|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| itu_logo | **Union internationale des télécommunications**  **Bureau de la Normalisation des Télécommunications** | | |  |
|  | | | Genève, le 16 mars 2016 | |
| Réf.:  Tél.: Fax: E-mail: | | **Circulaire TSB 207**  COM 3/LS  +41 22 730 5884 +41 22 730 5853 [tsbsg3@itu.int](mailto:tsbsg3@itu.int) | - Aux administrations des Etats Membres de l'Union | |
|  | |  | **Copie**:  - Aux Membres du Secteur UIT-T;  - Aux Associés de l'UIT-T participant aux travaux de la Commission d'études 3;  - Aux établissements universitaires participant aux travaux de l'UIT;  - Aux Président et Vice-Présidents de la Commission d'études 3 | |
| Objet: | | **Questionnaire de la Commission d'études 3 sur le règlement des différends liés à la tarification et à la facturation** | | |
| Suite à donner: | | Prière de retourner le questionnaire **le 25 juillet 2016** au plus tard | | |

Madame, Monsieur,

1 A la réunion qu'elle a tenue du 22 février au 1er mars 2016, la Commission d'études 3 (CE 3) de l'UIT‑T a décidé d'élaborer un questionnaire sur le règlement des différends liés à la tarification et à la facturation.

2 La présente Circulaire a pour objet de recueillir des informations susceptibles de faciliter les travaux de la Commission d'études sur ce sujet. A cet égard, vous trouverez ledit questionnaire dans l'**Annexe 1**.

3 Je vous serais reconnaissant de bien vouloir remplir ce questionnaire et le retourner au secrétariat de la Commission d'études 3 de l'UIT‑T ([tsbsg3@itu.int](mailto:tsbsg3@itu.int)) au plus tard le **25 juillet 2016**.

Veuillez agréer, Madame, Monsieur, l'assurance de ma haute considération.

Chaesub Lee  
Directeur du Bureau de la normalisation   
des télécommunications

**Annexe: 1**

ANNEX 1  
(to TSB Circular 207)

**Questionnaire on Dispute Resolution Related to Charging and Invoicing (ITU-T SG3)**

**Introduction and Background**

The Dispute Resolution Rapporteur Group was established with the purpose of developing guidelines or recommendations with a view to standardizing the handling of disputes by encouraging the use of standard processes and forms in order to ensure resolution of disputes in an effective, cost- and time-efficient manner. To this end, the Dispute Resolution Rapporteur Group developed several supplements and guidelines to Recommendations D.170 and D.195 ranging from Dispute Management Guidelines to generic templates and Credit Management guidelines among others.

The existing D.170 and D.195 supplements were developed over 5 years ago and as such there is need for their review in order to take cognizance of the continuing technical, policy, and regulatory evolution of the telecommunication sector.

The questionnaire below seeks to update key baseline information regarding the following focus areas:

* Sources of disputes
* Scope of dispute resolution procedures
* Existing dispute resolution mechanisms
* Technological advancements in the area of dispute resolution through the use of automated tools and processes

Responses to the questionnaire will provide insights that will facilitate the assessment of existing Dispute Management Processes and the evolution thereof as well as determine whether there is need to update existing supplements and guidelines or develop new recommendations.

**Questions and Explanatory Notes**

| **Focus Area** | **Question(s)** | | **Explanatory Notes** | ***Example of Possible Responses*** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Source of disputes** | 1 | **What are the 3 most common sources of disputes?** | The questions under this focus area seek to gain insight into some of the main causes of disputes and which services or processes they emanate from. | 1. *Discrepancies on invoices for international interconnection settlements?* 2. *Application of wrong AA14 rates for IOT in International mobile roaming?* 3. *Poor maintenance of reference data and application of different cut off dates?* |
| 2 | **What services are more susceptible to disputes?** | 1. *International Termination?* 2. *International Mobile Roaming?* 3. *International Internet Connectivity?* |
| **Future sources of disputes** | 3 | **How has the dispute process evolved over the years?** | Responses to questions under this focus area should address the changes in methods and processes for handling disputes over the years, if any. It attempts to elicit thoughts and consideration over where dispute resolution started, where it is today and where it is likely to go in future. It is important to consider whether 5 years from today we will still be dealing with the same type of disputes or whether there will be new services and transactions that are likely to be more susceptible to disputes. | *Are there more efficient systems/tools that aid in the verification and validation process?* |
| 4 | **What are the future sources of disputes likely to be?** | *Discrepancies arising from M2M roaming?* |
| **Scope of dispute resolution procedures** | 5 | **Is there need to update the Dispute Management Guidelines contained in** [**Rec. ITU-T D.170/Suppl.1 (05/2010)?**](https://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-D.170-201005-I!Sup1/en) | Supplements 1 and 2 on Dispute Management Guidelines and Dispute Process Guidelines were developed in 2010. From 2010 to date, there have been significant developments in the ICT Sector not only in terms of technological advancement but also changes in policy and regulatory frameworks. In this regard, there may be need to update certain aspects of these supplements in order to ensure that they reflect current market conditions. There may also be need to widen the scope of the existing dispute management and process guidelines. | *The scope may need to be expanded to include broadband services. These guidelines focus primarily on voice calls?* |
| 6 | **Is there need to update the Dispute Process Guidelines contained in** [**Rec. ITU-T D.170/Suppl.2 (05/2010)?**](https://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-D.170-201005-I!Sup2/en) |  |
| 7 | **What other areas need to be covered by dispute resolution procedures?** | *Access and co-location agreements for both passive and active infrastructure sharing?* |
| **Dispute resolution mechanisms and procedures** | 8 | **What dispute settlement mechanisms are you currently employing?** | The responses to questions under this focus area should draw on past experience and practice to provide insight into what mechanisms are being included in commercial agreements to facilitate the implementation of dispute resolution procedures and how successful these have been. | *Dispute management guidelines are embedded in all commercial agreements?* |
| 9 | **What has been the most effective method to resolve disputes?** | *Use of standard templates and forms to ensure that all relevant information is available?* |
| **Technological advancement** | 10 | **Are there technological advances or tools that can be used to prevent or resolve disputes more efficiently?** | This question aims to explore whether there are any automated tools or systems that are being employed that are helping to prevent or resolve disputes. | 1. *Billing and revenue assurance systems?* 2. *CDR Analyzers?* |

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_