

INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION

CCITT

THE INTERNATIONAL
TELEGRAPH AND TELEPHONE
CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE

G.122

(11/1988)

SERIES G: TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS AND MEDIA,
DIGITAL SYSTEMS AND NETWORKS

International telephone connections and circuits – General
characteristics of national systems forming part of
international connections

**INFLUENCE OF NATIONAL SYSTEMS ON
STABILITY, TALKER ECHO, AND LISTENER
ECHO IN INTERNATIONAL CONNECTIONS**

Reedition of CCITT Recommendation G.122 published in
the Blue Book, Fascicle III.1 (1988)

NOTES

1 CCITT Recommendation G.122 was published in Fascicle III.1 of the *Blue Book*. This file is an extract from the *Blue Book*. While the presentation and layout of the text might be slightly different from the *Blue Book* version, the contents of the file are identical to the *Blue Book* version and copyright conditions remain unchanged (see below).

2 In this Recommendation, the expression “Administration” is used for conciseness to indicate both a telecommunication administration and a recognized operating agency.

Recommendation G.122


INFLUENCE OF NATIONAL SYSTEMS ON STABILITY, TALKER ECHO, AND LISTENER ECHO IN INTERNATIONAL CONNECTIONS

(Geneva, 1964; amended at Mar del Plata, 1968,
Geneva, 1972, 1976 and 1980; Malaga-Torremolinos, 1984 and Melbourne, 1988)

1 Introduction

The information provided in this Recommendation applies to all national systems.

Representations of a national system which extend up to the virtual analogue switching points are shown in Figure 1/G.122.



Note — a, b are the virtual analogue switching points of the international circuit.

FIGURE 1/G.122

National system representation and virtual analogue switching point definition

The transmission loss introduced between a and b by the national system, referred to as the loss ($a-b$), is important from three points of view:

- it contributes to the margin that the international connection has against oscillation during the setting-up and clearing-down of the connection. A minimum loss over the band 0-4 kHz is the characteristic value;
- it contributes to the margin of stability during a communication. Again, a minimum loss in the band 0-4 kHz is the characteristic value, but in this case the subscribers' apparatuses (telephone, modem, etc.) are assumed to be connected and in the operating condition;
- it contributes to the control of echoes and, in respect of the subjective effect of talker echo, a weighted sum of the loss ($a-b$) over the band 300-3400 Hz is the characteristic value.

In addition, echoes circulating in any of the 4-wire loops in the national system or in the international 4-wire chain, give rise to listener echo, which can affect voice-band analogue data transmission.

The requirements stated in this Recommendation represent network performance objectives.

2 Loss (a-b) to avoid instability during set-up, clear-down and changes in a connection

2.1 Instability should be avoided during all normal conditions of set-up, clear-down and other changes in the composition (e.g. call-transfer) of a complete connection. To ensure adequate stability of international connections the distribution (taken over many actual calls) of the loss (*a-b*) during the worst situation should be such that the risk of a loss (*a-b*) of 0 dB or less does not exceed 6 in 1000 calls when using the calculation method applied in § 2.2. This requirement should be observed at any frequency in the band 0 to 4 kHz.

Note 1 – The signalling and switching systems have an influence on the loss (*a-b*) under set-up and clear-down conditions. For example, in some systems 4-wire registers control the set-up and do not establish the 4-wire path until the answer signal is successfully received. In others, circuits are released immediately if busy conditions are encountered. In these circumstances the risk of oscillation does not arise.

Note 2 – Recommendation Q.32 gives information on methods of securing an adequate loss (*a-b*) of an incoming national system before the called-subscriber answers (i.e. while ringing tone is transmitted) or if busy or number unobtainable conditions are encountered.

Note 3 – If there are no such arrangements as described in Notes 1 or 2 above then in general it would be safe to assume that there is no balance return loss provided by the called local telephone circuit (if 2-wire). In this case the necessary loss (*a-b*) must be provided by the transmission losses in the national system.

Note 4 – The stability of international telephone connections at frequencies outside the band of effectively transmitted frequencies (i.e. below 300 Hz and above 3400 Hz) is governed by the following transmission losses at the frequencies of interest:

- the balance return loss at the terminating units;
- the transmission losses of the terminating units;
- the transmission losses of the 4-wire circuits.

Note 5 – Conditions which only last for a few tens of milliseconds can be left out of consideration because in such a short time oscillations cannot build up to a significant level.

2.2 The limit recommended in § 2.1 may be met, for instance, by imposing the following simultaneous conditions on the national network:

- 1) The sum of the nominal transmission losses in both directions of transmission *a-b* and *t-b* measured between the 2-wire input of the terminating set *t*, and one or other of the virtual switching points on the international circuit, *a* or *b* should not be less than $(4 + n)$ dB, where *n* is the number of analogue or mixed analogue-digital 4-wire circuits in the national chain.
- 2) The stability balance return loss at the terminating set *t*, should have a value not less than 2 dB for the terminal conditions encountered during normal operation.
- 3) The standard deviation of variations of transmission loss of a circuit should not exceed 1 dB (see Recommendation G.151, § 3).

In a calculation to verify if these values are acceptable, it may be assumed that (see [1]):

- there is no significant difference between nominal and mean value of the transmission losses of circuits;
- variations of losses for both directions of transmission of the same circuit are fully correlated;
- distributions are Gaussian.

For the loss (*a-b*), it then results:

$$\text{Mean value: } 2 + 4 + n = 6 + n \text{ dB}$$

$$\text{Standard deviation: } \sqrt{4n} \text{ dB}$$

With *n* = 4 the mean value becomes 10 dB and the standard deviation 4 dB, resulting in a probability for values lower than 0 dB of $6 \cdot 10^{-3}$.

Note – There is no need for the two quantities *a-t* and *t-b* to be equal, so that differential gain can be used in the national network. This practice may be needed to meet the requirements of Recommendation G.121, § 2, but it implies that the transmission loss in terminal service of the 4-wire chain plus the terminating sets may be different according to the direction of transmission. The choice of the nominal value of the transmission loss *t-b* should in all cases be made with an eye to Recommendation G.121, § 3 dealing with the minimum sending reference equivalent to be imposed in each national chain, to avoid any risk of overloading in the international network.

3 Unweighted loss (a-b) on established connections

3.1 The objective is that the risk of the loss (*a-b*) reaching low values at any frequency in the range 0-4 kHz should be as small as practicable. This requires restrictions on the distribution of values of stability loss (*a-b*) for the population of actual international calls established over the national system. Such a distribution can be characterized by a mean value and a standard deviation.

The objective will be obtained by a national system sharing a mean value of at least $(10 + n)$ dB together with a standard deviation not larger than $\sqrt{6.25 + 4n}$ dB in the band 0-4 kHz; where n is the number of analogue or mixed analogue-digital 4-wire circuits in the national chain. Other distributions are acceptable as well, as long as they yield equivalent or better results calculated according to the convention of [1].

Note 1 – See Note in § 2.2.

Note 2 – In the more conventional case of a of Figure 1/G.122, the loss (*a-b*) is calculated as the sum of circuit losses, terminating loss and stability balance return loss. In fact the loss (*a-b*) at a given frequency is the sum of the circuit losses at that frequency plus the balance return loss at the same frequency. For planning purposes, it may be assumed that the stability loss is equal to or greater than the sum of the stability balance return loss plus the sum of the circuit losses at the reference frequency. This follows from the observation that the least circuit loss typically occurs in the vicinity of the reference frequency.

Note 3 – Wholly digital circuits may be assumed to have a transmission loss with mean value and standard deviation equal to zero. Voice coders in circuits or in exchanges are expected to offer smaller variations in transmission loss than carrier circuits. For the variations in transmission loss of a coder-decoder combination, standard deviations in the order of 0.4 dB have been reported.

Note 4 – The subscriber's apparatus (telephone, modem, etc.) in the local telephone circuit is assumed to be “off hook” or equivalent, and thus providing balance return loss.

Note 5 – In practice, the distribution of stability balance return loss is distinctly skew, most of the standard deviations being provided by values above the mean. It could be unduly restrictive to assume a normal distribution.

Note 6 – The CCITT manual cited in [3] describes some of the methods proposed, and in some cases successfully applied, by Administrations to improve balance return losses.

3.2 The distribution of stability loss (*a-b*) recommended in § 3.1 above could, for example, be attained if, in addition to meeting the conditions of § 2.2 the mean value of the stability balance return loss at the terminating set is not less than 6 dB and the standard deviation not larger than 2.5 dB.

4 Echo loss (a-b) on established connections

4.1 In order to minimize the effects of echo on international connections it is recommended that the distribution of echo loss (*a-b*) for the population of actual international calls established over the national system should have a mean value of not less than $15 + n$ dB with a standard deviation not exceeding $\sqrt{9 + 4n}$, where n is the number of analogue or mixed analogue-digital 4-wire circuits in the national chain.

Other distributions are acceptable as well, as long as they yield equivalent or better results calculated according to the convention of Supplement No. 2.

Note 1 – Echo suppressors and cancellers according to Recommendations G.164 and G.165, typically require 6 dB of signal loss (*a-b*) for the *actual* signal converging the canceller or being controlled by the suppressor. This signal loss (*a-b*) is the ratio of incident to reflected signal power on the return path. The value of signal loss (*a-b*) will depend both upon the loss (*a-b*) frequency response and the signal spectrum. Therefore, it is desirable from a performance point of view that the stability loss (*a-b*) during an established connection should be at least 6 dB, since this will ensure proper operation for any signal (frequency spectrum) in the band 0-4 kHz.

However it may not be practical to always achieve this level of performance, especially at the higher frequencies characteristic of voice-band data signals. For speech, typically the speech signal loss (*a-b*) will be at least 6 dB if the echo loss is 6 dB. However, for voice-band data signals a higher echo loss is required to ensure a data signal loss (*a-b*) of 6 dB. For some data signals an echo loss of at least 10 dB is required. It should be noted that some modems operating half-duplex on satellite circuits equipped with echo cancellers may require proper operation of the canceller to prevent long delay echoes that exceed the receiver squelch period from causing data transmission problems.

Note 2 – See Note 2 in § 3.1. In a similar manner for planning purposes it can be assumed that the echo loss is equal to or greater than the sum of the echo balance return loss and the circuit losses at the reference frequency.

Note 3 – Recommendation G.131 provides guidance on the application of echo control devices.

4.2 The echo loss ($a-b$) is derived from the integral of the power transfer characteristic $A(f)$ weighted by a negative slope of 3 dB/octave starting at 300 Hz, extending to 3400 Hz, as follows:

$$\text{Echo loss } L_e = 3.85 - 10 \log_{10} \left[\int_{300}^{3400} \frac{A(f)}{f} df \right] \text{ dB}$$

where

$$A(f) = 10^{\frac{-L_{ab}(f)}{10}} \text{ with } L_{ab} = \text{loss } (a-b)$$

Note 1 – The above method replaces an earlier method in which the echo loss of the path $a-t-b$ was provisionally defined as the expression in transmission units of the unweighted mean of the power ratios in the band 500-2500 Hz. The new method has been found to give better agreement with subjective opinion for individual connections. However, study has shown that echo path loss distributions for large samples of actual connections calculated by the two methods have almost identical means and standard deviations. Therefore, data gathered by the older method is still considered useful in planning studies.

Note 2 – Evidence was presented which showed that a white noise signal is not necessarily optimum to measure the residual echo level after cancellation, because an echo cancellor does not converge to quite the same condition as it does with a real speech signal. It may be better to use the conventional telephone signal (Recommendation G.227 [5]) or better still, an artificial speech signal (see [6]). A good compromise is the weighted noise signal based on the principle recommended above.

Note 3 – Improved balance return losses at t can be obtained when the local exchange uses 4-wire switching and the local line is permanently associated with the 2-wire/4-wire conversion unit and its balance network (see Recommendation Q.552 for examples). When there is 2-wire switching a compromise balance network must be used.

Note 4 – There is evidence that a 4-wire handset telephone in normal use can contribute significant acoustic echo to the communication. Hence in some circumstances (low transmission loss, long delay times) echo control devices may be needed.

4.3 An example of how the recommendation quoted in § 4.1 above can be achieved would be for the mean value of the sum of the transmission losses $a-t$ and $t-b$ not to be less than $(4 + n)$ dB with a standard deviation from the mean not exceeding $2\sqrt{n}$ dB, accompanied by an echo balance return loss at the terminating set t , of not less than 11 dB with a standard deviation not exceeding 3 dB.

5 Effects of listener echo (receive end echo)¹⁾

5.1 General

It has been assumed in § 1 to 4 that only one 2-wire-4-wire-2-wire loop (further referred to as loop) occurs in a connection. Consequently, the requirements in §§ 1 to 4 are valid for that case, i.e. they refer to the “semi-loop” seen directly from the VASPs (virtual analogue switching points). However, in mixed analogue/digital connections several loops may occur when 4-wire digital exchanges (including PABXs) are connected 2-wire to other exchanges. Such loops have typically low loss and short delay times (at most a few milliseconds). Signals reflected twice, i.e. at both hybrids that terminate a loop, would therefore contribute to listener echo. These listener echo signals:

- can lead to objectionable “hollowness” in voice communications, and
- can impair the bit error ratio of received voice-band data signals.

In general it has been found that for satisfactory transmission, data modem receivers require higher values of listener echo loss (in the band 500-2500 Hz) than speech (in the band 300-3400 Hz).

The effect of listener echo is characterized by the difference in level between the direct signal and the multiple reflected signal. In Figure 2/G.122 the loss of the direct path is assumed to be S dB, whereas the loss along the path of the reflected signal is L dB. The listener echo loss (LE) then is $L - S$ dB. It can be seen from Figure 2/G.122 that if only two reflections occur (only double-reflected signals), the listener echo loss LE equals the loss around the loop (open-loop loss, OLL), as all other losses are incurred equally by the direct and the reflected signals.

¹⁾ The use of “listener echo” in this context might be misleading. It could be substituted by a more appropriate term. The term “received end echo” is a term preferred by some Administrations.




FIGURE 2/G.122


Listener echo

It should be noted that usually the listener echo will consist of a series of signals being reflected two times, four times, etc. and hence LE and OLL are in principle not equal. In practice however LE and OLL may be taken as equal when OLL exceeds about 8 dB.

The loss around the loop can be measured by breaking the loop at some point, injecting a signal and measuring the loss incurred in traversing the open loop. All impedance conditions of the closed loop and at the 2-wire ends should be preserved whilst making the measurement. The measured quantity is the open-loop loss (OLL).

For practical purposes, semi-loop measurements may be more easily carried out, especially in the case of 4-wire exchanges with 2-wire circuit terminations, since it is sometimes difficult to maintain a connection through a 4-wire exchange and interrupt one direction of transmission. Figure 3/G.122 explains the notion of the semi-loop loss (SLL).

The sum of the two semi-loop losses of a 2-wire/4-wire/2-wire device is equal to its open-loop loss (and hence very nearly to its listener echo loss) – again assuming that impedance conditions at the 2-wire ends are preserved whilst making the measurements.



Note – 1 and 2 are equi-level points (e.g. digital points).

FIGURE 3/G.122

Measuring the semi-loop loss

5.2 Limitation of listener echo

5.2.1 Voice-band data transmission

The minimum values for the listener echo loss are under study. However, the following consideration provides an example and may serve as an indication of what values of OLL might be required by existing types of modems with a bit rate of up to 2.4 kbit/s, in order to obtain high quality data transmission:

- a complete connection should not contain more than five (exceptionally seven) physical loops;
- loops with very high OLL (exceeding, e.g. 45 dB) need not be included in the number of loops in the connection;
- the OLL of each loop at any frequency in the band 500-2500 Hz, should not be less than the values indicated in Table 1/G.122 (based on $OLL = 18 + 10 \log m$, where m = total number of loops).

TABLE 1/G.122

In one national system		Maximum total number of loops in international connection
Number of national loops	OLL of each loop	
1	22 dB	3
2	25 dB	5
3	26.5 dB	7

5.2.2 *Voice transmission*

Voice performance in the presence of listener echo can be quantified in terms of a weighted value of OLL over the voice-frequency band of 300-3400 Hz. Two weighting functions have been defined in Supplement No. 3 in Volume V.

Using the information given in Recommendation P.11 appropriate values of OLL may be derived as a function of loop round-trip delay for satisfactory voice transmission. These values are presently under study.

ANNEX A

(to Recommendation G.122)

Measurement of stability loss (*a-b*) and echo loss (*a-b*)

The stability loss (*a-b*) and the echo loss (*a-b*) as defined in §§ 3.1 and 4.1 respectively may be measured by apparatus at the international centre in accordance with the principle of Figure A-1/G.122.

In respect of the echo measurement, the combined response of the send and receive filters must be such that the definition given in § 4.2 of the text is effectively implemented, e.g. such that the difference between a measured echo loss and one calculated from the loss/frequency characteristic does not exceed 0.25 dB.

The allocation of the total response between send and receive is not critical and any reasonable division may be used provided that:

- excessive interchannel interference is avoided in national transmission systems due to an unrestricted spectrum of the transmitted signal;
- unwanted signals that may give rise to errors, e.g. hum, circuit noise, carrier leak signals, are prevented from entering the receiver.

Appropriate arrangements (not shown) are needed for automatic or manual access to the 4-wire switches at the international centre and also to ensure that due account is taken of the transmission levels at the actual switching points.

As far as the stability measurement is concerned, if a sweep oscillator is used, attention must be paid to the risks of engendering false operation of national signalling systems.

For both measurements anomalous results may be obtained if echo suppressors are encountered in the national extension.

To measure the echo loss (*a-b*), the output of the send filter is first connected to the input of the receive filter and the appropriate level set and noted. The apparatus is then connected as in Figure A-1/G.122 and the new reading on the meter noted. The loss so indicated is the echo loss (*a-b*).




FIGURE A-1/G.122

Principle of measuring the transmission loss of the path *a-t-b* from the points of view of stability and of echo

ANNEX B

(to Recommendation G.122)

Explanation of terms associated with the path *a-t-b*

(Contribution of British Telecom and Australia)

B.1 *Return loss*


This is a quantity associated with the degree of match between two impedances and is given by the expression:

$$\text{Return loss of } Z_1 \text{ versus } Z_2 = 20 \log_{10} \left| \frac{Z_1 + Z_2}{Z_1 - Z_2} \right| \text{ dB}$$

The use of the expression "return loss" should be confined to 2-wire paths supporting signals in the two directions simultaneously.

B.2 *Balance return loss*

A clear definition is given in the preamble of Recommendation G.122. Figure B-1/G.122 illustrates the definition.



$$\text{Balance return loss} = 20 \log_{10} \left| \frac{Z_B + Z_{TW}}{Z_B - Z_{TW}} \right| \text{ dB.}$$

FIGURE B-1/G.122

The 2-wire portion must be in the condition appropriate to the study, e.g., if speech echo is being studied the telephone set must be in the speaking condition.

In the particular case (which occurs very often) in which the impedances presented by each of the paths in the 4-wire portion is also Z_B (e.g. 600 ohms) then the terminating set presents an impedance of the 2-wire point which is substantially equal to Z_B . Figure B-2/G.122 illustrates this case.




FIGURE B-2/G.122

The term "balance return loss" (*not* return loss) should always be used for the contribution to the loss of the path *a-t-b* attributable to the degree of match between Z_B and Z_{TW} .

B.3 Transmission loss of the path *a-t-b*

There is room for confusion here because the concept can be applied to arrangements in which there is no physical point "*t*" at all, e.g. as in some laboratory simulations of long connections in which echo is introduced by a controlled unidirectional path bridging the two 4-wire paths. The point "*t*" is necessary in the Recommendation because practical public switched telephone networks are being dealt with.

Thus in general two cases arise.

Case 1: There does exist a point “*t*” (Figure B-3/G.122).




FIGURE B-3/G.122

The transmission loss of the path *a-t-b* may be calculated from

$$\text{loss } (a-t) + 20 \log_{10} \left| \frac{Z_B + Z_{TW}}{Z_B - Z_{TW}} \right| + \text{loss } (t-b)$$

The diagram is drawn in terms of the virtual switching points of the international circuit with their associated relative levels. The subscript *i* in the abbreviation dB r_i signifies that these relative levels are with respect to a 0 dB r point of the international circuit.

It is clear that any other convenient pair of relative levels (differing by 0.5 dB in the correct sense) can be used in practice, e.g., the actual switching levels used in an international centre.

Case 2: There does not exist any “*t*” (Figure B-4/G.122).

This relates particularly to laboratory testing arrangements.




FIGURE B-4/G.122

In this case the loss of the path *a-“t”-b* may be calculated from: $(R + E + S)$ dB (assuming acoustic feedback at the 4-wire telephone to be negligible).

In both cases the loss of “the path $a-t-b$ ” can in principle be directly measured by the principles described in Annex A, i.e. by injecting a signal at a and measuring the result at b , so that one may properly say for all cases

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{transmission loss} \\ \text{of the path } a-t-b \end{array} \right\} \equiv \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{transmission loss} \\ \text{between } a \text{ and } b \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\{\text{transmission loss of the path } a-t-b\} \equiv \{\text{transmission loss between } a \text{ and } b\}$$

or, more shortly

$$\text{loss } (a-t-b) \equiv \text{loss } (a-b)$$

B.4 Stability and echo losses

So far the quantities dealt with are functions of frequency and yield a graph of attenuation/frequency distortion. When it is required to characterize such a graph with a single number, additional qualifying indications are, for example, stability loss ($a-b$) and echo loss ($a-b$).

The text of this Recommendation gives the definitions of these single-number descriptions thus: the stability loss ($a-b$) is the least value (measured or calculated) in the band 0-4 kHz (see §§ 2.1 and 3.1), and the echo loss ($a-b$) is a weighted integral of the loss/frequency function over the band 300-3400 Hz, as defined in § 4.2.

When the echo-path loss/frequency characteristic is available in graphical or tabular form, alternative techniques for the calculation of echo loss ($a-b$) are preferable to that suggested for the field measurement given in Annex A.

Note – When evaluating echo loss from graphical or tabulated data, sufficient frequency points should be taken to ensure that the influence of the shape of the amplitude/frequency characteristic is adequately preserved. The more irregular the shape, the more points should be taken for a given accuracy.

Graphical data (trapezoidal rule)

If the loss/frequency characteristic of the echo-path is available in graphical form (or the data were suitably measured) the echo loss may be calculated by using the trapezoidal rule as follows:

- 1) Divide the frequency band (300 to 3400 Hz) into N sub-bands of equal width on a log-frequency scale.
- 2) Read off the echo loss at each of the $N + 1$ frequencies at the edges of the N sub-bands, and express it as an output/input power ratio, A_i .
- 3) Calculate the echo loss using the formula:

$$L_e = -10 \log_{10} \left[\frac{1}{N} \left(\frac{A_0}{2} + A_1 + A_2 + \dots + A_{N-1} + \frac{A_N}{2} \right) \right]$$

Tabulated data

When the loss/frequency data are only available at $N + 1$ discreet frequencies, which are nonuniformly spaced on a log-frequency scale, proceed as follows:

An approximation to the formula for echo loss ($a-b$) given in the text is:

$$L_e = 3.24 - 10 \log_{10} \sum_{i=1}^N (A_i + A_{i-1}) (\log_{10} f_i - \log_{10} f_{i-1})$$

where

A_0 is the output/input power ratio at frequency $f_0 = 300$ Hz,

A_i the ratio at frequency f_i , and

A_N the ratio at frequency $f_N = 3400$ Hz.

Note 1 – The approximation involved is to assume that within the sub-band f_{i-1} to f_i , the power ratio is constant and has the value $A(f) = (A_i + A_{i-1})/2$.

Note 2 – The constant 3.24 in the approximate formula arises from a combination of the constant 3.85 in the definition and other constants produced by the approximation.

The sum of product terms in the approximation formula may be conveniently calculated as illustrated by the following example:

TABLE B-1/G.122

f_i (Hz) (1)	$\log_{10}f_i$ (2)	$\log_{10}f_i - \log_{10}f_{i-1}$ (3)	loss (dB) (4)	ratio A_i (5)	$A_i + A_{i-1}$ (6)	$(3) \times (6)$ (7)
300	2.477	0.222	∞	0	0.124	0.0275
500	2.699	0.204	9.05	0.124	0.402	0.0820
800	2.903	0.097	5.56	0.278	0.636	0.0617
1000	3.000	0.176	4.46	0.358	0.838	0.1475
1500	3.176	0.125	3.19	0.48	0.970	0.1213
2000	3.301	0.097	3.09	0.49	0.881	0.0855
2500	3.398	0.079	4.08	0.391	0.571	0.0451
3000	3.477	0.055	7.45	0.180	0.180	0.0099
3400	3.532		∞	0		
Total						0.5804

$$L_e = 3.24 - 10 \log 0.5804 = 5.6 \text{ dB}$$

B.5 Overall loudness rating of the echo path (Talker echo loudness rating, TELR)

Recommendation G.131 is concerned with complete talker echo paths and it is convenient to characterize this path in terms of loudness rating (LR). By convention we may regard the echo balance return loss as the contribution it makes to the overall loudness rating (OLR) of the mouth-ear echo path. Naturally, as indicated in § 2 of the text, the echo loss ($a-b$), when this is already known, may be used instead of the sum of three quantities: the LR ($a-t$), the echo balance return loss at t (averaged according to § 2) and the LR ($t-b$).

Hence the nominal overall loudness rating of the echo path may be calculated as illustrated in Figure B-5/G.122.




FIGURE B-5/G.122

Overall Loudness Rating of the echo path (Talker echo loudness rating, TELR), see Annex A/G.111

- = SLR + RLR of the talker's national system,
- + twice the LR of the international chain (i.e.: $2L_i$),
- + the echo loss ($a-b$) of the listener's national system (i.e. averaged according to this Recommendation).

B.6 Résumé of useful terms

return loss – Relates to a 2-wire bidirectional circuit; classical definition.

balance return loss – Proportion of the loss at the $a-t-b$ path attributable to the degree of match between the 2-wire impedance and the balance impedance at the terminating unit. Applicable only if there is a point “ t ”.

transmission loss of the path $a-t-b$ – Can be regarded as the loss ($a-b$), whether there exists a physical point “ t ” or not.

stability loss ($a-b$) – The least value of the loss ($a-b$) in the band 0 to 4 kHz.

echo loss ($a-b$) – The loss ($a-b$) averaged according to the definition in § 2 of the text.

echo balance return loss – A balance return loss averaged according to § 2 of the text.

overall loudness rating of the echo path (Talker echo loudness rating, TELR) – The sum of the send loudness rating and receive loudness rating of the talker's national system, twice the LR of the international chain, and the echo loss ($a-b$) of the listener's national system.

References

- [1] *Calculations of the stability of international connections established in accordance with the transmission and switching plan*, CCITT Green Book, Vol. III-2, Supplement No. 1, ITU, Geneva, 1973.
- [2] CCITT Recommendation *12-channel terminal equipments*, Vol. III, Rec. G.232, § 2.
- [3] CCITT manual *Transmission planning of switched telephone networks*, ITU, Geneva, 1976.
- [4] CCITT Recommendation *Reduction of the risk of instability by switching means*, Vol. VI, Rec. Q.32.
- [5] CCITT Recommendation *Conventional telephone signal*, Vol. III, Rec. G.227.
- [6] CCITT Question 8/XII, Annex 2, Contribution COM XII-No. 1, Study Period 1981-1984, Geneva, 1981.

ITU-T G-SERIES RECOMMENDATIONS
TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS AND MEDIA, DIGITAL SYSTEMS AND NETWORKS

INTERNATIONAL TELEPHONE CONNECTIONS AND CIRCUITS	
General definitions	G.100–G.109
General Recommendations on the transmission quality for an entire international telephone connection	G.110–G.119
General characteristics of national systems forming part of international connections	G.120–G.129
General characteristics of the 4-wire chain formed by the international circuits and national extension circuits	G.130–G.139
General characteristics of the 4-wire chain of international circuits; international transit	G.140–G.149
General characteristics of international telephone circuits and national extension circuits	G.150–G.159
Apparatus associated with long-distance telephone circuits	G.160–G.169
Transmission plan aspects of special circuits and connections using the international telephone connection network	G.170–G.179
Protection and restoration of transmission systems	G.180–G.189
Software tools for transmission systems	G.190–G.199
INTERNATIONAL ANALOGUE CARRIER SYSTEM	
GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS COMMON TO ALL ANALOGUE CARRIER-TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS	
Definitions and general considerations	G.210–G.219
General Recommendations	G.220–G.229
Translating equipment used on various carrier-transmission systems	G.230–G.239
Utilization of groups, supergroups, etc.	G.240–G.299
INDIVIDUAL CHARACTERISTICS OF INTERNATIONAL CARRIER TELEPHONE SYSTEMS ON METALLIC LINES	
Carrier telephone systems on unloaded symmetric cable pairs, providing groups or supergroups	G.320–G.329
Carrier systems on 2.6/9.5 mm coaxial cable pairs	G.330–G.339
Carrier systems on 1.2/4.4 mm coaxial cable pairs	G.340–G.349
Additional Recommendations on cable systems	G.350–G.399
GENERAL CHARACTERISTICS OF INTERNATIONAL CARRIER TELEPHONE SYSTEMS ON RADIO-RELAY OR SATELLITE LINKS AND INTERCONNECTION WITH METALLIC LINES	
General Recommendations	G.400–G.419
Interconnection of radio-relay links with carrier systems on metallic lines	G.420–G.429
Hypothetical reference circuits	G.430–G.439
Circuit noise	G.440–G.449
COORDINATION OF RADIOTELEPHONY AND LINE TELEPHONY	
Radiotelephone circuits	G.450–G.469
Links with mobile stations	G.470–G.499
TESTING EQUIPMENTS	
TRANSMISSION MEDIA CHARACTERISTICS	
General	G.600–G.609
Symmetric cable pairs	G.610–G.619
Land coaxial cable pairs	G.620–G.629
Submarine cables	G.630–G.649
Optical fibre cables	G.650–G.659
Characteristics of optical components and subsystems	G.660–G.699

For further details, please refer to ITU-T List of Recommendations.

ITU-T RECOMMENDATIONS SERIES

Series A	Organization of the work of the ITU-T
Series B	Means of expression: definitions, symbols, classification
Series C	General telecommunication statistics
Series D	General tariff principles
Series E	Overall network operation, telephone service, service operation and human factors
Series F	Non-telephone telecommunication services
Series G	Transmission systems and media, digital systems and networks
Series H	Audiovisual and multimedia systems
Series I	Integrated services digital network
Series J	Transmission of television, sound programme and other multimedia signals
Series K	Protection against interference
Series L	Construction, installation and protection of cables and other elements of outside plant
Series M	TMN and network maintenance: international transmission systems, telephone circuits, telegraphy, facsimile and leased circuits
Series N	Maintenance: international sound programme and television transmission circuits
Series O	Specifications of measuring equipment
Series P	Telephone transmission quality, telephone installations, local line networks
Series Q	Switching and signalling
Series R	Telegraph transmission
Series S	Telegraph services terminal equipment
Series T	Terminals for telematic services
Series U	Telegraph switching
Series V	Data communication over the telephone network
Series X	Data networks and open system communications
Series Y	Global information infrastructure and Internet protocol aspects
Series Z	Languages and general software aspects for telecommunication systems