

Harmonization of e-waste questionnaires

E-waste increasingly concerning across regions

But few data available - this is already changing

E-waste subgroup ongoing since 2020

Draft pilot survey developed in 2021

2021 Progress

- Research and Review of existing on-going and future endeavours that sought to measure e-waste.
- Classifying existing questions of each one of the (7) survey modules taken into account into four categories: a) discard behaviour; b) reasons to dispose; c) Knowledge and awareness; d) Possession.
- Establishment of a two-part draft template : a) Possession, disposal patterns, and reasons to dispose; b) Awareness.

Other ongoing efforts

ITU-UNITAR-EACO

- *E-waste survey administered in Burundi and Kenya in Fall 2022*

Eurostat

- *Two new questions added to the 2022 Model questionnaire*
- *Collected in 2022 with first results available in Q1 2023*

Harmonization needed! (1)

General commonalities between surveys

- *How many products have you discarded?*
- *What was the disposal route of the product?*

Different time frames

- *EGH subgroup - last 12 months*
- *EACO - last 24 months*
- *Eurostat - only asked of Internet users, no time frame specified*

Harmonization needed! (2)

Disposal route options

- 8 common options for EACO and EGH subgroup – **some differences in wording**
- 2 options more detailed for EACO
- 2 additional options for EGH subgroup
- 1 additional option for EACO
- Eurostat options less detailed – 3 options directly related to disposal

Harmonization needed! (3)

In-scope equipment

- *EACO categorizes 18 types of equipments in 6 categories*
 - based on Directive 2012/19/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council (WEEE2)
- *EGH subgroup more limited (10 - not always aligned with WEEE2)*
- *Eurostat - mobile phones, tablets, or computers only*

Measured by EGH subgroup pilot survey only

- *Do you know what electronic waste or E-Waste is?*
- *What prevented you from delivering your E-Waste to collection points or recycling centers?*
- *Further questions on awareness of negative impacts of e-waste*

Next steps

Further coordination with EACO team and Eurostat

- *EACO survey has clear improvements regarding classification of equipment*
- *Is simplified version in Eurostat Model questionnaire enough?*

Refine questions on awareness

- *Assess usefulness*
- *Comprehensiveness of response options*

Thank you!

indicators@itu.int

