

Classification of Responses of the Questionnaire on the reform of the ITRs

List of respondents

No.	Country	Organization
1	Albania	Telecommunication Regulatory Entity
2	Armenia	Armentel
3	Australia	National Office for the Information Economy
4	Belgium	Siemens Atea
5	Cambodia	Ministry of Posts and Telecommunication
6	Colombia	Ministerio de Comunicaciones
7	Colombia	TELECOM-COLOMBIA
8	Czech Republic	Czech Telecommunication Office
9	Czech Republic	CESKY TELECOM, a.s.
10	Denmark	National Telecom Agency
11	Egypt	Telecom Egypt
12	Finland	Telecommunications Administration Centre
13	France	DGITIP
14	France	France Telecom
15	Germany	Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology
16	Guatemala	Guatemala Administration
17	Iceland	Iceland Telecom Ltd.
18	India	Ministry of Communications
19	Ireland	Office of the Director of Telecommunications Regulation
20	Japan	Ministry of Public management, Home Affairs, Posts and Telecommunications
21	Jordan	Jordan Telecom (JTC)
22	Kenya	Communications Commission of Kenya
23	Korea (South)	Ministry of Information and Communication
24	Malaysia	Ministry of Energy, Communications & Multimedia
25	Mali	Societe de Telecommunications du Mali
26	Mexico	Comision Federal de Telecomunicaciones
27	Norway	Telenor AsA
28	Paraguay	Administracion Nacional de Telecomunicaciones
29	Peru	MTC
30	Peru	INICTEL

31	Portugal	Portugese Administration-ICP
32	Portugal	C.P.R. Marconi, S.A.
33	Russian Federation	Ministry of Communication and information of the RF
34	Saudi Arabia	Saudi Telecom Company
35	Senegal	SONATEL
36	Spain	Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnologia
37	Spain	HISPASAT, S.A.
38	Swaziland	Swaziland Posts and Telecomm Corporations
39	Sweden	National Post and Telecom Agency
40	Sweden	Telia AB
41	Switzerland	OFCOM
42	Syria	Syrian Telecommunications Est.
43	United Kingdom	Department of Trade and Industry
44	United Kingdom	Inmarsat Ltd.
45	United Kingdom	INTUG
46	USA	State Department
47	USA	Kasstech, Inc.
48	USA	WorldCom
49	Regional Organization	ASETA
50	Regional Organization	Regional Africa Satellite Communications Organizations

<Table 1>: Overall assessment of the relevance on the existing ITRs

	Frequency	Valid Percent
Very Important	13	29.5
Somewhat Important	16	36.4
No opinion / not familiar with ITRs	2	4.5
Irrelevant	8	18.2
Harmful to market development and competition	5	11.4
Total	44	100.0

Note : Not all respondents answered all questions

<Table 1-1> Overall assessment by the level of development

	Level of Development		Total
	Developing	Developed	
Very Important	12 48.0%	1 5.3%	13 29.5%
Somewhat Important	10 40.0%	6 31.6%	16 36.4%
No opinion / not familiar with ITRs	1 4.0%	2 10.5%	3 6.8%
Irrelevant	1 4.0%	6 31.6%	7 15.9%
Harmful to market development and competition	1 4.0%	4 21.1%	5 11.4%
Total	25 100.0%	19 100.0%	44 100.0%

<Table 1-2> Overall assessment by the level of competition in int'l market

	Competition				Total
	monopoly	duopoly	partial	full	
Very Important	9 64.3%	1 100.0%	0	2 8.0%	12 27.9%
Somewhat Important	5 35.7%	0	1 33.3%	10 40.0%	16 37.2%
No opinion / not familiar with ITRs	0	0	0	3 12.0%	3 7.0%
Irrelevant	0	0	1 33.3%	6 24.0%	7 16.3%
Harmful to market development and competition	0	0	1 33.3%	4 16.0%	5 11.6%
Total	14 100.0%	1 100.0%	3 100.0%	25 100.0%	43 100.0%

<Table 2> Opinion on Option A: Termination by integration

	Frequency	Valid Percent
Strongly Agree	11	25.6
Somewhat Agree	6	14.0
No opinion	7	16.3
Somewhat Disagree	9	20.9
Strongly Disagree	10	23.3
Total	43	100.0

<Table 2-1> Opinion on Option A by the level of development

	Level of Development		Total
	Developing	Developed	
Strongly Agree	1 4.8%	10 45.5%	11 25.6%
Somewhat Agree	1 4.8%	5 22.7%	6 14.0%
No opinion	5 23.8%	2 9.1%	7 16.3%
Somewhat Disagree	6 28.6%	3 13.6%	9 20.9%
Strongly Disagree	8 38.1%	2 9.1%	10 23.3%
Total	21 100.0%	22 100.0%	43 100.0%

<Table 2-2> Opinion on Option A by the level of competition in int'l market

	competition				Total
	mono	duo	partical	full	
Strongly Agree	1 7.1%	0	1 33.3%	9 37.5%	11 26.2%
Somewhat Agree	1 7.1%	0	0	5 20.8%	6 14.3%
No opinion	3 21.4%	0	1 33.3%	3 12.5%	7 16.7%
Somewhat Disagree	2 14.3%	0	1 33.3%	5 20.8%	8 19.0%
Strongly Disagree	7 50.0%	1 100.0%	0	2 8.3%	10 23.8%
Total	14 100.0%	1 100.0%	3 100.0%	24 100.0%	42 100.0%

<Table 3> Opinion on Option B: Update ITRs

	Frequency	Valid Percent
Strongly Agree	18	40.9
Somewhat Agree	4	9.1
No opinion	7	15.9
Somewhat Disagree	4	9.1
Strongly Disagree	11	25.0
Total	44	100.0

<Table 3-1> Opinion on Option B by the level of development

	Level of Development		Total
	Developing	Developed	
Strongly Agree	14 63.6%	4 18.2%	18 40.9%
Somewhat Agree	2 9.1%	2 9.1%	4 9.1%
No opinion	5 22.7%	2 9.1%	7 15.9%
Somewhat Disagree	1 4.5%	3 13.6%	4 9.1%
Strongly Disagree	0	11 50.0%	11 25.0%
Total	22 100.0%	22 100.0%	44 100.0%

<Table 3-2> Opinion on Option B by the level of competition in int'l market

	Competition				Total
	mono	duo	partical	full	
Strongly Agree	8 57.1%	1 100.0%	1 33.3%	7 28.0%	17 39.5%
Somewhat Agree	2 14.3%	0	0	2 8.0%	4 9.3%
No opinion	3 21.4%	0	2 66.7%	2 8.0%	7 16.3%
Somewhat Disagree	1 7.1%	0	0	3 12.0%	4 9.3%
Strongly Disagree	0	0	0	11 44.0%	11 25.6%
Total	14 100.0%	1 100.0%	3 100.0%	25 100.0%	43 100.0%

<Table 4> Opinion on Option C: Defer Decision

	Frequency	Valid Percent
Strongly Agree	5	11.9
Somewhat Agree	6	14.3
No opinion	4	9.5
Somewhat Disagree	12	28.6
Strongly Disagree	15	35.7
Total	42	100.0

<Table 4-1> Opinion on Option C by the level of development

	Level of Development		Total
	Developing	Developed	
Strongly Agree	2 9.5%	3 14.3%	5 11.9%
Somewhat Agree	2 9.5%	4 19.0%	6 14.3%
No opinion	3 14.3%	1 4.8%	4 9.5%
Somewhat Disagree	6 28.6%	6 28.6%	12 28.6%
Strongly Disagree	8 38.1%	7 33.3%	15 35.7%
Total	21 100.0%	21 100.0%	42 100.0%

<Table 4-2> Opinion on Option C by the level of competition in int'l market

	competition				Total
	mono	duo	partial	full	
Strongly Agree	0 0%	1 100.0%	0 0%	4 16.7%	5 12.2%
Somewhat Agree	2 15.4%	0 0%	0 0%	4 16.7%	6 14.6%
No opinion	1 7.7%	0 0%	1 33.3%	2 8.3%	4 9.8%
Somewhat Disagree	6 46.2%	0 0%	0 0%	6 25.0%	12 29.3%
Strongly Disagree	4 30.8%	0 0%	2 66.7%	8 33.3%	14 34.1%
Total	13 100.0%	1 100.0%	3 100.0%	24 100.0%	41 100.0%

<Table 5> Opinion on Option D: Include new topics

	Frequency	Valid Percent
Strongly Agree	14	33.3
Somewhat Agree	8	19.0
No opinion	7	16.7
Somewhat Disagree	5	11.9
Strongly Disagree	8	19.0
Total	42	100.0

<Table 5-1> Opinion on Option D by the level of development

	Level of Development		Total
	Developing	Developed	
Strongly Agree	11 52.4%	3 14.3%	14 33.3%
Somewhat Agree	2 9.5%	6 28.6%	8 19.0%
No opinion	6 28.6%	1 4.8%	7 16.7%
Somewhat Disagree	0	5 23.8%	5 11.9%
Strongly Disagree	2 9.5%	6 28.6%	8 19.0%
Total	21 100.0%	21 100.0%	42 100.0%

<Table 5-2> Opinion on Option D by the level of competition in int'l market

	Competition				Total
	mono	duo	partical	full	
Strongly Agree	5 38.5%	0	1 33.3%	7 29.2%	13 31.7%
Somewhat Agree	2 15.4%	1 100.0%	0	5 20.8%	8 19.5%
No opinion	4 30.8%	0	1 33.3%	2 8.3%	7 17.1%
Somewhat Disagree	1 7.7%	0	0	4 16.7%	5 12.2%
Strongly Disagree	1 7.7%	0	1 33.3%	6 25.0%	8 19.5%
Total	13 100.0%	1 100.0%	3 100.0%	24 100.0%	41 100.0%