

FINISHED FILE

ITU WORLD TELECOMMUNICATION DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE 2017
BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA

17 OCTOBER, 2017
9:30 A.M. LOCAL TIME

COM 4

Services Provided By:
Caption First, Inc.
P.O. Box 3066
Monument, CO 80132
1-877-825-5234
+001-719-482-9835
www.captionfirst.com

This text is being provided in a rough draft format.
Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) is provided in order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings.

>> CHAIR: Good morning, colleagues. May I request our delegates to proceed to their seatings, please, to start our meeting.

Good morning, and welcome to the third session of Com4. First, I would like to thank the Ad Hoc Groups who were working during the weekend to try and finish our work.

In accordance with the revised Time Management Plan, DT/8, Rev. 1, I would like to start by hearing a progress report from the Ad Hoc Group on Resolution 1 and 31, so I would like to invite Mrs. McElvane Webber to present briefly on the status of the work on Resolution 1 and 31.

>> ROXANNE McELVANE WEBBER: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman, and good morning to you and all colleagues. The Ad Hoc Group on Resolutions 1 and 31 met over the weekend and again last night until about 10:00. We do have quite a bit of work to finish. We are about halfway through Resolution 1, which, as you know, is an 89-page document. We're on around Page 40. We're going to push through that today. We need to also consider Resolution 31, so we will be taking everyone's temperature on 31 at the meeting that begins at 11:00 this morning. We'll meet from 11:00 until 1:00, and then we'll be probably meeting this evening as well to bring everything home. Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Roxanne, for all your efforts, and we hope at the sessions today there will be very good progress, and I encourage all my colleagues and delegates

to participate and come up with, I would say, compromises and considerations in order to move forward with the work of this Resolution 1 and 31.

With that, I would like to move to hear about the progress in the second Ad Hoc Group, which is chaired by Mr. Adel Darwish, for Resolution 2. Okay. We could come back later. I think Mr. Adel is not present right now in this the room.

So before going to the next item, Agenda 3.3, with respect to the work areas, coordination with regional organizations, may I ask the RCC, represented by Russian Federation, to present the revised draft text of the proposed new resolution on languages? Mr. Minkin. He's not here.

I would like to ask from Russian Federation to present Document DT/31 with respect to the new revised resolution. Professor Minkin, you have the floor.

>> VLADIMIR MINKIN: Thank you, Chairman. In this document we find a Draft New Resolution on the use of the languages of the Union on an equal footing. This document is based on a proposal from the RCC. We're trying to take into account all the comments that were stated on this document, and they're all showing here in track changes mode.

I'd like to draw your attention to the proposal that the Conference approves two vice chairs who would present each Study Group for representation in the Coordination Committee for Vocabulary, CCV, and, thus, I present this document for your approval. Thank you, Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Professor Minkin. Is there any comments with respect to the New Draft Resolution after the consultation made by RCC? Can we approve the New Draft Resolution? I see no comments, so the Document DT/31, New -- Draft New Resolution, is approved. Again, I would like to thank Professor Minkin for his work in this regard.

And now I would like to move to the next item agenda -- or the next document, which is Document 21, Addendum 8, with respect to Resolution 21, and I would like to ask the Arab States to present this document. Sudan.

>> SUDAN: I request more time. Our representative is not in the room, so we'll come back later.

>> CHAIR: Okay. Then we will move to the following proposal. It's Document 23, Addendum 12, from RCC. I would like to request from Russian Federation to present this document, please. So I request from the RCC to present Document 23, Addendum 12. Okay. So -- Russia, please.

>> RUSSIAN FEDERATION: Good morning, Mr. Chairman. Good morning, dear colleagues. The coordinator responsible for this specific resolution, sadly, is not present in the room. Nevertheless, I will do my best to present this document to you because we don't want to slow down the work of the meeting.

So we propose here a document -- actually, I will need a little bit of time to find the correct document.

>> CHAIR: Okay. What I would suggest is to move to Resolution 32, and we will be getting back to Resolution 21.

So with respect to Resolution 32 on International and Regional Cooperation and Regional Initiatives, as you know that we're still awaiting for Committee 3 on how to proceed with Resolution 17, which has direct impact on Resolution 32, so we could come back later to this when we have the inputs received from Committee 3. The three proposals by ATU, APT, RCC, all is about the separation of Resolution 32 and committing the modifications and that resolution to be merged with Resolution 17; however, the work is still undertaking in Committee 3, so we could come back later on this once we have feedback from Committee 3.

So with that, I would like to move to work area 4, Synergies for Work of the Sectors. It's Resolution 5. We have one contribution, and I would like to request Vietnam to present this document on behalf of APT.

>> VIETNAM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. It's my honor to speak on behalf of APT to introduce Document 22A16, APT proposal on the Resolution 5. Resolution 5 of WTDC on enhanced participation by developing countries in the activities of the Union. That helps to increase the participation in the work of ITU. There is a number of measures to achieve this objective.

We consider that limited resource and experience of attendants from developing countries are still being a challenge to enhance the effective participation of ITU activities.

ITU and regional organizations should continue to assist developing countries in preparation and participation in ITU as well as regional organizations meetings.

With that, APT proposes to instruct the leader of BDT to organize a training programme on preparatory progress, chair meeting skills, meeting structures, formalities, and how to improve participation and contribute to the meetings.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, APT, for this proposal. So any comments with respect to this proposal? We have, I would say, minor amendments to this Resolution. Sudan.

>> SUDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I do not have any comments with regard to that Resolution; however, I would like to talk about Resolution 21. I am ready to present that Resolution. I was outside the room before. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Sudan. We will get back to that after finishing with Resolution 5.

So I request now if there's any comments with respect to the proposal made by APT, presented by Vietnam. Okay. I see no comments. Can we approve this document with the amendments made to Resolution 5? Thank you very much. The document is approved.

(Sounding gavel)

Now I would like to go back to Resolution 21. We have two

documents, the first one from the Arab States, so I would like to request Sudan to present Document 21, Addendum 8. Sudan, you have the floor.

>> SUDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good morning, everybody. Document 21 -- or, I'm sorry, it is Resolution 21, entitled "Coordination and Collaboration with Regional and Subregional Organizations," we have added the word "subregional." We have introduced subregional organizations in several parts of the text for one reason, which is that in Arab countries and African countries we have a number of organizations which are of a subregional nature, like the COMESA, ECWA, and number of other organizations, so we are seeking for the participation of these organizations which coordinate with us and with other parts of the world. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: I would like to thank the Arab States for their proposal and our colleague from Sudan for presenting this document. I would suggest to present Document 23, Addendum 12, and then we will open the floor for any comments on both documents.

So may I ask Russian Federation to present Document 23, Addendum 12, on behalf of RCC.

>> RUSSIAN FEDERATION: Thank you, Chairman. I will be brief. Our proposal is aimed at boosting the involvement of experts from developing countries in standardization work. This is both at regional and international levels. This work should also promote enhanced liaison between the regions and the ITU regional offices -- regional offices -- regional and area offices, ITU-D, ITU-T, and ITU-R, on matters of standardization.

I'd like to note that work on the text of this Resolution is already under way in the Ad Hoc Group, and that is why our expert was unable to be present at this meeting. The Ad Hoc Group is already compiling a text for this Resolution. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Russia, for presenting Document 23, Addendum 12.

So I understand there is an informal coordination with respect to this document, and there's minimal changes requested from the Arab proposal to include the phrase "subregional," so I would like to invite all interested Member States to get in touch with the colleagues from the Russian Federation, who is consulting the -- or doing the consultation with respect to these amendments on Resolution 21, and I would like to have a presentation with respect to the progress in our next meeting.

So before that, I would like to give the floor to Sweden. Sweden, you have the floor.

>> SWEDEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good morning. I understand there's an Ad Hoc Group established on the Resolution. It's the meeting announced on the screen when it will take place and what time. Furthermore, I have a question regarding the financial implication of this proposal. In Results

No. 4, in Document 23, Addendum 12, there is the statement about that was supposed to be a budget allocation to ITU offices to support activities for regional groups and their leaderships. Could we get a clarification about the financial implications of this paragraph because in the next part, it says, within the allocated and appropriate resources that are available, so I would assume there will be financial consequences on this issue, and we need clarification on the amount and the consequences for the budget of the Union on this issue. There's two questions. That is one.

The other one is when is the meeting announced on the screen and when will the meeting take place? Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Sweden, for your intervention. With respect to the -- it's not an official Ad Hoc Group, it's an informal consultation, just to ease the process. It's already started; however, we will ensure that -- there's two. If we are going to have an official Ad Hoc Group, which is something I would love to -- I mean, like to proceed with, taking into account the considerable amount of Ad Hoc Groups that has been established and working, but if it's necessary, we could have this informal to be a group that is allocated a specific room, and it will be put on the screen. But let's have the other comments, and then we could decide if it's going to continue as an informal consultation or we require an ad hoc -- official ad hoc to be established. United States, you have the floor.

>> UNITED STATES: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and good morning, colleagues. The United States does thank the RCC and the Arab States for their proposals, but we have some questions and concerns with respect to the proposal from the RCC. We're concerned that many of the proposed modifications significantly duplicate existing ITU Resolutions, most importantly Resolution 123 of the Plenipotentiary Conference and WTS Resolution 54.

During the current study period, TDAG convened a correspondence group that developed guiding principles for screen widening existing WTDC Resolutions. Chief among these principals was the notion that a WTDC Resolution is unnecessary if an existing plenipotentiary or other Resolution addressing the same topic or action existed.

In this case, most of the proposed modifications relate to Regional Study Groups and reproduce existing text from Resolution 123 of the plenipotentiary and WTS Resolution 54. Given these existing resolutions on this issue, the United States believes that these modifications are unnecessary.

We also seek clarification on two points, the purposes of the modifications related to -- that are being requested. Are they intended to create Regional Study Groups in the ITU-D or are these modifications intended to bolster the work of Regional Study Groups within the standardization sector? In either case, the United States does not understand the relevance of these

modifications in the context of the relevance sector. ITU-D does not have Regional Study Groups, and ITU-D members have not expressed a desire to create Regional Study Groups. Therefore, these proposed modifications seem to be an unnecessary solution in search of a problem.

If the intent behind these modifications is to bolster the work of the Regional Study Groups and ITU-T, we believe that that would inappropriately shift the focus of Resolution 21 towards the work of the standardization sector.

Finally, the United States shares the concern expressed by Sweden with respect to the potentially significant financial and budgetary implications of these modifications. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, United States. Now I would like to give the floor to Portugal. Portugal, please.

>> PORTUGAL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would like to thank the RCC and the Arab Group for their proposals on Resolution 21. We would like to add our voice to those concerns about the proposals. The amendments proposed will imply significant changes to the functioning of the ITU-D sector, so they should be carefully addressed. That's why it's important for us also to have any discussion on these Resolutions clearly indicated on the screens and on the ITU website, and also, I would like to add my voice to Sweden, who wisely pointed out the budget implications of these -- of these proposals, and it's also indicated in Resource No. 4, so we have to address these Resolutions carefully. Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Portugal. Brazil.

>> BRAZIL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Yes, the Brazil delegation also shares some of the concerns expressed by our colleagues here, so we would love to join the draft or the informal group that's going to discuss this Resolution. Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Brazil. Germany.

>> GERMANY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, and good morning. Well, I can be relatively brief because most has been said already. The proposed -- the documents propose an amendment as to the function of the structure of the regional office. I would say that this is a priority of the Council to decide about this, and also, with regard to budget allocation, I'd just remind you that my intervention, I guess it was in the Plenary, with regard to Document 51, please be aware that the budget allocation for the BDT for 2018 and 2019 have already been decided by Council earlier this year when the Council approved the budget, so to sum up, Mr. Chairman, it's nice and certainly helpful to have informal group to prepare something, but this Resolution should be officially discussed announced on the screen with the participation of all interested parties. Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Germany. Argentina, please.

>> ARGENTINA: Thank you, Chairman. And good morning,

everyone. We share the concerns with regard to the budgetary implication possibly generated by this proposal, but we also recognize the relevance of regional offices and the importance of working at a regional level and that these organizations need to work closely with the ITU and across all three sectors of the ITU, so we support the proposal insofar as it seeks to strengthen the regional presence. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Argentina. Spain.

>> SPAIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, for allowing me to take the floor again. After having heard the different interventions, I share the request from Germany that this group shall be an official group and all interested parties shall be allowed to participate.

Furthermore, when reading the Directive 3, for example, I'm getting the impression that maybe there's a misprint or the intention is to use money from ITU-D to support ITU-T Study Groups, and I think that may be also the wrong use. We are probably going to support the work of ITU-D here, not ITU-T, so I think there's a number of issues in addition to what has already been mentioned that we need to discuss. And, of course, if it's overlapping with other Resolutions, we should take that into account and do not duplicate the work, and that also goes for the lead-in text in the document; whereas, a number of references to other Resolutions, which probably is not needed to be referred at all in this document. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Sweden. After listening to the comments, there is comments with respect to the duplication with relevant plenipotentiary and Resolutions, also with respect to the financial implications, and some of the changes that might not be necessarily taking into account the existing coordination and also with respect to the Regional Study Groups that is not existing in the BDT sector.

So with that, I think we will have an official Ad Hoc Group; however, I request that this ad hoc will meet today and finalize the work today due to the time constraint, and I would like to request Russian Federation to lead this Ad Hoc Group formally. Sweden, please.

>> SWEDEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We fully support your proposal on the way forward, but we would like to add one further instruction to the Ad Hoc Group, that if there's no agreement on the proposal, the fallback position should be that the original existing text continues to be applied, so if there's no agreement, they should come back with no change to existing text. I think that's a necessary guideline in order to speed up the process. We can't spend too much time discussing issues if there's no agreement, so if there's no agreement, let's go back to the original text that's worked well for some time in the past. So with that instruction, I think it's a good way forward. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Sweden, for your suggestion. With

respect to some of the amendments that has been brought up, the concerns with these amendments, I would say no change, but if there is some material and I would say acceptable changes, it could be accommodated in this informal group; however, the other changes that there is like a divergent or no consensus, I would agree that we go back to the original text in the Resolution.

Okay. So we will announce the timing of this Ad Hoc Group. It will convene today, and we plan -- intend to have one meeting in order to -- and in that one meeting, there will be an output with regard to Resolution 21.

So with that, I would like now to move to Resolution 59. We have two submissions with respect to amending Resolution 59. I would like to ask Arab States to present Document 21, Addendum 19. Jordan, you have the floor.

>> JORDAN: Thank you, Chairman, and good morning, everyone. I have the pleasure and the honor on behalf of the Arab States Group to introduce Document 21, Add. 19 on the update and revision of Resolution 59 on strengthening coordination and cooperation between the three sectors of the ITU.

The modifications proposed by the Arab States are submitted in order to emphasize the importance of coordination between ITU-D and ITU-T in the area of studies and to benefit from the work carried out in the ITU-T sector on standardization and initiatives linked to such work.

We propose new paragraphs under the title "Considering" to take into account the outputs of Telecommunication Standardization Sectoral Study Groups, particularly those relating to modern technological trends.

We're also proposing a new paragraph under the title "Instructs the director of BDT, in cooperation with the director of TSB," the Telecommunication Standardization Bureau, to work on a periodic basis to identify the technical standards adopted by ITU-T Study Groups and to make use of these when implementing relevant regional projects and to provide ITU-D Study Groups with the latest developments in the standardization of telecommunications and information technology pursuant to ITU-T Study Group outputs and recommendations formulated by the Study Groups.

These are the proposed modifications from the Arab States. We believe these are quite simple, but they enrich this Resolution. Thank you, sir.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Jordan, for presenting this document.

I would like to open the floor for any comments before moving to the second proposal. Japan.

>> JAPAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Good morning, everyone. So the -- the ad hoc meeting for the Resolution 1 yesterday, so APT members suggest a proposal to have a joint meeting to -- concerning related issues between the ITU-D and ITU-R, the transmission covered by Resolution 9/2. Also, the ad hoc meeting

for Resolution 2 agreed to suppress the topics of the question -
- current Question 9/2, and under the discussion of the
Resolution 1, so with the colleague from the -- the APT proposal
for the joint meeting addressing the relevant issues between the
ITU-T and ITU-R and ITU-D is mentioned in 59 here.

Also, they talk to the -- from UAE to have a discussion to
further modification for the Resolution 59 means the proposal
from them just mentioned only. The collaboration with ITU-T also
APT proposal mentioned to also formulation with ITU-R. Then
also, we have further discussion with our colleagues and would
like to join the discussion to the drafting of Resolution 59.
Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Japan.

If there's no further comments, I would like to ask RCC TO
present Document 23, Addendum 22.

>> RCC: Thank you very much, Chairman. I would like to
introduce on behalf of the RCC a Draft Resolution to WTDC
Resolution 59, Strengthening Coordination and Cooperation Among
the Three ITU Sectors on Matters of Mutual Interest.

As you've been aware, there's been a growth of matters of
mutual interest between the three sectors on various issues of
IMT, emergency telecommunications, ICT, and climate change and
many other issues, and these are successfully worked on and
developed in the sectors through the Intersector Coordination
Group on issues of mutual interest and through the advisory
groups of the sectors so that any duplication is reduced as much
as possible. To improve coordination too.

The proposal in this document is aimed at updating the
Resolution, taking into account the proposals which were
discussed at the regional preparatory meeting for the WTDC for
the CIS. It also takes into account other developments and
changes based on the analysis of ITU-R Resolutions, which were
approved at the Radio Assembly, so that's ITU-R 7/3, 6/2, and
Resolution 18 of the WSA in 2016.

The aim of this contribution is to develop unified single
methods and procedures for effective coordination between the
ITU sectors on the basis of experience over the past few years
and analysis of the corresponding Resolutions of the ITU-R, ITU-
D, and ITU-T.

In this way, it is proposed that the conference consider
this document submitted to it and approve the amendments made
therein. Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much. So I would like to open the
floor for any comments.

Jordan, please.

>> JORDAN: Thank you, Chairman. I would like to thank the
RCC group for this proposal. We see that it seeks to establish
the same mechanism which was adopted in the ITU-T and the ITU-R
where there exists a very detailed method for cooperation
between the sectors. It reflects the approach in these sectors.

It's very detailed in the methodology as was adopted for cooperation.

There is annexes which explain it in detail. I just have a question for clarification from a legal point of view because this conference requests TDAG and ITU-D to continue with work. Is this the right wording, or should we focus only on the work of the TDAG? Perhaps we need to make a small modification with regard to the language used from a legal point of view.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Jordan. United States.

>> UNITED STATES: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. The U.S. believes that adopting procedures on collaboration should be done jointly for all three sectors to ensure that they complement each other while reflecting the mandates of the sectors and the need to avoid redundancy of effort. To accomplish this objective, it may prove useful to exam how the procedures adopted by the R and T sector have been applied and if the desired results have been achieved.

The radio sector mandate is to develop treaty-level Radio Regulations. The standardization sector's core mandate is to develop technical standards, while the D sector's mandate is to serve as a knowledge platform to discuss communications and ICT issues in order to present a range of voluntary best practices.

Recognizing the differences between the sectors will be important to the process when developing intersectoral procedures to address the need -- the issues of redundancy and duplication of efforts.

The United States looks forward to addressing intersectoral collaboration in a setting that is suited to decide matters that cut across the three ITU sectors and will make proposals to this end at Plenipotentiary 2018, so we cannot support the proposal by RCC. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, United States. Germany.

>> GERMANY: Thank you, Chairman. Well, as you know, we are very keen on having coordinated actions between the different sectors of the ITU, and we are very concerned about duplication of work; however, Germany, we believe that the sector conferences have the responsibility in taking decisions that do not give rise to duplication, rather than establishing mechanisms relatively complicated -- this Resolution has eight pages, for example -- in order to fix issues that could have been fixed earlier by taking appropriate decision about the work programmes of the sectors.

In addition, we agree with the United States that the coordination between the sectors, and we are very concerned about this and about recent developments, should be discussed at plenipotentiary level and eventually, at that level, a suitable solution should be approved.

To sum up, Mr. Chairman, we do not support the amendments of this Resolution proposed in this document. Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Germany. Sweden, please.

>> SWEDEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. We share the views expressed by Germany and the United States. We see the same problem here as we also have seen in discussion in Resolution 1. We're creating very long Resolutions, very detailed procedures, and they are for one sector, while in the discussions you hear that they should really apply in all sectors, so we don't think this is appropriate. We think it's inefficient working methods, and this has to be raised at a higher level in order to coordinate the work between the sectors, and it can't be done in a way that the sector develops the text and then they are more or less aligned and at the end you have different projects, and you have long text that you need to update at every conference, which also means that you waste a lot of time and resources in the work, so we have support for what has been stated from the United States and Germany. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Sweden. Before leaving -- or -- okay. I will give the floor to Portugal, and then we'll proceed and move forward. Portugal, please.

>> PORTUGAL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I would like to support the previous speakers in relation to this proposal, and I would like to point out, as it was also mentioned before, Europe during this conference has been referring to a number of proposals to amend Resolutions, existing Resolutions or writing new Resolutions that could significantly create challenge in terms of the duplication of work between sectors, and so that's what is really the best way to avoid duplication, and that's what they are trying to work on in order to avoid text that could create further difficulties on that regard, so amending this Resolution, it's not the best way forward. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Portugal. With that, and after listening to the comments, I see this document is a very good proposal; however, we have listened to comments that maybe the proper forum for this, especially that it deals with the strengthening the cooperation and coordination between all sectors, that it might be -- the appropriate place is in the Plenipotentiary Conference, so with that, if it's acceptable for RCC, we'll have an informal consultation to go over the amendments that does not change or -- the mechanisms or the proposal of doing this coordination, just to make the work of this informal, I would say, quicker. If that is acceptable, I would like to hear your views about this proposal. Russian Federation, please.

>> RUSSIAN FEDERATION: Thank you, Chairman. Yes, of course I accept your proposal. I would ask those who are prepared to provide proposals on acceptable changes or amendments to contact me via email and we'll discuss this issue.

>> CHAIR: Okay. Thank you very much, Russian Federation. So I invite all interested Member States to get in touch

with Mr. Alex from Russian Federation, and as I stated, we would suggest that these amendments tackle the existing text, I would say, rather than putting mechanisms for the coordination of all three sectors, and this good proposal might be considered in the Plenipotentiary Conference.

With that, I would like, again, to thank RCC for their proposal, and -- okay. So just before we conclude our session, I would like to go back to the progress report and the second Ad Hoc Resolution 2, and may I ask Mr. Adel Darwish to briefly give us a report on the progress made on Ad Hoc Resolution 2.

>> ADEL DARWISH: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and good morning, colleagues. Of course, the Ad Hoc on Resolution 2 have met the last couple of days. So far, Mr. Chair, we have concluded the work on the main body of the annex. We have agreed on the proposed way forward on that. We have also discussed Annex 2 -- sorry, Annex 1 to that Resolution, and we've concluded that almost, the scope of all the Study Groups in there. Pending is a scope which is related to the discussions that are going in the Ad Hoc on Resolution 9, and hopefully, when we get the questions, we will look at Annex 2 and try to finalize them later in the day today. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Adel, and I would like to thank you for all your efforts and all delegates who participated in that Ad Hoc Group.

With that, I believe we still need the Ad Hoc Group on Resolution 1 and 31, and the Ad Hoc Group on Resolution 2 continue their work, and hopefully they'll submit their final outputs, especially that we have our final session for Com4 tomorrow, so in order to enable us to complete our work and submit the outstanding Resolutions to the Conference Plenary.

And I really encourage delegates to cooperate with the chairs of the Ad Hoc Groups in order to facilitate our work on Committee 4. With that, I would like to thank you very much and wish you constructive discussions in the coming meetings. Thank you very much. The meeting -- is there anything else?

Okay. We have one announcement by the Secretariat.

>> SECRETARIAT: Thanks very much. Good morning, Delegates. Just to remind you that at 11:00 we have the Ad Hoc meeting on Resolution 1. It will be starting at 11:00 in the Quebracho A. I'm sorry, if I mispronounced it. Thank you, Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much. Sweden.

>> SWEDEN: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman. Is it possible for the Secretariat also to clarify when the Ad Hoc Group on Resolution 21 will meet? Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Sweden. It will be announced. They will meet today, and it will be announced and will put on the screens the time and location for Resolution 21.

Okay. With that, thank you very much, and the meeting is closed. Thank you very much.

(Sounding gavel)

(Session concluded at 10:28 a.m. Local Time)

This text is being provided in realtime format. Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) or captioning is provided in order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings.
