An Architectural Vision for IMT 2030

Abhay Karandikar

Director, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Kanpur, India (On leave from Indian Institute of Technology Bombay, Mumbai, India) director@iitk.ac.in

karandi@iitk.ac.in

Agenda

- IMT 2030 System
 - Requirements
- Limitations of 5G System Architecture
- IMT 2030 System Architecture
 - Some Initial Thoughts

Requirements for IMT-2030 System



- Huge Data Volume
 - Mobile Networks Primary vehicle for Connectivity
- Immense Service (Use Case) Diversity
 - "Very High Throughput" to "Very Low Throughput" Applications
 - "Latency Tolerant" to "Stringent Low Latency" Applications
- Diverse Set of Users
 - Stationary Users, Mobile Users, Users moving at very high speeds
 - Humans, Machines
 - Connectivity for everything/everywhere
- A Variety of Access Technologies
 - Cellular Access, WLANs, Satellite Access...
 - Small Cells, Large Cells
 - Unicast, Broadcast
- Efficient & Cost-effective Service Delivery
 - Sustainability

Existing 5GS Architecture - Some Limitations

Existing 3GPP 5G Architecture

Converged Core - Multi-RAT Unification in Core

But No Unification at RAN Level

1

2

3

- Fragmented Decision Making in RAN
- Tight and proprietary coupling betweenRadio and CN protocol stacks
 - Loss of Flexibility Can you connect 5G RAN to 4G Core or directly to Internet w/o Core?

Service/User Agnostic Handling

- Fixed Route/Path for Control & Data flows
- Usage of Core Network in every Scenario
 - Usage of Tunnels for all data flows
- No use case specific variation in Protocol Behaviour



Architecture for IMT 2030 - Points to Ponder (1/3)

Scalable Architecture

- Further Disaggregation of Control and User Plane
- Modular and Reusable Network Functions
- Unified Multi-access RAN
 - Unified Treatment of Dual Connectivity ...
- Usage of SDN Paradigm
 - Separation of Control & Data Plane
 - Logically Centralized Control Plane
- Virtualization of Network Resources
 - Better support for Network Slicing,...



Architecture for IMT 2030 - Points to Ponder (2/3)

- Need for core in cellular network
 - Mobility Anchored in Core
 - Also Authentication, Access Control...
- A large % of mobile network users not "mobile"
 - Rural Broadband Connectivity, IoT ...
 - Can we bypass core for such users?
 - Direct Connectivity to Internet from RAN
- Should we decouple RAN from Core?
 - Interworking of any RAN with any Core
 - Non Standalone Architecture requires 5G RAN to interwork with 4G Core
 - Achieved with the help of 4G-RAN
 - Not possible w/o 4G RAN
 - Connect future 6G RAN to 5G Core

Architecture for IMT 2030 - Points to Ponder (3/3)

Flexible Architecture

- Service/User Specific Protocols/Functions Selection
 - Different protocols for different services
 - Service/User Dependent Route/Path?
- Flexible Protocol Structure
 - Not rigidly layered
 - Tunnelling protocols not required for all users
- Intelligence-driven Network
 - Optimization of Services/Applications
 - AI/ML Model/Data Distribution
 - Federated Learning
 - Al-powered Network Design & Optimization
 - Al-powered Optimization
 - AI-powered Protocol Stacks
 - Learning-oriented Network Design



IMT 2030 System Architecture - A few proposals

Scalable Architecture - RAN User(Data) Plane Disaggregation

- RAN User (Data) Plane of most RATS perform similar functions in 5G
 - Radio Tx/Rx
 - PHY & MAC
 - Link Adaptation
 - Security (Encryption)
 - Optimization Header Compression ...
 - Interworking with Core
- Can we Disaggregate RAN along these simpler functions?
- Does it help in unified treatment of RATs?
- Does it help in Load Management, Dual Connectivity?

Disaggregated Data Plane for Multi-RAT RAN

- Modular Data Plane Functions
- Base Station(BS) Function
 - MAC and Physical Layer
 - Rx/Tx may be a Separate Function
- Security Function (SF)
 - Encryption and Integrity
- Optimization Function (OptF)
 - IP Header Compression etc.
- RAN Adaptation Function (AdpF)
 - Link Control, ARQ etc.
- Interworking Function (IWF)
 - Interworking with Core
- An individual Controller may be responsible for controlling a subset of modular functions



Courtesy: IEEE 1930.1

Unification & Virtualization of Disaggregated Multi-RAT RAN

- Virtualization Layer (SDN Middleware)
 - A Layer between Control & Data Plane
 - Abstract Information Model of Multi-RAT RAN Data plane
 - Virtualize Underlying Data Plane
 - Modularized Information Model
 - Unify Control & Management of Multi-RAT RAN
- Unified Control Plane
 - Usage of SDN Technology
 - Controls RAN Data Plane Functions of all RATS
 - SDN Middleware Abstraction helps in Unified Control
- Improved handling of
 - Load balancing, Dual Connectivity, Network Slicing



Courtesy : IEEE 1930.1

Further Disaggregation of Control Plane

- 5GS Architecture supports Disaggregation
- Further Possibilities of Disaggregation
 - Additional Data Plane Disaggregation
 - IEEE 1930.1 Previous Slides
 - Further Disaggregation in Control Plane Next
- Existing 5GS Control Plane
 - Two Types of Tasks
 - Task #1
 - Controls User Plane "Network/Resource Control"
 - Task #2
 - Exchanges Signalling Messages with UE UE Control & State Management
 - Provide Services such as Mobility, Authentication...
- Disaggregate (Decouple) Task #2 from #1

Disaggregation in RAN Control Plane (Decouple UE Signaling Exchange from Resource Control)



Disaggregation of RAN Control Plane (Slice specific Deployment of UE Signaling handling)



Disaggregation applied to RAN+CN Control Plane

- UE Signalling Exchange functionality separated from Control Plane Functions
 - Signalling Service Functions NAS Server, RRC Server, Authentication Server, ...
 - Service Orchestrator Mobility Orchestrator ...
- Control Plane : User Plane Control (Resource Control)
- UE Signalling (RRC/NAS) Messages
 - A form of Data (Payload) flowing through 5G network A different paradigm





A Service Driven Architecture for IMT-2030



Source: Meghna Khaturia, Akshatha Nayak Manjeshwar, Pranav Jha and Abhay Karandikar, ICIN (2021)

Service Driven Architecture - Highlights

Enhanced Modularity & Flexibility	 Disaggregated and Modular Control Plane Possibility of Use case specific variants of UE Signalling Protocols But Impact on UE Signalling Message not necessary Flexible Signaling Handling function Placement and Chaining
Simpler Control Plane	 Primarily controls User Plane as in SDN paradigm Does not exchange signalling messages with UEs Simpler message flow & protocols Improved control plane performance
Change in Paradigm	 UE Signalling as Payload (Data) All Services treated Uniformly - external AF/AS based and internal services Improved Network Access Security Aligned with End-to-End Design Principle of Internet

THANK YOU