



Project Selection

Project details: <insert>				
Key criteria for evaluation	Key indicators	Scoring basis/justification	Score	Remark (further justification for the score)
Assess the rationale and justification for the project/programme. (9 points)	Statement of project objectives and expected development outcomes and outputs in relation to the needs of the locality/sector.	Objectives and outcomes are clearly defined, linked to provincial, sector and national socioeconomic development plans, and targets realistically set.	.../3	
	A report form stating inputs, outputs, outcomes, and impacts, and monitoring plan.	Outcomes and outputs are clearly defined and measurable, and data sources and responsibility for monitoring are identified.	.../3	
	Compliance with relevant laws and regulations.	To what extent the design of the project complies with major laws, regulations and technical standards (e.g., public investment, budget, procurement, and environment).	.../3	
Assess how realistic the implementation plan is. (4 points)	Project implementation arrangements/readiness.	Quality of the approach and content of the implementation plan (including logistics, budget and staffing).	.../4	
Assess the appropriateness of choice of location. (4 points)	Stakeholder and beneficiary consultations.	Coverage and quality of the consultations with stakeholders and beneficiaries.	.../3	
		Justification for the location.	.../1	



Universal Service Financing Efficiency Toolkit

Assess the appropriateness of choice of technology/ technical option. (4 points)	Stakeholder and beneficiary consultations.	Choice of technology, Basic engineering design, and analysis of technical feasibility. How realistic are the technical justifications given in the project document and the justification for the choice of technology?	.../5	
Assess how realistic and feasible the financing plan is. (10 points).	Total investment requirement and financing plan.	How realistic is the estimation of the total investment requirement and financing plan (sources of funding)?	.../6	
	Funding sources (own, other as applicable).	Ability to leverage mobilise and catalyse	.../4	
Assess plan for scalability and sustainability. (6 points)	Realistic and robust Business plan. Realistic and robust Financing plan	Is the project scalable? Is the project sustainable, beyond the proposed funding cycle?	.../6	
Assess plan for potential social impact. (18 points)	Positive impact on gender equality.	What is the likely impact on job creation? Does the project support capacity building / digital literacy by girls and women? Does the project broadly support adoption by girls and women	.../2	
	Positive impact on accessibility.	What is the likely impact on job creation? Does the project apply universal acceptance standards? Are the needs of persons with disabilities specifically catered for?	.../2	



Universal Service Financing Efficiency Toolkit

		Does the project support capacity building / digital literacy?		
	Training and Development.	How plausible are the plans for staff training, capacity development plan. equipment, spare parts, as applicable to the project?	.../5	
	Positive impact on adoption of digital technologies.	Does the project increase penetration and usage? Does the project increase affordability? Does it seek to advance locally relevant content?	.../4	
	Positive impact on connectivity, if relevant.	Will the project improve coverage? In a sustainable manner? Has it properly scoped the infrastructure project? Has it catered for all relevant capex?	.../4	
	Positive impact on innovation, R&D and job creation.	How does the project intend to fair with regard to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • jobs created • patents developed • locally relevant content and applications • skills development • SME impact 	.../4	
Assess how realistically targets and indicators for outputs and	Targets for achieving project outputs and outcomes.	How realistic are targets and timelines for achieving project outputs and outcomes?	.../5	



Universal Service Financing Efficiency Toolkit

outcomes are set. (10 points)				
	Baseline target (beginning) and end-lines (final) for outputs and outcomes.	How well are the baselines and targets for outputs and outcomes defined?	.../5	
Assess the plan for the sustainability of outputs and outcomes. (20 points)	Risk identification.	Assess nature and extent of risks to continuity of outputs and outcomes, and their mitigation measures.	.../10	
	Assess the plan for financial viability.	Assess the budget in detail	.../10	
Total points possible. (85 points)	Total points possible.		.../85	

Evaluation template. Source: Adapted from Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC)