



INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION

**ITU-T**

TELECOMMUNICATION  
STANDARDIZATION SECTOR  
OF ITU

**M.3050.4**

(06/2004)

SERIES M: TMN AND NETWORK MAINTENANCE:  
INTERNATIONAL TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS,  
TELEPHONE CIRCUITS, TELEGRAPHY, FACSIMILE  
AND LEASED CIRCUITS

Telecommunications management network

---

**Enhanced Telecom Operations Map (eTOM) –  
B2B integration: Using B2B inter-enterprise  
integration with the eTOM**

ITU-T Recommendation M.3050.4

---

ITU-T M-SERIES RECOMMENDATIONS

TMN AND NETWORK MAINTENANCE: INTERNATIONAL TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS, TELEPHONE  
CIRCUITS, TELEGRAPHY, FACSIMILE AND LEASED CIRCUITS

Introduction and general principles of maintenance and maintenance organization	M.10–M.299
International transmission systems	M.300–M.559
International telephone circuits	M.560–M.759
Common channel signalling systems	M.760–M.799
International telegraph systems and phototelegraph transmission	M.800–M.899
International leased group and supergroup links	M.900–M.999
International leased circuits	M.1000–M.1099
Mobile telecommunication systems and services	M.1100–M.1199
International public telephone network	M.1200–M.1299
International data transmission systems	M.1300–M.1399
Designations and information exchange	M.1400–M.1999
International transport network	M.2000–M.2999
<b>Telecommunications management network</b>	<b>M.3000–M.3599</b>
Integrated services digital networks	M.3600–M.3999
Common channel signalling systems	M.4000–M.4999

*For further details, please refer to the list of ITU-T Recommendations.*

## **ITU-T Recommendation M.3050.4**

### **Enhanced Telecom Operations Map (eTOM) – B2B integration: Using B2B inter-enterprise integration with the eTOM**

#### **Summary**

ITU-T Recs M.3050.x series contain a reference framework for categorizing the business activities that a service provider will use. The Enhanced Telecom Operations Map<sup>®</sup> (or eTOM for short), which has been developed by the TeleManagement Forum, describes the enterprise processes required by a service provider and analyses them to different levels of detail according to their significance and priority for the business. This business process approach has built on the concepts of Management Services and Functions in order to develop a framework for categorizing all the business activities.

This Recommendation contains guidance on B2B integration.

#### **Source**

ITU-T Recommendation M.3050.4 was approved on 29 June 2004 by ITU-T Study Group 4 (2001-2004) under the ITU-T Recommendation A.8 procedure.

TMF retains ownership of the underlying intellectual property rights expressed in this ITU Recommendation, including copyrights. Appropriate licences are granted for use in an ITU Recommendation.

## FOREWORD

The International Telecommunication Union (ITU) is the United Nations specialized agency in the field of telecommunications. The ITU Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU-T) is a permanent organ of ITU. ITU-T is responsible for studying technical, operating and tariff questions and issuing Recommendations on them with a view to standardizing telecommunications on a worldwide basis.

The World Telecommunication Standardization Assembly (WTSA), which meets every four years, establishes the topics for study by the ITU-T study groups which, in turn, produce Recommendations on these topics.

The approval of ITU-T Recommendations is covered by the procedure laid down in WTSA Resolution 1.

In some areas of information technology which fall within ITU-T's purview, the necessary standards are prepared on a collaborative basis with ISO and IEC.

## NOTE

In this Recommendation, the expression "Administration" is used for conciseness to indicate both a telecommunication administration and a recognized operating agency.

Compliance with this Recommendation is voluntary. However, the Recommendation may contain certain mandatory provisions (to ensure e.g. interoperability or applicability) and compliance with the Recommendation is achieved when all of these mandatory provisions are met. The words "shall" or some other obligatory language such as "must" and the negative equivalents are used to express requirements. The use of such words does not suggest that compliance with the Recommendation is required of any party.

## INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

ITU draws attention to the possibility that the practice or implementation of this Recommendation may involve the use of a claimed Intellectual Property Right. ITU takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of claimed Intellectual Property Rights, whether asserted by ITU members or others outside of the Recommendation development process.

As of the date of approval of this Recommendation, ITU had not received notice of intellectual property, protected by patents, which may be required to implement this Recommendation. However, implementors are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information and are therefore strongly urged to consult the TSB patent database.

© ITU 2005

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, by any means whatsoever, without the prior written permission of ITU.

## CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
1 Scope .....	1
2 References.....	1
3 Definitions .....	2
4 Abbreviations.....	2
5 Introduction .....	2
6 The emergence of e-business within the ICT market .....	2
6.1 What is e-business? .....	3
6.2 Implications of e-business for Service Providers .....	3
6.3 How can a Service Provider migrate towards e-business? .....	4
6.4 A conceptual model for e-business.....	5
7 Main B2B initiatives and concepts .....	7
7.1 Shared public process .....	7
7.2 'Regulated' versus 'unregulated' B2B.....	8
7.3 RosettaNet conceptual model .....	9
7.4 ebXML what is it? .....	10
7.5 What does eTOM need to address? .....	12
8 Extending eTOM for business-to-business interactions .....	12
8.1 eTOM extensions.....	13
8.2 eTOM Public B2B Business Operations Map.....	15
8.3 eTOM Public B2B Business Operations Map (ePBOM) .....	16
8.4 eTOM Public B2B BOM-Level 0 process area.....	20
8.5 Summary.....	21
8.6 References .....	22
Annex A – Overview of RosettaNet and ebXML.....	23
A.1 Concepts .....	23
A.2 Defining public processes.....	23
A.3 The B2B transaction patterns .....	23
A.4 Binary collaborations.....	24
A.5 General structure of a business transaction .....	25
A.6 Example transaction pattern – Request Response .....	26
A.7 Message flows .....	27
A.8 Example transaction pattern – Notification .....	27
Annex B – B2B terminology used in this Recommendation .....	27
B.1 RosettaNet and ebXML terms .....	27
B.2 General e-business terminology .....	29
Annex C – RosettaNet Business Operations Map .....	32
BIBLIOGRAPHY .....	36



## ITU-T Recommendation M.3050.4

### Enhanced Telecom Operations Map (eTOM) – B2B integration: Using B2B inter-enterprise integration with the eTOM

#### 1 Scope

The Enhanced Telecom Operations Map<sup>®</sup> (eTOM) [GB921] has been developed by the TeleManagement Forum as a reference framework for categorizing all the business activities that a service provider will use. It should be noted that the TMF retains ownership of the eTOM and copyright of the underlying IPR. The ITU-T will own the copyright on the M.3050.x series ITU-T Recommendations themselves.

This Recommendation is a part of a series of ITU-T texts dealing with eTOM, and which have the following structure:

- M.3050.0: eTOM – Introduction.
- M.3050.1: eTOM – The business process framework. (TMF GB921 v4.0.)
- M.3050.2: eTOM – Process decompositions and descriptions. (TMF GB921 v4.0 Addendum D.)
- M.3050.3: eTOM – Representative process flows. (TMF GB921 v4.0 Addendum F.)
- M.3050.4: eTOM – B2B integration: Using B2B inter-enterprise integration with the eTOM. (TMF GB921 v4.0 Addendum B.)
- M.3050 Supplement 1: eTOM – ITIL application note. (TMF GB921 v4.0 Addendum L.)
- M.3050 Supplement 2: eTOM – Public B2B Business Operations Map (BOM) (GB921 Addendum C.)
- M.3050 Supplement 3: eTOM to M.3400 mapping.

Additional parts will be published as material becomes available.

This series of ITU-T Recs M.3050.x build on the Management Services approach described in ITU-T Recs M.3010 and M.3200 by developing a Business Process Framework.

This Recommendation contains guidance on B2B Integration. It describes an approach to B2B Inter-enterprise integration with the eTOM.

#### 2 References

The following ITU-T Recommendations and other references contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this Recommendation. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All Recommendations and other references are subject to revision; users of this Recommendation are therefore encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of the Recommendations and other references listed below. A list of the currently valid ITU-T Recommendations is regularly published. The reference to a document within this Recommendation does not give it, as a stand-alone document, the status of a Recommendation.

- ITU-T Recommendation M.3010 (2000), *Principles for a telecommunications management network*.
- ITU-T Recommendation M.3200 (1997), *TMN management services and telecommunications managed areas: overview*.

### 3 Definitions

The following term is defined in ITU-T Rec. M.3050.0:

- a) eTOM

### 4 Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are additional to those defined in ITU-T Rec. M.3050.1:

CPA	Collaboration Protocol Agreement
CPP	Collaboration Protocol Profile
ePBOM	eTOM Public B2B Business Operations Map
IPDR	Internet Protocol Detailed Records
PO	Purchase Order
SMTP	Simple Mail Transfer Protocol
UBL	Universal Business Library

### 5 Introduction

While eTOM is the global *de facto* Business Process Framework at the Enterprise Level for the Telecommunications Industry, specific process frameworks and good practice guides have also been developed for use between enterprises in other industries. Examples include the Supply Chain Council, RosettaNet, Electronic Business XML (ebXML) process frameworks and the Balanced Scorecard.

This Recommendation is part of a set of Recommendations showing how the frameworks and best practices developed and used by other industry sectors can be used together with the eTOM Business Process Framework to provide a richer and more complete Enterprise Business Process Framework.

The key business problem addressed by this Recommendation is to provide an answer to the question:

'What processes does an organization have to put in place in order to deliver automated Business to Business interfaces with its trading partners?'

The key business technical and business issue is:

'How to define the internal processes within an organizations' jurisdiction, and practically link them to public industry B2B processes defined by industry groups?'

This Recommendation introduces e-business, what it is, and the impact that its emergence is having on Service Providers.

A simple model is presented in clause 6 that helps clarify the main concepts that relate to e-business. Clause 7 introduces some of the standardization related activities that have emerged in response to this phenomenon. Clause 8 summarizes the main consideration in extending the eTOM Business Process Framework to support inter-enterprise integration using B2B.

### 6 The emergence of e-business within the ICT market

The application of the latest technologies is transforming access to information, which in turn is revolutionizing the ways enterprises can share the information, and can use it to interact with their customers and suppliers. This enhanced ability to share information is resetting customer expectations; and, as they experience and adapt to this new way of conducting business, with its

improvements in both service and levels of control, they are becoming increasingly intolerant of enterprises that are incapable of delivering to these new standards.

In this new paradigm, success depends on creating new "product offerings and experiences" in which customers see value. Value is now defined in terms of the whole customer experience including things such as fulfilment and repair times. Customers value one-stop shopping, selection choices, personalization of service, and the empowerment gained from self-service. The common denominator is making life easier, simpler and complete for the customer.

To meet and deliver against these new customer expectations, information-centric business designs have to be developed and investment in technology is required to support their implementation. Priorities include the need to integrate and share data with partners and suppliers to give both a better integration of the supply chain, and a unified approach to processes such as order entry, fulfilment delivery, support and billing.

## **6.1 What is e-business?**

e-business is understood as the interaction amongst business partners with the help of information technologies. It refers not only to buying and selling over the Internet (or other computer network), but also to servicing customers and collaborating with business partners.

The term e-business has often been interchanged with the term e-commerce. However, it is becoming increasingly accepted that the use of e-commerce should be restricted to referring to just the subset of web transactions (mainly business-to-consumer) which are used whilst buying and, selling services and goods over the Internet.

An e-business enterprise is an enterprise that utilizes Internet and related technologies to compete effectively in its business space. The technologies enable it to act more efficiently and effectively by facilitating better customer interactions, streamlining interfaces with partners and suppliers and in general, improving the quality and competitiveness of their offerings.

e-business can be characterized as communities of complementary enterprises linked together to create unique virtual business entities that are easy to reconfigure in response to evolving customer needs. The central theme of e-business becomes the delivery of "value" by creating and utilizing end-to-end value streams that are based on an integrated and customer-centric technological foundation. Communities of complementary enterprises are tied by these streams and form an extended enterprise that is transparent to the customer.

A core focus for e-business is therefore on automating relationships between enterprises<sup>1</sup>, in part, because relationships that were previously not possible, are now economically and technically feasible; but also because it also makes possible the streamlining and automation of the existing value network, resulting in significant productivity gains for all parties.

In this Recommendation, value network represents the end-end set of processes and transactions, established between the various suppliers and partners, to create, deliver, bill and support the "product" offered to the customer.

## **6.2 Implications of e-business for Service Providers**

As new technologies and markets emerge, enterprises have to adapt or die. Technologies affect customer needs, while customer needs influence business designs. As new business designs emerge, they affect processes. In turn processes influence customer expectation, and thus the demands on the next generation of technology.

---

<sup>1</sup> These methods may also be used to form relationships between entities within an enterprise where distinct business roles are performed, such as occur between retails and wholesale businesses of a regulated Service Provider.

In response to this new paradigm, it is imperative that enterprises integrate business activities, technology and processes. They must redefine the way in which they operate by using new technology-based business organizational designs and processes, creating new inter-enterprise processes, and integrating these with operational processes to support changing customer requirements. A Service Provider's business management team has to understand what can be enabled by the application of technology to their business processes and then realize a strategy that can underpin the indicated transition. Failure to do so will result in an inability to meet changing customer demands, offerings that lack in quality and perception of value by the customers, and ever increasing costs. Competition from more agile and efficient rivals will lead to the enterprise's demise.

The three principal reasons Service Providers must integrate e-business with traditional business processes are therefore:

- **Customer expectations** and the need to move to an approach that focuses on the management of Customer Relationships and the importance of improving customer retention and increasing the value customers attribute to the enterprise;
- **Productivity gains** and the need to ensure that these can continue to be obtained; and
- **Provision of a broader range of products and services** to customers – this, for the Information and Communications Technology industry (more than almost any other industry), requires a focus on better collaboration between suppliers and partners and integration of the end-end processes.

The capabilities and performance requirements of the end-end processes required in an e-business environment are fundamentally different from those in a traditional business environment. An enterprise that is to transition successfully to e-business must determine the processes they implement based on criteria such as:

- their relevance to their customers' needs;
- the contribution they make to providing an integrated and unique identity for the enterprise; and
- how critical they are to the enterprise's operational performance.

Other considerations that should influence process design include:

- exceptions should be handled excellently, i.e., process problems are identified in real time, and actions to support the customer are taken real time;
- business rules should be easily configured and applied automatically; and
- the ability to treat a process as an asset that can be assessed, replaced, and outsourced, as appropriate, to improve the operation of the enterprise.

### **6.3 How can a Service Provider migrate towards e-business?**

There are several alternative approaches to implementing e-business:

- some enterprises are managing e-business (and e-commerce) aspects within separate units;
- some are overlaying e-business on traditional business operations; and
- yet other enterprises are approaching e-business as a replacement of traditional business channels.


The most successful e-business enterprises integrate e-business and traditional business channels where cost, quality and profit can be best rationalized. This requires much more than just throwing together a set of web-pages to front-end an enterprise, although integrating storefront and Web operations is clearly a key part of the model for some enterprises.

The integration of e-business and traditional business channels is the model that is judged most applicable to Information and Communications Service Providers. Undertaking such integration is typically a substantial exercise. The use of systematic Business Process Frameworks as a basis for structuring the existing business processes (intra-enterprise integration) can have major benefits as it makes it easier to implement and deploy automated e-business channels for inter-enterprise integration.

#### 6.4 A conceptual model for e-business

e-business involves increasingly complex networks of relationships.

Figure 1 depicts the sets of relationship groupings involved in a value network in the ICT industry. The value network must operate with the efficiency of a self-contained enterprise, which requires managing the value network as an end-end process rather than only from the perspective of a single enterprise. The model explicitly shows the use of the eTOM Business Process Framework by the Service Provider at its core. It is only shown here to simplify the figure and its presence is not intended to imply that its use by the Service Provider is prescribed, just that the Service Provider would probably benefit from its use. Likewise, it is not intended to preclude the use of the eTOM Business Process Framework by the other entities shown within the value network. These entities may or may not make use of the eTOM Business Process Framework.



**Figure 1/M.3050.4 – The conceptual model for e-business<sup>2</sup>**

The roles of the entities shown in Figure 1 – the Conceptual Model for e-business – are described below.

##### 6.4.1 Customer

The **Customer** is responsible for ordering, using and (usually) paying for the Service Provider's products. The Customer may represent an end Customer, where the product delivered by the value network is consumed, or a wholesale Customer that resells the product provided, usually with some added value. Depending on the Customer's activities, there may be a further refinement of this role as follows:

The **Subscriber** role is responsible for concluding contracts for the Service Provider's products subscribed to and for paying for these products.

The **End User** role makes use of the products.

<sup>2</sup> Based on P. Keen and M. McDonald, *The e-Process Edge*, Osborne/McGraw-Hill, Berkeley, CA.

#### **6.4.2 Service Provider**

The **Service Provider** presents an integrated view of their products to the Customer. It is responsible for the contractual interface with the Customer to sell products to the Customer, provide the Customer with contact and support, and bill the Customer for the products supplied. The Service Provider can deliver some or all of a product to the Customer itself, or it might subcontract out provision of parts, or even all, of the product to other service providers while maintaining the Customer-facing role of the one-stop shop. The Service Provider is responsible for acting on behalf of the value network that it represents in relationships with Intermediaries as well as with the Customer.

#### **6.4.3 Complementor**

The Complementary Provider extends the product provided by the Service Provider and offers additional capability that the Service Provider is not itself offering to the Customer, i.e., it complements the product being provided by the Service Provider and adds value to it, but is not essential for provision of the product itself. It could act, for instance, as a specialist Content Provider to a Service Provider that is operating a mobile phone service. The Complementary Provider is in a partnership with the Service Provider and can enhance the Service Provider's product to the Customer with its own products, thus making interactions with the Service Provider more attractive and convenient for the Customer. A business relationship between the Complementary Provider and the Customer may exist, depending on the nature of the product being provided and possibly on the business culture of the environment. Frequently, products offered by a Complementary Provider are co-branded.

#### **6.4.4 Intermediary**

The Intermediary supplies a service for a fee. For example, a localized selling function in a market where the Service Provider has a limited presence and/or understanding of, is a typical service provided by an intermediary. The service provided could be an information service enabling Customers to locate Service Providers most appropriate to their specific needs, or the provision of an environment in which providers can make their products known to Customers in an electronic marketplace or trading exchange (infomediary).

At a time of Internet globalization, an Intermediary can play an important role as it can promote market transparency by overcoming the geographic constraints that used to limit knowledge about the products available. Functional intermediaries provide a specific function, such as selling, electronic payment or authentication.

#### **6.4.5 Supplier**

The supplier interacts with the Service Provider in providing hardware, software, solution and services which are assembled by the Service Provider in order to deliver its solutions or services to the Customer. The Service Provider is bounded by its Suppliers' ability to deliver.

Note that individual enterprises can adopt multiple roles in different value networks and also multiple roles within a specific value network, e.g., one role as a retail Service Provider and another role as a wholesale Service Provider (often required by the regulator). For example, a service provider may be the customer-facing service provider in one value network and a complementor or intermediary in another. In today's fast-moving marketplace, these relationships can be very short-lived compared with the more static relationships of the traditional telecommunications market.

## 7 Main B2B initiatives and concepts

The idea of automating inter-enterprise business processes is not a new concept. The EDI (Electronic Data Interchange) standard, which has its roots in the 1970s, represents the first generation of electronic business collaboration systems. Unfortunately it saw relatively limited adoption due to the complexity and cost of the software required to implement the standard.

Development of the Internet has brought new attempts at establishing e-business standards. One of the most successful is that of the RosettaNet consortium, formed in 1998, which provides open platform e-business standards in XML, allowing trading partners to exchange business information via the Internet. Originally the standard was designed for high-tech industry (IT and electronics), but the developed approach also started to serve as a model for other industries. The RosettaNet standards are being successfully implemented by a number of enterprises, e.g., Intel Corporation has been an early adopter of RosettaNet<sup>3</sup>.

Another approach for standardizing e-business world was prepared under the auspices of UN/CEFACT and OASIS and was named ebXML. It provides the technical basis of B2B interfaces. ebXML inherited concepts from both EDI and RosettaNet, but so far has achieved only partial support from the industry. Annex A provides a more detailed overview of both RosettaNet and ebXML.

Web Services developed by World Wide Web Consortium (W3C) are one of the newest initiatives on e-business. They represent a request/response type of communication with no business process semantics. Analysts do not expect the widespread adoption of this technology before 2005.

There is an ongoing discussion on how ebXML and Web Services are related. Most experts claim that these technologies are complementary. The main strengths of ebXML are in inter-enterprise business process integration, while the main advantage of Web Services is in location-independent integration of request/response type message services.


The following clauses present the main concepts and definitions developed by the initiatives mentioned above, which impact the approach that single enterprises using the eTOM Business Process Framework need to consider, when also implementing inter-business processes.

### 7.1 Shared public process

Work by RosettaNet and ebXML have developed the concept of a shared public process to describe the business process framework developed to support inter-business or multi-business processes.

---

<sup>3</sup> In December 2002, Intel reported it processed about 10% of its purchasing volume (i.e., ca. 5 bln USD) with RosettaNet transactions [[www.intel.com/pressroom/archive/releases/20021210comp.htm](http://www.intel.com/pressroom/archive/releases/20021210comp.htm)].



**Figure 2/M.3050.4 – Concept of a public process**

Figure 2 shows an example of a purchasing process. On the left side is a buyer that runs a set of private procurement processes, and on the right side is a seller that runs a set of private supply processes. In this definition, private is used in the context of processes operating within a single enterprise, and not made visible to other enterprises.

The buyer is concerned with selecting a supplier and prices, and the seller is concerned with selling and manufacturing products for supply (e.g., Supply Chain Management, Enterprise Resource Planning).

The public process comprises a set of standardized, published steps, known as Partner Interchange Processes (PIP™ RosettaNet), which both buyer and seller support. A specific collaborative agreement between a buyer and seller will specify the PIPs to be used (from amongst a large number circa 120 currently in the RosettaNet Business Operations Map) and the rules for sequencing them, sometimes known as choreography.

In this approach, what is standardized are these small atomic process steps not the end-to-end process. The set of small process steps and their amalgamation to create an agreed end-end business process between a specific pair of trading parties are captured as part of a Collaboration Protocol Agreement between the two parties. The concept can be extended to multiple parties.

## 7.2 'Regulated' versus 'unregulated' B2B

An alternative approach to the shared process, which is sometimes referred to the 'regulated' approach, is the 'unregulated approach'. This approach is characterized by the nascent work on Web Services. The 'unregulated approach' focuses on very loose collaborations of individuals and enterprises where only weak agreements between trading parties are established. There is clearly going to be convergence in the technology of these two approaches.

### 7.2.1 B2B using Web pages

In the B2B world those solutions that are based on Web pages also fall into the category of unregulated processes. The supplying party creates the Web page structure and input definitions,

and the purchaser simply has to conform to that which is implemented by the supplier. Often there is no technical definition of the interface by the supplier. The problem with this technical approach is that it may be difficult for the purchasers to integrate with their automated internal processes since error conditions may not be declared; and non-functional specifications such as non-repudiation, and time to perform may also be absent; and behaviour may be ambiguous, or undefined.

### 7.2.2 Call centres

The eTOM Business Process Framework uses examples of process interfaces to the suppliers and the customers in the form of process events such as 'the customer calls the operator to report a fault', 'the operator informs the customer that the fault has been cleared'.

These are also examples of unregulated processes since the operator's process is not shared with the customer, and the customer is not constrained to run a specific process with the operator, e.g., only report the fault once.

### 7.3 RosettaNet conceptual model

RosettaNet has developed the general scoping model for B2B interfaces. It is presented in Figure 3.




Figure 3/M.3050.4 – RosettaNet conceptual model

The RosettaNet Conceptual Model outlines the requirements for enterprises to conform to the definition of the public processes, for example those as defined by RosettaNet or ebXML. The model provides an overview of all the technical and business aspects that have to be agreed to specify an interoperable B2B interface. There are essentially four main Quadrants. The model is horizontally divided between the Technical and Business Conceptual Models, and vertically between Process and Information aspects.

The Technical Conceptual Models are largely the domain of integration activities such as NGOSS and are substantially based on work within the W3C Consortium.

From a TMF perspective, the process aspects in the Business Conceptual Models are relevant to the eTOM Business Process Framework, and the Information aspects to the SID [GB922] Models.

Both the Information and the Process aspects of the Business Conceptual Model are divided into what is called Horizontal or Universal industry, and Vertical industry aspects.

In the case of processes, Horizontal processes are the focus of ebXML and RosettaNet and address cross industry sector public processes such as ordering, order progression, invoicing catalogues and prices list exchange between enterprises.

In the case of the eTOM Business Process Framework, it needs to link to both Universal and Vertical processes. ICT and Telecom vertical processes include Assurance – Repair Trouble Ticketing, SLA Management – and some aspects of billing such as real time hot billing, settlements, rebates, etc.

Other aspects covered by the Model are reliable Messaging, Security and Trading Partner Agreements also known as Collaboration Protocol Agreements (CPA).

## 7.4 ebXML what is it?

### 7.4.1 Introduction

The following clauses provide an overview of the ebXML Technical Architecture and the elements of immediate interest. A glossary of terms is found in Annex B. Much of the conceptual basis of ebXML has come from RosettaNet and OASIS.

### 7.4.2 Concept

Figure 4 outlines the concept behind forming e-business integrations.




Figure 4/M.3050.4 – ebXML concept

The notion is as follows:

- 1) Company A can request specifications of e-Business services offered by Company B and others.
- 2) Company A builds their half of the e-Business interface and public process.
- 3) Company A registers their implementation.
- 4) Company B acquires details of Company A's implementation.
- 5) Companies A and B form an agreement to trade via their respective interfaces.
- 6) Enterprises commence exchange of business documents.

#### **7.4.3 ebXML technical architecture**

The elements of the ebXML technical architecture that facilitate this process are:

- 1) An ebXML Registry/Repository based on an agreed information model and variety of services to manage data within registries.
- 2) A Business Process Specification Schema supporting the description of B2B public processes in a declarative fashion.
- 3) An information model for Collaboration Protocol Profiles and Agreements supporting the description of a trading partner's capabilities, and the role they play in B2B public processes, together with the forming of agreements between parties to participate in instances of such public processes.
- 4) A messaging service supporting the secure and reliable exchange of business documents between partners participating in such public processes.

#### **7.4.4 ebXML registry/repository**

The previous clauses showed how organizations use the ebXML Repository. However there is an issue of how the Repository is created, and what content is contained within it.

ebXML has only partially addressed the requirements for content of the ebXML Repository:

Core Components: These are components, which appear in many different circumstances of business information and in many different areas of business. A core component is a common or "general" building block that basically can be used across several business sectors. It is therefore context free. It also represents a way to align the process development of the eTOM team, with the Information and Data modelling of the SID team.

Universal Business Library (UBL) that is organized around Business Message types such as Order, Order Response, Order Cancellation, Receipt Advice, Dispatch Advice, Invoice. These Business Transactions also record Business Information Entities (BIE) relevant to the UBL Business Transactions.

ebXML assumes that their core work will be extended to support vertical industry segments. However, no specific arrangements have been put in place to achieve this goal.

In the IT and software industries RosettaNet has extended these definitions.

RosettaNet has defined a Business Operations Map that provides the taxonomy of Business Transactions.

Currently no equivalent of the RosettaNet Business Operations Map (BOM) has been created by the ICT industry.

Application Note GB921C provides an initial proposal for such an ICT B2B Business Operations Map. It is expected that this BOM will be adopted by either the TMF on behalf of the ICT industry or by means of some collaboration amongst industry organizations such as the TMF, RosettaNet, and ITU-T SG 4 (Global Telecom Data Dictionary).

#### **7.4.5 Elements of immediate interest**

The ebXML family of standards is designed to allow piecemeal implementation.

The standards of immediate appeal are:

- 1) ebXML Messaging Service as a means of transferring business documents (both XML and non-XML) securely over the Internet via https or SMTP.
- 2) The Business Process Specification Schema (BPSS) as a means of documenting Telecom B2B public processes as a sequence of Business Transactions.
- 3) Core Components (CC) as a means of documenting Information related to Business Transactions and capturing the semantics of models, relations and data in a standardized and agreed way.

#### **7.5 What does eTOM need to address?**

The eTOM Business Process Framework needs to be extended to provide an externally-oriented inter-enterprise process framework that is a sound basis for understanding e-business oriented organizational structures and which facilitates transitions from, or extensions of, existing Business channel structures towards those that embrace e-business.

In order to meet these goals, the eTOM Business Process Framework needs to address the inter-enterprise trading B2B relationships. The approach recommended is based upon the notion of a public process, a concept used within the existing work of RosettaNet and the UN/CEFACT ebXML. This provides a sound conceptual and technical basis for automating the inter-enterprise interfaces.

Clause 8 describes how the eTOM Business Process Framework can be extended to support e-business based on the principle of Public Processes. Part of this requires extension of the eTOM Business Process Framework to support all the process flows described in Figure 4, and agreement on the content of the ebXML Repository to be used in conjunction with these extensions of the eTOM. The extension will be achieved by developing and documenting an externally-oriented process framework based on publicly available and adopted processes where relevant to the ICT industry. This external process framework is called the eTOM Public B2B Business Operations Map and is documented in GB921C.

Clause 8 describes these extensions to the eTOM Business Process Framework and analyses the linkages between them and other work in the industry.

### **8 Extending eTOM for business-to-business interactions**

In the evolving ICT industry, the traditional vertically integrated Telecom industry structure is breaking down and being replaced by an arrangement of horizontal structured trading partners that supply competitive services to one another to form a 'Value Chain'.

Deregulation, a common trend across most of the developed world, is requiring operators to open up their networks and provide a number of Wholesale products to others in the ICT value chain, including their own new business units in these adjacent industries.

This brings the need to maintain the same levels of process efficiency and automation between enterprises as is being developed within a single organization. This, coupled with the regulatory pressure on interconnect prices, means that there is a strong and growing business case for automating B2B interfaces between enterprises to maintain efficiency, and lower costs for end customers.

The Value Chain Market Center document on 'Value Chain Issues facing the ICT industry' TR 128 has carried out an impact analysis of B2B trends on the TMF technical work including the eTOM Business Process Framework.

To operate end-to-end processes across multiple trading partners, it is necessary to have a process design approach to combining and linking B2B public processes with the internal processes of cooperating trading partners.

## 8.1 eTOM extensions

Much of the conceptual basis for extending the eTOM Business Process Framework comes from integrating the frameworks used for B2B interactions, notably those based on the work of RosettaNet and the UN/CEFACT ebXML groups, with the eTOM Business Process Framework.

B2B implies a certain structure and discipline in the way that B2B transactions are structured, defined and sequenced. Moreover, they focus solely on the processes between enterprises; whereas the eTOM Business Process Framework to date has focused primarily upon the internal processes needed within a single enterprise, whilst recognizing the need to support external interactions within the single enterprise view.

Trading partners may or may not be using an internal process model based upon the eTOM Business Process Framework, but this is not important for the development of successful end-end process interactions. Essentially the B2B public processes are synchronizing the internal processes of two different trading enterprises. A particular challenge for B2B is to maintain the integrity of the B2B public process between two badly behaved trading partner internal business processes/applications.

The eTOM Business Process Framework has to be extended in at least one, and possibly two, areas to support interaction with B2B transactions based on ebXML and RosettaNet Public Processes:

- the mandatory extension is to expand the definition of appropriate parts of eTOM to ensure that the external interactions with the ebXML and RosettaNet public processes as indicated in Figure 4 are supported; and
- a potential extension is to develop an initial proposal of the content of the ebXML repository/RosettaNet Business Operations Map (BOM) relevant to the support of the eTOM Inter-Enterprise viewpoint. In this Recommendation, this is referred to as the eTOM Public B2B Business Operations Map (BOM). In other words, a specific tailoring of the public process models and definitions held in the external repositories to facilitate trading within the ICT industry (given that these models and definitions were initially developed to support other industries);
- supporting ebXML processes within the eTOM.


In the ebXML, there are a number of public processes supported and/or used by potential and actual Trading Partners to establish, and then use, the trading relationship. For those trading partners using the eTOM Business Process Framework, the mapping of the ebXML processes described in Figure 4 to the eTOM Business Process Framework level 1 processes are contained in Table 1. Company A (Figure 4) is considered to be the 'Seller' and Company B the 'Buyer'.

Table 1 is a preliminary mapping based on ITU-T Rec. M.3050.2.

**Table 1/M.3050.4 – Mapping of ebXML process steps to eTOM Level 1 process groupings**

<b>ebXML e-business integration process steps (From Figure 4)</b>	<b>eTOM process grouping supporting this function</b>
Request Business Details	For Company A: SIP: Marketing and Offer Management For Company B: SIP: Supply Chain Development and Management Note both of these retrieve content from the ebXML repository which in this context is the eTOM Public B2B Business Operations Map (ePBOM)
Build Local Implementation: Company A builds their half of the e-Business interface and public process	Company A: SIP: Resource Development & Management, plus SIP: Infrastructure Lifecycle Management
Company A registers their implementation	For Company A: Supply Chain Development & Management (SCD&M) Processes: Supply Chain Capability Delivery
Company B can request specifications of e-Business services offered by Company A	Company B: Supply Chain Development & Management (SCD&M) Processes: Supply Chain Capability Delivery
Companies A and B form an agreement to trade via their respective interfaces	Company A: Marketing and Offer Management: Marketing Capability Delivery, and Supply Chain Development & Management: Supply Chain Capability Delivery Company B: Supply Chain Development & Management (SCD&M) Processes: Supply Chain Capability Delivery
Enterprises commence exchange of business documents.	Company A: Operations: CRM: Customer Interface Management (CIM) Company B: Operations: S/PRM: Supplier/Partner Interface Management (SPIM)

Figure 5 of the eTOM Business Process Framework shows where B2B impacts the detailed eTOM Business Process Framework processes groupings.



**Figure 5/M.3050.4 – Mapping of ebXML process steps on eTOM business process framework**

The numbers that appear in Figure 5 refer to ebXML e-business Integration Process Steps of Table 1.

It can be seen that the main areas of impact are in the SIP Area of eTOM v4.0 rather than the Operations Area.

## 8.2 eTOM Public B2B Business Operations Map

The ebXML RosettaNet model for Public Processes presumes the existence of a repository. Conceptually this repository is owned by an industry group. The Value Chain Market Centre 'Issues Facing players in the ICT industry' has identified that the main industry libraries do not yet give adequate coverage of the B2B public processes needed for ICT.

B2B public processes have to be based upon B2B standards being developed outside the TMF and are, therefore, partially under the design control of external authorities.

This clause proposes the development of a public eTOM B2B Business Operations Map that is the conceptual repository used for holding public B2B Business Transactions or PIPs.

The TMF in cooperation with other organizations might choose in the future to establish a role to develop and manage such a repository.

### **8.2.1 Relevance to B2B for the eTOM**

The main areas relevant to the creation of an eTOM Public B2B BOM are the Process and Information areas of the RosettaNet Conceptual Model – see Figure 3.

In the RosettaNet Partner Interchange Process (PIP™) it is presumed that the technical framework is based upon the RosettaNet Implementation Framework (RNIF), and also that Business Documents and Public Processes are defined in each PIP.

ebXML is following the same conceptual model as RosettaNet but with some improvements.

Specifically:

- Business Process Specification Schema (BPSS) that describes how a multiparty multi-stage process can be decomposed into Business Transaction Activities that align with the RosettaNet PIP concept of an atomic process component;
- the Business Transaction Activities comply with six business transaction patterns that cover request/reply and notification functions, with varying levels of non-repudiation, and reliability;
- the modelling of business documents is separated from the Business Transactions which allows existing e-commerce libraries to evolve, e.g., CBL and OAGIS; and
- recent work on Core Components and Assembly Documents are providing a flexible way of creating and extending business documents based upon fragments of specifications that are individually registered.

More information on ebXML and RosettaNet is contained in Annex A.

The eTOM Public B2B BOM would contain all the elements described in the RosettaNet Conceptual Model and conceptually the registry/repository aspects of ebXML. However, the primary focus for this Recommendation will be on the process aspects namely:

- Universal Business Processes; and
- Vertical Industry Processes for the ICT industry.

### **8.3 eTOM Public B2B Business Operations Map (ePBOM)**

In ITU-T Rec. M.3050.1, the concept of an external environment was introduced for establishing and operating inter-organizational processes. The B2B External Environment is a specific form of inter-organizational processes that are based on the use of e-commerce methods and technologies. The RosettaNet Conceptual Model presented in 7.3 describes all of the aspects that need to be addressed by the B2B External Environment.

The main focus for the eTOM Public B2B BOM is the Business Conceptual Model. The issues addressed in the RosettaNet Technical Conceptual Model, which focuses on technical matters such as XML specification languages, process specifications are not addressed in this Recommendation. The details of such topics are more appropriate to NGOSS.

### 8.3.1 B2B external environment – Process components




Figure 6/M.3050.4 – eTOM Public B2B BOM – Public processes

Using the RosettaNet model there are three main process aspects that need to be captured and modelled in the public B2B External Environment.


- The B2B Transaction Pattern templates – RosettaNet and ebXML are both based on the definition of atomic Business Transactions. These utilize six basic transaction patterns. As each Business Transaction Pattern has a supplier and a seller side this corresponds to 12 process templates.
- Horizontal/Universal Business Operations Map. This area has to provide a public process decomposition hierarchy for public process component/Business Transactions that are cross industry. The obvious approach in this area is to use the RosettaNet and ebXML Business Operations Maps that cover mostly Ordering and Invoicing processes. Currently the RosettaNet Business Operations Map is more detailed than the ebXML work that is not yet at an approved status.
- Vertical Industry Business Operations Map. This area has to provide a public process decomposition hierarchy for public process component/Business Transactions that are specific to the telecommunications and ICT industry: examples are Assurance, Service Level Agreement, Management and Billing (beyond simple invoicing). Many of the issues Identified by the VC-MC work are related to missing Vertical Industry public process components.

The need to incorporate public process hierarchies developed in other industry groups is a strong rationale for an eTOM Public B2B BOM document that is separate and distinct (GB921C) from the eTOM Business Process Framework process groups (ITU-T Rec. M.3050.2) that are solely under the design control of the TMF.

### 8.3.2 B2B environment – Information entities

Experience with process modelling shows that it is also necessary to specify and document information entities at some level. This aligns with the RosettaNet experiences. The information that needs to be specified includes:

- Horizontal/Universal Business Dictionaries, structure and content covering general business information, company identifiers, currency codes, country codes, etc.
- Technical or Vertical Dictionary, structure and content covering ICT specific information such as telephone numbers, circuit Identifiers, locations, etc.



M.3050-4\_F07

**Figure 7/M.3050.4 – eTOM public B2B BOM – Information**

In these areas there are significant practical difficulties in making specific and concrete selections.

- For Horizontal/Universal dictionaries several commercial universal XML based dictionaries are in place, for example, the Commerce One Common Business Library (CBL) and work in the OAGIS group. For the moment ebXML has not produced a specific dictionary other than the definition of general trade terms in its parent organization UN/CEFACT. It has not defined specific XML tags, which are important for interoperability.
- For Technical Dictionaries the work of RosettaNet has focused on the definitions in the fulfilment area with the well-established needs of the IT and Electronics industry that also provides partial coverage of the ICT industry needs – specifically ordering and provisioning some physical equipment. What it does not cover are terms needed for ICT services such as interconnection point, locations designation and many other service-related parameters.

These missing information definitions may be addressed by the ITU-T tML initiatives in Study Group 4. The ITU work on a Global Telecom Data Dictionary (GTDD) is also relevant to the vertical Telecom Dictionary structure.

The structuring and choice of the Dictionary structures is obviously dependent on the appropriate choices for Universal and Technical Dictionaries. However, at this stage of the eTOM Public B2B BOM development, these information aspects are secondary to the process aspects. The structuring and definitions of B2B information entities in the eTOM Public B2B BOM is not considered further at this time. It is expected that this will be addressed when the eTOM Public B2B Business Operations Map has been developed further.

The remainder of this clause focuses solely on the process component aspects of the B2B External Environment.

### **8.3.3 RosettaNet Business Operations Map (BOM) overview**

RosettaNet has approved the structuring and organization of its process components – Partner Interchange Processes (PIP™) using an arrangement called a Business Operations Map (BOM) which is conceptually identical to many of the eTOM Process Groupings and decompositions, except it has been produced specifically to support inter-enterprise process interactions. ebXML also uses the term BOM to classify process components.

The structure of this map is to divide the problem domain firstly into Clusters and then to divide Clusters into Segments that contain the PIPs. The purpose of the BOM is to provide a classification structure that can evolve and provide the basis for evolving the elementary process components.

# { ROSETTANET } BOM Clusters

- **Cluster 0: RosettaNet Support**
  - Provides administrative functionality.
- **Cluster 1: Partner Product and Service Review**
  - Allows information collection, maintenance and distribution for the development of trading-partner profiles and product-information subscriptions.
- **Cluster 2: Production Information**
  - Enables distribution and periodic update of product and detailed design information, including product change notices and product technical specifications.
- **Cluster 3: Order Management**
  - Supports full order management business area from price and delivery quoting through purchase order initiation, status reporting, and management. Order invoicing, payment and discrepancy notification also managed using this Cluster of processes.
- **Cluster 4: Inventory Management**
  - Enables inventory management, including collaboration, replenishment, price protection, reporting and allocation of constrained product.
- **Cluster 5: Marketing Information Management**
  - Enables communication of marketing information, including campaign plans, lead information and design registration.
- **Cluster 6: Service and Support**
  - Provides post-sales technical support, service warranty and asset management capabilities.
- **Cluster 7: Manufacturing**
  - Enables the exchange of design, configuration, process, quality and other manufacturing floor information to support the "Virtual Manufacturing" environment.

**Figure 8/M.3050.4 – RosettaNet Business Operations Map – Clusters**

RosettaNet defines eight clusters that cover a part of the eTOM Public B2B BOM process requirements.

Each Cluster is further subdivided into segments that enumerate the elementary process components (PIP™) and are equivalent to the ebXML Business Transaction Activities (BTA). These Clusters correspond to eTOM Business Process Framework Level 1 processes.

An example of the 3A segment of the Cluster 3 is shown below. The things to note are that the Cluster would support external B2B interactions with the Fulfilment Process Group of the eTOM Business Process Framework and also has the concept of covering the pre-order and order phases.

## Cluster 3: Order Management

- **Segment 3A: Quote and Order Entry**  
Allows partners to exchange price and availability information, quotes, purchase orders and order status, and enables partners to send requested orders, or shopping carts, to other partners.
  - PIP 3A1: Request Quote.
  - PIP 3A2: Request Price and Availability.
  - PIP 3A3: Request Shopping Cart Transfer.
  - PIP 3A4: Request Purchase Order.
  - PIP 3A5: Query Order Status.
  - PIP 3A6: Distribute Order Status.
  - PIP 3A7: Notify of Purchase Order Update.
  - PIP 3A8: Request Purchase Order Change.
  - PIP 3A9: Request Purchase Order Cancellation.
  - PIP 3A10: Notify of Quote Acknowledgement.
  - PIP 3A11: Notify of Authorization to Build.
  - PIP 3A12: Notify of Authorization to Ship.
  - PIP 3A13: Notify of Purchase Order (Information).
  - PIP 3A14: Distribute Planned Order.

### **Figure 9/M.3050.4 – RosettaNet Business Operations Map – Cluster 3 Order Management**

The RosettaNet BOM classifies the PIPs in a way that may or may not be convenient for users in the ICT industry. This means that if the RosettaNet public process components are adopted for the eTOM Public B2B BOM, then some form of mapping between the eTOM Public B2B BOM and the single enterprise version of the eTOM Business Process Framework is necessary.

#### **8.3.4 Vertical telecommunication industry B2B Business Operations Map**

At the present time there is no comprehensive ICT/Telecom Business Operations Map. The work of the ITU-T SG 4 tML and GTDD activities, and national groups such as the ANSI T1\* tML group and the UK Telco B2B Forum seem to be natural places for standardizing the vertical telecommunication industry B2B BOM. From the perspective of defining these public processes, the eTOM Business Process Framework can form a major contribution to this work.

#### **8.4 eTOM Public B2B BOM-Level 0 process area**


A major requirement is to develop a structure that allows the inclusion of public process hierarchy structures brought in from cross Industry groups such as RosettaNet and ebXML AND to incorporate structures to support ICT/Telecomm Verticals.

A description of the RosettaNet Business Operations Map is included in ITU-T Rec. M.3050 Supplement 2.

The proposal is to use the structure shown below (Figure 10) to organize the eTOM Public B2B Business Operations Map (ePBOM):

---

\* T1 standards are maintained since November 2003 by ATIS.



**Figure 10/M.3050.4 – eTOM Public B2B Business Operations Map Level 0 and 1**

Figure 10 shows the eTOM Public B2B Business Operations Map (BOM) Level 0/Level 1 view. The eTOM Public B2B Business Operations Map Level 0 process is decomposed into three inter-organizational processes areas:

- those associated with supporting the SIP Process Area;
- those associated with supporting the Operations Process Area; and
- those associated with supporting the Enterprise Management Process Area.

The rationale for this structure is that organizations wishing to define end-to-end process flows will find it easier to discover relevant B2B Business Transactions if they are organized in a way that can easily be related to eTOM Process Groupings.

Whilst the ePBOM is primarily concerned with vertical industry processes, there is some overlap in the Fulfilment area with the industry horizontal BOMs such as RosettaNet. The figure shows an example of a RosettaNet PIP 3A4 being referenced by both the eTOM Public B2B BOM Fulfilment Process Grouping, and the RosettaNet net Cluster 3. Referencing these from the ePBOM makes the eBPOM a practically useful tool for organizations defining and developing automated B2B Processes.

The majority of the proposed eTOM Public B2B BOM Fulfilment Process Grouping will be aligned with the RosettaNet BOM. However, concepts absent from RosettaNet such as the ANSI T1\*/ITU-T Unified Ordering Model that divides ordering into pre-order, order and post-order phases can be captured in the eTOM Public B2B BOM and reference the individual process components in RosettaNet Clusters.

Conceptually this simply means that the proposed eTOM Public B2B BOM (GB921C) needs to accommodate external classification schemes that are already in widespread use through the use of external references.

## 8.5 Summary

'What processes does an organization have to put in place in order to deliver automated Business to Business interfaces with its trading partners?' The answer to this question has been documented in

\* T1 standards are maintained since November 2003 by ATIS.

8.2. This identifies the internal eTOM Process Groups where the internal processes have to be designed and developed to support public B2B processes, and automated interfaces. The concept of a public process has been described and the need for a public registry of process activities has been explained.

This Recommendation has provided the first analysis of the Process Grouping appropriate to support the eTOM Public B2B Business Operations Map because the work links into industry activities such as ebXML, RosettaNet and other groups.

The detailed definition of the eTOM Public B2B Business Operations Map is developed in GB921C.

The level of analysis in this Recommendation together with GB921C is sufficient to allow practical definition of end-to-end supply chain processes amongst trading organizations, some using the definition contained in the eTOM Public B2B Business Process Framework as a starting point for modelling; and some using proprietary or other internal process models.

The specifications in the B2B industry are evolving and this work is based on information available as of May 2003.

A specific issue that needs some care is that whilst RosettaNet has the most comprehensive set of specifications that they currently bundle specific choices of technical solutions, e.g., RosettaNet Implementation Framework (RNIF) and specific document types to the process activities (PIPs).

The modelling of information for the ICT industry sector is a major challenge and this is likely to lead to an evolution from the RosettaNet mechanisms for capturing data dictionaries and different ways of structuring the business documents that are exchanged. RosettaNet itself is one of the leading partners for the evolution of these standards. The most notable is ebXML OASIS Content Assembly Mechanism (CAM) which will lead to more systematic ways of defining Business Documents that support change management more efficiently.

Readers are strongly advised to track the most update materials from ebXML, RosettaNet, ITU-T and OASIS.

## 8.6 References

### 8.6.1 Referenced organizations

Reference	Description	Brief use summary
RosettaNet	Consortium developing B2B solution for electronic trading in the IT and electronics industry <a href="http://www.RosettaNet.org">www.RosettaNet.org</a>	
VC-MC	Value Chain Issues facing the ICT Industry TR128 v0.5 June 2002 Member evaluation version	Describes the main issues and direction of B2B for telecom/ICT industry and does an initial impact analysis on the technical aspect of TMF work.

Reference	Description	Brief use summary
ebXML	Working group established under the UN/CEFACT group responsible for developing XML based solutions for B2B <a href="http://www.ebXML.org">www.ebXML.org</a> <a href="http://www.ebtwg.org">www.ebtwg.org</a>	

## Annex A

### Overview of RosettaNet and ebXML

#### A.1 Concepts

RosettaNet and ebXML both have the concept of atomic elementary process components. In both cases these atomic process components comply with six transaction patterns.

ebXML also addresses the coordination of these atomic processes amongst multiple trading partners.

#### A.2 Defining public processes

RosettaNet has developed a model for defining public processes between trading partners based on defining atomic process components called Partner Interchange Processes (PIP™). End-to-end processes are formed by sequencing these atomic process components.

ebXML (electronic business Extensible Mark-up Language) has adopted this concept and has made a number of changes to terminology but basically following the same conceptual model for forming end-to-end B2B processes.

RosettaNet PIPs are a tightly coupled package as they define:

- the message transport (RNIF);
- the business transaction patterns;
- the non-functional aspects: non-repudiation, time to perform;
- the XML message structures using Document Type Definitions (DTDs); and
- the business dictionaries and technical dictionaries for the IT and software industries.

ebXML captures the essential business transactions but allows more flexibility in the following areas:

- use of XML schemas;
- multiple libraries for universal processes and business dictionaries; and
- technical dictionaries for multiple industry sectors.

Current work is codifying a scheme for assembling business documents from predefined assemblies that will create a more structured and re-useable business document format than RosettaNet PIPs. This work is carried out with OAGIS under the title Content Assembly Mechanism (CAM).

#### A.3 The B2B transaction patterns

The six transaction patterns are:


- **Business Transaction (Commercial Transaction)**  
Implies a contract formation process between two business partners.

- **Request/Response**  
Used to obtain dynamic information, i.e., information that the responding partner has that requires a complex, inter-dependent set of results. An example might be to get a quote.
- **Request/Confirm**  
Used to get status information on some business activity, for example, to get the status of an order that was placed earlier. It may require manual intervention to process the request and require long time than query response.
- **Query/Response**  
Used to directly obtain (automatically) static information that the responding partner has, probably in a database. An example might be to get a catalogue.
- **Information Distribution**  
Used to informally pass information from the requesting partner to the responding partner and therefore has no non-repudiation requirements.
- **Notification**  
As for Information Distribution but no business response is expected, but a delivery receipt must be returned.

#### A.4 Binary collaborations

In practical e-business situations B2B involves the exchange of Business Documents amongst multiple trading partners. Three issues have to be addressed:


- decomposition of multiparty trading arrangement into a set of bilateral trading arrangements;
- describing the coordination of the Business Transactions amongst the trading partners; and
- describing the coordination and the sequencing of Business Transactions for a single Binary Collaboration between two trading partners.



**Figure A.1/M.3050.4 – BPSS model business transactions**

BPSS focused on the relationship of a pair of trading roles supported by Business Transactions that are implemented by the exchange of documents and sequenced using a choreography.

The method for handling complex multiparty collaborations in ebXML is illustrated below (see Figure A.2):




**Figure A.2/M.3050.4 – From multiparty collaborations to business transactions**

This diagram shows that part of the B2B environment is about B2B collaborations amongst multiple Trading Partners. The BPSS specification allows these multiparty collaborations to be expressed as a series of binary collaborations between pairs of trading partners operating in a Buyer and Seller roles respectively, together with a definition of the choreography. The Binary Business Collaborations are further divided into Business Transaction Activities that are the atomic unit of work and correspond to the concept of a PIP in RosettaNet.

NOTE – Business Transaction Activity is the formally defined name in BPSS but often the informal name Business Transaction is used in the descriptions.

### A.5 General structure of a business transaction

There is a concept in ebXML and RosettaNet of business signals that are distinct from document flows. See Figure A.3.




**Figure A.3/M.3050.4 – Business documents and business signals**

Business signals are application level documents that 'signal' the current state of the business transaction. These business signals have specific business purposes and are separate from lower protocol and transport signals.

The example above shows a simple exchange of a business document and a response such as an Order Request and Order Confirmation document containing additional information such as order reference appointment times, etc. In addition to the Business Documents, three Business Signals are defined that are used to ensure that the state of the transaction is synchronized between requesting and responding activities in the buy and seller enterprises.

The structures of ebXML Business Signals are 'universal' and do not vary from transaction to transaction. They are defined as part of the ebXML *Business Process Specification Schema*. ([www.ebxml.org](http://www.ebxml.org) ebBPSS.doc).


### A.6 Example transaction pattern – Request Response




M.3050-4\_FA.6

This pattern is different to the query/response pattern in that it is used to obtain dynamic information, i.e., information that the responding partner has that requires a complex, interdependent set of results. An example might be to get a quote. This pattern requires some business processing by the responding partner before a result can be returned, which may include manual intervention. It has similar constraints on transaction properties to the query/response pattern, except that it optionally has non-repudiation requirements. The pattern does not imply any contractual obligations.

## A.7 Message flows



## A.8 Example transaction pattern – Notification



This pattern is used to formally pass information from the requesting partner to the responding partner and therefore has non-repudiation requirements. No business response is expected, but a delivery receipt must be returned.

## Annex B

### B2B terminology used in this Recommendation

This Annex identifies the important terms, abbreviations and acronyms relevant to this Recommendation. The main GB921 document contains general terminology and acronyms.

#### B.1 RosettaNet and ebXML terms

The following terms are used:

### **B.1.1 ebXML**

A family of B2B repository, modelling and messaging standards defined by UN/CEFACT in conjunction with OASIS.

Source: ebXML

### **B.1.2 ebXML messaging service**

A SOAP based protocol for the reliable exchange of Business Messages containing Business Documents.

Source: ebXML

### **B.1.3 Business processes**

Activities that a business can engage in (and for which it would generally want one or more partners). A Business Process is formally recorded in XML form conforming to the Business Process Specification Schema but may also be modelled in UML.

Source: ebXML

### **B.1.4 Business process specification schema**

A schema defined to allow the exchange of business process information between partners in XML form. The BPSS information model is derived from the notions of the UN/CEFACT Modelling Method for modelling B2B business processes.

Source: ebXML

### **B.1.5 Collaboration – BPSS**

A business process enacted between two or more business partners fulfilling particular roles. Collaborations can be binary (two partners) or multiparty (more than two partners).

Collaborations can also be nested.

The lowest level of collaboration is comprised of Business Transaction Activities (process steps involving the exchange of one or two Business Documents).

### **B.1.6 Collaboration Protocol Profile (CPP)**

A profile filed with a Registry by a business wishing to engage in ebXML transactions. The CPP will specify some Business Processes of the business, as well as some Business Service Interfaces it supports.

Source: ebXML

### **B.1.7 Collaboration Protocol Agreement (CPA)**

In essence, a contract between two or more enterprises that can be derived automatically from the CPPs of the respective enterprises. If a CPP says "I can do X", a CPA says "We will do X together".

Source: ebXML

### **B.1.8 Business partner role – BPSS**

A role to be fulfilled by one of the partners in executing Business Collaboration.

Source: ebXML

### **B.1.9 Business transaction activity – BPSS**

A process step within a Business Collaboration.

Source: ebXML

### **B.1.10 Business transaction – BPSS**

A re-usable exchange of business documents invoked by one or more Business Transaction Activities.

Source: ebXML

### **B.1.11 Business document – BPSS**

A document exchanged between one Role and another within a Business Transaction.

### **B.1.12 Business messages**

The actual information communicated as part of a business transaction. A message will contain multiple layers. At the outside layer, an actual communication protocol must be used (such as HTTP or SMTP). SOAP is an ebXML recommendation as an envelope for a message "payload". (Business Documents.) Other layers may deal with encryption or authentication.

Source: ebXML

### **B.1.13 Registry**

A central server that stores a variety of data necessary to make ebXML work. Amongst the information a Registry makes available in XML form are:

Business Process & Information Meta Models, Core Library, Collaboration Protocol Profiles, and Business Library.

Basically, when a business wants to start an ebXML relationship with another business, it queries a Registry in order to locate a suitable partner and to find information about requirements for dealing with that partner.

Source: ebXML

## **B.2 General e-business terminology**

Definitions are provided here for common terms concerning Business processes and the activities occurring within them. Common terminology makes it easier for Service Providers to communicate with their Customers, Suppliers and Partners.

For the eTOM documentation to be understood and used effectively, it is essential that the wording listed here be interpreted using the meanings provided, rather than common usage or specific usage.

### **B.2.1 Complementary provider**

The Complementary Provider provides additional products and services to extend the attractiveness of an enterprise's products and services and scope of its value network. Frequently, these products and services are co-branded.

### **B.2.2 Customer**

The Customer buys products and services from the Enterprise or receives free offers or services. A Customer may be a person or a business.

### **B.2.3 e-business**

e-business includes the Internet presence and buy and sell transaction over digital media of e-commerce. It also includes the integration of front- and back-office processes and applications to provide support and bill for the product or service. For eTOM it is even more expansive. e-business is the integration of traditional business models and approaches with e-business opportunities.

### **B.2.4 e-commerce**

e-commerce is Internet presence and business buying and selling transactions over digital media.

### **B.2.5 End user**

The **End User** is the actual user of the Products or Services offered by the Enterprise. The end user consumes the product or service. See also Subscriber below.

### **B.2.6 Enterprise**

Enterprise is used to refer to the overall business, corporation or firm, which is using eTOM for modelling its business processes. The enterprise is responsible for delivering products and services to the Customer. It is assumed that the enterprise is an Information and Communications Service Provider (see ICSP explanation below).

### **B.2.7 Entity**

Entity is used to mean a person, a business, technology, etc. with which a process interacts. The Customer is the most important Entity. The Enterprise Management processes interact with Government, Regulators, Competitors, Media, Shareholders, the Public, Unions and Lobby groups. The Supplier and Partner Management Processes interact with Dealers, Retailers, Partners, Brokers, Third-Party Providers, Complementary Provider, Financial Provider, Service Suppliers, and Material Suppliers.

### **B.2.8 Information and Communications Service Provider (ICSP)**

A Service Provider Enterprise that sells Information and/or Communications Services to other parties.

### **B.2.9 Intermediary**

Within the Value Network, the Intermediary performs a function on behalf of the Enterprise that is a part of the Enterprise's operational requirements. Intermediaries provide products and services that the enterprise either cannot provide itself or chooses not to due to cost and quality considerations. There are typically three categories of intermediaries: sales, fulfilment, and information and communication.

### **B.2.10 Offer**

An offer is an aggregation or bundling of Products or Services for sale to a Customer.

### **B.2.11 Outsourcing**

Outsourcing is when an enterprise contracts out one or more of its internal processes and/or functions out to an outside company. Outsourcing moves enterprise resources to an outside enterprise and keeping a retained capability to manage the relationship with the outsourced processes.

### **B.2.12 Out-tasking**

Out-tasking is when an enterprise contracts with outside enterprise to provide a process, function or capability without transfer of resource. The enterprise begins using the other enterprise's capabilities directly and electronically.

### **B.2.13 Partner**

A Partner has a stronger profit and risk-sharing component in their Business Agreement with the Enterprise, than a Supplier would have. A Partner generally is more visible to the Enterprise's customer than a Supplier would be. A partner might be part of an alliance, a joint service offering, etc.

#### **B.2.14 Process**

A process describes a systematic, sequenced set of functional activities that deliver a specified result. In other words, a process is a sequence of related activities or tasks required to deliver results or outputs.

#### **B.2.15 Product**

Product is what an entity (supplier) offers or provides to another entity (customer). Product may include service, processed material, software or hardware or any combination thereof. A product may be tangible (e.g., goods) or intangible (e.g., concepts) or a combination thereof. However, a product ALWAYS includes a service component.

#### **B.2.16 Resource**

Resources represent physical and non-physical components used to construct Services. They are drawn from the Application, Computing and Network domains, and include, for example, Network Elements, software, IT systems, and technology components.

#### **B.2.17 Service**

Services are developed by a Service Provider for sale within Products. The same service may be included in multiple products, packaged differently, with different pricing, etc.

#### **B.2.18 Service Provider (SP)**

See under Information and Communications Service Provider (ICSP).

#### **B.2.19 Subscriber**

The Subscriber is responsible for concluding contracts for the services subscribed to and for paying for these services.

#### **B.2.20 Supplier**

Suppliers interact with the Enterprise in providing goods and services, which are assembled by the Enterprise in order to deliver its products and services to the Customer.

#### **B.2.21 Supply chain**

'Supply Chain' refers to entities and processes (external to the Enterprise) that are used to supply goods and services needed to deliver products and services to customers.

#### **B.2.22 Third party service provider**

The **Third Party Service Provider** provides services to the Enterprise for integration or bundling as an offer from the enterprise to the Customer. Third party service providers are part of an enterprise's seamless offer. In contrast, a complementary service provider is visible in the offer to the enterprise's customer, including having customer interaction.

#### **B.2.23 User**

See End User above.

#### **B.2.24 Value network**

The enterprise as the hub of a value network is a key concept of e-business. The value network is the collaboration of the enterprise, its suppliers, complementors and intermediaries with the customer to deliver value to the customer and provide benefit to all the players in the value network. E-business success and, therefore part of the definition of a value network, is that the value network works almost as a vertically integrated enterprise to serve the customer.

## B.2.25 Vendor

Synonymous with Supplier above.

## Annex C

### RosettaNet Business Operations Map

This table simply lists the RosettaNet Clusters, Segments & PIPs. This is what is referred to as the Business Operations Map.

*It is based on the published information as of May 2003.*

Readers are strongly advised to check the latest information at [www.RosettaNet.org](http://www.RosettaNet.org) as the PIP Dictionary/BOM is subject to frequent change.

Cluster 0	RosettaNet Support
<i>Segment 0A</i>	<i>Administrative</i>
PIP 0A1	Notification of Failure
<i>Segment 0C</i>	<i>Testing</i>
PIP 0C1	Asynchronous Test Notification
PIP 0C2	Asynchronous Test Request /Confirmation
PIP 0C3	Synchronous Test Notification
PIP 0C3	Synchronous Test Query/Response
Cluster 1	Partner Profile Management
<i>Segment 1A</i>	<i>Partner Review</i>
PIP 1A1	Request Account Setup
PIP 1A2	Maintain Account
<i>Segment 1B</i>	<i>Product and Service Review</i>
PIP 1B1	Manage Product Information Subscriptions
Cluster 2	Product Information
<i>Segment 2A</i>	<i>Preparation for Distribution</i>
PIP 2A1	Distribute New Product Information
PIP 2A2	Query Product Information
PIP 2A3	Query Marketing Information
PIP 2A4	Query Sales Promotion & Rebate Information
PIP 2A5	Query Technical Information
PIP 2A6	Query Product Lifecycle Information
PIP 2A7	Query Product Discontinuation Information
PIP 2A8	Distribute Product Stock Keeping Unit (SKU)
PIP 2A9	Query EC Technical Information
PIP 2A10	Distribute Design Engineering Information
PIP 2A11	Query Product Master
PIP 2A12	Distribute Product Master

<i>Segment 2B</i>	<i>Product Change Notification</i>
PIP 2B1	Change Basic Product Information
PIP 2B2	Change Marketing Information
PIP 2B3	Change Sales Promotion & Rebate Information
PIP 2B4	Change Product Technical Information
PIP 2B5	Change Product Lifecycle Information
PIP 2B6	Query Optional Product Information
PIP 2B7	Notify of Product Change
PIP 2B8	Notify of Product Change Response
PIP 2B9	Notify of Modified Product Change
PIP 2B10	Notify of Cancel Product Change
PIP 2B11	Query Product Change
<i>Segment 2C</i>	<i>Product Design Information</i>
PIP 2C1	Distribute Engineering Change Notice
PIP 2C2	Request Engineering Change
PIP 2C3	Distribute Engineering Change Response
PIP 2C4	Request Engineering Change Approval
PIP 2C5	Notify of Engineering Change Order
PIP 2C6	Notify of Engineering Change Implementation Plan
PIP 2C7	Request Bill of Material
PIP 2C8	Notify of Bill of Material
PIP 2C9	Request Approved Manufacture List
PIP 2C10	Notify of Approved Manufacture List
<i>Segment 2D</i>	<i>Collaborative Design</i>
Cluster 3	Order Management
<i>Segment 3A</i>	<i>Quote and Order Entry</i>
PIP 3A1	Request Quote
PIP 3A2	Request Price and Availability
PIP 3A3	Request Shopping Cart Transfer
PIP 3A4	Request Purchase Order
PIP 3A5	Query Order Status
PIP 3A6	Distribute Order Status
PIP 3A7	Notify of Purchase Order Update
PIP 3A8	Request Purchase Order Change
PIP 3A9	Request Purchase Order Cancellation
PIP 3A10	Notify of Quote Acknowledgement
PIP 3A11	Notify of Authorization to Build
PIP 3A12	Notify of Authorization to Ship
PIP 3A13	Notify of Purchase Order (Information)
PIP 3A14	Distribute Planned Order
<i>Segment 3B</i>	<i>Transportation and Distribution</i>
PIP 3B1	Distribute Transportation Projection

PIP 3B2	Notify of Advance Shipment
PIP 3B3	Distribute Shipment Status
PIP 3B4	Query Shipment Status
PIP 3B5	Request Shipment Change
PIP 3B6	Notify of Shipments Tendered
PIP 3B11	Notify of Shipping Order
PIP 3B12	Request Shipping Order Cancellation
PIP 3B13	Notify of Shipment Confirmation
PIP 3B18	Notify of Shipping Documentation
<i>Segment 3C</i>	<i>Returns and Finance</i>
PIP 3C1	Return Product
PIP 3C2	Request Financing Approval
PIP 3C3	Notify of Invoice
PIP 3C4	Notify of Invoice Reject
PIP 3C5	Notify of Billing Statement
PIP 3C6	Notify of Remittance Advice
PIP 3C7	Notify of Self-Billing Invoice
<i>Segment 3D</i>	<i>Product Configuration</i>
PIP 3D8	Distribute Work in Process
PIP 3D9	Query Work in Process
Cluster 4	Inventory Management
<i>Segment 4A</i>	<i>Collaborative Forecasting</i>
PIP 4A1	Notify of Strategic Forecast
PIP 4A2	Notify of Embedded Release Forecast
PIP 4A3	Notify of Threshold Release Forecast
PIP 4A4	Notify of Planning Release Forecast
PIP 4A5	Notify of Forecast Reply
PIP 4A6	Notify of Forecasting Exception
<i>Segment 4B</i>	<i>Inventory Allocation</i>
PIP 4B2	Notify of Shipment Receipt
PIP 4B3	Notify of Consumption
<i>Segment 4C</i>	<i>Inventory Reporting</i>
PIP 4C1	Distribute Inventory Report
<i>Segment 4D</i>	<i>Inventory Replenishment</i>
PIP 4D1	Notify of Inventory Release
<i>Segment 4E</i>	<i>Sales Reporting</i>
<i>Segment 4F</i>	<i>Price Protection</i>
Cluster 5	Marketing Information Management
<i>Segment 5A</i>	<i>Lead Opportunity Management</i>
<i>Segment 5B</i>	<i>Marketing Campaign Management</i>
<i>Segment 5C</i>	<i>Design Win Management (Electronic Components)</i>
PIP 5C1	Distribute Product List

PIP 5C2	Request Design Registration
PIP 5C3	Create Design Win
PIP 5C4	Distribute Registration Status
PIP 5C5	Query Registration Status
<i>Segment 5D</i>	<i>Ship from Stock and Debit (Electronic Components)</i>
PIP 5D1	Request Ship from Stock and Debit Authorization
PIP 5D2	Notify of Blanket Ship from Stock and Debit Authorization
PIP 5D3	Distribute Open Ship from Stock and Debit Authorization Status
PIP 5D4	Query Ship from Stock and Debit Authorization Status
PIP 5D5	Create Ship from Stock and Debit Claim
PIP 5D6	Notify of Ship from Stock and Debit Claim Status
Cluster 6	Service and Support
<i>Segment 6A</i>	<i>Provide and Administer Warranties, Service Packages, and Contract Services</i>
<i>Segment 6B</i>	<i>Provide and Administer Asset Management (Merged with 6A)</i>
<i>Segment 6C</i>	<i>Technical Support and Service Management</i>
PIP 6C1	Query Service Entitlement
PIP 6C2	Request Warranty Claim
Cluster 7	Manufacturing
<i>Segment 7A</i>	<i>Design Transfer</i>
<i>Segment 7B</i>	<i>Manage Manufacturing Work Orders and WIP</i>
PIP 7B1	Distribute Work in Progress
PIP 7B5	Notify of Manufacturing Work Order
<i>Segment 7C</i>	<i>Distribute Manufacturing Information</i>
PIP 7C1	Notify of Manufacturing Genealogy
PIP 7C2	Query Manufacturing Genealogy
PIP 7C3	Notify of Quality goals
PIP 7C4	Notify of Manufacturing Quality
PIP 7C5	Query Manufacturing Quality
PIP 7C6	Distribute Product Quality Event Data

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [GB921] TMF, GB921 v4.0 eTOM – The Business Process Framework.
- [RosettaNet] [www.RosettaNet.org](http://www.RosettaNet.org)
- [ebXML] [www.ebxml.org](http://www.ebxml.org) and [www.ebtwg.org](http://www.ebtwg.org)
- [GB922] TMF, GB922 – Shared Information /Data (SID) Model multipart document.
- [GB921C] TMF, GB921C v4.0 eTOM – Public eTOM Business Operations Map (BOM) – An initial proposal for the scope and structure of ICT B2B Business Transactions.



## SERIES OF ITU-T RECOMMENDATIONS

Series A	Organization of the work of ITU-T
Series B	Means of expression: definitions, symbols, classification
Series C	General telecommunication statistics
Series D	General tariff principles
Series E	Overall network operation, telephone service, service operation and human factors
Series F	Non-telephone telecommunication services
Series G	Transmission systems and media, digital systems and networks
Series H	Audiovisual and multimedia systems
Series I	Integrated services digital network
Series J	Cable networks and transmission of television, sound programme and other multimedia signals
Series K	Protection against interference
Series L	Construction, installation and protection of cables and other elements of outside plant
<b>Series M</b>	<b>TMN and network maintenance: international transmission systems, telephone circuits, telegraphy, facsimile and leased circuits</b>
Series N	Maintenance: international sound programme and television transmission circuits
Series O	Specifications of measuring equipment
Series P	Telephone transmission quality, telephone installations, local line networks
Series Q	Switching and signalling
Series R	Telegraph transmission
Series S	Telegraph services terminal equipment
Series T	Terminals for telematic services
Series U	Telegraph switching
Series V	Data communication over the telephone network
Series X	Data networks and open system communications
Series Y	Global information infrastructure, Internet protocol aspects and Next Generation Networks
Series Z	Languages and general software aspects for telecommunication systems