

**Manual Calculation of Break-Even Point
for the Use of Remote Subscriber Switches**

Solution of Case study

Mr. H. Leijon, ITU



**UNION INTERNATIONALE DES TELECOMMUNICATIONS
INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION
UNION INTERNACIONAL DE TELECOMUNICACIONES**



SOLUTION

CASE STUDY, MANUAL CALCULATION OF ECONOMICAL BREAK-EVEN POINT FOR THE USE OF REMOTE SUBSCRIBER SWITCHES

a Traffic, Case 1 and Case 3, 500 subscribers, 0.1 E

$$500 \times 0.1 E = 50 E$$

50 E, 0.1 % congestion => 71 circuits

Traffic, Case 2 and Case 4, 2 000 subsc., 0.1 E

$$2 000 \times 0.1 E = 200 E$$

200 E, 0.1 % congestion => 238 circuits

b Number of PCM systems

71 circuits => 3 PCM systems
238 circuits => 8 PCM systems

CASE 1

Check that transmission plan is not violated!

Loss B-C (maximum) 2 km, 0.5 mm cable: $2 \times 1.21 = 2.42 \text{ dB}$

Remains for A-B: $8 - 2.42 = 5.58 \text{ dB}$

if 0.4 mm cable corresponding to $\frac{5.58}{1.60} = 3.48 \text{ km}$

if 0.5 mm cable corresponding to $\frac{5.58}{1.21} = 4.61 \text{ km}$

if 0.7 mm cable corresponding to $\frac{5.58}{0.79} = 7.06 \text{ km}$

Suppose that X is in the interval $3.48 < X < 4.61 \text{ km}$ and calculate with 0.5 mm cable (for VF) between A and B!

Suppose that Y intermediate PCM repeater points are required.

=> **Cost of (RSS + PCM) alternative:**

$$2 \times 16 000 \times X + 350 000 + 16 000 \times Y + 3 \times 1 500 \times Y + 20 000 + 8 000 + 12 000 / 3 + 4 000 \times Y$$

=> **Cost of (SS + cabinet) alternative:** $5 000 + 113 000 \times X$

To find the economical break-even distance, set the two costs equal.

$$(113 000 - 32 000) X = 350 000 + 32 000 - 5 000 + (16 000 + 4 500 + 4 000) \times Y$$

$$81 000 \times X = 377 000 + 24 500 \times Y$$

$$X = \frac{377 000}{81 000} + \frac{24 500 \times Y}{81 000} = 4.65 + 0.30Y$$

$$Y = 1 \Rightarrow 4.95 \text{ km} > 4.61 \text{ km} \quad (\text{PCM signal loss} = 12 \text{ dB/km, max. loss} = 35 \text{ dB} \Rightarrow Y = 1)$$

Thus, 0.7 mm cable is necessary for determining X. Try again:

$$5000 + 166000X = 2 \times 16000X + 350000 + 16000 \times Y + 3 \times 1500Y + 20000 + 8000 + 4000 + 4000Y$$

$$(166000 - 32000)X = 350000 + 32000 + (16000 + 4500 + 4000)Y$$

$$134\ 000X = 382\ 000 + 24\ 500Y$$

$$X = \frac{382\ 000}{134\ 000} + \frac{24\ 500}{134\ 000}Y$$

$$X = 2.85 + 0.18Y$$

Attenuation 12 dB/km, maximum loss 35 dB $\Rightarrow Y = 1 \Rightarrow X = 2.85 + 0.18 = 3.03 \text{ km}$

Conclusion

Up to 4.61 km SS with main cable, 0.5 mm is used because:

- it is cheaper than a solution with RSS
- it is permitted with regard to the transmission plan

Above 4.61 km, the RSS alternative is used. This alternative is cheaper than SSS + 0.7 mm main cable already above 3.03 km.

CASE 2

$$2 \times 16000 \times X + 350\ 000 + 16\ 000 \times Y + 8 \times 1\ 500 \times Y + 20\ 000 + 7 \times 4\ 000 + 4\ 000 + 4\ 000 \times Y = 20\ 000 + 300\ 000 \times X$$

$$268\ 000 \times X = 350\ 000 + 52\ 000 - 20\ 000 + (16\ 000 + 12\ 000 + 4\ 000) \times Y = 382\ 000 + 32\ 000Y$$

$$X = \frac{382\ 000}{268\ 000} + \frac{32\ 000}{268\ 000}Y = 1.43 + 0.1Y \Rightarrow Y = 0$$

$$X = \underline{1.43 \text{ km}}$$

CASE 3

$$350\ 000 + 16\ 000 \times Y + 3 \times 1\ 500 \times Y + 20\ 000 + 8\ 000 + 4\ 000 + 4\ 000 \times Y = 5\ 000 + 96\ 000 \times X + 96\ 000 \times X$$

(assume 0.4 mm cable, add 100 % for new duct)

$$192\ 000 \times X = 350\ 000 + 32\ 000 - 5\ 000 + (16\ 000 + 4\ 500 + 4\ 000)Y = 377\ 000 + 24\ 500 \times Y$$

$$X = 1.96 + 0.128Y \Rightarrow Y = 1$$

$$X = \underline{2.09 \text{ km}}$$

CASE 4

$$350\ 000 + 16\ 000 \times Y + 8 \times 1\ 500 \times Y + 20\ 000 + 7 \times 4\ 000 + 4\ 000 + 4\ 000 \times Y = 20\ 000 + 300\ 000 \times X + 300\ 000 \times X$$

$$600\ 000 \times X = 350\ 000 + 52\ 000 - 20\ 000 + (16\ 000 + 12\ 000 + 4\ 000) \times Y = 382\ 000 + 32\ 000 \times Y$$

$$X = 0.64 + 0.053Y \Rightarrow Y = 0$$

$$X = \underline{0.64 \text{ km}}$$