Open Trusted Technology Provider Standard, Open Group. ISBN 1-937218-55-3, July 2014. OMG Specification SACM 1.0 (02/13), Structured Assurance Case Metamodel. OMG, 2013. OMG Specification SMM 1.0 (01/12), Structured Metrics Meta-Model. |

| [b-STIX] | Structured Threat Information eXpression. https://www.oasis-open.org/committees/tc_home.php?wg_abbrev=cti |
|---------------|---|
| [b-Takahashi] | Takahashi, T., Kadobayashi, Y. Reference Ontology for Cybersecurity Operational Information, <i>The Computer Journal</i> , doi: 10.1093/comjnl/bxu101, October, 2014 |
| [b-TAXII] | Trusted Automated eXchange of Indicator Information. https://www.oasis-open.org/committees/tc_home.php?wg_abbrev=cti |
| [b-TEE] | Trusted Execution Environment (TEE) Specifications, GlobalPlatform. https://www.globalplatform.org/specificationsdevice.asp |
| [b-TLP] | Traffic Light Protocol (TLP), United States Computer Emergency Readiness Team (US-CERT). http://www.us-cert.gov/ttp/ |
| [b-TNC] | Trusted Network Communications. Trusted Computing Group. http://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/developers/trusted_network_communications. |
| [b-TPM] | Trusted Platform Module Specifications. Trusted Computing Group. http://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/developers/trusted_platform_module |
| [b-XCCDF] | XCCDF – The Extensible Configuration Checklist Description Format, http://scap.nist.gov/specifications/xccdf/. XCCDF has also been adopted as, ISO/IEC 18180 (2013), Information technology – Specification for the Extensible Configuration Checklist Description Format (XCCDF) Version 1.2. |
| [b-XEP-0060] | XMPP Standards Foundation, XEP-0060 (2010), Publish-Subscribe. |

SERIES OF ITU-T RECOMMENDATIONS

| Series A | Organization of the work of ITU-T |
|----------|---|
| Series D | General tariff principles |
| Series E | Overall network operation, telephone service, service operation and human factors |
| Series F | Non-telephone telecommunication services |
| Series G | Transmission systems and media, digital systems and networks |
| Series H | Audiovisual and multimedia systems |
| Series I | Integrated services digital network |
| Series J | Cable networks and transmission of television, sound programme and other multimedia signals |
| Series K | Protection against interference |
| Series L | Environment and ICTs, climate change, e-waste, energy efficiency; construction, installation and protection of cables and other elements of outside plant |
| Series M | Telecommunication management, including TMN and network maintenance |
| Series N | Maintenance: international sound programme and television transmission circuits |
| Series O | Specifications of measuring equipment |
| Series P | Terminals and subjective and objective assessment methods |
| Series Q | Switching and signalling |
| Series R | Telegraph transmission |
| Series S | Telegraph services terminal equipment |
| Series T | Terminals for telematic services |
| Series U | Telegraph switching |
| Series V | Data communication over the telephone network |
| Series X | Data networks, open system communications and security |
| Series Y | Global information infrastructure, Internet protocol aspects, next-generation networks, Internet of Things and smart cities |
| Series Z | Languages and general software aspects for telecommunication systems |