FINISHED FILE

ITU WORLD TELECOMMUNICATION DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE 2017
BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA

16 OCTOBER, 2017
18:30 LOCAL TIME

COM 3

     >> CHAIR: Ladies and gentlemen, welcome back to this
session of Committee 3. We'll continue our work tonight until
9:30 in the evening. The Agenda is in document ADM/18/Rev. 5.
     Since we already considered this Agenda although in the
previous version the only change between the Revision 4 and
Revision 5 is that we rearrange different items in able to be
able to proceed as quickly as we can on different topics.
     In the substance of the various Agenda items there is no
difference, but the wording has changed.
     I submit this draft Agenda for your approval.
     Any comments? No one is asking for the floor. I take it
you approve the draft Agenda as revised in Revision 5. Thank
you very much.
     In this now approved Agenda we have a number of items to
cover. I would like to start with Agenda item 2.2, Resolution 9
and I invite my distinguished colleague from Malaysia to brief
us on the progress that was made on Resolution 9.
     Malaysia, you have the floor.
     >> MALAYSIA: I'm pleased to inform you that Resolution 9
drafting meeting took place Saturday and we managed to conclude
the revision that day. There was cooperation demonstrated by

everybody present at that meeting, if not for that, this would not be possible.

As of this morning, after we had a brief meeting, we have a document for Committee 3 presented as Document DT27. I closed the meeting quickly this morning after inviting comments from the floor several times. It I was mindful other meetings were happening at the same time and I had been informed by several colleagues they would not be able to attend our meeting this morning because of this. I took comfort in the fact that the revised Resolution 9 would be presented in your Committee meeting. As such, colleagues not able to attend this morning due to overlapping meetings or other reasons would have the opportunity to consider the document here.

I was going to report to you that the Resolution 9 ad hoc concluded its work, however, I may need to regroup in the ad hoc briefly to discuss a proposal intended to add to the clarity in the revised Resolution 9. So in the interest of saving time during your Committee meeting, Chairman, I seek your agreement for me to reopen the Resolution 9 ad hoc just for a brief discussion and request the Secretariat to identify a suitable time and place for us to meet. We do have a preference for our lucky room, Atlantico, and I would be obliged if you could wield your wand, twitch your nose or stare them down so that room will be available for us when a time is determined to be met, preferably not between the times of 2:00 and 4:00 a.m. this morning given that there are multiple ad hocs happening, and this is for your consideration.

Thank you, Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much for your excellent work.

We understand the complexity of the issue that you have undertaken. It is in this spirit I think it would be worthwhile to provide you with an ad hoc opportunity to reconvene your ad hoc, I would suggest that we may be able to keep up the momentum and I'm just proposing if everybody is agreeable, maybe even after our meeting tonight you could go back to your beloved room and reconvene for a very brief period to consider the proposal that would enhance and improve the clarity of the revised Resolution 9.

Colleagues, distinguished colleagues, is this something you'd be happy to go along with?

Argentina asked for the floor.

>> ARGENTINA: Thank you, Chair.

I'm not sure there was a mistaken in the interpretation, however, are we reopening revision of Resolution 9 or is there just one point that remains under discussion? Because in either case we already thought we had reached consensus on the text. We don't want to reopen the full revision of this resolution,

but just look at the point under discussion.

>> CHAIR: Thank you for your excellent question, Argentina. The intention is definitely not to reopen the discussion on Resolution 9, it is just one small point that the Chair of the ad hoc group on Resolution 9 received a proposal that would improve the clarity. The clarity, of course, we would be ridding a layer of confusion when we get to the time of implementation. It would only be one point that would be discussed, and not any other thing in the resolution, in Resolution 9. I hope that would be satisfied to you.

Any other comments? None. Then, I take it that Committee 3 grants the very-abled Chairwoman of the ad hoc on 9 the opportunity to reopen the discussion only on the point which is being considered, not opening the discussion on the entire Resolution 9. We hope you can finish your work quickly this evening. The objective is that tomorrow morning when you would be -- when we're convening in the plenary of Committee 3 we would be able to report back to the Committee 3 -- Committee 3 with the DT document.

Malaysia.

>> MALAYSIA: Thank you, Chairman.

Indeed, that's our intention, that we're not intending to reopen the discussion for the entire document, just to consider the proposal to add to the clarity of the document. Thank you for explaining that very ably to our colleagues from Argentina. Thank you for your indulgence.

>> CHAIR: So after we finish plenary of Committee 3 tonight your ad hoc group can start. Thank you very much.

We would like to go to Resolution 37. We have a DD19 document. I am seeking whether my colleague from Sudan is in the room.

Sudan, you have the floor, sir.

>> SUDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

As we informed you in the morning, we finished our work in this. This morning from CITEL they say we have work, with this and until this moment we have not received from them anything. We need some information from them.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Sudan.

I would request colleagues from CITEL to please approach the Chair of the ad hoc group on Resolution 37 and try to be as accommodating as you can so that we would be able to move quickly and effectively on this item as well.

Sudan, I ask you to continue your good work and we will seek your report as we go along.

I see that United States and Germany are asking for the floor.

United States.
>> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
CITEL is reviewing this document, we're aware of the final and trying to prepare our response. We'll try to provide something to Sudan tonight. It has been difficult with parallel needs.
Thank you.
>> CHAIR: United States, I appreciate the efforts that CITEL group is putting.
Germany, please.
>> GERMANY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
I mentioned it earlier in the afternoon, that DT19, Temporary Document 19 is not in the list of published documents. Not even as a placeholder. I don't know which document we're talking here about. I'm sorry, but I found it a little bit -- in the plenary, we talk about the non-existing document.
Thank you. I mean, it may exist and may have been distributed among the conveners of the group, but it is not officially available.
Thank you.
>> CHAIR: Germany, thank you very much for, again, bringing this up. We need to be more efficient. I apologize for this.
Since we would be considering this document again tomorrow hopefully I hope that by that time you would be able to clarify the situation. Thank you for your understanding.
Now we go to where we left. I would like to suggest that we start with Resolution 58. The title of the Resolution 58 is Telecommunication Information and Communication Technology Accessibility for Persons with Disabilities, including persons with age-related disabilities. We have a number of documents.
Yes, you have a number of documents. The first is 19A14 by the African Group, and I invite the focal points from Africa to please present this document.
South Africa, please.
>> SOUTH AFRICA: Thank you very much, for giving me the floor, Honorable Chairperson.
On behalf of the African Group we would like to present Addendum 14, Document 19. The African Group seeks to ensure that as we move along with this, some of this resolution, particularly this one, 58, we provide a platform for sharing of best practices on information and communication technology when it comes to disability issue, while stating forth action steps for ineffective policy framework. We have taken cognizance of the fact that we, as most countries are moving towards the Digital Economy and currently am engaged in creating strategies that seek to address some of the unique challenges that come with the advent of the Digital Economy, therefore as Developing

Countries we'll be looking at strategies that seek to actually address the unit needs of people with disabilities when it comes to this particular area. Therefore, we're proposing that the ITU assist Developing Countries by providing assistance to Member States as appropriate in the elaboration of the digital advanced strategies to provide user was disabilities with native access as we move towards the Digital Economy.

In brief, Chairperson, I would like to introduce the African common proposal for your consideration. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, South Africa.

Next is document 20A8 by CITEL Group. I invite Brazil to present this on behalf of the CITEL.

You have the floor, sir.

>> BRAZIL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Document 20A8 is revision to Resolution 58 from WTDC, Telecommunication Information and Communication Technology Accessibility for Persons with Disabilities, including Persons with Specific Needs and age-related disabilities. We would propose firstly the authorization in the title of the resolution to changing accessibility -- to change it to Persons with Disabilities, including Persons with Specific Needs. This is the current terminology in use to refer to people with disabilities, those that are illiterate and those who have learning difficulties, young people, senior people and age-related disabilities. And those Indigenous people and those who have temporary disabilities, furthermore the proposal updates the references on this, including the Sustainable Development Goals reference of the 2030 Agenda for United Nations and develops a government framework with minimum requirements for persons of specific needs and the communication tools for Persons with Disabilities to ensure that these people can access services and information in an independent fashion.

Thank you, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Madam, from Brazil.

Next document is 24A12, it is from CPE. I invite the focal point from CPE countries to please present this.

Sweden, you have the floor.

>> SWEDEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

On behalf of CPE we would like to present our proposal, 58.

This is an attempt to shorten the Resolution 58 which today is very long resolution. Due to a lot of references to other resolutions. We have made references where appropriate to the Sustainable Development Goals. We have started informal discussions with the other regions and we're happy to continue the discussions.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Sweden.

I'm seeking volunteers to take the lead in providing us with a Consolidated Document on Resolution 58.

Sudan?

>> SUDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I'm seeking clarification, Mr. Chairman.

First let me thank the three presenters from Africa and CITEL and CEP and the European Committee. Recognizing Article 12 of the ITR, why do we treat this paragraph, Mr. Chairman, it is in line with the accessibility part of IT, are and is copied from the -- it is not Article 12, it is Article EB.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Sudan.

My intention is to form an ad hoc group which would consider various points, including your question. I suggest that maybe the best way to move forward is that if you could raise this point in that ad hoc group and hopefully the situation would be clarified.

Sweden.

>> SWEDEN: Sweden can volunteer to lead the group.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Sweden.

Sweden is the leading administration to provide us with a Consolidated Document on Resolution 58.

Next we'll go to Resolution 76, title of which is promoting information and telecommunication among young women and men for social empowerment. We have a CITEL Document 20A10, and I invite Mexico to present this on behalf of CITEL.

Mexico, you have the floor.

>> MEXICO: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

On behalf of CITEL I would present this document that on contains a change to Resolution 76 proposed by CITEL in preference to promoting ICTs among young women and men for social and economic empowerment. We would like to update the references with relations to recommendations which established in the consideration aspects and we would also like to include reference to Sustainable Development goal number 8 regarding promotion of sustained and -- inclusive and sustainable growth for employment and decent work for all, including the development and implementation of a global strategy for youth employment and implementing the global jobs pact of the international labor organization. Furthermore, we would like to promote the support of BDT to Member States in order to further early education using ICTs for young men and women that allows them to stimulate their development and economic and social empowerment. We also have a point inviting Member States to promote the relevance of ICTs in generating new ideas to allow for job generation, to allow for future entrepreneurs, particularly in the innovation sectors and new technologies so

that they can create to a generation of new jobs and quality
between young men and women.

To conclude, Chair, we would also like to add a part on
academia where we are in advanced projects, enhancing academic
mobility with different countries of different technology and
development by equipping youth with enough relevance to compete
in a labor market that requires globalized skills, and there by,
foster their empowerment and improve their quality of life.

This is the thrust of this document.

Thank you, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Mexico. Next is Document 24A15. It
is a CPE document.

I invite Sweden to present this on behalf of CPE countries.

>> SWEDEN: The European proposal on Resolution 706 proposed
to update the text of the resolution by including relevant
statistics and deleting the references that are outdated or
references already stated in plenipotentiary Resolution 198. In
addition, the European proposal suggests including reference to
the SDGs.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Sweden.

Next is Document 39 by Germany. Germany, you have
the floor, sir.

>> GERMANY: Thank you, Chairman.

The first has to do with procedure with those
resolutions where available priorities of conference, it
would have been better to have immediately drafting
groups even in parallel to the so-called high-level
segment in order to avoid the troubles we're in now.

With regard to the Document of Germany, it is fully
supportive of the proposal just presented, the only
difference is that I care to note is the initiative
informing the future of women and girls in the Digital
Economy and the global partnership for gender equality
in the digital age. Mr. Chairman, I can report to you
that after some offline discussions it has been agreed
not to integrate this part into Resolution 76, but into
Resolution 55 which was presented earlier.

Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Germany.

Could I ask Germany to take the lead and be the
administration in charge of providing us with a
Consolidated Document on Resolution 76?

Germany.

>> GERMANY: Well, we can try. But we have to be at
the drafting group for Resolution 55, according to my
knowledge is convening at 8:00 during your plenary

meeting.

I'm available, available to work on Resolution 76, but it must be outside of normal Committee working hours.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Germany.

I suggest to the Secretariat to provide you with the appropriate time which would be convening for your ad hoc group.

Germany is in charge of Resolution 76.

Thank you very much.

Next we go to Resolution 67. The title of which is the role of the ITU telecommunication development sector on child online protection. We have three documents. 20A13 by CITEL.

You're asking for the floor, is it on this resolution or --

>> In fact, we wanted to know in terms of resolution -- previous resolution, the mandate -- Resolution 76, can you clarify what's happening on this resolution?

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Mali.

Resolution 76, and the way forward is -- the suggestion is to organize an Ad Hoc Group Chaired by Germany to consider various different topics in these three documents as well as other proposals Distinguished Delegates may have on this topic and the objective is to provide us with a consolidated text with I would be satisfactory to all so that we would be able to proceed quickly in the plenary of Committee 3.

Mali, are you clear on this?

>> MALI: We gave a mandate to Sweden to lead an Ad Hoc Group. We would like to know where and when they'll be meeting.

Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: I think maybe we're moving too fast.

I asked Sweden on Resolutions 55 and 58 to be the lead country, on this resolution I requested Germany to be the lead country. The time and place of the meeting for these ad hoc groups would be coordinated with the Secretariat. The lead countries would be informed and would be coordinated with them, and it would be announced both on the screens outside the rooms and also on the app, on the ITU app.

Did I respond to your question, Mali?

>> MALI: Yes, Chair. Thank you very much. That's clear.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Mali.

Again, we'll go to the next Resolution 67. The role of the ITU telecommunication secretary on child online protection. We have three documents. The first is 20A13, a CITEL document and we invite the focal point from CITEL. Brazil, you have the floor, Madam.

>> BRAZIL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

In fact, we have already presented this document in yesterday's session. I'm not sure if there was a mistake in linking this resolution. I would like to inform you that in the first session of the Ad Hoc Group, it took place this morning. So we're already moving forward on this point, and we hope that by tomorrow we'll have a more updated version on this to submit to you.

Thank you, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Brazil. Yes, you're absolutely correct, this was already presented. Sorry for this mistake.

Next we go to Resolution 34, the role of telecommunications/information and communication technology in disaster preparedness, early warning, rescue, mitigation, relief and response. We have three documents.

The first is Document 20A21 by CITEL, and I invite the focal point from CITEL to presents this document.

Brazil, you have the floor, Madam.

>> BRAZIL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

This Document 20, Addendum 20 from CITEL would revise Resolution 34. CITEL believes it is extremely important that the disaster preparedness plans and the continuing negotiations should begin to computerized systems and data consideration systems as loss of this information could lead to very negative consequences and very significant ones from a social, economic and political point of view. In the proposed text also considers other relevant points for the question under discussion Ad Hoc Group that's already been discussing with other administrations who have presented contributions on this. We can meet tomorrow at 5:30 in the afternoon in room K on the fifth floor.

Thank you, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Brazil.

Next is Document 23A16 by RCC countries. I invite the focal point from RCC to presents this.

Kyrgyzstan, you have the floor.

>> KYRGYZSTAN: Thank you, Chair.

Allow me to on behalf of the RCC to present to you the resolution, we received new information which has been accrued over the recent study period.

For example, resolution from 70/1, Resolution 647 from the plenipotentiary, also believe it is wise to use the outcomes of the group 4, 5, 6 and 7 from ITU-R on the use of the systems in emergencies. Therefore, it is also reflected in the revision to resolution. It is also important to call on Member States of the ITU to implement unified and standardized emergency telephone numbers and also to assist Member States to ensure a joint use of telecommunication infrastructure and the spectrum, radio spectrum to ensure accessible to accessibility for emergency telephone numbers for mobile networks when moving outside a comfort zone and covered by a particular communication operator. We would ask Member States of the ITU to consider these proposed modifications to Resolution 34.

Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you.

Next we go to Document 21A13 from the Arab Group. I invite the focal point from the Arab Group to present this document.

>> Thank you, Chair.

We're delighted to present for your consideration Document 21A13. This is an important document focusing on the text of Resolution 34 on telecommunications and ICTs in disaster preparedness, early warning rescue mitigation, relief and response.

We are on behalf of the Arab Group submitting a series of modifications and Amendments linked to references -- adding references on this and taking into account the outcomes of the conferences held since 2014. These are Resolution 644, 647 of the WRC from 2015 and also the outcomes of the conference on emergency telecommunications.

In the part of the director of BDT, we asked them to take into account the outcomes of work from the radio sector on this topic as well as the convening of a forum on emergency communications every two years and to set up BDT coordinators and Regional Coordinators to this. In the requests of the Secretary-General we would ask the Secretary-General to introduce a globally harmonized emergency number. As was indicated by the Distinguished Delegate who took the floor earlier, there's also informal consultations ongoing to seek to achieve the

consensus document on this question. We remain
available for all Delegations should they wish to have
any questions.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Portugal, for
presenting this document.

Any comments on this? None?

Czech Republic.

>> CZECH REPUBLIC: Thank you, Chair.

Good afternoon to all.

We would like to comment on this resolution as we
see there are some problems and we have some concerns
about several proposals. First of all -- but first of
all, let me thank all the countries of the regions that
drafted and signed contributions to this resolution. I
would like to ask one question regarding the proposal,
that's one thing to help with the 2016 programs, we do
not understand this and also we have a problem with the
forum of taking part every two years because we see
there's quite a financial burden and we're not convinced
that this forum is necessary. So there is even more
some concerns about RCC proposal too but we would not
like to discuss it here, but maybe in the group.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Czech Republic.

Any other general comments? None. It I would like
to suggest that we form an Ad Hoc Group, and I'm asking
Morocco to be lead country coordinating the production
of a Consolidated Document on this. I'm requesting to
also take into account the statement just mentioned by
the Distinguished Delegate from Czech Republic.

Morocco, are you ready to help us on this?

>> MOROCCO: Thank you, Chair.

We see no problem in leading the various
consultations to achieve a consensus. We'll take into
account all proposals on this issue. So from CITEL, RCC
as well as the last mention from the Honorable Delegate
from the Czech Republic.

Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Morocco.

Next we go to Resolution 35. The title of which is
support for development of the African information and
communication technology sector. It is Document 19A16
from African Group and I invite Rwanda to present this
on behalf of the African Group.

Rwanda, you have the floor.

>> RWANDA: Thank you, Chair.

On behalf of the African Group, I'm pleased to present this proposal for Resolution 35 with Resolution 75 and then you sub press Resolution 35.

The reference text will be Resolution 75, in which we incorporate text of Resolution 55 with the Annexes. Then suppressing the Resolution 55. The merged resolution would be Resolution 75 and it is the implementation of this matter through manifesto and support for development of the formation and communication technology sector in Africa. The modified resolution takes into consideration Sustainable Development Goals.

Thank you, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Rwanda.

The same document is on Resolution 75. As it was mentioned by the distinguished colleague from Rwanda, we would be considering both resolutions at the same time.

Do we have any comments on this? The floor is open.

There are no other contributions on resolutions 35 and 75.

Argentina? You asked for the floor, you have the floor, Madam.

>> ARGENTINA: Thank you, Chair.

I would like to thank the Delegate from the African region for presenting this document. I have a question on drafting on point D. I think there is a mistake when talking about Sustainable Development Goals '15 through 2020 I think we have to make a change here.

Thank you

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

I think the SDGs are from 2015 to 2030. I'm sure colleagues from the African Group, Rwanda, would correct that typo.

Any other comments?

Can I take it that since there are no other views we can approve of the modifications in Resolution 35 in merging the two resolutions in which Resolution 75 would be suppressed?

Guinea, you have the floor.

>> GUINEA: That you, Chair, for giving me the floor.

A comment for clarification, Resolution 75 will be kept and 35 will be suppressed. Am I correct in that?

>> CHAIR: Rwanda, please.

>> RWANDA: Yes. Resolution to suppress is 35 and the condition to keep is 75.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Rwanda.

Are you happy with Resolution 75 and suppression of Resolution 35?

Can I take it that everybody agrees with this? Yes.

Rwanda, you have the floor.

>> RWANDA: No more.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you.

Once we take a decision, we cannot go back. So I'm asking again, are you happy with suppression of Resolution 35 and revising Resolution 75 as in Document 19A16?

Sudan, you have the floor.

>> SUDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

We're supporting this decision. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Sudan.

Any other views? None?

Guinea.

>> GUINEA: Thank you, Chair.

As Rwanda clarified, 35 is suppressed but is it annexed to the resolution that's adopted, so it is annexed to Resolution 75.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Rwanda, could you please clarify?

>> RWANDA: Thank you.

We said that Resolution 75, we incorporate the text from Resolution 35 which has annexed so the Annexes are in Resolution 75.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: The clarification would be that we're revising Resolution 75, the body of the resolution and the Annexes and suppressing Resolution 35. Everybody happy with this? Yes? I don't see any requests for the floor. Last time? Okay.

So decided. Thank you very much.

We go to Resolution 48. Strengthening cooperation among telecommunication regulators, we have two documents, 22A18Rev1 and 23A21. The first document is from CITEL -- no, from Asia-Pacific. I invite the focal point from Asia-Pacific to please present this document.

Vietnam, please go ahead.

>> VIETNAM: Thank you very much.

Good evening, we had like to presents our proposal on Resolution 48. The APT administrations see that 48 and 71 focused on the need to enhance the cooperation of

various stakeholders between the ICT communities. Under
the revision many countries have had significant
achievement in implementing telecommunication reform
through the collaboration among national regulators, in
which these activities could be covered under the
cooperation within Member States which is one of the
main focuses of Resolution 71. It is there granting an
opportunity to merge the two resolutions in the
Resolution 48 to be suppressed.
     However, we also notice that there are views from
other regions of not merging the two resolutions, the
APT is flexible with the issues and ready to start
informal discussions with colleagues from other regions.
We're continuing the discussion in order to come up with
a solution.
     Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
     >> CHAIR: Thank you, Vietnam.
     Next is Document 23A21 from RCC. I invite the
focal point from RCC to please present this document.
     >> Thank you very much, Chairman.
     Kyrgyzstan is presenting this on behalf of the RCC,
the rammed development telecommunications in recent
years and the introduction of new technologies such as
Internet of Things, big data, AI, and other
technological advances and also the digital
transportation and transition to Digital Economy have
all brought major changes to the world. This brings new
approaches to regulation. With this in mind it is
important to have affective exchange of best practice in
terms of drawing up documentation, regulations, legal
systems and the relevant legislation in terms of
implementing and use of ICTs and telecommunications to
ensure -- to take into account the recent achievements
in recent years. Most important attention should be
paid to issues of regulation focused on overcoming the
important problems and also preventing possible threats.
     Thank you very much, Chair.
     >> CHAIR: Thank you, Kyrgyzstan.
     Any comments or views?
     United States.
     >> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: Thank you, Chair.
     Thank you for the proposals. We believe that this
Resolution 48 is an important way for us to discuss the
exchange of information between regulators and
policymakers which is the important part of what we do
in the ITU-D but we think the edits proposed and this
resolution adds too much detail and takes us away from

production discussions we have been having. At this point we would recommend that there be no change to this resolution, but we're happy to speak with others offline after the meeting.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you. Thank you, United States.

Al United Kingdom.

>> UNITED KINGDOM: Thank you, Chair.

As one who believes that less is more, I'm concerned that the RCC contribution extends recitals from one page to three and it there's actually only one-page for the operative parts. I have some comments and I would like to be a part of any group that discusses this, please.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you.

Czech Republic.

>> CZECH REPUBLIC: Thank you, Chair.

We would like to also thank for both proposals and share similar concerns as the U.S. and U.K. We would like to seek no change for this document.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you.

Sudan.

>> SUDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Sudan is seeking to be part of any discussion or group in the future for this resolution.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you.

Canada.

>> CANADA: Thank you, Chair.

We would like to thank the IPT and RCC for this document -- APT -- like the United Kingdom, United States and the Czech Republic we also believe that there is no need to change this. I would also point out to the fact that as mentioned by the United States we have the GSR as a critical forum where regulation among regulators is effectively exchanged. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Canada. Other comments? None?

Then I suggest that we form an Ad Hoc Group. I'm seeking volunteers. Maybe before that, France is asking for the floor.

>> FRANCE: Thank you, Chair.

This is to remind ourselves and the previous speakers we feel that Resolution 48 was a very important resolution and it will keep this and we shouldn't merge

this with Resolution 71 for two main reasons: One, our understanding is that they address different subjects, is the question of regulation and ITU contribution and on the other hand, the synergy and the work between different stakeholders between the developing sector. Therefore, we don't want to merge Resolution 48 for Resolution 71. The other argument is that GSR, it is a very important forum and, therefore, we would like to keep Resolution 48 as it stands.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, France.

France, could you turn off your microphone? Thank you.

Other comments? None.

I'm seeking volunteers to be the coordinator for this ad hoc. I see South Africa. You're volunteering? South Africa, please.

>> SOUTH AFRICA: Thank you, Chair.

Chair, we wanted to raise our flag in support of the resolution. We also support the non-merger of the two resolutions. We think what's being called upon as far as assistance, especially for the countries in need is something that we have to look into. Therefore, we would welcome to participate in any discussions further.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Any volunteers?

If not, then I'm going to ask South Africa. That's the danger of speaking last.

South Africa.

>> SOUTH AFRICA: We accept, Chair.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Next we go to Document 78, capacity building for countering misappropriation of recommendation ITU-T1464, telephone numbers. We have one document on this resolution, RCC, document number is 23A28.

I invite Azerbaijan as the focal point for RCC to present this document.

>> AZERBAIJAN: Thank you, Chair.

Distinguished colleagues, on behalf of the RCC we are putting forward for your consideration a draft resolution to Resolution 78. This proposal is focused on clarifying studies carried out within ITU-D in conjunction with ITU-T regarding issues on countering the misappropriation misuse of numbering, naming, addressing and identification. The clarifications on

the text are in line with decisions from the plenipotentiary conference in 2014, also the WTSA for 2016.

It also takes into account ongoing work within the ITU-T. With this in mind the proposal is to change the name of the document of resolution, capacity building for misappropriate of naming resources and also changes to the text are proposed, more specifically the document contains the details on this. Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Azerbaijan for your presentation. United Kingdom first, then United States.

>> UNITED KINGDOM: Thank you, Chair.

We're speaking on behalf of CPT on this particular resolution.

We would like to thank the RCC for their submission, but we wish to make some initial comments and also raise some issues for clarity on some of the points. CPT noted that the original focus for Resolution 78 was very much on telephone numbers E.164, and this proposal expands that to numbering, naming, addressing and identification resources. We also note the original thrust for Resolution 78 was supported at that time by firm evidence that there was a need to address problems with telephone numbers, many of which at that time were arising within the specific islands. It is the view of CPT that anything in this resolution would have to be supported by similar evidence and currently we are not convinced that that is the case.

We would also suggest that any extension of those resources certainly has to flow within the remit of the ITU and we would seek clarity on those issues and we would wish to be involved in any further discussion of this resolution.

Thank you, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, United Kingdom.

United States.

>> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: Thank you, Chairman.

We thank the RCC for this contribution. We would like to echo the concerns raised by the delegate from the U.K.

The United States does not believe that any material changes to this resolution are necessary at this time as the resolution in its current form is sufficient to guide the work of the BDT. We recognize, of course, that some editorial updates can be necessary. We don't support removing references to ITU-T E.164 telephone numbers. We believe that the generalization

to all NIEA extends the scope of the resolution beyond
the scope of the ITU constitution. Lastly, the United
States certainly would appreciate clarification for the
data sources for the alleged significant number of cases
of misuse reported in the contribution. As far as we
are aware, the ITU-T tabled notifications only shows one
report of misuse over the last three years. Again, we
support the comments made previously, and we would like
to be part of any discussions that take place.
     Thank you.
     >> CHAIR: Thank you, United States.
     Is that Papua New Guinea asking for the floor?
     >> PAPUA NEW GUINEA: We would like to thank you for
this submission. We would like to say we're interested
in any further discussions of this particular Resolution
recognizing and acknowledging the fact that our -- many
of the island countries have been victims of a number of
misuse and misappropriation so, Chairman, we have no
specific proposal to Resolution 78, but we'll engage in
any discussions on this.
     Thank you.
     >> CHAIR: Thank you, Papua New Guinea.
     Any comments? None? I would like to ask the
Distinguished Delegate from Azerbaijan to take the lead
for the discussions on those who have already spoken on
this topic.
     The objective is to provide us with a revised text
consolidated and agreement that everybody would be
included.
     I'm seeking Azerbaijan's agreement to do this.
     Azerbaijan?
     >> AZERBAIJAN: Yes, Chair. Certainly.
     >> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Azerbaijan.
     An important note on all of these, very very much
pressed for time.
     In this respect, I would like to request to please
expedite your discussions to the extent that is feasible
and try to come up with revised text that would be
satisfactory to everybody.
     Thank you, Azerbaijan, for agreeing to do this.
     Next is Resolution 79. The role of
telecommunication, information and communications
technologies and combating and dealing with counterfeit
telecommunication information and communication devices.
We have four documents. We begin with Document A17
from -- it is an African common proposal. I ask the
focal point from Africa, Egypt, to present this

document.

You have the floor.

>> EGYPT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

It is my pleasure to present African common proposal for Resolution 79. The Amendments from African Group is in context with the related resolutions 177 and WTSA Resolution 96.

The main objectives of the African proposals is to look at the negative impacts of the counterfeit devices from security and user privacy point of view and to ensure the assistance from the BDT to the developing country to maintain the active participation in the workshops and seminars of the related issue by providing the needed fellowship for this issue and to ensure and instruct the ITU-D Study Groups to cooperate with the relevant ITU-T Study Groups, particularly Study Group 11 in the status in these matters.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Egypt.

Next is Document 20A11. That's a CITEL document. I invite Brazil to present this on behalf of CITEL.

You have the floor, sir.

>> BRAZIL: Thank you, Chairman.

On behalf of the CITEL members, this is AIP11, addendum 11, regarding the proposals of the Americas region on Resolution 79. The CITEL proposal updates the resolution taking into account the updates performed, for example, by WTSA2016 and we also add through all the text of the resolution combating and dealing not only with telecommunication ICT devices and also tempered ones.

The proposal recognizes the significant challenges in finding effective solutions and recognize also that these devices may have hazard or hazardous items harming the environment and it could negative effect security and privacy and it takes into account that counterfeiting of telecom ICT devices, interoperability difficulties that reduce quality of service. The proposal adds mention of the current usage of unique identifiers to name it the proliferation of the devices and awareness of the current work of ITU-T on IoT identity management and increasing importance of the devices.

Thank you, Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Brazil.

Next is Document 21A25 by the Arab Group. I invite the focal point from the Arab Group to present this

document.

You have the floor.

>> Thank you, Chairman.

I'm pleased to speak on behalf of the Arab countries. I would like to present this Document 21A17. The objective is to face counterfeited devices, there are countries that would like to adopt this resolution on the basis of -- Resolution 96 and number 180, resolution involved in the plenipotentiary in Bussan.

This resolution is very important because we have to interfere in order to protect the health and security of everybody in addition to the security of our networks. Arab countries consider that the devices should not be used and should not be even sold.

We call on the BDT and we would like to update this in order to know exactly what quality we had to deal with. In addition, we called for cooperation between the sector, and we should also put the name of the ITU on any device that's compatible with our resolution and standards.

Thank you, sir.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Jordan.

Next is Document 23A29 by RCC.

I invite the focal point from RCC to present this document.

Russian Federation, you have the floor.

>> RUSSIA: Thank you, Chair.

We're very grateful for the opportunity to report our proposal to revise this resolution. We hope that we'll continue to have effective work on the important and necessary area of activity for our sector.

The first change proposed is referring to new recommendations because as we know this is the first resolution rather that was adopted at the ITU on this topic and that after that a large amount of work has been done including at the plenipotentiary conference and the WTSA and so we propose to reflect all of this work in this resolution. Also we draw your attention to the fact that counterfeit devices can be harmful to human health because in many cases they do not meet the necessary requirements and standards, therefore, we propose to refer also to the relevant resolution on electromagnetic field exposure.

The main bulk of our proposal is not in the later part but mainly reflects the work that's already been done and the areas of activity which require attention.

Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Russia.

We consider document and Resolution 79. Any comments?

ATDI.

>> ATDI: Thank you, Chairman.

I understand from the general contribution that the proposal is that ITU put a stamp on any equipment that -- I don't think it is the role of ITU to put approvals like others. I could understand from RCC that they propose EMF to include Resolution 79. We have Resolution 62 on health and the question on 72, but more important, having took the floor, Germany is much interested on Resolution 9. Document 27DT is on Resolution 9, 26 is in on question 2.2, 19 is on 37 and 18 on 71. We have five documents of Committee 3 that are in six languages temporary in documents.

Thank you, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, ATDI.

Any other comments, views? None?

For Resolution 79, any volunteer to lead the Ad Hoc Group?

Could I ask Russian Federation to be the lead country?

Russian Federation.

>> RUSSIA: Chair, despite the fact we have compared to other countries quite a large Delegation, we have a little amount of time. Perhaps someone else who hasn't yet Chaired a group perhaps? Any administration could do it. We have large Delegations here and perhaps we could ask them to have a gender balance, for example, now we could have a balance in terms of Chairs. Because our leader is Latin American, they have the most Chairs so far.

Thank you so much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Russia.

In that case, I would be seeking the agreement of Spain to Chair this.

Spain.

>> SPAIN: Thank you very much, Chair.

Yes, we'll do this to the best of our abilities. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Then we go to Resolution 8.

Collection and dissemination of information and statistics. We have four documents.

The first is a CITEL Document 20A22 and we invite the CITEL focal point to present this document.

Brazil, you have the floor.

>> BRAZIL: Thank you, Chair.

Document 20 Addendum 22 from CITEL proposes a revision of Resolution 8 of WTDC regarding the collection and dissemination of information and statistical indicators with the view to considering the need of monitoring and recollection of data in line with the Sustainable Development Goals and in alignment with the 2030 Agenda from the United Nations.

Moreover, it invites Member States that it also connects statistics by gender.

This would emphasize that Member States can contribute to the work of the I wanted cater expert groups from the BDT.

Thank you, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Brazil. Next is Document 21A3 by the Arab Group.

I invite the focal point from the Arab Group, United Arab Emirates to please present this document.

You have the floor, sir.

>> UNITED ARAB EMERITES: Thank you, Chair.

Good evening to everybody.

I'm pleased to present this document on behalf of the Arab Group. It is the Document 21A3. As you know, Mr. Chairman, data and statistics related to information and statistics are an important source to develop ICTs. This is the case for many countries in the world. These countries collect data and they publish them and compare them with other countries. This has contributed so far in developing services in a very visible way. This satisfies customers and subscribers. The BDT and the development sector is the main source and collecting data, analyzing them, and publishing them on the international scale. This sure done in various reports issued by BDT and the ITU. This has made many regional organizations and others, it made them use the statistics and data and the information worldwide.

Arab countries in their proposal have stressed on the importance of the international symposium on indicators and Arab countries would like to propose to continue to hold this seminar, this symposium on the international level and we should make sure that no other meetings are held at the same time, no activities of the ITU should be held at the same time. There should be -- the solution would be seminars on many areas of work.

We should also hold Expert Group meetings,

especially people who specialize in statistics and data.

As in the past, the ITU bases its work on this information given by Member States. We could not -- we should not choose any other sources, especially when these data are available from Member States. Arab countries propose to the BDT not to use the data given by Arab countries before asking the opinion and agreement of Member States which have provide the statistics. These statistics sometimes could be wrong or could not be adopted by the Member State which has given them.

In 2017 all the Member States have access freely to all the reports and data issued by the ITU. The Arab countries proposal and all documents with statistics and data in the BDT should be used, especially statistics and data given by Member States. It should be put on one page on the ITU side so that people can have access easily and learn from them.

This is the proposal from the Arab countries and what I present to you.

Thank you, sir.

>> CHAIR: Next is Document 22A3 from Asia-Pacific.

I invite the focal point from Asia-Pacific to please present this document.

China.

>> CHINA: Thank you, Chair.

It is a great pleasure for me to introduce our proposal. It is 22A3 about the statistics and collection dissemination of information. We have noticed that you have spent a lot of work in this regard, we appreciate that, with the Government of ICT global taking into account how can we better reflect the ICT development level in Member States, in more objective way. We propose the following points, the first we should advance with the times relating, statistics should be adjusted and updated in a finally manner to reflect the ICT development. Second, for information and data from other sources, information should be given to Member States. Thirdly, we need to refer to the working method from the other groups under ITU to give some supplements to our work based on above mentioned considerations we would like to propose the following modifications to the Resolution 8 as indicated in our proposal.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, China.

Next is Document 23A5 by RCC. I invite the focal

point from RCC to please presents the document.

Kyrgyzstan, you have the floor.

>> KYRGYSTAN: Thank you for the Chair.

On behalf of the RCC we propose this noting this is a relevant issue for many countries and we're preparing to rollout our national development strategies and plans and to take into account ITU statistics and information. This allows us to identify problems and rollout our ICT development strategy.

With that in mind, allow us to react to arising questions, also indicators are updated all the time and a timely response to the change in technologies and decisions is vital. In this connection the proposal is to make the following changes in the section recalling I'm referring to Resolution 131 from plenipotentiary conference, we mention Information and Communication Technologies and then look at the published applications from ITU-D further in the considering C and then there is also changes referring to Information Society and also the Global Cybersecurity Index and Cyber Wellness Profile Report and other reports.

Those are the main changes we would like to make.

Thank you very much, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Any comments on revision to Resolution 8.

United States.

>> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: Thank you, Chairman.

Thanks to all who submitted contributions on this. We do have a quick question for clarification from the RCC about the purpose for citing the Global Cybersecurity Index and the Cyber Wellness Profiles Report in this proposed modification.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Kyrgyzstan, could you briefly clarify the question by the United States?

>> KYRGYZSTAN: We note the importance of these indexes, the Global Cybersecurity Index and the Cyber Wellness Profile Report, and we believe that currently it is the most relevant ones allowing us to influence all other indicators on ICTs so, therefore, the proposal is to include this here.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Any comments? None.

Then I would suggest if you could take the lead and Chair the Ad Hoc Group on this.

Kyrgyzstan.

>> KYRGYZSTAN: Unfortunately at the moment we -- because there are so few of our Delegation, unfortunately we're unable to Chair but we're happy to participate in the work of such a group.

>> CHAIR: Thank you.

Could I ask UAE?

>> UNITED ARAB EMERITES: Yes, Chairman. I think that Kyrgyzstan asked for the floor, however, since I have taken the floor, we only work on this issue before and on resolution and already there is, I think, consolidated document. Maybe Brazil could provide that information.

>> CHAIR: Brazil.

>> BRAZIL: Thank you, Chair.

In fact, we have begun discussions based on some questions that I would be delighted to lead this group.

Thank you, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Brazil. Brazil is the lead and the coordinator for the discussions on Resolution 8.

Now we move to the next question. The next resolution, Resolution 40.

The group on capacity building initiatives, we have two documents, 20A25, it is a document by CITEL and I invite the focal point from CITEL to please present this.

Brazil.

>> BRAZIL: Thank you, Chair.

CITEL believes it is important to have capacity building and other issues for its members, regulatory issues for its members. Therefore, it is appropriate that the capacity building platforms which are available in ITU sets standards of excellence, ITU academy and other tools such as seminars, regional workshops, training centers should cover regional initiatives and questions under study as a starting point for the development and for capacity building. In this vein, CITEL, therefore, proposes that it should be considered capacity building which should be considered with regards to ITU and should be considered as a starting point including regional initiatives and questions under study.

Thank you very much, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you.

Next is Document 24A10 by CPT. I invite the focal point, Czech Republic, please present this document.

>> CZECH REPUBLIC: Thank you, Chair.

We would be quite short because Brazil has already introduced very well this document. We in our proposal in our draft, we aim to update the document so that activities are in line with the development of the sector. We also link a Resolution 40 and the group on capacity building initiatives to the regional activities and it centers of excellence.

If we may add, we already started to align this document to the CITEL proposal and we hope that we could do more.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Czech Republic.

Any views or comments on revisions to Resolution 40?

United States.

>> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: Thank you, Chair.

The United States believes that the group on capacity building initiatives renders valuable contributions to the work of the Development Sector. We believe that the modifications to Resolution 40 proffered by the Inter-American proposal as well as the proposal by CPT accomplish improving and increasing the efficiency and the value of contributions of the group so we very much look forward to working on the consolidated draft and redoubling the efficiency and value that the group renders.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Could I ask Czech Republic to be the lead to consolidate to provide us with a consolidated text? Before that, I see Canada is asking for the floor.

>> CANADA: Thank you, Chair.

We would like to thank colleagues from Brazil and the Czech Republic and the intervention by the United States. Mr. Chairman, speaking also as Chairman of the global capacity building initiative I would like to add that the work that's been undertaken by the Czech Republic, Brazil along with the United States is in my view fully consistent with the terms of reference with the capacity building initiatives.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Canada.

Czech Republic, you have the floor.

>> CZECH REPUBLIC: Thank you, Chair.

We would like to continue the work.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Next is document on Resolution 73, ITU centers of excellence.

We have Document 24A11 by CPT and I invite the focal point from CPT to please presents this document.

Czech Republic, please.

>> CZECH REPUBLIC: Thank you, Chair.

Well, the Resolution 73 on centers of excellence again seeks to be harmonized with the development and to be updated so it could respond to the needs of the sector. It is very short introduction. We also noted that there was proposal from the RCC region that was quite close to ours and in the meantime we also noted that our comments were on our document. Maybe this is the start of this document.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Czech Republic.

I see we have one document under 73. People have some comments on this.

I'm asking Czech Republic, again, before doing that we have a request for the floor.

You have the floor.

>> Thank you very much, Chair.

I represent ODESA Telecommunication Institute. In our region we also proposed some changes to this resolution, but we are satisfied with the work undertaken or being undertaken by the development bureau in implementing the resolution with the centers of excellence and so overall we decided to withdraw the resolution, post changes to the resolution rather and separately I would like to thank the Czech Republic for their contribution, however as always has been noted there are some aspects which we would like to discuss and take into discussion particularly in the proposal from the Czech Republic there are some things referred to, for example, such as the payment or charges from ITU for services, for servicing this program. We believe this is excessive phrasing and this is handled through internal documents within BDT and also decisions made by the Steering Committee in various regions.

If you allow me, perhaps alongside colleagues, we could fine tune this document.

Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Czech Republic, please.

>> CZECH REPUBLIC: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

We would be delighted to finalize the document so that it could be presented to this conference for further discussion.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

The decision is that we're asking Czech Republic to take into account the other views on this revision to Resolution 73 and provide us with the text that would be agreeable to all.

Thank you, Czech Republic.

Then we're going to next Resolution 16, special actions and measures for the Least Developed Countries, developing states, landlocked Developing Countries and countries with economies in transition. We have document from RCC that's 23A19 and I invite the focal point from RCC to please present this document.

The focal point from RCC on Resolution 16, Kyrgyzstan, please.

>> KYRGYZSTAN: Thank you very much, Chair.

I would like to on behalf of the RCC present the regional contribution to the review of Resolution 16, special actions and measures for the Least Developed countries, small island developing states, landlocked Developing Countries and countries with economies in transition. These proposals are focused on clarifying specific work items in accordance with the decision of the plenipotentiary conference in 2014.

Including those focusing on represent viewing the State of telecommunication and ICT services in these countries and identifying areas of critical weakness that require priority action. Also, bringing about improvements and assistance to these countries through the special voluntary program for technical cooperation and the unions own resources and other sources of finance. Also the proposal to work towards providing the necessary administrative, operational structure to identify the needs of these countries and proper administration of resources for these countries.

So there is much to consider and to approve the Resolution 16. Thank you very much, Mr. Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Kyrgyzstan.

Paraguay.

>> PARAGUAY: Thank you, Chair.

First I would like to thank the RCC representative for presenting this document which is very important for our country such as the landlocked Developing Countries, the proposal to changes made by the RCC would like to add changes with the World Summit Information Society of 2015 and for the reference for the SDGs and we have a couple of questions, therefore we would be delighted to

talk offline with RCC with a focal point in order to
discuss the two points of view we would like to share
with them.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Paraguay.

Any other comment, views?

When revising Resolution 16? None? Yes, United
Kingdom.

>> UNITED KINGDOM: Thank you, Chair.

We also are interested in the proposals and would
like to be involved in discussions.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, United Kingdom.

Any other point?

None.

I suggest that the focal point from RCC,
Kyrgyzstan, to please coordinate with those that have
spoken with this and others that may have some views and
have the text in such a way that we would be able to
proceed quickly because we need a finalized text.

Kyrgyzstan.

>> KYRGYZSTAN: We would be delighted to work with
any administrations who wish to participate to improve
this contribution.

Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Kyrgyzstan.

Next we go to Resolution 50 and we have three
documents, optimal integration of Information and
Communication Technologies. The first is 20A7, a CITEL
document. I invite the focal point for CITEL to present
this document.

United States, you have the floor.

>> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: Thank you, Chair.

The United States is pleased to present Document 20
Addendum 7 on behalf of CITEL.

CITEL recognizes significant overlap between
Resolution 50 and 54. For that reason and bearing in
mind the BDT focus on streamlining WTDC resolutions
CITEL proposes to modify 50 by merging language from
Resolution 54 from information and technology
communication applications. In this Inter-American
proposal CITEL sought to clarify the meaning of the
praise ICT applications in the context of Resolution 54.
We believe the phrase ICT applications as used in the
current version of Resolution 54 refers to the use of
ICTs in specific context. For example, eGovernment,
eHealth and eLearning. We believe this interpretation

is consistent with the use of the phrase ICT applications in the WSIS context.

Therefore, many of the proposed CITEL modifications aim to clarify that phrase while merging the language of Resolution 54 into Resolution 50. We believe these modifications provide greater clarity to ITU-D members and will enhance the effectiveness of the modified resolution.

Finally, as I had mentioned previously we are aware that there are proposals from other regions to merge resolutions 50 and 54 into Resolution 37. As I had mentioned, CITEL participated in the discussions and we have -- we're viewing a comparison of our proposals with the Resolution 37 proposals and we hope to provide input into the Resolution 37 document very shortly.

Thank you, Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, United States.

Next is Document 23A17 by RCC.

Before that, I see that there is a request from the floor from Sudan.

>> SUDAN: Yes, Chairman. Actually we did the work for converging 67 with revision 50 and 54 so my request, Mr. Chairman, to continue combining the consolidated text between 50 and 54 from CITEL and I already booked a room for tomorrow to meet so we'll continue our Document DP19 and to incorporate the changes from CITEL to give you a complete document with a suppression of Resolution 50 and 54.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you.

Now we're going to Document 23A17 from RCC.

I would like the focal point from RCC to please present this document.

Russian Federation.

>> RUSSIA: Thank you, Chair.

As has been mentioned earlier, in the light of merging Resolution 37 with resolutions 50 and 54 the proposal is to suppress the latter two there. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Russian Federation.

Next we go to Document 22A11, Revision 1 from Asia-Pacific. I invite the focal point from Asia-Pacific to please present this document.

Singapore, please.

>> SINGAPORE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

On behalf of APT I'm pleased to introduce 22A11 similar to the RCC, the proposal is to suppress

Resolution 50 following our proposal to merge
Resolution 37 and 50.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

We have finished presentation of the three
documents on revising Resolution 50. Are there any
comments in addition to what was just mentioned?

If there are none, then I would like to suggest
that Sudan who was in charge of Resolution 37 to
continue its work on Resolution 50 as well.

Sudan.

>> SUDAN: Yes, Mr. Chairman. We will do that.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

I think -- we don't need to go to presentation of
the revisions on Resolution 54 as they're very similar
to what we heard on revising and suppressing
Resolution 50. Do we agree with this? I see people
nodding. We would skip this step.

Next we have a number of drafting resolutions, but
I would like to suggest that we go to the next Agenda
item, Resolution 66 and next Resolution 71 so that we
would be finishing with all existing resolutions and
then come back to drafting resolutions.

Do we agree with this?

I do not see any requests for the floor. We would
proceed in this way. Next is Resolution 66, information
and communication technology and climate change. We
have three documents, CITEL Document 20A26 and I invite
the focal point from CITEL to please present this
document.

Brazil, please.

>> BRAZIL: Thank you, Chair.

CITEL believes that it is very important to update
Resolution 56 by introducing references to important
global conferences from United States which took place
recently upon this topic. Therefore, and in the same
vein, to make reference of the outcomes of 2016 in the
scope of Study Group 2 of ITU-D. Furthermore, we would
like to propose that ITU-D can provide assistance so
that countries can undertake appropriate assessment of
the signs of the eFunds, including ePilot projects to
have a rational management to collect data management
and regards to eWaste, furthermore to have a focus on
the life cycle of eWaste and the eWaste of IT and to
guarantee that electronic equipment, which career ready
standards borders, that at the end of the life cycle,
this needs to be addressed here in this resolution.

Thank you, Chair.
     >> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Brazil.
     Next is Document 21A21 from the Arab Group. I
invite the focal point from the Arab Group to please
present this document.
     Sudan, please.
     >> SUDAN: Thank you, Chair.
     Good evening on behalf of the Arab States.
     We have the honor to present Document 21. Looking
at some Amendments with regard to Resolution 66 which
was adopted at the WTC and with regards to information
communication technology and climate change. During the
preparatory meeting of the Arab Group we prepared this
document which we submit to you today on the WTDC17.
The outcome would allow us to look at some references
and make references to a number of texts and reports
linked to the conference held recently on climate change
in particular and to strengthen collaboration between
the standardization and Development Sectors ITU when we
discuss climate change and human exposure to
electromagnetic fields it is important to recall this in
the scope of our work.
     Thank you.
     >> CHAIR: Thank you, Sudan.
     Next is Document 23A24 by RCC.
     I invite the focal point from RCC to please present
this Document.
     Azerbaijan, please.
     >> AZERBAIJAN: Thank you, Chair.
     Distinguished colleagues on behalf of the RCC we
submit for your consideration a draft revision to
Resolution 66. ICTs are a source of greenhouse gas
emissions though with the small amount, this will
increase in the future because of the growing use of
ICTs. ICTs will also contribute to mitigating and
adapting to the consequences of climate change and also
not monitoring climate change. It is, therefore, wise
to include in this resolution reference to correct
management of telecommunications and ICT waste. With
this in mind, the proposal is to include and recalling
A, deleting A and part of I and then make a reference to
the joint group on ICTs and climate change and also have
a new Paragraph R on resolution from the General
Assembly A70/1, Transforming Our World, the 2030 Agenda
for Sustainable Development.
     Also, in the noting, there's a proposal to add a
new -- take into consideration further, a new Paragraph

J, the final report of ITU-D Study Group 1 on question 24/1, policies for the proper disposal or reuse of telecommunication or ICT or waste material from the study period 2010 to 2014.

We believe that the proposed changes will ensure us to be more effective in how we address the challenges before us under this resolution. The specific proposal, the revising Resolution 66 has been put in further detail in this document.

Thank you very much, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Azerbaijan.

Any comments? None?

Then I'm seeking volunteers to be in charge of coordinating various proposals I have asked that Brazil on many other resolutions. I hesitate to ask them.

Azerbaijan has a small Delegation. I hesitate to ask them again. They undertook another topic.

Sudan is helping us with a number of resolutions. I think they also have a small Delegation.

Any other administration that would be able to help us in this regard?

I see Argentina is volunteering.

Argentina, please.

>> ARGENTINA: Yes.

I know that we already met on the advancing in the work on the consensus text. Now we're ready to work in order to reach a consensus text.

Thank you, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Argentina will be in charge of this Ad Hoc Group.

Next we go to Resolution 71. Strengthening cooperation between Member States, sector members, associates and academia of the ITU telecommunication sector, including the private sector, Resolution 71, we have three documents 22A18 by CITEL. I invite the focal point from CITEL to please present this.

Asia-Pacific? My apologies.

My apologies. Vietnam is the focal point for Asia Pacific.

>> VIETNAM: Thank you, Chair.

Good evening again, colleagues.

The proposal is to streamlining the Resolution 48 and Resolution 71 by bringing provisions from Resolution 48 to the Resolution 71. With this, we do not intend to make any other significant modifications to the Resolution 71. As we all know we're in the process of discussing proposal regarding Resolution 48

and we're flexible on focusing on updating and modifying
the Resolution 48.
    Thank you.
    >> CHAIR: Thank you again, Vietnam.
    Again, my apologies for the oversight.
    Next is Document 23A26 from RCC.
    I invite the focal point from RCC to please
present.
    Kyrgyzstan, you have the floor.
    >> KYRGYZSTAN: Thank you.
    Briefly our proposal to work on Resolution 71, the
aim here is to develop a comprehensive strategy for the
private sector, including universities to be sector
members, associates, academia, academic organizations
and also contains a strategy to more actively involve
current sector members, associates and academia in the
union's activities.
    This includes participation in the work of ITU-D
study Groups, ITU telecom and kaleidoscope events,
innovative project competitions and other ITU events.
    Thank you very much.
    >> CHAIR: Thank you very much.
    Next is Document 24A13. This is from CPT. I
invite Czech Republic to please present this.
    >> CZECH REPUBLIC: Thank you, Chair.
    Like RCC, we would like to update this document,
making more -- the comments on the draft on the centers
of -- better -- better involvement or improvement in
including sector members, the proposal on principles for
functioning of the industry advisory group of the
development, that's the main point of this proposal,
inter alia, it is good for establishing the
participation to this group and it is aiming to have
stable membership representing all regions of the world
with the members recognized for their participation in
the development activities. The revised resolution also
addresses the importance of reporting meeting outcomes
to TDAG and to the next WTDC.
    This is our proposal. We're very grateful for the
news that Vietnam has the contribution because we also
thought this is not compatible with the resolution.
    Thank you.
    >> CHAIR: Thank you, Czech Republic.
    Any other views on this Resolution 71? None?
    Can I ask the Czech Republic to be in charge of
producing the consolidated text?
    Czech Republic, please.

>> CZECH REPUBLIC: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It is with pleasure, and we would do our utmost.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you for your cooperation.

Ladies and gentlemen, we have concluded our consideration of all existing resolutions that are relevant to Committee 3.

Now I would like to go to drafting resolutions.

The first draft resolution is on information and communication technology for improved access to eHealth services. It is a document from the African republic, Document 25 and I invite the Delegate from Central African Republic to present this. You have the floor.

>> CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC: Thank you, Chair.

I would like to present the draft new resolution, which is called Information and Communication Technologies in order to improve access to eHealth services given that this was looked at under WTDC14 in cooperation with a -- we looked at the resolution, we realized that in order to meet the goal 3 of the SDGs regards to eHealth and we recognize and also technology of eHealth is really gathering and furthermore broadband technology is really gathering pace throughout the world and mobile and fixed broadband, therefore it is important to strengthen initiatives in eHealth and to coordinate these activities and to continue to strengthen ITU in eHealth applications in order to contribute to global initiatives on this subject in order to put in place best practices on eHealth in the scope of ICTs.

In this resolution, it is important to put in place a regulatory framework and guidelines in order to update ICTs in the area of eHealth and their applications.

Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you.

Any comments?

None. There is a draft new resolution on eHealth as titles have some resemblance. This is a document from Asia-Pacific, Document 22A6 and I invite Japan to present this document, and then we consider both drafting resolutions together.

Japan, please.

>> JAPAN: Thank you, Chair.

The purpose of this new resolution is called upon BDT to collaborate with WHO with regard to eHealth, particularly in the areas of smoking cessation activity,

control using mobile, is support we need within ICT.
Communicable disease and prevention using ICT. It
further calls on the BDT to support collaboration of
eHealth, particularly among Developing Countries to
achieving SDG, supports that development of eHealth
legal system and economical solution in Developing
Countries, supports the rollout of eHealth pilot project
by developed country for developing country, technically
and elementally to demonstrate the social effectiveness
and development of new business by fully utilizing
emerging communication and technology such as AI and
deep learning and medical big data as related to
eHealth.
       Japan is willing to accept similar proposal and
tries to coordinate consolidated text offline or online.
       Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
       >> CHAIR: Thank you, Japan.
       Any other views on these two drafting resolutions?
None?
       I would like to suggest that maybe Japan, if you
could be the lead country to harmonize the two drafting
resolutions, one proposed by APT, the other proposed by
Central African Republic and provide us with the
consolidated text that we can proceed on that basis.
       Japan.
       >> JAPAN: Yes, sir.
       >> CHAIR: Thank you, Japan.
       Central African Republic, would you agree that we
consider both documents and word proposals
simultaneously?
       >> CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC: Yes, thank you.
       >> CHAIR: Thank you very much.
       Next we go to draft new resolution promoting
adoption of Internet of Things, platforms, applications
and services. This is a document from the Asia-Pacific
region, Document 22A12. I invite the focal point from
Asia-Pacific, Singapore, please, to present this
document.
       >> SINGAPORE: Chair, on behalf of APT it is my
pleasure to present Document 22A12 on the proposed new
resolution on the Internet of Things, IoT, the IoT is a
key enabler of the Information Society which offers the
opportunity to transform infrastructure from intelligent
buildings and transportation systems to smart energy and
networks. An estimate of 50 billion devices are
expected to be connected by 2020 impacting nearly every
aspect of our daily lives. Considering the development

of IoT and the various IoT-related work in IoT and ITU-T and R, we would propose a new resolution which aims to strengthen capacity building and cooperation between the three ITU sectors to provide the necessary assistance to countries in the development of the IoT. At this point, Chair, we also would like to move that the ARP has some resolution on IoT and we would be happy and continue to discuss on this.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Before making any decision on this document and on this drafting resolution, I suggest we consider another drafting resolution by the Arab Group facilitating the Internet of Things and smart cities and communities for global development. This is Document 21A27 and I invite the focal point from the Arab Group to please present this. Morocco, please.

>> MOROCCO: Thank you, Chair.

On behalf of the Arab States it is it my pleasure to introduce the facilitation of Internet of Things and smart cities and communities of global development. This is Resolution 197 of the plenipotentiary conference entitled facilitating Internet of Things to prepare for a globally connected world. The title of this is that the BDT should support Developing Countries who would study the Internet of Things and different mechanisms on -- through different mechanisms and we should remember the Development Sector within the framework of the resolution of 71 from the plenipotentiary conference is to ask -- to put in place an enabling environment for Internet of Things and to lead to the adoption of ICTs and to develop services and applications while remembering that the Internet of Things in order to have a positive impact on most of the sector is recalled to develop similar development goals before 2030. Arab States aware of the important he role of the Development Sector of ICTs as a sector which supports the adoption of ICTs in a global level and being aware that the global communication has independent solutions on Internet of Things and smart cities and we therefore propose this new resolution to invite the BDT and sector members to work with the director of the radiocommunication and telecommunication sector to identify the challenges on the economic models linked to the Internet of Things in smart cities and communities in collaboration with Member States to develop strategies to develop the Internet of Things and to put

in place guidelines regarding smart cities and communities. This is based on the recommendation from ITU and taken into consideration the outcomes of the work of Study Group 20 of ITU. We would also invite the BDT in collaboration with regional organizations and international organizations to have a knowledge exchange and skills exchange. We also urge different members to work on a different area of Internet of Things and also to participate on knowledge information sharing and to put in place enabling framework on Internet of Things and smart cities and communities.

Mr. Chair, this is -- as said by Singapore, and the Asia and Pacific region, the two groups have coordinated to reach a common document. Therefore we were in a position to prepare a common document.

Thank you, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Morocco.

Any views on this?

United States.

>> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. If

We want to thank the Arab Group and the APT for the proposals. We believe that the ITU has an important role in the de fusion and growth of the Internet of Things through the existing work of the D Sector. We saw in the Study Groups this year question 1-2 on creating disperse society, the ITU can be effective in the information sharing of experiences and strategies about deployment of new ICT applications, including Internet of Things solutions. I think in this case we want to be careful, there is a lot of language in the resolutions that are proposed that seem to overlap some of the work being done in the ITU-T and the ITU-R sector.

More importantly, I think I recall that you have led an excellence exercise ton streamlining resolutions and we also do have a resolution in the plenipotentiary conference on IoT providing guidance on how the different sectors can work to help support the deployment of IoT, including in Developing Countries.

The United States does not believe we need a resolution, new resolution at this time on this topic. There is already ongoing work within the Development Sector on IoT and I think a new resolution at this stage, at this hour may be hard for us to support.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, United States.

United Kingdom.
　　>> UNITED KINGDOM: Thank you, Chair.
　　We thank those who have contributed to developing these proposals.
　　The U.K. also believes that the ITU has an important role in development of IoT and we are active in Study Group 20 of the T sector where standards are developed for IoT and smart cities.
　　We would like to bring our experience to bear in further discussion of these proposals. If you could include us in any group set up. Thank you.
　　>> CHAIR: Thank you, United Kingdom.
　　Kenya.
　　>> KENYA: Yes. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
　　We too would like to thank the two regions for proposing the development of this resolution. We think that there are certain inputs towards this particular Resolution, should it materialize and we think that we could add value by incorporating aspects from demand side of things so that the aspects on digital ecosystem and the innovative mechanisms could be incorporated and therefore, perhaps, if those could be carried forward it would be much more useful.
　　We are available to participate in further discussions in this regard.
　　Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
　　>> CHAIR: Thank you, Kenya.
　　India.
　　>> INDIA: Thank you, Chairman.
　　We consider the analytics, we fully support these resolutions.
　　Thank you.
　　>> CHAIR: Thank you, India.
　　Could I ask Kenya to be the lead in these resolutions for IoT?
　　You're asking for the floor?
　　>> ALGERIA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
　　We do support the draft new resolution on Internet of Things and we considered appropriate and timely at this time.
　　We also could say that we need different technical questions that has to -- that are being addressed in the T-sector. Also they're being addressed in the sector talking about Cloud computing, in Study Group 13, in future networks and security also.
　　We consider also again, this an important topic to be included in the Development Sector. We do support

the resolution of the Internet of Things.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Algeria.

I go back to Kenya to see if they agree with my request.

>> KENYA: We would be glad to assist.

>> CHAIR: My request is to please -- moving forward, please engage all those who have spoken on this. We would be hoping for a consolidated text.

Thank you very much.

Ladies and gentlemen, thank you for your cooperation.

So far we have covered all resolutions.

With your agreement, I would like to go back to Study Group questions.

This is of urgency, tomorrow morning, Committee 4 is meeting and they need to have some input from us.

You may recall that I requested India to Chair the Ad Hoc Group on Study Group questions. The work has been progressing steadily.

I would like to ask you to give us some progress reports and also to see if we can provide comments that we could consider and approve tonight. It could be available for Committee 4 for the meeting tomorrow.

We do not need to present one big document, we can present them with the outcome of deliberations that the Ad Hoc Group has already had on Questions as they become available and as they become final.

With this comment, I would request you to please brief us on this.

Thank you.

>> Thank you, Chairman.

With the is support of members and support from the Secretariat we have done good work. To add, to respect the members participating and providing inputs, if we look at the numbers based on the previous Study Group members, Mr. Chairman, in Study Group 1 with regard to the questions which are complicated now, I can say that Question 7 of group 1, access to telecommunication and ICT services with Persons with Disabilities and people with special needs, this is complete and the draft is ready.

The other question, question 5.1, Study Group 1, telecommunication ICTs, this question is ready. There are a few questions again in Study Group 1 which are still under discussion. We thought we would complete them today but we could not because they're in the final

status. This is for Study Group 1.

Study Group 2, Chairman, we are having question 2, telecommunication and ICTs, this has been approved and the draft is ready and we're requested to report it as a completed document.

We have another question, question 1.2 #, creating a smart society, social and economic development for ICT applications, this is ready as a draft and we request Secretariat to request it as a document.

Coming to two another questions in Study Group 2, that is the question 6.2, and question on strategies and policies for the proper disposal of telecommunication ICT waste material, since there is no new contribution in that, the group members participating in the draft work agreed to forward the existing contents as agreed for the BDT document and also agreed to suppress question 9.2 as designed by the members, similar is the case with regard to question broadband access technologies including identity for Developing Countries. It has been agreed by the members in the drafting group that the question could be with all of the broadband infrastructure and on the one question, I suppress Question 2. So with this, that said, they have been followed as the documents and the others, we've discussion and with the help from the Member States, we are sure we can make good progress before tomorrow afternoon.

Thank you very much,

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

I do appreciate your extensive reference in trying to some up with the consolidated text on different questions. The task is not easy. I do understand that.

We value your contribution.

Ladies and gentlemen, let's take each question individually. Mr. Babu said Question 2/1 is a candidate for suppression. This has already been discussed in the Ad Hoc Group. Do I have your agreement that we can report and send it to Committee 4 as a question that can be removed, suppressed?

India.

>> INDIA: Thank you, as I mentioned, contents of -- I'm sorry, I did not --

>> CHAIR: Okay.

Colombia.

>> COLOMBIA: Sorry. I didn't ask for the floor. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Egypt.

>> EGYPT: Thank you, Chairman.

As said by Mr. Babu, Question 9 is not the only matter that we have discussed and we have also Question No. 2 which is discussed in Study Group 1. As Mr. Babu gives a list of topics, he has to give us the whole list so that we may know exactly what's going on.

Thank you, sir.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Egypt.

I think it would be -- if you want to go that way, then we have to wait maybe until tomorrow afternoon or evening, and that would be too late for Committee 4 to start the work.

I was present partially in the work of the Ad Hoc Group and many people participated in the work.

I think there is some understanding that there are questions that we are suppressing, their content would be included in some other questions which is now under discussion. With this understanding, that there is not any loss in the content, do I have your -- the agreement of Committee 3 that Questions 2/1 and 9/2 would be suppressed and we can report this to Committee 4? I don't see any requests, you can take it that these two questions are suppressed. Nevertheless, discussions are ongoing with respect to other questions merging and augmenting the content.

Thank you very much for your cooperation and understanding.

We go to the questions with no proposal of amendment or revision of the text of the existing questions.

Those questions were -- if you can again repeat, please.

>> Mr. Babu: This is Study Group 2, Question 6/2, ICTs and climate change, there is no document on this. Question 8.2, strategies and policies for the proper disposal of the use of telecommunication ICT waste material. For these two documents the lead contributions are in the titles. All the documents we'll do separately apart from that, the questions contained of 6/2 and A.2 remain the same and the drafting room members agreed in the existing form and content they could be forwarded for these purposes.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Mr. Babu.

Okay.

With respect to question 7/1, it was my understanding that question 7/1 is final, final? That

there is a BDT document? Could you please confirm that?
　　　>> Thank you, Chairman.
　　　Yes, you're right. Question 7, access to telecommunication and ICT services, it is a final version. Similar is the case for Question 5/1, telecommunication and ICTs for rural and remote areas.
　　　>> CHAIR: My understanding is that everything including the titles are finalized.
　　　>> In the case of 5/2 I would include the titles that are complete.
　　　>> CHAIR: 7/1 or 7/2
　　　>> 7/1 and --
　　　>> 7/1 and 5/1
　　　>> Including titles and final?
　　　>> Including titles.
　　　Now the situation is very clear, we have question 7/1 and 5/1 with a revised text for both the titles and the statement of the work.
　　　That needs to be done by Study Groups.
　　　Considering the work that was carried out in the Ad Hoc Group, do I have your agreement that we could adopt those decisions and forward them on to Committee 4?
　　　I do not see any requests for the floor. I take it that you are in agreement.
　　　Japan.
　　　>> JAPAN: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.
　　　Can you clarify the status of the Question 4/1 --
　　　>> CHAIR: Bear with me. We're now on Question 7/1 and 5/1 on these two questions.
　　　The report from Mr. Babu is that his work is final. They are BDT documents. We come back to the remaining questions, including 4/1.
　　　Nigeria.
　　　>> NIGERIA: We accept as suggested.
　　　>> CHAIR: Thank you very much. We can move forward.
　　　Questions 7/1 and 5/1 as finalized by the Ad Hoc Group on Study Group questions are now decided and approved by Committee 3.
　　　Thank you very much for your cooperation.
　　　Next we go to Question 1/2 and 2/2.
　　　Mr. Babu, could you please be brief on the status of these two questions?
　　　>> Thank you, Chairman. With request to 2 on the creating a smart society and question 2/2, telecommunication ICT strategy, in both cases, drafts are ready for BDT, they're final. In the case of 2/2

the text along with the title, it is finalized. In the case of this, the title is final and the text needs to be finalized.

>> CHAIR: 2/2, there is no work that needs to be done on this question and I need your agreement that you can also send this question in the work that was already done in the Ad Hoc Group on Study Group question to Committee 4.

Do I have your agreement on this? Any opposition? No.

Thank you very much.

Mr. Babu, can you brief us on Question 7/2?

>> Thank you, Chairman.

I think I missed the question, I did not hear when you asked for 2, but -- I'll come back to Question 1/2 it and come back to 6/2 and 8/2.

>> Okay.

>> CHAIR: Now we're discussing Question 7/2.

>> I'm sorry, Mr. Chairman. I need a brief on this to update you. Give me a couple of minutes.

>> CHAIR: No problem.

While looking through your notes, I would like to suggest that on questions that work is already finished, the only remaining item is the title. I would encourage and request that you take those questions as a matter of priority in your meeting tomorrow morning. There is a meeting scheduled from 8:00 to 11:00 for the Ad Hoc Group to finish their work.

I would suggest -- also tonight, after we finish this plenary, you can continue your work and then my request is to please be -- please expedite the work and finish with those questions that the only things that needs to be discussed is the title. So we'll be able to move forward the work that you have done, pass through Committee 3 and then on to Committee 4.

>> Sure, Chairman. We'll do that. Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: For the time being -- five questions are final final and we can forward them to Committee 4. These are questions 2/1, 5/1, 7/1, 2/2, and 9/2.

Nothing remains to be done on these five questions.

Canada, please.

>> CANADA: Thank you, Chair.

I apologize for briefly interrupting the meeting, it is a procedural question. Do you intend to start addressing the action plan? I'm asking because the first of the documents of that section, is there one

from CITEL, and secondly my question, Mr. Chairman, when are we going to finalize the strategic plan?

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Canada.

It is now 21:18. We only have 12 minutes left. I would suggest that if we can move on Study Group questions tonight and then consider the remaining action plan and strategic plan tomorrow when we reconvene.

Thank you.

Mr. Babu, do you have any other update on the questions?

>> Chairman, at this point. no.

>> CHAIR: Okay. Very good.

I do appreciate your cooperation and your active participation in the work, the work as I said is progressing well. Thanks to your understanding and cooperation and I'm grateful to you, Mr. Babu for all of the efforts that you're putting in.

The difficulty is that we have to provide input to Committee 4 and this, we have to finish the work of this ad hoc latest by tomorrow in the morning. The plan is for the Ad Hoc Group to continue its work after we finish our Committee 3 meeting tonight. Of course, it is a drafting group, you do not need to have translation. You can continue. The rooms are available. Also tomorrow morning as of 8:00 in the morning you can.

My humble request is that please try to finish everything as quickly as possible.

Any comments? Any views on this?

None? Thank you very much.

I think we have had a very good session tonight. We have made extensive progress. I'm grateful to all of you for your cooperation. The work would not have ended tonight here, Ad Hoc Groups will continue working.

I will see you tomorrow at plenary of Committee 3 which is scheduled to start at 11:00 in this very same room.

In the meantime, there are two ad hoc groups, one Ad Hoc Group on Resolution 9 will continue with their work after we finish, and also the Ad Hoc Group on Study Group questions.

Any comments? Georgia.

>> GEORGIA: I'm sorry. That's supposed to be Canada.

>> CHAIR: Canada.

>> CANADA: Thank you, Chair.

The Ad Hoc Group on Resolutions 45 and 69 also plan
to meet to continue our work so that we can also come up
with substitutions.
      >> CHAIR: Many, many thanks for all of your
efforts.
      On the screen here we see Georgia, that was -- that
was not intentional.
      Nevertheless, we have come to the end of our
meeting tonight. Thank you very much. I'll see you
tomorrow morning starting at 11:30.
      Have a very pleasant evening. Thank you.
      The evening is closed.