Role of ITU in Building Security & Trust in Cyberspace

Odessa, Ukraine, 15-17 June 2016

Sameer Sharma Senior Advisor, ITU Regional Office, Bangkok



ITU: A Brief Overview

Founded in 1865

193 Member States567 Sector Members159 Associates104 Academia

A specialized agency of the UN with focus on Telecommunication / ICTs

ITU-R: ITU's Radio-communication Sector globally manages radio-frequency spectrum and satellite orbits that ensure safety of life on land, at sea and in the skies.



ITU-T: ITU's Telecommunication Standardization Sector enables global communications by ensuring that countries' ICT networks and devices are speaking the same language.

ITU-D: ITU's Development Sector fosters international cooperation and solidarity in the delivery of technical assistance and in the creation, development and improvement of telecommunication/ICT equipment and networks in developing countries.



Headquartered in Geneva, 4 Regional Offices 7 Area Offices.



ICT Services Uptake

Global, 2014

Mobile cellular subscriptions:

- Almost 7 billion
- Mobile broadband penetration:
 84% developed countries
 21% developing countries
 Fixed broadband penetration:
 27.5 % developed countries
 6 % developing countries
- Almost 3 billion people online (individuals using the Internet)

Who's online?

By region, 2014

Not online Online





Agreed Global Telecommunication/ICT Targets - 2020



Key Cybersecurity Challenges

- Lack of adequate and interoperable national or regional legal frameworks
- Lack of secure software for ICT-based applications
- Lack of appropriate national and global organizational structures to deal with cyber incidents
- Lack of information security professionals and skills within governments; lack of basic awareness among users
- Lack of international cooperation between industry experts, law enforcements, regulators, academia & international organizations, etc. to address a global challenge
- Complexity of ICTs imply a need for the ability to respond, not just protect, as cybersecurity incidents will happen even if protective measures are deployed.

Cybersecurity not seen yet as a cross-sector, multi-dimensional concern. Still seen as a technical/technology problem.

Importance of Cybersecurity

- From industrial age to information societies
 - Increasing dependence on the availability of ICTs
 - Number of Internet users growing constantly (now 40% of world's population)
- Statistics and reports show that cyber-threats are on the rise
 - The likely annual cost to the global economy from Cybercrime is estimated at more than \$455 billion (source: McAfee Report on Economic Impact of Cybercrime, 2013).
- Developing countries most at risk as they adopt broader use of ICTs
 - E.g. Africa leading in Mobile-broadband penetration: almost 20% in 2014 - up from less than 2% in 2010 (Source: ITU ICT Statistics)
- Need for building cybersecurity capacity
 - Protection is crucial for the socio-economic wellbeing of a country in the adoption of new technologies

Coordinated Response

ITU Mandate on Cybersecurity

2003 – 2005 WSIS entrusted ITU as sole facilitator for WSIS Action Line C5 -"Building Confidence and Security in the use of ICTs"

2007 Global Cybersecurity Agenda (GCA) was launched by ITU Secretary General GCA is a framework for international cooperation in cybersecurity

2008 to date ITU Membership endorsed the GCA as the ITU-wide strategy on international cooperation.

Building confidence and security in the use of ICTs is widely present in **PP and Conferences**' resolutions. In particular WTSA 12, PP 10 and WTDC 10 produced Resolutions (WTSA 12 Res 50, 52, 58, PP Res 130, 174, 179, 181 and WTDC 45 and 69) which touch on the most relevant ICT security related issues, from legal to policy, to technical and organization measures.

Global Cybersecurity Agenda (GCA)

- GCA is designed for cooperation and efficiency, encouraging collaboration with and between all relevant partners, and building on existing initiatives to avoid duplicating efforts.
- GCA builds upon five pillars:
 - 1. Legal Measures
 - 2. Technical and Procedural Measures
 - 3. Organizational Structure
 - 4. Capacity Building
 - 5. International Cooperation
- Since its launch, GCA has attracted the support and recognition of leaders and cybersecurity experts around the world.

Global Cybersecurity Index

Objective

The Global Cybersecurity Index (GCI) aims to measure the level of commitment of each nation in cybersecurity in five main areas:

- Legal Measures
- Technical Measures
- Organizational Measures
- Capacity Building
- National and International Cooperation

ABIresearch

Global Cybersecurity Index

 C C O D D A L

 CYBERSECURITY INDEX &

 CYBERSECURITY INDEX &

 Darr

 Darre

104 countries have responded

are on ITU Website http://www.itu.int/en/ITU-

Final Global and Regional Results 2014

D/Cybersecurity/Pages/GCI.aspx

Next iteration in progress

Global Ranking 2014 - Top 5

Many countries share the same ranking which indicates that they have the same level of readiness. The index has a low level of granularity since it aims at capturing the cybersecurity commitment/preparedness of a country and NOT its detailed capabilities or possible vulnerabilities.

| Country | Index | Global Rank |
|--------------------------|-------|----------------|
| United States of America | 0.824 | 1 |
| Canada | 0.794 | 2 |
| Australia | 0.765 | 3 |
| Malaysia | 0.765 | 3 |
| Oman | 0.765 | 3 |
| New Zealand | 0.735 | 4 |
| Norway | 0.735 | 4 |
| Brazil | 0.706 | 5 |
| Estonia | 0.706 | 5 |
| Germany | 0.706 | 5 |
| India | 0.706 | 5 |
| Japan | 0.706 | 5 |
| Republic of Korea | 0.706 | 5 |
| United Kingdom | 0.706 | 5 |

Top Performers in Asia-Pacific

| Asia Pacific | Index | Regional Rank |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------------------|
| Malaysia | 0.7353 | 1 |
| Australia* | 0.6765 | 2 |
| New Zealand* | 0.6765 | 2 |
| India* | 0.6471 | 4 |
| Singapore | 0.6471 | 4 |
| Japan* | 0.5588 | 6 |
| Republic of Korea* | 0.4706 | 7 |
| Indonesia* | 0.4412 | 8 |
| Brunei Darussalam | 0.3824 | 9 |
| China* | 0.3824 | 9 |
| Sri Lanka | 0.3824 | 9 |
| Myanmar | 0.3529 | 12 |
| Thailand* | 0.3529 | 12 |
| Bangladesh | 0.2941 | 14 |
| Iran (Islamic Republic of)* | 0.2941 | 14 |
| Philippines* | 0.2941 | 14 |
| Afghanistan | 0.2647 | 17 |
| Viet Nam* | 0.2647 | 17 |
| Vanuatu | 0.1471 | 19 |

Cyberwellness Country Profiles

Factual information on cybersecurity achievements on each country **based on the GCA pillars**

Over 196 profiles to date

Live documents – Invite countries to assist us in maintaining updated information <u>cybersecurity@itu.int</u>

BACKGROUND

Total Population: 44 940 000 (data source: United Nations Statistics Division, December 2012) Internet users, percentage of population: 41.80% (data source: ITU Statistics, December 2003)

1. CYBERSECURITY

1.1 LEGAL MEASURES

1.1.1 CRIMINAL LEGISLATION

- Specific legislation on cybercrime has been enacted through the following instruments:
- The Penal Code Act
 The Computer Misuse Act.

 1.1.2
 REGULATION AND COMPLIANCE

 Specific legislation and regulation related to cybersecurity has been enacted through the following instruments:
 NITA-U Act

 - NITA-U Act
 - Access to information Act

 - Electronic Signatures Act
 - Electronic Transactions Act

 - The Electronic Transactions.
 - Mathematical Act

1.2 TECHNICAL MEASURES

1.2.1 CIRT Ukraine has an officially recognized national CIRT known as <u>CERT-UA</u>.

1.2.2 STANDARDS There is no officially approved national (and sector specific) cybersecurity framework for implementing internationally recognized cybersecurity standards in Ukraine.

1.2.3 CERTIFICATION There is no cybersecurity framework for the certification and accreditation of national agencies and public sector professionals in Ukraine.

1.3 ORGANIZATION MEASURES

1.3.1 POLICY Ukraine has an officially recognized National Security Strategy.

1.3.2 ROADMAP FOR GOVERNANCE There is no national or sector-specific governance roadmap for cybersecurity in Ukraine.

1.3.3 RESPONSIBLE AGENCY The function of overseeing cybersecurity is shared between: The Security Service of Ukraine (SBU), the <u>State Special</u> <u>Communication Service</u>, and <u>the Ministry of Internal Affairs</u>.

1.3.4 NATIONAL BENCHMARKING Ukraine has no officially recognized national benchmarking and referential to measure cybersecurity development

National Cyber Security Strategy ITU Cyber Security Toolkit:

The aim – create a toolkit to help states to create or improve cyber security strategies

Cybersecurity in Asia-Pacific region

- National Cybersecurity Strategy & Cybersecurity Awareness : Nepal (2016-2015)
- Readiness Assessment to Establish a National CIRT for Fiji (2014-2015)
- Workshop on Cybersecuirty and Cybercrime Legislation & Cybersecurity Incident Simulation Bangkok 23 March 2015
- INTERPOL-ITU Cybercrime Investigation Seminar, 19-21 Feb 2014, Malaysia
- First Pacific Islands Capacity Building Workshop on Child Online Protection and Commonwealth National Cybersecurity Framework Regional Workshop, 22-24 September 2014, Vanuatu
- Establishment of Pac CIRT, Fiji
- Readiness assessment National Cybersecurity Strategy, Bangladesh (2013)
- ITU Cyber Security Forum & Cyber Drill, 9-11 Dec 2013, Vientiane, Lao P.D.R
- Enhancement of cybersecurity capabilities (CIRT) Bhutan (2013)
- CIRT Capacity Building for Afghanistan (2014 and 2015)

ITU/EC ICB4PAC : Model Cybersecurity Strategies & COP

Regulatory Harmonization Cycle

CIRT Assessment in ABBMN Countries

ITU carried our CIRT assessment as a part of Afghanistan Bangladesh Bhutan Maldives Nepal (ABBMN) Ministerial Forum in 2012 in five South Asian Countries with following objectives

- 1. Assist in study of the readiness assessment of current cybersecurity needs in each country
- 2. Study and suggest institutional and organizational requirements and arrangements for CIRT in each country
- 3. Develop areas of proactive and reactive response measures in each country
- 4. Develop Membership Policies for CIRT in each country
- 5. Develop Policies to coordinate with internal agencies as well as international CIRTs taking into account policies for ITU IMPACT initiative on CIRT in each country
- 6. Design specifications for hardware and software for CIRT for each country

The Ministerial Declaration along with the CIRT Assessment was published in January 2012 and is available at :

http://www.itu.int/ITU-D/asp/CMS/Docs/CIRT_ABBMN_Assessment.pdf

Cyber Drills in Asia-Pacific

- Two Cyber Drills carried out in the region by ITU in 2011 and 2012
- A Forum was also organized inviting CERT representatives who shared their experiences, issues, challenges and initiatives.
- Industry leaders shared their thoughts on cybersecurity-related technologies and solutions.
- Buit networking among participating CERTs. For example, during the 2011 Forum, CERTs agreed to collaborate and coordinate among each other even after the Froum
- Bilateral actions/cooperation such as mission exchange were done by themselves and only informing/updating ITU
- In the case of the 2013 drill, we invited telcos, academia and other government agencies to observe the drill

Critical Infrastructure Protection Conference

Building a global partnership

Capacity building initiatives, joint consultations and more.

states, information sharing

Best practices in cybercrime legislations, joint technical assistance to member

Tap on expertise of globally recognized industry players and accelerate info sharing with ITU member states

Collaboration with ABI Research – The Global Cybersecurity Index (GCI)

Collaboration with FIRST – To share best practices on computer incident response, engage in joint events, facilitate affiliation of national CIRTS of member states

Collaboration with Member States – Regional Cybersecurity Centres

Conclusions

- While it will never be possible to completely remove all risks, drawing together an effective policies and practices, infrastructure & technology, awareness and communication can do a great deal to help.
- The international cooperation, based on a multi-stakeholder approach and the belief that every organization – whether online or mobile, educator or legislator, technical expert or industry body – has something to contribute.
- Human and institutional capacity building critical to understand and take reactive / proactive response to cyberthreats
- By working together with ITU and its partners critical international collaboration can be achieved to make the Internet a safe and secure not for us but for our children as well!

ITU : I Thank U

