



INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION

# **ITU-T**

# **H.323-System Recommendations Implementors' Guide**

TELECOMMUNICATION  
STANDARDIZATION SECTOR  
OF ITU

(02/15/2002)

SERIES H: AUDIOVISUAL AND MULTIMEDIA SYSTEMS

---

## **H.323-System Recommendations Implementors' Guide**

---

# Implementers Guide for H.323, H.225.0, H.245, H.246, H.283, H.235, H.450 Series, H.460 Series, and H.341 Recommendations

---

## **Contact Information**

ITU-T Study Group 16 / Question 2 Rapporteur	Paul E. Jones Cisco Systems, Inc. 7025 Kit Creek Road Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 USA	Tel: +1 919 392 6948 Fax: +1 919 392 2177 E-mail: <a href="mailto:paulej@packetizer.com">paulej@packetizer.com</a>
ITU-T Study Group 16 / Question 3 Rapporteur	Christian Groves Ericsson Australia Pty. Ltd. 37/360 Elizabeth Street, Melbourne Victoria, 3000 Australia	Tel: +61 3 9301 6116 Fax: +61 3 9301 1499 E-mail: <a href="mailto:Christian.Groves@ericsson.com">Christian.Groves@ericsson.com</a>
ITU-T Recommendation H.450.8 and H.341 Editor		
ITU-T Recommendation H.225.0 Editor	Vivek Bhargava Cisco Systems, Inc. 7025 Kit Creek Road Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 USA	Tel: +1 919 392 6823 Fax: +1 919 392 2177 E-mail: <a href="mailto:vbhargava@cisco.com">vbhargava@cisco.com</a>
ITU-T Recommendation H.323 and Implementer's Guide Editor		
ITU-T Recommendation H.225.0 Annex G Editor	Miner Gleason Cisco Systems, Inc. 7025 Kit Creek Road Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 USA	Tel: +1 919 392 8752 Fax: +1 919 392 7065 E-mail: <a href="mailto:mgleason@cisco.com">mgleason@cisco.com</a>
ITU-T Recommendation H.235 Editor	Martin Euchner Siemens AG ICN M NT 18 Hofmannstr. 51 D-81359 Muenchen Germany	Tel: +49 89 722 5 57 90 Fax: +49 89 722 4 68 41 E-mail: <a href="mailto:martin.euchner@icn.siemens.de">martin.euchner@icn.siemens.de</a>
ITU-T Recommendation H.245 Editor	Mike Nilsson BT Labs Ipswich United Kingdom	Tel: +44 1 473 645413 Fax: +44 1 473 643791 E-mail: <a href="mailto:mike.nilsson@bt-sys.bt.co.uk">mike.nilsson@bt-sys.bt.co.uk</a>
ITU-T Recommendation H.450.1, H.450.2, H.450.3, H.450.4, H.450.5, H.450.6, H.450.9, H.450.10, H.450.11, and H.450.12 Editor	Ernst Horvath Siemens Austria Gudrunstrasse 11 A-1101 Vienna, Austria	Tel: +43 5 1707 45897 Fax: +43 5 1707 56992 E-mail: <a href="mailto:ernst.horvath@siemens.at">ernst.horvath@siemens.at</a>
ITU-T Recommendation H.450.7 Editor	Dave Walker SS8 Networks 135 Michael Cowpland Drive, Suite 200 Kanata, Ontario, K2M 2E9 Canada	Tel: +1 613 592 8450 Fax: +1 613 592 9634 E-mail: <a href="mailto:dwalker@ss8networks.com">dwalker@ss8networks.com</a>

## Table of Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>SCOPE .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>DEFECT RESOLUTION PROCEDURE.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>NOMENCLATURE.....</b>	<b>2</b>
6.1	TECHNICAL AND EDITORIAL CORRECTIONS TO ITU-T RECOMMENDATION H.323 (2000).....	3
6.1.1	<i>H.323 Annex L Section 3.4 .....</i>	3
6.1.2	<i>Calling party address information Correction .....</i>	3
6.1.3	<i>Status/Status Inquiry messages without explicit Call Identifiers .....</i>	4
6.1.4	<i>Corrections to the H.323 URL Syntax .....</i>	5
6.1.5	<i>H.323v4 Editorial Correction.....</i>	5
6.1.6	<i>Pairing of RTP streams for a common bi-directional RTCP channel.....</i>	6
6.1.7	<i>H.323 Annex M.1 Section 3 .....</i>	7
6.2	TECHNICAL AND EDITORIAL CORRECTIONS TO ITU-T RECOMMENDATION H.225.0 (2000).....	8
6.2.1	<i>Registration Request (RRQ) Corrections .....</i>	8
6.2.2	<i>Section 7.6 H.225.0 Common Message Elements Correction .....</i>	8
6.2.3	<i>Annex H H.225.0 Message Syntax (ASN.1) Corrections .....</i>	9
6.2.4	<i>Clarification for the usage of rasAddress.....</i>	11
6.2.5	<i>ReleaseCompleteReason to Cause IE mapping .....</i>	11
6.2.6	<i>Clarification for sending PNP numbers in Information messages .....</i>	12
6.2.7	<i>Clarification for using Bearer Capability IE in Connect and Progress messages.....</i>	13
6.2.8	<i>Multiple IEs of the same type in an H.225.0 message.....</i>	14
6.2.9	<i>Clarification on GK response to additive registration requests .....</i>	15
6.2.10	<i>Progress Indicator in Setup Message .....</i>	16
6.3	TECHNICAL AND EDITORIAL CORRECTIONS TO ITU-T RECOMMENDATION H.245 (11/2000).....	17
6.3.1	<i>Syntax Errors in H.245v7 .....</i>	17
6.3.2	<i>Clarification of collapsing/nonCollapsing generic parameters ambiguity .....</i>	17
6.3.3	<i>Annex B Section 3.1 Open Logical Channel.....</i>	22
6.4	TECHNICAL AND EDITORIAL CORRECTIONS TO ITU-T RECOMMENDATION H.246 (1998).....	22
6.4.1	<i>Annex A Corrections.....</i>	22
6.4.2	<i>Reference to ATM Forum Document .....</i>	24
6.5	TECHNICAL AND EDITORIAL CORRECTIONS TO ITU-T RECOMMENDATION H.235 (2000).....	24
6.5.1	<i>Connection Establishment Procedures .....</i>	24
6.5.2	<i>Key Management .....</i>	25
6.5.3	<i>Key Update and Synchronization .....</i>	25
6.5.4	<i>RTP/RTCP issues and Voice Encryption Security Profile.....</i>	25
6.5.5	<i>Usage Illustration for Procedure I, Key update, and synchronization.....</i>	26
6.5.6	<i>Specific Conventions, Key management, and Call signaling .....</i>	27
6.5.7	<i>Ciphertext padding methods.....</i>	29
6.5.8	<i>Normative References and Bibliography.....</i>	29
6.5.9	<i>Back-end Service Support.....</i>	31
6.5.10	<i>Key Management .....</i>	31
6.6	TECHNICAL AND EDITORIAL CORRECTIONS TO ITU-T RECOMMENDATION H.450 SERIES .....	32
6.6.1	<i>Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.1 (1998).....</i>	32
6.6.2	<i>Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.2 (1998).....</i>	34
6.6.3	<i>Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.3 (1998).....</i>	37
6.6.4	<i>Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.4 (1999).....</i>	38
6.6.5	<i>Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.5 (1999).....</i>	39
6.6.6	<i>Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.6 (1999).....</i>	40
6.6.7	<i>Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.7 (1999).....</i>	40
6.6.8	<i>Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.8 (2000).....</i>	40
6.6.9	<i>Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.9 (2000).....</i>	40
6.6.10	<i>Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.10 (2000).....</i>	40
6.6.11	<i>Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.11 (2000).....</i>	41
6.6.12	<i>Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.12 (2001).....</i>	41
6.7	TECHNICAL AND EDITORIAL CORRECTIONS TO ITU-T RECOMMENDATION H.341 (1999).....	43

6.8	TECHNICAL AND EDITORIAL CORRECTIONS TO ANNEX G/H.225.0 (1999)	45
6.8.1	<i>Multiple Usage Indications for the Same Call</i>	45
6.8.2	<i>Identifying the Terminated Service Relationship</i>	47
6.8.3	<i>Need to Provide a replyAddress when using Bi-directional Connections</i>	48
6.8.4	<i>Sending UsageIndications without a Service Relationship</i>	49
6.8.5	<i>Changes to the ASN.1 in Annex G/H.225.0</i>	49
6.8.6	<i>Clarification Relating to Service Relationships</i>	51
6.8.7	<i>Corrections for the Usage Indication Rejection</i>	51
6.8.8	<i>Corrections to tables and Diagrams</i>	52
6.8.9	<i>Receiving Descriptors</i>	55
6.8.10	<i>Corrections Related to UTC</i>	55
6.8.11	<i>Editorial Corrections</i>	56
6.8.12	<i>Directing UsageIndications to Specific Border Elements</i>	57
6.8.13	<i>Rejecting Service Requests Due to Unknown ServiceID Value</i>	58
6.9	TECHNICAL AND EDITORIAL CORRECTIONS TO ANNEX C/H.246 (2000)	59
6.9.1	<i>Additional Message Mappings</i>	59
6.9.2	<i>Changes for Call Diversion</i>	59
6.9.3	<i>Redirecting Number Replaced with Call Diversion and Redirection Number</i>	60
6.9.4	<i>Call Diversion with and without H.450.3</i>	61
6.9.5	<i>New Release Complete / Cause Mappings</i>	63
6.9.6	<i>Single 64kbps Bearer FFS in Table 3</i>	64
6.9.7	<i>Handling the Suspend Message</i>	65
6.9.8	<i>Handling the Resume Message</i>	65
6.9.9	<i>Editorial Corrections to Table 28</i>	65
6.9.10	<i>Technical Correction Relating to Sending ACM</i>	66
6.9.11	<i>Clarification of Cut-Through Behavior</i>	66
6.9.12	<i>Removal of Tones and Announcements from Bearer Capability</i>	68
6.9.13	<i>Sending of Progress Indicator</i>	70
6.9.14	<i>Editorial Corrections</i>	72
6.10	TECHNICAL AND EDITORIAL CORRECTIONS TO ANNEX E/H.323	74
6.10.1	<i>Editorial Corrections to Improve Readability</i>	74
6.11	TECHNICAL AND EDITORIAL CORRECTIONS TO ITU-T RECOMMENDATION H.283 (1999)	76
<b>7</b>	<b>IMPLEMENTATION CLARIFICATIONS</b>	<b>76</b>
7.1	TOKEN USAGE IN H.323 SYSTEMS	76
7.2	H.235 RANDOM VALUE USAGE IN H.323 SYSTEMS	77
7.3	GATEWAY RESOURCE AVAILABILITY MESSAGES	77
7.4	OPENLOGICALCHANNEL IN FASTSTART	77
7.5	CLARIFICATION IN Q.931 (1993)	77
7.6	GRACEFUL CLOSURE OF TCP CONNECTIONS	77
7.7	RACE CONDITION ON SIMULTANEOUS CLOSE OF CHANNELS	77
7.8	ACCEPTANCE OF FAST CONNECT	78
7.9	SEMANTIC DIFFERENCES BETWEEN LIGHTWEIGHT RRQs AND IRQ/IRR MESSAGES	78
7.10	SPECIFYING THE PAYLOAD FORMAT FOR A CHANNEL	78
7.11	VERSION DEPENDENCIES IN ANNEXES	78
7.12	ROUTING THROUGH SIGNALING ENTITIES AND DETECTING LOOPS	79
7.13	PACKETIZATION FOR G.729, G.729A, G.711, AND G.723.1	80
<b>8</b>	<b>ALLOCATED OBJECT IDENTIFIERS AND PORT NUMBERS</b>	<b>80</b>
8.1	ALLOCATED OBJECT IDENTIFIERS	80
8.2	ALLOCATED PORT NUMBERS	81
<b>9</b>	<b>USE OF E.164 AND ISO/IEC 11571 NUMBERING PLANS</b>	<b>82</b>
9.1	E.164 NUMBERING PLAN	82
9.2	PRIVATE NETWORK NUMBER	84
<b>10</b>	<b>ASN.1 USAGE, GUIDELINES, AND CONVENTIONS</b>	<b>85</b>
10.1	NULL, BOOLEAN, AND NULL/BOOLEAN OPTIONAL	85
10.2	ASN.1 USAGE IN H.450-SERIES RECOMMENDATIONS	86
10.2.1	<i>ASN.1 version and encoding rules</i>	86
10.2.2	<i>Tagging</i>	86
10.2.3	<i>Basic ASN.1 Types</i>	86

10.2.4	<i>Value sets, subtyping and constraints used in H.450.x:</i>	87
10.2.5	<i>Object classes, parameterization, general constraints, and ROS.</i>	87
10.2.6	<i>Extensibility and non-standard information.</i>	87
10.2.7	<i>List of Operation and Error Codes.</i>	88

## 1 Introduction

This document is a compilation of reported defects identified with the 1999 decided edition of ITU-T Recommendation H.323 and related H.323-series Recommendations. It must be read in conjunction with the Recommendations to serve as an additional authoritative source of information for implementers. The changes, clarifications and corrections defined herein are expected to be included in future versions of affected H.323-series Recommendations.

## 2 Scope

This guide resolves defects in the following categories:

- editorial errors
- technical errors, such as omissions and inconsistencies
- ambiguities

In addition, the Implementers Guide may include explanatory text found necessary as a result of interpretation difficulties apparent from the defect reports.

This Guide will not address proposed additions, deletions, or modifications to the Recommendations that are not strictly related to implementation difficulties in the above categories. Proposals for new features should be made in through contributions to the ITU-T.

## 3 Defect Resolution Procedure

Upon discovering technical defects with any components of the H.323 Recommendations series, please provide a written description directly to the editors of the affected Recommendations with a copy to the Q13/16 or Q14/16 Rapporteur. The template for a defect report is located at the end of the Guide. Contact information for these parties is included at the front of the document. Return contact information should also be supplied so a dialogue can be established to resolve the matter and an appropriate reply to the defect report can be conveyed. This defect resolution process is open to anyone interested in H.323 series Recommendations. Formal membership in the ITU is not required to participate in this process.

## 4 References

This document refers to the following H.323 series Recommendations:

- ITU-T Recommendation H.323 (2000), *Packet-Based multimedia communications systems*
- ITU-T Recommendation H.225.0 (2000), *Call signaling protocols and media stream packetization for packet based multimedia communications Systems*
- ITU-T Recommendation H.225.0 – Annex G (1999), *Communication Between Administrative Domains*
- ITU-T Recommendation H.245 (2000), *Control protocol for multimedia communication*
- ITU-T Recommendation H.246 (1998), *Interworking of H-Series multimedia terminals with H-Series multimedia terminals and voice/voiceband terminals on GSTN and ISDN*
- ITU-T Recommendation H.246 – Annex C (2000), *ISDN User Part Function - H.225.0 Interworking*

- ITU-T Recommendation H.235 (2000), Security and encryption for H Series (H.323 and other H.245 based) multimedia terminals
- ITU-T Recommendation H.450.1 (1998), *Generic functional protocol for the support of supplementary services in H.323*
- ITU-T Recommendation H.450.2 (1998), *Call transfer supplementary service for H.323*
- ITU-T Recommendation H.450.3 (1998), *Call diversion supplementary service for H.323*
- ITU-T Recommendation H.450.4 (1999), *Call Hold Supplementary Service for H.323*
- ITU-T Recommendation H.450.5 (1999), *Call Park and Call Pickup Supplementary Services for H.323*
- ITU-T Recommendation H.450.6 (1999), *Call Waiting Supplementary Service for H.323*
- ITU-T Recommendation H.450.7 (1999), *Message Waiting Indication Supplementary Service for H.323*
- ITU-T Recommendation H.450.8 (2000), *Name Identification Supplementary Service For H.323*
- ISO/IEC 11571 (1998), Information technology – Telecommunications and information exchange between systems – Private Integrated Services Networks – Addressing
- ITU-T Recommendation Q.931 (1998), *ISDN user-network interface layer 3 specification for basic call control*
- ITU-T Recommendation H.283, *Remote device control logical channel transport*

## 5 Nomenclature

In addition to traditional revision marks, the following marks and symbols are used to indicate to the reader how changes to the text of a Recommendation should be applied:

Symbol	Description
<u><i>[Begin Correction]</i></u>	Identifies the start of revision marked text based on extractions from the published Recommendations affected by the correction being described.
<u><i>[End Correction]</i></u>	Identifies the end of revision marked text based on extractions from the published Recommendations affected by the correction being described.
...	Indicates that the portion of the Recommendation between the text appearing before and after this symbol has remained unaffected by the correction being described and has been omitted for brevity.
--- <i>SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS</i> --- {instructions}	Indicates a set of special editing instructions to be followed.

## 6.1 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.323 (2000)

### 6.1.1 H.323 Annex L Section 3.4

<b>Description:</b>	Modification to section 3.4 of H.323 Annex L to use PER/Text encoding scheme for h248Message.
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

#### 3.4 Encoding

...

H.248 signalling may be either binary (H.248 Annex A syntax, but using PER for encoding) or text (H.248 Annex B) based. The default is binary encoding. The presence of the isText field shall be used to indicate that H.248 Annex B encoding has been used for the H.248 descriptors in the StimulusControl structure.

...

*[End Correction]*

### 6.1.2 Calling party address information Correction

<b>Description:</b>	The H.323v4 specification is not clear on how to transport calling party address when the address is of the form of a number belonging to a private numbering plan. The text below clarifies the issue. This clarification applies to H.323v2 and H.323v3 also.
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

#### 7.8.2.1 Calling party address information

Calling party address information appears in the Setup message.

When address information represents a telephone number, the relevant information may appear in the Calling Party Number IE. This IE contains the caller's number, information about the number, and presentation and screening indicators found in octet 3a. This is the recommended mode of operation for the case where a PSTN Gateway sends a Setup message on the packet network.

Alternatively, calling party information may appear in the **sourceAddress**, **presentationIndicator**, and **screeningIndicator** fields of the Setup message. This mode of operation is required when the **sourceAddress** is not in any form of telephone number (i.e., **sourceAddress** is not type a **dialedDigits** or **partyNumber**). In accordance with 7.2.2.6 of H.225.0, it is also required when the address information is in the form of a telephone number belonging to a Private Numbering Plan.

*[End Correction]*



[Begin Correction]

#### 7.8.3.1 Gateway as originating endpoint

In the case of a Setup message received by a Gateway from the ISDN, the caller's number and presentation information reside in the Calling Party Number IE. The Gateway shall send a Setup message on the packet network with the Calling Party Number IE containing the same information as was found in the Setup message from the SCN with the following exception. If the Numbering Plan Identification field contains value Private Numbering Plan, the digits shall be omitted from the Calling Party Number IE in accordance with 7.2.2.6 of H.225.0. In this exception case the Gateway shall place the received caller identification information in the sourceAddress, presentationIndicator and screeningIndicator fields in the Setup message. If the Gateway has the knowledge to send both a PNP Number and an E.164 Number, the Calling Party Number IE shall convey the E.164 Number (and not the "empty" PNP number).

[End Correction]

[Begin Correction]

#### 7.8.4.1 Gateway as terminating endpoint

A PSTN Gateway in receipt of a Setup message from the packet network shall copy the information found in the Calling Party Number IE from the Setup message to the signalling format supported in the PSTN. For example, this information would be copied to the Calling Party Number IE of the Q.931 Setup message for ISDN. If the Calling Party Number IE is not present in the Setup message, or if the Numbering Plan Identification field contains the value Private Numbering Plan, the Gateway shall form the Calling Party Number IE using the **sourceAddress** (assuming it is one of the telephone number alias types), **presentationIndicator**, and **screeningIndicator** from the Setup message.

[End Correction]

### 6.1.3 Status/Status Inquiry messages without explicit Call Identifiers

<b>Description:</b>	Clarification is needed with respect to handling Status and Status Inquiry messages that do not have an explicit call identifier or which are not related to a specific call.
---------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[Begin Correction]

## 7.3 Call signalling channel

...

An entity that is capable of processing multiple concurrent calls on the Call Signalling Channel may indicate that it will support no additional calls on the signalling channel by sending Release Complete with **newConnectionNeeded** as the reason. An entity that receives Release Complete with **newConnectionNeeded** can attempt to connect a new Call Signalling Channel.

An entity may transmit a Status Inquiry message that is not related to a specific call. In such cases, the entity shall set the **callIdentifier** field to all zeros. An entity shall not omit the **Status-UUIE** in the Status message or the **StatusInquiry-UUIE** in the Status Inquiry message when transmitting those messages, but entities shall be prepared to receive messages not containing those message elements in order to maintain backward compatibility.

*[End Correction]*

#### 6.1.4 Corrections to the H.323 URL Syntax

<b>Description:</b>	<p>The syntax currently used in H.323 version 4 for the H.323 URL contains several syntax errors. In addition, as a result of a number of editorial changes, two productions defined in the ABNF are no longer used and should be removed.</p> <p>The syntax errors are in the productions “user” and “url-parameter”. The intent was to allow one or more characters to be used, but the syntax currently restricts those to a single character. In addition, the syntax for value ranges is incorrect. The productions that are no longer used are “unreserved” and “mark”.</p>
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

```

H323-URL      = "h323:" address [ url-parameters ]
address       = user / "@" hostport / user "@" hostport
user          = 1*(%x21-#24 / %x26-#3F / %x41-7F / escaped)
               ; The symbols "%", "@", and symbols with a
               ; character value below 0x21 may be represented
               ; as escaped sequences.

hostport      = host [ ":" port]
host          = hostname / IPv4address / IPv6reference
hostname      = *( domainlabel "." ) toplabel [ "." ]
domainlabel   = alphanum / alphanum *( alphanum / "-" ) alphanum
toplabel      = ALPHA / ALPHA *( alphanum / "-" ) alphanum
IPv4address   = 1*3DIGIT "." 1*3DIGIT "." 1*3DIGIT "." 1*3DIGIT
IPv6reference = "[" IPv6address "]"
IPv6address   = hexpart [ ":" IPv4address ]
hexpart       = hexseq / hexseq ":" [ hexseq ] / ":" [ hexseq ]
hexseq        = hex4 *( ":" hex4 )
hex4          = 1*4HEXDIG
port          = 1*DIGIT
url-parameters = *( ";" url-parameter )
url-parameter = 1*(%x21-#24 / %x26-#3A / %x3C-#7F / escaped)
               ; Specific parameter definitions are for further
               ; study. The symbols "%", ";", and symbols with
               ; a character value below 0x21 may be
               ; represented as escaped sequences.

unreserved = alphanum / mark
alphanum     = ALPHA / DIGIT
mark        = "(" / ")" / "_" / "." / ":" / ";" / "<" / ">" / "=" / "?" /
               "/" / "[" / "]" / "@" / "!" / " " / "&" / "&" / "&" / "&" /
escaped      = "%" HEXDIG HEXDIG


```

*[End Correction]*

#### 6.1.5 H.323v4 Editorial Correction

<b>Description:</b>	<p>The SETUP message in Figure 41 includes the term “earlyH245Control”. The term “earlyH245Control” would be incorrect and should be replaced with the correct term “parallelH245Control”.</p>
---------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[Begin Correction]



[End Correction]

#### 6.1.6 Pairing of RTP streams for a common bi-directional RTCP channel

<b>Description:</b>	The existing text in the document is ambiguous in describing how a slave endpoint can open an RTP channel other than the defined values for primary audio, video, and data. The following text clarifies this procedure.
---------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[Begin Correction]

#### 6.2.8.2 Logical Channel Signalling

...

If a corresponding reverse channel is opened for a given existing RTP session (identified by the RTP **sessionID**), the **mediaControlChannel** Transport Addresses exchanged by the **openLogicalChannel** process shall be identical to those used for the forward channel. **sessionID** values of 1, 2 and 3 are pre-assigned for primary audio, video and data sessions, respectively. Even the slave endpoint can open logical channels for these primary sessions without negotiating the **sessionID** value with the master endpoint. The master endpoint can open any additional session with a particular **sessionID** value greater than 3. The slave endpoint can open a corresponding session with the given **sessionID**. Otherwise, the slave endpoint can open additional sessions with **sessionID=0** in the **openLogicalChannel** message, but it shall acquire the actual **sessionID** value from the master endpoint's **openLogicalChannelAck** message. Should a collision occur where both ends attempt to establish conflicting RTP sessions at the same time, the master endpoint shall reject the conflicting attempt as described in Recommendation H.245. The rejected **openLogicalChannel** attempt may then be retried at a later time.

### 6.1.7 H.323 Annex M.1 Section 3

<b>Description:</b>	<p>If a tunneled QSIG PROGRESS message contains an indication of the presence of tones or announcements it should be possible to convey these tones/announcements over a logical channel from called to calling side. This requirement is signalled by including a Progress Indicator IE in a backward message (e.g. ALERTING), or in a PROGRESS message if no other message is appropriate.</p> <p>H.323 Annex M.1 recommends tunneling a QSIG PROGRESS message in an H.225.0 FACILITY message, but the FACILITY message cannot contain a Progress Indicator. Therefore the QSIG PROGRESS message should be tunneled in an H.225.0 PROGRESS message in this case.</p>
---------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

## 3. Endpoint Procedures

...

Also since the NOTIFY and PROGRESS messages are optional, they might not be delivered end-to-end and should be tunneled in a FACILITY message unless tones or announcements are provided by the called side and no Progress indicator has been sent to the calling side so far. In this case a PROGRESS message (with Progress descriptor #1 or #8) should be used to tunnel a QSIG PROGRESS message.

...

**Table 1/Annex M.1 – Mapping between QSIG messages and H.225.0 messages**

QSIG message	H.225.0 message
SETUP	SETUP
ALERTING	ALERTING
CONNECT	CONNECT
RELEASE COMPLETE	RELEASE COMPLETE
CALL PROCEEDING	FACILITY
FACILITY	
PROGRESS ( <u>Note</u> )	
NOTIFY	
DISCONNECT	
RELEASE	
all other messages...	

Note: If tones or announcements are provided by the called side this message should be tunneled in a PROGRESS message rather than in FACILITY.

...

*[End Correction]*

## 6.2 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.225.0 (2000)

### 6.2.1 Registration Request (RRQ) Corrections

<b>Description:</b>	TerminalAlias field in the RRQ message is inaccurately described in the document in case when this field is null. The following text provides the correction.
---------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

- 1) Editorial - Clause 7.9.1, description of terminalAlias)  
Change dialedDigits to terminalAlias as below.

**terminalAlias** – This optional value is a list of alias addresses, by which other terminals may identify this terminal. This field may be used in addition to or as an alternative to the **terminalAliasPattern** and **supportedPrefixes** fields. If the **terminalAlias** is null, a ~~dialedDigits~~ **terminalAlias** address may be assigned by the gatekeeper, and included in the RCF. If an email-ID is available for the endpoint, it should be registered. Note that multiple alias addresses may refer to the same transport addresses. All of the endpoint's aliases that it desires to register shall be included in this list unless the **additiveRegistration** option is specified in which case the endpoint aliases in an RRQ shall be added to the list of aliases currently registered for the endpoint.

*[End Correction]*

### 6.2.2 Section 7.6 H.225.0 Common Message Elements Correction

<b>Description:</b>	Modification to the text in Section 7.6 to define <b>H248SignalsDescriptor</b> and <b>H248PackagesDescriptor</b> as Octet Strings that represent ASN.1 PER encoded H.248 <b>SignalsDescriptor</b> and H.248 <b>PackagesDescriptor</b> respectively.
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

...

The **H248PackagesDescriptor** structure is a ~~PackagesDescriptor as described in Recommendation H.248, in binary format~~ an octet string, which will contain ASN.1 PER encoded H.248 **PackagesDescriptor**.

The **H248SignalsDescriptor** structure is a ~~SignalsDescriptor~~ as described in Recommendation H.248, in binary format, an octet string, which will contain ASN.1 PER encoded H.248 **SignalsDescriptor**.

...

*[End Correction]*

### 6.2.3 Annex H H.225.0 Message Syntax (ASN.1) Corrections

<b>Description:</b>	Changes in H.225 Version 4 ASN.1 syntax. Changes include removing dependencies on H.248 syntax, the addition of an invalid Call Identifier Release Complete reason, and deprecation of additionalSourceAddress in Setup-UUIE.
---------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

```

IMPORTS
    SIGNED{ },
    ENCRYPTED{ },
    HASHED{ },
    ChallengeString,
    TimeStamp,
    RandomVal,
    Password,
    EncodedPwdCertToken,
    ClearToken,
    CryptoToken,
    AuthenticationMechanism
FROM H235-SECURITY-MESSAGES
    DataProtocolCapability,
    T38FaxProfile
FROM MULTIMEDIA-SYSTEM-CONTROL;
    PackagesDescriptor
    SignalsDescriptor
FROM MEDIA-GATEWAY-CONTROL;

H248PackagesDescriptor ::= PackagesDescriptor
H248SignalsDescriptor ::= SignalsDescriptor
H248PackagesDescriptor ::= OCTET STRING -- This octet string contains ASN.1 PER encoded H.248
                                         --PackagesDescriptor.
H248SignalsDescriptor ::= OCTET STRING -- This octet string contains ASN.1 PER encoded H.248
                                         -- SignalsDescriptor.

...

ReleaseCompleteReason ::= CHOICE
{
    noBandwidth                NULL, -- bandwidth taken away or ARQ denied
    gatekeeperResources        NULL, -- exhausted
    unreachableDestination     NULL, -- no transport path to the destination
    destinationRejection       NULL, -- rejected at destination
    invalidRevision            NULL,
    noPermission               NULL, -- called party's gatekeeper rejects
    unreachableGatekeeper      NULL, -- terminal cannot reach gatekeeper for ARQ
    gatewayResources           NULL,
    badFormatAddress           NULL,
    adaptiveBusy               NULL, -- call is dropping due to LAN crowding
    inConf                     NULL, -- no address in AlternativeAddress
    undefinedReason            NULL,
    ...,
    facilityCallDeflection     NULL, -- call was deflected using a Facility message
    securityDenied             NULL, -- incompatible security settings
    calledPartyNotRegistered   NULL, -- used by gatekeeper when endpoint has
                                -- preGrantedARQ to bypass ARQ/ACF
    callerNotRegistered        NULL, -- used by gatekeeper when endpoint has
                                -- preGrantedARQ to bypass ARQ/ACF
    newConnectionNeeded        NULL, -- indicates that the Setup was not accepted on this
                                -- connection, but that the Setup may be accepted on
                                -- a new connection

```

```

        nonStandardReason          NonStandardParameter,
        replaceWithConferenceInvite ConferenceIdentifier, -- call dropped due to subsequent
                                                    -- invitation to a conference
                                                    -- (see H.323 8.4.3.8)

        genericDataReason          NULL,
        neededFeatureNotSupported  NULL,
        tunnelledSignallingRejected NULL,
        invalidCID                 NULL
    }

    ...

CircuitIdentifier ::= CHOICESEQUENCE
{
    cic          CicInfo OPTIONAL,
    group        GroupID  OPTIONAL,
    ...
}

    ...

LocationRejectReason ::= CHOICE
{
    notRegistered          NULL,
    invalidPermission      NULL, -- exclusion by administrator or feature
    requestDenied          NULL, -- cannot find location
    undefinedReason        NULL,
    ...,
    securityDenial         NULL,
    aliasesInconsistent    NULL, -- multiple aliases in request identify distinct people
    routeCalltoSCN         SEQUENCE OF PartyNumber,
    resourceUnavailable     NULL,
    genericDataReason       NULL,
    neededFeatureNotSupported NULL,
    hopCountExceeded        NULL,
    incompleteAddress       NULL
}

    ...

Setup-UUIE ::= SEQUENCE
{
    protocolIdentifier      ProtocolIdentifier,
    h245Address             TransportAddress OPTIONAL,
    sourceAddress           SEQUENCE OF AliasAddress OPTIONAL,
    sourceInfo              EndpointType,
    destinationAddress      SEQUENCE OF AliasAddress OPTIONAL,
    destCallSignalAddress   TransportAddress OPTIONAL,
    destExtraCallInfo       SEQUENCE OF AliasAddress OPTIONAL, -- Note 1
    destExtraCRV            SEQUENCE OF CallReferenceValue OPTIONAL, -- Note 1
    activeMC                BOOLEAN,
    conferenceID            ConferenceIdentifier,
    conferenceGoal          CHOICE
    {
        create              NULL,
        join                NULL,
        invite              NULL,
        ...,
        capability-negotiation NULL,
        callIndependentSupplementaryService NULL
    },
    callServices            QseriesOptions OPTIONAL,
    callType               CallType,
    ...,
    sourceCallSignalAddress TransportAddress OPTIONAL,
    remoteExtensionAddress  AliasAddress OPTIONAL,
    callIdentifier          CallIdentifier,
    h245SecurityCapability  SEQUENCE OF H245Security OPTIONAL,
    tokens                 SEQUENCE OF ClearToken OPTIONAL,
    cryptoTokens           SEQUENCE OF CryptoH323Token OPTIONAL,
    fastStart              SEQUENCE OF OCTET STRING OPTIONAL,
    mediaWaitForConnect    BOOLEAN,
    canOverlapSend         BOOLEAN,
    endpointIdentifier     EndpointIdentifier OPTIONAL,
    multipleCalls          BOOLEAN,
    maintainConnection     BOOLEAN,
    connectionParameters   SEQUENCE -- additional gateway parameters
    {
        connectionType      ScnConnectionType,
        numberOfScnConnections INTEGER (0..65535),
        connectionAggregation ScnConnectionAggregation,
        ...
    } OPTIONAL,
    language               SEQUENCE OF IA5String (SIZE (1..32)) OPTIONAL, -- RFC1766
    language tag

```

presentationIndicator	PresentationIndicator OPTIONAL,
screeningIndicator	ScreeningIndicator OPTIONAL,
serviceControl	SEQUENCE OF ServiceControlSession OPTIONAL,
symmetricOperationRequired	NULL OPTIONAL,
capacity	CallCapacity OPTIONAL,
circuitInfo	CircuitInfo OPTIONAL,
desiredProtocols	SEQUENCE OF SupportedProtocols OPTIONAL,
neededFeatures	SEQUENCE OF FeatureDescriptor OPTIONAL,
desiredFeatures	SEQUENCE OF FeatureDescriptor OPTIONAL,
supportedFeatures	SEQUENCE OF FeatureDescriptor OPTIONAL,
parallelH245Control	SEQUENCE OF OCTET STRING OPTIONAL,
additionalSourceAddresses	SEQUENCE OF ExtendedAliasAddress OPTIONAL <u>-- deprecated</u>

**[End Correction]**

## 6.2.4 Clarification for the usage of rasAddress

<b>Description:</b>	There is no requirement that the GK should send back its responses to the GRQ and RRQ messages where they came from. The following clarifies the usage of rasAddress field in these messages.
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

In Section 7.8.1 GatekeeperRequest (GRQ) and Section 7.9.1 RegistrationRequest (RRQ), add the following line to the description for rasAddress.

**[Begin Correction]**

**rasAddress** – This is the transport address that this endpoint uses for registration and status messages. The Gatekeeper shall send RAS messages to this address and not to the address from which the message was sent, unless the **rasAddress** cannot be decoded.

**[End Correction]**

## 6.2.5 ReleaseCompleteReason to Cause IE mapping

<b>Description:</b>	The description for Cause IE for the release complete reason of noPermission is incorrect. The following text corrects it. Additionally, a new mapping is added to support the invalidCID reason added via this implementers guide.
---------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**[Begin Correction]**

**Table 5/H.225.0 – ReleaseCompleteReason to cause IE mapping**

ReleaseCompleteReason code	Corresponding Q.931/Q.850 cause value
noBandwidth	34 – No circuit/channel available
gatekeeperResources	47 – Resource Unavailable
unreachableDestination	3 – No route to destination
destinationRejection	16 – Normal call clearing
invalidRevision	88 – Incompatible destination
noPermission	<del>44</del> <u>127</u> – Interworking, unspecified



unreachableGatekeeper	38 – Network out of order
gatewayResources	42 – Switching equipment congestion
badFormatAddress	28 – Invalid number format
adaptiveBusy	41 – Temporary Failure
inConf	17 – User busy
undefinedReason	31 – Normal, unspecified
facilityCallDeflection	16 – Normal call clearing
securityDenied	31 – Normal, unspecified
calledPartyNotRegistered	20 – Subscriber absent
callerNotRegistered	31 – Normal, unspecified
newConnectionNeeded	47 – Resource Unavailable
nonStandardReason	127 – Interworking, unspecified
replaceWithConferenceInvite	31 – Normal, unspecified
genericDataReason	31 – Normal, unspecified
neededFeatureNotSupported	31 – Normal, unspecified
tunnelledSignallingRejected	127 – Interworking, unspecified
<u>invalidCID</u>	<u>3 – No route to destination</u>

---

*[End Correction]*

#### 6.2.6 Clarification for sending PNP numbers in Information messages

<b>Description:</b>	The following text clarifies that PNP numbers shall be sent in the Called Party Number IE of the Information message.
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

**Table 9/H.225.0 – Information Message Content**

Information element	H.225.0 status (M/F/O)	Length in H.225.0
Protocol discriminator	M	1
Call reference	M	3
Message type	M	1
Sending complete	O	1
Display	O	2-82
Keypad facility	O	2-34
Signal	O	2-3
Called party number	O <u>(Note)</u>	2-35
User-user	M	2-131

Note: The Called Party Number IE will be used to carry numbers from a Private Numbering Plan when performing overlapped sending according to 8.1.12/H.323.

*[End Correction]*

#### 6.2.7 Clarification for using Bearer Capability IE in Connect and Progress messages

<b>Description:</b>	The following text removes the requirement that Bearer Capability IE is mandatory in Connect and Progress messages if the connection is between a terminal and a gateway.
---------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

**Table 8/H.225.0 – Connect**

Information element	H.225.0 status (M/F/O)	Length in H.225.0
Protocol discriminator	M	1
Call reference	M	3
Message type	M	1
Bearer capability	O (Note)	5-6
Extended facility	O	8-*
Channel identification	FFS	NA
Facility	O	8-*
Progress indicator	O	2-4
Notification indicator	O	2-*
Display	O	2-82
Date/Time	O	8
Connected Number	O	2-*
Connected Sub-Address	O	2-23
Low layer compatibility	FFS	NA
High layer compatibility	FFS	NA
User-user	M	2-131
NOTE — Bearer capability is mandatory if the message is between a terminal and a gateway.		

*[End Correction]*

**Table 10/H.225.0 – Progress**

Information element	H.225.0 status (M/F/O)	Length in H.225.0
Protocol discriminator	M	1
Call reference	M	3
Message type	M	1
Bearer capability	O (Note)	5-6
Cause	O	2-32
Extended facility	O	8-*
Channel identification	FFS	NA
Facility	O	8-*
Progress indicator	M	2-4
Notification indicator	O	2-*
Display	O	2-82
High layer compatibility	FFS	NA
User-user	M	2-131
NOTE – The Bearer capability information element is mandatory if the message is between a terminal and a gateway.		

#### 6.2.8 Multiple IEs of the same type in an H.225.0 message

<b>Description:</b>	An H.225.0 message is forbidden to carry more than one Information Element of the same type except for the Calling Party Number IE, several of which can be mapped from a Q.931 message to additionalSourceAddresses field in the Setup-UUIE. Work is in progress to define a generic method to transport multiple occurrence of any IE. In order to encourage the usage of a common way to transport multiple IEs, the additionalSourceAddresses field in the Setup-UUIE should be deprecated.
---------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Editorial - Please refer to section 6.2.3 for the ASN.1 change made in Setup-UUIE.

### 6.2.9 Clarification on GK response to additive registration requests

<b>Description:</b>	<p>There is some ambiguity in H.323 version 4 on how to handle the scenario where a gatekeeper only wants to acknowledge a subset of aliases proposed in an additive RRQ. The gatekeeper could return an RCF specifying the accepted aliases in the terminalAliasPattern field. The gateway would then assume that the other aliases were rejected. Alternately, the gatekeeper could return an RRJ specifying the rejected aliases in the invalidTerminalAliases field of the reject reason. In this case the gateway would assume that the other aliases were accepted.</p> <p>The following additions clarify the usage of aliases in RCF and RRJ messages.</p>
---------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

### 7.9.2 RegistrationConfirm (RCF)

**terminalAlias** – This optional value is a list of alias addresses, by which other terminals may identify this terminal. This field may be used in addition to or as an alternative to the **terminalAliasPattern** and **supportedPrefixes** fields. It specifies the alias addresses that have been accepted from those proposed in the associated RRQ message. If none were proposed in the RRQ, this list gives aliases assigned by the Gatekeeper. If this field is not included and alias addresses were proposed in the RRQ, then the Gatekeeper has accepted all of the proposed alias addresses. If this field is included and specifies a subset of the alias addresses proposed in the RRQ, then the Gatekeeper has accepted only those addresses.

**terminalAliasPattern** – This optional value is a list of address patterns specifying aliases and addresses by which other endpoints may identify this endpoint. This field may be used in addition to or as an alternative to the **terminalAlias** and **supportedPrefixes** fields. It specifies the aliases and addresses that have been accepted from those proposed in the associated RRQ message. If none were proposed in the RRQ, this list gives aliases and addresses assigned by the Gatekeeper. If this field is not included and address patterns were proposed in the RRQ, then the Gatekeeper has accepted all of the proposed patterns. If this field is included and specifies a subset of the address patterns proposed in the RRQ, then the Gatekeeper has accepted only those patterns.

**supportedPrefixes** – This optional value is a list of prefixes by which other endpoints may identify this endpoint. This field may be used in addition to or as an alternative to the **terminalAlias** and **terminalAliasPattern** fields. It specifies the address prefixes that have been accepted from those proposed in the associated RRQ message. If none were proposed in the RRQ, this list gives prefixes assigned by the Gatekeeper. If this field is not included and address prefixes were proposed in the RRQ, then the Gatekeeper has accepted all of the proposed prefixes. If this field is included and specifies a subset of the address prefixes proposed in the RRQ, then the Gatekeeper has accepted only those prefixes.

### 7.9.3 RegistrationReject (RRJ)

**rejectReason** – The reason for the rejection of the registration. This field may contain an invalidTerminalAliases value, in which case it contains a list of aliases, addresses and supported prefixes that were determined to be invalid in the associated RRQ message. In any event, all of the aliases, addresses and supported prefixes from the associated RRQ are rejected along with those specified in the invalidTerminalAliases field. A reason of

**genericDataReason** indicates that the request was rejected as a result of a generic element or feature; in this case, additional information may be specified in the **genericData** field.

---

*[End Correction]*

#### 6.2.10 Progress Indicator in Setup Message

<b>Description:</b>	<p>In H.225.0v4, the use of progress indicator is forbidden in a SETUP message.</p> <p>However, C.7.1.1 of the Recommendation H.246 Annex C (2000) defines coding rules from the forward call indicators parameter and the access transport parameter of IAM (ISUP) to the progress indicator information element of SETUP (H.225), indicating that the notification of progress indicator is allowed to H.323 terminals.</p> <p>Thus use of progress indicator should be made optional as below. In addition, this table lists “Repeat indicator” twice. One instance of it is removed.</p>
---------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

**Table 12/H.225.0 – Setup**

Information element	H.225.0 status(M/F/O/CM)	Length in H.225.0
Protocol discriminator	M	1
Call reference	M (Note 2)	3
Message type	M	1
Sending complete	O	1
Repeat indicator	F	NA
Bearer capability	M	5-6
Extended facility	O	8-*
Channel identification	FFS	NA
Facility	O	8-*
Progress indicator	<del>F</del> <u>O</u>	<del>NA</del> <u>2-4</u>
Network specific facilities	F	NA
Notification indicator	O	2-*
Display	O	2-82
Keypad facility	O	2-34
Signal	O	2-3
Calling party number	O	2-131
Calling party subaddress	CM (Note 1)	NA
Called party number	O	2-131
Called party subaddress	CM (Note 1)	NA

Information element	H.225.0 status(M/F/O/CM)	Length in H.225.0
Redirecting Number	O	2-*
Transit network selection	F	NA
Repeat indicator	F	NA
Low layer compatibility	FFS	NA
High layer compatibility	FFS	NA
User-user	M	2-131
NOTE 1 – Subaddresses are needed for some SCN call scenarios; they should not be used for packet-based network side only calls. NOTE 2 – If an ARQ was previously sent, the CRV used here shall be the same.		

---

*[End Correction]*

## 6.3 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.245 (11/2000)

### 6.3.1 Syntax Errors in H.245v7

<b>Description:</b>	The following errors were discovered in the published H.245v7 specification. The corrections will be applied to the next version of H.245.
---------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

```

MultiplexedStreamParameter ::=SEQUENCE
{
    multiplexFormat      MultiplexFormat,
    controlOnMuxStream BOOLEAN,
    ...
}

```

---

*[End Correction]*

### 6.3.2 Clarification of collapsing/nonCollapsing generic parameters ambiguity

<b>Description:</b>	There is a possible interoperability problem because the collapsing/nonCollapsing nature of generic parameters in Annexes F, J, K, and L has not been specified. The text added to the parameters below clarifies this.
---------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

TABLE F.1/H.245

#### Flow Control Capability Parameter for Bit Rate Management

Parameter name:	Flow Control Capability
-----------------	-------------------------

Parameter description:	<u>This is a Collapsing GenericParameter.</u> The presence of this parameter indicates the capability to support the FlowcontrolIndication message.
Parameter identifier value:	0
Parameter status:	Optional
Parameter type:	Logical.
Supersedes:	-

TABLE F.2/H.245

**Logical Channel Bit Rate Change Capability Parameter for Bit Rate Management**

Parameter name:	Logical Channel Bit Rate Change Capability
Parameter description:	<u>This is a Collapsing GenericParameter.</u> The presence of this parameter indicates the capability to support the Logical Channel Rate Change Procedure, which uses the messages LogicalChannelRateRequest, LogicalChannelRateAcknowledge, LogicalChannelRateReject and LogicalChannelRateRelease.
Parameter identifier value:	1
Parameter status:	Optional
Parameter type:	Logical.
Supersedes:	-

TABLE F.3/H.245

**RTCP Frequency Parameter for Bit Rate Management**

Parameter name:	RTCP Frequency Capability
Parameter description:	<u>This is a Collapsing GenericParameter.</u> This indicates the frequency at which the terminal can send RTCP reports.
Parameter identifier value:	2
Parameter status:	Optional
Parameter type:	Unsigned32Min.
Supersedes:	-

TABLE J.1/H.245

**136 ACELP Capability Parameter - maxAl-sduFrames**

Parameter name:	MaxAl-sduFrames
Parameter description:	<u>This is a Collapsing GenericParameter.</u> Specifies the maximum number of audio frames per AL-SDU
Parameter identifier value:	0
Parameter status:	Shall be present for capability exchange and logical channel signalling. Shall not be present for mode request.
Parameter type:	UnsignedMin
Supersedes:	-

TABLE J.2/H.245

**136 ACELP Capability Parameter - Comfort Noise**

Parameter name:	ComfortNoise
Parameter description:	<u>This is a Collapsing GenericParameter.</u> Specifies that TIA/EIA 136 (IS-641) comfort noise is to be used in mode request. This parameter shall be used only in mode requests but not in capabilities because this capability is mandatory.
Parameter identifier value:	1
Parameter status:	Optional
Parameter type:	Logical
Supersedes:	-

TABLE J.3/H.245

**136 ACELP Capability Parameter - Scrambled**

Parameter name:	Scrambled
Parameter description:	<u>This is a Collapsing GenericParameter.</u> Specifies that scrambling is to be used in mode request. This parameter shall be used only in mode requests but not in capabilities because this capability is mandatory.



Parameter identifier value:	2
Parameter status:	Optional
Parameter type:	Logical
Supersedes:	-

TABLE K.1/H.245

**136 US1 Capability Parameter - maxAl-sduFrames**

Parameter name:	MaxAl-sduFrames
Parameter description:	<u>This is a Collapsing GenericParameter.</u> Specifies the maximum number of audio frames per AL-SDU
Parameter identifier value:	0
Parameter status:	Shall be present for capability exchange and logical channel signalling. Shall not be present for mode request.
Parameter type:	UnsignedMin
Supersedes:	-

TABLE K.2/H.245

**136 US1Capability Parameter - Comfort Noise**

Parameter name:	ComfortNoise
Parameter description:	<u>This is a Collapsing GenericParameter.</u> Specifies that comfort noise is to be used in mode request. This parameter shall be used only in mode requests but not in capabilities because this capability is mandatory.
Parameter identifier value:	1
Parameter status:	Optional
Parameter type:	Logical
Supersedes:	-

TABLE K.3/H.245

**136 US1 Capability Parameter - Scrambled**

Parameter name:	Scrambled
-----------------	-----------

Parameter description:	<u>This is a Collapsing GenericParameter.</u> Specifies that scrambling is to be used in mode request. This parameter shall be used only in mode requests but not in capabilities because this capability is mandatory.
Parameter identifier value:	2
Parameter status:	Optional
Parameter type:	Logical
Supersedes:	-

TABLE L.1/H.245

**IS-127 CDMA EVRC Capability Parameter - maxAl-sduFrames**

Parameter name:	MaxAl-sduFrames
Parameter description:	<u>This is a Collapsing GenericParameter.</u> Specifies the maximum number of audio frames per AL-SDU
Parameter identifier value:	0
Parameter status:	Shall be present for capability exchange and logical channel signalling. Shall not be present for mode request.
Parameter type:	UnsignedMin
Supersedes:	-

TABLE L.2/H.245

**CDMA EVRC Capability Parameter - Scrambled**

Parameter name:	Scrambled
Parameter description:	<u>This is a Collapsing GenericParameter.</u> Specifies that scrambling is to be used in mode request.
Parameter identifier value:	2
Parameter status:	Optional
Parameter type:	Logical
Supersedes:	-

---

*[End Correction]*

### 6.3.3 Annex B Section 3.1 Open Logical Channel

<b>Description:</b>	The textual description regarding encryptionSync is inconsistent with section B.3.2 and H.235 Section 8.5. The inconsistency persists since H.245 Version 3. The text below corrects this error.
---------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

The encryptionSync field shall be used by the master when acknowledging the opening of a channel by a slave. It is used provided by the master in order to provide the encryption key value and the synchronization point at which the key should be used. For H.323, the syncFlag shall be set to the RTP dynamic payload number which matches the key.

*[End Correction]*

## 6.4 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.246 (1998)

### 6.4.1 Annex A Corrections

<b>Description:</b>	The H.245 equivalents defined for H.230 commands MCV and Cancel-MCV were incorrectly defined in H.246. The following text corrects those table entries.
---------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

#### A.5.2.4.1 Multipoint Control C&I

H.230 command/indication	H.245 equivalent
MCV	<p><del>Send broadcastMe</del></p> <p><u>Send either</u> <u><b>conferenceRequest.broadcastMyLogicalChannel</b></u> <u>or</u> <u><b>conferenceCommand.broadcastMyLogicalChannel</b></u> with the LCN of the video channel in the direction from the gateway to the H.323 endpoint.</p> <p><u>If the gateway has previously both sent and received the MVC capability to/from the H.230 side (indicating that both ends of the terminal-MCU or inter-MCU link have declared the MVC capability or the H.245 equivalent), then the H.245 side shall use the <b>conferenceRequest</b> form of the message.</u></p> <p><u>Otherwise, it shall use the <b>conferenceCommand</b> form of the message.</u></p>
Cancel-MCV	<del>Send cancelBroadcastMe</del>

	Send <u>conferenceCommand.cancelBroadcastMyLogicalChannel</u>
--	------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[End Correction]*

<b>Description:</b>	New H.243 codepoints MVC, MVA, and MVR were approved in February 2000. To support those new codepoints, the following additions shall be added to the table in A.5.2.4.1 as shown below
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

#### A.5.2.4.1 Multipoint Control C&I

H.230 command/indication	H.245 equivalent
<u>MVC</u>	Send <u>conferenceCapability.multipointVisualizationCapability</u>
<u>MVA</u>	Send <u>conferenceResponse.broadcastMyLogicalChannel.grantedBroadcastMyLogicalChannel</u>
<u>MVR</u>	Send <u>conferenceResponse.broadcastMyLogicalChannel.deniedBroadcastMyLogicalChannel</u>

---

*[End Correction]*

<b>Description:</b>	<p>A minor inconsistency has been discovered in section A.5.2.4.4 of H.246 Annex A.</p> <p>The H.245 equivalent continuous presence BAS codes were not included in H.245v3 so continuous presence processing cannot be translated through a H.320-H.323 gateway. To correct this, commands are added to H.245 and the following corrected translations amend H.246.</p>
---------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

#### A.5.2.4.4 Multipoint Control C&I

H.230 command/indication	H.245 equivalent
VIN	Send <u>terminalYouAreSeeing</u>
VCB/Cancel-VCB	Send <u>makeTerminalBroadcaster</u> / <u>CancelMakeTerminalBroadcaster</u>
VCS/Cancel-VCS	Send <u>sendThisSource</u> / <u>CancelSendThisSource</u>
VCR	Send <u>videoCommandReject</u>

VIN2	<u>FFSSend terminalYouAreSeeingInSubPictureNumber</u>
VIC	<u>FFSSend videoIndicateCompose</u>
VIM	<u>FFSSend videoIndicateMixingCapability</u>

---

[End Correction]

#### 6.4.2 Reference to ATM Forum Document

<b>Description:</b>	To help clarify the usage of H.246 with respect to ATM, a reference to an ATM Forum document has been proposed. This reference shall appear in next H.246 publication from the ITU.
---------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

[Begin Correction]

### 1 Scope

...

Voice/Voiceband terminals on GSTN use the appropriate national standards for call control and G.711 or analogue signals for voice. Voice/Voiceband terminals on ISDN use the appropriate national variant of Q.931 for call control and G.711 for voice.

Interworking of H.323 over ATM with H.323 over non-ATM IP networks is possible through the use of an H.323-H.323 gateway. Transport of H.323 media streams over ATM is described in AF-SAA-0124.000.

---

[End Correction]

---

[Begin Correction]

### 2 Normative References

...

- ATM Forum Technical Committee, AF-SAA-0124.000, Gateway for H.323 Media Transport Over ATM, 1999

---

[End Correction]

## 6.5 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.235 (2000)

### 6.5.1 Connection Establishment Procedures

<b>Description:</b>	An error exists in H.235 Version 1 and in H.235 Version 2 regarding the description how to terminate secured connections that have insufficient security capabilities. The text below attempts to correct this error.
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Editorial - Clause 7.0

## 7.1 Introduction

In the cases in which there are no overlapping security capabilities, the called terminal may refuse the connection. The error returned should convey no information about any security mismatch; the calling terminal will have to determine the problem by some other means. In cases where the calling terminal receives a ~~CONNECT ACKNOWLEDGE~~-message without sufficient security capabilities, it should terminate the call.

---

[End Correction]

---

## 6.5.2 Key Management

<b>Description:</b>	A spelling error exists in H.235 Version 2 regarding the description how to transport a session key. The text below attempts to correct this error.
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Editorial - Clause D.7.1 Key Management

---

[Begin Correction]

---

- During FastStart the callee (source of the **Connect**) presents its DH token and the accepted FastStart structures. The session key is included in the **encryptionSync** field. The session key is itself encrypted with the DH shared secret in the same manner as the non-FastStart operation.

---

[End Correction]

---

## 6.5.3 Key Update and Synchronization

<b>Description:</b>	A spelling error exists in H.235 Version 2 regarding the description how to encode and synchronize a session key. The text below attempts to correct this error.
---------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Editorial - Clause D.7.2 Key Update and Synchronization

---

[Begin Correction]

---

- encryptedData**: set to the result of the encrypted **KeySynchMaterial**.

---

[End Correction]

---

## 6.5.4 RTP/RTCP issues and Voice Encryption Security Profile

### B.3 RTP/RTCP issues

<b>Description:</b>	The textual description in the first paragraph and the third paragraph is inconsistent on which parts of the RTP packet and the A/V payload to actually perform payload encryption. The inconsistency persists since H.235
---------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Version 1. The text below corrects this error.
------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

---

The use of encryption on the RTP stream will follow the general methodology recommended in the document referenced in [RTP]. The encryption of the media shall occur in an independent, packet by packet basis<sup>1</sup>. The RTP header (~~including the payload header~~) shall not be encrypted. For audio codecs, the entire audio codec payload including any audio payload header(s) shall be encrypted. Synchronization of new keys and encrypted text is based upon dynamic payload type.

Care should be taken, when encrypting video streams. Certain video codecs could add specific video payload header which might weaken security when being encrypted. How exactly to encrypt video codec streams, is left for further study.

---

*[End Correction]*

---

## **D.7 Voice Encryption Security Profile**

<b>Description:</b>	The textual description is inconsistent on which parts of the RTP packet and the audio payload to actually perform payload encryption. The inconsistency persists in H.235 Version 2. The text below corrects this error.
---------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

---

The audio payload<sup>2</sup> is encrypted using the negotiated encryption algorithm (“X”, “Y” or “Z”) operating in CBC mode according to the procedures described in section 11 and annex B of H.235 and the ciphertext padding methods of Appendix I.1/H.235.

---

<sup>1</sup> It should be noted that if RTP packet size is larger than MTU size, partial loss (of fragment) will cause the whole RTP packet to be indecipherable.

<sup>2</sup> ~~without the payload header~~

---

*[End Correction]*

---

## **6.5.5 Usage Illustration for Procedure I, Key update, and synchronization**

### **D.6.3.4 Usage Illustration for Procedure I**

<b>Description:</b>	Two editorial errors have been detected in the paragraph of H.235 Version 2 potentially leading to confusion and interoperability. The text below corrects this error.
---------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[Begin Correction]

Consider the case in Figure D.1 where three passwords are pair-wise shared between EP1-GK1, between GK1-GK2 and between GK2-EP2. Three ~~1220~~-byte keys - *Key1*, *Key2* and *Key3* – are generated from these passwords based on the procedure described in H.235 Section 10.3.5~~2~~. For maximum security it is recommended to make each of the three random passwords/keys independent.

[End Correction]

#### D.7.2 Key update and synchronization

<b>Description:</b>	The last sentence in the note is not correct. The error persists in H.235 Version 2 potentially leading to confusion. The text below corrects this error.
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[Begin Correction]

NOTE - Since the key update and synchronization relies on H.245 messages that are not piggy-backed during fast connect, this requires H.245 tunneling to be used for secured H.323 entities. ~~Thus, key update and synchronization can only be used in the signature security profile~~

[End Correction]

#### 6.5.6 Specific Conventions, Key management, and Call signaling

##### D.2 Specification Conventions

<b>Description:</b>	The textual description of H.235 Version 2 section D.2 needs to be clearly aligned with the procedural description in section D.10. The text below provides the clarification.
---------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[Begin Correction]

This profile defines to “set the **generalID** in the **ClearToken** to the identifier of the recipient”. This actually means, that for RAS messages destined for the gatekeeper this is the GK identifier; for RAS messages destined for the endpoint this is the ~~or~~ endpoint identifier<sup>2</sup>, for H.225.0 call signaling messages destined for the gatekeeper this is the GK identifier and for H.225.0 call signaling messages destined for the endpoint this is the called endpoint identifier, see also section D.10.

The **sendersID** shall be set to the identification string of the sender. This actually means, that for RAS messages destined for the gatekeeper this is the endpoint identifier; for RAS messages destined for the endpoint this is the gatekeeper identifier; for H.225.0 call signaling messages destined for the gatekeeper this is the GK identifier and for H.225.0 call signaling messages destined for the endpoint this is the called endpoint identifier, see also section D.10.



<sup>2</sup> ~~which one depends on the direction EP to GK or vice versa.~~

---

*[End Correction]*

### D.7.1 Key management

<b>Description:</b>	The textual description of H.235 Version 2 section D.7.1 references incorrectly a non-existing H235Capability while actually H235SecurityCapability of H.245 shall be used. The text below corrects the error.
---------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

- During the H.245 Cap exchange, endpoints present **H235SecurityCapability** entries for the codecs that they support. Each codec is associated with a separate H.235 security capability. These capabilities should indicate support for 56-bit RC2-compatible (OID – “X”), should indicate support for 56-bit DES (OID – “Y”) and may indicate support for 168-bit Triple-DES (OID – “Z”).

---

*[End Correction]*

### D.9.2 H.225.0 Call signaling

<b>Description:</b>	Some H.225.0 v4 call signaling messages have been omitted in the table. Those missing messages shall be secured according to procedure I as well. The text in the table below corrects this error.
---------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

H.225.0 Call Signaling message	H.235 signaling fields	authentication & integrity
Alerting-UUIE, CallProceeding-UUIE, Connect-UUIE, Setup-UUIE, Facility-UUIE, Progress-UUIE, Information-UUIE, ReleaseComplete-UUIE, Status-UUIE, StatusInquiry-UUIE, SetupAcknowledge-UUIE, Notify-UUIE	CryptoTokens	procedure I

---

*[End Correction]*

### E.16.2 H.225.0 Call signaling

<b>Description:</b>	Some H.225.0 v4 call signaling messages have been omitted in the table. Those missing messages shall be secured according to procedure II/III as well. The text in the table below corrects this error.
---------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[Begin Correction]

H.225.0 Call Signaling message	H.235 signaling fields	authentication-only	authentication & integrity	non-repudiation
Alerting-UUIE, CallProceeding-UUIE, Connect-UUIE, Setup-UUIE, Facility-UUIE, Progress-UUIE, Information-UUIE, ReleaseComplete-UUIE, <u>Status-UUIE</u> , <u>StatusInquiry-UUIE</u> , <u>SetupAcknowledge-UUIE</u> , <u>Notify-UUIE</u>	cryptoTokens	Procedure II/III	procedure II/III	procedure II/III


[End Correction]

## 6.5.7 Ciphertext padding methods

### Appendix I.1 Ciphertext padding methods

<b>Description:</b>	It has been detected that a descriptive figure is missing in H.235 which describes zero padding in CBC mode. The omission persists since H.235 Version 1.
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[Begin Correction]



**Figure I.2a/H.235 – Zero padding in CBC mode**

[End Correction]

## 6.5.8 Normative References and Bibliography

### D.5 Normative References

<b>Description:</b>	By the end of year 2001, ITU-T has declared the U.S. National Institute of Standards (NIST) as a recognized standardization organization. This now allows to normatively reference FIPS publications.  H.235V2 deploys the Data Encryption Algorithm (DES), which is a NIST
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<p>pubs. At the time of approval, H.235V2 could not actually reference DES normatively, as NIST was not yet a recognized SDO. Thus, it was decided to move the normative DES references to the bibliography. Still, the text recommends DES as mandatory.</p> <p>The change shown below undoes the informal DES references and makes them normative again.</p>
--	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

## DES

[FIPS-46-2] US National ~~Bureau~~Institute of Standards, "Data Encryption Standard (DES)", Federal Information Processing Standard, (FIPS) Publication 46-2, December 1993,  
<http://www.itl.nist.gov/div897/pubs/fip46-2.htm>

[FIPS-74] US National ~~Bureau~~Institute of Standards, "Guidelines for Implementing and Using the Data Encryption Standard", Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) Publication 74, April 1981,  
<http://www.itl.nist.gov/div897/pubs/fip74.htm>.

[FIPS-81] US National ~~Bureau~~Institute of Standards, "DES Modes of Operation", Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) Publication 81, December 1980,  
<http://www.itl.nist.gov/div897/pubs/fip81.htm>.

*[End Correction]*

## D.12 Bibliography

<b>Description:</b>	<p>By the end of year 2001, ITU-T has declared the U.S. National Institute of Standards (NIST) as a recognized standardization organization. This now allows to normatively reference FIPS publications.</p> <p>H.235V2 deploys the Data Encryption Algorithm (DES), which is a NIST pubs. At the time of approval, H.235V2 could not actually reference DES normatively, as NIST was not yet a recognized SDO. Thus, it was decided to move the normative DES references to the bibliography. Still, the text recommends DES as mandatory.</p> <p>The change shown below undoes the informal DES references and makes them normative again.</p>
---------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[Begin Correction]

DES [FIPS-46-2] US National Bureau of Standards, “Data Encryption Standard”, Federal Information Processing Standard, (FIPS) Publication 46-2, December 1993,  
<http://www.itl.nist.gov/div897/pubs/fip74.htm>  
[FIPS-74] US National Bureau of Standards, “Guidelines for Implementing and Using the Data Encryption Standard”, Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) Publication 74, April 1981,  
<http://www.itl.nist.gov/div897/pubs/fip74.htm>  
[FIPS-81] US National Bureau of Standards, “DES Modes of Operation”, Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS) Publication 81, December 1980,  
<http://www.itl.nist.gov/div897/pubs/fip81.htm>

[End Correction]

## 6.5.9 Back-end Service Support

### I.4.6 Back-end Service Support

<b>Description:</b>	A clash of overlapping OIDs values has been detected. OIDs “K”, “L” and “M” in the Appendix I currently have the same value assigned as OIDs “A”, “B” and “R” of Annex E. However, each of the mentioned OIDs shall have a unique value in order to unambiguously identify its purpose. Implementations deploying OIDs from Annex E and Appendix I would thus run into interoperability problems.  It is proposed to re-allocate the OIDs in Appendix I with new and distinct values.
---------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[Begin Correction]

Object Identifier Reference	Object Identifier Value	Description
“K”	{itu-t (0) recommendation (0) h (8) 235 version (0) 2 31}	indicates a RADIUS challenge in the ClearToken
“L”	{itu-t (0) recommendation (0) h (8) 235 version (0) 2 32}	indicates a RADIUS response (conveyed in the challenge field) in the ClearToken
“M”	{itu-t (0) recommendation (0) h (8) 235 version (0) 2 33}	indicates BES default mode with a protected password in the ClearToken

Table I.1/H.235: Object Identifiers used by Appendix I.4.6

[End Correction]

## 6.5.10 Key Management

### D.7.1 Key Management

<b>Description:</b>	H.235V1 and higher describe how to transport a media session key as part of <b>keyMaterial</b> within H.245. As part of the encrypted <b>KeySyncMaterial</b> data
---------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	<p>structure, <b>generalID</b> conveys the identifier of the sender. However, since that <b>generalID</b> is encrypted too, the recipient is not able to actually verify the correctness without additional information.</p> <p>In order to let the recipient verify the <b>generalID</b> of the sender, a particular end-to-end <b>ClearToken</b> shall be used that conveys an unencrypted <b>sendersID</b> holding the endpoint identifier of the sender.</p>
--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

- During the **Setup-to-Connect** sequence a Diffie-Hellman (DH) exchange is performed – this seeds both endpoints with a shared secret. The **ClearToken** field of the **CryptoToken** fields shall contain a **dhkey**, used to pass the parameters as specified in H.235. **halfkey** contains the random public key of one party, **modsize** contains the DH-prime and **generator** contains the DH-group. The DH parameters to be used are indicated in the table below. For more details, please refer to [RFC2412, appendix E2]. Note that since the H.225.0 messages are authenticated (as described earlier by Procedure I), the DH exchange is an authenticated one.

In either direction with a H.225.0 call signaling message carrying a Diffie-Hellman half-key, the caller or callee shall also include a separate end-to-end **ClearToken** with **sendersID** set to the endpoint identifier of the sender and **tokenOID** set to “E”. Any intermediate H.323 signaling entity shall forward that particular end-to-end token unmodified.

*[End Correction]*

## D.11 List of Object Identifiers

<b>Description:</b>	The following OID is defined for the end-to-end <b>ClearToken</b> as defined in D.7.1.
---------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

“E”	<u>{itu-t (0) recommendation (0) h (8) 235 version (0) 2 9}</u>	<u>End-to-end ClearToken carrying sendersID for verification at the recipient side.</u>
	<u>{itu-t (0) recommendation (0) h (8) 235 version (0) 1 9}</u>	

*[End Correction]*

## 6.6 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450 Series

### 6.6.1 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.1 (1998)

#### 6.6.1.1 Actions at a Destination Entity

<b>Description:</b>	Typographical errors have been discovered in section 6.6 of H.450.1 (1998). The text below outlines the necessary changes.
---------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

- 1) Section 6.6, line 6

Change:

"rejectUnrecognizedInvokePdu"

to

"rejectAnyUnrecognizedInvokePdu"

- 2) Section 6.6, line 12

Change:

"discardAnyUnrecognizedInvokePDU"

to

"discardAnyUnrecognizedInvokePdu"

### 6.6.1.2 Corrections to the ASN.1

<b>Description:</b>	H.225.0 (1999) introduces redundancy with H.450.1 in that both H.225.0 (1999) and H.450.1 have screening and presentation information. To remove the redundancy, it was decided that H.225.0 was the proper place for this information and the redundant elements shall be removed from H.450.1. Below shows the revision to the ASN.1 found in Table 6/H.450.1.
---------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

#### Addressing-Data-Elements

```
{ itu-t recommendation h 450 1 version1(0) addressing-data-elements(9) }
DEFINITIONS AUTOMATIC TAGS ::=
BEGIN
  IMPORTS      AliasAddress, PartyNumber, PresentationIndicator, Screening Indicator FROM
H323-MESSAGES; -- see H.225.0

  ...
  -- PartyNumber defined in Recommendation H.225.0
  -- PublicPartyNumber defined in Recommendation H.225.0
  -- PrivatePartyNumber defined in Recommendation H.225.0
  -- NumberDigits defined in Recommendation H.225.0
  -- PublicTypeOfNumber defined in Recommendation H.225.0
  -- PrivateTypeOfNumber defined in Recommendation H.225.0
  -- PresentationIndicator defined in Recommendation H.225.0 (v3 and beyond)
  -- ScreeningIndicator defined in Recommendation H.225.0 (v3 and beyond)

  EndpointAddress ::= SEQUENCE{
    destinationAddress      SEQUENCE OF AliasAddress,
    -- multiple alias addresses may be used to address the same H.323 endpoint
    remoteExtensionAddress  AliasAddress OPTIONAL,
    ...
    destinationAddressPresentationIndicator  PresentationIndicator OPTIONAL,
    -- Note 1, 2
    destinationAddressScreeningIndicator      ScreeningIndicator OPTIONAL,
    remoteExtensionAddressPresentationIndicator  PresentationIndicator OPTIONAL,
    -- Note 1, 2
    remoteExtensionAddressScreeningIndicator  ScreeningIndicator OPTIONAL
  }
  -- Note 1: If this element is not available, presentation allowed shall be
assumed.
  -- Note 2: If an H.450 APDU that carries this element EndpointAddress also
  -- contains an element PresentationAllowedIndicator, then the setting of the
  -- element PresentationAllowedIndicator shall take precedence in case of
  -- conflicting presentation information.
```

```

...
ScreeningIndicator ::= ENUMERATED {
    userProvidedNotScreened (0),
        number was provided by a remote user
        , and has not been screened by a gatekeeper
    userProvidedVerifiedAndPassed (1),
        number was provided by a user
        equipment (or by a remote network), and has
        been screened by a gatekeeper
    userProvidedVerifiedAndFailed (2),
        not used, value reserved.
    networkProvided (3),
        number was provided by a gatekeeper
    ...
}

```

---

[End Correction]

### 6.6.1.3 Clarifications to ROS APDUs

<b>Description:</b>	The ASN.1 specification of ROS APDUs has caused some uncertainty over the correct encoding of invoke identifiers. A correct encoding is essential for interoperability between different implementations. The text below attempts to clarify the uncertainty.
---------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Add the following note below Table 4/H.450.1:

---

[Begin Correction]

Note:

In the *Invoke* APDU, the *invokeID* is an INTEGER constrained by a PER-visible constraint (*InvokeIdSet* = 0..65535) and is therefore encoded as a **constrained** INTEGER (16 bits, no length field). In the *ReturnResult* and *ReturnError* APDUs, however, the *invokeID* is encoded as an **unconstrained** INTEGER (with explicit length field) because the applicable constraint (“must be that for an outstanding operation...” ) is not PER-visible. In the *Reject* APDU the *invokeID* is also encoded as an **unconstrained** INTEGER (with explicit length field) since no constraint applies.

---

[End Correction]

## 6.6.2 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.2 (1998)

### 6.6.2.1 Editorial Corrections

<b>Description:</b>	Typographical errors have been discovered in sections 11.4.2, 11.5.2, 11.6.2, and 13.4 of H.450.2. The text below outlines the necessary changes.
---------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

[Begin Correction]

- 1) Editorial - Clause 11.4.2, line 4 c)

Change:

"The CTSetup.request primitive is used to request call establishment from TRTSE."  
to

- "The CTSetup.request primitive is used to request call establishment to TRTSE"
- 2) Editorial - Clause 11.4.2, line 5 d)
- Change:
- "The CTSetup.confirm primitive is used to indicate success of call establishment to TRTSE."
- to
- "The CTSetup.confirm primitive is used to indicate success of call establishment from TRTSE."
- 3) Editorial - Clause 11.5.2, line 6 e)
- Change:
- "The CTIdentify.indication primitive is used to request a call identification."
- to
- "The CTIdentify.indication primitive is used to indicate a call identification."
- 4) Editorial - Clause 11.5.2, line 11,12 j)
- Change:
- "The CTComplete.request primitive may be used by GKs to request sending of call transfer information to the transferred-to user."
- to
- "The CTComplete.request primitive may be used by GKs to request sending of call transfer information to the transferred-to endpoint."
- 5) Editorial - Clause 11.5.2, line 13,14 k)
- Change:
- "The CTComplete.indication primitive is used to indicate call transfer information to the transferred-to endpoint."
- to
- "The CTComplete.indication primitive is used to indicate call transfer information to the transferred-to user."
- 6) Editorial - Clause 11.6.2, line 2
- Change:
- "CT-T1 - Timer CT-T1 shall operate at the TRGSE during state CT-Await-Identify-Response. Its purpose is to protect against the absence of response to the CTIdentify.request."
- to
- "CT-T1 - Timer CT-T1 shall operate at the TRGSE during state CT-Await-Identify-Response. Its purpose is to protect against the absence of response to the CTIdentify.invoke."
- 7) Editorial – Clause 13.4, FIGURE 25 (sheet 2 of 3, 4th branch) of H.450.2  
(i.e. FIGURE 22/H.450.2 (sheet 2 of 3, 4th branch) of H.450.2 (2/98) publication)
- Change:




"T4 Timeout"

to

"CT-T4 Timeout"

In addition, the type of symbol was mistake. Time-Out event is an internal event.



---

[End Correction]

#### 6.6.2.2 Clarification of CallIdentifier and ConferenceIdentifier

<b>Description:</b>	<p>A clarification of the setting of H.225.0 elements <b>CallIdentifier</b> and <b>ConferenceIdentifier</b> values in conjunction with H.450.2 transferred calls has been added within a new clause 10.7 "Interactions with H.225.0 parameters".</p> <p><i>Special Note: This section appeared in the May 1999 Implementers Guide, but stated that the CallIdentifier should be the same for transferred calls. That definition contradicted H.323v2's definition of the CallIdentifier, so this section has been changed to align with H.323v2 and higher.</i></p>
---------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

[Begin Correction]

#### 10.7 Interactions with H.225.0 parameters

The H.225.0 CallIdentifier value of the transferred call shall use a new value, rather than the value that was used in the primary call.

The H.225.0 ConferenceIdentifier of a transferred call may use a new value. However, the ConferenceIdentifier of an existing conference (multipoint conference) shall not be altered.

---

[End Correction]

#### 6.6.2.3 Transfer without Consultation

<b>Description:</b>	<p>An exceptional procedure for a transferred endpoint B actions has been added in clause 8.2.1 to allow call transfer without consultation to take place successfully even if the transferred-to endpoint C does either not support H.450.2 or not support H.450 at all. Furthermore, clause 6 was enhanced to allow a different Interpretation APDU setting.</p>
---------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

[Begin Correction]

### 6 Messages and Information elements

...

When conveying the invoke APDU of operation callTransferSetup, the Interpretation APDU shall contain value clearCallIfAnyInvokePduNotRecognized in case of Transfer with Consultation. In case of Call Transfer without Consultation, the Interpretation APDU shall be set to value discardAnyUnrecognizedInvokePdu.

---

*[End Correction]*

---

*[Begin Correction]*

---

### **8.2.1 Transfer without Consultation with transferred-to endpoint C not supporting H.450.2**

a) When receiving a CONNECT message from endpoint C (that does not include a response to the callTransferSetup Invoke APDU) while being in state CT-Await-Setup-Response, the transferred endpoint B should continue as if a callTransferSetup Return Result APDU would have been received. This allows endpoint B to successfully continue with the Call Transfer procedures (including appropriate internal call transfer state handling and clearing of the primary call to the transferring endpoint A). This exceptional procedure enables successful Call Transfer even if the transferred-to endpoint C does not support H.450 at all.

b) When a RELEASE COMPLETE message as a response to a SETUP message containing callTransferSetup Invoke APDU is received in endpoint B on the transferred call attempt, possibly containing callTransferSetup Return Error or Reject APDU, then endpoint B may retry call establishment to endpoint C using a normal basic call. Upon receiving the CONNECT message from endpoint C, endpoint B may continue with the procedures as described in a) above.

Note that this procedure may apply if endpoint C supports H.450.1 but no H.450.2 and if endpoint B has not selected the recommended Interpretation APDU value discardAnyUnrecognizedInvokePdu but has set the value to clearCallIfAnyInvokePduNotRecognized.

---

*[End Correction]*

## **6.6.3 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.3 (1998)**

### **6.6.3.1 Editorial Correction in H.450.3**

<b>Description:</b>	Typographical errors have been discovered in H.450.3 clause 12 SDLs.
---------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

---

Editorial – Clause 12 SDL FIGURES 21 (most right branch), 22 (most right branch), 23 (most right branch), 28 (sheet 1 of 4, second right branch) of H.450.3

(i.e. FIGURES 19,20,21 and 24 (sheet 1 of 4) of H.450.3 of H.450.3 (2/98) published).


The type of symbol was mistake. Time-Out event is an internal event.

Note: The text within the referred symbols remains unchanged.

change



to



---

*[End Correction]*

### 6.6.3.2 Clarification of the CallIdentifier and ConferenceIdentifier

<b>Description:</b>	<p>A clarification of the setting of H.225.0 elements <b>CallIdentifier</b> and <b>ConferenceIdentifier</b> values in conjunction with H.450.3 forwarded calls has been added within a new clause 9.9.3 "Interactions with H.225.0 parameters".</p> <p><i>Special Note: This section appeared in the May 1999 Implementers Guide, but stated that the CallIdentifier should be the same for diverted calls. That definition contradicted H.323v2's definition of the CallIdentifier, so this section has been changed to align with H.323v2 and higher.</i></p>
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[Begin Correction]

#### 9.9.3 Interactions with H.225.0 parameters

The H.225.0 **CallIdentifier** of a forwarded call shall use a new value, rather than the value that was used in the forwarding call.

The H.225.0 **ConferenceIdentifier** of a forwarded call may use a new value. However, the **ConferenceIdentifier** of an existing conference (multipoint conference) shall not be altered.

[End Correction]

### 6.6.3.3 Correction to the ASN.1

<b>Description:</b>	A typographical error has been discovered in the ASN.1 definitions presented in H.450.3, Chapter 11.
---------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[Begin Correction]

~~H225InformationElement FROM H225-Generic-parameters-definition~~

[End Correction]

### 6.6.4 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.4 (1999)

#### 6.6.4.1 Change Relating to Interpretation APDU

<b>Description:</b>	<p>In order to align H.450.4 with other H.450-series A modified description of the Call Hold Interpretation APDU (i-apdu) setting has been added in clause 6 of Recommendation H.450.4.</p> <p>This information will be contained in the revision 2 of H.450.4 Recommendation to be published by the ITU-T. The modified text is shown below.</p>
---------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[Begin Correction]

## 6 Messages and Information elements

...

When conveying the Invoke APDU of operations **remoteHold** and **remoteRetrieve**, the Interpretation APDU shall be omitted or shall contain the value **rejectAnyUnrecognizedInvokePdu**.

---

*[End Correction]*

#### 6.6.4.2 Feature Interaction between H.450.4 and H.450.2

<b>Description:</b>	<p>A modified description of the Call Hold interaction with Call Transfer has been added in clause 9.2.1 of Recommendation H.450.4.</p> <p>This information will be contained in the revision 2 of H.450.4 Recommendation to be published by the ITU-T. The modified text is shown below.</p>
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

##### 9.2.1 Call Transfer (H.450.2)

If prior to Consultation, the first call has been put on hold, the served User endpoint shall decide whether or not to automatically retrieve the held User before Call Transfer is invoked.

- If the served User endpoint decides for the automatic retrieve option, a **retrieveNotify** Invoke APDU (in case of near end call hold) or a **remoteRetrieve** Invoke APDU (in case of remote-end call hold) may either be sent by the served user prior to the message containing the **callTransferInitiate** Invoke APDU or may be sent within the same message containing the **callTransferInitiate** Invoke APDU.

If call transfer fails after retrieval from hold was successful (i.e. if **callTransferInitiate** Return Error or Reject APDU is received or if timer CT-T3 expires), the served user endpoint may automatically re-invoke SS-Hold.

If remote-end call hold retrieval is unsuccessful, in order to proceed with call transfer the **remoteRetrieve** Return Error or **remoteRetrieve** Reject APDU should be disregarded.

- If the served User endpoint decides to not choose the automatic retrieve option, call hold applies to the primary call until call transfer has been completed successfully (i.e. until the primary call is cleared). If transfer fails, the primary call remains being held by User A.

---

*[End Correction]*

#### 6.6.5 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.5 (1999)

##### 6.6.5.1 Clarification of the CallIdentifier

<b>Description:</b>	<p>A clarification of the setting of H.225.0 element CallIdentifier in conjunction with H.450.5 parked calls has been added within clause 8.3 "Interactions with H.225.0 parameters".</p> <p>This information will be contained in the revision 2 of H.450.5 Recommendation to be published by the ITU-T. The modified text is shown below.</p>
---------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

### 8.3 Interaction with H.225.0 parameters

The H.225.0 **CallIdentifier** value within a parked call shall use a new value, rather be set to the CallIdentifier value that was used in the primary call. For all other SETUP messages carrying SS-PARK or SS-PICKUP related APDUs as defined within this recommendation, new CallIdentifier values shall be used. Note that the CallIdentifier value of the parked/alerting call is preserved during the SS-PARK / SS-PICKUP procedure within the H.450 APDUs.

#### 6.6.6 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.6 (1999)

There are no corrections for H.450.6.

#### 6.6.7 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.7 (1999)

##### 6.6.7.1 Change Relating to Interpretation APDU

<b>Description:</b>	<p>In order to align H.450.7 with other H.450-series, a modified description of the Message Waiting Indication Interpretation APDU (i-apdu) setting has been added in clause 7.1.1 of Recommendation H.450.7.</p> <p>This information will be contained in the revision 2 of H.450.7 Recommendation to be published by the ITU-T. The modified text is shown below.</p>
---------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

##### 7.1.1 H.450.1 Supplementary Service APDU

...

When conveying the Invoke APDU of operations **mwActivate**, **mwDeactivate**, and **mwInterrogate**, the interpretation APDU shall be omitted or shall contain the value **rejectAnyUnrecognizedInvokePdu**. ~~This is implicitly equivalent to specifying an interpretation APDU of rejectAnyUnrecognizedInvokePDU.~~

#### 6.6.8 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.8 (2000)

There are no corrections for H.450.8.

#### 6.6.9 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.9 (2000)

There are no corrections for H.450.9.

#### 6.6.10 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.10 (2000)

There are no corrections for H.450.10.

## 6.6.11 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.11 (2000)

There are no corrections for H.450.11.

## 6.6.12 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.450.12 (2001)

### 6.6.12.1 Technical Correction

<b>Description:</b>	The receipt of a CmnInform APDU at User A's Endpoint is not described. Therefore add the text below at the end of section 7.1.1.1 ANF-CMN invocation.
---------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

#### 7.1.1.1 ANF-CMN invocation

...

Upon receipt of a CmnInform invoke APDU in any message, the Originating endpoint shall remain in the current state.

---

*[End Correction]*

### 6.6.12.2 Add definition of the states CMN-Wait-Response and CMN-Wait-Answer-Response

<b>Description:</b>	The states CMN-Wait-Response and CMN-Wait-Answer-Response are used only in the SDL diagrams but are not defined anywhere. To avoid confusion, a definition of their meaning is added in section 13.
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

## 13. Specification and Description Language (SDL) Diagrams for ANF-CMN

...

In the following SDLs the states CMN-Wait-Response and CMN-Wait-Answer-Response are used to describe the behavior of the Endpoints using explicit primitive exchange.

The state CMN-Wait-Response is entered at the Endpoint after a primitive CMNRequest indication is received and the previous state was CMN-Idle.

The state CMN-Wait-Answer-Response is entered at the Endpoint after a primitive CMNRequest indication is received and the previous state was CMN-Wait-Answer.

---

*[End Correction]*


### 6.6.7.3 Redesign the SDL Diagrams, add two missing collision branches and delete an erroneous message symbol

<b>Description:</b>	Two collision branches are missing: add in section 13.1 Figure 8/H.450.12 the possible receipt of a CMNInform request from the application in state CMN-Wait-Answer and in Figure 9/H.450.12 the possible receipt of a CMNRequest request in state CMN-Wait-Response. In Figure 9/H.450.12 the receipt of a CMNInform Request in state CMN-
---------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------


Wait-Response shall be ignored and the message with CMNInform invoke APDU shall not be forwarded to endpoint B.

*[Begin Correction]*

Editorial - Replace the indicated diagrams by the following:



**Figure 8/H.450.12 – SDL Representation of ANF-CMN at Endpoint A (Part 3)**



**Figure 9/H.450.12 – SDL Representation of ANF-CMN at Endpoint A (Part 3)**

*[End Correction]*

## 6.7 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.341 (1999)

### 6.7.1 Corrections to the RAS MIB in H.341

<b>Description:</b>	A few editorial errors have been identified in the RAS MIB in H.341. The following text describes the necessary corrections.
---------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

- 1) **RasAdmissionTableEntry** SEQUENCE, the field **RASAdmissionCallIdentifier** is inserted twice. The second entry shall be removed.
- 2) Each field in **CallSignalStatsEntry** SEQUENCE referred to the number of messages received ("In") and the number of messages transmitted ("Out"). These counters shall be combined. The new **CallSignalStatsEntry** SEQUENCE is shown below:

*[Begin Correction]*

```

CallSignalStatsEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
    callSignalStatsCallConnectionsIn
        Counter32,
    callSignalStatsCallConnectionsOut
    Counter32,

```



```

        callSignalStatsAlertingMsgsIn
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsAlertingMsgsOut
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsCallProceedingsIn
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsCallProceedingsOut
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsSetupMsgsIn
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsSetupMsgsOut
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsSetupAckMsgsIn
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsSetupAckMsgsOut
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsProgressMsgsIn
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsProgressMsgsOut
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsReleaseCompleteMsgsIn
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsReleaseCompleteMsgsOut
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsStatusMsgsIn
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsStatusMsgsOut
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsStatusInquiryMsgsIn
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsStatusInquiryMsgsOut
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsFacilityMsgsIn
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsFacilityMsgsOut
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsInfoMsgsIn
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsInfoMsgsOut
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsNotifyMsgsIn
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsNotifyMsgsOut
            Counter32,
        callSignalStatsAverageCallDuration
            Integer32
    }

```

---

*[End Correction]*

- 3) In **RasRegistrationTableEntry** SEQUENCE, **rasRegistrationEndpointType** is defined to be type "Integer32" and should be defined as type "MmH323EndpointType".

## 6.7.2 Support for Expanded Country Code Values in T.35

<b>Description:</b>	T.35 (1999) expanded the available country codes from one octet to two octets. In order to support the expanded country codes going forward, it is recommended that implementers make the following changes to these definitions in H.341.
---------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

---

```
h323TermSystemt35CountryCode OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255)
    MAX-ACCESS read-only
    STATUS current
    DESCRIPTION
        "Country code, per T.35 Annex A."
    ::= { h323TermSystemEntry 5 }
h323TermSystemt35CountryCodeExtention OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX INTEGER (0..255)
    MAX-ACCESS read-only
    STATUS current
    DESCRIPTION
        "Assigned nationally, unless the country code
is 255, in which case this value shall contain
the country code found in T.35 Annex B."
    ::= { h323TermSystemEntry 6 }
```

*[End Correction]*

---

## 6.8 Technical and Editorial Corrections to Annex G/H.225.0 (1999)

### 6.8.1 Multiple Usage Indications for the Same Call

<b>Description:</b>	H.225 Annex G does not fully define the behavior when more than one <b>UsageIndication</b> message is received for the same <b>callIdentifier</b> and <b>senderRole</b> , although <b>usageCallStatus</b> of <b>callInProgress</b> implies that there will be another later <b>UsageIndication</b> . This text clarifies the text in Annex G/H.225.0 and will be inserted into the next version of Annex G published by the ITU.
---------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

---

#### G.7.4.1 Multiple Usage Indications for the Same Call

Multiple Usage Indications for the same call provide increasingly more up to date information on the same media types, or usage information about new media types created in the same call. Also, since border elements may take over calls while being in progress, not all the Usage Indications necessarily originate from the same border element. The following rules define the semantics:

1. UsageIndication received with a usageCallStatus of callInProgress implies a subsequent UsageIndication with the same callIdentifier and senderRole should be received. If the recipient is configured for fault recovery it may choose to conclude after a configured time interval with no further UsageIndication messages, that a fault has occurred and recover whatever data it can from the received UsageIndication messages.
2. Subsequent UsageIndication messages with the same usageField ids should report a startTime matching the endTime of the previous message (although this may be impossible for an alternate border element). Recipients shall assume each report is for a distinct period. Other information in the usageField overrides the information received in previous messages with the same usageField id.
3. A border element should send a new Usage Indication for each change in the media type during the call, e.g., audio stopped and fax started, or a codec has changed. If multiple

media types are engaged at the same time (e.g. audio & video) they should be reported in the same UsageIndication message.

---

*[End Correction]*

---

*[Begin Correction]*

---

#### G.7.4 Usage Information Exchange

Administrative domains may request other domains to provide them information about the usage of resources in specific calls. UsageIndication messages may be provided at any stage of the call. Also, multiple usage indications may be sent for the same call, each one with possibly more up to date information, or reporting on consecutive call segments or different media type usage. See section 1.7.4.1 for detail.

...

---

*[End Correction]*

---

*[Begin Correction]*

---

#### G.8.2.28 Usage Indication

Report call details and usage information. This message is sent with respect to the last UsageSpecification element received by the BE concerning the call.

Field	Description
CallInfo	The call for which the indication applies.
AccessTokens	The access tokens for the call. These are the tokens that were received in the address template used for the call, and propagated in the AccessRequest / Setup message for the same call.
SenderRole	The role of the sender of the indication: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Originator – originating party.</li> <li>• Destination – terminating party.</li> <li>• NonStandard – other.</li> </ul>
UsageCallStatus	The current status of the call: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• PreConnect</li> <li>• CallInProgress</li> <li>• callEnded</li> <li>• <u>RegistrationLost</u></li> </ul>

SourceAddress	E.164 or e-mail address of the caller party. In case of E.164 this designates the ANI/CLI.
DestAddress	E.164 or e-mail address for the called party,
StartTime	The time the call started in UTC format. Relevant only for calls that passed the setup stage. <u>For multiple media types used in the call, each media type should report a different StartTime, corresponding to the time at which that media stream started. For periodic messages StartTime should correspond with the EndTime of the previous message.</u>
EndTime	The time the call ended in UTC format. Relevant only for ended calls. <u>For multiple media types used in the call, each media type shall report a different EndTime corresponding to the time at which that media stream ended. For periodic messages, EndTime is the time which ends a reporting period.</u>
TerminationCause	The reason for the end of the call. Relevant only for ended calls.
usageInformation	Set of fields of information. Each field is represented by a <u>UsageField</u> which can be a standard or non-standard. Standard UsageFields are for future study.

...

---

*[End Correction]*

## 6.8.2 Identifying the Terminated Service Relationship

<b>Description:</b>	In the <b>ServiceRelease</b> message, there is no information to identify the service relationship that is being terminated.
---------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

## G.8.2 Message Definitions

...

<u>ServiceID</u>	<p><u>This identifier identifies a particular service relationship session between two border elements. Whenever a border element receives a ServiceRequest message requesting the establishment of a new service relationship (which is indicated by the absence of the service ID field in the ServiceRequest message), it allocates a <b>globally</b> unique serviceID and returns it to the sender of the ServiceRequest message in the ServiceConfirm message.</u></p> <p><u>Once a service relationship has been established, the service ID is included in all subsequent messages with the border element (e.g. usage indication, descriptorID request, descriptor request, access request). This is used by the recipient border element to check if it has a service relationship with the sender of the message.</u></p>
------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

...

---

*[End Correction]*

---

*[Begin Correction]*

---

#### G.8.2.6 Service Confirmation

A border element in receipt of a ServiceRequest message responds with a ServiceConfirmation message to indicate that it agrees to establish a service relationship. Every new service relationship is identified by a service identifier. Whenever a border element receives a ServiceRequest message without a service ID, it allocates a unique service ID and returns it to the sender of the service request message in the "service confirm" message. If the border element already has a service relationship with the border element that sent the ServiceRequest message, sending ServiceConfirmation indicates that the terms of the original relationship are terminated and replaced with the new terms. The ServiceConfirmation message shall contain the same service ID that was sent in the ServiceRequest message. A border element that receives a ServiceRequest message containing a service ID that it does not recognize shall respond with a ServiceRejection message.

...

---

*[End Correction]*

#### 6.8.3 Need to Provide a replyAddress when using Bi-directional Connections

<b>Description:</b>	<p>Currently a request message sent over bi-directional connection oriented transport like TCP is not expected to have the <b>replyAddress</b> element in the <b>AnnexGCommonInfo.replyAddress</b>. This implies that a receiver can send data to the sender only as long as the TCP connection is up. This results in a problem if a "response" needs to be sent to the sender after the original TCP connection has been released, because the receiver does not have the transport address of the sender. E.g.: this could happen when a <b>ServiceRelease</b> needs to be generated long after the establishment of a service relationship.</p> <p>The following corrections shall be applied to Annex G/H.225.0.</p>
---------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

---

#### G.8.2 Message Definitions

...

<u>ReplyAddress</u>	<u>This is the address to which to send the reply to a request message. All request messages shall include a <b>replyAddress</b> except for cases where the address can be derived from the transport layer. On IP networks, if the sender of the request message is listening on the default port (2099), then the reply address need not be included. In such a case, the receiver obtains the transport address of the sender by appending default port (2099) to the IP address of the sender as received in the IP header of the request packet.</u>
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[End Correction]*

---

A footnote shall also be added to the "ReplyAddress" definition that reads:

BEs are assumed not to be hidden behind network address translation (NAT) devices, thus it is not required to prefer the transport address over the **replyAddress**, as is the case for RAS messages.

#### 6.8.4 Sending UsageIndications without a Service Relationship

<b>Description:</b>	Currently Annex G specifications mandate that usage Indication message cannot be sent out unless there is a service relationship between two border elements. Since a border element is not mandated to have a service relationship in a secured environment (or in an environment where security issues are handled by non-Annex G procedures), it is limiting that such border elements cannot exchange usage indication messages.  The following corrections shall be applied to Annex G/H.225.0.
---------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

---

#### G.7.4 Usage Information Exchange

...

Usage Indications may be exchanged irrespective of whether the two border elements have a service relationship between them. However the policy of a border element may not allow such exchanges without a service relation. In such a case, the border element may reject the usage indication message, with an error code **noServiceRelationship**. ~~Usage Indications may be exchanged only if the two border elements have service relationship between them.~~

...

---

*[End Correction]*

---

---

*[Begin Correction]*

---

#### G.8.2.5 Service Request

...

The recipient of the ServiceRequest may indicate alternate border elements that the sender of ServiceRequest may try for backup service. Establishing a service relationship ~~Establishment of a service relationship is mandatory for Usage Indication message exchanges. Otherwise, it~~ is an optional procedure, although a border element's policy may require such a relationship.

...

---

*[End Correction]*

---

#### 6.8.5 Changes to the ASN.1 in Annex G/H.225.0

<b>Description:</b>	This section shows the changes to the ASN.1 required to support the changes and corrections to Annex G/H.225.0.
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

## Message Syntax

...

AnnexGCommonInfo ::= SEQUENCE

```
{
    sequenceNumber      INTEGER(0...65535),
    version              AnnexGVersion,
    hopCount             INTEGER (1...255),
    replyAddress         SEQUENCE OF TransportAddress OPTIONAL,
    integrityCheckValue  ICV OPTIONAL,
    tokens               SEQUENCE OF ClearToken OPTIONAL
    cryptoTokens         SEQUENCE OF CryptoH323Token OPTIONAL
    nonStandard          SEQUENCE OF NonStandardParameter OPTIONAL,
    .../
    serviceID            ServiceID OPTIONAL
}
```

ServiceID ::= GloballyUniqueID

UsageCallStatus ::= CHOICE

```
{
    preConnect          NULL,      -- Call has not started
    callInProgress      NULL,      -- Call is in progress
    callEnded           NULL,      -- Call ended
    .../
    registrationLost    NULL      -- Uncertain if call ended or not
}
```

UsageSpecification ::= SEQUENCE

```
{
    sendTo              ElementIdentifier,
    when SEQUENCE
    {
        never           NULL OPTIONAL,
        start           NULL OPTIONAL,
        end             NULL OPTIONAL,
        period          INTEGER(1..65535) OPTIONAL,  -- in seconds
        failures        NULL OPTIONAL,
        ...
    },
    required            SEQUENCE OF OBJECT IDENTIFIER,
    preferred           SEQUENCE OF OBJECT IDENTIFIER,
    .../
    sendToBEAddress     AliasAddress OPTIONAL
}
```

GlobalTimeStamp ::= IA5String (SIZE(14))

```
-- UTC in the form YYYYMMDDHHmmSS
-- where YYYY = year, MM = month, DD = day,
-- HH = hour, mm = minute, SS = second
-- (for example, 19981219120000 for noon
-- 19 December 1998)
```

ServiceRejectionReason ::= CHOICE

```
{
    serviceUnavailable  NULL,
    serviceRedirected   NULL,
    security            NULL,
    continue            NULL,
}
```

```

        undefined          NULL,
        ..._
        unknownServiceID   NULL
    }

```

...

---

*[End Correction]*

## 6.8.6 Clarification Relating to Service Relationships

<b>Description:</b>	The text in the section describing the fields for the Usage Specification suggests that an endpoint should have a service relationship with a border element, but this is entirely optional. The text altered to clarify the fact that this is, indeed, optional.
---------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

### G.8.2.4.5 Usage Specification

SendTo	Border element to send the UsageIndication messages to. <del>Since</del> <u>If the sender should have has a service relationship with that</u> border element, this is the element identifier returned in the ServiceConfirmation message.
--------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[End Correction]*

## 6.8.7 Corrections for the Usage Indication Rejection

<b>Description:</b>	The reasons for a Usage Indication Rejection in the field descriptions do not align with the ASN.1 and are also not fully defined. The corrected text is shown below.
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

### G.8.2.30 Usage Indication Rejection

Reason	<p>This is the reason the border element rejected the UsageIndication message. Choices are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <del>InvalidCall</del> <u>UnknownCall - The call specified in the UsageIndication is not a recognized call.</u></li> <li>• <u>Incomplete - The UsageIndication did not contain all the information required by the UsageSpecification that applies to this UsageIndication.</u></li> <li>• <u>Security - The UsageIndication did not meet the recipient's security requirements.</u></li> </ul>
--------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------



- NoServiceRelationship- The recipient will exchange this information only after establishment of a service relationship.
- Undefined – The reason for rejecting the UsageIndication does not match any of the other choices.

*[End Correction]*

### 6.8.8 Corrections to tables and Diagrams

<b>Description:</b>	It was pointed out that there are unintended ambiguous identifiers assigned as zone descriptor values in the tables and figures in sections 1.9.1, 1.9.1.1, 1.9.2, and 1.9.2.1. The diagrams below replace the corresponding tables/figures those sections.
---------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The table in 1.9.1 should be replaced with the below table.

*[Begin Correction]*


Administrative Domain	Template definition	Comment
A	Descriptor “d1”: Pattern = 1732* Transport address = BE <sub>A</sub> call signal address Message type = sendSetup	Signaling for any call into AD A will be through AD A’s border element.
B	Descriptor “ <del>d1</del> d2”: Pattern = 1908* Transport address = BE <sub>B</sub> annex g address Message type = sendAccessRequest  Descriptor “ <del>d2</del> d3”: Pattern = 1908953* Transport address = GW <sub>B1</sub> CALL SIGNALLING address Message type = sendSetup	For calls to 1908*, an AccessRequest message is needed to get the destination’s (i.e., a gateway) call signaling address.  For calls to 1908953*, the Setup can be sent directly to this particular gateway.
C	Descriptor “ <del>d4</del> d4”: Pattern = 1303538* Transport address = GK <sub>C1</sub> call signal address Message type = sendSetup  Descriptor “ <del>d2</del> d5”: Pattern = 1303* Transport address = BE <sub>C</sub> annex g address	Calls to 1303538* will be routed through this particular gatekeeper.  Calls to 1303* can be signalled directly to the destination gateway, but an AccessRequest must be sent to obtain the gateway’s call signaling address.

	Message type = sendAccessRequest	
--	----------------------------------	--

*[End Correction]*

The figure in section 1.9.1.1 shall be replaced with the table below.

*[Begin Correction]*



**Figure G.8/H.225.0 - Example of Descriptor Exchange**

*[End Correction]*

The table in 1.9.2 should be replaced with the below table.

*[Begin Correction]*

Administrative Domain	Template definition	Comment
D	<p>Descriptor "d1":</p> <p>Pattern = 1908*</p> <p>Transport address = BE<sub>D</sub> annex g address</p> <p>Message type = sendAccess Request</p> <p>Descriptor "d2":</p> <p>Pattern = 1908953*</p> <p>Transport address = GW<sub>D1</sub> Call Signalling address</p> <p>Message type = sendSetup</p>	<p>For calls to 1908*, an Access Request message is needed to get the destination's (i.e., a gateway) call signaling address.</p> <p>For calls to 1908953*, the Setup can be sent directly to this particular gateway.</p>
E	<p>Descriptor "<del>d1</del>d3":</p> <p>Pattern = 1303538*</p> <p>Transport address = GK<sub>E1</sub> call signal address</p>	<p>Calls to 1303538* will be routed through this particular gatekeeper.</p>


	<p>Message type = sendSetup</p> <p>Descriptor “<del>d2</del>d4”:</p> <p>Pattern = 1303*</p> <p>Transport address = BE<sub>E</sub> annex g address</p> <p>Message type = sendAccess Request</p>	<p>Calls to 1303* can be signalled directly to the destination gateway, but an AccessRequest must be sent to obtain the gateway’s call signaling address.</p>
CH	<p>Descriptor “d1”:</p> <p>Pattern = 1908*</p> <p>Transport address = BE<sub>D</sub> annex g address</p> <p>Message type = sendAccess Request</p> <p>Descriptor “d2”:</p> <p>Pattern = 1908953*</p> <p>Transport address = GW<sub>D1</sub> call signalling address</p> <p>Message type = sendSetup</p> <p>Descriptor “d3”:</p> <p>Pattern = 1303538*</p> <p>Transport address = GK<sub>E1</sub> call signal address</p> <p>Message type = sendSetup</p> <p>Descriptor “d4”:</p> <p>Pattern = 1303*</p> <p>Transport address = BE<sub>E</sub> annex g address</p> <p>Message type = sendAccess Request</p>	<p>The clearing house obtains descriptors from other ADs and holds this information for distribution during descriptor exchange.</p>

---

*[End Correction]*

The figure in section 1.9.2.1 shall be replaced with the figure below.

*[Begin Correction]*



*[End Correction]*

### 6.8.9 Receiving Descriptors

<b>Description:</b>	The wording of section G.7.1.2 specifies that a border element can request only statically configured templates from a remote border element. This is not correct - any template can be requested.
---------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

#### G.7.1.2 Receiving Descriptors

A border element may request ~~the statically configured~~ templates from another border element. The response to the request is decided by the border element from which the templates are being requested.

...

*[End Correction]*

### 6.8.10 Corrections Related to UTC

<b>Description:</b>	Various time-related fields should be specified as UTC.
---------------------	---------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

#### G.8.2.2 Descriptor Information

Descriptor information uniquely identifies the descriptor and indicates the last time the descriptor changed.

Field	Description
DescriptorID	This is a globally unique identifier used to identify this descriptor from among many possible descriptors.
LastChanged	This is the <u>UTC</u> date and time this descriptor was last changed.

[End Correction]

[Begin Correction]

### G.8.2.3.2 Pricing Information

...

ValidFrom	This is the <u>UTC</u> date and time from which this information is valid.
ValidUntil	This is the <u>UTC</u> date and time at which this information expires.

[End Correction]

### 6.8.11 Editorial Corrections

<b>Description:</b>	Editorial Corrections G.8.2.3.3 - changed "describing" to "descending" G.8.2.19 - changed "CallInfoNeeded" to "needCallInformation" G.8.2.27 - add missing descriptions to reason codes G.8.2.28 - change "usageInformation" to "usageFields"
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[Begin Correction]

### G.8.2.3.3 Contact Information

...

Security	Security mechanism in <del>describing</del> <u>descending</u> order of preference to be used when communicating with contact.
----------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

[End Correction]

[Begin Correction]

### G.8.2.19 Access Rejection

...

Reason	...
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <del>CallInfoNeeded</del><u>needCallInformation</u> – Specific call information was not present in the request.</li> </ul>

---

*[End Correction]*

---

*[Begin Correction]*

---

### G.8.2.27 Usage Rejection

The UsageRejection message is sent in response to a UsageRequest message to indicate that the recipient rejected the request and will not send the usage indications subsequently.

Field	Description
Reason	<p>This is the reason the border element rejected the UsageRequest. Choices are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• InvalidCall - <u>The call specified in the UsageRequest is not a recognized call.</u></li> <li>• Security - <u>The UsageRequest did not meet the recipient's security requirements.</u></li> <li>• Unavailable - <u>The recipient does not have usage information for the requested call.</u></li> <li>• noServiceRelationship - <u>The recipient will exchange this information only after establishment of a service relationship.</u></li> <li>• Undefined - <u>The reason for rejecting the UsageRequest does not match any of the other choices.</u></li> </ul>

---

*[End Correction]*

---

*[Begin Correction]*

---

### G.8.2.28 Usage Indication

	...
<u>usageInformation</u> <u>usageFields</u>	Set of fields of information. Each field is represented by a <i>UsageField</i> which can be a standard or non-standard. Standard UsageFields are for future study.

---

*[End Correction]*

## 6.8.12 Directing UsageIndications to Specific Border Elements

<b>Description:</b>	The "sendTo" field in the UsageSpecification is an identifier, and the border element receiving this field might not, in all cases, know how to resolve this identifier to the address of a destination border element to which UsageIndication messages should be sent. An additional field of type AliasAddress was added to the UsageSpecification structure to allow a
---------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	border element that receives a UsageSpecification to always be able to determine the address to where UsageIndication messages should be sent.
--	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Refer to section 6.8.5 for ASN.1 additions.

*[Begin Correction]*

#### G.8.2.4.5 Usage Specification

...

sendToBEAddress This is a resolvable address that, when resolved, specifies the address of a border element to which UsageIndication messages shall be sent. If the resolution of this field results in more than one address (for example, in the case where a DNS query returns a list of addresses), the border element shall send the UsageIndication messages to only one border element from the list.

If the border element does not succeed in sending to one address, it may choose another address from the list and attempt to send the UsageIndication messages to the new address. The border element may continue attempting each additional address in the list until it either receives a UsageIndicationConfirmation, a UsageIndicationRejection, or until there are no further addresses to attempt.

Note that the "sendToBEAddress" field is different from the "sendTo" field in the UsageSpecification. The "sendTo" field is an identifier. It can be the identifier of a specific border element (e.g., "border\_element1"), or it can be an identifier that logically represents a set of border elements (e.g., "border elements of my company").

The "sendToBEAddress" field resolves to one or more addresses.

*[End Correction]*

#### 6.8.13 Rejecting Service Requests Due to Unknown ServiceID Value

<b>Description:</b>	A deficiency was noted in Annex G wherein it was not possible for a Border Element to inform another Border Element that the reason that a ServiceRequest is rejected is due to the fact that an unknown service ID is provided. This correction is shown here and will appear in the next version of Annex G/H.225.0.
---------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Refer to section 6.8.5 for ASN.1 additions.

*[Begin Correction]*

reason This is the reason the border element rejected the ServiceRequest. Choices are:

...

- unknownServiceID - the serviceID field contained in the ServiceRequest message is not recognized by the border element

---

*[End Correction]*

## 6.9 Technical and Editorial Corrections to Annex C/H.246 (2000)

### 6.9.1 Additional Message Mappings

<b>Description:</b>	ISUP messages Release, Release Complete, Suspend and Resume are added to Table 1
---------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

ISUP message	H.225.0 message
<u>Release (REL)</u>	<u>RELEASE COMPLETE</u>
<u>Release Complete (RLC)</u>	<u>NA</u>
<u>Suspend (SUS)</u>	<u>NA</u>
<u>Resume (RES)</u>	<u>NA</u>

---

*[End Correction]*

### 6.9.2 Changes for Call Diversion

<b>Description:</b>	Changes are made to Table 2 for call diversion information, original called number, redirection information, redirection number, redirection number restriction and subsequent number. Generic notification indicator is added.
---------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------



*[Begin Correction]*

ISUP parameter	H.225.0 Information element
Call diversion information	<del>NA</del> <u>Notification indicator (non-H.450.3 endpoint)</u> <u>divertingLegInformation1 (H.450.3 endpoint)</u> – see tables 29, 30, 31
<u>Generic notification indicator</u>	<u>Notification indicator (non-H.450.3 endpoint)</u> <u>divertingLegInformation1 (H.450.3 endpoint)</u> – see tables 29, 30
Original called number	<del>NA</del> <u>divertingLegInformation2 (H.450.3 endpoint)</u>
Redirection information	<del>NA</del> <u>divertingLegInformation2 (H.450.3 endpoint)</u>
Redirection number	<del>NA</del> <u>divertingLegInformation1 (H.450.3 endpoint)</u> – see table 31
Redirection <u>number</u> restriction	<del>NA</del> <u>divertingLegInformation1 (H.450.3 endpoint)</u> – see table 31
Subsequent number	<del>NA</del> <u>Called party number</u>

*[End Correction]*

### 6.9.3 Redirecting Number Replaced with Call Diversion and Redirection Number

<b>Description:</b>	In sections C.6.1.3, C.6.1.4, C.6.1.5 and C.6.1.6 redirecting number is removed, call diversion information and redirection number restriction are added.
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

~~Redirecting number~~

~~NA~~

Call diversion information

See C.6.2.6

Redirection number restriction

See C.6.2.6

---

[End Correction]

#### 6.9.4 Call Diversion with and without H.450.3

<b>Description:</b>	Section C.7.2.8.3 now describes the mapping of the redirecting number, redirection information and original called number in a diverted call that is presented at an H.450.3 capable end-point from the PSTN. It also describes the mapping of the redirection number sent in the backward direction from the H.323 network to the PSTN.
---------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

[Begin Correction]

---

#### C.7.2.8.3 Interworking at the exchange where a diverted call is presented to a H.323 network

~~For further study.~~

##### **C.7.2.8.3.1 Gateways supporting H.450.3**

If a PSTN to H.323 gateway receives an IAM message containing redirecting number and redirection information parameters it forwards a H.225 SETUP message that includes an H.450.3 divertingLegInformation2 invoke APDU. The gateway is to operate as a combined H.450.3 rerouting endpoint and H.450.3 calling endpoint. The original called number may also be present in the IAM message.

**Table A/Annex C - Mapping ISUP redirecting parameters to H.450.3 APDU**

<u>IAM -&gt;</u>	<u>SETUP -&gt;</u>
	<u>divertingLegInformation2</u>
<u>Redirecting number</u>	<u>divertingNr</u>
<u>Redirection information</u>	
<u>Redirecting reason</u>	<u>diversionReason</u>
<u>Redirection counter</u>	<u>diversionCounter</u>
<u>Original redirection reason</u>	<u>originalDiversionReason</u>
<u>Original called number</u>	<u>originalCalledNr</u>

If the gateway receives an ALERTING, CONNECT or FACILITY message that contains a divertingLegInformation3 invoke APDU it sends an ISUP message to the calling party.

**Table B/Annex C – Mapping of H.450.3 APDU fields to ISUP parameters**

<u>&lt;- ACM, CPG, ANM</u>	<u>&lt;- ALERTING, FACILITY, CONNECT</u>
	<u>divertingLegInformation3</u>
<u>Generic notification indicator</u> <u>Call is diverting</u>	
<u>Redirection number</u>	<u>redirectionNr</u>
<u>Redirection number restriction</u>	<u>presentationAllowedIndicator</u>

**C.7.2.8.3.2 Gateways not supporting H.450.3**

If a gateway that does not support H.450.3 procedures receives an IAM message containing redirecting number and redirection information parameters it maps these parameters to a H.225.0 SETUP message that includes a redirecting number information element as shown in Table C. In the case of multiple diversions within the PSTN an original called number parameter may be present in the IAM message. In this case two redirecting number information elements are included in the SETUP message as shown in Table D: the first redirecting number information element is for the first diversion and the second redirecting number information element is for the last diversion.

**Table C/Annex C - Mapping of ISUP redirecting parameters for a non-H.450.3 gateway – single diversion**

<u>IAM -&gt;</u>	<u>SETUP -&gt;</u>
<u>Redirecting number parameter</u> <u>Nature of address (1)</u> <u>Numbering plan (2)</u> <u>Address signal (3)</u>	<u>Redirecting number information element</u> <u>Type of number (1)</u> <u>Numbering plan (2)</u> <u>Reason for diversion (4)</u> <u>Number digits (3)</u>
<u>Redirection information parameter</u> <u>Redirecting reason (4)</u>	
<u>The numbers in parentheses show the mapping of individual fields</u>	

**Table D/Annex C - Mapping of ISUP redirecting parameters for a non-H.450.3 gateway – multiple diversions**

<u>IAM -&gt;</u>	<u>SETUP -&gt;</u>
<u>Redirecting number parameter</u> <u>Nature of address (1)</u> <u>Numbering plan (2)</u> <u>Address signal (3)</u>	<u>Redirecting number information element</u> <u>Type of number (6)</u> <u>Numbering plan (7)</u>

	<u>Reason for diversion (5)</u> <u>Number digits (8)</u>
<u>Redirection information parameter</u>  <u>Redirecting reason (4)</u>  <u>Original redirection reason (5)</u>	
<u>Original called number parameter</u> <u>Nature of address (6)</u> <u>Numbering plan (7)</u> <u>Address signal (8)</u>	<u>Redirecting number information element</u> <u>Type of number (1)</u> <u>Numbering plan (2)</u> <u>Reason for diversion (4)</u>  <u>Number digits (3)</u>
The numbers in parentheses show the mapping of individual fields	

### 6.9.5 New Release Complete / Cause Mappings

<b>Description:</b>	New Release Complete reasons were added to H.225.0 (1999), which need to be represented in Annex C/H.246. Below show the modifications to the relevant tables.
---------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

**Table 15/ANNEX C – Call clearing from the user**

<b><u>RELEASE COMPLETE→</u></b>	<b><u>REL→</u></b>
Cause information element	Cause parameter
Cause value No. x	Cause value No. x (Notes 1 and 2)
ReleaseCompleteReason	Cause parameter
<u>newConnectionNeeded</u>	<u>47 – Resource Unavailable</u>
<u>nonStandardReason</u>	<u>127 – Interworking, unspecified</u>
<u>replaceWithConferenceInvite</u>	<u>31 – Normal, unspecified</u>

**Table 52/ANNEX C – Call clearing during call establishment**

<b><u>←REL</u></b>	<b><u>←RELEASE COMPLETE</u></b>
Cause parameter	Cause information element
Cause value No. x (Notes 1)	Cause value No. x
Cause parameter	ReleaseCompleteReason

<u>47 – Resource Unavailable</u>	<u>newConnectionNeeded</u>
<u>127 – Interworking, unspecified</u>	<u>nonStandardReason</u>
<u>31 – Normal, unspecified</u>	<u>replaceWithConferenceInvite</u>

**[End Correction]**

### 6.9.6 Single 64kbps Bearer FFS in Table 3

<b>Description:</b>	Technical corrections to Tables 3 and 6 of section C.6.1.1 are shown below. These corrections have to do with a single 64kbps bearer channel.
---------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**[Begin Correction]**

**Table 3/ANNEX C – Coding of the transmission medium requirement parameter (TMR)  
One BC received**

SETUP→		IAM→
Bearer capability information element		Transmission medium
Information transfer capability	Information transfer rate	requirement parameter
<i>Speech</i>	Value non-significant	<i>Speech</i>
<i>3.1 kHz audio</i>	Value non-significant	<i>3.1 kHz audio</i>
<i>Restricted digital information</i>	For further studies	For further studies
Unrestricted digital information  Or  Unrestricted digital information with tones/announcements	<i>64 kbit/s unrestricted</i>	<del><i>3.1 kHz audio FFS</i></del>
	<i>2 × 64 kbit/s unrestricted</i>	<i>2 × 64 kbit/s</i>
	<i>384 kbit/s unrestricted</i>	<i>384 kbit/s</i>
	<i>1536 kbit/s unrestricted</i>	<i>1536 kbit/s</i>
	<i>1920 kbit/s unrestricted</i>	<i>1920 kbit/s</i>
	<i>Multirate: 6 x 64 kbit/s</i>	<i>384 kbit/s</i>
	<i>Multirate: 24 x 64 kbit/s</i>	<i>1536 kbit/s</i>
	<i>Multirate: 30 x 64 kbit/s</i>	<i>1920 kbit/s</i>
NOTE: For a call originated from an H.323 endpoint, the Rate Multiplier shall be used to indicate the bandwidth to be used for this call. If a gateway is involved, then this value shall reflect the number of external connections to be set up. The bandwidth needed for the call is the bandwidth needed on the SCN side, and may or may not match the bandwidth allowed on the packet-based network by the ACF H.225.0 RAS messages.		

...

**Table 6/ANNEX C – Coding of the user service information parameter (USI)**

SETUP→	IAM→
Content	User service information parameter

BC	BC (Note 1)
NOTE 1 – The BC should be the same as that received in the SETUP with the exception of when the BC is 1x64k it should be replaced with 3.1kHz Audio. 1x64k BC is for further study.	

*[End Correction]*

### 6.9.7 Handling the Suspend Message

<b>Description:</b>	Technical corrections were applied to C.6.1.11 as described below.
---------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

#### C.6.1.11 Receipt of the Suspend message (SUS) network initiated

The actions taken on the ISUP side upon receipt of the Suspend message (SUS) are described in 2.4.1/Q.764 [1].

There is no support for Suspend message (SUS) network initiated on the H.225 side, so the actions taken should be the actions as described in Q.764 for the controlling exchange.

*[End Correction]*

### 6.9.8 Handling the Resume Message

<b>Description:</b>	Technical corrections were applied to C.6.1.12 as described below.
---------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

#### C.6.1.12 Receipt of the Resume message (RES) network initiated

The actions taken on the ISUP side upon receipt of the Resume message (RES) are described in 2.4.1/Q.764 [1].

There is no support for Resume message (RES) network initiated on the H.225.0 side, so the actions taken should be the actions as described in Q.764 for the controlling exchange.

*[End Correction]*

### 6.9.9 Editorial Corrections to Table 28

<b>Description:</b>	Editorial corrections were applied to Table 28 in C.6.2.3.
---------------------	------------------------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

Table 28 / ANNEX C Connected Party Number	
←CONNECT	←ANM/CON

<b>Connected Party-Number</b>	<b>Connected Party-Number</b> Or (note) <b>Generic Number</b> <b>(-additional Connected Party number)</b>
<b>ConnectedAddress</b>	<b>Connected Party-Number</b>
Note: If an additional Connected Party-number is included in the Generic Number then the additional Connected party-number should be sent in the Connected Party-number.	

---

*[End Correction]*

#### 6.9.10 Technical Correction Relating to Sending ACM

<b>Description:</b>	Section C.7.1.3 contains a technical error in the assignment of the values of M, K and I. The corrected text is shown below.
---------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

##### C.7.1.3 Sending of the Address Complete Message (ACM)

...

##### Backward call indicators

...

If bit I is ~~1~~0 then:

bit K        ISDN user part indicator  
           1        *ISDN user part used all the way*

~~If bit I is 0 then:~~

bit M        ISDN access indicator  
           ~~0~~1        *terminating access non-ISDN*

---

*[End Correction]*

#### 6.9.11 Clarification of Cut-Through Behavior

<b>Description:</b>	The following additional text is necessary to clarify cut-through behavior in Annex C.
---------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---


*[Begin Correction]*

##### C.6 Outgoing call - Interworking from H.225.0 to ISUP

In traditional telephone networks, cut-through occurs very early in the call (before the called party answers) to provide tones or announcements, and to eliminate clipping on answer while

the voice channel is being connected end-to-end. Section 8.1.7.4/H.323 describes the behavior for early cut-through (that is, cut-through before the H.225 Connect message).

For calls from the packet network to the circuit network, the best behavior would be to cut through in the backward direction on IAM, and on the forward direction on answer (to avoid fraud):




The notation “Alerting (PI)” indicates the presence of the progress indicator as described in section 8.1.7.4/H.323.

*[End Correction]*

*[Begin Correction]*

### C.7 Incoming call - Interworking from ISUP to H.225

In general, operation with an SS7 network where the call is from the circuit network to the packet network would be best if media is cut-through in both directions on the IAM (that is, cut-through occurs on the first response to a Setup in the H.323 network) as shown in the following diagram:



The notation “Call Proceeding (PI)” indicates the presence of the progress indicator as described in section 8.1.7.4H.323.

*[End Correction]*



## 6.9.12 Removal of Tones and Announcements from Bearer Capability

<b>Description:</b>	<p>Information Transfer Capability field in Bearer Capability IE in H.225.0 does not contain encoding for “Unrestricted Digital Information With Tones/Announcements” but only for “Unrestricted Digital Information” or “Restricted Digital Information”. The reference to “Tones/Announcement” should be deleted from the mapping of the Bearer Capability IE in Setup Transmission Medium Requirement Parameter in IAM.</p> <p>Table 3/Annex C, Table 7/Annex C, Table 9/Annex C, and Table 12/Annex C should be changed as below.</p>
---------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

---

Editorial - For changes to Table 3/Annex C, please refer to section 6.9.6 in this document.

---

*[End Correction]*

---



---

*[Begin Correction]*

---

**Table 7/ANNEX C – Receipt of ACM with a cause parameter**

←PROGRESS	←ACM
Cause information element (Note 1)	Cause parameter
Progress indicator No. 8 (Note 2)	Optional backward call indicators parameter
	In-band information ind. <i>In-band info...</i>
<p>NOTE 1 – If the cause value received in the Address Complete Message (ACM) is unknown in H.225.0, the unspecified cause value of the class is sent.</p> <p>NOTE 2 – The progress indicator No. 8 (<i>in-band information or an appropriate pattern is now available</i>) is only sent if the BC received in the SETUP message is coded <i>speech, or 3.1 kHz audio</i> <del>or unrestricted digital information with tones/announcements</del>.</p> <p>NOTE 3 – If a bearer is available then end interwork should apply the far end tone/announcement.</p>	

---

*[End Correction]*

---

**Table 9/ANNEX C – Sending criteria of the progress indicator information elements created by the ~~originating exchange~~interworking function**

←H.225.0 Message sent (See Table 8)	←ACM
Progress indicator information element	Content
No. 1 <i>(Call is not end-to-end ISDN: further progress information may be available in-band)</i>	Backward call indicators parameter ISDN User Part indicator 0 <i>ISDN User Part not used all the way</i>
No. 2 <i>(Destination address is non-ISDN)</i>	Backward call indicators parameter ISDN User Part indicator 1 <i>ISDN User Part used all the way</i> ISDN access indicator 0 <i>Terminating access non-ISDN</i>
No. 8 (Note) <i>(In-band information or appropriate pattern now available)</i>	Optional backward call indicators parameter In-band information indicator 1 <i>In-band info...</i>
NOTE – The progress indicator No. 8 ( <i>in-band information or an appropriate pattern is now available</i> ) is only sent if the BC received in the SETUP message is coded <i>speech</i> , <u>or 3.1 kHz audio</u> <del>or 1 x 64kHz unrestricted digital information</del> .	

**Table 12/ANNEX C – Sending criteria of the progress indicator information elements created by the ~~originating exchange~~interworking function**

←H.225.0 Message sent (See Table 11)	←CPG
Progress indicator information element	Content (Note 2)
No. 1 ( <i>Call is not end-to-end ISDN: further progress information may be available in-band</i> )	Backward call indicators parameter ISDN User Part indicator 0 <i>ISDN User Part not used all the way</i>
No. 2 ( <i>Destination address is non-ISDN</i> )	Backward call indicators parameter ISDN User Part indicator 1 <i>ISDN User Part used all the way</i> ISDN access indicator 0 <i>Terminating access non-ISDN</i>
No. 4 ( <i>Call has returned to the ISDN</i> )	Backward call indicators parameter ISDN User Part indicator 1 <i>ISDN User Part used all the way</i> ISDN access indicator 1 <i>Terminating access ISDN whereas the last indication received was "0", Terminating access non-ISDN</i>
No. 8 (Note 1) ( <i>In-band information or appropriate pattern now available</i> )	Event information parameter Event indicator 000 0011 <i>In-band info ...</i>
No. 8 (Note 1) ( <i>In-band information or appropriate pattern now available</i> )	Optional backward call indicators parameter In-band information indicator 1 <i>In-band info ...</i>
<p>NOTE 1 – The progress indicator No. 8 (<i>in-band information or an appropriate pattern is now available</i>) is only sent if the BC received in the SETUP message is coded <i>speech</i>, <u>or 3.1 kHz audio</u> <del>or 1 x 64kHz unrestricted digital information</del>.</p> <p>NOTE 2 – The mapping of the contents in the CPG message is only relevant if the information received in the message is different compared to earlier received information, e.g. in the ACM message or a CPG message received prior to this message.</p>	

### 6.9.13 Sending of Progress Indicator

<b>Description:</b>	There is an error in some descriptions regarding the generation of progress indicator in various H.225 messages as a result of receiving ISUP messages.
---------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

	This is corrected in the following updates.
--	---------------------------------------------

*[Begin Correction]*

**Table 8/ANNEX C – Message sent to the H.225.0 upon receipt of ACM**

←Message sent to the H.225	←ACM
	Backward call indicators parameter Called party's status indicator
CALL PROCEEDING when not been sent before (Note 1), otherwise: – PROGRESS if a progress indicator information element is to be sent (Note 2) – No message if no progress indicator information element is to be sent (Note 2, 4)	00 <i>No indication</i>
ALERTING	01 <i>Subscriber free (Note 3)</i>
<p>NOTE 1 – The receipt from the network of an Address Complete Message (ACM) without the <i>subscriber free</i> indication is interpreted by the network as a sending complete indication, in the case where the network couldn't determine it before.</p> <p>NOTE 2 – The sending of a progress indicator information element is described below.</p> <p>NOTE 3— <del>If the ACM does not contain a progress indicator the Interworking function should set Progress Indicator to 1 or 8.</del></p> <p>NOTE 4— The FACILITY message may be used anyway by the interworking function to transfer H.225.0 internal information e.g. the fastStart parameter. For the coding of the FACILITY message see Table 14/H.225.0 [7].</p>	

...

### Progress indicator

Progress indicator information elements possibly present in the access transport parameter of the Address Complete Message (ACM) are transferred into the message sent to the calling user. If the calling user is an H.323 end system it need not interpret this information element.

In addition, progress indicator information elements are created by the Interworking function according to the coding of the Address Complete Message (ACM). Table 9 shows the sending criteria of each value.

Every message sent to the access (ALERTING, CALL PROCEEDING or PROGRESS) may contain two progress indicator information elements. When more than two progress indicator information elements are to be sent, the supplementary progress indicator information elements are sent in a PROGRESS message.

*[End Correction]*

**Table 10/ANNEX C – Receipt of CPG with a cause parameter**

←PROGRESS	←CPG
Cause information element (Note 1)	Cause parameter
Progress indicator No. 8 (Note 2)	Event information parameter Event indicator <i>In-band info...</i> Or Optional backward call indicators parameter In-band information ind. <i>In-band info...</i>
<p>NOTE 1 – If the cause value received in the Call Progress Message (CPG) is unknown in H.225, the unspecified cause value of the class is sent.</p> <p>NOTE 2 – The progress indicator No. 8 (<i>in-band information or an appropriate pattern is now available</i>) is only sent if the BC received in the SETUP message is coded <i>speech, or 3.1 kHz audio or 1 x 64kHz unrestricted digital information</i>.</p> <p>NOTE 3 – <del>If the CPG does not contain a progress indicator the Interworking function should set Progress Indicator to 1 or 8.</del></p> <p>NOTE 4 – If the bearer is established the interwork function should initiate far end tone/announcement.</p>	

#### 6.9.14 Editorial Corrections

<b>Description:</b>	There are several inaccuracies in the document. The following changes correct them.
---------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Editorial - The Numbering plan indicator of the Called party number should be encoded as per the changed bit pattern below.

#### C.6.1.1 Sending of the Initial Address Message (IAM)

...

##### Called party number

...

– Numbering plan indicator:

001 ISDN (telephony) numbering plan (Recommendation E.164)

---

[End Correction]

Editorial - Table 13/Annex C should be corrected to indicate that the interworking function and not the originating exchange creates the progress indicator information elements.

---

[Begin Correction]

---

**Table 13/ANNEX C – Sending criteria of the progress indicator information elements created by the ~~originating exchange~~interworking function**

←CONNECT	←ANM
Progress indicator information element	Content
No. 1 ( <i>Call is not end-to-end ISDN: further progress information may be available in-band</i> )	Backward call indicators parameter ISDN User Part indicator 0 <i>ISDN User Part not used all the way</i>
No. 2 ( <i>Destination address is non-ISDN</i> )	Backward call indicators parameter ISDN User Part indicator 1 <i>ISDN User Part used all the way</i> ISDN access indicator 0 <i>terminating access non-ISDN</i>
No. 4 ( <i>Call has returned to the ISDN</i> )	Backward call indicators parameter ISDN User Part indicator 1 <i>ISDN User Part used all the way</i> ISDN access indicator 1 <i>terminating access ISDN</i> whereas the last indication received was "0" <i>terminating access non-ISDN</i>

---

[End Correction]

Editorial - The word “subaddress” should be inserted in the paragraph as below.

---

[Begin Correction]

---

#### C.7.1.1 Sending of the SETUP message

...

##### Calling party subaddress

In the case of GK routed call the interworking function should send the Calling Party Subaddress as received from the ISUP in the Access Transport Parameter.

---

*[End Correction]*

## 6.10 Technical and Editorial Corrections to Annex E/H.323

### 6.10.1 Editorial Corrections to Improve Readability

<b>Description:</b>	H.323 Annex E contains a number of ambiguous statements, which have created confusion among vendors attempting to implement the Annex. This section details editorial changes to the document, which should add clarity to the text.
---------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

#### E.1.1.6 Sender sequence number policy

Assigned per host-address ~~+~~and source-port, sending ~~applications~~application-Annex E layers shall start with some random value, incrementing by 1 for every PDU sent. If the sequence number reaches 224 (16 777 216) it shall wrap around to 0.

---

*[End Correction]*

---

*[Begin Correction]*

#### E.1.1.7 Receiver sequence number policy

When receiving a UDP packet, the ~~application-Annex E~~ layer shall check the host-address~~+~~<sub>2</sub> source-port~~+~~<sub>1</sub> and sequence number to recognize duplicate messages. The ~~application-Annex E~~ layer may re-order messages according to sequence numbers and recognize packet-loss when finding gaps in sequence numbers.

---

*[End Correction]*

---

*[Begin Correction]*

#### E.1.1.8 Retransmissions

...

When there is a known ~~request/reply~~roundtrip message interval value from a previous transmission, timer T-R1 should be set to ~~the~~that roundtrip message interval value +10%.

---

*[End Correction]*

---

*[Begin Correction]*

#### E.1.1.10 Forward error correction

Annex E messages may be sent more than once to enable forward error correction. If the arrival of a message is crucial, the ~~application-Annex E~~ layer may choose to send the same message twice (without incrementing the sequence number). If both messages arrive, the second one will be treated as normal message duplication.

---

*[End Correction]*

---

*[Begin Correction]*

---

#### **E.1.4.2.2.4 Restart Message**

...

If a restart does not affect on-going calls, then it is invisible to the ~~application~~ Annex E layer, and therefore shall not be signalled.

---

*[End Correction]*

---

*[Begin Correction]*

---

#### **E.1.2.2 Serial model**

In the serial-model, when a PDU is sent, ~~the application (or rather the Annex E stack)~~ layer waits until a positive reply is returned for the same Session-Identifier. This behaviour is used for protocols that cannot sustain out-of-order message arrival and require real-time operations while sending small amounts of information. An example of such a protocol is Q.931.

When using this model, the Ack-flag shall always be set for static-typed messages. Unless otherwise specified, Annex E implementations shall use the default retransmission timers (**T-R1** and **T-R2**) and counter (**N-R1**).

---

*[End Correction]*

---

*[Begin Correction]*

---

#### **E.2.2.1 UDP-based procedure**

...

~~Applications~~ The Annex E layer should retransmit a lost packet if it does not get a reply after some time. The precise retransmission procedure is detailed in E.1.1.8.

---

*[End Correction]*

---

*[Begin Correction]*

---

#### **E.2.2.2 Mixed TCP and UDP procedure**

...

This means that backwards compatibility when calling H.323 version 1 (1996) or 2 (1998) entities is transparent, as the v1/v2 H.323 application will not be aware of the UDP packet.

---

*[End Correction]*



*[Begin Correction]*

---

### E.2.3.2 Well-known port

UDP port **2517** shall be used for the well-known port. ~~Entities may transmit from any random port~~ All messages pertaining to a single session shall be transmitted from the same IP address and port.

---

*[End Correction]*

## 6.11 Technical and Editorial Corrections to ITU-T Recommendation H.283 (1999)

### 6.11.1 Support for Expanded Country Code Values in T.35

<b>Description:</b>	T.35 (1999) expanded the available country codes from one octet to two octets. In order to support the expanded country codes going forward, it is recommended that implementers take note of the following usage guidelines for fields in H.283.
---------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

---

*[Begin Correction]*

---

```

H221NonStandard ::= SEQUENCE
{
    t35CountryCode    INTEGER(0..255),    -- country, as per T.35 Annex A
    t35Extension      INTEGER(0..255),    -- assigned nationally, unless
    the
    t35CountryCode is binary 1111 1111,    --
    in which case this field shall
    contain the
    country code found
    in T.35
    Annex B
    manufacturerCode  INTEGER(0..65535)  -- assigned nationally
}

```

---

*[End Correction]*

## 7 Implementation Clarifications

### 7.1 Token Usage in H.323 Systems

There has been some confusion on the usage of individual **CryptoH323Tokens** as passed in RAS messages. There are two main categories of **CryptoH323Tokens**; those used for H.235 procedures and those used in an application specific manner. The use of these tokens should be according to the following rules:

- All H.235 defined (e.g. **cryptoEPPwdHash**, **cryptoGKPwdHash**, **cryptoEPPwdEncr**, **cryptoGKPwdEncr**, **cryptoGKCert**, and **cryptoFastStart**). shall be utilized with the procedures and algorithms as described in H.235.

- Application specific or proprietary use of tokens shall utilize the **nestedcryptoToken** for their exchanges.
- Any **nestedcryptoToken** used should have a **tokenOID** (object identifier) which unambiguously identifies it.

## 7.2 H.235 Random Value Usage in H.323 Systems

The random value that is passed in xRQ/xCF sequence between endpoints and Gatekeepers may be updated by the Gatekeeper. As described in section 4.2 of H.235 this random value may be refreshed in any xCF message to be utilized by a subsequent xRQ messages from the endpoint. Due to the fact that RAS messages may be lost (including xCF/xRJ) the updated random value may also be lost. The recovery from this situation may be the reinitializing of the security context but is left to local implementation.

Implementations that require the use of multiple outstanding RAS requests will be limited by the updating of the random values used in any authentication. If the updating of this value occurs on every response to a request, parallel requests are not possible. One possible solution, is to have a logical "window" during which a random value remains constant. This issue is a local implementation matter.

## 7.3 Gateway Resource Availability Messages

The Resources Available Indication (RAI) is a notification from a gateway to a gatekeeper of its current call capacity for each H-series protocol and data rate for that protocol. The gatekeeper responds with a Resources Available Confirmation (RAC) upon receiving a RAI to acknowledge its reception. A Gatekeeper should ignore any RAI notifications (e.g. send no RAC) upon receiving a RAI which contains bogus information (i.e. a bad endpointIdentifier).

## 7.4 OpenLogicalChannel in fastStart

In the H.225.0 ASN.1, **fastStart** is defined as SEQUENCE OF OCTET STRING OPTIONAL. The text definition states "This uses the **OpenLogicalChannel** structure defined in H.245..." Each OCTET STRING in **fastStart** is to contain the **OpenLogicalChannel** structure, not an entire request message.

## 7.5 Clarification in Q.931 (1993)

Table 4-3/Q.931 (1993) (Information Element Identifier Coding) shows that the Progress Indicator IE identifier is 0x1e, but Figure 4-29/Q.931 (octet layout of Progress Indicator IE) shows the identifier as 0x1f. Note that the identifier should be 0x1e.

## 7.6 Graceful Closure of TCP Connections

When a TCP connection is closed, the graceful closure procedure documented in section 3.5 of RFC 793 should always be used.

## 7.7 Race Condition on Simultaneous Close of Channels

Section 8.5 of H.323 describes the procedures that an endpoint follows to terminate a call. It should be noted that as prescribed in Step 6, both endpoints shall issue a Release Complete simultaneously. Endpoints should be prepared for this potential race condition.

## 7.8 Acceptance of Fast Connect

When an endpoint accepts the Fast Connect procedure, it may select from the proposed channels as specified in section 8.1.7.1/H.323. The Recommendation clearly specifies what fields shall be modified by the endpoint to accept both the forward and the reverse channels. An endpoint shall not modify any fields other than those specified in 8.1.7.1/H.323 when returning the proposed channels.

Newer versions of H.245 may introduce new fields into the **OpenLogicalChannel** sequence or one of the structures contained therein, as well as new procedures. An older endpoint is obviously not required to decode such new fields or to return such new fields when accepting any proposal. Implementers should consider the consequences of transmitting a newer H.245 OLC to an older endpoint. For the purposes of Fast Connect, the calling endpoint shall assume that the called endpoint's version of H.245 is the minimum version of H.245 necessary to be compliant with an H.323 device that advertises the version of H.225.0 transmitted in the messages from the called endpoint (refer to the "Summary" section of H.323).

## 7.9 Semantic Differences between Lightweight RRQs and IRQ/IRR Messages

The lightweight RRQ and the IRR message serve two different functions with an H.323 system. While both are a means of allowing the Gatekeeper to discover that an endpoint is alive, they also each serve separate, unique functions.

The lightweight RRQ is intended to prevent a registration with a Gatekeeper from expiring. The message is generated by the endpoint and does not require the Gatekeeper to poll each endpoint on a regular interval. This message is also a means of allowing the Gatekeeper to provide updated registration information, such as a new list of Alternate Gatekeepers, after the initial registration.

Version 1 of H.323 did not have the concept of a lightweight RRQ, so the IRQ/IRR exchange is the only mechanism available to determine endpoint status of Version 1 devices. However, the lightweight RRQ may be a better choice for determining endpoint status for Version 2 and higher devices.

The IRQ/IRR exchange allows the Gatekeeper to poll the endpoint periodically to discover if the endpoint is still alive. However, an IRR is also intended to convey details about current active calls. This can be used by the Gatekeeper to discover calls that have terminated, which may happen if the endpoint fails to properly send a DRQ message for a call. The IRR message also provides specific details about active calls.

## 7.10 Specifying the Payload Format for a Channel

Implementers should be conscientious of the fact that there are possibly multiple payload formats defined for media formats. For example, two payload formats are defined for H.263—one is defined for the Recommendation H.263 (1996) and one for Recommendation H.263 (1998). Other payload formats may be defined for existing codecs or revisions of those codecs. For interoperability, it is strongly advised that implementers provide the **mediaPacketization** element of the **h2250LogicalChannelParameters** sequence in the **OpenLogicalChannel** message so that there is no ambiguity as to which payload format is being used.


## 7.11 Version Dependencies in Annexes

It was noted that the Annexes to H.323 often fail to indicate the minimum version of H.323 and H.245 required for the Annex. This table is an attempt to clarify the version relationships:

<i>H.323 Annex</i>	<i>Minimum H.323 Version</i>	<i>Minimum H.245 Version</i>
<i>Annex Dv1 (1998)</i>	1998 (Version 2)	1998 (Version 4)
<i>Annex Dv2 (2000)</i>	2000 (Version 4)	2000 (Version 7)
<i>Annex E</i>	1998 (Version 2)	N/A
<i>Annex F</i>	1998 (Version 2)	N/A
<i>Annex G</i>	1998 (Version 2)	1998 (Version 4)
<i>Annex J</i>	1998 (Version 2)	N/A
<i>Annex M.1</i>	2000 (Version 4)	N/A
<i>Annex M.2</i>	2000 (Version 4)	N/A


## 7.12 Routing through Signaling Entities and Detecting Loops

In some call scenarios, a call may be routed through a signaling entity multiple times. For example, a call from Endpoint 1 (EP1) may be routed through Gatekeeper 1 (GK1) and Gatekeeper 2 (GK2) to Endpoint 2 (EP2) as shown in the Figure 1.



**Figure 1 - Call placed through multiple gatekeepers**

If EP2 redirects the call to a third endpoint, such as Endpoint 3 (EP3), signaling entities such as GK1 and GK2 should be prepared to handle such call rerouting. For this example, assume that EP2 returned a Facility message with a **reason of callForwarded** upon receiving a Setup message. Rather than propagate that response back to EP1, GK2 may choose to handle the call forward operation. GK2 would send a Release Complete to EP2 and begin rerouting the call. Suppose that GK2 sends an LRQ message to GK1 for EP3 and that GK1 replies with its address so that that calls routed to EP3 are routed through it. GK2 would then send a Setup message for this call to GK1 as shown in Figure 2.



**Figure 2 - Gatekeeper 2 re-routes call back to Gatekeeper 1**

When GK1 receives the Setup message from GK2, it may inadvertently mistake the call as "bogus", since the Call Identifier will match an already existing call within the Gatekeeper. Implementers should consider this type of call scenario and be prepared to receive incoming calls that contain Call Identifiers for calls that are already being routed through the routing entity. The routing entity should examine not only the Call Identifier, but also the destination address of the call (the call signaling address, aliases, or Called Party Number of the destination). In this case, the call is routed through GK1 with a destination address of EP2 is rerouted by GK2 to GK1, but with a destination address of EP3. In this way, the GK1 will properly handle call routing and rerouting, as well as prevent loops in the call signaling path.

In this example, there was a dependency on the H.323v2 Call Identifier. Unfortunately, H.323 version 1 systems did not have Call Identifiers. For this reason, these loop detection and rerouting procedures are not possible. Nonetheless, it is advisable for routing entities to make an effort to prevent loops properly. For example, if the entities in Figure 2 were version 1 devices, the GK1 may examine the source address, destination address, and Conference Identifier (CID) of the call. The first time the call is presented to the Gatekeeper, the destination address is EP2, just as before. However, when GK re-routes the call back to GK1, the destination address is EP3. In this way, GK1 may allow proper rerouting of the call to EP3.

The logic for Version 1 devices seems similar to that for Version 2 and higher devices, but there are issues when EP2 and EP3 are MCUs, for example. Suppose that EP2 is an MCU that is directing all calls to EP3. The first time a call is redirected to GK1, GK1 may realize that this is, indeed, a call redirection as described above. However, when the second call is redirected, GK1 has no means of distinguishing between the first redirected call and the second: the source address *may* be the same, the destination address is the same as the previously rerouted call (EP3), and the Conference ID is the same. So in this case, GK1 may have no choice but to assume that a loop has occurred and release the offending call. Although this is unfortunate, H.323v2 and higher systems do not suffer from this problem. What is important, though, is that loop detection is possible—even with version 1 systems.

### **7.13 Packetization for G.729, G.729a, G.711, and G.723.1**

The delay associated with codec processing and packetization should be kept as short as possible. To accomplish this objective when G.729 or G.729A is used, two frames per packet should be considered as the maximum packet size. Similarly, G.711 may be used with packet sizes of 10 ms (80 frames) or 20 ms (160 frames) to achieve this objective. Finally, when G.723.1 is used, only one frame should be included in each packet. The 30 ms frame size of G.723.1 results in speech collection and coding delay of at least 60 ms, contributing to difficulty of interactive communications.

## **8 Allocated Object Identifiers and Port Numbers**

Information in this section is provided for informational purposes and convenience. This section does not supersede nor replace proper references in H.225.0, H.225, H.235, or other Recommendations.

### **8.1 Allocated Object Identifiers**

The following object identifiers have been allocated for protocols associated with H.323. Any future object IDs that are allocated should be indexed here to prevent duplication.

Note that object IDs below that are allocated below the arc { itu-t(0) recommendation(0) } are shown with an abbreviated prefix of "0 0" below.

{ 0 0 h(8) 2250 version(0) [ <i>v</i> ] }	H225.0 version numbers
Assigned values of <i>v</i> : 1-4	
{ 0 0 h(8) 2250 annex(1) g(7) version(0) [ <i>v</i> ] }	H225.0 Annex G version numbers
Assigned values of <i>v</i> : 1-2	
{ 0 0 h(8) 2250 annex(1) g(7) usage(1) [ <i>u</i> ] }	H225.0 Annex G usage tags
Assigned values of <i>u</i> : none	
{ 0 0 h(8) 245 version(0) [ <i>v</i> ] }	H245 version numbers
Assigned values of <i>v</i> : Please refer to Table D.1/H.245	
{ 0 0 h(8) 245 generic-capabilities(1) video(0) [ <i>c</i> ] }	Generic video capabilities
Assigned values of <i>c</i> : Please refer to Table D.1/H.245	
{ 0 0 h(8) 245 generic-capabilities(1) audio(1) [ <i>c</i> ] }	Generic audio capabilities
Assigned values of <i>c</i> : Please refer to Table D.1/H.245	
{ 0 0 h(8) 245 generic-capabilities(1) data(2) [ <i>c</i> ] }	Generic data capabilities
Assigned values of <i>c</i> : Please refer to Table D.1/H.245	
{ 0 0 h(8) 245 generic-capabilities(1) control(3) [ <i>c</i> ] }	Generic control capabilities
Assigned values of <i>c</i> : Please refer to Table D.1/H.245	
{ 0 0 h(8) 245 generic-capabilities(1) multiplex(4) [ <i>c</i> ] }	Generic multiplex capabilities
Assigned values of <i>c</i> : Please refer to Table D.1/H.245	
{ 0 0 h(8) 283 generic-capabilities(1) 0 }	H.283 Capability
{iso (1) identified-organization (3) icd-ecma (0012) private-isdn-signalling-domain (9)}	Identifies QSIG as the tunneled protocol within an H.225.0 Call Signalling Channel

## 8.2 Allocated Port Numbers


The following IP port numbers have been allocated for various components of H.323:

1300	TLS secured call signalling
1718	Multicast RAS Signalling
1719	Unicast RAS Signalling
1720	TCP call signalling
2099	Annex G/H.225.0 Signalling
2517	Annex E/H.323 Signalling

## 9 Use of E.164 and ISO/IEC 11571 Numbering Plans

### 9.1 E.164 Numbering plan

ITU-T Recommendation defines E.164 numbers the following way for geographic areas:



CC Country Code for geographic areas  
NDC National Destination Code (optional)  
SN Subscriber Number  
n Number of digits in the country code

NOTE – National and international prefixes are not part of the international public telecommunication number for geographic areas.

**Figure – International public telecommunication number structure for geographic areas**

Similar descriptions are also defined for non-geographic areas. Recommendation E.164 further defines country codes (CC) for all the countries and regions of the world.

An international E.164 number always starts with a country code and its total length is always 15 digits or less. More importantly, it does not include any prefixes that are part of a dialing plan (for example, "011" for an international call placed in North America, or "1" for a long-distance call), nor does it include "#" or "\*". The number "49 30 345 67 00" is an E.164 number with CC=49 for Germany. A national number is the international number stripped of the country code, "30 345 67 00" in this case. The subscriber number is the national number stripped of the national destination code, "345 67 00" in this case.

An E.164 number has global significance: any E.164 number can be reached from any location in the world. A "dialed digit sequence", however, only has significance within a specific domain. Within a typical private numbering plan in an enterprise, for example, a prefix, such as "9", may indicate that a call goes "outside", at which point the local telephone company's dialing plan takes over. Each telephone company or private network is free to choose its own dialing plan. It is also free to change it as it pleases—and frequently does so (adding new area codes, for example).

In a typical geographically determined network where users input telephone numbers manually and where users do not travel too much, having different dialing plans everywhere is usually a problem. However, when a user travels, the user must determine the other network's numbering plan in order to place calls. When computer systems perform the dialing automatically, the user is usually required to customize the dialing software for every region or network.

Because of these issues with varying dialing plans and automated dialing, it is essential to be able to refer to an absolute "telephone number" instead of "what you have to dial to reach it from a specific location." Proper usage of E.164 numbers can resolve these issues. Many systems use E.164 numbers instead of dialed digits: for example, a PBX may gather the dialed digits from a user on a telephone and then initiate a call to the local phone company using an E.164 number in the Called Party Number information element in Q.931. When completing the Called Party Number IE,

specifying the numbering plan as "ISDN/telephony numbering plan (Recommendation E.164)" indicates an E.164 number. Specifying the type of number as "unknown" and the specifying the numbering plan as "unknown" indicates dialed digits.

The following are a set of definitions from E.164:

### **number**

A string of decimal digits that uniquely indicates the public network termination point. The number contains the information necessary to route the call to this termination point.

A number can be in a format determined nationally or in an international format. The international format is known as the International Public Telecommunication Number which includes the country code and subsequent digits, but not the international prefix.

### **numbering plan**

A numbering plan specifies the format and structure of the numbers used within that plan. It typically consists of decimal digits segmented into groups in order to identify specific elements used for identification, routing and charging capabilities, e.g. within E.164 to identify countries, national destinations, and subscribers.

A numbering plan does not include prefixes, suffixes, and additional information required to complete a call.

The national numbering plan is the national implementation of the E.164 numbering plan.

### **dialing plan**

A string or combination of decimal digits, symbols, and additional information that define the method by which the numbering plan is used. A dialing plan includes the use of prefixes, suffixes, and additional information, supplemental to the numbering plan, required to complete the call.

### **address**

A string or combination of decimal digits, symbols, and additional information which identifies the specific termination point(s) of a connection in a public network(s) or, where applicable, in interconnected private network(s).

### **prefix**

A prefix is an indicator consisting of one or more digits, that allows the selection of different types of number formats, networks and/or service.

### **international prefix**

A digit or combination of digits used to indicate that the number following is an International Public Telecommunication Number.

### **country code (CC) for geographic areas**

The combination of one, two or three digits identifying a specific country, countries in an integrated numbering plan, or a specific geographic area.

### **national (significant) number [N(S)N]**

That portion of the number that follows the country code for geographic areas. The national (significant) number consists of the National Destination Code (NDC) followed by the Subscriber Number (SN). The function and format of the N(S)N is nationally determined.

### **national destination code (NDC)**

A nationally optional code field, within the E.164 number plan, which combined with the Subscriber's Number (SN) will constitute the national (significant) number of the international



public telecommunication number for geographic areas. The NDC will have a network and/or trunk code selection function.

The NDC can be a decimal digit or a combination of decimal digits (not including any prefix) identifying a numbering area within a country (or group of countries included in one integrated numbering plan or a specific geographic area) and/or network/services.

### **national (trunk) prefix**

A digit or combination of digits used by a calling subscriber, making a call to a subscriber in his own country but outside his own numbering area. It provides access to the automatic outgoing trunk equipment.

### **subscriber number (SN)**


The number identifying a subscriber in a network or numbering area.

## **9.2 Private Network Number**

Private Network Numbers are used in private or virtual private telephony networks, e.g., a corporate network of PBXs and virtual private lines.

ISO/IEC 11571 defines Private Network Number (PNP) as having up to three regional levels.

A PNP Number shall comprise a sequence of x decimal digits (0,1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9) with the possibility that different PNP Numbers within the same PNP can have different values of x. The maximum value of x shall be the same as for the public ISDN numbering plan, see ITU-T Recommendation E.164.



**Figure – H.323 - Structure of a PNP Number with three levels of regions**

A level n Regional Number (RN) shall have significance only within the level n region to which it applies. When that number is used outside that level n region, it shall be in the form of an RN of level greater than n. Only a Complete Number shall have significance throughout the entire PNP.

A typical example in North America would be a 4-digit "extension" as the Level 0 Regional Number: a 3-digit "location code" combined with the 4 digit "extension" would form the Level 1 Regional Number. The Level 2 Regional Number would be nil.

A prefix could also be used to signal which regional number is used, and would not be part of the regional number per se, but only part of the dialing plan. Again, a typical example would be the use of digit "6" to access a Level 1 Regional Number, and no digit for a Level 0 Regional Number.

The following are a set of definitions from ISO/IEC 11571:

### **Private Numbering Plan (PNP)**

The numbering plan explicitly relating to a particular private numbering domain, defined by the PISN Administrator of that domain.

### **PNP Number**

A number belonging to a PNP.

### **Region**

The entire domain or a sub-domain of a PNP. A region does not necessarily correspond to a geographical area of a PISN.

### Region Code (RC)

The leading digits of a PNP Number which identify a region. The RC may be omitted to yield a shortened form of a PNP Number for use internally to that region.

### Regional Number (RN)

A particular form of a PNP Number which is unambiguous in the region concerned.

### Complete Number

A number which is unambiguous in the entire PNP, i.e. which corresponds to the highest regional level employed in that PISN.

## 10 ASN.1 Usage, Guidelines, and Conventions

### 10.1 NULL, BOOLEAN, and NULL/BOOLEAN OPTIONAL

Throughout the ASN.1 used in H.323-series documents, the reader will see the types NULL and BOOLEAN used, along with the modifier OPTIONAL in some cases. People have questioned when NULL should be used or when BOOLEAN should be used and what the semantic differences are.

The BOOLEAN type allows a TRUE or FALSE value to be conveyed in the protocol. When used in conjunction with OPTIONAL, it actually allows three values to be conveyed through the protocol: TRUE, FALSE, and *absent*. The question is what does *absent* mean? In some instances, the absence of a BOOLEAN OPTIONAL means should be interpreted as FALSE, while in other cases, it should be interpreted as "I don't care" or "I don't know"—but not always. For example, the **additiveRegistration** field in the RRQ of H.225.0 Version 4 is defined as a BOOLEAN OPTIONAL. When present, it clearly indicates that the endpoint supports the feature or does not support the feature. However, absence of this field shall also be interpreted as FALSE. The reason is that an older endpoint would not know anything about the field and would obviously not be able to include it. Moreover, they certainly do not support the feature. Another example is the **originator** field in the **perCallInfo** sequence. When present, the meaning is quite clear: the caller is the originator or the terminator of the call. However, if the field is not present, it may mean that the endpoint does not know or cannot supply this information for some reason.

The NULL type is often used to select one of several CHOICE options. NULL carries no particular value, as it merely indicates presence. In selecting the conference goal in a Setup message, for example, the goal CHOICEes are simply NULL types to allow the endpoint to indicate a selection. Another common use of NULL is with the OPTIONAL modifier. A NULL OPTIONAL type allows an endpoint to indicate support for a feature, for example. It is similar in semantics to a BOOLEAN in that the presence of a NULL field indicates TRUE and absence of the NULL field indicates a FALSE. As an example, the **fastConnectRefused** field in the Alerting message is a NULL OPTIONAL. Absence of the field is interpreted as FALSE—Fast Connect is not (yet) refused. Presence of the field, though, clearly indicates refusal of Fast Connect. So why was BOOLEAN not used as the type for this field? It would not have made the encoding any clearer, because the field is past the extension marker (ellipsis). A version 1 and 2 device, for example, would not know to send this field, so there would be three values to consider if BOOLEAN were used: TRUE, FALSE, and *absent*.

Ideally, a field will convey no more values than makes sense. In most cases, these types indicate only two possible values: TRUE/present or FALSE/absent. However, there may be cases where

three values are intended and the reader should refer to the appropriate Recommendation to determine if, indeed, there is significance in tri-state fields.

## 10.2 ASN.1 Usage in H.450-Series Recommendations

This section summarizes the use of ASN.1 in the current H.450.x recommendations. This information is provided for implementers of the H.450.x protocols, as well as authors of new H.450.x Recommendations.

### 10.2.1 ASN.1 version and encoding rules

The ASN.1 code in H.450.x is based on the 1994 version of X.680-683, including the amendments on “*Rules of extensibility*”.

The *basic aligned variant of packed encoding rules* (PER) is used as specified in X.691 (1995).

### 10.2.2 Tagging

All modules defined in Recommendations H.450.x use the *tag default* AUTOMATIC TAGS.

The ROS APDUs (see below) are defined in H.450.1 as *tagged types* within the CHOICE type ROS. No other type defined in H.450.x is a *tagged type*, i.e. all *sets*, *sequences* and *choices* (except ROS) are automatically tagged.

### 10.2.3 Basic ASN.1 Types

The following types occur in ASN.1 definitions of H.450.x:

BMPString, NumericString	NULL
BOOLEAN	OBJECT IDENTIFIER
CHOICE	OCTET STRING
CLASS (see below)	Open type (see below)
ENUMERATED	SEQUENCE
GeneralizedTime	SEQUENCE OF
INTEGER	SET OF

No use is currently foreseen for the following basic types (needs consideration on a case-by-case basis):

CHARACTER STRING	ObjectDescriptor
EMBEDDED PDV	REAL
EXTERNAL	UTCTime
GeneralString, GraphicString, PrintableString, TeletexString (T61String), UniversalString, VideotexString, VisibleString (ISO646String)	

Use of the following basic types in future recommendations H.450.x should not be precluded (needs consideration on a case-by-case basis):

BIT STRING	Selection Type (out of a CHOICE)
IA5String	SET
INSTANCE OF	TYPE-IDENTIFIER (see X.681)

Note: Some of these types are already used by other recommendations in the H.323 universe, e.g. BIT STRING and TYPE-IDENTIFIER in H.235.

#### 10.2.4 Value sets, subtyping and constraints used in H.450.x:

H.450.x recommendations use *size constraints* (strings, set-of and sequence-of) and *value range* constraints (integers). In H.450.1 *inner subtyping* (“WITH COMPONENTS”) is used occasionally.

The use of *value sets*, *single values*, *contained subtypes* and *permitted alphabets* should be possible if needed by future services. The *type constraint* (for restricting an *open type*) may be useful, too.

Explicit set arithmetic (UNION, INTERSECTION, EXCEPT, ALL EXCEPT) is currently not used on subtype specifications.

#### 10.2.5 Object classes, parameterization, general constraints, and ROS

H.450.1 defines a *remote operations service* (ROS) based on X.880. ROS uses *object classes* (X.681), *parameterization* (X.683) and *constraints* (X.682) for its generic part.

Two object classes OPERATION and ERROR are defined and then used to define four PDU types (*Invoke*, *ReturnResult*, *ReturnError* and *Reject*) as sequences containing individual parts of these classes. The first three PDU types contain an optional *open type* component which is tied by a *table constraint* (“at (@)” notation) to the code value identifying the particular operation or error.

For each supplementary service the actual operations and errors are then defined as *object instances* of the generic classes OPERATION and ERROR in the corresponding Rec. H.450.x. Each operation and error is identified uniquely (within the context of the H.450.x series) by a code value (type INTEGER). A list of currently assigned operation and error values is contained in section 10.8 below.

Each supplementary service defines an *object set* containing all operations defined for that service.

#### 10.2.6 Extensibility and non-standard information

Wherever meaningful, an *extension marker* (ellipsis “...”) is included in the definitions.

All operations, and some errors, include placeholders for non-standard (e.g. manufacturer-specific) information. This non-standard information can either be of type *NonStandardParameter* (imported from H.225.0) or of type *Extension*, which is defined in H.450.1 and consists of an *object identifier* followed by an *open type*. The definition of the Extension type uses an *object class* (EXTENSION) with *parameterization* and *constraints* similar to the ROS definition.

Usually there is space for more than one addition of non-standard information in an operation. Additions of both types (NonStandardParameter and Extension) can be mixed in any order.

## 10.2.7 List of Operation and Error Codes

**Table 10.1: ASN.1 Operation values used in H.450 series**

<b>Value number</b>	<b>Value name</b>	<b>Defined in standard:</b>
0	callingName	H.450.8
1	calledName	H.450.8
2	connectedName	H.450.8
3	busyName	H.450.8
7	callTransferIdentity	H.450.2
8	callTransferAbandon	H.450.2
9	callTransferInitiate	H.450.2
10	callTransferSetup	H.450.2
11	callTransferActive	H.450.2
12	callTransferComplete	H.450.2
13	callTransferUpdate	H.450.2
14	subaddressTransfer	H.450.2
15	activateDiversionQ	H.450.3
16	deactivateDiversionQ	H.450.3
17	interrogateDiversionQ	H.450.3
18	checkRestriction	H.450.3
19	callRerouting	H.450.3
20	divertingLegInformation1	H.450.3
21	divertingLegInformation2	H.450.3
22	divertingLegInformation3	H.450.3
23	cfnrDivertedLegFailed	H.450.3
27	ccnrRequest	Draft H.450.9
28	ccCancel	Draft H.450.9
29	ccExecPossible	Draft H.450.9
31	ccRingout	Draft H.450.9
32	ccSuspend	Draft H.450.9
33	ccResume	Draft H.450.9
40	ccbsRequest	Draft H.450.9
80	mwiActivate	H.450.7
81	mwiDeactivate	H.450.7
82	mwiInterrogate	H.450.7

100	divertingLegInformation4	H.450.3
101	holdNotific	H.450.4
102	retrieveNotific	H.450.4
103	remoteHold	H.450.4
104	remoteRetrieve	H.450.4
105	callWaiting	H.450.6
106	cpRequest	H.450.5
107	cpSetup	H.450.5
108	groupIndicationOn	H.450.5
109	groupIndicationOff	H.450.5
110	pickrequ	H.450.5
111	pickup	H.450.5
112	pickExe	H.450.5
113	cpNotify	H.450.5
114	cpickupNotify	H.450.5

**Table 10.2: ASN.1 Error Values used in H.450 series**

<b>Value number</b>	<b>Value name</b>	<b>Defined in standard:</b>
0	userNotSubscribed	H.450.1
1	rejectedByNetwork	H.450.1
2	rejectedByUser	H.450.1
3	notAvailable	H.450.1
5	insufficientInformation	H.450.1
6	invalidServedUserNumber	H.450.1
7	invalidCallState	H.450.1
8	basicServiceNotProvided	H.450.1
9	notIncomingCall	H.450.1
10	supplementaryServiceInteractionNotAllowed	H.450.1
11	resourceUnavailable	H.450.1
12	invalidDivertedNumber	H.450.3
14	specialServiceNumber	H.450.3
15	diversionToServedUserNumber	H.450.3
24	numberOfDiversionExceeded	H.450.3
25	callFailure	H.450.1
31	notActivated	H.450.7

43	proceduralError	H.450.1
1000	temporarilyUnavailable	H.450.3
1004	invalidReroutingNumber	H.450.2
1005	unrecognizedCallIdentity	H.450.2
1006	establishmentFailure	H.450.2
1007	notAuthorized	H.450.3
1008	unspecified	H.450.2, H.450.3
1010	shortTermRejection	Draft H.450.9
1011	longTermRejection	Draft H.450.9
1012	remoteUserBusyAgain	Draft H.450.9
1013	failureToMatch	Draft H.450.9
1018	invalidMsgCentreId	H.450.7
2000	callPickupIdUnvalid	H.450.5
2001	callAlreadyPickedUp	H.450.5
2002	undefined	H.450.4, H.450.5, H.450.7, H.450.9