

TELECOMMUNICATION STANDARDIZATION SECTOR OF ITU



SERIES H: AUDIOVISUAL AND MULTIMEDIA SYSTEMS Infrastructure of audiovisual services – Communication procedures

Gateway control protocol: Secure real-time transport protocol (SRTP) package and procedures

Recommendation ITU-T H.248.77

7-0-1



# ITU-T H-SERIES RECOMMENDATIONS AUDIOVISUAL AND MULTIMEDIA SYSTEMS

CHARACTERISTICS OF VISUAL TELEPHONE SYSTEMS	H.100–H.199
INFRASTRUCTURE OF AUDIOVISUAL SERVICES	
General	H.200–H.219
Transmission multiplexing and synchronization	H.220–H.229
Systems aspects	H.230–H.239
Communication procedures	H.240–H.259
Coding of moving video	H.260–H.279
Related systems aspects	H.280–H.299
Systems and terminal equipment for audiovisual services	H.300–H.349
Directory services architecture for audiovisual and multimedia services	H.350–H.359
Quality of service architecture for audiovisual and multimedia services	H.360–H.369
Telepresence	H.420–H.429
Supplementary services for multimedia	H.450–H.499
MOBILITY AND COLLABORATION PROCEDURES	
Overview of Mobility and Collaboration, definitions, protocols and procedures	H.500-H.509
Mobility for H-Series multimedia systems and services	H.510-H.519
Mobile multimedia collaboration applications and services	H.520-H.529
Security for mobile multimedia systems and services	H.530-H.539
Security for mobile multimedia collaboration applications and services	H.540–H.549
VEHICULAR GATEWAYS AND INTELLIGENT TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS (ITS)	
Architecture for vehicular gateways	H.550–H.559
Vehicular gateway interfaces	H.560-H.569
BROADBAND, TRIPLE-PLAY AND ADVANCED MULTIMEDIA SERVICES	
Broadband multimedia services over VDSL	H.610–H.619
Advanced multimedia services and applications	H.620-H.629
Ubiquitous sensor network applications and Internet of Things	H.640-H.649
IPTV MULTIMEDIA SERVICES AND APPLICATIONS FOR IPTV	
General aspects	H.700-H.719
IPTV terminal devices	Н.720-Н.729
IPTV middleware	H.730–H.739
IPTV application event handling	H.740–H.749
IPTV metadata	H.750–H.759
IPTV multimedia application frameworks	H.760–H.769
IPTV service discovery up to consumption	H.770–H.779
Digital Signage	H.780–H.789
E-HEALTH MULTIMEDIA SERVICES AND APPLICATIONS	
Personal health systems	H.810–H.819
Interoperability compliance testing of personal health systems (HRN, PAN, LAN, TAN and	H.820–H.859
WAN)	
Multimedia e-health data exchange services	H.860–H.869

For further details, please refer to the list of ITU-T Recommendations.

# **Recommendation ITU-T H.248.77**

# Gateway control protocol: Secure real-time transport protocol (SRTP) package and procedures

#### Summary

Recommendation ITU-T H.248.77 defines a new ITU-T H.248 package, the secure real-time transport protocol (SRTP) package. In addition, this Recommendation covers a set of procedures related to SRTP key management. The combination of package and procedures allows a media gateway controller (MGC) to control the use of SRTP by a media gateway (MG).

This revision contains an updated package that allows for the usage of datagram transport layer security (DTLS)-SRTP key management scheme that exchanges the peers' certificates via the signalling path and then establishes a DTLS connection on the bearer path.

#### History

Edition	Recommendation	Approval	Study Group	Unique ID*
1.0	ITU-T H.248.77	2010-09-13	16	11.1002/1000/10987
2.0	ITU-T H.248.77	2017-12-14	16	11.1002/1000/13432

#### Keywords

Key management, SRTP.

i

<sup>\*</sup> To access the Recommendation, type the URL http://handle.itu.int/ in the address field of your web browser, followed by the Recommendation's unique ID. For example, <u>http://handle.itu.int/11.1002/1000/</u> <u>11830-en</u>.

#### FOREWORD

The International Telecommunication Union (ITU) is the United Nations specialized agency in the field of telecommunications, information and communication technologies (ICTs). The ITU Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU-T) is a permanent organ of ITU. ITU-T is responsible for studying technical, operating and tariff questions and issuing Recommendations on them with a view to standardizing telecommunications on a worldwide basis.

The World Telecommunication Standardization Assembly (WTSA), which meets every four years, establishes the topics for study by the ITU-T study groups which, in turn, produce Recommendations on these topics.

The approval of ITU-T Recommendations is covered by the procedure laid down in WTSA Resolution 1.

In some areas of information technology which fall within ITU-T's purview, the necessary standards are prepared on a collaborative basis with ISO and IEC.

#### NOTE

In this Recommendation, the expression "Administration" is used for conciseness to indicate both a telecommunication administration and a recognized operating agency.

Compliance with this Recommendation is voluntary. However, the Recommendation may contain certain mandatory provisions (to ensure, e.g., interoperability or applicability) and compliance with the Recommendation is achieved when all of these mandatory provisions are met. The words "shall" or some other obligatory language such as "must" and the negative equivalents are used to express requirements. The use of such words does not suggest that compliance with the Recommendation is required of any party.

#### INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

ITU draws attention to the possibility that the practice or implementation of this Recommendation may involve the use of a claimed Intellectual Property Right. ITU takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of claimed Intellectual Property Rights, whether asserted by ITU members or others outside of the Recommendation development process.

As of the date of approval of this Recommendation, ITU had received notice of intellectual property, protected by patents, which may be required to implement this Recommendation. However, implementers are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information and are therefore strongly urged to consult the TSB patent database at <u>http://www.itu.int/ITU-T/ipr/</u>.

#### © ITU 2018

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, by any means whatsoever, without the prior written permission of ITU.

#### **Table of Contents**

1	Scope	
_	1.1	Connection model
2	Refere	ences
3	Defin	itions
	3.1	Terms defined elsewhere
	3.2	Terms defined in this Recommendation
4	Abbre	eviations and acronyms
5	Conve	entions
6	Secur	e RTP package
	6.1	Properties
	6.2	Events
	6.3	Signals
	6.4	Statistics
	6.5	Error codes
	6.6	Procedures
7	Key n	nanagement using SDP security descriptions
	7.1	Overspecification of SRTP parameters and multiple keys
	7.2	Wildcarding of SRTP parameters
	7.3	Interoperability with offer/answer-based implementations
	7.4	SDES and SRTP cryptographic contexts
	7.5	Mapping of master keys for sent packets and received packets statistics
8	Key n	nanagement using DTLS-SRTP
	8.1	Key management indication
	8.2	Bearer plane connection for "DTLS-SRTP"
	8.3	Multi key management operation
9	Secur	ity considerations
	9.1	Relation to key management scheme "SDES"
	9.2	Relation to key management scheme "DTLS-SRTP"
App	oendix I –	Example call flows for key management scheme "SDES"
	I.1	Initial session setup using SDP security descriptions
	I.2	MG1's key is about to expire
	I.3	Auditing SRTP capabilities
	I.4	Auditing of SRTP statistics
App	endix II	- Sample use-cases of SRTP bearer encryption
	II.1	Use-case #1: ITU-T H.248 MG for peering IP and circuit-switched networks
	II.2	Use-case #2: ITU-T H.248 MG for peering IP networks
	II.3	Use-case #3: Transparent SRTP forwarding
Bib	liography	r

# **Recommendation ITU-T H.248.77**

# Gateway control protocol: Secure real-time transport protocol (SRTP) package and procedures

#### 1 Scope

The secure real-time transport protocol (SRTP) is a real-time transport protocol (RTP) profile that provides confidentiality, message authentication and replay protection to RTP and RTP control protocol (RTCP) sessions. The secure RTP package allows a media gateway controller (MGC) to control the use of SRTP by a media gateway (MG). This package is defined in detail in clause 6.

By itself, the secure RTP package is incomplete, as it does not provide procedures for key management. Instead, it is designed to rely on existing key-management schemes (see also [b-IETF RFC 7202]). [b-IETF RFC 5479] provides an example selection of key-management protocol options for SRTP in "SIP networks".

Clause 7 provides procedures for the use of one such key-management scheme: session description protocol (SDP) security descriptions.

Clause 8 provides procedures for the use of one such key-management scheme: datagram transport layer security (DTLS)-SRTP.

Several reasons exist why this Recommendation is required, in addition to the existing (usually SDP-based) SRTP key-management schemes. The most significant of which are listed below:

- Most existing SDP key-management schemes rely on the SDP offer/answer model (see [b-IETF RFC 3264]). However, the offer/answer model is not used in ITU-T H.248 as it does not fit the nature of the connection between an ITU-T H.248 MGC and a MG.
- Existing SDP key-management schemes do not contain procedures relating to parameter overspecification and wildcarding, which are unique to ITU-T H.248.
- The limited lifetime of SRTP master keys calls for mechanisms for handling master key expiry. The existing mechanisms cannot be used in ITU-T H.248.
- The SRTP package allows explicit control over the key-management scheme employed, allowing easy interoperability with, and migration to future schemes.
- The SRTP package allows an MGC to audit the SRTP capabilities of an MG through the use of the packages descriptor and the properties of the new package.
- The SRTP package allows an MGC to collect statistics regarding the number of security violations encountered by the MG, and the volume of SRTP traffic it processed.

The scope of the (09/2010) edition of this Recommendation is limited to use-cases in which a MG applies SRTP procedures, as described in section 3.3 of [IETF RFC 3711], to the SRTP packets it sends and receives. Use-cases in which the MG handles SRTP packets without using those procedures (e.g., transparent forwarding, storage in encrypted form, etc.) are intentionally left out of this Recommendation.

This revision (12/2017) of the Recommendation extends the scope for further SRTP key management schemes, such as DTLS-SRTP according to [b-IETF RFC 5763] and [IETF RFC 5764].

#### **1.1** Connection model

All protocol elements and procedures described in this Recommendation are limited to the extent of a single ITU-T H.248 termination. In addition, no assumptions are made regarding either the lower layer protocols beneath the SRTP level or the upper layer protocols/codecs being carried by the SRTP.

This allows the use of the Recommendation's procedures in various connection models and use-cases (e.g., an SRTP-enabled announcement server, an SRTP to RTP translator, etc.).

Figure 1 details the generic connection-model where an SRTP-enabled termination is connected to a single other termination (either SRTP-enabled or not). The generalization to any number of terminations is trivial.



Figure 1 – Two-termination context with an SRTP termination

#### 2 References

The following ITU-T Recommendations and other references contain provisions which, through reference in this text, constitute provisions of this Recommendation. At the time of publication, the editions indicated were valid. All Recommendations and other references are subject to revision; users of this Recommendation are therefore encouraged to investigate the possibility of applying the most recent edition of the Recommendations and other references listed below. A list of the currently valid ITU-T Recommendations is regularly published. The reference to a document within this Recommendation does not give it, as a stand-alone document, the status of a Recommendation.

[ITU-T H.248.1]	Recommendation ITU-T H.248.1 (2013), <i>Gateway control protocol: Version 3</i> .
[ITU-T H.248.8]	Recommendation ITU-T H.248.8 (2013), <i>Gateway control protocol: Error code and service change reason description</i> .
[ITU-T H.248.47]	Recommendation ITU-T H.248.47 (2008), <i>Gateway control protocol:</i> Statistic conditional reporting package.
[ITU-T H.248.49]	Recommendation ITU-T H.248.49 (2007), <i>Gateway control protocol:</i> Session description protocol RFC and capabilities packages.
[ITU-T H.248.90]	Recommendation ITU-T H.248.90 (2014), <i>Gateway control protocol:</i> <i>ITU-T H.248 packages for control of transport security using transport</i> <i>layer security (TLS).</i>
[ITU-T H.248.93]	Recommendation ITU-T H.248.93 (2014), <i>Gateway control protocol:</i> <i>ITU-T H.248 support for control of transport security using the datagram</i> <i>transport layer security (DTLS) protocol.</i>
[IETF RFC 3550]	IETF RFC 3550 (2003), RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications.
[IETF RFC 3711]	IETF RFC 3711 (2004), The Secure Real-time Transport Protocol (SRTP).
[IETF RFC 4568]	IETF RFC 4568 (2006), Session Description Protocol (SDP) Security Descriptions for Media Streams.

[IETF RFC 4572]	IETF RFC 4572 (2017), Connection-Oriented Media Transport over the Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol in the Session Description Protocol (SDP).
[IETF RFC 5246]	IETF RFC 5246 (2008), <i>The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2.</i>
[IETF RFC 5764]	IETF RFC 5764 (2010), Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS) Extension to Establish Keys for the Secure Real-time Transport Protocol (SRTP).
[IETF RFC 6347]	IETF RFC 6347 (2012), Datagram Transport Layer Security Version 1.2.

#### 3 Definitions

#### **3.1** Terms defined elsewhere

This Recommendation uses the following terms defined elsewhere:

**3.1.1 RTP** session (section 2.2.2 of [b-IETF RFC 7656] | [b-ITU-T H.248.95]): An association among a group of participants communicating with RTP. It is a group communications channel which can potentially carry a number of RTP Streams. Within an RTP Session, every Participant can find meta-data and control information (over RTCP) about all the RTP Streams in the RTP Session. The bandwidth of the RTCP control channel is shared between all Participants within an RTP Session.

**3.1.2 SRTP cryptographic context** [IETF RFC 3711]: The set of cryptographic state information that an SRTP sender or receiver must maintain per SRTP session participant.

NOTE - This term is often abbreviated as "crypto context".

#### **3.2** Terms defined in this Recommendation

This Recommendation defines the following terms:

**3.2.1 decrypting master key**: An SRTP master key used to decrypt and authenticate SRTP packets received by the MG.

**3.2.2** encrypting master key: An SRTP master key used to encrypt and authenticate SRTP packets sent by the MG.

#### 4 Abbreviations and acronyms

This Recommendation uses the following abbreviations and acronyms:

AES	Advanced Encryption Standard
DTLS	Datagram Transport Layer Security
HMAC	Keyed-Hash Message Authentication Code
IP	Internet Protocol
IPSec	IP Security
ISDN	Integrated Services Digital Network
L1	Layer 1 (of the Open Systems Interconnection model – the physical layer)
L2	Layer 2 (of the Open Systems Interconnection model – the data link layer)
MG	Media Gateway
MGC	Media Gateway Controller
MKI	Master Key Identifier

NAPT	Network Address and Port Translation
NAT	Network Address Translation
PSTN	Public Switched Telephone Network
ROC	Rollover Counter
RTCP	RTP Control Protocol
RTP	Real-time Transport Protocol
SAVP	Secure Audio-Video Profile
SDES	Session Description Protocol Security Descriptions
SDP	Session Description Protocol
SHA1	Secure Hash Algorithm 1
SRTCP	Secure RTCP
SRTP	Secure RTP
SSRC	Synchronization Source
TDM	Time Division Multiplexing
TLS	Transport Layer Security
UDP	User Datagram Protocol
WebRTC	Web Real-Time Communications

#### 5 Conventions

The names of ITU-T H.248 descriptors are always capitalized, for example, Streams and Local Descriptor.

The names of ITU-T H.248 properties, events, signals and parameters appear in the text in italics, for example ReserveValue.

All error codes appearing in this Recommendation are described in [ITU-T H.248.8] and [ITU-T H.248.49].

6	Secure RTP package		
	Package name:	Secure RTP	
	Package ID:	srtp (0x0107)	
	Description:	This package defines elements that allow the MGC to control an MG's use of the SRTP profile. Version 2 adds support for key management via DTLS for SRTP [IETF RFC 5764].	
	Version:	2	
	Extends:	None	
6.1	Properties		

#### **Supported Encryption Transforms** 6.1.1

Property name:	Supported Encryption Transforms
Property ID:	set (0x0001)

	Description:	This property declares the set of encryption transforms that can be used by SRTP sessions.
	Туре:	Sub-list of Enumeration
	Possible values:	Each item in the list can be one of:
		"NULL" (0x0000): The NULL Cipher
		"AES_CM_128" (0x0001): AES in counter mode with a 128-bit key
		"AES_CM_192" (0x0002): AES in counter mode with a 192-bit key
		"AES_CM_256" (0x0003): AES in counter mode with a 256-bit key
		"AES_F8_128" (0x0004): AES in f8 mode with a 128-bit key
		"AES_F8_192" (0x0005): AES in f8 mode with a 192-bit key
		"AES_F8_256" (0x0006): AES in f8 mode with a 256-bit key
	Default:	Provisioned
	Defined in:	TerminationState
	Characteristics:	ReadOnly
6.1.2	Supported Authenti	cation Transforms
	Property name:	Supported Authentication Transforms
	Property ID:	sat (0x0002)
	Description:	This property declares the set of authentication transforms that can be used by SRTP sessions.
	Туре:	Sub-list of Enumeration
	Possible values:	Each item in the list can be one of: "NULL" (0x0000): The NULL authentication algorithm "HMAC_SHA1_80" (0x0001): HMAC-SHA1 with an 80-bit tag "HMAC_SHA1_32" (0x0002): HMAC-SHA1 with a 32-bit tag
	Default:	Provisioned
	Defined in:	TerminationState
	Characteristics:	ReadOnly
6.1.3	Key Management So	cheme
	Property name:	Key Management Scheme
	Property ID:	km (0x0003)
	Description:	This property controls the key management scheme that will be used for supplying the SRTP parameters and keys
	Туре:	Enumeration
	Possible values:	"None" (0x0000): No key management will be used. "SDES" (0x0001): SDP security descriptions [IETF RFC 4568] "DTLS-SRTP" (0x0002): DTLS-SRTP [IETF RFC 5764]
	Default:	"None", unless provisioned otherwise
	Defined in:	TerminationState
	Characteristics:	Read/Write

# 6.1.4 Key Lifetime Expiry Behaviour

	Property name:	Key Lifetime Expiry Behaviour
	Property ID:	kleb (0x0004)
	Description:	This property indicates which actions should be taken upon the expiry of the encrypting master key. The MG triggers key lifetime expiry when it determines that it has used the SRTP master key for the maximal number of packets allowed (by default 2 <sup>48</sup> SRTP and/or 2 <sup>31</sup> secure RTCP (SRTCP) packets; this value can be lowered through key-management).
		See sections 6.6.3 and 9.2 of [IETF RFC 3711] for further information regarding master key lifetime expiry.
	Туре:	Enumeration
	Possible values:	"DROP" (0x0000): Do not close SRTP session, drop all packets. If the property <i>srtp/km</i> is set to DTLS-SRTP then the DTLS connection is kept open.
		"BYE" (0x0001): Close SRTP session, send SRTCP BYE. If the <i>srtp/km</i> property is set to DTLS-SRTP then the DTLS connection shall be closed with a fatal alert.
		"RENEW-KEYS" (0x0002): This value is only applicable if the <i>srtp/km</i> property is set to DTLS-SRTP. The related DTLS procedure is triggered in the MG to renew the DTLS master secret and derive new SRTP/SRTCP master keys. For DTLS version 1.2 or lower a renegotiation (term see [b-IETF tls-terms]) will be used.
	Default:	"DROP", unless provisioned otherwise
	Defined in:	LocalControl
	Characteristics:	Read/Write
6.2	Events	

6.2.1 Master Key Expiry

Event name:	Master Key Expiry
Event ID:	mke (0x0001)
Description:	This event allows the MGC to be notified when the encrypting SRTP master key is about to expire (watermark threshold crossed) or has already expired. As the lifetime is media-stream specific, when multiple streams are defined on a termination, this event shall be notified on a specific stream only.
	NOTE – If the watermarks are set to 0, notification will be sent only upon master key expiration.

# 6.2.1.1 EventsDescriptor Parameters

# 6.2.1.1.1 SRTP Watermark

Parameter name:	SRTP Watermark
Parameter ID:	rtpw (0x0001)

Description:	The number of SRTP packets that the master key can still support when the event is first notified.
Туре:	Double (Note)
Optional:	Yes
Possible values:	Any non-negative value.
Default:	0, unless provisioned otherwise

NOTE – The maximal master key lifetime is 2<sup>48</sup> SRTP packets and 2<sup>31</sup> SRTCP packets. Therefore, the SRTP Watermark and SRTCP Watermark parameters are of type Double and Unsigned Integer, respectively.

#### 6.2.1.1.2 SRTCP Watermark

Parameter name:	SRTCP Watermark
Parameter ID:	rtcpw (0x0002)
Description:	The number of SRTCP packets that the master key can still support when the event is first notified.
Туре:	Unsigned Integer (Note)
Optional:	Yes
Possible values:	Any non-negative value.
Default:	0, unless provisioned otherwise

NOTE – The maximal master key lifetime is 2<sup>48</sup> SRTP packets and 2<sup>31</sup> SRTCP packets. Therefore, the SRTP Watermark and SRTCP Watermark parameters are of type Double and Unsigned Integer, respectively.

#### 6.2.1.2 ObservedEventsDescriptor Parameters

#### 6.2.1.2.1 Key Expired

Parameter name:	Key Expired
Parameter ID:	ke (0x0001)
Description:	The parameter indicates whether, at the time of notification, the master key is still valid or has already expired.
Туре:	Boolean
Optional:	Yes
Possible values:	True: The number of SRTP and SRTCP packets has met the master key lifetime, i.e., the key has already expired. False: The number of SRTP and SRTCP packets is still within the master key's lifetime.
Default:	False

6.3 Signals

None.

6.4 Statistics

#### 6.4.1 Number of Replayed Packets

Statistic name:	Number of Replayed Packets
Statistic ID:	replay (0x0001)

	Description:	This statistic logs the number of received packets that have been judged to be replayed and discarded, according to section 3.3 of [IETF RFC 3711], since the instantiation of the statistic.
	Type:	Double
	Possible values:	Any non-negative value
	Level:	Either
6.4.2	Number of Authenti	cation Failures
	Statistic Name:	Number of Authentication Failures
	Statistic ID:	authfail (0x0002)
	Description:	This statistic logs the number of packets that have failed authentication and been discarded, according to clause 3.3 of [IETF RFC 3711], since the instantiation of the statistic.
	Туре:	Double
	Possible values:	Any non-negative value
	Level:	Either
6.4.3	Sent SRTP Packets	Protected by Master Key
	Statistic Name:	Sent SRTP Packets Protected by Master Key
	Statistic ID:	srpk (0x0003)
	Description:	This statistic logs the number of sent SRTP packets that were protected by each of the current master key(s).
	Туре:	Sub-list of Double. Each element in the list corresponds to one of the encrypting master keys. The mapping between keys and list positions depends on the key management scheme employed.
	Possible values:	Any non-negative value
	Level:	Stream
6.4.4	Sent SRTCP Packet	s Protected by Master Key
	Statistic name:	Sent SRTCP Packets Protected by Master Key
	Statistic ID:	scpk (0x0004)
	Description:	This statistic logs the number of sent SRTCP packets that were protected by each of the current master $key(s)$ .
	Туре:	Sub-list of Unsigned Integer. Each element in the list corresponds to one of the encrypting master keys. The mapping between keys and list positions depends on the key management scheme employed.
	Possible values:	Any non-negative value
	Level:	Stream
6.4.5	<b>Received SRTP Pacl</b>	kets Protected by Master Key
	Statistic name:	Received SRTP Packets Protected by Master Key
	Statistic ID:	rrpk (0x0005)

Description:	This statistic logs the number of received SRTP packets that were protected by each of the current master key(s).
Туре:	Sub-list of Double. Each element in the list corresponds to one of the decrypting master keys. The mapping between keys and list positions depends on the key management scheme employed.
Possible values:	Any non-negative value
Level:	Stream
Received SRTCP Packets Protected by Master Key	
Statistic name:	Received SRTCP Packets Protected by Master Key
Statistic ID:	rcpk (0x0006)
Description:	This statistic logs the number of received SRTCP packets that were protected by each of the current master key(s).
Туре:	Sub-list of Unsigned Integer. Each element in the list corresponds to one of the decrypting master keys. The mapping between keys and list positions depends on the key management scheme employed.

**Possible values:** Any non-negative value

Level: Stream

#### 6.5 Error codes

None.

6.4.6

#### 6.6 Procedures

#### 6.6.1 Determining cryptographic capabilities

The MGC can determine the cryptographic transforms that an MG supports for the "SDES" key management scheme by auditing the value of the *Supported Encryption Transforms* (*set*) and *Supported Authentication Transforms* (*sat*) properties. Usually, these properties are only available on the Root termination, and convey the cryptographic capabilities of the MG as a whole. However, it is possible that some use-cases will call for the support of these properties on non-Root terminations. One example would be a case where different terminations have different cryptographic capabilities. The *srtp/set* and *srtp/sat* properties are only applicable for *srtp/km* = "SDES". For *srtp/km* = "DTLS-SRTP" the [ITU-T H.248.93] (DTLS) *dtlscn/dssp* property is used.

The *Supported Encryption Transforms* and *Supported Authentication Transforms* properties declare a value for the NULL cipher and the NULL authentication algorithm respectively. By including these values, a MG explicitly indicates that its policy allows the use of unencrypted and/or unauthenticated SRTP and SRTCP packets. Note that, in accordance with [IETF RFC 3711], SRTCP packets must be authenticated using a non-NULL algorithm, regardless of the declared support of the NULL authentication algorithm.

To determine the cryptographic capability for the "DTLS-SRTP" key management scheme, the MGC may audit the *DTLS-SRTP protection profiles (dtlscn/dspp)* property from the *dtlscn* package in [ITU-T H.248.90].

#### 6.6.2 Key management

The SRTP package does not define protocol elements for performing SRTP key management. Instead, the *Key Management Scheme (km)* property allows the MGC to indicate the use of one of several, already established, key management schemes.

The two key management schemes supported by version 2 of the package include the use of SDP security descriptions (see [IETF RFC 4568]) in the Local and Remote Descriptors and the use of DTLS-SRTP. Further details about the adaptation of these security descriptions for use in ITU-T H.248 are provided in clauses 7 and 8.

NOTE – Future versions of this package may allow the use of additional key management schemes, for example, SDP key management extensions (see [b-IETF RFC 4567]).

By default, the value of the *Key Management Scheme* property is "None"; indicating that no key management is used, and therefore SRTP is not employed. This prevents an MGC that is unaware of this package from inadvertently "turning on" SRTP through the careless inclusion of SDP parameters in the Local and Remote Descriptors.

#### 6.6.3 Master key lifetime

SRTP master keys have a limited lifetime, measured in the number of SRTP and SRTCP packets that may be protected using the same key. The *Master Key Expiry (mke)* event allows the MGC to be notified when the master key is close to being, or has already been exhausted. The MG notifies this event based on the expiry status of the key used to encrypt and authenticate sent packets. No notification is generated regarding the expiry of the decrypting master key used for handling received packets.

The *SRTP Watermark* (*rtpw*) and *SRTCP Watermark* (*rtcpw*) event parameters allow the MGC to control how long before key exhaustion the *mke* event is first notified. If the value of the relevant watermark is different from 0, the MG shall generate the event when the master key has been used for (lifetime – *rtpw*) SRTP packets or (lifetime – *rtcpw*) SRTCP packets (whichever happens first). For example, if the key lifetime is  $2^{20}$ , and *rtpw* and *rtcpw* are both equal to  $2^{16}$ , the event will be notified after ( $2^{20}-2^{16} = 983040$ ) SRTP or SRTCP packets have been protected by that key.

Regardless of the value of the *rtpw* and *rtcpw* parameters, the *Master Key Expiry* event shall be notified by the MG when the master key has fully expired and can no longer be used. The MGC can differentiate whether the master key has already expired or only the SRTP/SRTCP watermark was crossed through the *Key Expired* parameter.

When several master keys can be used by the MG (only applicable if the *Key Management Scheme* property is set to "SDES"), the gateway shall generate the *mke* event only when all master keys are about to be, and/or have already been exhausted. For example, if three keys are used in series, each with a lifetime of *X* packets, the MG shall first send a notification only after the third (and last) key has been used for *X-rtpw* SRTP packets or *X-rtcpw* SRTCP packets. An additional notification will be sent when the third key has been fully exhausted.

The *mke* event may be configured on a specific stream or on the complete termination. Configuring the *mke* event on a termination is equivalent to configuring it, with the same parameter values, on each of these termination's streams (other than streams that already have the event explicitly enabled). As different streams may exhaust the key at different times, an *mke* notification shall always be associated with a specific stream.

#### 6.6.3.1 MG behaviour at key exhaustion

The operations taken by the MG when the last encrypting master key is exhausted are controlled through the *Key Lifetime Expiry Behaviour* (*kleb*) property. Setting this property to "BYE" will cause the MG to send an SRTCP BYE packet, hence leaving the RTP session or closing it (if it is the session's sole sender) as soon as the master-key expires. If DTLS-SRTP has been used for the *Key Management Scheme* property, the MG shall enforce the closure of the DTLS connection as well by sending a fatal alert.

NOTE – The MG must ensure that it is able to send the SRTCP BYE packet using a valid master key. This means that when *kleb* is set to "BYE", the encrypting master key will expire when it can still protect one additional SRTCP packet.

If the *Key Management Scheme* property is set to "SDES" and if the MGC installs a new master key after the MG has sent an SRTCP BYE packet, the MG will rejoin the RTP session or create a new one (if it was closed). This, in turn, will have whatever effects such rejoining or creating a session entails. For example, the SRTP rollover counter (ROC) will be reset, and the MG may start using a new synchronization source (SSRC) value.

If the MGC requests *srtp/kleb* = "RENEW-KEYS" and *tlscn/srsc* = "false" then an error should be reported.

Regardless of the value of the *kleb* property, the MG shall neither receive nor send SRTP packets using an expired key (i.e., all such packets shall be discarded).

The MG's behaviour at key-exhaustion is completely independent of the expiry notification, and remains the same regardless of whether the *Master Key Expiry* event is configured at the stream level, termination level, or not at all.

#### 6.6.4 Logging of security violations

Once an SRTP stream is established on a MG, sent and received packets are processed according to section 3.3 of [IETF RFC 3711]. Received packets are authenticated and decrypted. During this process, received packets may be judged to have been replayed or may fail authentication and be discarded. In order to log these events, the MGC shall set the *Number of Replayed Packets* (*srtp/replay*) and the *Number of Authentication Failures* (*strp/authfail*) statistics. The MGC may audit these statistics when it wishes to know the number of replayed packets and/or authentication failures detected. If the MGC requires notification of such events, it shall use the Statistic Conditional Reporting package (see [ITU-T H.248.47]) with an appropriate reporting threshold.

#### 7 Key management using SDP security descriptions

The MGC indicates that SDP security descriptions will be used for key management by setting the value of the *Key Management Scheme* property to "SDES". Under this scheme, the MGC and MG negotiate a stream's SRTP parameter by placing a "crypto" SDP attribute in the Local and Remote Descriptors. The "crypto" attribute and its use for negotiating SRTP parameters is described in [IETF RFC 4568]. This clause provides additional details regarding the adaptation of those procedures for use with ITU-T H.248.

Naturally, this scheme is only applicable when SDP is used for the Local and Remote Descriptors. If the binary encoding of the protocol is used, the "crypto" SDP attribute can be carried using the SDP equivalents of clause C.11 of [ITU-T H.248.1]. The MG shall use error code 473 (Conflicting Property Values) when the Local and Remote Descriptors cannot carry a "crypto" SDP attribute and the *Key Management Scheme* is set to "SDES".

The Local Descriptor controls the SRTP parameters of the flow(s) sent by the MG. Similarly, the Remote Descriptor controls the SRTP parameters of the flow(s) received by the MG. A Local or Remote Descriptor indicates that the MG shall use SRTP to protect sent or received packets if both:

- 1) the media description ("m=" line) uses an SRTP-based profile as the transport protocol (e.g., "RTP/secure audio-video profile (SAVP)" or "RTP/secure audio-video profile with feedback (SAVPF)"), and;
- 2) the SDP contains one or more "crypto" attributes.

If either of these conditions is not met, the MG shall not apply SRTP procedures to the packets. This Recommendation does not imply any special meaning to descriptors that match only one or none of these conditions.

The MG shall use error code 474 (Invalid SDP Syntax) if the above procedures indicate that the MG shall protect flows using SRTP but the "crypto" attribute does not match the SRTP-specific format, as described in section 6 of [IETF RFC 4568].

In the following clauses, words appearing in fixed-font are references to specific augmented Backus-Naur form (ABNF) rules from section 9 of [IETF RFC 4568].

### 7.1 Overspecification of SRTP parameters and multiple keys

There are two possible ways for the MGC to specify more than one set of SRTP parameters within one SDP group:

- 1) overspecify the "crypto" attribute by including more than one such attribute in the SDP group;
- 2) include more than one key-param in one "crypto" attribute.

These two methods can be combined (i.e., include several "crypto" attributes in the SDP group, each including more than one key-param).

According to clause 7.1.5 of [ITU-T H.248.1], the behaviour of the MG when the "crypto" attribute is overspecified depends on the value of the *ReserveValue* property. If this value is false, the MG shall choose only one of the included "crypto" attributes and remove all others from the SDP group. Conversely, if *ReserveValue* is true, the MG shall reserve enough resources to support as many of the included "crypto" attributes as it can, and keep all those supported attributes in the descriptor.

A Remote Descriptor with more than one "crypto" attribute and/or more than one key-param within a "crypto" attribute indicates that the MG shall be prepared to accept packets protected using any of the master keys contained in the Descriptor. To achieve this, each key shall include a master key identifier (MKI) value and that value shall be unique. Any command resulting in one MKI value being mapped to more than one master key shall be rejected using error 473 (Conflicting Property Values).

A Local Descriptor with more than one "crypto" attribute indicates that the MG has reserved resources for all these attributes; however, only the first "crypto" attribute is used by the MG for protecting sent packets. As the MG does not use any of the other "crypto" attributes, different attributes may include identical MKI values (or not include MKI at all). Such configurations are often transient and exist while the session is being set up. An example for such a scenario is provided in item 1 of clause I.1.

NOTE 1 – Mandating the use of the first "crypto" attribute in the Local Descriptor allows re-keying an existing session. The MGC would:

- a) overspecify the Local Descriptor of the sender, adding a second, new "crypto" attribute;
- b) overspecify the Remote Descriptor of the receiver, adding the new "crypto" attribute;
- c) remove the first "crypto" attribute from the sender's Local Descriptor, leaving only the new attribute there.

A "crypto" attribute with more than one key-param appearing first in the Local Descriptor indicates that the different key-param sub-fields shall be used sequentially. The MG shall use the first key-param whose master key has not yet expired for protecting sent packets. Once a master key expires (due to the number of either SRTP or SRTCP packets sent), the MG shall start using the next key-param in the attribute. As, over the course time, all key-param sub-fields might be used, each shall include a MKI value and that value shall be unique.

NOTE 2 – The above procedures allow for the "automatic" re-keying of a stream upon key exhaustion, without the need for additional signalling messages.

#### 7.2 Wildcarding of SRTP parameters

In addition to overspecification, many sub-fields of the "crypto" attribute may be wildcarded using the CHOOSE ("\$") wildcard. When a sub-field is wildcarded, the MG shall choose a value for it based on the MG capabilities and local configuration. The exact procedures for doing so are outside the scope of this Recommendation.

Table 1 summarizes the guidelines for the sub-fields that may be wildcarded. Sub-fields that do not appear in the table cannot be wildcarded.

Sub-Field	Guidelines
crypto-suite	Wildcarding this sub-field mandates that key-salt is also wildcarded, as the MGC cannot know in advance the required key length.
key-info	Each part of key-info is wildcarded separately
key-salt	Can be wildcarded. It is impossible to wildcard only the key or the salt.
lifetime	Can be wildcarded.
mki	Only mki-value can be wildcarded (i.e., mki-length cannot). The MG shall choose the mki-value so that it is different from any other MKI appearing in the descriptor.
kdr	Can be wildcarded, using the form "KDR=\$"
fec-order	Can be wildcarded, using the form "FEC_ORDER=\$"
fec-key	The key-params part of the sub-field can be wildcarded, using the procedures for key-info above.
wsh	Can be wildcarded, using the form "WSH=\$"

Table 1 – Wildcarding of SDP security descriptions

The MGC may combine overspecification and wildcarding, i.e., include in a descriptor multiple "crypto" attributes, where some of the attribute's subfields contain the CHOOSE wildcard.

#### 7.3 Interoperability with offer/answer-based implementations

Under the SDP offer/answer procedures of [IETF RFC 4568], some of the SRTP parameters are considered "negotiated", meaning that the same parameter value must be used for both the sent and received RTP packets. The list of these parameters is:

- 1) crypto-suite
- 2) UNENCRYPTED\_SRTCP
- 3) UNENCRYPTED SRTP
- 4) UNAUTHENTICATED\_SRTP

To increase interoperability with such offer/answer based implementations, whenever the MG needs to choose a value for one of those parameters (i.e., when overspecification or wildcarding is employed), it shall ensure that the same value is used in both the Local and Remote Descriptors. Using different values in the Local and Remote Descriptors for a "negotiated" parameter is only allowed when the request sent by the MGC explicitly prevents the use of the same value.

#### 7.4 SDES and SRTP cryptographic contexts

With regard to the initialization and maintenance of SRTP crypto contexts, the MGC and MG shall follow the procedures of sections 6.4 and 6.5 of [IETF RFC 4568]. In addition, the MGC and MG shall follow the procedures of section 7 of [IETF RFC 4568], adapted to ITU-T H.248's use of SDP (which is different from the offer/answer model covered by that document). The following list highlights the points of those clauses that have the most significant impact on the MGC's and MG's behaviour.

1) The ROC of any newly created crypto context shall be initialized to zero.

- 2) The MG should choose an initial sequence number in the range of  $0.2^{15}$ -1 for any RTP stream associated with a newly created SRTP crypto context.
- 3) The MG shall choose different SSRC values for different RTP streams sharing the same master key.
- 4) The MG shall remove crypto-contexts using the same procedures as for SSRC removal from the member table, as described in [IETF RFC 3550].
- 5) If the MGC has wildcarded a master key, the MG shall choose a master key different from all other master keys it is currently using. In particular, a master key chosen for the Local or Remote Descriptor shall be different from any other master key appearing in the Local or Remote Descriptor of the same stream.
- 6) A command that changes the first "crypto" SDP attribute in the Local or Remote Descriptor shall create a new SRTP crypto context, which will be used by the MG for sending or receiving packets respectively. In particular, such a command shall reset the relevant ROC counter (Note 1).

A change of a master key that does not involve a new "crypto" attribute (e.g., when multiple key-param sub-fields exist) shall not cause a new crypto context to be created, and the existing context shall be used (Note 2).

NOTE 1 - A change of the first "crypto" attribute is considered as equivalent to sending a new masterkey in a SDP offer/answer procedure. Therefore, the MG shall follow the requirements of section 7.1.4 of [IETF RFC 4568]:

"... the offerer MUST include a new master key with the offer (and in so doing, it will be creating a new crypto context where the ROC is set to zero)."

NOTE 2 – A change of the master-key that does not involve a new "crypto" attribute is equivalent to re-keying the SRTP session without using an offer/answer exchange. Therefore the MG shall follow the requirements of section 3.3.1 of [IETF RFC 3711]:

"After a re-keying occurs (changing to a new master key), the rollover counter always maintains its sequence of values, i.e., it MUST NOT be reset to zero."

7) The MGC should apply a new "crypto" SDP attribute to the Local Descriptor (and hence create a new local crypto context) whenever it changes the address or port used in that Descriptor.

#### 7.5 Mapping of master keys for sent packets and received packets statistics

When SDP security descriptions are used for key management, each entry in the *Sent SRTP Packets Protected by Master Key (srpk)* and *Sent SRTCP Packets Protected by Master Key (scpk)* shall correspond to one of the master keys appearing in the first "crypto" SDP attribute of the Local Descriptor. The order of entries in the statistics shall match the order of keys in the "crypto" attribute.

In a similar manner, each entry in the *Received SRTP Packets Protected by Master Key (rrpk)* and *Received SRTCP Packets Protected by Master Key (rcpk)* statistics shall correspond to one of the master keys appearing in the first "crypto" attribute of the Remote Descriptor.

Changing the first "crypto" attribute of the Local or Remote Descriptors will cause the MG to discard the appropriate statistics values, and to start maintaining new ones.

#### 8 Key management using DTLS-SRTP

NOTE – The interworking between SDES and DTLS-SRTP is for further study.

The DTLS-SRTP [IETF RFC 5764] key management scheme exchanges the peers' certificates via the signalling path and then establishes a DTLS connection on the bearer path. The DTLS master secret is used to derive the SRTP master key. SRTP packets are then exchanged between the endpoints on the same transport protocol connection as used for the DTLS connection.

The packages from [ITU-T H.248.90] (TLS) and [ITU-T H.248.93] (DTLS) are used for the establishment of the DTLS connection.

#### 8.1 Key management indication

The MGC indicates the use of DTLS-SRTP key management by setting the *Key Management Scheme* (*srtp/km*) property to the value "DTLS-SRTP".

Using this scheme a DTLS-SRTP connection is setup which is controlled by two sets of properties, one set is related to DTLS and the other is related to SRTP. Both sets of properties are orthogonal.

The DTLS related properties and procedures are covered by [ITU-T H.248.90] (TLS) and by [ITU-T H.248.93] (DTLS).

This clause provides additional details which are specific for the DTLS-SRTP key management scheme.

#### 8.2 Bearer plane connection for "DTLS-SRTP"

The MG will establish the DTLS-SRTP connection based on the following properties:

- *TLS Domain Profile Identifier (ITU-T H.248.90: tlscn/dpid)* This property identifies the (D)TLS profile to be used to setup the DTLS connection.
- TLS Versions (ITU-T H.248.90: tlscn/tlsv)
   This property defines the set of DTLS protocol versions allowed establish the DTLS connection.
- *Chipher Suites (ITU-T H.248.90: tlscn/cs)* This property defines the set of ciphersuites used to negotiate a DTLS session.
- *Compression Methods (ITU-T H.248.90: tlscn/cm)* This property defines the set of compression methods to be used for the negotiation with the remote DTLS endpoint.

NOTE – DTLS-SRTP [IETF RFC 5764] itself does not consider any application data to be protected and therefore potentially compressed by the DTLS stack. Nevertheless there might be use cases which will use DTLS-SRTP for the SRTP key management scheme and additionally for the protection of application data (e.g. WebRTC data channel).

- *Client Authentication Required (ITU-T H.248.90: tlscn/car)* This property indicates that if the MG is acting as a DTLS-server authentication of the client is requested.
- DTLS-SRTP protection profiles (ITU-T H.248.93: dtlcns/dspp)
   This property indicates the set of SRTP cypto suites that will be used for the negotiation with the peer endpoint.

Master Key Identifier usage (ITU-T H.248.93: dtlcns/mkiu)
 This property indicates the use or not use of the DTLS-SRTP specific Master Key Identifier (MKI) (according to [IETF RFC 5764], clause 4.1.3 "srtp\_mki value").

Prior to the establishment of the DTLS connection the SDP "a=fingerprint:" attribute (according to [IETF RFC 4572]) will be used to ensure the integrity of the self-signed certificates of both DTLS connection endpoints. The detailed procedures on how the fingerprints are exchanged between the MGC and the MG are covered in [ITU-T H.248.90] (TLS).

Based on the events of the *TLS basic session control package (tlsbsc)* [ITU-T H.248.90] (TLS) the MG will start the setup of a DTLS connection.

If the MG takes the DTLS client role, a DTLS handshake is initiated and the extension "use\_srtp" [IETF RFC 5764] is included into the "ClientHello" message. This extension will be used according

to the value of the properties *DTLS-SRTP* protection profiles (*dtlcns/dspp*) and *Master Key Identifier* usage (*dtlcns/mkiu*).

If the MG takes the DTLS server role the SRTP crypto suite is negotiated according to the received "use\_srtp" extension from the "ClientHello" and the MG's property *DTLS-SRTP protection profiles* (*dtlcns/dspp*). In case the received "ClientHello" does not include the "use\_srtp" extension the DTLS connection is terminated and error code 473 (Conflicting Property Values) is reported to the MGC.

The handshake to negotiate a DTLS session follows the procedures of [IETF RFC 5246]. Once a DTLS session is negotiated and the DTLS master secret is determined the SRTP master keys are derived according to section 4.2 of [IETF RFC 5764]. From this point in time SRTP/SRTCP packets may be exchanged between the MG and its peer connection endpoint.

#### 8.2.1 Protocol stack and DTLS usage

For further study.

### 8.2.2 Reuse of DTLS connection by other applications

DTLS-SRTP as specified by [IETF RFC 5764] does not consider the protection of DTLS application data. The DTLS connection is used as a key exchange mechanism for SRTP and once the SRTP master key is determined the video and/or audio flow is protected by SRTP.

However there may be the requirement to send different audio, video or data streams secured over the same transport connection (e.g., SRTP and WebRTC Data Channel using the same DTLS connection). In such a case the data stream will correspond to the DTLS application and the data stream packets will be secured by the DTLS record layer.

### 8.2.3 Lifetime of DTLS session and DTLS connection

For a DTLS session used to derive the SRTP master key no special rule applies for its lifetime. Thus the session used might be a transient DTLS session or a semi-permanent DTLS session (i.e., resumable).

The lifetime of the DTLS connection is coupled as follows to the lifetime of the (SRTP)-connection: If an event occurs which leads to the termination of the DTLS connection then the related (SRTP) and (SRTCP) connections must be terminated as well (Note 2).

NOTE 1 - An SRTCP-BYE is not sent in this case. The rationale behind this is that any usage of the DTLS master secret and respectively the derived SRTP master key is not allowed anymore.

NOTE 2 – See [IETF RFC 5246] (TLS version 1.2), section 7.2.2 on error alerts: "... Servers and clients MUST forget any session-identifiers, keys, and secrets associated with a failed connection. ...". The SRTP master key represents a "secret associated with a failed connection", hence the conclusion that the DTLS fatal alert will lead to a termination of the SRTP connection.

#### 8.2.4 Lifetime of DTLS master secret and SRTP master key

In case the DTLS connection is reused by another application (e.g., WebRTC Data Channel) application data records as well as SRTP packets might be sent in parallel using the same transport address. This potentially may lead either to the expiration of the DTLS master secret (the connection state sequence number may not exceed  $2^{64}$ –1) or to the expiration of the SRTP master key (at maximum  $2^{48}$  SRTP or  $2^{31}$  SRTCP packets may be sent using the same master key).

NOTE 1 - [ITU-T H.248.90] does not define an MG-autonomous renegotiation in case a watermark (equal to a number of packets) is reached. Only a renegotiation period is defined, which might not be appropriate. This is for further study.

In case the values as defined by the *SRTP Watermark* (*srtp/rtpw*) property or by the *SRTCP Watermark* (*srtp/rtcpw*) property are crossed the MG's behavior depends on the *Key Lifetime Expiry Behaviour* (*srtp/kleb*) property:

- *srtp/kleb* = "DROP": All SRTP/SRTCP packets are dropped. DTLS application data sent or received over the DTLS record layer are not impacted.
- *srtp/kleb* = "BYE": Close the (SRTP)-connection and send an SRTCP-BYE. DTLS application data sent or received over the DTLS record layer are not impacted. E.g., for WebRTC this allows to close the audio/video session but to continue the data transfer.
- *srtp/kleb* = "RENEW-KEYS": This will trigger a renegotiation. A DTLS handshake will be initiated to determine a new DTLS master secret and derive a new SRTP master key from it. During the renegotiation SRTP/SRTCP packets may still be sent (if the watermarks are greater than zero) as well as DTLS application data using the old keying material. Once the handshake is completed (Finished message sent and received) the new keying material will be used for SRTP/SRTCP packets as well as for DTLS application data.

NOTE 2 – Future (D)TLS versions might drop the support for renegotiation but may provide another procedure for renewing the (D)TLS master secret.

#### 8.3 Multi key management operation

For further study.

### 9 Security considerations

### 9.1 Relation to key management scheme "SDES"

SDP security descriptions do not provide any inherent authentication or encryption of the SRTP parameters carried in the Local and Remote Descriptors. Therefore, use of this key-management scheme is only appropriate when the ITU-T H.248 channel is secured through some other means (e.g., IP security (IPSec)).

#### 9.2 Relation to key management scheme "DTLS-SRTP"

For the "DTLS-SRTP" key management scheme the security considerations as described by the related IETF RFCs [IETF RFC 5246], [IETF RFC 5764], [IETF RFC 6347] apply. An additional aspect for the establishment of a DTLS connection is the integrity protection of the self-signed certificates. Fingerprint hashes are calculated for the certificates and are communicated via out-of-band means (SDP "a=fingerprint" attribute). This attribute must be protected against tampering, thus the communication channel used to exchange the fingerprints must be integrity protected.

# Appendix I

# Example call flows for key management scheme "SDES"

(This appendix does not form an integral part of this Recommendation.)

#### I.1 Initial session setup using SDP security descriptions

In the following examples, tokens such as <key1> and <key2> indicate sequences of 240 bits, encoded as 40 base64 characters.

1) The MGC ADDs a new, SRTP-enabled, termination to MG1.

The *Key Management Scheme* is set to SDES, the transport protocol is RTP/SAVP and a "crypto" attribute appear in the Local Descriptor, indicating that the packets sent by MG1 should be protected using SRTP.

The Local Descriptor contains two "crypto" attributes and *ReserveValue* is true, meaning that MG1 should reserve resources for both, but only use the first. Note that the MKI value "1" is shared between the two attributes, which is allowed.

```
MGC to MG1:
MEGACO/3 [123.123.123.4]:55555
Transaction = 10003 {
    Context = $ {
        Add = \$ \{
            Media {
                TerminationState {
                    srtp/km = SDES
                },
                Stream = 1 {
                    LocalControl {
                        Mode = RecvOnly,
                        ReservedValue = ON
                     },
                    Local {
v=0
c=IN IP4 $
m=audio $ RTP/SAVP 4
a=ptime:30
a=crypto:1 AES CM 128 HMAC SHA1 80 inline:$|2^20|1:4;inline:$|2^20|2:4
a=crypto:2 F8 128 HMAC SHA1 80 inline:$|$|1:4
                    }
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
```

2) MG1 returns the key-salt sub-fields it has chosen as well as the lifetime of the AES\_F8 key (these sub-fields were wildcarded in the request). The <key1>, <key2>, <key3> values must all be different from one another.

```
MG1 to MGC

MEGACO/3 [124.124.124.222]:55555

Reply = 10003 {

Context = 2000 {

Add = A4445 {

Media {

Stream = 1 {

Local {
```

```
v=0
o=- 2890844526 2890842807 IN IP4 124.124.124.222
s=-
t=0 0
c=IN IP4 124.124.124.222
m=audio 2222 RTP/SAVP 4
a=ptime:30
a=crypto:1 AES_CM_128_HMAC_SHA1_80 inline:<key1>|2^20|1:4;inline:<key2>|2^20|2:4
a=crypto:2 F8_128_HMAC_SHA1_80 inline:<key3>|2^30|1:4
}
}
```

3) The MGC ADDs a new, SRTP-enabled termination to MG2.

The Remote Descriptor includes the crypto attributes returned by MG1. *ReserveValue* is false, indicating that MG2 should choose the first "crypto" SDP attribute it supports.

The crypto-suite, key-salt, lifetime and mki-value of the Local Descriptor are all wildcarded.

The *Master Key Expiry* event is enabled on the complete termination, indicating that the MGC should be first notified of an imminent master key exhaustion when the encryption key can only protect 10'000 additional SRTP packets or 50 additional SRTCP packets. Another notification will be made when the key is completely exhausted.

```
MGC to MG2:
MEGACO/3 [123.123.123.4]:55555
Transaction = 50003 {
    Context = $ {
        Add =  {
            Media {
                TerminationState {
                    srtp/km = SDES
                },
                Stream = 1 {
                    LocalControl {
                        Mode = SendRecv
                        ; ReserveValue is false by default
                    },
                    Local {
v=0
c=IN IP4 $
m=audio $ RTP/SAVP 4
a=ptime:30
a=crypto:1 $ inline:$|$|$:4
                    },
                    Remote {
v=0
c=IN IP4 124.124.124.222
m=audio 2222 RTP/SAVP 4
a=ptime:30
a=crypto:1 AES CM 128 HMAC SHA1 80 inline:<key1>|2^20|1:4;inline:<key2>|2^20|2:4
a=crypto:2 F8 128 HMAC SHA1 80 inline<key3>|2^30|1:4
                    }
                }
            },
```

4) MG2 chooses the first "crypto" attribute in the Remote Descriptor. In accordance with clause 7.3, it chooses for the Local Descriptor the same crypto-suite as the one now used in the Remote Descriptor.

```
MG2 to MGC:
MEGACO/3 [125.125.125.111]:55555
Reply = 50003 {
    Context = 5000 {
       Add = A5556{
            Media {
               Stream = 1 {
                    Local {
v=0
o=- 7736844526 7736842807 IN IP4 125.125.125.111
s=-
t=0 0
c=IN IP4 125.125.125.111
m=audio 1111 RTP/SAVP 4
a=ptime:30
a=crypto:1 AES CM 128 HMAC SHA1 80 inline:<key4>|2^20|1:4
                    },
                    Remote {
v = 0
o=- 7736849782 7736858112 IN IP4 125.125.125.111
s = -
t=0 0
c=IN IP4 124.124.124.222
m=audio 2222 RTP/SAVP 4
a=ptime:30
a=crypto:1 AES_CM_128_HMAC_SHA1_80 inline:<key1>|2^20|1:4;inline:<key2>|2^20|2:4
                    }
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
```

5) The MGC updates MG1's Local and Remote Descriptors according to the choices made by MG2. The *Master Key Expiry* event is configured on the termination, using the same parameter values as the ones used at 3).

```
MGC to MG1:
MEGACO/3 [123.123.123.4]:55555
Transaction = 60006 {
    Context = 2000 {
        Modify = A4445 {
            Media {
                Stream = 1 {
                LocalControl {
                    Mode = SendRecv
                },
               Local {
                v=0
                c=IN IP4 124.124.124.222
               m=audio 2222 RTP/SAVP 4
```

```
a=ptime:30
a=crypto:1 AES CM 128 HMAC SHA1 80 inline:<key1>|2^20|1:4;inline:<key2>|2^20|2:4
                    },
                    Remote {
v=0
c=IN IP4 125.125.125.111
m=audio 1111 RTP/SAVP 4
a=ptime:30
a=crypto:1 AES_CM_128 HMAC SHA1 80 inline:<key4>|2^20|1:4
                    },
                }
            },
            Events = 5678 {
               srtp/mke { rtpw=10000, rtcpw=50 }
            }
        }
    }
}
6)
      MG1 acknowledges the MODIFY request.
```

```
MG1 to MGC
MEGACO/3 [124.124.124.222]:55555
Reply = 60006 {
    Context = 2000 {
        Modify = A4445
    }
}
```

#### I.2 MG1's key is about to expire

1) MG1 sends a *Master Key Expiry* NOTIFY request to the MGC. The *Key Expired* parameter is missing and its default value (false) is used, indicating that the key has not yet expired, but that either the SRTP or SRTCP watermarks has been crossed.

2) The MGC acknowledges the NOTIFY request.

```
MGC to MG1:
MEGACO/3 [123.123.123.4]:55555
Transaction = 76819 {
    Context = 2000 {
        Notify = A4445
    }
}
```

#### I.3 Auditing SRTP capabilities

1) The MGC audits all SRTP properties on MG1's Root termination.

```
MGC to MG1
MEGACO/3 [123.123.123.4]:55555
```

```
Transaction = 87395 {
  Context = - {
    AuditValue = Root {
        Audit { TerminationState { srtp/* } }
    }
  }
}
```

2) MG1 answers with the lists of encryption and authentication transforms that it supports. These lists are missing the NULL value, meaning that MG1's security policy does not allow the use of unencrypted or unauthenticated SRTP packets.

#### I.4 Auditing of SRTP statistics

1) The MGC audits the SRTP statistics of stream 1 on MG1:

2) MG1 returns the current SRTP statistics. According to the values returned, MG1 has discarded seven (7) packets due to authentication failure and considered three (3) packets as replays. In addition (assuming that MG1 is using the keys negotiated in item 1 of clause I.1) the MG has protected 2<sup>20</sup> SRTP packets and 4'086 SRTCP packets using <key1>, and 37'112 SRTP packets and 941 SRTCP packets using <key2>. It received 519'733 SRTP packets and 2080 SRTCP packets protected by <key4>.

```
MG1 to MGC:
MEGACO/3 [124.124.124.222]:55555
Reply = 91903 {
    Context = 2000 {
        AuditValue = A4445 {
            Media {
                Stream = 1 {
                     Statistics {
                           srtp/replay = 3,
                     srtp/authfail = 7,
```

```
srtp/srpk = [1048576, 37112],
srtp/scpk = [4086, 941],
srtp/rrpk = 519733,
srtp/rcpk = 2080
}
}
```

# Appendix II

# Sample use-cases of SRTP bearer encryption

(This appendix does not form an integral part of this Recommendation.)

This appendix illustrates some network level scenarios that employ SRTP bearer encryption.

# II.1 Use-case #1: ITU-T H.248 MG for peering IP and circuit-switched networks

Figure II.1 illustrates an ITU-T H.248 connection model of (IP, physical). This model is often employed for peering a circuit-switched and an IP network at a residential, access or trunking MG. The RTP session is terminated by the ITU-T H.248 MG. The MG is consequently behaving as a RTP end system (see section 3 of [IETF RFC 3550]).

Any application of SRTP as a means for media security implies the termination of the SRTP session by the corresponding ITU-T H.248 stream.





# II.2 Use-case #2: ITU-T H.248 MG for peering IP networks

ITU-T H.248 IP-IP MGs are widely used as, e.g., border routers, border gateways, policy enforcement points, firewalls with session-dependent filter rules, network address translation (NAT) devices, media transcoders, etc.

Figure II.2 outlines a scenario, where such a gateway is located between two IP domains: one domain without any media security and another domain using SRTP encrypted media. The ITU-T H.248 MG behaves as two, back-to-back RTP end systems due to the termination of SRTP in one ITU-T H.248 stream.



Figure II.2 – Use-case #2: SRTP to RTP ITU-T H.248 MG

#### II.3 Use-case #3: Transparent SRTP forwarding

It is possible for an MG to transparently forward SRTP packets, treating them as unprotected UDP or RTP packets. Such a scenario is illustrated in Figure II.3.

As stated in clause 1, this use-case is outside the scope of this Recommendation. It is presented here for the sake of completeness.



NOTE – The ITU-T H.248 MG may provide a local NAPT function, i.e., be media-agnostic, but transport-protocol aware (due to UDP checksum updates). H.248.77(10)\_FII.3

Figure II.3 – Use-case #3: ITU-T H.248 MG with transparent SRTP forwarding

# Bibliography

[b-ITU-T H.248.95]	Recommendation ITU-T H.248.95 (2015), <i>Gateway control protocol:</i> <i>ITU-T H.248 support for RTP multiplexing</i> .
[b-IETF RFC 3264]	IETF RFC 3264 (2002), An Offer/Answer Model with Session Description Protocol (SDP).
[b-IETF RFC 4567]	IETF RFC 4567 (2006), Key Management Extensions for Session Description Protocol (SDP) and Real Time Streaming Protocol (RTSP).
[b-IETF RFC 5479]	IETF RFC 5479 (2009), <i>Requirements and Analysis of Media Security Management Protocols</i> .
[b-IETF RFC 5763]	IETF RFC 5763 (2010), Framework for Establishing a Secure Real-time Transport Protocol (SRTP) Security Context Using Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS).
[b-IETF RFC 7202]	IETF RFC 7202 (2014), Securing the RTP Framework: Why RTP Does Not Mandate a Single Media Security Solution.
[b-IETF RFC 7656]	IETF RFC 7656 (2015), A Taxonomy of Grouping Semantics and Mechanisms for Real-Time Transport Protocol (RTP) Sources.
[b-IETF tls-terms]	IETF draft-guballa-tls-terminology-05 (2017), <i>Terminology related to TLS and DTLS</i> . < <u>https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-guballa-tls-terminology/</u> >

# SERIES OF ITU-T RECOMMENDATIONS

- Series A Organization of the work of ITU-T
- Series D Tariff and accounting principles and international telecommunication/ICT economic and policy issues
- Series E Overall network operation, telephone service, service operation and human factors
- Series F Non-telephone telecommunication services
- Series G Transmission systems and media, digital systems and networks

#### Series H Audiovisual and multimedia systems

- Series I Integrated services digital network
- Series J Cable networks and transmission of television, sound programme and other multimedia signals
- Series K Protection against interference
- Series L Environment and ICTs, climate change, e-waste, energy efficiency; construction, installation and protection of cables and other elements of outside plant
- Series M Telecommunication management, including TMN and network maintenance
- Series N Maintenance: international sound programme and television transmission circuits
- Series O Specifications of measuring equipment
- Series P Telephone transmission quality, telephone installations, local line networks
- Series Q Switching and signalling, and associated measurements and tests
- Series R Telegraph transmission
- Series S Telegraph services terminal equipment
- Series T Terminals for telematic services
- Series U Telegraph switching
- Series V Data communication over the telephone network
- Series X Data networks, open system communications and security
- Series Y Global information infrastructure, Internet protocol aspects, next-generation networks, Internet of Things and smart cities
- Series Z Languages and general software aspects for telecommunication systems