

TECHNOLOGY ACCESS PROGRAM

Al and Accessibility - Promises and Threats

Christian Vogler, Ph.D. Director, Technology Access Program Gallaudet University



AI for Accessibility

TECHNOLOGY ACCESS PROGRAM

Artificial Intelligence for eServices holds promise for several hard accessibility problems

Scale – overwhelming volume of content and interactions

Customization – individual needs vary

Modalities – different modes of communication, interaction, and learning



<u>This Photo</u> by Unknown Author is licensed under <u>CC BY-SA</u>



<u>This Photo</u> by Unknown Author is licensed under <u>CC BY-SA-NC</u>



<u>This Photo</u> by Unknown Author is licensed under <u>CC BY-NC-ND</u>



TECHNOLOGY ACCESS PROGRAM

Al Success Story: ASR & Google Live Transcribe



<



Photo courtesy of Google

ASR app to caption face to face conversations in informal settings

Fills huge communication gap

Inclusive design done right: community-driven features and testing



AI Threat: ASR gone horribly wrong

TECHNOLOGY ACCESS PROGRAM



No, these TV hosts aren't racist. The AI generating the captions was.

Photo & real captions courtesy of Closed Caption Quality Club on Facebook

What was really said: >> HEY REBECCA SWEET, WILL YOU TAKE MY JACKET FOR ME PLEASE? WELL, THANK YOU, THANK YOU. OKAY, HEY! SMITA'S JOINING US NOW. HEY SMITA, HOW ARE YOU?



ASR Issues and Standardization

- Key Performance Indicators: accuracy, latency, punctuation, pacing, what others are needed?
- Usability, user experience e.g. simplicity and visual indicators were key to Live Transcribe's success
- Inclusive design requirements
- Guidelines for appropriate applications
- Best practices for user experience, deployment



Al and Customized Content





Customization applications

TECHNOLOGY ACCESS PROGRAM



Summarize text to slow down transmission to the average ~30 wpm that Braille users read at. Summarize captions if a user cannot keep up with verbatim speeds. Simplify captions if a user cannot follow complex language.





TECHNOLOGY ACCESS PROGRAM

Customization Issues & Standardization

- The tech is not ready how do we ensure that the content summaries match intent of the original?
- Not a substitute for writing clear, coherent, plain text
 Everyone benefits from clear, plain language
- Matching user preferences to levels of simplification?



Al and Voice Interfaces

TECHNOLOGY ACCESS PROGRAM





Over one third of the households in the USA have a voice assistant. They are fantastic for people who are <u>blind</u>, <u>mobility impaired</u>, and even <u>augmentative/alternative communication</u> users.



Voice Interface Threat

TECHNOLOGY ACCESS PROGRAM



A voice-only world is a real possibility.

It will destroy access for anyone whose speech is not clear, or who cannot speak, or who prefers a different mode of communication.

Text input is inefficient. Gesture input was not popular in a recent study at Gallaudet. Sign language input is not ready.



Voice Interface: Standardization and Study

- We need contained use cases to experiment with alternate input methods
- We need resources and data sets to make sign language recognition a reality
- We need guidelines for visual, auditory, and tactile interfaces
- We need a much better understanding of cultural factors in all modes of communication with computers



Thank you

- christian.vogler@gallaudet.edu
- <u>https://fb.me/cvogler</u>
- @Krischi
- https://tap.gallaudet.edu/
- <u>http://www.deafhhtech.org/</u>