

International Telecommunication Union

**ITU-T**

TELECOMMUNICATION  
STANDARDIZATION SECTOR  
OF ITU

**Series K**

**Supplement 13**  
(05/2018)

SERIES K: PROTECTION AGAINST INTERFERENCE

---

**Radiofrequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF)  
exposure levels from mobile and portable  
devices during different conditions of use**

ITU-T K-series Recommendations – Supplement 13

ITU-T





## Supplement 13 to ITU-T K-series Recommendations

### Radiofrequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF) exposure levels from mobile and portable devices during different conditions of use

#### Summary

Modern mobile devices may be used for many different purposes. There are differences between the ways of using them depending on the service. Also, the exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF) is different depending on the service, environment and the conditions of the use of the mobile devices. This Supplement describes various factors that determine the level of RF-EMF exposure, as defined by the specific absorption rate (SAR) that is induced in the users of mobile and portable radiocommunication devices. Based on this technical information, practical information and guidance is provided for users of mobile devices.

#### History

Edition	Recommendation	Approval	Study Group	Unique ID*
1.0	ITU-T K Suppl. 13	2018-05-25	5	<a href="http://handle.itu.int/11.1002/1000/13645">11.1002/1000/13645</a>

#### Keywords

Mobile phones, portable devices, SAR.

---

\* To access the Recommendation, type the URL <http://handle.itu.int/> in the address field of your web browser, followed by the Recommendation's unique ID. For example, <http://handle.itu.int/11.1002/1000/11830-en>.

## FOREWORD

The International Telecommunication Union (ITU) is the United Nations specialized agency in the field of telecommunications, information and communication technologies (ICTs). The ITU Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU-T) is a permanent organ of ITU. ITU-T is responsible for studying technical, operating and tariff questions and issuing Recommendations on them with a view to standardizing telecommunications on a worldwide basis.

The World Telecommunication Standardization Assembly (WTSA), which meets every four years, establishes the topics for study by the ITU-T study groups which, in turn, produce Recommendations on these topics.

The approval of ITU-T Recommendations is covered by the procedure laid down in WTSA Resolution 1.

In some areas of information technology which fall within ITU-T's purview, the necessary standards are prepared on a collaborative basis with ISO and IEC.

## NOTE

In this publication, the expression "Administration" is used for conciseness to indicate both a telecommunication administration and a recognized operating agency.

Compliance with this publication is voluntary. However, the publication may contain certain mandatory provisions (to ensure, e.g., interoperability or applicability) and compliance with the publication is achieved when all of these mandatory provisions are met. The words "shall" or some other obligatory language such as "must" and the negative equivalents are used to express requirements. The use of such words does not suggest that compliance with the publication is required of any party.

## INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

ITU draws attention to the possibility that the practice or implementation of this publication may involve the use of a claimed Intellectual Property Right. ITU takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of claimed Intellectual Property Rights, whether asserted by ITU members or others outside of the publication development process.

As of the date of approval of this publication, ITU had not received notice of intellectual property, protected by patents, which may be required to implement this publication. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information and are therefore strongly urged to consult the TSB patent database at <http://www.itu.int/ITU-T/ipr/>.

© ITU 2018

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, by any means whatsoever, without the prior written permission of ITU.

## Table of Contents

	<b>Page</b>
1	Scope..... 1
2	References..... 1
3	Definitions ..... 2
3.1	Terms defined elsewhere ..... 2
3.2	Terms defined in this Supplement ..... 2
4	Abbreviations and acronyms ..... 2
5	Conventions ..... 3
6	Information for users of mobile devices ..... 3
6.1	Voice connection ..... 3
6.2	SMS, MMS and Internet..... 3
6.3	Distance – base station to mobile device..... 4
6.4	Hands-free devices, speaker mode ..... 4
6.5	Connections in car, bus and train ..... 4
6.6	Body-worn devices ..... 4
6.7	Shielding devices ..... 4
6.8	Use by children ..... 4
6.9	Use by pregnant women ..... 5
6.10	Charging of the mobile and portable devices ..... 5
6.11	Use by people with electronic medical devices..... 5
6.12	Use with hearing aids ..... 5
6.13	Exposure limits and compliance assessment..... 6
7	Factors affecting personal exposure from mobile and portable devices..... 6
7.1	Device design ..... 8
7.2	Transmitter power temporal profile..... 9
7.3	Device position and orientation relative to user ..... 12
7.4	Human body characteristics ..... 13
7.5	SAR assessment technique ..... 13
8	Conclusion ..... 14
	Bibliography..... 15

## Introduction

Users of a mobile or portable telecommunications device are exposed to the radiofrequency electromagnetic fields that are transmitted by the device. These RF-EMF transmissions are necessary to convey the communication signals (voice or data) between the device and its corresponding wireless network.

External exposure from a device induces RF-EMF in the person using it, which in turn causes tissue heating due to dissipation of the absorbed RF energy. This internal exposure is commonly characterized as the specific (energy) absorption rate (SAR) in units of W/kg for frequencies below 6 GHz. SAR is distributed in a very non-uniform way inside the body and is typically greatest in those parts of the body closest to the device. At higher frequencies, energy is deposited superficially and the basic restrictions are given in terms of power density ( $\text{W/m}^2$ ) [b-ICNIRP, 1998].

Questions about device SAR generally relate to heating of the skin, brain and eyes when the device is used in talk mode against the head, or to the skin and organs of the torso when the device may be transmitting data while attached to the hip or chest. Due to low levels of output power from devices the whole body SAR is in compliance with international standards and guidelines such as [b-ICNIRP 1998] and [IEEE C95.1]. The RF-EMF exposure from the uplink signal (mobile phones and portable devices) is generally larger than the downlink (base stations).

There is a broad international consensus that device SAR should not exceed basic restriction limits that are specified in recognized international standards and guidelines such as [b-ICNIRP 1998] and [IEEE C95.1]. The SAR limits are specified as mass averages (e.g., averaged over any 10g cube of tissue) and time averages (typically over 6 minutes) in recognition of the thermal inertia and thermal diffusion characteristics of body tissues. Lower SAR limits are specified for SAR induced in the head and torso of the body compared to its extremities (arms, legs and outer ear) in order to provide a higher level of protection for temperature sensitive and critical organs. In addition, the extremities experience wider ranges of temperature in everyday activities.

Standardized techniques for the assessment of device SAR are provided in [IEC 62209-1] for exposure to the head and [IEC 62209-2] for exposure of the torso.

In Factsheet 193 [b-WHO] the World Health Organization (WHO) states that "no adverse health effects have been established as being caused by mobile phone use" and "the electromagnetic fields produced by mobile phones are classified by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) as possibly carcinogenic to humans (Group 2B)<sup>1</sup>, a category used when a causal association is considered credible, but when chance, bias or confounding cannot be ruled out with reasonable confidence".

---

<sup>1</sup> The list of agents classified in Group 2B may be found in [http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Classification/latest\\_classif.php](http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Classification/latest_classif.php).

## Supplement 13 to ITU-T K-series Recommendations

### Radiofrequency electromagnetic field (RF-EMF) exposure levels from mobile and portable devices during different conditions of use

#### 1 Scope

The types of mobile and portable telecommunication devices considered in this Supplement are consumer items in common use by the general public including mobile phones, cordless phones, tablets, phablets and satellite phones.

Taking into account WHO documents and other ITU-T Recommendations on this subject, information is provided that explains the factors affecting personal exposure during the use of mobile and portable device and how this relates to the provision of communications functionality.

The absorption of RF-EMF energy due to devices used close to the head or body is currently assessed relative to specific absorption rate (SAR) limits for frequencies from 300 MHz to 6 GHz. Further information on the assessment of SAR for mobile devices is provided in clause 6.5 of [ITU-T K.91]. Standardized techniques for the assessment of device SAR are provided in [IEC 62209-1] for exposure to the head and [IEC 62209-2] for exposure of the torso. The SAR measurement methodologies are designed to be conservative for mobile device users. The level of SAR induced in the user of a mobile device varies dynamically. The range of considered factors that influence the level of SAR induced from devices includes: technical aspects of the devices and their corresponding networks; usage patterns of the device; how the device is held against the body; and the physical characteristics of the body. Additional information is provided to minimize misconceptions concerning the use of mobile devices and additional equipment.

#### 2 References

- [ITU-T K.52] Recommendation ITU-T K.52 (2018), *Guidance on complying with limits for human exposure to electromagnetic fields.*
- [ITU-T K.70] Recommendation ITU-T K.70 (2018), *Mitigation techniques to limit human exposure to EMFs in the vicinity of radiocommunication stations.*
- [ITU-T K.91] Recommendation ITU-T K.91 (2018), *Guidance for assessment, evaluation and monitoring of human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields.*
- [ITU-T K-Sup.14] ITU-T K-series Recommendations – Supplement 14 (2018), *The impact of RF-EMF exposure limits stricter than the ICNIRP or IEEE guidelines on 4G and 5G mobile network deployment.*
- [IEC 62209-1] IEC 62209-1:2016, *Measurement procedure for the assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Part 1: Devices used next to the ear (Frequency range of 300 MHz to 6 GHz).*
- [IEC 62209-2] IEC 62209-2:2010, *Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures – Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz).*
- [IEEE C95.1] IEEE C95.1:2005, *Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Electric, Magnetic and Electromagnetic Fields, Hz to 300 GHz.*

## 3 Definitions

### 3.1 Terms defined elsewhere

This Supplement uses the following terms defined elsewhere:

- 3.1.1 antenna [ITU-T K.70]
- 3.1.2 antenna gain [ITU-T K.70]
- 3.1.3 average (temporal) power (**P<sub>avg</sub>**) [ITU-T K.52]
- 3.1.4 averaging time (**T<sub>avg</sub>**) [ITU-T K.70]
- 3.1.5 basic restrictions [ITU-T K.52]
- 3.1.6 body mounted device – body worn device [IEC 62209-2]
- 3.1.7 controlled/occupational exposure [ITU-T K.70]
- 3.1.8 continuous exposure [ITU-T K.52]
- 3.1.9 electromagnetic field (**EMF**) [ITU-T K.91]
- 3.1.10 exposure [ITU-T K.52]
- 3.1.11 exposure level [ITU-T K.52]
- 3.1.12 exposure limits [ITU-T K.70]
- 3.1.13 exposure level [ITU-T K.52]
- 3.1.14 exposure, non-uniform/partial body [ITU-T K.52]
- 3.1.15 general population/uncontrolled exposure [ITU-T K.52]
- 3.1.16 general public [ITU-T K.52]
- 3.1.17 hand-held device (mobile handset) [IEC 62209-2]
- 3.1.18 near-field region [ITU-T K.52]
- 3.1.19 power density (**S**) [ITU-T K.52]
- 3.1.20 radio frequency (**RF**) [ITU-T K.70]
- 3.1.21 specific anthropomorphic mannequin (**SAM**) [IEC 62209-1]
- 3.1.22 short-term exposure [ITU-T K.52]
- 3.1.23 specific absorption rate (**SAR**) [ITU-T K.52]
- 3.1.24 transmitter [ITU-T K.70]
- 3.1.25 whole-body-exposure [IEEE C95.1]
- 3.1.26 workers [ITU-T K.70]

### 3.2 Terms defined in this Supplement

This Supplement defines the following term:

- 3.2.1 **discontinuous transmission (DTX)**: A method of momentarily powering-down, or muting, a mobile or portable radiocommunication device when there is no voice input to the device.

## 4 Abbreviations and acronyms

This Supplement uses the following abbreviations and acronyms:

APC          Adaptive Power Control

BS	Base Station
CDMA	Code Division Multiple Access
DTX	Discontinuous Transmission
EM	Electromagnetic
EMF	Electromagnetic Field
LTE	Long Term Evolution
MMS	Multimedia Messaging Service
RF	Radio Frequency
rms	Root Mean Square
SAM	Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin
SAR	Specific Absorption Rate
WCDMA	Wideband Code Division Multiple Access
Wi-Fi	Wireless Fidelity

## 5 Conventions

This Supplement uses the following conventions:

device	Any mobile or portable radiocommunication device as described in the scope of this Supplement.
$\rho$	tissue mass density in units of kg/m <sup>3</sup>
$\sigma$	tissue conductivity in units of S/m
$E_{\text{int}}$	Internal electric field induced inside the body from exposure to device RF-EMF in units of V/m (rms)

## 6 Information for users of mobile devices

This clause provides information for users of mobile devices on factors that affect RF-EMF exposure and related topics. Many of these factors are outside the direct control of users. The technical rationale supporting this content is provided in clause 7 and associated references. Mobile devices are designed to comply with international exposure limits for the public. These exposure limits are intended to ensure protection for all members of the public even in the case of continuous exposure, 24 hours a day, 365 days per year. When a mobile device is used to make a voice call the output power is adjusted depending on the network connection through a process called adaptive power control.

### 6.1 Voice connection

The process of adaptive power control is more sophisticated for newer mobile technologies. For a GSM phone the average output level is about 20-50% of the maximum. Whereas, for a 3G or a 4G mobile device the average level of output power is about 1% of the maximum during a voice call [b-Gati 2009], [b-Persson 2012] and [b-Joshi 2017].

### 6.2 SMS, MMS and Internet

For an SMS, the duration of transmission is very short and so the exposure is very low. The same is true for a multimedia messaging service (MMS). When browsing the Internet, most of the data is being downloaded and so the mobile device is only transmitting control information. If data is being uploaded, such as sending an email, more energy may be transmitted as more information is being

sent. However, the average level is still only a small fraction of the maximum power. In addition, in these uses the mobile device is typically held away from the head or body and this reduces exposure to the head and body [b-Gati 2009], [b-Persson 2012] and [b-Joshi 2017].

### **6.3 Distance – base station to mobile device**

Distance from the base station (BS) is an influencing factor for adaptive power control (APC) but it is not a simple relationship. While a mobile device will often connect to the closest base station, this may not be the case if that base station is busy or the person needs a high-speed data connection and there is more capacity available from a more distant site. Low-power small cells and in-building coverage solutions can improve the connection to the mobile device and therefore reduce the device output power [b-Aerts]. As small cell BS antennas are closer to people, the levels of exposure are often similar to those from macro cell BS antennas [b-Cooper 2006], [b-Iskra 2013] and [b-Zarikoff].

### **6.4 Hands-free devices, speaker mode**

Use of a hands-free device, whether wired or Bluetooth, or using the mobile device in speaker mode reduces exposure by increasing the distance between the mobile device and the head or body (see clause 7.3). Keeping the mobile device away from the body can also produce a better connection to the network, therefore also reducing the output power of the device.

### **6.5 Connections in car, bus and train**

The structure of public transport vehicles may reduce some of the signals, which is also true for other locations. In these cases, mobile device transmissions remain within technical standards. When travelling at speed there can be frequent hand-offs between base stations. In GSM, these hand-offs are linked with an increase in device power and then the mobile device adjusts downwards as the connection is established [b-Wiart]. In 3G and 4G technologies, the handover process is more sophisticated and the power is not increased during the handover [b-Gati 2009] and [b-Joshi 2017]. On public transport, exposure from the mobile devices used by other people is generally higher than that from the mobile network antennas [b-Plets 2015a] and [b-Sagar 2017].

### **6.6 Body-worn devices**

Devices intended for use on the body, such as wearable fitness or health monitors, generally operate at very low power to conserve battery life and often use familiar technologies such as wireless fidelity (Wi-Fi) and Bluetooth. The devices only transmit at intervals and over short distances, for example, to a nearby smartphone, tablet or laptop. They are designed to comply with international exposure limits for the public. These exposure limits are intended to ensure protection even in the case of continuous exposure, 24 hours a day, 365 days per year.

### **6.7 Shielding devices**

The RF-EMF signal transmitted by a mobile phone is below the limit values. Shielding devices may block the proper operation of the APC of the mobile device (see clause 7.2.3). The WHO<sup>2</sup> states that: "The use of commercial devices for reducing radiofrequency field exposure has not been shown to be effective."

### **6.8 Use by children**

The consensus of expert groups is that scientific evidence does not show any danger to users of mobile devices from RF-EMF exposure, including children and teenagers. The testing methods used for mobile device compliance are designed to be conservative for adults and children (see clause 7.4). Some parents are concerned about whether there are health risks for children using mobile devices.

---

<sup>2</sup> Electromagnetic fields and public health: mobile phones, WHO Fact sheet N°193, October 2014.

National authorities in some countries have recommended precautionary restrictions on phone use by children. If individuals or parents are concerned, personal hands-free devices have been shown to reduce exposures by a factor of 10 by allowing the phone to be used away from the head and body [b-Bit-Babik 2003].

## **6.9 Use by pregnant women**

The consensus of expert groups is that scientific evidence does not show any danger to users of mobile devices from RF-EMF exposure, including pregnant women (clause 7.4). Most of the RF-EMF energy is absorbed in the skin and exposure of the developing baby to RF-EMF is below international guidelines. If a pregnant woman is concerned about exposure to her baby, then increasing the distance between the mobile phone and the baby will reduce their exposure further.

## **6.10 Charging of the mobile and portable devices**

As the new devices usually require batteries with higher capacity and require more frequent charging, it might appear to the user that the level of RF-EMF exposure has increased. However, the real explanation is that new mobile and portable devices are increasingly powerful computers that support many applications that consequently increase the power consumption. In addition, newer mobile technologies require more computations that increase the device power consumption. As a result, only a fraction of the energy from the device battery is used for the wireless connections and for generation of RF-EMF [b-Perrucci 2009].

## **6.11 Use by people with electronic medical devices**

Persons using on-body or implanted electronic medical devices should ask their physician for guidance on whether electromagnetic (EM) interference is possible. The United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA)<sup>3</sup> advises that based on current research, mobile devices "do not seem to pose a significant health problem for the vast majority of pacemaker wearers." According to the FDA, the following measures will further reduce the possibility of interference occurring:

- holding the phone to the ear on the opposite side of the body where the pacemaker is implanted to add some extra distance between the pacemaker and the phone;
- avoid placing a turned-on phone next to the pacemaker implant (e.g., do not carry the phone in a shirt or jacket pocket directly over the pacemaker).

Guidance for other types of electronic medical devices may be provided by physicians, national health authorities or the equipment manufacturers.

## **6.12 Use with hearing aids**

Some hearing aids, in particular older models, experienced interference from mobile phones used by the hearing aid wearer or other nearby persons. According to the US FDA<sup>4</sup> the risk of hearing aid interference has been reduced by newer hearing aid designs and newer mobile communications technologies. Hearing aid wearers are advised to try different brands and models of phones to determine the most appropriate mobile device that will work well with their hearing aid. The Global Accessibility Reporting Initiative (GARI) provides additional information: [www.gari.info](http://www.gari.info).

---

<sup>3</sup> <https://www.fda.gov/Radiation-EmittingProducts/RadiationEmittingProductsandProcedures/HomeBusinessandEntertainment/CellPhones/ucm116311.htm>

<sup>4</sup> <https://www.fda.gov/Radiation-EmittingProducts/RadiationEmittingProductsandProcedures/HomeBusinessandEntertainment/CellPhones/ucm116327.htm>

### **6.13 Exposure limits and compliance assessment**

The international limits developed by the International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) and IEEE are designed to be protective for all persons – adults and children [b-ICNIRP 1998] and [b-IEEE]. Compliance testing methods for both base stations and wireless devices have been developed to assess compliance with RF-EMF exposure limits. The assessment is generally conducted with the mobile device or base station antenna configured to operate at maximum power or in a manner typical of the real-world operation.


RF-EMF exposure limits for base stations which are more restrictive than the ICNIRP or IEEE limits affect network planning, requiring operators to install more base stations or to acquire additional RF spectrum if available [b-Mazar 2016] and [ITU-T K-Sup.14].

## **7 Factors affecting personal exposure from mobile and portable devices**

The factors which influence the level and distribution of SAR induced in the user of a device are many and varied. The system diagram shown in Figure 1 provides a diagrammatic overview of how the factors discussed in this Supplement interrelate.

The system diagram can be broadly subdivided into five areas which will each be discussed in the following sections:

1. Device design (upper left area)
2. Transmitter power temporal profile (lower left area)
3. Device position and orientation relative to user (upper right area)
4. User's body characteristics (lower right area)
5. SAR assessment technique (middle right area)



K Suppl.13(18)\_F01

Figure 1 – A system diagram of the interrelationship between the factors which affect device induced SAR

## 7.1 Device design

SAR factors related to device design are clustered in the top left area of the system diagram shown in Figure 1.

A modern smartphone is a complex device and may support more than 10 operating bands with multiple antennas [b-Zhao 2013] and technical features such as carrier aggregation for increased bandwidth. The device's chosen network technology and the frequency band in which it operates are the fundamental influences on its main design elements, i.e., RF and electronic circuitry; antenna(s), and; device form-factor [b-Nielson 2006b]. These intermediate outputs in turn affect aspects of the device that are more closely related to RF coupling between the device and user, namely:


1. The impedance match of the device's transmit antenna to its RF transmitter
2. The maximum level of RF power that can be generated by the device transmitter
3. The geometry and materials of the device

Manufacturing tolerances add a further minor level of variability between the constructed units of the same model.

Apart from being a main determinant in the device design, the RF frequency of the device transmitter also has a more direct effect on induced SAR. As frequency increases, the depth of RF-EMF penetration into the body becomes less, which consequently reduces SAR levels [b-Lee 2017]. Some devices have the ability to operate in multiple frequency bands with broadband and/or multiple antennas simultaneously. Devices operating at a low power may be excluded from requiring testing [b-Christ 2014]. For some of the frequencies (>6 GHz) under consideration for 5G the exposure limit is specified in power density and new testing methods are in development [b-Colombi]. Greater use of phased array type antennas is also expected [b-Zhao 2016].

There have been a number of studies investigating differences in induced SAR from the design aspects of mobile phones (such as form and technology) [b-Deltour], [b-Cardis-2011], [b-Kuhn 2013], [b-Lee 2015], [b-Cardis 2017] and [b-Zervos]. These studies reported either insignificant or relatively weak associations in a limited number of phone positions. Nonetheless, [b-Lee 2017] considers that these factors may still be useful indicators for predicting relative brain SAR differences for epidemiological studies. An accurate picture of the mobile device exposure for the purpose of epidemiological studies requires knowledge of the exact mobile communication protocol being used, data rates, network conditions, orientation of the device and input from the user with respect to holding position. Recent research indicates that for teenagers using smartphones Wi-Fi exposure may be more significant than mobile services [b-Mireku].

The spread of measured levels for SAR compliance test purposes between device models and types can be gauged from public records of compliance assessments, such as those published online by the German Federal Office for Radiation Protection [b-BfS SAR search]. A histogram of the 10 g average SAR values in the head for 2528 mobile phones in this dataset is shown in Figure 2. The histogram has a mean value of 0.75 W/kg and a 95% coverage interval spanning between 0.23 and 1.41 W/kg.



**Figure 2 – Histograms of 10 g average SAR compliance values for 2528 mobile phones obtained from [b-BfS SAR search]**

## 7.2 Transmitter power temporal profile

As a power metric, SAR (W/kg) is linearly related to the radiated power level of the device generating the RF exposure if no other operating, positioning or environmental factor changes [b-Hillert] and [b-Krayni]. Therefore, an increase or decrease of the device transmit power leads to a commensurate change in the SAR levels induced in its user.


Since SAR is assessed as a time average, typically over six minutes, variations in the temporal power profile of a device's RF transmitter will affect the assessed SAR level. When a phone is in standby the RF-EMF exposure is negligible [b-Hansson Mild] and [b-Urbinello].

There are several basic factors which cause a device to vary its RF transmit power over time as shown in the bottom left area of the system diagram in Figure 1:

1. Network power control
2. DTX and data packet transmission
3. Call duration
4. Handovers
5. Position of the hand holding the phone

### 7.2.1 Development of the telecommunication systems used in mobile and portable devices

The radio communication technologies used for mobile communications have undergone rapid development. This takes into consideration many factors, including the size of devices, their capabilities and also the spectral efficiency [b-Erdreich], [b-Kelsh], [b-Picard] and [b-Shi]. This means that new systems allow delivery of the same amount of information with the use of less energy to the user. A more efficient use of the radio spectrum also means that the RF-EMF exposure of the user is not increased when using the same service via a newer mobile technology [b-Persson] and [b-Joshi].



K Suppl.13(18)\_F03

**Figure 3 – Average spectral efficiency of the different mobile technologies**

### 7.2.2 Network influence on mobile device power control

Most wireless networks have the facility to control the transmit power of their connected devices and thereby direct them to reduce their RF transmit power output to the minimal level required for maintaining a good quality RF communications link. This strategy helps to minimize battery drain from the device's transmitter as well as reduces unnecessary interference of the devices' radio signals with each other. Wireless device RF-EMF output powers can vary between countries [b-Lonn]; [b-Morrissey]; [b-Nielson 2006a] and [b-Vrijheid] for many reasons including the position of antennas; geographic features, the technology in use and the network configuration settings.

Table 1 shows how far a device's transmit power can be reduced below maximum level for various wireless technologies employing network power control. Most enable power reduction across a range spanning several orders of magnitude. Factors which influence the quality of a device's RF links, and hence its transmit output under network power control, include:

1. Distance to the network antenna
  - a. Signal level of the RF link generally declines with increasing distance from the network antenna.
  - b. The availability of nearby antennas depends on the design of the network topology.
  - c. A network configured as smaller cells will generally bring devices closer to their connecting network antenna.
  - d. High demand in a local mobile network cell may force devices onto more distant antennas in adjacent cells.
2. Environmental clutter
  - a. Environmental clutter can scatter and absorb RF link signals and thereby degrade their quality.
  - b. Urban environments tend to be more cluttered than rural ones.
  - c. Indoor environments are generally more cluttered than outdoor ones.
  - d. Higher frequencies are more susceptible to degradation from environmental clutter.
3. Body effects on device antenna
  - a. The presence of the body (hand, head, torso) close to the device affects the performance of the device antenna by altering its impedance, quality factor (Q) and antenna pattern

[b-Guo], [b-Atanasov], [b-Al-Mously], [Li 2012a] and [Li-2012b]. All these factors impact on the quality of the RF link to the network antennas.

It has been claimed that the use of a mobile phone in a lift or enclosed train carriage could lead to very high RF-EMF exposures, however, this is based on simplistic assumptions and not true for more realistic models or in practice [b-Simba].

**Table 1 – Range of transmit power reduction for device under network power control**

Device network technology	Minimum device transmit power relative to maximum level
GSM 900	1/2'500 (–34 dB)
GSM 1800	1/4'000 (–36 dB)
GSM 1900	1/2'000 (–33 dB)
CDMA	Under study
LTE	Under study
3G	1/25'000'000 (–74 dB)
4G	Under study
5G	Under study
Wi-Fi	Under study

### 7.2.3 Mobile device "shields"

Some products are marketed that claim to "shield" the user from exposure to RF-EMF signals. The position of the USA FDA<sup>5</sup> is as follows:

*'Since there are no known risks from exposure to RF emissions from cell phones, there is no reason to believe that accessories that claim to shield the head from those emissions reduce risks. Some products that claim to shield the user from RF absorption use special phone cases, while others involve nothing more than a metallic accessory attached to the phone. Studies have shown that these products generally do not work as advertised. Unlike "hand-free" kits, these so-called "shields" may interfere with proper operation of the phone. The phone may be forced to boost its power to compensate, leading to an increase in RF absorption.'*

In 2015 the DGCCRF<sup>6</sup> (the French General Directorate for Competition Policy, Consumer Affairs and Fraud Control) investigated the sale of "shielding" devices for mobile phones. They concluded that the selling of these devices is often supported by multiple allegations involving studies that are not officially recognized. In addition, the DGCCRF said that allegations of harm often used a fanciful or entirely invented vocabulary.

### 7.2.4 DTX and data packet transmission

Both voice and data outputs from wireless devices generally manifest as intermittent streams of information. Accordingly, wireless devices need only transmit to the network as information is presented, rather than as a continuous transmission.

Intermittent voice outputs are managed under discontinuous transmission (DTX) protocols. Data outputs are transmitted in discrete packets as needed for file uploads and device responses.

<sup>5</sup> <http://www.fda.gov/radiation-emittingproducts/radiationemittingproductsandprocedures/homebusinessandentertainment/cellphones/ucm116331.htm>

<sup>6</sup> <http://www.economie.gouv.fr/dgccrf/enquete-sur-dispositifs-anti-ondes-pour-telephone-mobile>

Intermittency in both voice and data outputs will generally cause the six minute time averaged radiated RF power to vary below maximum levels associated with continuous transmissions.

### **7.2.5 Call duration**

When a mobile device is assessed for compliance with the SAR limits it is done so on the basis that it is transmitting continuously. No allowance is made for factors such as DTX (clause 7.2.3) that may reduce RF transmissions during a real call. If the effects of handovers and call establishment is neglected, then the amount of RF energy absorbed during a short call will be lower than that of a longer call. SAR limits are specified as time averaged levels over six minutes, which is based on the thermal time-constant of the body (p. 53 in [b-ICNIRP 2009]). The six minute period in the ICNIRP guidelines is not a specification for how long a person should be exposed to radio signals and it does not relate to duration of calls. The limits for human exposure to RF energy are designed to provide protection for all age groups, including children, on a continuous (24 hours a day/seven days a week) basis. This means that it is the view of ICNIRP that if someone, including a child, were to be exposed to RF energy from multiple sources for 24 hours a day, 365 days a year, within the ICNIRP limits, that person would experience no adverse health effects.

### **7.2.6 Handovers**

Mobile devices that are passing between different network coverage areas are subject to handover protocols. Handovers are common for users in moving vehicles such as cars and trains. Handovers can generate extra device transmissions which vary according to the network technology [b-Arduino].

## **7.3 Device position and orientation relative to user**

The influence of device position and orientation relative to the user on induced SAR are shown in the upper right area of the system diagram in Figure 1.

Device location relative to the body has a strong effect on both the level and location of SAR induced in the body of the user [b-Hossain]. When a device is close to the body, exposure drops rapidly with increasing separation distance [b-Kuhn 2009]. Exposure from the devices of other nearby users is generally negligible. On public transport exposure from nearby users is low but it is greater than the exposure from environmental sources such as mobile network antennas [b-Sagar].

The WHO<sup>7</sup> explains that:

*'The power (and hence the radiofrequency exposure to a user) falls off rapidly with increasing distance from the handset. A person using a mobile phone 30-40 cm away from their body – for example when text messaging, accessing the Internet, or using a "hands free" device – will therefore have a much lower exposure to radiofrequency fields than someone holding the handset against their head.'*

Since the primary mechanism of induced SAR is via a near field induction effect [b-Kuster], induced SAR levels in the body predominate in areas close to the device. Hence, a device held against the head induces a negligible level of SAR in the torso and vice versa. For devices held against the head, the distribution of SAR throughout the brain can vary quite substantially [b-Ghanmi]. Even the position of the hand holding the mobile devices will influence the SAR [b-Guo 2013] and [b-Al-Mously].

The orientation of the device relative to the body also influences the level of induced SAR, though to a lesser degree than separation distance.

---

<sup>7</sup> <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs193/en/>

## 7.4 Human body characteristics

The influences of body characteristics on induced SAR are shown in the lower right areas of the system diagram in Figure 1.

The distribution and dielectric properties (permittivity and conductivity) of body tissues have a primary influence on how RF-EMF transmitted by the device is coupled into the body. Moreover, tissue conductivity ( $\sigma$ ) has a direct relationship to SAR, since  $SAR = \sigma |E_{int}|^2 / \rho$  where  $|E_{int}|$  is the root mean square (rms) magnitude of the induced electric field and  $\rho$  is the tissue mass density. Tissue conductivities are somewhat higher in infants (< 1 y.o.) due to higher tissue water content, but settle to adult levels thereafter [b-Anderson 2003].

Sensitivity analyses [b-Anderson 2003] and recent numerical studies of young children and adults [b-Keshvari 2005], [b-Christ 2005b], [b-Christ 2010], [b-Hadjem 2010], [b-Keshvari 2011] confirm that age has a negligible impact on device SAR levels in the head, particularly in comparison to the effect of anatomical interpersonal differences of persons within the same age group [b-Adibzadeh]. Tablet type devices also result in exposure levels below the limit values [b-Liorni], [b-Oliveira] and [b-Tateno]. In the case of a pregnant woman using a mobile device, exposure to the developing fetus is always below the international exposure limits [b-Togashi]. Jewelry, such as rings and ear-rings; glasses and dental implants may cause localized enhancements to the fields but the limits are not exceeded [b-Nikolovski], [b-Safari], [b-Whittow] and [b-Yu]. Metallic implants internal to the body can concentrate the field, but temperature rises are generally small. Consideration may be required for workers exposed at occupational levels [b-Anderson 2008] and [b-McIntosh].

Body size is much less influential for local SAR compared to its general effect on whole body SAR absorption (see Introduction). Of more significance are differences in the thickness of the skin and subcutaneous layers, particularly the fat layer which can vary substantially between people and has markedly lower conductivity compared to most other tissues. A thicker fat layer increases the separation distance between the device and underlying organs, thereby reducing their SAR exposure [b-Takei].

## 7.5 SAR assessment technique

The last remaining area of the system diagram of Figure 1 to be discussed is the part in the center right relating to SAR assessment.

For regulatory compliance purposes, device SAR is usually assessed through measurement [IEC 62209-1] and [IEC 62209-2] though it can also be determined numerically.

The main advantage of numerical assessment is that it provides the opportunity of investigating how SAR is distributed in anatomically detailed models of the body.

Measurements on the other hand benefit from better representation of the device itself which can be difficult to accurately represent in numerical models. For obvious reasons, it is not possible to conduct intrusive SAR measurements inside living bodies which are accordingly represented as standardized anthropomorphic phantoms filled with a homogeneous fluid mixture that approximates the bulk dielectric properties of real tissues [b-Beard 2006] and [b-Christ 2005a]. Different phantoms are specified for head and torso measurements and their shapes are conservative for 90% of the population.

The measurement methodologies for assessing device compliance with SAR limits are conservative [b-Beard 2004]:

1. The device is assessed operating at its maximum transmitter power.
2. Measurements are conducted with the device against the phantom in typical use positions. Moreover, the assessed SAR level is chosen as the maximum level recorded for a range of device orientations relative to the body.

3. Measurements are conducted without the presence of the hand which has been shown to generally reduce SAR levels [b-Keshvari 2016], though in a few cases it may also increase it [b-Li 2012b].
4. The test device is selected from a production line sample.
5. The shapes of the phantom shells and the dielectric properties of the liquid medium are specified such that they tend to cause overestimation of SAR levels compared to those obtained in a real people [b-IEEE]; [b-Keshvari 2016] and [b-Monebhurrin 2010].

This concerted approach to conservatively estimate the SAR level means that actual levels experienced by users are generally far below the SAR measured and the compliance limits.

### **7.5.1 Typical exposure versus maximum exposure**

In many regions manufacturers are required to provide a "Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) Report" when placing a device onto the market. The SAR report presents the highest measured value of RF-EMF energy absorption determined under laboratory conditions. For the purposes of the measurements the device is configured to operate at maximum power. However, due to the influence of power control the exposure due to mobile phones in actual use is well below the values given at the maximum powers [b-Gati 2009].

## **8 Conclusion**

The SAR level induced in the body of a person using a mobile, portable or radiocommunication device can be affected by a diverse range of factors that include design aspects of the devices and their corresponding networks, personal usage patterns, how the device is held against the body and the physical characteristics of the body. The SAR measurement methodologies are designed to be conservative for mobile device users.

The relative influences of these SAR factors vary significantly between each other. Power control of devices can continuously change SAR levels during actual use over several orders of magnitude. On the other hand, differences between different body types, taking age into consideration, appear to have little effect.

Public records of SAR levels assessed for compliance show a relatively limited degree of variability as they are drawn from a restricted context of worst case scenarios.

## Bibliography

- [b-Adibzadeh 2015] Adibzadeh, F., Bakker, J.F., Paulides, M.M., Verhaart, R.F. and van Rhoon, G.C. (2015), *Impact of head morphology on local brain specific absorption rate from exposure to mobile phone radiation*, Bioelectromagnetics, Vol. 36 No. 1, pp. 66-76.
- [b-Aerts] Aerts, S., Plets, D., Verloock, L., Martens, L. and Joseph, W. (2014), *Assessment and comparison of total RF-EMF exposure in femtocell and macrocell base station scenarios*, Radiation Protection Dosimetry, Vol. 162 No. 3, pp. 236-243.
- [b-Al-Mously] Al-Mously, S.I. and Abousetta, M.M. (2009), *User's Hand Effect on TIS of Different GSM900/1800 Mobile Phone Models Using FDTD Method*, Proceedings of World Academy of Science: Engineering & Technology, Vol. 49 No. 79031, pp. 878-883.
- [b-Anderson 2003] Anderson, V. (2003), *Comparisons of peak SAR levels in concentric sphere head models of children and adults for irradiation by a dipole at 900 MHz*, Physics in Medicine and Biology, Vol. 48 No. 20, pp. 3263-75.
- [b-Anderson 2008] Anderson, V. and McIntosh, R. (2008), *Guidelines for the RF exposure assessment of metallic implants*, The International EMF Dosimetry Handbook, 2nd ed., MCL, Melbourne, Australia, pp. 1-52.
- [b-Ardoino] Ardoino, L., Barbieri, E. and Vecchia, P. (2004), *Determinants of exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones*, Radiation Protection Dosimetry, Vol. 111 No. 4, pp. 403-406.
- [b-Atanasov] Atanasov, et al. (2007), *An investigation of the effects from the user's hand and head over received level and adaptive power control of a GSM mobile phone in typical operating environment*, The Environmentalist, 27(4):585-591.
- [b-Beard 2004] Beard, B.B. and Kainz, W. (2004), *Review and standardization of cell phone exposure calculations using the SAM phantom and anatomically correct head models*, Biomedical Engineering Online, Vol. 3 No. 1, p. 34.
- [b-Beard 2006] Beard, B.B., Kainz, W., Onishi, T., Iyama, T., Watanabe, S., Fujiwara, O., Wang, J., et al. (2006), *Comparisons of computed mobile phone induced SAR in the SAM phantom to that in anatomically correct models of the human head*, IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Vol. 48 No. 2, pp. 397-407.
- [b-BfS SAR search] Bundesamt für Strahlenschutz, *SAR search*, accessed 25<sup>th</sup> March 2017 [http://www.bfs.de/SiteGlobals/Forms/Suche/BfS/EN/SARsuche\\_Formular.html](http://www.bfs.de/SiteGlobals/Forms/Suche/BfS/EN/SARsuche_Formular.html)
- [b-Bit-Babik] Bit-Babik et al. (2003), *Estimation of the SAR in the Human Head and Body due to Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure from Handheld Mobile Phones with Hands-Free Accessories*, Radiation Research, 159(4):550-557, 1 April 2003.
- [b-Cardis 2017] Cardis, A.-K.L. and S.-E.H. and J.-H.K. and H.-D.C. and E. (2017), *Mobile phone types and SAR characteristics of the human brain*, Physics in Medicine and Biology, Vol. 62 No. 7, p. 2741.

- [b-Cardis 2011] Cardis, E., Varsier, N., Bowman, J.D., Deltour, I., Figuerola, J., Mann, S., Moissonnier, M., et al. (2011), *Estimation of RF energy absorbed in the brain from mobile phones in the Interphone Study*, Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Vol. 68 No. 9, pp. 686-93.
- [b-Christ 2014] Christ, A. (2014), *Low-power exclusion clause for wireless devices operating at close distances to the human body*, The 8th European Conference on Antennas and Propagation (EuCAP 2014), IEEE, pp. 2628-2630.
- [b-Christ 2005a] Christ, A., Chavannes, N., Nikoloski, N., Gerber, H.-U., Poković, K. and Kuster, N. (2005), *A numerical and experimental comparison of human head phantoms for compliance testing of mobile telephone equipment*, Bioelectromagnetics, Vol. 26 No. 2, pp. 125-37.
- [b-Christ 2010] Christ, A., Gosselin, M.-C., Christopoulou, M., Kühn, S. and Kuster, N. (2010), *Age-dependent tissue-specific exposure of cell phone users*, Physics in Medicine and Biology, Vol. 55 No. 7, pp. 1767-1783.
- [b-Christ 2005b] Christ, A. and Kuster, N. (2005), *Differences in RF energy absorption in the heads of adults and children*, Bioelectromagnetics, Vol. 26 Supp. 7, pp. S31-S34.
- [b-Colombi] Colombi, D., Thors, B. and Tornevik, C. (2015), *Implications of EMF Exposure Limits on Output Power Levels for 5G Devices Above 6 GHz*, IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters, Vol. 14, pp. 1247-1249.
- [b-Cooper 2006] Cooper, T. G., Mann, S. M., Khalid, M. and Blackwell, R. P. (2006), *Public exposure to radio waves near GSM microcell and picocell base stations*, Journal of Radiological Protection, 26(2), pp. 199-211.
- [b-Deltour] Deltour, I., Wiart, J., Taki, M., Wake, K., Varsier, N., Mann, S., Schüz, J., et al. (2011), *Analysis of three-dimensional SAR distributions emitted by mobile phones in an epidemiological perspective*, Bioelectromagnetics, Vol. 32 No. 8, pp. 634-643.
- [b-Erdreich] Erdreich, L.S., Van Kerkhove, M.D., Scrafford, C.G., Barraji, L., McNeely, M., Shum, M., Sheppard, A.R., et al. (2007), *Factors that influence the radiofrequency power output of GSM mobile phones*, Radiation Research, Vol. 168 No. 2, pp. 253-261.
- [b-Gati] Gati, A., Hadjem, A., Wong, M.F. and Wiart, J. (2009), *Exposure induced by WCDMA mobile phones in operating networks*, IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications, Vol. 8 No. 12, pp. 5723-5727.
- [b-Ghanmi] Ghanmi, A., Varsier, N., Hadjem, A., Conil, E., Picon, O. and Wiart, J. (2014), *Analysis of the influence of handset phone position on RF exposure of brain tissue*, Bioelectromagnetics, Vol. 35 No. 8, pp. 568-79.
- [b-Guo] Guo, Q., Mitra, R., Lei, F., Li, Z., Ju, J. and Byun, J. (2013), *Interaction Between Internal Antenna and External Antenna of Mobile Phone and Hand Effect*, IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. 61 No. 2, pp. 862-870.

- [b-Hadjem] Hadjem, A., Conil, E., Gati, A., Wong, M.-F. and Wiart, J. (2010), *Analysis of Power Absorbed by Children's Head as a Result of New Usages of Mobile Phone*, IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Vol. 52 No. 4, pp. 812-819.
- [b-Hansson Mild] Hansson Mild, K., Bach Andersen, J. and Frølund Pedersen, G. (2012), *Is there any exposure from a mobile phone in stand-by mode?*, Electromagnetic Biology and Medicine, Vol. 31 No. 1, pp. 52-56.
- [b-Hillert] Hillert, L., Ahlbom, A., Neasham, D., Feychting, M., Järup, L., Navin, R. and Elliott, P. (2006), *Call-related factors influencing output power from mobile phones*, Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology, Vol. 16 No. 6, pp. 507-14.
- [b-Hossain] Hossain, M.I., Faruque, M.R.I. and Islam, M.T. (2015), *Analysis on the effect of the distances and inclination angles between human head and mobile phone on SAR*, Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology, Vol. 119 No. 2, pp. 103-10.
- [b-ICNIRP 1998] International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (1998), *Guidelines for limiting exposure to time-varying electric, magnetic, and electromagnetic fields (up to 300 GHz)*, Health Physics. 74(4):494-522. April 1998.
- [b-ICNIRP 2009] International Commission on Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (2009), *Exposure to high frequency electromagnetic fields, biological effects and health consequences (100 kHz-300 GHz)*, 16/2009.
- [b-IEEE] IEEE Technical Report ICES TC34/SC2 (2013), *IEEE recommended practice for determining the peak spatial-average specific absorption rate (SAR) in the human head from wireless communications devices: measurement techniques*.
- [b-Iskra 2013] Iskra, S., McKenzie, R., Rowley, J. and McIntosh, R. L. (2013), *Distributed Antenna System for Mobile Phone Coverage in a Hospital – EMI Considerations*, Asia-Pacific International Symposium and Exhibition on Electromagnetic Compatibility 2013. Melbourne, Australia, 20 – 23 May 2013. Barton, ACT: Engineers Australia, pp. 114-117.
- [b-Joshi 2017] Joshi, P., Colombi, D., Thors, B., Larsson, L. E. and Tornevik, C. (2017), *Output Power Levels of 4G User Equipment and Implications on Realistic RF EMF Exposure Assessments*, IEEE Access, 5(1), pp. 4545-4550.
- [b-Kelsh] Kelsh, M.A., Shum, M., Sheppard, A.R., McNeely, M., Kuster, N., Lau, E., Weidling, R., et al. (2011), *Measured radiofrequency exposure during various mobile-phone use scenarios*, Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology, Vol. 21 No. 4, pp. 343–54.
- [b-Keshvari 2016] Keshvari, J., Kivento, M., Christ, A. and Bit-Babik, G. (2016), *Large scale study on the variation of RF energy absorption in the head & brain regions of adults and children and evaluation of the SAM phantom conservativeness*, Physics in Medicine and Biology, Vol. 61 No. 8, pp. 2991-3008.

- [b-Keshvari 2005] Keshvari, J. and Lang, S. (2005), *Comparison of radio frequency energy absorption in ear and eye region of children and adults at 900, 1800 and 2450 MHz*, Physics in Medicine and Biology, Vol. 50 No. 18, pp. 4355-69.
- [b-Keshvari 2011] Keshvari, J. and Heikkilä, T. (2011), *Volume-averaged SAR in adult and child head models when using mobile phones: A computational study with detailed CAD-based models of commercial mobile phones*, Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology, Vol. 107 No. 3, pp. 439-442.
- [b-Krayni] Krayni, A., Hadjem, A., Sibille, A., Roblin, C. and Wiart, J. (2016), *A Novel Methodology to Evaluate Uplink Exposure by Personal Devices in Wireless Networks*, IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Vol. 58 No. 3, pp. 896-906.
- [b-Kuhn] Kuehn, S., Kelsh, M.A., Kuster, N., Sheppard, A.R. and Shum, M. (2013), *Analysis of mobile phone design features affecting radiofrequency power absorbed in a human head phantom*, Bioelectromagnetics, Vol. 34 No. 6, pp. 479-88.
- [b-Kuhn 2009] Kuhn, S., Cabot, E., Christ, A., Capstick, M. and Kuster, N. (2009), *Assessment of the radio-frequency electromagnetic fields induced in the human body from mobile phones used with hands-free kits*, Phys Med Biol, Vol. 54 No. 18, pp. 5493-5508.
- [b-Kühn 2013] Kühn, S. and Kuster, N. (2013), *Field Evaluation of the Human Exposure From Multiband, Multisystem Mobile Phones*, IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Vol. 55 No. 2, pp. 275-287.
- [b-Kuster] Kuster, N. and Balzano, Q. (1992), *Energy Absorption Mechanism by Biological Bodies in the Near Field of Dipole Antennas Above 300 MHz*, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, Vol. 41 No. 1, pp. 17-23.
- [b-Lee 2015] Lee, A.K., Hong, S.E., Kwon, J.H. and Choi, H. Do. (2015), *SAR Comparison of SAM Phantom and Anatomical Head Models for a Typical Bar-Type Phone Model*, IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Vol. 57 No. 5, pp. 1281-1284.
- [b-Lee 2017] Lee, A.-K., Hong, S.-E., Kwon, J.-H., Choi, H.-D. and Cardis, E. (2017), *Mobile phone types and SAR characteristics of the human brain*, Phys Med Biol, Vol. 62 No. 7, pp. 2741-2761.
- [b-Li 2012a] Li, C.H., Douglas, M., Ofli, E., Chavannes, N., Balzano, Q. and Kuster, N. (2012), *Mechanisms of RF Electromagnetic Field Absorption in Human Hands and Fingers*, IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Vol. 60 No. 7, pp. 2267-2276.
- [b-Li 2012b] Li, C.H., Douglas, M., Ofli, E., Derat, B., Gabriel, S., Chavannes, N. and Kuster, N. (2012), *Influence of the Hand on the Specific Absorption Rate in the Head*, IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. 60 No. 2, pp. 1066-1074.
- [b-Liorni] Liorni, I., Parazzini, M., Varsier, N., Hadjem, A., Ravazzani, P. and Wiart, J. (2016), *Exposure assessment of one-year-old child to 3G tablet in uplink mode and to 3G femtocell in downlink mode using*

*polynomial chaos decomposition*, Physics in Medicine and Biology, Vol. 61 No. 8, pp. 3237-57.

- [b-Lonn] Lonn, S., Forssen, U., Vecchia, P., Ahlbom, A. and Feychting, M. (2004), *Output power levels from mobile phones in different geographical areas*; implications for exposure assessment, Occup Environ Med, Vol. 61 No. 9, pp. 769-772.
- [Mazar 2016] *'Radio Spectrum Management: Policies, Regulations and Techniques'* Wiley (Section 9.6).
- [b-McIntosh] McIntosh, R.L., McKenzie, R.J. and Anderson, V. (2002), *The Numerical Evaluation of SAR Distribution and Temperature Change around Metallic Implants in RF Exposed Workers*, Bioelectromagnetics Society 24th Annual Meeting, Bioelectromagnetics Society, Sydney, pp. 186-187.
- [b-Mireku] Mireku et al. (2018), *Total recall in the scamp cohort: Validation of self-reported mobile phone use in the smartphone era*. Environmental Research. 161(1-8). February 2018.
- [b-Monebhurrun 2010] Monebhurrun, V. (2010), *Conservativeness of the SAM phantom for the SAR evaluation in the child's head*, IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, Vol. 46, pp. 3477-3480.
- [b-Morrissey] Morrissey, J.J. (2007), *Radio frequency exposure in mobile phone users: Implications for exposure assessment in epidemiological studies*, Radiation Protection Dosimetry, Vol. 123 No. 4, pp. 490-497.
- [b-Nielson 2006a] Nielsen, J.Ø. and Pedersen, G.F. (2006), *In-network performance of handheld mobile terminals*, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, Vol. 55 No. 3, pp. 903-916.
- [b-Nielson 2006b] Nielsen, J.Ø. and Pedersen, G.F. (2006), *Mobile handset performance evaluation using radiation pattern measurements*, IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. 54 No. 7, pp. 2154-2165.
- [b-Nikolovski] Nikolovski, M., Munteanu, I., Cassara, A.M. and Weiland, T. (2014), *Impact of rings and earrings on the SAR distribution due to mobile phone exposure*, 2014 Loughborough Antennas and Propagation Conference (LAPC), IEEE, pp. 504-508.
- [b-Oliveira] Oliveira, C., Mackowiak, M. and Correia, L.M. (2015), *Exposure assessment of smartphones and tablets*, 2015 International Symposium on Wireless Communication Systems (ISWCS), IEEE, pp. 436-440.
- [b-Perrucci] Perrucci, et al. (2009), *On the Impact of 2G and 3G Network Usage for Mobile Phones' Battery Life*, European Wireless 2009.
- [b-Persson] Persson, T., Törnevik, C., Larsson, L.-E. and Lovén, J. (2012), *Output power distributions of terminals in a 3G mobile communication network*, Bioelectromagnetics, Vol. 33 No. 4, pp. 320-5.
- [b-Picard] Picard, D., Fouquet, L. and Chauvin, S. (2013), *Assessment of real exposure to GSM mobile telephones using the SYRPOM*, Radiation Protection Dosimetry, Vol. 157 No. 1, pp. 22-35.
- [b-Plets 2015a] Plets, D., Joseph, W., Aerts, S., Vermeeren, G., Varsier, N., Wiart, J. and Martens, L. (2015), *Assessment of contribution of other users to*

*own total whole-body RF absorption in train environment*,  
Bioelectromagnetics, Vol. 36 No. 8, pp. 597-602.

- [b-Safari] Safari, M. and Abdolali, A. (2016), *Dental Implants and Mobile-Phone Use: How implant presence and position affect antenna parameters, specific absorption rate, and current density*, IEEE Antennas and Propagation Magazine, October, Vol. 58 No. 5, pp. 43-51.
- [b-Sagar] Sagar, S., Dongus, S. et al. (2017), *Radiofrequency electromagnetic field exposure in everyday microenvironments in Europe: A systematic literature review*, Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental Epidemiology, Published online: 2 August 2017.
- [b-Shi] Shi, D., Gao, Y. and Du, X. (2012), *The SAR value analysis of LTE terminals*, International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility – EMC EUROPE, pp. 1-4.
- [b-Simba] Simba, A.Y., Hikage, T., Nojima, T. and Watanabe, S. (2011), *Experimental and numerical investigation of the maximum specific absorption rate in a spherical phantom when operating a mobile phone near a metallic wall*, IET Science, Measurement & Technology, Vol. 5 No. 6, pp. 225-230.
- [b-Takei] Takei, R., Nagaoka, T., Saito, K., Watanabe, S. and Takahashi, M. (2017), *SAR Variation Due to Exposure From a Smartphone Held at Various Positions Near the Torso*, IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Vol. 59 No. 2, pp. 747-753.
- [b-Tateno] Tateno, A., Tanaka, K., Nagaoka, T., Watanabe, S., Saito, K., Takahashi, M. and Ito, K. (2014), *Comparison of SAR in human body radiated from mobile phone and tablet computer*, 2014 International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Tokyo, pp. 186-189.
- [b-Togashi] Togashi, T., T. Nagaoka, et al. (2008), *FDTD Calculations of Specific Absorption Rate in Fetus Caused by Electromagnetic Waves From Mobile Radio Terminal Using Pregnant Woman Model*, IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, 56(2):554-559.
- [b-Urbinello] Urbinello, D. and Rösli, M. (2013), *Impact of one's own mobile phone in stand-by mode on personal radiofrequency electromagnetic field exposure*, Journal of Exposure Science & Environmental Epidemiology, Vol. 23 No. 5, pp. 545-8.
- [b-Vrijheid] Vrijheid, M., Mann, S., Vecchia, P., Wiert, J., Taki, M., Ardoino, L., Armstrong, B.K., et al. (2009), *Determinants of mobile phone output power in a multinational study: implications for exposure assessment*, Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Vol. 66 No. 10, pp. 664-71.
- [b-Whittow] Whittow, W.G. and Edwards, R.M. (2004), *A study of changes to specific absorption rates in the human eye close to perfectly conducting spectacles within the radio frequency range 15 to 30 GHz*, IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. 52 No. 12, pp. 3207-3212.
- [b-WHO] WHO Fact sheet N°193 (2014), *Electromagnetic fields and public health: mobile phones*.

- [b-Wiart] Wiart, J., Dale, C., Bosisio, A. V and Le Cornec, A. (2000), *Analysis of the influence of the power control and discontinuous transmission on RF exposure with GSM mobile phones*, IEEE Transactions on Electromagnetic Compatibility, Vol. 42 No. 4, pp. 376-385.
- [b-Yu] Yu, D., Zhang, R. and Liu, Q. (2012), *Influence of dentures on SAR in the visible Chinese human head voxel phantom exposed to a mobile phone at 900 and 1800 MHz*, Bioelectromagnetics, Vol. 33 No. 6, pp. 508-17.
- [b-Zarikoff] Zarikoff, B. and Malone, D. (2013), *A Comparison of RF Exposure in Macro- and Femtocells*, Health Physics, Vol. 105 No. 1, pp. 39-48.
- [b-Zervos] Zervos, T., Alexandridis, A.A., Petrovic, V.V., Dangakis, K.P., Kolundzija, B.M., Djordjevic, A.R. and Soras, C.F. (2005), *Mobile Phone Antenna Performance and Power Absorption in Terms of Handset Size and Distance from User's Head*, Wireless Personal Communications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Vol. 33 No. 2, pp. 109-120.
- [b-Zhao 2016] Zhao, K., Ying, Z. and He, S. (2016), *EMF Exposure Study Concerning mmWave Phased Array in Mobile Devices for 5G Communication*, IEEE Antennas and Wireless Propagation Letters.
- [b-Zhao 2013] Zhao, K., Zhang, S., Ying, Z., Bolin, T. and He, S. (2013), *SAR Study of Different MIMO Antenna Designs for LTE Application in Smart Mobile Handsets*, IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. 61 No. 6, pp. 3270-3279.





## SERIES OF ITU-T RECOMMENDATIONS

Series A	Organization of the work of ITU-T
Series D	Tariff and accounting principles and international telecommunication/ICT economic and policy issues
Series E	Overall network operation, telephone service, service operation and human factors
Series F	Non-telephone telecommunication services
Series G	Transmission systems and media, digital systems and networks
Series H	Audiovisual and multimedia systems
Series I	Integrated services digital network
Series J	Cable networks and transmission of television, sound programme and other multimedia signals
<b>Series K</b>	<b>Protection against interference</b>
Series L	Environment and ICTs, climate change, e-waste, energy efficiency; construction, installation and protection of cables and other elements of outside plant
Series M	Telecommunication management, including TMN and network maintenance
Series N	Maintenance: international sound programme and television transmission circuits
Series O	Specifications of measuring equipment
Series P	Telephone transmission quality, telephone installations, local line networks
Series Q	Switching and signalling, and associated measurements and tests
Series R	Telegraph transmission
Series S	Telegraph services terminal equipment
Series T	Terminals for telematic services
Series U	Telegraph switching
Series V	Data communication over the telephone network
Series X	Data networks, open system communications and security
Series Y	Global information infrastructure, Internet protocol aspects, next-generation networks, Internet of Things and smart cities
Series Z	Languages and general software aspects for telecommunication systems