THE NEW BUILDING FOR ITU HEADQUARTERS IN GENEVA

Competition in Two Stages
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The International Telecommunication Union (ITU) is the specialized agency of the United Nations for information and communication technologies (ICTs).

Based since its inception on public-private partnerships, ITU is an organization with a current membership of 193 Member States and almost 800 entities – Sector Members – mainly from the private sector and Academia. ITU is headquartered in Geneva (Switzerland) and has 12 regional and area offices around the world.

The ITU membership represents a broad spectrum of the global ICT sector, from major manufacturers and global operators to small, innovative companies working with new or emerging technologies, along with leading R&D institutions and academia. Founded on the principle of international cooperation between governments (the Member States) and the private sector (Sector Members, Associates and Academia), ITU is the premier global forum where parties can work to achieve consensus on a wide range of issues affecting the future of the ICT sector.

Virtually all facets of modern life – in business, culture or entertainment, at work and at home – are dependent on information and communication technologies.

Today, there are several billion mobile phone subscribers worldwide, nearly five billion people with television, and tens of millions of new Internet users every year. Hundreds of millions of people around the world use satellite services – whether getting directions from a satellite navigation system, checking the weather forecast or watching TV in a remote area. Millions more use video compression every day on their mobile phones, audio devices or cameras.

ITU is at the very heart of the ICT sector: it brokers agreements on technologies and services, and allocates global resources such as radio frequencies and orbital positions for satellites in order to create a global communications system that is seamless, robust, reliable, and in constant evolution.

Context of the headquarters site

ITU headquarters are located in Geneva near the Place des Nations on some 48 000 square metres of occupied administrative premises comprising three buildings. The three buildings were built at different times and are of different construction.

- **The Varembé building**: This was the first building, constructed between 1958 and 1962, in the shape of a block 120 metres long consisting of five floors above a raised ground floor, running along one side of rue de Varembé. It accommodates 357 staff members and has a total surface area of 15 000 square metres.

- **The Tower**: This was the second building, constructed between 1970 and 1973, in the shape of a 15-storey pentagonal tower 57 metres tall. This building accommodates 310 staff members, and its basement houses three conference rooms with seating capacities of 340, 234 and 94. It has a total surface area of 21 000 square metres.

- **The Montbrillant building**: This was the third building, constructed following a design competition in 1999, and is situated at the corner of rue de Montbrillant and rue de Varembé. It is of plain design, with six floors whose facades are entirely of glass. It accommodates 107 staff members and has a total surface area of 12 000 square metres.
These three buildings are located in the International Organizations precinct. The area’s historical and symbolic dimensions, landscape design and special role make it a highly emblematic location. It stands out for the many large buildings it hosts representing the International Organizations.

The political authorities of the Canton of Geneva have developed a planning and reference tool in the form of a master plan for the area, the “Jardin des Nations” ("Nations Garden"), in order to manage the area’s future development.
02 Organizer

With the assistance of the Building Foundation for International Organizations (FIPOI), ITU is organizing a competition for the construction of a New Building to replace the Varembe building, which is to be demolished.

ITU is directing the competition procedure and implementation of the project.

FIPOI is advising and assisting ITU, and overseeing the procedure for financing the New Building for which this competition is being held. The Swiss Confederation intends to ensure the financing of the construction of the New Building, and will submit a credit request to the Swiss Federal Chambers. The credit will come in the form of a loan to ITU.

For information: FIPOI is a Swiss private-law foundation established jointly by the Swiss Confederation and the Canton of Geneva in 1964. Under its statutes, its purpose is to facilitate the establishment of infrastructure required by international organizations having their main headquarters in Geneva and the Canton of Vaud.

For Stage One of the competition, FIPOI mandated the firm Baron & Chevalley, Architectes, to act as the secretariat.
For Stage Two, to maintain anonymity, the firm Étude Gampert & Demierre, Notaires, acted as the secretariat.
03 Type of competition and procedure

As with competitions organized in accordance with the procedures for awarding contracts for architectural services of the Swiss Society of Engineers and Architects (Société des Ingénieurs et Architectes (SIA)), the competition is an open international architectural design project competition comprising several stages.

Stage One is a competition to identify architectural partners whose proposals conform to the specifications and competition programme, including an assessment of the project's feasibility and consequences.

Stage Two, following on from the results of Stage One, provides an opportunity for selected competitors to develop their projects based on the Jury's report and an individual assessment.

The procedures applicable in Stages One and Two are to assure the candidates' anonymity until the Jury names the winning candidate. Only the notary knows the identities of the candidates selected for Stage Two. The notary informs them of all the documents and papers required for Stage Two, and acts as the secretariat for Stage Two until a decision is reached.
04 Statement of intent by the Client

The Client, namely ITU, intends in principle to issue to the winning candidate the architect’s mandate to execute the project, subject to approval of the study and construction credits.

The mandate will include developing the project with the Client, preparing the building permit application, and drawing up a precise and complete overall estimate for the purposes of preparing the loan request.

These tasks must be completed by September 2018 at the latest.

This deadline is imperative and unchangeable, in order to allow the Client the necessary time to obtain the required authorizations from its governing bodies and the host country.

The mandate will also include the possible elaboration of a Local Zoning Plan (LZP) in collaboration with the staff of the State of Geneva, along with obtaining the required authorizations from the various bodies and authorities having jurisdiction.

Should the Client decide, for any reasons of its own and at any time prior to concluding a possible contract with the winning candidate, not to follow through on the objective of the competition, none of the candidates, including the winning candidate, is entitled to demand any justification or compensation of any kind.

It should be noted that the recommendation of the Jury does not constitute a decision to award the architect’s mandate.

The Client reserves the right, at its sole discretion, to select the project of a candidate other than the winning candidate selected by the Jury: for example, if the winning candidate’s profile is found to be incompatible with the objectives of ITU.

In order to ensure that the project is developed in accordance with the objectives of ITU, in terms of both the quality of the architectural product and compliance with the execution deadlines and costs, the Client reserves the right to request at any time that the winning candidate’s team be complemented with experts chosen by agreement between, and with the approval of, the author of the project and the Client.

The Client may avail itself of this option in order, for example, to ensure compliance with construction procedures and practices in Geneva, or for any other reason at its sole discretion.

The decision to proceed with construction is subject to the granting of a loan by the host country and to approval of the project by ITU’s governing bodies. Consequently, any mandate is subject to these conditions and to the obtaining of the various building permits, as well as to the concluding of a contract negotiated in good faith between the Client and the mandatary. ITU acts in good faith in organizing this competition.
The Client’s aim and objective

After 55 years at its current headquarters site in Geneva, ITU is now committed to a major building restructuring project.

By Decision 588 of 10 June 2016, the Council of ITU decided in favour of the “Duo” scenario (scenario 2) set out in the feasibility study of 1 February 2016 (documents can be downloaded from the competition platform).

By holding the present competition, ITU expects to be able to house all its staff and activities in just two buildings over the long term, under an overall plan which seeks to achieve greater architectural and urban coherence, modernization, and more efficient site management.

This restructuring process will entail, first, the demolition of the Varembé building, which dates from the early 1960s and no longer meets modern building standards. It will be replaced by the New Building, the construction of which is the object of this competition.

At the functional level, the New Building will need to be connected to the Montbrillant building, which will be retained. After transferring activities to the New Building, with 723 new work spaces, ITU intends to turn the Tower over to a third party.

The strategy for the headquarters site project may be summed up as follows:

- Demolition of the Varembé building
- Construction of the New Building to which the present competition relates
- Transfer of the Tower to a third party

As well as being an important project in terms of architecture and urban planning, the New Building must also meet exemplary environmental standards.

Overall concept for the site project

This competition centres on defining an overall concept for the development of the site. In this light, design of a possible thematic area included in the competition programme could be left to the candidate’s discretion.

Candidates’ designs must consider the development of the area around the New Building, incorporating UN-MOSS security measures to provide anti-vehicle and anti-intruder measures.

Objectives

ITU is seeking a design proposal which, in terms of urban planning, architecture and functionality, is well suited to the site and incorporates current environmental thinking.

Candidates are expected to propose economical solutions with respect to operating and maintenance costs, and architectural and technical concepts that contribute to environmental protection and respect sustainable development criteria.
expression of the New Building, its integration into the site, its functionality and its connection to the existing Montbrillant Building are as much the objectives of the competition as adherence to execution costs.

The Client wants a high-performance building for its services in terms of office facilities.
The location of the multifunctional conference rooms and cafeteria/restaurant is an important element in this context.

The proposed building design must be capable of adapting easily at all times to ITU’s evolving needs. Its different areas must be adaptable to different functions and be modular in design.

In view of ITU’s role as the United Nations specialized agency for information and communication technologies, the New Building must embody the concepts of smart building technology, keeping pace as it evolves in the future.

When necessary, office space must be easily convertible into individual or mixed open-plan areas, at reasonable investment and operating costs.

ITU, having received the approval of its decision-making bodies for holding this international competition, has determined that the date for moving into and commissioning the New Building will be in 2024 if work proceeds according to schedule.
Programme

Some key elements of how the site is to function are set out below.

Main entrance and access

The entrance will be equipped with registration facilities for delegates and visitors. On the building’s ground floor, accreditations (badges or electronic smart cards) will be issued allowing access to areas that are public or semi-public, or may be used for specific events (large conference rooms, cafeteria and exhibition spaces). From this entrance, access to different activity areas will be authorized and secured by means of access controls. Public and semi-public areas (conference rooms, cafeteria and thematic areas) will be clearly separated.

There will be an access point reserved for ITU staff, with an automated secure access system, on the Place des Nations side. The New Building will also be provided with an access point for freight and deliveries.

Connection between buildings and flow of movement on the site

The connection between the Montbrillant building and the New Building will be very important from a logistical point of view. One of the major concerns for the project concept is ease of communications and flow between services divided between the two buildings which will make up ITU headquarters. Functional connections between the New Building and the Montbrillant building will be essential to facilitate flows of people, goods and energy.

Outdoor parking

The Place des Nations carpark (PPN) is very close to the site of the New Building, and so there are no plans to create additional covered parking spaces. However, outside the New Building, 15 parking spaces will be needed for visitors’ vehicles, including one space for a disabled person, as well as 40 spaces for motorcycles and scooters and 60 for bicycles. These outdoor spaces must comply with United Nations security and safety standards (MOSS), with obstacles installed to keep vehicles and pedestrians at a distance.

Rights of way

At ground-floor level between the Varembé building and the Montbrillant building there are two rights of way:

- one for vehicles allowing access to the Place des Nations carpark
- one for a pedestrian footpath between the rue Varembé and the Place des Nations
Description of main areas of the New Building

The competition programme is divided into 17 distinct parts:

1. Reception hall – Main entrance
2. Administration and offices: 723 work spaces
3. Conference rooms
4. Cafeteria/restaurant/kitchen
5. Thematic area (optional)
6. Cultural area
7. Sports area
8. Infirmary
9. Security area
10. IT rooms
11. Reprography
12. Workshops
13. Technical rooms
14. Storage areas
15. Unloading bay
16. Waste sorting area
17. Other areas
Assessment criteria

The Jury’s primary criteria will be the quality and coherence of the design in relation to the requirements of the site, and the extent to which the design proposals respond to the Client’s programme and objectives.

In Stage One
Proposals will be judged on the basis of the following criteria:

- Reflecting the universal character of ITU
  Absence of any signs or symbols of or reference to any particular religion, culture or State.

- Urban concept
  General quality of the project’s integration into the site.
  Overall proportions and definition of access points.
  Consideration of UN-MOSS security and safety standards

- Architectural concept
  Architectural quality of the proposal
  Relationship between the different activities of the programme, the quality of circulation routes.

- Functionality quality
  Quality of the proposed organization, access and interior flows, including the link between the New Building and the Montbrillant building.
  Coherence in the distribution of programme elements.

- Economic and environmental quality
  Balance between the architectural concept and its overall economy.
  The capacity of the project to meet very high environmental expectations.
Composition of the jury

The Jury is made up as follows:

**Chairman:** M. H. Radoine, architect
Director, National School of Architecture, Morocco

**Membres:**
- Mr H. Zhao, Secretary-General of ITU
- Ms D. Bogdan-Martin, Chief, ITU Strategic Planning and Membership Department
- Ms E. Crochat, member of the ITU Staff Council
- Mr D. Plesse, member of the ITU Council for Germany
- H. E. Mr V. Zellweger, Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary, Permanent Representative of Switzerland to the Office of the United Nations and other international organizations in Geneva
- Mr F. Della Casa, canton architect (DALE), Republic and Canton of Geneva
- Mr G. Pricaz, Directorate of Real Estate Development, FIPPOI
- Mr M. J.-C. Tall, architecte
Chairman of the Board of Directors, Dakar University College of Architecture (CUAD), Dakar, Senegal
- Mr S. Velez, architect, Colombia
- Mr B. Khoury, architect, Lebanon
- Ms M. Kaijima, architect, Japan
- Ms S. Alam, architect, Russian Federation
- M. J. Lucan, architect, France
- M. L. Ortelli, architect, Switzerland
Professor at the Federal Polytechnic School of Lausanne
Alternates:
Mr M. Johnson, Deputy Secretary-General of ITU
Ms P. Benoit-Guyot, Head, ITU Protocol Service
Mr L. Ciavalino, member of the ITU Staff Council
Mr H. Shirae, member of the ITU Council for Japan
H. E. Mr A. Pérez, Ambassador,
Deputy Permanent Representative of Switzerland to the Office of the United Nations and other international organizations in Geneva
Mr P. Armaingaud, Director of FIPOI
Mr M. Meier, Deputy Secretary-General, Department of the Presidency, Republic and Canton of Geneva
Ms C. Ruffieux-Chehab, architect, Switzerland
Ms C. von Roten, architect, Switzerland
Ms L. Mechkat, architect, Switzerland
Mr T. Broennimann, architect, Switzerland
Mr C. Fruehauf, architect, Switzerland

Experts:
MMr A. Guillot, Head, ITU Legal Affairs Unit
Mr A. Ba, Chief, ITU Financial Resources Management Department
Mr E. Dalhen, Chief, ITU Human Resources Management Department
Mr A. Norsker, Chief, ITU Information Services Department
Mr D. Donovan, Head, ITU Safety and Security Division
Mr A. Elsherbini, Chief, ITU Conferences and Publications Department
Mr P. Ransome, Head, ITU Facilities Management Division

Mr J.-F. Luscher, Director, Monuments and Sites Service, Heritage and Sites Office (DALE), Republic and Canton of Geneva

Mr A. Mathez, Executive Assistant, Office of Building Permits (DALE), Republic and Canton of Geneveaes et des publications de l'UIT
Prizes, commendations and awards

For this two-stage competition, a total of CHF 320 000, exclusive of tax, is available to the Jury for awarding five to seven prizes and other commendations and awards.

An award of CHF 12 000, exclusive of tax, is allocated to each candidate whose design is accepted for consideration in Stage Two and is in accordance with the Regulations, Specifications and Site Programme.
## Timeline

### Stage One
- Registration opens
- Publication on the Swiss public procurement site (SIMAP): https://www.simap.ch/  
  - 5 April 2017
- Deadline for receipt of candidates’ queries by e-mail  
  - 21 April 2017
- Starting date for posting of the Jury’s responses  
  - 28 April 2017
- Registration closes  
  - 5 May 2017
- Deadline for submission of project proposals  
  - 19 June 2017
- Decision by the Jury  
  - 27 June 2017
- Notary informs candidates selected for Stage Two  
  - 3 July 2017

### Stage Two
- Deadline for candidates to confirm their participation in Stage Two (to be sent to the notary)  
  - 7 July 2017
- Deadline for the Jury’s intermediate report and programme to be sent to successful candidates meeting the entry requirements  
  - 14 July 2017
- Circulation by the notary of specifications for architectural models  
  - 17 July 2017
- Deadline for receipt of candidates’ queries to the notary  
  - 31 July 2017
- Starting date for the transmittal of the Jury’s responses by the notary  
  - 7 August 2017
- Deadline for submission of project proposals  
  - 25 October 2017
- Deadline for submission of models  
  - 27 October 2017
- Final decision by the Jury  
  - 7 November 2017
- Notification of competition results  
  - 13 November 2017
- Final competition report  
  - January 2018
- Exhibition of all designs admitted to the competition  
  - First half of 2018
# List of project proposals submitted in Stage One

The organizer received 85 registrations.

The firm Baron & Chevalley, Architectes, received 76 project proposals.

Proposal 77991, received after the closing date, was disqualified.

Proposal IIII1420000, which was not presented in accordance with Regulations, was disqualified.

The proposals received by the deadline of 19 June 2017 specified in the Regulations, and in conformity with those Regulations, were as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proposal ID</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cwg-hdq-neo</td>
<td>@Hermes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Through form</td>
<td>GEO-MEO-LEO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COLLAGE</td>
<td>TWUIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prérence</td>
<td>20170608</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAIT D'UNION 1</td>
<td>DATACITY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOLAMOL</td>
<td>COUR VAREMBÉ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LA PIERRE 21</td>
<td>PARABOL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOTTO190617</td>
<td>ENFILADE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOCIALROUTE</td>
<td>HB9-UIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B7R2SGT4</td>
<td>IN &amp; OUT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLUG AND PLAY</td>
<td>13571113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>barre (S)</td>
<td>THE LINK 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIRON</td>
<td>Effervescence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROSETTA</td>
<td>TRAIT D'UNION 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DONOTDEMOLISH</td>
<td>Radio days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERRASSES UIT</td>
<td>2012913B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENOTIKON</td>
<td>FREQUENCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA00002007</td>
<td>ICLIVEBLDG GS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PQ173NBITUG</td>
<td>925WASYESTERDAY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMPACT WHITE</td>
<td>GENIUS LOCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CONVERGENCES</td>
<td>CONFLUENCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4298370</td>
<td>20110301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUE ET JARDIN</td>
<td>46131344G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XXXX46N6E</td>
<td>BEL ETAGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEL DIALOS</td>
<td>HANGINGARDENS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOMUS UIT</td>
<td>MICROCOSEME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2-3 FOR A</td>
<td>46131344G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLOUDCOM</td>
<td>BRISK PASSAGE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FOUR PEAKS</td>
<td>MOON-024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOLNIA</td>
<td>SPLATSERMS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAM8609</td>
<td>THE LINK 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THE GARDENS</td>
<td>INTERWEAVE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTEVNA</td>
<td>NAVAL2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABE LINE</td>
<td>VICEVERSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NU553BAUE52W</td>
<td>PEMAIE12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
12 Enumeration of project proposals submitted in Stage One

The sealed envelopes containing the candidate identification fiches were transmitted to the notary. The 74 proposals submitted were posted. The 74 proposals were complete, and were put forward for initial review.
Stage One initial review of project proposals submitted

Initial review of the project proposals submitted was carried out by the following experts:

- Mr A. Guillot, Head, ITU Legal Affairs Unit
- Mr A. Ba, Chief, ITU Financial Resources Management Department
- Mr E. Dalhen, Chief, ITU Human Resources Management Department
- Mr A. Norsker, Chief, ITU Information Services Department
- Mr D. Donovan, Head, ITU Safety and Security Division
- Mr A. Elsherbini, Chief, ITU Conferences and Publications Department
- Mr P. Ransome, Head, ITU Facilities Management Division
- Mr J.-F. Luscher, Director, Monuments and Sites Service, Heritage and Sites Office (DALE), Republic and Canton of Geneva
- M. A. Mathez, Executive Assistant, Office of Building Permits (DALE), Republic and Canton of Geneva

The organizer’s secretariat took note of the experts’ observations so that they could be transmitted to the Jury when it made its decision.
The Jury, chaired by Mr Hassan Radoine, met on 27, 28 and 29 June 2017 at ITU headquarters in Geneva.

Mr H. Zhao, Secretary-General of ITU, being excused, was replaced as a member of the Jury by his alternate, Mr M. Johnson, Deputy Secretary-General of ITU.

H. E. Mr V. Zellweger, Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary, Permanent Representative of Switzerland to the Office of the United Nations and other international organizations in Geneva, being excused, was replaced by his alternate, H. E. Mr A. Pérez, Ambassador, Deputy Permanent Representative of Switzerland to the Office of the United Nations and other international organizations in Geneva.

Mr J. Lucan, architect, being excused, was replaced by his alternate, Ms L. Mechkat, architect.

Having taken into account the enumeration of the project proposals and whether or not they were in conformity with requirements, the Jury decided unanimously to accept for consideration all proposals submitted, provided that they contained no identifying information and that they met all requirements as to form.
15 Examination of project proposals

The Jury, as a group, conducted an initial examination of the project proposals.

Following detailed examination of all proposals, the Jury determined that the great majority of the proposals met the principal requirements set out in the specifications and site programme, with two exceptions:

- DONOTDEMOLISH, which did not provide for the removal of the existing building but instead provided for the rehabilitation of that building
- IN & OUT, which provided for the New Building to be erected outside the competition site perimeter

The Jury decided unanimously not to exclude any proposal from eligibility to receive a prize.
16 Results of Stage One

16.01 First assessment round

The Jury looked at each project on the basis of the following assessment criteria:

- General quality of the project’s integration into the site
- Overall proportions and definition of access points
- Architectural quality in the context of its environs
- Functionality of principal activities
- Relationship between the different sectors of the programme
- Quality of interior traffic flows, including the link between the New Building and the Montbrillant building

Based on the foregoing criteria, the Jury decided to identify the proposals that only partially met one or more of the assessment criteria. The Jury examined and discussed each proposal, identifying its strong points and its shortcomings.

The following project proposals were eliminated because they only partially met the assessment criteria:

- Cwg-hdq-neo
- @Hermes
- Through form
- ! TWUIT !
- 110101
- Presence
- 9392907573
- MOLAMOL
- H89-UIT
- BT7R2SGT4
- PLUG AND PLAY
- 13571113
- barre (S)
- CHIRON
- TRAIT D’UNION 2
- Radio days
- TERRASSES UIT
- 2012913B
- ENOTIKON
- AA00002007
- ICLIVEBDGS
- PQ173NBITUG
- COMPACT WHITE
- GENIUS LOCI
- CONVERGENCES
- 4298370
- 20110301
- RUE ET JARDIN
- XXXX46N6E
- HANGINGARDENS
- 1-2-3 FOR A
- CLOUDCOM
- BRISK PASSAGE
- FOUR PEAKS
- MOLNIA
- SPLATFORMS
- P36377363P
- ZAM8609
- ANTENNA
- NAVAL2017
- ABEINE
- NU553BAUE52W
- PEMAE12
16.02 Second assessment round

The Jury proceeded mindfully to deepen its examination, focusing especially on the following criteria:

- Integration into the site, overall proportions and definition of access points
- Architectural quality in the context of the project’s environs
- Link between the New Building and the Montbrillant building
- Quality of the proposal’s functionality and compliance with the programme

The following project proposals were eliminated at this point:

| LA PIERRE 21 | ENFILADE | I46131344G |
| PARABOL     | SOCIALROUTE |           |
| MOTTO190617 | DAIDALOS     |           |

16.03 Third assessment round

The Jury then analysed the remaining project proposals further, with particular emphasis on this criterion:

- Reflecting the universal character of ITU

The following project proposals were eliminated:

| COLLAGE     | THE LINK 2 | VICEVERSA |
| 20170608    | Trees      |           |
| TRAIT D’UNION1 | Fréquence |           |

16.04 Further round to review the preliminary Stage One results

Before confirming the definitive selection of project proposals accepted for consideration under Stage Two, the Jury made a final review by re-reading all the proposals. No additional proposals were accepted.
16.05 Final Stage One results

The Jury unanimously decided that the following 15 project proposals were accepted for consideration under Stage Two:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Proposal Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>925 WASYESTERDAY</td>
<td>Domus UIT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bel Etage</td>
<td>Effervescence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confluence</td>
<td>Geo Meo Leo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cour Varembé</td>
<td>Interweave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Datacity</td>
<td>Microcosme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Moon-024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rosetta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Gardens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Link 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UIT-Y-EXT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Jury decided that, should any of the project proposals accepted for consideration under Stage Two be withdrawn, no other proposals would be identified as alternates.
Comments by the Jury and recommendations to candidates whose proposals were accepted for consideration in Stage Two

The jury made the following general comments regarding the projects that it had examined under Stage One, and which had been accepted for consideration under Stage Two. Clarifications reflecting the Client's wishes are listed later in this section.

17.01 Comments by the Jury

General remarks
Under Stage Two, the Jury expects participants to pay particular attention to the following points:

Urban concept
Proposals under Stage Two must be consistent with their preliminary intentions, whilst complying with legal constraints and building regulations. Particular attention must be paid to access systems and relationships to the surrounding roads and the ITU park, including pedestrian footpaths. Access to the building must, without fail, conform to UN-MOSS security standards (see project competition document 1.15.14).

Architectural concept
Proposals must develop the spatial qualities of the building's public areas (entrance, conference rooms, cafeteria, etc.), taking into account the nature and character of work spaces as well.

Functionality
Proposals must not only show the work spaces but also show their flexibility in different arrangements (individual offices, open space, combi, cluster, etc.), allowing for the possibility of reconfiguring work spaces in modular fashion to keep pace with changes in ITU's organizational structure (see annex). Optimizing and facilitating internal circulation flows must also be given particular attention. In this context, the structural connections to and from the Montbrillant building must be clearly drawn or described. A clear separation between public areas, semi-public areas and work areas (from the standpoint of their spatial relationships and positioning) is required in order to facilitate traffic flows and assure the greatest possible ease of movement, all in accordance with security standards.

Economic aspects
In order for the Client to be provided with credible cost forecasts, surface areas and volumes must be calculated carefully and the materials and techniques used must be described in detail in accordance with the specific requirements indicated in the Stage Two programme.

Environmental and sustainability aspects
Proposals must show in detail all the measures taken with a view to reducing energy requirements, in accordance with the requirements laid out in the Stage One programme. In addition to the factors commonly taken into account, particular attention must be paid to the maximum allowance for earth-moving.

Universal character of ITU
The architectural character of the building and its symbolic – and perhaps iconic – aspect are as shown in the annex.
17.02 Observations and clarifications expressed by the Client, ITU, at meetings of the Jury

General observations
ITU is the specialized agency of the United Nations for information and communication technologies (ICTs), which drive the evolution of the world we live in and are a key factor in sustainable socio-economic development. In addition to its 193 Member States, ITU includes within its membership the world’s largest corporations in the telecommunication, information technology and Internet sector, plus a growing number of other entities in a wide range of other sectors of activity that depend increasingly on ICTs. A great many ITU activities form part of the work of achieving the Sustainable Development Goals set out by the United Nations, including initiatives aimed at reducing and adapting to the effects of climate change. Among other things, ITU has its own project concerned with smart, sustainable cities. The New Building must epitomize this essential role of technology and of ITU itself, and put forward an image and create an environment that stand as benchmarks for ITU members. This building, situated at the heart of Geneva’s international organizations precinct, must embody those values. As indicated in the competition document, ITU’s vision of the New Building is that of a smart building that uses the latest technology, is self-contained, can evolve to keep pace with future innovations and is adaptable to the introduction of flexible working methods. Its ability to evolve means that the building must be adaptable to changes in the work environment and the institutional culture which will be brought about by technologies such as artificial intelligence, megadata, the Internet of things, and so forth.

From that perspective, the following points must once again be stressed:

• The building must be environmentally sustainable.
• The building must be energy-efficient.
• The building must be adaptable to flexible working methods, as ITU intends to use open and evolving spaces as part of its organization.
• The building must meet United Nations security requirements, including in regard to vehicle and pedestrian perimeters.
• The building must be emblematic and stand as an example of ITU’s essential role.

Additional observations in specific areas
Open/evolving spaces
With very few exceptions, this organizational approach using open/evolving spaces will be something new for ITU staff members and must therefore be devised with great care, taking into account ITU’s multicultural environment and the diversity of procedures involved. This organizational approach will be synonymous with the introduction of flexible working methods, including telework, and will make it necessary for change management measures to be adopted, together with a new institutional culture oriented towards an entirely digital work environment in which digital identity, digital location, digital collaboration, and digital access to premises, meeting rooms, information content and co-workers will all be geared to efficiency and effectiveness. These changes will be the subject of close coordination with ITU staff members and will require special coordination and great dedication on the part of the architect selected. The space available in the building has to be optimized to the maximum extent possible, making it possible for modular landscape office layouts to be configured that are ultra-modern and highly flexible. ITU wants to put in place a calm, effective work environment, and is considering, for instance, using mobile telephones on silent mode to replace some landline telephones, installing a lot of small rooms appropriate for holding small meetings or making telephone calls, setting up separate spaces for coffee or lunch breaks, all with natural light, good sound insulation and the option to reconfigure work spaces to adapt to different procedures.

Staff members should be able to make the most of the site’s magnificent view of Geneva, so sight lines from work spaces should not be blocked. To maintain a degree of privacy, work spaces should not place individuals face to face.
For people with reduced mobility, all areas of the building and of the premises as a whole must be accessible. The building environment must not present obstacles, and must have proper egress so that anyone injured can be evacuated from the building and transferred to an ambulance. The building must also provide indoor and outdoor areas for relaxation, where staff members can engage in informal discussions whether amongst themselves or with delegates or visitors. Certain functions require utter confidentiality, so it is essential that the work environment afford such confidentiality where necessary.

Structure of ITU
Today, ITU has nearly 700 permanent staff members housed in the three buildings making up its headquarters in Geneva. The Montbrillant building houses about 100 staff members. ITU has four main organizational components: the General Secretariat (SG), which currently has 367 staff members; the Radiocommunication Bureau (BR), which currently has 136 staff members; the Telecommunication Standardization Bureau (TSB), which currently has 53 staff members; and the Telecommunication Development Bureau (BDT), which currently has 136 staff members. In addition to the permanent staff members, the premises also have to be able to accommodate some staff members working on short-term contracts, consultants and interns (about 80). The offices of the Secretary-General and the Deputy Secretary-General need to be grouped together with the office areas of the General Secretariat, while the offices of the Directors of the three Bureaux need to be grouped together with the office areas of their respective Bureaux. The General Secretariat comprises six departments or other principal units, BR comprises four departments, TSB comprises three departments and BDT comprises four departments. The Secretary-General, the Deputy Secretary-General, the Directors of the three Bureaux, and the department chiefs will have individual offices with the dimensions indicated in the competition document. Each department is further subdivided into divisions and other units. They vary in size and may evolve as time goes by in response to organizational restructuring. Each division may need its work space organized differently from others, depending on its functions and procedures. It is hoped that the arrangement of work areas for all staff members will enable them to interact easily, promote team spirit and encourage a spirit of solidarity with ITU.

Conference rooms
As an international body, ITU takes in a highly diverse group of people around the world. They are many thousands of representatives of governments, the private sector, academia and civil society. ITU is an organization that provides a forum for meetings, and the work of those meetings is based on contributions that are submitted. ITU organizes many meetings presided over by a chairman and, in principle, one or more vice-chairmen. These meetings range from having 10 to 50 participants, typically in a “meeting room” configuration, to having 400 to 500 participants, typically in a “classroom” configuration where the chairmen, vice-chairmen and secretariat are on the podium. All meeting rooms have to be equipped with video-conferencing technology. Large meeting rooms (over 100 seats) have to be fitted with interpretation booths to accommodate interpretation services into all six languages, including for those participants taking part by video-conference. We particularly draw your attention to the specifications for conference rooms set out in the competition document, and in particular the requirement that the meeting room having a 500-seat capacity must be divisible into four rooms and that the meeting room having a 234-seat capacity must be divisible into two rooms (§3.02.3).
In addition, the optional thematic space of 1,000 square metres should be configured so that it can serve as an additional meeting space – specifically, as another large room that is divisible or as individual rooms – able to seat at least 150 people in a “classroom” configuration. As an option, a small gallery using virtual reality could be installed in that space. If meeting rooms are square or rectangular, they are more easily divisible. Natural light in meeting rooms, particularly in large rooms, is not essential. Every day, there are on average at least 400 people, in addition to staff members, entering ITU premises.
Security
As indicated in the competition document, the building must comply with the minimum operating security standards applicable to the United Nations system (UN-MOSS). These standards apply equally to the ITU buildings' indoor and outdoor spaces. The UN-MOSS standards are used to protect buildings and premises and are based on “multi-level reinforced security associated with strict access controls” at access points to the outdoor property as well as to the building. The document ‘Normes UN-MOSS (Anglais).pdf’ is mentioned in the competition document as one of the documents available for download (No. 1.15.14). Consequently, solutions have to be put in place around the property to shield against threats associated with a hostile vehicle or pedestrian. The perimeter beyond which vehicles cannot pass could be protected by means of security barriers. Should the building be too close to the roadway, it would be necessary to install an explosion protection wall and design that area so that there are no offices or work spaces immediately behind it. The perimeter beyond which pedestrians cannot pass could be protected by means of a security barrier or fence, typically 2 metres to 2.5 metres in height. On an exceptional basis, an infrared detection system could be used in those locations where the building’s facade is adjacent to the property line. These solutions to mitigate security risks could be combined and should be installed around the entire ITU property. Nevertheless, on the Montbrillant building side, this device would end at the municipal right-of-way for vehicles allowing access to the Place des Nations carpark and the right-of-way for pedestrians between the rue de Varembe and the Place des Nations carpark. For anyone to gain entry into the pedestrian perimeter, he or she must have proper accreditation and go through the system of security controls. No one must be able to gain entry into that perimeter if he or she does not have proper accreditation. The security service responsible for issuing accreditation for entry into that perimeter must be positioned away from the rest of the building to reduce security threats (a ground-level structure, for example): for that reason, it would be preferable for the security service responsible for issuing accreditation to be positioned on the side of the building facing the avenue Giuseppe Motta.

Height
With respect to proposals that would limit the height of the New Building to that of the Montbrillant building (i.e. 27 metres), ITU would prefer the New Building to be slightly taller than the Montbrillant building (e.g. 35 metres). ITU would request a height derogation. With that in mind, the New Building should be at least 35 metres away from other buildings (with the exception of the Montbrillant building and the Tower).

Entrance
ITU would be favourable to having a second pedestrian entrance for accredited individuals from the rue Giuseppe Motta. To do that, the property situated within the project perimeter could be used right out to the rue Giuseppe Motta. There is also the possibility of an entrance for vehicles from the rue Giuseppe Motta for high-level individuals visiting ITU (but not for utility or freight vehicles).

ITU amateur radio station
The existing Varembe building houses the ITU amateur radio station (call sign 4U1ITU), and its antennas are installed on the roof. The highest roof of the New Building needs to be compatible with the installation of those antennas, which weigh no more than 200 kilograms. Consequently, the roof will need a solid base measuring 8 metres by 8 metres on which to install them; access to the building’s electric power system and to the radio cables connecting the antennas to the radio station’s offices; and access to the cargo lift. As indicated in the competition document, 25 square metres of space is needed for the premises occupied by the radio station within the New Building.
Guarantee of anonymity
To assure the anonymity of those submitting project proposals, the Jury's comments and the Client's observations and clarifications have been transmitted to competitors through the notary, Ms. Françoise Demierre-Morand of the firm Étude Gampert & Demierre-Morand in accordance with the specified provisions as to procedure. A basic design sample has also been transmitted to competitors through the notary.
18.01 Observations and clarifications prepared by the Client, ITU, during meetings of the Jury

The firm Baron & Chevalley, Architectes, received the 15 project proposals accepted for consideration under Stage Two and the corresponding models by the deadlines indicated in the Regulations for the Project Competition:

- 925 Wasyesterday
- Bel Etage
- Confluence
- Cour Varembé
- Datacity
- Domus UIT
- Effervescence
- Geo Meo Leo
- Interweave
- Microcosme
- Moon-024
- Rosetta
- The Gardens
- The Link 1
- UIT-Y-EXT

18.02 Observations and clarifications prepared by the Client, ITU, during meetings of the Jury

The sealed envelopes containing the candidate identification fiches were transmitted to the notary.

The 15 proposals submitted were posted.

The 15 proposals were complete, and were put forward for initial review..

18.03 Stage Two expert review of project proposals submitted

Expert review of the project proposals submitted was carried out by the following experts:

- Mr. A. Guillot, Head, ITU Legal Affairs Unit
- Mr. A. Ba, Chief, ITU Financial Resources Management Department
- Mr. E. Dalhen, Chief, ITU Human Resources Management Department
- Mr. A. Norsker, Chief, ITU Information Services Department
- Mr. D. Donovan, Head, ITU Safety and Security Division
- Mr. A. Elsherbini, Chief, ITU Conferences and Publications Department
- Mr. P. Ransome, Head, ITU Facilities Management Division
- Mr. J.-F. Luscher, Director, Monuments and Sites Service, Heritage and Sites Office (DALE), Republic and Canton of Geneva
- M. A. Mathez, Executive Assistant, Office of Building Permits (DALE), Republic and Canton of Geneva
18.04 Project proposals accepted for consideration under Stage Two

The Jury, chaired by Mr Hassan Radoine, met on 7, 8 and 9 November 2017 at the International Conference Centre Geneva (CICG). Mr H. Zhao, Secretary-General of ITU, being excused, was replaced as a member of the Jury by his alternate, Mr M. Johnson, Deputy Secretary-General of ITU.

H. E. Mr V. Zellweger, Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary, Permanent Representative of Switzerland to the Office of the United Nations and other international organizations in Geneva, being excused, was replaced by his alternate, H. E. Mr A. Pérez, Ambassador, Deputy Permanent Representative of Switzerland to the Office of the United Nations and other international organizations in Geneva.

Having taken into account the enumeration of the project proposals and whether or not they were in conformity with requirements, the Jury decided unanimously to accept for consideration all proposals submitted, provided that they contained no identifying information and that they met all requirements as to form.

18.05 Examination of the project proposal

The Jury, as a group, examined the project proposals accepted for consideration under Stage Two, with the architectural plans and models before them. Mr Hassan Radoine, Chairman of the Jury, recalled the assessment criteria.

The Jury looked critically at each project on the basis of the following assessment criteria:

- Development of the initial design proposal
- Integration into the site
- Architectural treatment
- Façade design and materials
- Functionality and consistency with the programme
- Functionality of conference rooms
- The project’s potential adaptability and flexibility
- Treatment of outdoor spaces
- Economic and environmental quality

The following project proposals were eliminated because they only partially met the assessment criteria:

Confluence
Domus UIT
MOON 024
THE LINK 1

18.07 Second assessment round

The Jury then went on to conduct a more detailed analysis, taking account of the assessment criteria as a whole, especially the criteria associated with UN-MOSS security standards.

The following project proposals were eliminated.

925 Wasyesterday
Bel Etage
Effervescence
Geo Meo Leo
Rosetta
The Gardens

18.08 Ranking of project proposals

The Jury reviewed the remaining proposals for the purpose of awarding prizes and commendations.

Following extensive reasoned discussion, and keeping in mind the assessment criteria as a whole, the Jury, by a majority, agreed upon the following ranking:

First place: MICROCOSME
Second place: INTERWEAVE
Third place: DATA CITY
Fourth place: Cour Varembé
18.09 Prizes, commendations and awards

In accordance with section 9 above concerning prizes, commendations and awards pursuant to the provisions with respect to the competition procedure, the Jury
– decided, by a majority, not to present any commendations, and
– decided, by a majority, to award the following prizes:

First place: First prize: MICROCOSME CHF 50 000 (exclusive of tax)
Second place: Second prize: INTERWEAVE CHF 40 000 (exclusive of tax)
Third place: Third prize: DATA CITY CHF 30 000 (exclusive of tax)
Fourth place: Fourth prize: Cour Varembé CHF 20 000 (exclusive of tax)

The Jury confirmed the award of CHF 12 000, exclusive of tax, allocated to every candidate whose design is accepted for consideration under Stage Two, in accordance with section 9 above concerning prizes, commendations and awards.

18.10 Acknowledgements and recommendation by the Jury

The Jury thanked all the candidates that had participated in Stage One and Stage Two for the quality of the work submitted.

The Jury recommended to the Client that the architect’s mandate be issued to the author of the winning project: MICROCOSME.
18.11 Approval by the Jury

Chairman:
Mr H. Radoine

Members:
Mr M. Johnson
Ms D. Bogdan-Martin
Ms E. Crochat
Mr D. Plesse
H. E. Mr A. Pérez
Mr F. Della Casa
Mr G. Pricaz

Mr M. J.-C. Tall
Mr S. Velez
Mr B. Khoury
Ms M. Kajima
Ms S. Alam
Mr J. Lucan
Mr L. Ortelli
18.11 Lifting of anonymity for the projects awarded prizes

After the proposals were ranked, the Jury was joined by a representative from the firm Étude Gampert & Demierre-Morand and, together with that representative, proceeded to open the sealed envelopes and reveal the names, by order of ranking.

First place: First prize: MICROCOSME
Christian Dupraz, Architecte – Geneva, Switzerland

Second place: Second prize: INTERWEAVE
Consortium CF Moller Architets et Staëlín Architectes – Copenhagen, Denmark, and Délemont, Switzerland

Third place: Third prize: DATA CITY
Romain Ecorchard Architectes sas – Lyon, France

Fourth place: Fourth prize: Cour Varemô
Dürig AG – Zurich, Switzerland
Current state of the site
### Project proposals ranked according to prize

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>First place, first prize</td>
<td>MICROCOSME</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second place, second prize</td>
<td>INTERWEAVE</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third place, third prize</td>
<td>DATA CITY</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fourth place, fourth prize</td>
<td>Cour Varembé</td>
<td>69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Jury applauded the MICROCOSME proposal and congratulated the authors for, among other things, the straightforwardness of its overall approach, the originality of its design for work spaces and its urban presence near the Place des Nations.

The design was one of unity in a simple geometrical form, making full use of the trapezoidal shape of the property along the rue de Varembe. It made a clear distinction between two elements, a lower street-level element with the main access points and conference rooms, and an upper element with a central courtyard-patio. The unity was the result of that courtyard-patio around which the offices were arrayed, with a design that provided common spaces for people to meet and relax, and facilitated comings and goings from one side to the other.

There was great variety in the sight lines from different offices, and it was possible to look through the building from the Place des Nations side to the rue de Varembe. That lightened the ambience of the patio courtyard, which otherwise could have seemed too confining. The project as a whole was therefore seen as a building made up of several layered segments, producing complex views, views that were appropriate to the relationships between the people working there. Moreover, both from the Place des Nations and from the rue de Varembe, it was possible to look into the world of ITU.

For those reasons, the project was not merely a building but rather a world whose inner activities could be seen.

The Jury turned its attention to a number of issues that would have to be addressed if the project went ahead. The height of the building would need to be verified and, if necessary, adjusted to meet site constraints. The feasibility of the indoor atrium garden, referred to in the proposal as “tropical”, would need to be clarified as regards both its plantings and the ongoing maintenance it would require. The layout of spaces would need to take into account the relationships between the departments making up ITU. The layout would have to pay special attention to the Client’s requirements in regard to the desired working conditions, security measures and security access.
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MICROCOSME
The Interweave proposal had the advantage of offering a certain flexibility in how the space was to be fitted out, alternating green spaces and office spaces on the two facades and placing terraces on each module's rooftop. The building's outer appearance, broken into five segments, softened what could have seemed to be a stark and austere structure, while the interior volume was unified within a single building thanks to the circulation artery allowing for ease of movement.

The alternation of volumes also helped create an impression of intimacy and an impression of isolation of groups of offices. If the exterior facade had transparent glass walls right down to the floor on each level, that would suggest a weakened sense of intimacy amongst staff members.

The arrangement of elements offered significant exterior light and outdoor sight lines, enough that there would be natural light even in the meeting rooms.

There was a strong sense of the building opening up towards the park, with direct access to it; but security would be difficult because of the ground-level terraces abutting the building on the park side.

The connection to the Montbrillant building as proposed could not be built and did not conform to the design requirements. The rue de Varembé elevation was not shown in great detail on the plans, but suggested a heavy concrete appearance because the base was too high.
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INTERWEAVE

INTERWEAVE
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The DATACITY proposal showed a compact volume consisting of three layers positioned one above the other. The mass of the structure would be placed on the southern side of the property, similar to the existing building. That placement made it possible to maintain accessibility to the Place des Nations and an appearance of continuity. Security measures, however, would need to be investigated in great detail, particularly in regard to vehicle access.

The horizontally layered structure would be arranged as follows. The lowest level would contain the meeting rooms and have a pedestrian entrance from the rue de Varembe side. The generous size of the spaces distributed at this level was considered excessive, and seemed not to offer any particular architectural merits. The second layer, at the Place des Nations level, would have another pedestrian entrance, the cafeteria and other general services. The top layer was the most original aspect of the proposal, with a serrated shape. Inside the large space of the outer glass envelope, five wings would contain the offices, meeting rooms, and other work spaces. The architecture of this part of the project design offered a new architectural dimension suitable for an organization such as ITU. The rich spatial connections between volumes and between them and the serrated envelope would offer a range of possibilities for organizing spaces and foster a high degree of user engagement. Some uncertainties arose regarding the functionality of that large volume, in spite of the explanations given on the project plans both graphically and verbally. Overall, the space proposed in that part of the project seemed to be able to meet ITU’s needs and requirements, considering that the configuration shown on the plans was indicative of an original and promising approach, although it seemed to lack a truly generous use of space. However, the Jury was not fully convinced by the proposal, with respect to, among other things climate control and especially the proposed solar protection system.

Other questions arose regarding the structural design of the large serrated element, particularly in connection with the bracing and size of the cover to deal with excess loading resulting from a snowfall.
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The Cours Varembe project proposed three sunken concourses: the entrance concourse would contain underground meeting rooms; the Varembe concourse would provide new space containing administrative areas; and the Montbrillant concourse would be an excavation beneath the existing building connecting it to the rest.

This layout would reduce the visible volume of the new structure and result in a slim, elegant and transparent building running parallel to the rue de Varembe. The Jury applauded the proportions proposed.

This project would also strengthen the security apparatus because the administrative building would be surrounded by a security moat. The main access route would be a gently sloping walkway of monumental proportions on the avenue Giuseppe Motta side, from the security pavilion at the entrance to the site. A pedestrian walkway would connect the administrative building to the entrance foyer. The entrance on the rue de Varembe side would also use a pedestrian walkway to cross the security moat.

The Jury applauded the proposal which, because of its judicious use of concourses, would assure pedestrian access to the site from the Place des Nations.

The impression of boundaries to be crossed was of concern to the Jury. The image that such boundaries would create — of a faraway, inaccessible power — was at odds with the image of transparency expected of the New Building. The Jury was concerned about the symbolism expressed in the project design.

Users questioned the quality of space in the Varembe concourse with two storeys buried underground accommodating, among other things, the staff cafeteria. The proposal would require excavating a large volume of material, as well as excavating beneath the existing Montbrillant building. That would result in additional construction costs.

In conclusion, the Jury noted the high quality and elegance of the proposal but did not find it convincing because of the strictures and functional consequences that would result.
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COUR VANDERÉ
Project proposals selected for consideration under Stage Two, which were not awarded prizes

(in alphabetical order)

925WASYESTERDAY 78
Bel Etage 84
Confluence 90
Domus UIT 96
EFFERVECENCE 102
Geo Meo Leo 108
Moon-024 114
ROSETTA 120
THE GARDENS 126
THE LINK (1) 132
UIT-Y-EXT 138
Flexibility meets Identity
Concentrated Work plus Easy Access to Know-How

Diversity in Unity
Basis for Constant Improvement
Sustainability at its Best
Sophisticated Simplicity
Industrial Warehouse Structure in a Textile Shell
Smart Elegance meets Urban Confidence
Passive & Active Sun Protection
Long term View: Cradle to Cradle

925WASYESTERDAY

---
Cautious Representation
And the Functional Logic Behind

Inspiring Work Environment
Stimulating Movements across the Floors
Contemporary Office Layout
Smart Shelving Structure
With Flexible Room Heights
Compact Volume
Lean Construction
Daylight!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bel étage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Office:** MAK architecture & consulting SA  
  Hardturmstrasse 175  
  8005 Zurich  
  Suisse |
| **Author(s):** Marcia Akermann  
  Mirko Akermann |
| **Associate(s):** Laura Ferreira dos Santos  
  Micha Gerhard |
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CONFLUENCE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DOMUS UIT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Office:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epure Architecture et Urbanisme SA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL St-Etienne 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1510 Moudon - Suisse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrico Garbin - 2 architetti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vial Trento 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36078 Vaildago - Italie</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Author(s):</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enrico Garbin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marco Corda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Associate(s):</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philip Esteve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pascal Favre</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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DOMUS LIT
Effervescence
Concours Nouveau Bâtiment - UIT Genève

L'UIT sert de plateforme d'échanges et d'innovations continues. La volumétrie de ce bâtiment est caractérisée par une série de larges ellipses se développant en direction de son environnement latéral et invitent les échanges tant au point de vue physique qu'acoustique.

CARACTÈRE GÉNÉRAL DE L'UIT

CONCEPT ARCHITECTURAL

CONCEPT URBAN - CONNECTER

ENTREE

DÉTAILS

QUALITÉS FONCTIONNELLES / QUALITÉS ARCHITECTURE

PROGRAMME
Concours Nouveau Bâtiment - UIFT Genève

"GEO MEO LEO"
CONCOURS DE PROJET - NOUVEAU BÂTIMENT POUR LE SIÈGE DE L'UNIA GENEVE | PROJECT COMPETITION - NEW BUILDING FOR ITS HEADQUARTERS IN GENEVA

QUALITY & TRANSPARENCY

SOFTWARE / FUNCTIONAL SECTION

RUE DE VAREMME LIVET
CONCOURS NOUVEAU BATIMENT - UIT GENEVE | COMPETITION NEW BUILDING - ITU GENEVA
# THE GARDENS,

**Office:**
Blue Architects AG  
Christian Salewski &  
Simon Kretz  
Architekten GmbH  
Wasserwerkstrasse 129  
80374 Zurich  
Suisse

**Author(s):**
Christian Salewski  
Architekten GmbH

**Associate(s):**
Thomas Hildebrand  
Simon Würgler  
Takuma Takada  
Sarah Lechner  
Isabelle Schulz  
Carla Ferrer  
Kosaku Matsumoto  
Hagar Al Lahama

**Associate(s):**
Christian Salewski  
Lukas Fink  
Fareyah Kaukab  
Clara Pollak  
Primin Jung Ingenieure AG  
Andreas Zweifel  
Studio Vulcan  
Landschaftsarchitektur GmbH  
Robin Winogrond
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THE GARDENS - A communication environment

The serene wooden building system awakens the building's soul and double beaming system provides the building's heart. The Gardens frames the serene and forms a urban interface with the Montbrillant Building and the town. A transition connection to Rue de la Verrerie connects the neighborhood with Rue des Nations.

A Natural Building
The Gardens combines the best experience of the building's soul and double beaming system. The Gardens frames the serene and forms a urban interface with the Montbrillant Building and the town. A transition connection to Rue de la Verrerie connects the neighborhood with Rue des Nations.

A Sustainable and Flexible Structure
The wooden building system is sourced in the forests around Geneva and laminated by the forests around Geneva and laminated by the forests around Geneva and laminated by the forests around Geneva. The Gardens combines the best experience of the building's soul and double beaming system. The Gardens frames the serene and forms a urban interface with the Montbrillant Building and the town. A transition connection to Rue de la Verrerie connects the neighborhood with Rue des Nations.
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THE GARDENS – A communication environment
| THE LINK | Office: Kubota & Bachmann Architects  
| Josefstrasse 192  
| 8048 Zurich  
| Suisse | Author(s): Kubota & Bachmann Architects  
| Toshihiro Kubota | Associate(s): Toshihiro Kubota  
| Fracisco Martinez  
| Yves Bachamnn  
| Marietta Sanderink |
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THE LINK
UIT-Y-EXT

Office:
Scheitlin Syfrig Architekten AG
Libellenrain 17
6004 Lucerne
Suisse

Author(s):
Mauritius Carlen
François Guillermain
Markus Frank
Frank + Partner Architekten
Barcelona

Associate(s):
Bettina Leitner
Alex Azofra
Marek Chytil
Alvaro Arrans
Markus Frank
Project proposals not selected for consideration under Stage Two

(in alphabetical order)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0110101</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>COLLAGE</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>NU 553BAUE52W</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.2.3 FOR A</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>COMPACT WHITE</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>P36377363P</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13571113</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>CONVERGENCES</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>PARABOL</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20110301</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>CWG-HDQ-NEO</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>PEMAE12</td>
<td>167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20129138</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>DAIDALOS</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>PLUG AND PLAY</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20170608</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>DONOTDEMOLISH</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>PQ173NBITUG</td>
<td>168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4298370</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>ENFILADE</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>Presence</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5PLATFORMS</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>ENOTIKON</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>radio days</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9392907573</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>FOUR PEAKS</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>RUE ET JARDIN</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TWUIT !</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Fréquence</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>SOCIALROUTE</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.MOLNIA</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>GENIUS LOCI</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>TERRASSES UIT</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>@Hermes</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>HANGINGARDENS</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>THE LINK 2</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA00002007</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>HB9-UIT</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>Through form</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABELINE</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>I46131344G</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>TRAIT D'UNION 1</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTENNA</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>ICLIVERBLDAG</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>TRAIT D'UNION 2</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>barre (S)</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>IN &amp; OUT</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>Trees</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BRISK PASSAGE</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>LA PIERRE 21</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>VICEVERSA</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT7R2SGT4</td>
<td>154</td>
<td>MOLAMOL</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>XXXX46N6E</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHIRON</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>MOTTO190617</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>ZAM8609</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLOUDCOM</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>NAVAL2017</td>
<td>165</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Office: Weiss Architen
Villa Vittoria 11
2005 Ubas
Italie

Author(s): Ettore Giorgi
Phil De Salvo
Vittorio Nobili
Filippo Ferri
Giancarlo Bodini
Marcello Marrotta

Associate(s): Paolo Alesio
Lino Garbelli
Vincent Ragni
Francesco Paglia

Engineer: Batista Ing. S.r.l.

2012913B
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ABELINE

Oufer
Abelina Beaugrand Srl
Rue Duseignier 3
1205 Genève
Suiss

Authors:
Alexander Forte
Christophe Jan
William Schreiber
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ANTENNA

Office: Geis & Ritse Arch.
Adress: 1053, Ch. de Bâle, 1219 Genève, Switzerland.

Author(s): Pierre & Ritse Architekten
Assistant(s): Antonio Giacomini

Sustainabilty

barre(s)

Office: Triboulet Architecture & Associés
Address: 4400, Route de Vaud, 1218 Genève, Switzerland.

Author(s): Patrick Scollin
Assistant(s): Martin Stahelin

1:500 CONCEPTUAL AXONOMETRIC
Brisk Passage

Office: Lemoi Recher GmbH
12345 St. Gallen
Switzerland

Authors: Léna Recher
Cécile Massullo
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BT7R2SGT4

Office: GPP S.A. - Michele Allano
Via delle Arti 39
10133 Turin
Italy

Authors: Michele Allano - GPP S.A.
Maurizio Allano - Studio
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Concours Nouveau bâtiment - GIT Genève

Concours de projet - Nouveau bâtiment pour le siège de l'UIT à Genève

Sections 1,500

Elevations 1,500
FOUR PEAKS
Office: Holiday Clark Architects
The Chalk P1
Lausanne, CH
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FREQUENCE
Office: Atelier Enjeux
Rue de la Halle 81
1217 Genève
Suiss
ICLIVEBLDGS

IN & OUT
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LIVING BUILDING'S SUSTAINABILITY STORY
LA PIERRE 21

Office: Penet-Pelletier Architectes

Author(s): Penet-Pelletier Architectes

Associate(s): Gérard Penet

1992
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MOLAMOL

Office: ZBAFS architectes

Author(s): ZBAFS architectes

Associate(s): Stéphane Mathieu

1997

MOLAMOL

MOLAMOL
Concours de projet
NOUVEAU SIEGE DE L'UIT A GENEVE

1 - Ouverture urbaine
Trait d'union entre la place des Nations et CICG

2 - Halle fédératrice
Association, dissociation du programme

3 - Salles de conférences
Unites et modularité du dispositif

4 - Bureaux
Laisser ouvertes pour travailler autrement

5 - Restauration
Vue imprenable sur la place des Nations

6 - Travailler ensemble

7 - Vue ouverte

TRAIT D'UNION

OFFICE
Atelier Puissard et Nathalie Ballet
B.P. 43 - 1211 Genève 7
Switzerland

AUTHORS
Jean-Christophe Quinlon
Sue-la-Sorge Bataillon
75085 Paris
France

ASSOCIATES
Jean-Christophe Quinlon
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VICE VERSA

Office:
Ioma Consulting Engineering
Architectes:
Au Saintrion de l'Escalet 4
Bâtiment Léman
Lisboa

Author(s):
Paul Girard Zabala

Associate(s):
Alejandro Arizti Artel

Siège de l'UIT à Genève

XXXX46N6E

Office:
RSUSA/Remi de Gayler
Au Saintrion de l'Escalet 4
Bâtiment Léman
1300 Genève
Belgique

Author(s):
Remi de Gayler Xalija

Associate(s):
Paul Emile Valtier
Mickael Bouchi
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Office:
Ioma Consulting Engineering
Architectes:
Au Saintrion de l'Escalet 4
Bâtiment Léman
Lisboa

Author(s):
Paul Girard Zabala

Associate(s):
Alejandro Arizti Artel
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XXXX46N6E

Office:
RSUSA/Remi de Gayler
Au Saintrion de l'Escalet 4
Bâtiment Léman
1300 Genève
Belgique

Author(s):
Remi de Gayler Xalija

Associate(s):
Paul Emile Valtier
Mickael Bouchi