

Listening from the ocean bottom:

A broadband view of ocean waves

Justin Stopa¹, Fabrice Ardhuin¹, Charles Peureux¹, Jean-Yves-Royer²

¹Laboratoire d'Océanographie Physique et Spatiale, Brest, France ²Laboratoire Géosciences Océan, Brest, France



1. The surface wave spectrum





1. The surface wave spectrum



And it can all be recorded from the ocean bottom

(Duennebier et al. JGR 2012)





Smart Cables, Brest, 13 nov. 2017



Let's start with the very low frequencies: IG waves





IG waves can be really big, and contribute to flooding (see recent storms in Fidji ...)







But we have very little data available

- Review by Webb et al. (JGR 1991) : few data, ~ 1 cm height
- Aucan & Ardhuin (GRL 2013) : analysis of tsunami warning stations :



2. model for Infragravity waves Coastal sources

- \rightarrow further analysis by Ardhuin et al. (OM 2014) :
 - New experiments (Waimea 2012)
 - Use of various datasets

$$H_{IG}\simeq lpha_1 H_s T_{m0,-2}^2 \sqrt{g/D}$$



Location	Depth (m)	Start date	Duration (days)	$\alpha_1 (s^{-1})$	Correlation (r)
A (Duck, NC)	12	1994/09/10	100	$8.1 imes 10^{-4}$	0.97
F (Duck, NC)	33	1994/09/10	100	$4.6 imes 10^{-4}$	0.97
H (Duck, NC)	50	1994/09/10	100	$4.0 imes 10^{-4}$	0.97
I (Duck, NC)	87	1994/09/10	100	$4.0 imes 10^{-4}$	0.96
51201 (Waimea, HI)	165	2012/01/18	100	$5.3 imes 10^{-4}$	0.95
Crozon (France)	110	2011/09/10	15	$7.9 imes 10^{-4}$	0.86
Bertheaume (France)	23	2004/01/19	104	$4.4 imes 10^{-4}$	0.88
Banneg island (France)	5	2009/12/03	89	$5.0 imes 10^{-4}$	0.85
DART 46407	3266	2008/01/01	366	$5 imes 10^{-4}$	(with lag: 0.89)

2. model for Infragravity waves Coastal sources

→ Frequency dependance? Empirical relation based on very few data $A_{IG} = H_s T_{m0,-2}^2$,

$$E_{IG}(f) = 1.2\alpha_1^2 \frac{kg^2}{C_g 2\pi f} \frac{(A_{IG}/4)^2}{\Delta_f} [\min(1., 0.015 \text{Hz}/f)]^{1.5}$$

$$E_{IG}(f, \theta) = E_{IG}(f)/(2\pi),$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 40 & (a) 51201 \\ 30 & (c) 46402 \\ z=-4712 \text{ m} \\ 0 & (c) 46402 \\ z=-4712 \text{ m} \\ 0 & (c) 46402 \\ z=-4712 \text{ m} \\ 0 & (c) 46402 \\ z=-4712 \text{ m} \\ 0 & (c) 46402 \\ z=-4712 \text{ m} \\ 0 & (c) 46402 \\ z=-4712 \text{ m} \\ 0 & (c) 46407 \\ z=-3266 \text{ m} \\ 0 & (c) 4640$$

2. Infragravity waves Global model result

Global map of free IG wave heights :

Top : Jan & Feb

Bottom: July & August

For year 2008







Sources of IG waves recorded off Japan are in North America

(Rawat et al., GRL 2014)

But big errors in South Pacific ...





Smart Cables, Brest, 13 nov. 2017



IG waves and Earth's hum





Model application : estimation of sources of microseisms (the hum)... first validation by Ardhuin et al. (GRL 2015) Ongoing work (Deen et al. at IPGP)







3. IG waves and hum sources

And throughout the year ... rms accelerations, -96 at SSB (LHZ) 7 to 20 mHz -98 (dB re 1 m/s2)







5

Smart Cables, Brest, 13 nov. 2017

Conclusions and perspectives





1) Very long periods (30 to 300 s) and short periods (< 2 s) are poorly known.

Both can be observed at the ocean bottom :

- Linear response in infragravity waves
- Non-linear interactions for short waves (e.g. Farrell & Munk 2008, Duennebier et al. 2012, Ardhuin et al. JASA 2013 ...)

Observation needs : long time series on the ocean floor with broadband instruments



2. Building a global IG wave model It works ... not well everywhere...

Verification of same model at global scale : using DART bottom pressure recorders

