International Telecommunication Union



Radiocommunication Bureau

(Direct Fax N°. +41 22 730 57 85)

Circular Letter 17 December 2004

CR/230

To Administrations of Member States of the ITU¹

Subject: Post-conference activities resulting from the decisions of the first session of the

Regional Radiocommunication Conference for planning of the digital terrestrial broadcasting service in parts of Regions 1 and 3, in the frequency bands

174-230 MHz and 470-862 MHz (RRC-04): **Establishment of the reference** situation concerning the broadcasting services for the first planning exercise

References: Decisions of the first session of the Regional Radiocommunication Conference for

planning of the digital terrestrial broadcasting service in parts of Regions 1 and 3, in

the frequency bands 174-230 MHz and 470-862 MHz (RRC-04)

BR Circular Letter CR/214 of 25 June 2004

BR Circular Letter CR/217 of 23 August 2004 (and its Corrigendum 1 of

8 September 2004)

To the Director General

Dear Sir/Madam,

1. In accordance with the decisions of the first session of the Regional Radiocommunication Conference for planning of the digital terrestrial broadcasting service in parts of Regions 1 and 3, in the frequency bands 174-230 MHz and 470-862 MHz (RRC-04), which was held in Geneva from 10 to 28 May 2004, the Radiocommunication Bureau informed your Administration on the results of RRC-04 and brought to the attention of your Administration the Resolutions adopted by the first session (see Circular Letter CR/214 of 25 June 2004). In that Circular Letter the Bureau indicated that it would address other aspects of the inter-sessional activities in separate communications. Subsequently, in Circular Letter CR/217, the Bureau addressed some aspects regarding the protection of the existing assignments of the broadcasting service, in the frequency bands 174-230 MHz and 470-862 MHz, based on the definition of existing and planned assignments and

Gr4: +41 22 730 65 00

E-mail: itumail@itu.int http://www.itu.int/

¹ This Circular Letter is primarily addressed to the Member States of Region 1 (except Mongolia) and to the Islamic Republic of Iran. It is for information only for other Member States.

allotments that are to be taken into account in the design of the new plan for digital terrestrial broadcasting in the frequency bands 174-230 MHz and 470-862 MHz, as contained in § 1.7.1 of Chapter 1 of the Report of the first session of the conference (see Annex to Resolution 1 from RRC-04). The purpose of this Circular letter is to address additional issues regarding the establishment of the reference situation concerning the broadcasting services for the first planning exercise.

- 2. As you are aware, RRC-04 established the following definition of the reference situation: "The reference situation contains the existing and planned assignments and allotments of the broadcasting service and the existing and planned assignments of the other primary services to be taken into account for the development of the Plan(s)" (see footnote (4) in Annex 2 to Resolution COM5/1 of RRC-04).
- 3. The definition of the existing and planned assignments and allotments of the broadcasting service is included in § 1.7.1 of Chapter 1 of the Report of the first session of the conference to the second session of the conference (see Annex to Resolution 1 from RRC-04). In this context, two different situations are described: one dealing with the territories covered by the ST61 or the GE89 Agreements, or both, and the other dealing with the territories not covered by the ST61 or the GE89 Agreements.
- 3.1 Concerning the territories covered by the ST61 or the GE89 Agreements, or both, the RRC-04 introduced five sub-categories, notably:
- analogue and digital^{1, 2} assignments contained in the ST61 and/or GE89 Plans on 31 October 2005;
- analogue and digital^{1, 2} assignments successfully coordinated under the procedures of Article 4 of the ST61 and/or GE89 Agreements by 31 October 2005;
- T-DAB allotments and assignments successfully coordinated by 31 October 2005 with all administrations affected, the territories of which are inside the RRC planning area^{1, 2};
- assignments recorded in the Master International Frequency Register (MIFR) by
 31 December 1989 with a favourable finding with respect to the applicable provisions of the Radio Regulations, and without complaint of harmful interference received by the Radiocommunication Bureau;
- analogue broadcasting assignments to be submitted to the Radiocommunication Bureau by Iraq within three months after the end of the first session of the conference under the procedure and conditions mentioned in NOTE 4 to § 1.7.1.
- 3.2 Concerning the territories not covered by the ST61 or the GE89 Agreements, the RRC-04 introduced two sub-categories, notably:
 - analogue and digital² assignments successfully coordinated by 31 October 2005 with all administrations concerned belonging to the RRC planning area;

¹ These digital assignments and allotments shall not be given more protection than other digital and analogue entries in the new Plan.

² The criteria to be used for coordination of T-DAB with respect to other analogue and digital assignments and allotments of the broadcasting service and assignments of the other primary services are contained in § A.1.2.2 of the Report. In this regard, these criteria are to be applied provisionally as part of Article 4 procedures of the ST61 and GE89 Agreements.

- assignments contained in the "RCC List" successfully coordinated by 31 October 2005 with all affected administrations, the territories of which are inside the RRC planning area.
- 4. In Chapter 5 of the Report, which deals with planning principles and methods, RRC-04 indicated the attributes of the planning process (see § 5.1.5.1). In this connection, the RRC-04 Report states: "In order to facilitate the planning process, administrations are encouraged to state which of their existing and planned assignments defined in § 1.7 they would like to be protected in the establishment of the plans (...)" (emphasis added by the BR).
- 5. Additional indications in this respect are contained in Chapter 6 of the Report (see § 6.1, 6.2 and 6.3), as well as in Resolution COM5/1 (see footnote (1) in Annex 2 to Resolution COM5/1). In essence, administrations shall indicate those existing and planned broadcasting assignments that are <u>not</u> to be taken into account in the planning process; for administrations that have not submitted data, it would be assumed that all the existing and planned broadcasting assignments, according to the definition provided in § 1.7, shall be protected in the planning process.
- In principle, the reference situation is associated with the date of 31 October 2005. Therefore, all the relevant considerations are to be applied with respect to the eligible frequency assignments for which the relevant plan modification or coordination procedures have been completed by that date. On the other hand, as RRC-04 decided on the conduct of a planning exercise associated with the submission of digital broadcasting requirements, with a reference date of 28 February 2005, with the same scope of the compatibility assessment, there would be a need for this exercise to include in the compatibility assessment process the eligible assignments of the broadcasting service and other primary services. In the initial consideration of this issue, there were suggestions that the BR and the PXT should include in the compatibility assessment process all eligible frequency assignments of the broadcasting and other primary services. However, there were indications from several Member States that such an approach may result in inappropriate output, as some Member States do not intend to require protection for some categories of their existing and planned assignments in the broadcasting and other primary services. Therefore, there were suggestions that the Member States should be given the possibility of indicating, already for the first planning exercise, those frequency assignments in the broadcasting and other primary services. which should be excluded from the compatibility assessment process. The matter was considered within the Planning Exercise Team and it was concluded that such an approach would have some merit. Therefore, the Bureau was requested to implement it also for the first planning exercise, with the understanding that the ongoing developments in this respect may result in a completely different reference situation for the draft plan.
- 7. The Bureau considered the matter and decided to include <u>in the reference situation for the first planning exercise</u> all eligible frequency assignments to the broadcasting and other primary services, unless indicated otherwise by the administration concerned. This would imply that, with

³ This "list" of frequency assignments to television broadcasting stations has been produced by the countries in the extended planning area defined in Council Resolution 1185 (modified, 2003) and is set out in the Annex to Circular Letter CR/209.

⁴ The criteria to be used for coordination of the broadcasting assignments in the "RCC List" with respect to existing and planned analogue and digital assignments and allotments of the broadcasting service and existing and planned assignments of other primary services are contained in § A.1.2.4 of the Report. These criteria are to be used by the Radiocommunication Bureau to ensure that coordination with all affected administrations has been successfully completed.

respect to the existing and planned frequency assignments in the broadcasting service, the following would be included in the compatibility assessment process, unless indicated otherwise by the administration concerned:

- all analogue assignments contained in the ST61 and/or GE89 Plans on 28 February 2005, including the successfully coordinated assignments under the relevant procedures of these agreements by 28 February 2005, excepting those frequency assignments that the administration concerned specifically indicates it does not wish to be included in the exercise;
- the 456 frequency assignments indicated in Circular Letter CR/217 (i.e., those that have no equivalents in the current versions of the ST61 and GE89 Plans), from the overall list of 1 320 frequency assignments recorded in the Master International Frequency Register (MIFR) by 31 December 1989 that are eligible for the inclusion in the exercises, excepting those frequency assignments that the administration concerned specifically indicates it does not wish to be included in the exercise. This course of action was selected to avoid any duplicates in the compatibility assessment process which would occur if all eligible frequency assignments from this category were included in the compatibility assessment process; however, this course of action will be reviewed at the next meeting of the IPG, which is provisionally scheduled for 4-8 July 2005;
- the 68 analogue broadcasting assignments submitted by Iraq by 28 August 2004;
- all assignments contained in the "RCC List"³, irrespective of the status of their coordination, excepting those frequency assignments that the administration concerned specifically indicates it does not wish to be included in the exercise.
- 8. If the administrations wish to exclude some or all of their eligible analogue assignments from the compatibility assessment process associated to the first planning exercise, they would need to indicate, <u>by 28 February 2005</u>, which of their eligible frequency assignments should <u>not</u> be included in the compatibility assessment process associated to the first planning exercise. In this connection, the administrations have the following options:
- to propose a general exclusion of all their eligible analogue broadcasting assignments, by sending a general statement to the Bureau (e.g., "the administration of the XYZ requests the Bureau to exclude all of its analogue broadcasting assignments from the compatibility assessment process associated to the first planning exercise"); such a statement should be signed by an authorized person, in accordance with the standard communication practices with the ITU;
- to propose a partial exclusion of some categories of their eligible analogue broadcasting assignments, by sending a precise statement to the Bureau (e.g., "the administration of the XYZ requests the Bureau to exclude from the compatibility assessment process associated to the first planning exercise all eligible analogue broadcasting assignments which appear in the [ST61 Plan] [GE89 Plan][RCC List][the List attached to CR/217] whose e.r.p. is below [p] dbW"); such a statement should be signed by an authorized person, in accordance with the standard communication practices with the ITU;
- to propose the exclusion of particular frequency assignments from the overall list of their eligible analogue broadcasting assignments. For this purpose administrations may use the new type of notice BC6 (see Annexes 1 to 4 to this Circular Letter).

³ This "list" of frequency assignments to television broadcasting stations has been produced by the countries in the extended planning area defined in Council Resolution 1185 (modified, 2003) and is set out in the Annex to Circular Letter CR/209.

- 9. You may wish to note that although the definition of the existing and planned assignments and allotments of the broadcasting service, as included in § 1.7.1 of Chapter 1 of the Report, makes reference to "digital assignments", the approach outlined in paragraph 7 above operates only with analogue broadcasting assignments. The ST61 and GE89 Plans do include some digital television broadcasting assignments, however, in terms of the RRC-04 decisions, such assignments would need to be replaced, by the Member States concerned, with digital requirements, with updated characteristics, using the new form of notice (see § 6.2 of the Report which states: "existing and planned digital broadcasting assignments (...) should be submitted as digital requirements").
- 10. You may wish to note further that although the definition of the reference situation includes the notion of "existing and planned allotments", the approach outlined in paragraph 7 above does not mention any allotment. It is to be noted that the definition of existing and planned assignments and allotments of the broadcasting services, as included in § 1.7.1, does not mention television broadcasting allotments. Furthermore, neither the ST61 nor the GE89 Plan contains the notion of an allotment.
- 11. You may wish to note that, in order to obtain accurate results in the compatibility assessment, the characteristics of the considered analogue broadcasting assignments should be complete and accurate. When considering this matter, RRC-04 concluded that it was essential that the records contained in the relevant plans be up-to-date (see § 6.1). RRC-04 further recommended that administrations update their records via the appropriate procedures prior to the reference date, using the standard BR form T02 and apply the existing rules "prior to the reference date" (see § 6.1 and 6.3). Although some actions are underway, the first planning exercise will be conducted on the basis of the characteristics of the eligible assignments as they are on 28 February 2005. The modifications that will be introduced, using the standard procedures, after 28 February 2005, will not be reflected in the first planning exercise; however, they will be reflected in the subsequent processes if the concerned assignment were to be included in the compatibility assessment process. In this connection, it is important for all administrations to review all the eligible assignments with a view to the possible deletion of the obsolete frequency assignments from the ST61 and GE89 Plans so as to avoid undue constraints to the planning process.
- 12. Notwithstanding the above actions, the Bureau, in conjunction with the Planning Exercise Team, will continue to study all the relevant aspects related to the establishment of the final reference situation, which will be associated with the date of 31 October 2005, as decided by RRC-04. If necessary, the unclear issues will be drawn to the attention of the IPG, at its meeting in July 2005. Appropriate indications will be provided to the administrations in a timely manner, prior to the reference date of 31 October 2005.
- 13. For the sake of completeness, you may wish to note that additional considerations concerning the establishment of the reference situation for the other primary services (other than broadcasting) are included in Circular Letter CR/224 of 11 November 2004. In this connection, the Bureau wishes to indicate that, for the purpose of conducting the first planning exercise, the Bureau will include, in the compatibility assessment process, all eligible frequency assignments to other primary services with respect to the same reference date of 28 February 2005, unless otherwise advised by any administration from the planning area. In the subsequent phases of the process, the Bureau will follow the indications of the RRC-04 in this respect.

14. The Bureau remains at the disposal of your Administration for any clarification you mare require with respect to the subjects covered in this Circular Letter.							
	Yours faithfully,						
	Valery Timofeev						
Direc	etor, Radiocommunication Bureau						
Annexes: 4							

Distribution:

Administrations of Member States of ITU Members of the Radio Regulations Board

					Allii	CA I				
FORM OF NOTICE IDENTIFICATION OF ANALOGUE BROADCASTING ASSIGNMENTS WHICH SHALL NOT BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT DURING RRC-06 PLANNING	assignment from the Reference file REINSTATE previously EXCLUDED assignment back to the Reference file	4C/ Coordinates: Longitude Latitude or Unique Identifier of the analogue assignment deg. min. sec. E/W deg. min. sec. N/S	assignment from the Reference file	4C/ Coordinates: Longitude deg. min. sec. E/W deg. min. sec. N/S	assignment from the Reference file	4C/ Coordinates: Longitude Latitude or Unique Identifier of the analogue assignment deg. min. sec. N/S	assignment from the Reference file	4C/ Coordinates: Longitude deg. min. sec. E.W deg. min. sec. N/S deg. min. sec. E.M	assignment from the Reference file Reference file Reference file	4C/ Coordinates: Longitude Latitude or Unique Identifier of the analogue assignment deg. min. sec. N/S min. sec. N/S
X For the first planning exercise	EXCLUDE analogue assign	nent 1A/ Assigned frequency (MHz) and	EXCLUDE analogue assign	nent 1A/ Assigned frequency (MHz) and	EXCLUDE analogue assign	nent 1A/ Assigned frequency (MHz) and	EXCLUDE analogue assign	nent 1A/ Assigned frequency (MHz) and	EXCLUDE analogue assign	nent 1A/ Assigned frequency (MHz) and
Date of notification Day Month Year Administration Administration	(For BR use only)	Identifying parameters of the analogue assignment Fragment ST61 Plan GE89 Plan MIFR (CR/217 List only) CC List (TP2 Notices)	(For BR use only)	Identifying parameters of the analogue assignment Fragment ST61 Plan GE89 Plan MIFR (CR/217 List only) CC List (TP2 Notices)	(For BR use only)	Identifying parameters of the analogue assignment Fragment ST61 Plan 1A GE89 Plan MIFR (CR/217 List only) STCC List (TP2 Notices)	(For BR use only)	Identifying parameters of the analogue assignment Fragment ST61 Plan GE89 Plan HR (CR/217 List only) MIFR (CR/217 List only) CC List (TP2 Notices)	(For BR use only)	Identifying parameters of the analogue assignment Fragment ST61 Plan GE89 Plan MIFR (CR/217 List only) RCC List (TP2 Notices)

Electronic Notice Format for Notice Type BC6

The general structure of the Electronic Notice file is the same as described in earlier Circular Letters (such as CR/118 (Annex 3) and CR/120 (Annex 2), both dated 31 March 1999). That is, for a file with several notices:

<HEAD> key1=string key2=string </HEAD> <NOTICE> key1=string key2=string </NOTICE> <NOTICE> key1=string key2=string </NOTICE> <NOTICE> key1=string key2=string </NOTICE> <TAIL> key1=string </TAIL>

BC6 Electronic Notices can be mixed in the same Electronic Notice file with other Electronic Notices (T01, T02, T11, T12, etc.). It is *not* necessary to have a separate file limited to BC6 Electronic Notices.

The contents of the **HEAD** and **TAIL** sections are fully described in paragraphs 3.1 and 3.2, respectively, of Annex 2 of CR/120.

The section named **NOTICE** for BC6 Electronic Notices may contain the following keys:

t notice type The type of notice. In this case, the Notice Type is **BC6**.

t d adm ntc The date that the administration gives to this notice. This may be

different from $\underline{t}_{\underline{d}}$ sent in the **HEAD** section. This field is optional. If the date is supplied, it must follow the format for dates described in

paragraph 2 of Annex 2 of CR/120.

t fragment Fragment of the existing or planned analogue assignment or successfully

coordinated modification concerned. Possible values are ST61, GE89, NTFD_RR (Master Register – MIFR – only for cases listed in CR/217) or PLN_EXT (Territories not covered by the ST61 and GE89 Agreements).

t trg adm ref id The Administration's Unique Identifier of the existing or planned

analogue assignment or successfully coordinated modification (only in the case where the Administration's Unique Identifier has been previously recorded) to be excluded from/reinstated to the Reference Situation.

t trg freq assgn The Assigned Frequency (MHz) of the existing or planned analogue

assignment or successfully coordinated modification to be excluded

from/reinstated to the Reference Situation.

t_trg_long The longitude of the antenna site of the existing or planned analogue

assignment or successfully coordinated modification to be excluded from/reinstated to the Reference Situation. The format for the longitude is

described in paragraph 2 of Annex 2 of CR/120.

t_trg_lat The latitude of the antenna site of the existing or planned analogue

assignment or successfully coordinated modification to be excluded from/reinstated to the Reference Situation. The format for the latitude is

described in paragraph 2 of Annex 2 of CR/120.

t rrc06 ref sit intent This is equal to EXCLUDE if the existing or planned analogue

assignment or successfully coordinated modification is to be excluded from the Reference Situation. This is equal to **INCLUDE** if the existing and proposed assignment or successfully coordinated modification,

previously excluded, is to be reinstated to the Reference Situation.

t remarks Any comment designed to assist the Bureau in processing the notice. This

field is optional. There is no limit on the number of characters per line nor is there a limit on the number of **t_remarks** keys which may be

included in a given **NOTICE**.

For the BC6 Notices, there are two alternative methods of uniquely identifying the existing and planned assignment to be excluded from/reinstated to the Reference Situation:

- Supply the Administration's Unique Identifier (t_trg_adm_ref_id) and the fragment (t_fragment) of the existing or planned analogue assignment or successfully coordinated modification to be excluded from/reinstated to the Reference Situation. If the Administration's Unique Identifier is used, this must be the value that corresponds to the target with a Fragment of NTFD RR, ST61, GE89 or PLN EXT (RCC List).
- Supply the identifying technical parameters that uniquely identify the existing or planned analogue assignment or successfully coordinated modification to be excluded

from/reinstated to the Reference Situation. For all BC6 Electronic Notices where this option is used, the following keys must be supplied:

t_fragment t_trg_freq_assgn t_trg_long t_trg_lat

Detailed data information and validation rules for notice form BC6

The purpose of this Annex is to describe those data items and validation rules, which are specific to the BC6 notice form.

The rules for notification of some general data items such as Notifying Administration, Date of Notice and Remarks have been already covered in Addendum 1 to Circular Letter CR/118 dated 23 April 1999 and are not reproduced in this Annex.

3.1 Notice type (only for electronic notification)

t notice type

This information is mandatory for electronic notices. The only allowed value is BC6. The field is provided in the **NOTICE** section.

3.2 Fragment indicator

t fragment

On the paper notices only one box shall be checked.

Box **ST61** shall be checked if the analogue frequency assignment is contained in the ST61 Plan (including the successfully coordinated modifications by 28 February 2005).

Box **GE89** shall be checked if the analogue frequency assignment is contained in the GE89 Plan (including the successfully coordinated modifications by 28 February 2005).

Box MIFR (CR/217 List only) shall be checked if the frequency assignment is included in the list of the assignments published as part of the CR/217 and is not covered by an equivalent assignment in the ST61 or GE89 Plan.

Box **RRC** List shall be checked if the frequency assignment is included in the list of assignments published with CR/209 (including the successfully coordinated modifications to this List by 28 February 2005).

On electronic notices, **t** fragment may have the following values:

ST61 if the analogue frequency assignment is contained in the ST61 Plan (including

the successfully coordinated modifications by 28 February 2005).

GE89 if the analogue frequency assignment is contained in the GE89 Plan

(including the successfully coordinated modifications by 28 February 2005).

NTFD RR if the frequency assignment is included in the list of assignments published as

part of the CR/217.

PLN EXT if the frequency assignment is included in the list of assignments published

with CR/209 (including the successfully coordinated modifications to this

List by 28 February 2005).

3.3 EXCLUDE/REINSTATE indicator

t rrc06 ref sit intent

On the paper notices only one box shall be checked.

Box **EXCLUDE** shall be checked if the analogue assignment as defined in 1.7.1 of Report of RRC-04 is to be excluded from the reference situation for RRC-06.

Box **REINSTATE** shall be checked if the analogue assignment as defined in 1.7.1 of Report of RRC-04 is to be <u>re-instated</u> into the reference situation for RRC-06. Please note that the action **REINSTATE** is used only when the responsible administration has already requested to exclude the assignment from the reference situation by submitting a BC6 notice form but later changed its intention.

On electronic notices, t_rrc06_ref_sit_intent may have the following values:

EXCLUDE if the analogue assignment is to be excluded from the reference situation for

RRC-06.

INCLUDE if the analogue assignment is to be re-instated in the reference situation for

RRC-06.

The data item is optional. If no information is submitted, option **EXCLUDE** is used as default value.

For electronic notices the field is provided in the **NOTICE** section.

3.4 Previously recorded administration unique identifier

t trg adm ref id

This field may be used to identify the existing and planned analogue assignment to be excluded from / re-instated into the reference situation for RRC-06.

For electronic notices the field is provided in the **NOTICE** section.

If the administration unique identifier of the target is not submitted, then the following parameters

of the existing and planned analogue assignment shall be provided.

Paper notice	Electronic notice	Description	Notice types of the previously recorded assignment
O-1a: Assigned frequency	t_trg_freq_assign	Assigned frequency of the target	T02, TP2
O-4c: Coordinates	t_trg_long; t_trg_lat	Coordinates of the target	T02, TP2

3.5 Item O-1a - Assigned frequency of the target

t trg freq assgn

The assigned frequency of the target is mandatory, if the administration unique identifier of the target is not provided.

For electronic notices the field is provided in the **NOTICE** section.

3.6 Geographical coordinates of the target

Item O-4c - Geographical coordinates of the transmitting antenna site of the target

t trg long and t trg lat

The geographical coordinates of the transmitting antenna site of the target are mandatory if the administration unique identifier of the target is not provided.

Sample BC6 electronic notice file

A sample file containing one or more BC6 electronic notices might have this structure:

```
<HEAD>
t char set = ISO-8859-1
t d sent = 2004-12-15
t adm = ADM
(keys and data for heading)
comment = This information is not captured
comment = The file is theoretical.
comment = There is no ADM with possibility to notify all four fragments given below
</HEAD>
======Notice 1
<NOTICE>
t notice type = BC6
t d adm ntc = 2004-12-01
(keys to identify analogue assignment and intent)
t_fragment = ST61
t trg adm ref id = 123456-F-1
t rrc06 ref sit intent = EXCLUDE
t remarks = remarks to be noted
</NOTICE>
======Notice 2
<NOTICE>
t notice type = BC6
t d adm ntc = 2004-12-04
(keys to identify analogue assignment and intent)
t_fragment = GE89
t trg freq assgn = 498.00
t \text{ trg long} = -0154046
t trg lat = +280315
(longitude W and latitude S are negative values)
t rrc06 ref sit intent = EXCLUDE
t remarks = remarks to be noted
t remarks = there is no limit for the number of remarks
t remarks = there is no limit for the number of remarks
t remarks = there is no limit for the number of remarks
</NOTICE>
```

======Notice 3

```
<NOTICE>
t notice type = BC6
t d adm ntc = 2004-12-05
(keys to identify analogue assignment and intent)
t fragment = NTFD RR
(there is no t trg adm ref id recorded in MIFR prior to 31-12-1989)
t trg freq assgn = 474.00
t trg long = +0015455
t \text{ trg } lat = +415253
t rrc06 ref sit intent = EXCLUDE
</NOTICE>
======Notice 4
<NOTICE>
t notice type = BC6
t d adm ntc = 2004-12-01
(keys to identify analogue assignment and intent)
t_fragment = PLN_EXT
t trg adm ref id = 654321-F-1
t rrc06 ref sit intent = EXCLUDE
t remarks = for extended planning area
</NOTICE>
<TAIL>
t num notices = 4
</TAIL>
```