WORLD TELECOMMUNICATION DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE



Cross Border RF interference mitigation - ASP

ITU - ITTLLDC Seminar — 2025 Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia

28 – 29 August 2025





What to expect in these slides

RF Interference?

Approaches to address cross border RF interference issues

Situation in Asia-Pacific

Going Forward and suggestions to address the issue

Conclusion





Imagine losing all **RF** Interference the financial contribution to exchequer due to inability to use spectrum due to **Tx 1 Rx 1** interference International border **Tx 2** Rx 2

Interference:

Any signal received from Transmitter different than the intended one

RR 1.166 (interference):

The effect of unwanted energy due to one or a combination of emissions, radiations, or inductions upon reception in a radiocommunication system, manifested by any performance degradation, misinterpretation, or loss of information which could be extracted in the absence of such unwanted energy.



International concept of Interference

1.167 Permissible interference:

Observed or predicted interference which complies with quantitative interference and sharing criteria contained in these Regulations or in ITU-R Recommendations or in special agreements as provided for in these Regulations.

1.168 Accepted interference:

Interference at a higher level than that defined as permissible interference and which has been agreed upon between two or more administrations without prejudice to other administrations.

1.169 Harmful interference:

Interference which endangers the functioning of a radionavigation service or of other safety services or seriously degrades, obstructs, or repeatedly interrupts a radiocommunication service operating in accordance with Radio Regulations (CS).



Approaches to address cross border RF interference issues





Mitigating cross Border Interferences

Global Frameworks

International Organizations

Regional Frameworks

Regional Organizations


Bilateral/ Multi-Lateral /sub-regional Frameworks

Agreements between countries





Frequency Coordination at Global Level



The shaded part represents the Tropical Zones as defined in Nos. 5.16 to 5.20 and 5.21

> Example

- > ITU Radio Regulations
 - Article 5 Allocation Table
 - Annexures for plans

>Pros:

- Easier to implement with global backing of Admins
- ➤ More acceptance once agreed
- > Economies of scale

Cons

- Difficult to agree to a global solution
- Flexible and not tailor made for any region/country situation



Frequency Coordination at Regional Level

Regional co-ordination on spectrum Management

Exchange information and experiences to foster the harmonization of spectrum management rules

Facilitating efficient and flexible use of the spectrum

Coordinating the Use of Technical Standards across Regions

Managing interference by establishment of a common framework

Prepare common positions to be presented to regional, then global instances

Example

>APT Plans

>Pros:

- ➤ Relatively easier to implement due to acceptance of regional Admins
- > Economies of scale

> Cons

- Less difficult to agree than a global solution
 - Challenge to agree if region is diverse
- Still Flexible and not tailor made for any geographical situation





Regional Organizations

Name	Official website
APT - Télécommunauté Asie-Pacifique - Asia-Pacific Telecommunity - Telecomunidad Asia-Pacífico, BANGKOK, Thailand	www.apt.int
ASMG- Arab Spectrum Management Group	http://asmg.ae
ATU - Union africaine des télécommunications - African Telecommunications Union - Unión Africana de Telecomunicaciones, NAIROBI, Kenya	www.atu-uat.org
CANTO - Association des entreprises nationales de télécommunications des Caraïbes - Caribbean Association of National Telecommunication Organizations - Asociación de Organizaciones Nacionales de Telecomunicaciones del Caribe, PORT OF SPAIN, Trinidad and Tobago	www.canto.org
CEPT - Conférence européenne des Administrations des postes et des télécommunications - European Conference of Postal and Telecommunications Administrations - Conferencia Europea de Administraciones de Correos y Telecomunicaciones, VALLETTA, Malta	www.cept.org
CITEL - Commission interaméricaine de télécommunications - Inter-American Telecommunication Commission - Comisión Interamericana de Telecomunicaciones, WASHINGTON, D.C., United States	www.citel.oas.org
COMTELCA - Commission technique régionale des télécommunications - Telecommunications Regional Technical Commission - Comisión Técnica Regional de Telecomunicaciones, TEGUCIGALPA, M.D.C., Honduras	www.comtelca.org
COPTAC - Conférence des Postes et Télécommunications de l'Afrique centrale - Conference of Posts and Telecommunications of Central Africa - Conferencia de Correos y Telecomunicaciones de África Central, YAOUNDE, Cameroon	n/a
CTU - Union des télécommunications des Caraïbes - Caribbean Telecommunications Union - Unión de Telecomunicaciones del Caribe, PORT-OF-SPAIN, Trinidad and Tobago	http://www.ctu.int/
ETSI - Institut européen des normes de télécommunication - European Telecommunications Standards Institute - Instituto Europeo de Normas de Telecomunicaciones, SOPHIA ANTIPOLIS CEDEX, France	www.etsi.org
LAS - Ligue des Etats Arabes - League of Arab States - Liga de los Estados Árabes, CAIRO, Egypt	www.arableagueonlin
Communications - Communications - Regional Commonwealth in the Field of Communications - Comunidad Regional de university of Communications - Comunidad Regional de university of Communications - Comunidad Regional de university of Communications - Comunidad Regional de Communications - Commun	www.rcc.org.ru www.itu.in

Bilateral

Bilateral /Multi-Lateral / sub-regional Arrangement

Cross-border co-ordination by harmonizing the use of frequency spectrum.

develop means of resolving instances of unexpected harmful interference

≻Example

- ➤ Trilateral meeting between IND-MLA-SNG
- Agreements of Thailand with Neighbors

>Pros:

- > Relatively easier to agree
- ➤ Very specific solution to a particular problem

Cons

- ➤ Difficult to implement
 - > To common from neutral administrations to implement
- ➤ Difficult to plan nationally with multiple arrangements with several neighbors






Situation in Asia-Pacific





Welcome To ASP: Predominantly Region 3




Note the diversity in

- Geography
 - Archipelagos
 - Island sates
 - Landlocked
 - Himalayas
- Level of Development in ICTs
 - IDI index rankings range from 1 to 164





Understanding the need of Framework on cross border RF Management









Mostly cross border interference is unintended

BUT Can economic Opportunity to cause interference?







Cross Border RF Interference Mitigation

Some Facts

- Significant population concentration on the border areas of countries in e.g. Cambodia Lao P.D.R Thailand Vietnam etc.
- Interference Issues always exist has primarily due to nonexistence of any formal agreement on the management of this issue at regional and/or sub-regional level.
- Some Examples of Sub-regional approaches in ASEAN to address the issue
 - Indonesia-Malaysia-Singapore under the trilateral forum between the three countries;
 - Singapore-Malaysia-Brunei using Frequency Assignment and Coordination, Singapore, Malaysia and Brunei Darussalam (FACSMAB) platform.
 - Bilateral agreements of Lao P.D.R with Vietnam and Thailand separately.
 - Activities of SAARC in South-Asia





Going Forward and suggestions to address the issue





Principle of Cross border coordination

≻Traditional way

- > Case to case basis: Resolve when issue arises
 - > Takes long time as network has already been rolled out
- Longstanding multiple arrangements designed around lower frequencies (HF or Max VHF)
 - > Difficult to plan nationally with multiple arrangements with several neighbors


≻Better Way

- Assign spectrum only when coordination is achieved with neighboring countries
- ➤ One common coordination framework





General Procedure for Licensing



Official request

Folder Creation Processing, administrative and technical analysis

Coordination study & submission to ITU*

Fee calculation and licensing, collection of some fees

www.itu.int



TTU notification is not required for each cases, and that a bi/multilateral agreement is stronger than the RR (see Article 6 of the RR)

Example - National Spectrum Licensing workflow

Request for Licensing received by office

- + Ensure all the technical parameters are there in application (establish a minimum required info. criteria)
- + Detailed backend technical evaluation

Need for Coordination Established





How to coordinate?

Co-ordination request and all technical characteristics of radio network/equipment sent to all administrations affected to enable accurate assessment of interference

Administrations affected assess possibility of interference to own stations;

No possibility of interference: obliged to agree to request

If assessments produce different results, administrations can agree to operation on a trial basis; field strength calculations replaced with agreed field strength measurements





A cross border Agreement - Components

- 1. Definitions
- General
- 3. Technical provisions
- 4. Procedures
- 5. Report of harmful interference
- 6. Revision of this Agreement
- 7. Accession to this Agreement
- 8. Withdrawal from this Agreement
- 9. Status of co-ordinations prior to this Agreement
- 10. Languages of the Agreement
- 11. Entry into force of Agreement

Annexes for technical and administrative details

Rec. ITU-R SM.1049-

(A METHOD OF SPECTRUM MANAGEMENT TO BE USED FOR AIDING FREQUENCY ASSIGNMENT FOR TERRESTRIAL SERVICES IN BORDER AREAS)



Conclusion

- Issues of Cross Border Interference are going to grow in future
- A regional framework required to prevent rather than cure cross border interference issues
- Regional or sub-regional solutions more effective in implementation and addressing very specific problems
- ITU remains ready to support in development of harmonized solutions to growing problem.





Remain Connected with us!





Linkedin URL:

https://www.linkedin.com/company/itu-regional-officefor-asia-and-the-pacific/?viewAsMember=true









Twitter Handle:

@ITU_ASP (https://twitter.com/ITU_ASP)





