

ITU AI/ML IN 5G CHALLENGE

Radio Link Failure (RLF) Prediction using Weather Information

Juan Samuel Pérez, Amín Deschamps, Willmer Quiñones, Yobany Díaz IEC_Research Instituto Tecnológico de Santo Domingo (INTEC), Dominican Republic



December 16, 2020





AGENDA

- 1. Problem.
- 2. Strategy.
- 3. Model.
- 4. Performance.
- 5. Final remarks.
- 6. Q&A.





Problem

The challenge required using AI/ML for the prediction of future Radio Link Failure (RLF) occurrences (up to five days ahead).

Radio Link Failure is an anomalous condition of microwave LOS links defined by Turkcell using thresholds on standardized BBE and Unavailable Seconds key performance indicators (KPIs). Its prediction can result in mitigated downtime or reduced service degratation to the subscribers.





Problem

The dataset provided contains anonymyzed information associated to meteorological stations and RF-links, comprising:

- Weather forecasts/measurements.
- RF KPIs.
- Characterization of weather stations and RF site locations.
- Spatial information.

Particular challenges of the dataset:

Significantly umbalanced dataset with small percentage of RLF occurrences.

intec

- Uncertainty in regards to the origin of the weather predictions.
- Missing weather stations forecast data.
- Alignment of spatial and temporal data.

Problem

A Survey on Network Resiliency Methodologies against Weather-based Disruptions

Massimo Tornatore *, Joao André ^{xiii}, Péter Babarczi [¶], Torsten Braun **, Eirik Følstad ^{‡‡}, Poul Heegaard ^{‡‡}, Ali Hmaity *, Marija Furdek [§], Luisa Jorge [‡], Wojciech Kmiecik ^{xii}, Carmen Mas Machuca ^{*}, Lucia Martins ^{xiv}, Carmo Medeiros ^{viv}, Francesco Musumeci ^{*}, Alija Pašić [¶], Jacek Rak^{||}, Steven Simpson ^{xi}, Rui Travanca ^{††}, Artemios Voyiatzis [†]

* Politecnico di Milano, Department of Electronics, Information and Bioengineering, Milan, Italy
[‡] Instituto Politécnico de Bragança and INESC Coimbra, Bragança, Portugal
[§] KTH Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, Sweden
[§] MTA-BME Future Internet Research Group, Budapest University of Technology and Economics (BME), Hungary
^{II} Gdansk University of Technology, Faculty of Electronics, Telecommunications and Informatics, Poland
^{**} University of Bern, Switzerland, [†] SBA Research, Vienna, Austria
^{††} Dept. of Civil Engineering, Universidade de Aveiro, 3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
^{‡‡} Norwegian University Of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway

^x Technical University Munich, Germany, ^{xi} Lancaster University, United Kingdom ^{xii} Wroclaw University of Technology, Poland

^{xiii} National Laboratory for Civil Engineering (LNEC), Lisbon, Portugal Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering & INESC, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal

IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON VEHICULAR TECHNOLOGY, VOL. 58, NO. 8, OCTOBER 2009

Effect of sand and dust storms on microwave propagation signals in southern Libya

Esmaeil Mohamed Abuhdima General communication department General post and telecommunication company Tripoli – Libya ismaeil666@hotmail.com Ibrahim Mohamed Saleh Electric and electronic department Al-Fateh university Tripoli - Libya ibrahim.saleh@ltnet.net

4023

The Effects of Tropical Weather on Radio-Wave Propagation Over Foliage Channel

Yu Song Meng, Student Member, IEEE, Yee Hui Lee, Member, IEEE, and Boon Chong Ng, Senior Member, IEEE





Strategy

For this binary classification task, our team envisioned a simple model that would predict the occurrence of RLF per Mini-Link ID (MLID) in the next day(s) using the MLID's own **Radio Link KPI history** and its associated **weather forecast data** as inputs to produce **actionable information**.



The assumption here is that the KPI history of the Radio Link would provide short-term information concerning the susceptibility of the Radio Link to degradation/failure, while the forecast would provide information on the expected conditions the link would face in the immediate future.





Model

For simplicity when building our model:

- The KPI history used is the previous day's data only.
- The weather forecast used is the next day's only, and belongs to the closest meteorological station to the RF site of interest.

Our experiments and discussions supported the use of a Decision Tree Classifier as the preferred model in order to:

- Maximize interpretability.
- Make our model robust against feature scaling issues.
- Use the embedded feature importance capability fo dimensionality reduction.





Model

Model details:

- Implemented using the 'DecisionTreeClassifier' from scikit-learn.
- Low Depth (i.e., 7 levels).
- Handling the unbalaced dataset required the use of class weights {FALSE:0.01, TRUE:0.99}.
- Only requires 29 input features for the prediction.





Performance over First Dataset

Accuracy:

| Accuracy | 0.9013 |
|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Cross-validation Accuracy | 0.8608 (+/- 0.0740) |
| Test Set Error | 0.8977 |

Confusion matrix for the validation subset:

| | Predicted RLF = FALSE | Predicted RLF = TRUE |
|------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| True RLF = FALSE | 67222 | 7430 |
| True RLF = TRUE | 276 | 398 |

Classification report for the validation set:

| Class | Precision | Recall | F1-score | Support | |
|--------------|-----------|--------|----------|---------|----|
| RLF = FALSE | 1.00 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 74652 | |
| RLF = TRUE | 0.05 | 0.59 | 0.09 | 674 | |
| Macro AVG | 0.52 | 0.75 | 0.52 | 75326 | in |
| Weighted AVG | 0.99 | 0.90 | 0.94 | 75326 | |

IEC

Performance over Second Dataset

Accuracy:

| Accuracy 0.90 | 89 |
|---------------|----|
|---------------|----|

Confusion matrix:

| | Predicted RLF = FALSE | Predicted RLF = TRU | |
|------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|--|
| True RLF = FALSE | 15668 | 1561 | |
| True RLF = TRUE | 9 | 4 | |

Classification report for the validation set:

| Class | Precision | Recall | F1-score | Support | |
|--------------|-----------|--------|----------|---------|-------|
| RLF = FALSE | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 17229 | |
| RLF = TRUE | 0.00 | 0.31 | 0.01 | 13 | |
| Macro AVG | 0.50 | 0.61 | 0.48 | 17242 | |
| Weighted AVG | 1.00 | 0.91 | 0.95 | 17242 | |
| | | | | | intec |



Model

Final remarks:

- Our model is as good as the weather predictions. The source of the weather forecasts, their accuracy, and consistency are the most important characteristics exploited by our model.
- During the development we observed better results at trying to estimate the RLF occurrence directly from the weather forecast, rather than for estimating intermediate KPIs. These results were counter-intuitive and require more analysis.





Questions



