

Impact of EMF limits on 5G network roll-out

ITU Workshop on 5G, EMF & Health Warsaw, December 5 2017

Christer Törnevik Senior Expert, EMF and Health Ericsson Research, Stockholm

EMF challenges for 5G

> Massive MIMO and beamforming

- More complex EMF compliance assessments
- Potentially higher EIRP and larger EMF compliance boundaries (exclusion zones) than for conventional antennas if theoretical maximum power is used for all beams
- Site design of increasing importance especially in countries using limits lower that ICNIRP guidelines
- > Frequency bands above 10 GHz
 - EMF assessment methodology and standards available but need to be further refined
 - International EMF limits more conservative in the nearfield which may lead to larger compliance distances for small cell base stations





28 GHz 5G massive MIMO small cell





ICNIRP limit compliance not an issue for normal installations – although larger exclusion zone than for 3G/4G 10x larger exclusion zone with 1/100 of ICNIRP – installations may be challenging

3.5 GHz 5G site with massive MIMO



- 3.5 GHz, 200 W
- Massive MIMO (64 elements)
- EIRP of 72 dBm
- Installation on existing site with 2G, 3G and 4G antennas
- Theoretical maximum power (100% simultaneous utilization) assumed for all antennas



Very large exclusion zone due to unrealistic power - may lead to substantial 5G deployment challenges IEC 62232 (2017) and ITU-T K.100 standards open up for use of actual maximum output power (95th percentile)

Actual maximum power of 5G massive MIMO antennas



Statistical model developed that takes into account base station utilization, scheduling time, distribution of user equipment, and timedivision duplexing to determine actual power

	IEEEAccess' Institution : Twit Rever: 1 and Assault
Received August 9, 2017, accorpted September 13, 2017, date of publication Sept date of current version October 12, 2017. Digital Object Mempler In: Nov/ACCRSS.2017.2753469	ember 18, 2017,
Time-Averaged Realistic Maxi	mum Power Levels
for the Assessment of Radio F	requency Exposure
for 5G Radio Base Stations Us	sing Massive MIMO
BJÖRN THORS, ANDERS FURUSKÄR, DAVIDE COLOMBI, AND CHRISTER TÖRNEVIK, (Member, IEEE)	
Corresponding author: Björn Thors (björn.thors@cricsson.com)	
iABSTBACT In this paper, a model for time-averaged relation of radio frequency. (RC) electromagnetic field (EMI) exposures of radio requency (RC) electromagnetic field (EMI) exposures. (RC) electromagnetic field (EMI) exposures of the electropic of provide a realistic convention of Response to the result of the rest of the result of the result of the result of	ic maximum power levels for the assessment use for the fifth generation (5G) radio base model is based on a statistical approach and assessment for a significant properties of all effects of the statistical properties of all EMF exposure assessments of RISS. Factors, me, and spatial distribution of users within a need-form equation. For an example scenario distic maximum power level was found to be far-field exposure scenarios, this corresponds for folow 2.7. R example as given to anienna n both azimuth and elevation. Thase stations, RF EMF compliance, massive
L INTRODUCTION Radio frequency (RF) electromagnetic field (ISMF) com- pliance assessments are conducted by manufacturers and operators to make sure that rando hase station (RBS equip- ment comply will recent arguing to requirements on human site. The perposes with the RF [JMF compliance assessments is to define three dimensional volumes, known as compli- ance boundaries or exclusion romes, outside of which the RF exposure is below the exposure limits. Issued on this, the perpose with the RF [JMF compliance assessments is used in the perposent of the test of the state of the exposure in below the exposure limits. Issued on this, exposure in stress accessible to the general public is below the limits. The basic performance is due to the smaller pen- density is used at higher frequencies due to the smaller pen- density is used at higher frequencies due to the smaller pen- density is used at higher frequencies due to the smaller pen- density is used at its of the 10 stress of the percent of the test of the protection (ICNIRF), the transition frequency practical exposure to power density is at 10 GHz [1]. For percent can provide a stress assessment and the predict of the protection of the test of the smaller pen- tation of the test of the test of the test of the test of the test of the limits. The basis of at 10 GHz [1]. For percent can possi- tion of the test of t	assessments, purticularly below 10 GHz, ICNIRP also spec- ifiles reference levels in terms of electric and magnetic field strengths or plane-vare equivalent power denity. The refer- ence levels, derived for maximum coupling conditions, are to be associated in the space without presence of the exposed response of the space of the presence of the exposed Regional and international RP EMP expoure assessment standards for RBS have been developed, see e. [21-47]. Traditionally, RF EMP exposure assessments are to be con- ducted for theoretical maximum power configurations. For the based on large-scale maximum power configurations for the based on large-scale maximum power as a discontinuous tamanision, traffic variants of power is a discontinuous transmission, traffic variant and as a discontinuous transmission, traffic variant and assess point of view it is -from an economic and a scattered point or view it is desirable to resue existing RBS sites as new mobile com- munication technologies are introduced to cope with the com-

Fraction of total power contributing to the EMF exposure as function of antenna array size (95th percentile)



Rationale for actual maximum power use



- Not all power will be focused in the same direction for several minutes
- 100% utilization is very unlikely
- TDD will limit transmit time



3.5 GHz 5G base station compliance boundary determined using **theoretical maximum** transmitted power (200 W)

3.5 GHz 5G base station compliance boundary determined using **actual maximum** transmitted power (44 W)

Example: 5G site with massive MIMO 3.5 GHz and 28 GHz, actual maximum power







5G urban roof-top installation

Actual maximum power = 25% of theoretical maximum RF EMF exposure below ICNIRP limits in public areas Case study to be included in IEC TR 62669 (2018) and ITU-T Supplement on 5G EMF compliance



Exclusion zone 10 W/m² ICNIRP general public limit



Impact of lower national EMF limits 1/10 of ICNIRP limit





Size of exclusion zone makes 5G network roll-out very challenging

© Ericsson AB 2017 | 2017-12-05 | Page 8

Impact of lower national EMF limits 1/100 of ICNIRP limit





Size of exclusion zone makes 5G network roll-out a major problem or impossible Exclusion zone 10 W/m²

ICNIRP limit

Exclusion zone 0.1 W/m² 1/100 of ICNIRP limit

© Ericsson AB 2017 | 2017-12-05 | Page 9

Conclusions



- > EMF compliance may be a challenge for 5G massive MIMO sites if assuming theoretical maximum power for all beams
- International standards IEC 62232 and ITU-T K.100 open up for use of actual maximum power to perform realistic EMF compliance assessments
- Statistical model to determine actual maximum power of 5G massive MIMO antennas has been developed: found to be around 25% of theoretical maximum power for 8x8 array antennas
- In countries with EMF limits significantly below the international science-based ICNIRP limits the roll-out of 5G networks will be a major problem



Actual maximum power use example Impact on exclusion zone – 2G/3G/4G multi-technology site



Theoretical maximum total output power applied

8

8

10

10

95th percentile of the combined output power values applied