

FINISHED FILE

ITU WORLD TELECOMMUNICATION DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE 2017
BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA

13 OCTOBER, 2017
19:30 LOCAL TIME

COM 3

Services Provided By:
Caption First, Inc.
P.O. Box 3066
Monument, CO 80132
1-877-825-5234
+001-719-482-9835
www.captionfirst.com

This text is being provided in a rough draft format.
Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) is provided in
order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be a
totally verbatim record of the proceedings.

>> CHAIR: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome
back.

This is our Sixth Session. I would like to suggest that we
start immediately and work efficiently tonight. We have a heavy
schedule ahead of us. We need to move quickly.

In our Fifth Session this morning you approved the Agenda
for both the Fifth and Sixth Session. I take it that for that
there is no need to discuss the Agenda. However, we have a
revision to the Agenda. The reason for the revision, we
rearranged the order of resolutions that we would be considering
this evening because Committee 4 has to have some output
tomorrow morning, some of the Ad Hocs they have formed. So for
that reason, in Revision 1 we have rearranged the order of
presentation for resolutions.

It is revision to ADM/16, it is available and now displayed
on the screen. Any comments on this?

Any requests for the floor?

Can I take it that I have your approval? Thank you very
much.

The revised draft Agenda is now adopted.

Let me go briefly on what we discussed this morning and ask

the leading administrations on various resolutions to give us a brief overview of the progress so far. I would like to start with Saudi Arabia, Resolution 20.

If you could brief us on your progress.

>> SAUDI ARABIA: Mr. Chairman, thank you.

Good evening, everybody. Mr. Chairman, today we have held a session according to -- we have held a meeting for one hour and we have merged the resolutions presented to us by the Group of the Commonwealth as well as the Arab Group. During the discussions we have taken into consideration major remarks and comments by some administrations and, Mr. Chairman, we are still looking into completing our work with regard to this resolution by taking into account the comments of all countries and Delegations participating in our meeting.

This is, Mr. Chairman, in a nutshell what we have done within our meeting, we are ready to hold additional consultations in order to take into account all observations in official manner.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Saudi Arabia, for all your efforts.

I was informed that the Delegations would like to have this ad hoc group formally established and I'm seeking your agreement that the drafting of the ad hoc group that we already have established is having the status of a formal ad hoc group so that there could be proper outputs from the discussions.

Any comments on this?

None.

Thank you very much.

Then we go to Resolution 37. I would like to ask the Vice-Chair from Committee 3 from Sudan, Mr. Mustafar to brief us on the output of his ad hoc group.

>> SUDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

We started our meetings at 3:00 today for one hour. We have a consolidated text for the documents of the four regions and we started negotiations about the consultation of the different documents. With the result, we have a consensus about the recalls and recognize and considering, now we are discussing the results.

Tomorrow we have the morning from 9:00 to 11:00 another meeting. We will finish tomorrow.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Sudan.

Next, Russian Federation, Resolution 43. Please brief the meeting on your progress.

>> RUSSIA: Thank you, Chairman.

Our Delegation representative who ran that meeting unfortunately is not able to be present now. He has transferred

to me all the information which is pertinent and I'll be happy to share it with you.

The meeting was held, a fairly large number of people were present, all interested administrations participated and I would say we achieved a fair amount of progress on compiling a consensus-based text of the document. There are a number of issues which we still need to clarify, but not too many. A number of Delegations assumed the role of preparing proposals on those individual aspects, and we'll hold one more similar meeting on Monday that was agreed between all Delegates and we very much hope that on Monday we will be able to finally report to your positive results of our work reviewing those issues.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Russian Federation.

Next, Brazil, Resolution 47.

>> BRAZIL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

We held a session this afternoon from 4:00 to 6:00, and we considered proposals from the regions, from ATU, RCC, CITEL, APT and Arab States. We progressed in our work but we're still analyzing outstanding topics and we'll have a session tomorrow to finalize the considerations of Res47 and to finally come back to you.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Brazil.

Next, capturing resolution 62, Egypt.

>> EGYPT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Unfortunately our colleague responsible for this resolution is not here now. Could you please give us some time until his presence in the room to give you a brief report about the progress of his ad hoc group.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

>> CHAIR: We'll come back to Resolution 62.

Resolution 63, United Kingdom.

>> UNITED KINGDOM: Thank you, Chair.

Good evening.

We have not had a formal meeting. A number of delegates contacted me to express an interest in this resolution. I plan to make a consolidated text of the two proposals and share it with all interested Member States.

At this stage, I'm not sure if we will need a formal meeting, I will consult interested parties on the consolidated text and we can consider then if we need a formal meeting or not. At this stage though, I'm hopeful we'll be able to make good progress tomorrow. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, U.K.

Next, Resolution 77.

Mexico.

Not in the room?

>> MEXICO: Thank you, Chairman.

Currently the person in charge of this resolution is not in the room.

We do understand that they had intended to have a meeting to look at this text together, and they were still deciding on the date and time of the meeting, but we haven't yet received the latest news on that. I imagine that the text is still being discussed. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

I see the Secretariat from BDT is asking for the floor.

>> BDT SECRETARIAT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I was there for the Resolution 62 meeting. If you like, I can believe you.

>> CHAIR: Please.

>> We started to go through the document on the consolidated document and reached more or less half of the document and we're ready for consensus and we continue tomorrow after the resolution meeting and it will be posted on the screen. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Colleagues, I'm very thankful to all of you for the active engagement in the resolutions that were presented. As you can see, the work is progressing fairly well. We hope that we would be able to get the result of your discussions and deliberations as soon as possible. Our next meeting is scheduled for Monday and I do hope that by that session we would be able to have as many final consolidated documents as possible.

If there are any problems moving forward, please let us know and we'll try to engage as much as we can.

Thank you very much.

Now we're going to new resolutions, you recall that there was a draft new resolution on combating mobile telecommunication device theft. Egypt and Jordan presented their contributions, African Group and Arab Group, but we didn't have the chance to discuss these two documents.

I'm now opening the floor for discussion on these documents and any comments that you may have.

I see Germany is asking for the floor. You have the floor, sir.

>> GERMANY: Thank you, Chairman.

First on this particular resolution on the working methodology I hope that he'll have either a document to download or a list to let all of these meetings tomorrow in order to brief my head of Delegation who arrives this night. We cannot afford to come here at 7:00 and to look at the screens, we're at another hotel.

With regard to the new resolutions, there are two proposals. They are very similar. We have some remarks. In general we believe that it is a question that will exercise in ITU to a certain extent approve of an efficiency of the organization that the plenipotentiary resolution that's meant for the entire ITU, that means all the sectors, has to be replicated as a sector specific resolution and I'm referring here to resolution 189 Bussan, 2014.

With regard to the text of those proposals, we see some problem in the questions in which we had the impression, the AMI is not really considered as what it is at present because it is an industry standard and then industry solution is the only one at the moment. We have some doubts whether the wording in to the resolution is appropriate. The main difference between the two resolutions is that the proposal from the Arab Group explicitly says that the ITU-D should establish recommendations. The African proposal is more referring to reports by implementation guidelines. Later we would consider to be more appropriate for the development sector, we believe that recommendations on the method of devices which is a problem worldwide, in particular in Developing Countries and I'm a victim of this several times myself, so I know what I'm talking about, but we believe that the recommendations will be established in appropriate Study Groups as a standardization sector rather than in the development sector and the knowledge for establishing this recommendation is indeed in the standardization sector and not here in ITU-D. To sum up, we have some doubts about having this resolution at all, but every effort, we would strongly oppose that the ITU-D will establish recommendations in the method.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Germany.

United States.

>> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I want to agree with the comments made from our colleague from Germany.

We see there is a lot of duplication of the language from the resolutions of the plenipots and the WTSA. We want to make sure that we're consistent with that language when we go forward but also to have the formulation of the African telecom union and focus the efforts of the development sector on developing reports and implementation guidelines as opposed to recommendations. I think we have all had some experiences with the D sector being a good revenue for sharing the best practices and we think that this is a better way forward for it, this resolution.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, United States.
Egypt.

>> EGYPT: Regarding this proposal on theft of devices, as we're presenting the African Group, we're interested in having a record in collaboration with ITU-T standard methods so that we can really develop something valuable for the Developing Countries and also to conduct some training programs and awareness about this issue. We are not interested in driving new recommendations. Of course, we would like to avoid any duplication with efforts related to ITU-T standards.

In resolution 62, we're -- we have conducted some meetings today and we would like to continue tomorrow at 20930.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Egypt.

On this draft new resolution, as you can see, there are two contributions, one from the African Group, one from the Arab Group. I would like to suggest that either one take the lead and be in charge of coordination with those who have comments and views. Maybe Jordan, are you prepared to undertake this task?

Is Jordan in the room?

>> JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

We would be happy to meet your demands by presiding a group which would look into these two resolutions presented by both the AFCP and the Arab Group.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Jordan.

I would like to emphasize that there is a need to engage all those who have comments on this, and I'm specifically referring to Germany and United States that have already spoken and there may be others. I see we have a list.

United Arab Emirates, you have the floor.

>> UNITED ARAB EMERITES: Good evening, colleagues.

Mr. Chairman, if we look at the D Sector and if you look at the resolution 1 nothing prohibits ITU-T Sector from developing recommendations. I would like to reiterate that understanding or the nature of the recommendation sector, it is different than the T Sector. It is clearly stipulated in resolution 1, Mr. Chairman and as I said, ITU-D can develop recommendations.

Having said that, Mr. Chairman, when you look at the resolution proposed by the Arab Group it is meant that by recommendations it is with a small R, not capital R. Recommendations means not the recommendations in the sense of a commendation, no. It is a suggestion or a guidance in that nature. Not a recommendation as a document or as a standard.

As I said, our point of view on this, Mr. Chairman, that the outputs of the D Sector should be -- since this mechanism,

this vehicle is available to the D Sector, nothing harms -- we're -- we do encourage to develop recommendations in the D Sector. This is -- maybe this should be discussed in another group, not -- it is not related to the discussions on the specific resolution.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you very much, United Arab Emirates for the clarification.

My own personal suggestion is that we should avoid using words and terms that may create confusion. If that's not the intention then the way forward is to use alternative words that would achieve the same objective without creating confusion and difficulties.

Next, United Kingdom.

>> UNITED KINGDOM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

We would like to join our friends in the United States and Germany in having concerns about the proposal, particularly with the T Sector. We're concerned about the fact that the IRMIE is singled out here and expressed in negative complex, it is a very important, well-understood and reliable and dependable technology and we should not refer to it in any kind of down-beaten manner. If there is a small group forming to consider this, these two proposals, the U.K. would like to be a part of that group.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, U.K.

Next, Japan, please.

>> JAPAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

As you remember our proposal to the demonstration of the creation to include the new study in combating theft and mobile devices. It is an interesting discussion of the new resolution of the mobile devices, so we would like to join with the small group on this list distribution.

Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Japan.

Next, Sudan, please.

>> SUDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Sudan would also like to join any group that would be discussing this matter, combating mobile telecommunication device theft. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much. I suggest that all those that are interested in discussing these two draft resolutions to approach Jordan for further coordination and discussion.

The Secretariat would provide the logistics to the extent possible.

Next, we go to a draft new resolution, a role of ITU in establishing and enabling echo system for the migration, our

existing telecommunication ICT networks to next generation technologies in the Developing Countries. There's a document 19A19 from the African Group and I invite the representative of the African Group, South Africa to present this document.

>> AFRICAN GROUP: We just raised the flag on the previous matter because we wanted to be a part of the ad hoc group. Now we're mentioning that we're supposed to be presenting this. It is not a call, but we just wanted to look at that particular issue on mobile theft.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, South Africa.

There is no need to raise your flag and mention that you want to be a part of a drafting group. You only need to approach the leading administration who would be coordinating the works of that Drafting Group, Ad Hoc Group, in question.

For future resolutions, if you want to join any drafting or Ad Hoc Group, no need to raise your flag, just approach the country and administration in charge.

Now we are on drafting resolution, South African Group, 19A19, is there a focal point from African Group? I see Algeria is asking for the floor. Before I see a request from the floor from the Russian Federation.

>> RUSSIA: Before you speak, could I --

>> CHAIR: Does the Russian Federation have a point on this?

>> RUSSIA: Chairman, thank you very much. We apologize sincerely for delaying the work of your meeting. Nevertheless, statement concerns the review of the previous draft resolution.

We asked for the floor, but unfortunately did not manage to speak before the Agenda moved on. We didn't want to speak, but we have heard in this room a number of times information that in this resolution on fighting the theft of mobile devices, it is necessary to list specific technologies. This is an issue we have reviewed a number of times at the plenipotentiary and the World Conference on Stabilization and our colleagues here have always said that listing specific technologies should avoid specific solutions. We should have a broad vision, a broad approach and we should never draw attention to one specific solution or appear to give a preference to a specific solution. We simply wanted to say that if this was the logic that about we stuck to and the standardization assembly and at the plenipotentiary then in the development sector we should have exactly the same approach to the set of problems, a broader, non-specific approach.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Russia for these wise words. I ask Jordan to take into account what was mentioned by Russia in

their discussions and deliberations.

Now we go to Document 19A19, and I invite Algeria to please present this document.

>> ALGERIA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Before presenting the document, I would like to mention that Algeria belongs to the Arab Group and the African Telecommunication Group and as long as we publish the document in both groups I would like to consider the document from the Arab Group which is the updated one.

The draft new resolutions is about the role of ITU in preparing appropriate environmental system for telecommunication to enable Developing Countries to make the transition to future telecommunication technology infrastructures. We recalled the resolution 30 of WTDC14 in the role of ITU-D Sector in the implementing of the output of the WSIS action lines. We also recall Resolution 20 on non-discriminatory access to telecommunication and the telecommunication technology facilities services and related applications and we consider that the continuous changing of standards from the emergence of each new technology has a direct impact in all the Developing Countries' investment in telecommunication infrastructures. These investments depend massively on the positive impact generated by the ICT Sector on countries' economies and had we considered the smooth operation of the telecommunication resources, it is vital for telecom operators. This operation of networks requires a lot of investment and expiring lifetimes of existing equipment representing a challenge for the continued operation of networks taking into account that Developing Countries still exploit legacy technologies and they need continued maintenance.

We -- the resolve parts, we invite the ITU that could play a crucial role in ensuring smooth technological development while in Developing Countries to cover investment and infrastructure by improving trends adopted by the nations, proposing a common approach to ensure the Sustainable Development of ICT.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Algeria.

A point of clarification, are you talking about 21A28?

>> ALGERIA: I didn't get the question. Sorry?

>> CHAIR: Are you presenting document 21A28?

>> ALGERIA: Yes. Exactly document 21A28.

Thank you, Mr. Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Algeria.

Again, we have another document, 19A19 and of course the two documents appears the title, there are many similarities. Do I take it that we can one way or the other combine these two

documents or consider them together?

>> ALGERIA: Mr. Chair, we would like to consider the document from the Arab Group which is coming later in this Agenda, Mr. Chair.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Algeria.

But forsake of consistency, we also need to do something about document 19A19. I suggest that after discussion we see how many views we have and what are the views and then decide on what to do with both documents at the same time. Okay. That's good. We are moving quickly. Two documents presented at the same time.

Any comments?

Do I take it you're in agreement with adopting this drafting resolution as contained in ARB21A28? United States, you have the floor.

>> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: Thank you, Chair.

We would look forward to working with the Arab Group and the African Group on modifications and would like to provide some thoughts. I also wanted to point out, however, that there is a third document which is the last of these new resolutions in the group and that's 19A20R1. We would like a point of clarification as to what is the disposition of that document.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, United States.

Any other points on these two documents? We get to 19A20R1 subsequently. Bear with us.

Any comment on these two documents that we were just presented?

No comments.

In that case I suggest that Algeria takes the lead and consults with United States because I understand that they have some points in modifying the text. The intention is to provide us with consolidated text that would cover both documents 19A19 and 21A28 at the same time and I would propose that all those other Delegations that may have some comments please approach Algeria to convey your points.

Algeria, you agreed to do this?

>> ALGERIA: Yes, Mr. Chair. We agree to do this.

Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you.

While we're on this topic as the United States mentioned, there is document 19A20R1, the title of the draft new resolution has something related to the two draft resolutions that we already discussed. I'm asking the focal point from Africa to first present this document and also to explain any differences with the other two documents that we just considered.

Focal point from Africa?

Algeria, please.

>> ALGERIA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

We have, as already mentioned published the document with the African telecommunication union and we had later another meeting with the Arab Group which the document had gained maturity and has been revised. This the confusion on this situation. We consider, again, the document from the Arab Group as the more updated.

>> CHAIR: Okay. Thank you, Algeria, for this clarification then.

You would be in charge of 19A19, 21A28 and 19A20R1. I think that clarifies the situation, United States. I see that you're nodding.

Can we move forward? No requests for the floor. Thank you very much.

Can we consider another drafting resolution contained in document 20A9? It is connecting the next billion, new communication patterns for unserved areas. I invite the focal point from CITELE, Mexico, to present this document.

>> MEXICO: Thank you, Chairman.

Indeed, we're pleased to be able to present this proposal which we believe is particularly relevant to the region since the last WTDC and it was the last WTDC that we issued resolution 19 which addressed seeking new solutions for rural areas and some countries in the region have implemented this recommendation and they have identified the need to establish new mechanisms which are particularly effective in these areas and which would involve other types of operators, for example, small operators, not-for-profit operators, et cetera, for the benefit of these communities. This resolution establishes various activities to it be carried out by the BDT to address underserved areas through new mechanisms. This covers things such as studying new mechanisms, supporting Member States in public policies that would facilitate new policies and the pilots of new projects that would benefit different areas and also regulatory and public policy benefits that would be particularly positive in these areas.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Mexico.

Any comment?

None.

United States.

>> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

United States in general is supportive of this proposed new resolution, we, however, are not sure whether this will focus on the community networks and small and not profit operators is the

answer to provide connectivity to rural and unsolved areas, we believe that profit and nonprofit and private public entities are all key to providing affordable, reliable, robust broadband connectivity to rural and underserved areas and we'll be happy to work with our CITELE colleague to improve this.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, any other comment?

>> We really support the proposal of CITELE of this resolution for simple reason that beyond perfect, there is still areas that need alternative mechanisms to reach out to the rural connectivity and this is bombing from experience on the African continent.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you.

Any other requests for the floor? None.

Then I suggest that Mexico takes the lead and in cooperation with United States and with others and provide us with a consolidated text.

India.

>> INDIA: Thank you. Good evening to you.

I'm sorry. It is not with respect to this subject. I would like to bring to your kind consideration that we need to report to you further work of the Study Groups so we request at the appropriate time we can kindly give you that.

Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much. We'll get back to you, Mr. Babu.

Bear with us, and please stand by.

Mexico, would you undertake this? What I just asked for?

>> MEXICO: Thank you, Chairman.

We agree with the comments made, however, with regard to the comments from the Distinguished Delegate of the United States, I wish to make that there is no contradiction with the projects that have been implemented, but what we're trying to do is addressing new structures. We see that has not been sufficiently studied or looked at from a regulatory perspective. Likewise, in other areas there are resolutions relating to for example resolution 11 on rural communications which do take into account this other type of mechanisms this is worked on by governments and the ITU for a long, long time. If the United States believes that it is relevant to add something or add this point to the recommendation, we can do so. I wanted to note that to the resolution focuses on those issues that we have not -- that we believe have not been sufficiently addressed.

Thank you

>> CHAIR: Probably, Mexico, there may be a need for the two Delegations to get together and clarify this situation and come

up with probably a revised text that would be more clear and provide more information.

I encourage you to please be in charge of revising, redrafting and providing more clarification, if that's the need to move forward.

I see Spain is asking for the floor. Spain, please.

>> SPAIN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

We wish and want to thank Mexico for presenting this contribution. Mexico does have some very innovative ideas in this sector, for example the shared network model which is something that we are looking into in various countries, including my own.

With regard to this draft resolution we believe that this issue is very interesting and we would like to, if Mexico agrees, to be involved in the drafting, the new drafting of this resolution.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Spain.

You should be involved in the redrafting of this resolution. I request Mexico to take into account all the comments.

Good. Then we go to the next drafting resolution, combating counterfeit telecommunication ICT devices and eWaste from telecommunication ICT equipment. This is document 25/6 by Central African Republic. I invite Central African Republic to present this document.

Please.

>> CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

It is an honor to present this to you, this new resolution on fighting the theft of mobile device and also eWaste from telecommunication and ICT equipment. We are all aware that there's been an increase in sale and circulation of counterfeit telecommunication and ICT devices. The impacts are negative for governance operators, companies and NGOs themselves.

There's a loss of income, including state income and other negative consequences. There are also impacts for the environment, eWaste from telecommunication and ICT equipment, particularly we need to maintain a clean environment and a healthy environment. There are issues for Public Health because counterfeit products do not comply with health norms. Therefore, a proposal has been compiled for this new resolution to work with standardization bodies and their appropriate mechanisms to have unique markers to fight counterfeit products and to ensure security. This is a sector D proposal to boost the capacities of Member States above all Developing Countries to help them fight counterfeit products. I have listed negative consequences we need to fight. There is also a proposal for a

list of such equipment to help ITU-D fight the impacts of the products, cooperation between governments and individual organizations is very important. Data exchange, exchange of experience, best practices, it is also necessary to fight the negative impacts and international cooperation is also vital to introduce measures to prevent the import and sale and circulation of counterfeit products. Outreach and awareness campaigns are also necessary amongst NGO resources teaching people about the negative impacts on health, environment, so forth, also on the degradation of the services provided by operators. This resolution refers to INT16 and other text aimed at protecting the world from counterfeit ICT. Also designed to be in line with WRC14 and 15 and WSA16.

Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Next, United Arab Emirates. You have the floor, sir.

>> UNITED ARAB EMIRATES: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

We thank Central Africa for their proposal, new proposed resolution.

Mr. Chairman, if we look at the resolution, we have a resolution on counterfeit. That is resolution 79 and does include in some part of the resolution some details about eWaste.

Also if you look at resolution 66 which is on climate change there's also the issue of eWaste. That's why we would like to seek clarification, Mr. Chairman, for you for Central Africa that since we do have resolution on this issue are they proposing to suppress those resolutions and have a new resolution and they can provide us with more clarification and they don't take two resolutions on the same subject as efficient, Mr. Chairman.

They can provide us with some clarifications on this.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, United Arab Emirates.

Central African Republic, please.

>> CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC: Thank you, Chairman.

As you see in the resolution, it also handles fighting the sale of counterfeit products and eWaste so it attacks both of these problems. This is not a resolution which handles only the problem of counterfeit products.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Sweden.

>> SWEDEN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Good evening. It has been a long evening here. Maybe tomorrow.

Without going into details of the draft new resolution I

just want to make a general remark.

This draft new resolution contains six pages with a lot of references to work carried out in the other groups and existing documents and meetings within the union and within other organizations. I understand that it could be sometimes useful to contain this information in one single document, however, we believe that six pages is too much without even discussing the content yet. We would ask the proponents if all this references are really necessary in the document. The consequences of the references is that the next WTDC we have to go through the document, once again and update all the references, even if there is no change of substance in the text that we may agree later on. By adding our references in the resolutions to other documents from the Union and from other Study Groups and so on creates some sort of situation that we have to come back and revise even if it is only editorial at the next conference and that will take time as it could lose time that could be used for more important things.

As we go into the data of this text, I would also hope that we can remove all references that are not absolutely necessary in order to have a short and concise text that we hopefully will have. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Sweden. Next, Germany please.

>> GERMANY: Thank you, Chairman.

We do agree with both previous speakers but in particular the Distinguished Delegate from the United Arab Emirates.

With regard to counterfeit telecommunication devices we have resolution 79 and several proposals to amend resolution 79 by the way. It would be more appropriate if as the Central African Republic has something to add to the existing resolution 79 with regard to count of fit to bring it in this this discussion the same is, of course, true for the cited resolution on dealing with eWaste. We would be grateful for the South African Republic if they could continue this in order to make our life a lot easier and we do not believe -- I mention the duplication of resolutions between plenipot and sectors and we have the duplication of the resolution and in the sector itself, it would not give us as public recognition, we would need in order to be considered as a good partner in development activities.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Germany.

Next is Bulgaria.

>> BULGARIA: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. It is actually Brazil.

We would like to echo and align ourselves with the previous speakers regarding the duplication of text that appears on this

proposal with resolution 79.

We feel that we have to deal with two proposals, the proposal for the new resolution and the Amendments to resolution 79 together even if we're going to keep the new resolution or combine with the existing text on resolution 79 and its Amendments and the suggestion to you is to treat them together so that we can analyze the text and reach a common proposal in the Brazilian opinion. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Next is --

>> Thank you. I would like to thank the Central African Republic pour raising this important issue. We have heard wise words from my colleagues in Germany and the U.A.E. and elsewhere we should avoid duplication in the sector and should consider taking the content of this proposal in conjunction with the other proposals for Resolution 79.

Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, United Kingdom.

United States.

>> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: Thank you.

We align ourselves with U.A.E., Germany, U.K., Brazil and as to the specific subject, the content, we think this proposal from the Central African Republic, we would just note that while we -- the United States understands and shares the concerns about counterfeits and the harms it could bring and the role of the ITU-D in playing a constructive role in raising awareness of the technologies available to address this issue. We believe they should find a way that's technology neutral allowing for the creation of a range of solutions and best practices. We would just note that this particular proposal makes a specific reference to a particular technology, namely the digital architecture and while the United States does not have specific objection to DOA technology itself reference to specific technology limits consideration of all potential solutions.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, United States.

Other Delegations that have spoken on this draft new resolution by Central African Republic.

I see that there are a number of issues that needs to be properly addressed. The first, there may be duplications between the subject and content of this draft new resolution and other existing resolutions. Second, the way in which this drafting resolution was drafted. The length, the references to other existing -- to other existing resolutions and references. I would encourage Central African Republic to please take into account the comments that were mentioned and raised by many Delegations and to see whether your purpose, your objectives

could properly be met if a modification, resolution such as resolution 79 would be adequately covered.

I encourage Central African Republic to please get in touch with those who have already spoken on this and if there is a need, please try to provide enough justification for a need of another new resolution.

With this, we can go through the next document. It is document 29R1 and it was submitted by a sector member. Do we have the author of this document in the room? Yes?

NTEL, you have the floor.

>> INTEL: Thank you, Chairman.

Good evening, everyone. I am pleased to introduce this contribution on behalf of the GSA members, mobile, global mobile suppliers' organization, the GSA members, they're close to 100% of all mobile network infrastructure deployments. This provides information about the connectiveness for 5G for Developing Countries and some proposals to accelerate the 5G or IMT throughout.

This will enable the Developing Countries to get the full benefits of new technologies such as Artificial Intelligence, cloud competence, information, data analysis, more than 90% of subscribers in to the Developing Countries are using mobile broadband and it is very important to migrate the 5G successfully to obtain the full benefits of mobile broadband. 5G will provide new applications and services for the developed countries and some of the 5G applications will be much more important for the Developing Countries such as smart transportation systems, eHealth, education, agriculture, emergency and disaster relief.

According to EHS support, 5G will enable 12.33 trillion U.S. dollar global economic output. I need to underline one of the important 5G applications according to the 90% of the worst traffic accidents on the road occur in low and middle-income countries and 5G will help enable smart phones and smart vehicles to prevent this traffic accidents. Yes, our revision and proposals, explain in this contributions 5G is very crucial for the Developing Countries. In fact, it is as important for Developing Countries as it is for the developed countries and our proposals are to prioritize 5G for the next four-year cycle study and help Developing Countries. It is already privatized subject at ITU-R and ITU-T Sectors in fact. In addition to resolution 43 to reflect the importance of 5G, revision of broadband and related questions to affected partners of 5G, development of 5G in collaboration with ITU-R sector for implementation of the 5G, privatization of 5G in WTDC Buenos Aires plan and for the successful and timely implementation of 5G to rural different and global and regional activities to help

Developing Countries for the financing models, Developing Countries, the Developing Countries should assign the sufficient spectrum for 5G at low and high frequency bands and the developed countries should stop commercial 5G service from 2019 onwards and the economies are scaled for 5G equipment and services generated in the developed world should leverage for the developing world as rapid as possible as GSA members we produce most advanced global technologies, but people cannot benefit from them without your support.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Intel.

Next is Oman.

>> OMAN: Thank you, Chairman. First of all, I would like to thank the author of this document, which is very extensive and which includes many details on 5G and on the broadband and I thank the author for all of the applications.

If my brothers are in agreement, I would like to say that the loss of accommodation is not part of the mandate of the development sector. This could be part of the R Sectors.

Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Oman.

U.A.E.

>> UNITED ARAB EMIRATES: Thank you very much.

Good evening, everybody, again. I would like to thank you for submitting this document as information, the document, we have seen a number of issues being raised here which we have some concern about.

First of all, the term 5G, we don't recommend it to be used in our regulations, especially the ITU which we have already the official terms IT2020. Since this has been recommended here to be used as a 5G in this document we don't recommend that to be used this kind of word in our recommendation and resolution at the end of this conference.

However, again with our colleague from Oman, I agree that ITU-R is already working on this issue and it already has Working Group, Working Parties and is looking after the I, T2020 and their own spectrum related which we believe that the recommendation which was listed here should be addressed in ITU-R sectors which they're working on it and especially when it says it has to be provided, the specific spectrum for implementing IT2020 in other countries these kinds of things are based on radio regulations and studies going on which consequently can be allocated for IT2020 and later used by the countries as soon as possible.

Our recommendation here, one more thing also which is to say that to prepare a document about IT2020 I think one of the ITU-R Working Parties, they're working on this one which they

are working on developing a document, a publication about IT2020.

Again, as has been submitted, it is good and useful information, but I would like to request a meeting here and Committee on the conference to be aware that this is not to be taken exact as it is because it is also a contradict of where the other regulations in ITU-R.

Thank you, Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you U.A.E.

Jordan.

>> JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

As well I want to join others in thanking GSA for submitting this report. Actually we are requesting that we give a plurality in the future study period for the IMT2020 or 5G as they consider that it will be plurality for the Developing Countries. However, I think there is many important issues other than IMT2020 if you look at the radio sector and the T Sector, there is still work going on with this regard. Mr. Chair, I think as suggested from the Arab state and by Algeria, taking many of the issues that were raised from this report and everything, the issues of transit to a new model, to technologies and the impact on the Developing Countries. I think that the new resolution of the ecosystem and how we deal with these issues is more important to consider other than to focus on certain aspect. I think that the question with the further studies and that discussion, it is this can be considered only for information.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you.

Next is Argentina.

>> ARGENTINA: Thank you, Chairman.

We would like to express our thanks for this proposal, but we would also join in some of the concerns that have already been stated. While we look at the issue of 5G and IMT2020 within the Development Sector we believe it does include some issues that fall under other sectors.

We believe that we should be focusing on all of the benefits that 5G will bring to Developing Countries, et cetera. We shouldn't include elements that fall under the mandate of other sectors.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Argentina.

Saudi Arabia.

>> SAUDI ARABIA: Thank you, Chairman.

I would like to join my voice to all those who proceeded me and especially the delegate from the Emirates. I would like now, Mr. Chairman, to stress on one thing, the sector has

created a special team, a focus group, a special liaison on IMT2020. This focus group has already issued a number of many valuable documents and everybody should study these reports. We have about 8 reports that have been published and many experts have participated, many participants from the private sector from the public sector and academia have participated.

I would like to invite the Delegates of this conference and I would like to invite everybody to study these reports and I would like you to participate in the activities related to what's being done now in Study Group 13 because this Study Group is working very hard to establish for IMT it 2020 and for 5G.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Saudi Arabia.

Considering all of the discussions and all of the points, then I suggest the way forward is that we take note of this document and move forward. Do you agree with this conclusion?

I do not see any requests for the floor.

I take it that you agree with my proposal.

Thank you very much. So decided.

Time to go to resolution 45.

We have now finished output 2.1 and now we consider output 2.2, resolution 45, mechanisms for enhancing cooperation in cybersecurity, including conquering and combating spam. We have five documents.

We begin by document 21A15 from the Arab Group. I invite the focal point from the Arab Group to present this document.

Saudi Arabia.

>> SAUDI ARABIA: Thank you, Chairman.

I'm very honored on behalf of the Arab Group to introduce this document to you concerning the vision of resolution 45 related to mechanism of finance and cooperation on cybersecurity including countering and combating spam. Mr. Chairman, the updates reflect the development which occurred since the previous conference had been held and in addition to the new -- to assess the results, everybody knows our challenges for every country in this field of spam and many of these considerations are in the Arab world where we would like to invite these to think to put a code or a mechanism about this topic, everybody seems to be ready and we are ready also to take the floor and explain anything you need to understand in addition to what I said.

Thank you, sir.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Saudi Arabia.

Next is document 23A19.

RCC. I invite the focal point from RCC to present this document.

The Russian Federation. Please.

>> RUSSIA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

On behalf of the RCC we would like to present our proposal on Resolution 45. In our region we understand that the development and growth of the quality and quantity of ICT requires boosted trust on the part of users. There are serious concerns with respect to preventing crimes and other such activities, therefore we believe that it makes sense to focus on boosting trust and confidence using these technologies and this could cover various aspects of cybersecurity, cybercrime and so forth in order to boost the level of activity of the development sector in this area and also following a number of resolutions from the plenipotentiary and the Tunis Program on Information Society we propose changing the name of this resolution to mechanisms for enhancing cooperation on the building of confidence and security and the use of ICTs, including combating spam and make the corresponding modifications to the text of the resolution. In addition we propose a number of editorial changes which flow from this and given the need for study in this area.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Russian Federation.

Next is document 24A6 by European countries. I invite the United Kingdom to present this document.

>> UNITED KINGDOM: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

I have the privilege of presenting this ECP on behalf of CEPT, Resolution 45 as agreed in 2014 after a long, difficult negotiation has underpinned a great deal of important and useful work in the D Sector delivering real benefit to the developing nations. We suggest that the existing resolution provides a good basis foregoing forward and we propose only minor changes. These changes reflect some significant developments such as the WSIS+10 review and a wish to analyze and update some references. We'll be happy to discuss our proposed changes in a constructive, helpful manner and we look forward to further discussions on Resolution 24.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, United Kingdom for your very short and effective presentation of this document.

We now go to the next document, Document 30 by Brazil.

I invite the Delegation from Brazil to present this.

>> BRAZIL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Good evening to our colleagues.

Brazil would like to take this opportunity to present the contribution to the revision of Resolution 45 that addresses cybersecurity. The proposal is a resolution and will include in the resolution the new emergent technologies that impose new challenges when it comes to cybersecurity, for example IOT,

Artificial Intelligence, et cetera. We also embrace reference to the WSIS revision process and the U.N. General Assembly and to the global index which is available too.

It was also mentioned the provisions to a Director of BDT in conjunction with Question 3/2 it to collect and disseminate information regarding regulatory policies, develop and or implemented by national telecommunications regulatory authorities in this matter.

Finally we added some provisions regarding membership related to solutions to enhance privacy and data protection which are topics which are very important to our country.

Mr. Chairman, Brazil is at your disposal to provide any clarification and to discuss with the other countries our proposal in order to be of consensus in a revised and agreed text.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you, Brazil.

Our next document is document 37R1 by United States. United States, you have the floor.

>> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: Thank you, Mr. Chair, and good evening to all colleagues. We propose to maintain the existing WTCS Resolution 45 as it is appropriately crafted to continue to achieve the mandate and objectives.

We applaud the important work done under this resolution 45 on cybersecurity and combating spam. The existing version of resolution 45 as approved by WTCS14 has been and had will continue to be an effective and flexible mechanism for promoting a culture of cybersecurity by raising awareness, identifying best practices, encouraging cooperation and coordination among governments and stakeholders and developing training material. Resolution 45 has worked well over the past three years and provided an appropriate foundation for the relevant study question. Indeed the final meeting of the question 32 for the 2014 to 2017 study cycle the Rapporteur's recommended continuing to focus on key areas outlined in the terms of reference in the existing resolution 45.

For these reasons the United States proposes resolution 45 be maintained without changes.

We recognize, of course, that to the references to various ITU resolutions require editorial revisions which the WTDC17 editorial Committee will address, in closing, the U.S. notes the proposals put forward on modifications to the resolution and will look forward to participating in the discussions during this conference.

Thank you, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, United States.

Now that we have had the presentation of all the documents,

I would like to suggest that maybe we should have a leading administration or individual to coordinate with different authors of Revision 2 Resolution 45 and I would like to suggest that maybe it would be best if we could benefit from the expertise in the Delegation of Ghana to help us in this regard.

Ghana.

>> GHANA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Ghana would lead this with reference and we hope to bring you a very good outcome.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Ghana.

So I would like to again state that all those who have other comments on this, please approach my colleague from Ghana to reflect their views. The objective is to produce a consolidated document which would be satisfactory to all. I'm confident that Ghana could very ably achieve this.

Any other comment?

None? Thank you very much.

We now move to next Resolution 67, the role of the ITU Telecommunication Development Sector in Child Online Protection. We have three documents. Document 21A13, it is document by CITELE and I invite Brazil to present this document.

>> BRAZIL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Sorry. I would like to take a little bit back to regarding resolution 45. Since the question was raised as relates to the same matter, we were wondering if it is -- if it would be possible to address both resolution and the study question in the same Ad Hoc Group.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Brazil.

On other issues where we had the opportunity to discuss the sense of the meeting was that we better be not combining different matters on one Ad Hoc Group. We have already formed an Ad Hoc Group to cover all the study questions and that work has already gone forward.

My suggestion is that for this Drafting Group headed by Ghana to focus on resolution 45 and leave the questions to the Ad Hoc Group we have already formed.

I hope that this satisfies Brazil.

I see Brazil is nodding. Thank you very much.

Now we go to resolution 67 20A13, this is a document from CITELE and I invite Brazil to present this document.

>> BRAZIL: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Document 20 addendum 13 proposes the revision of resolution 67 on Child Online Protection. It includes references to the increasing number of Internet users, particularly among the young population and it also addresses important international

frameworks on this issue. It also proposes fostering frameworks for the production of statistics and indicators on Child Online Protection. The aim of this would be to foster the sharing of data among countries at the global level, and it would develop capacities within international statistic offices and other data producers.

I would also like to say, Chairman, that we have been approaching other regions who have made contributions and the same resolution and we hope to have a consensus based text as soon as possible.

Thank you, Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Brazil.

Next, Document 21A22 by the Arab Group, and I invite Sudan to present this document on behalf of the Arab Group.

Sudan, you have the floor.

>> SUDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

On behalf of the Arab Group we would like to present the revision of Resolution 67 entitled the role of telecommunication sector and Child Online Protection. Mr. Chairman, we know and we are all aware of the role of ICTs in the life of children. We want to enhance all protections and at the same time we would like to preserve the Rights of children in that right and in that regard.

We have certain paragraphs that we think should be amended, and we invite you to work regionally and globally in order to protect children online. In addition to that, Mr. Chairman, we invite to assist Developing Countries in a way that would protect children online. We have also added a paragraph where we have included a study question for the protection of children online.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Sudan.

Next is document 23A25 by RCC. I invite the focal point for this one to present this document.

You have the floor.

>> RUSSIA: Thank you, very much, Chairman.

The Kyrgyzstan Republic will present this document. I will be brief. These changes are due to the need to accelerate existing projects and expand them to regions, these include sources and guidelines all with the goal of Child Online Protection, thank you, Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Kyrgyzstan.

Any comment on these revisions to resolution 67?

United Kingdom.

>> UNITED KINGDOM: Thank you very much, Chair.

You the United Kingdom seeks further clarification in the interest of further discussion on a couple of the contributions.

The RCC contribution includes proposals on training courses and other resources that bare financial implications and in fact the contribution itself recognizes that.

We just seek further clarification on that point and further regarding the Arab regional contribution we have noted the proposal to assist Developing Countries in drawing the greatest possible attention to the problem of Child Online Protection so at first glance at first reading we find this proposal to be quite broad. We just seek further clarification on what specifically that would entail so this clarification is especially important to ensure against completion or duplication of the work being done in other areas of the ITU, including the council Working Group on Child Online Protection so we look forward to the clarification in the interest of further discussion.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, United Kingdom.

Sweden is next.

>> SWEDEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Sweden is of the view that Resolution 67 should be maintained and that the relevant references to the SDGs should be added to the resolution. We do not see the need for major changes to the resolution but would be happy to participate in the discussions.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Sweden.

Could I ask you, Sweden, to be the lead administration to coordinate the discussion on this draft resolution, on this revision to Resolution 67?

I see Romania is asking for the floor.

>> ROMANIA: Yes, Chairman. Thank you very much.

I was just wanting to support the comments made by our colleagues from the U.K. and from Sweden and we are also willing to participate in this group. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Romania.

Sweden, please.

>> SWEDEN: Mr. Chairman, we're a glad for your offer to Chair this group, however, you have to realize that we're only two persons in the Delegation and we have responsibilities for a number of issues. If you could find another Chairman, we would be pleased to support that person's work but it is difficult for us at this stage to take on the responsibility.

I'm sorry.

>> CHAIR: I understand.

Romania, would you be willing to do the job?

>> ROMANIA: Thank you for the offer. I find myself in the same situation as the colleagues from Sweden, I have to follow

many issues as we're two people in this Delegation. So maybe I would suggest somebody that has a contribution to this resolution to Chair it and we're happy to support them.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you. We understand.

Brazil? Would you accept to lead the discussions on revising resolution 67?

>> BRAZIL: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

In reality, as I said in my presentation, we have already begun informal discussions to try to come up with a common consensus-based text in order -- so I would be prepared to lead this work.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much. Thank you, Brazil. I invite all those who have any comment, any specific views to please approach my colleague in the Brazil Delegation to coordinate further discussions.

Thank you very much.

Next, resolution 69, facilitating creation of national computer incident response teams, particularly for Developing Countries and cooperation between them. It's document 21A23 by Arab Group, and I invite Sudan to present this on behalf of the Arab Group.

>> SUDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

We would like to present the revision to WTDC resolution 69, facilitating creation of national computer response teams particularly for Developing Countries and cooperation between them. We understand that we are increasingly dependent on computers and this is not the case for Developing Countries only but it applies to the whole world. However, Developing Countries are vulnerable and when it comes to this issue, therefore we have a proposed revision that would lead to the protection of the infrastructure of ICTs from challenges of cybersecurity which in its turn requires measures at the national, regional and global level in order to combat such phenomena.

In order to enhance cooperation we call upon Study Group 17 to look into the matter of exchanging -- of exchanging information with regard to cybersecurity and in order to guarantee security in a measurable way and last, Mr. Chairman, we have another paragraph which calls upon experts in order to exchange best practices in order to respond in cases of conclusive incidents.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Sudan.

Any comment? United Kingdom.

>> UNITED KINGDOM: Thank you. I'm speaking on behalf of

CPT.

We would like -- we expression thanks for raising the issue of computer incident response teams for developing nations and we believe this is very important concept and we welcome the idea of all nations having effective certs or whatever label it is that we're applying to the body, these terms are somewhat interchangeable.

Looking at the text, we have one, two comments on it. Firstly, the reference to the Study Group 17 work on CYBEX which is very valuable and important, we do wonder if in a conference resolution that's paragraph that should be a little shorter and perhaps not going quite into the detail of the measurable security states and the known assurance levels and we wonder if it would be a more effective statement if it ended after the word CYREX in brackets and that gives reference to the Study Group 17 work which is the important hook to have if had here.

Under the change proposed which is given as noted in C here, which reads that in order to protect the global telecommunication/ICT infrastructures on the second line the word coordinated appears there. First of all, it is extremely important that CCERTS cooperate with each other in many ways that's the life blood of CCERTS, the fact that there is an immense level of knowledge exchange between them and we feel that the word coordinated goes a little bit beyond that and that suggestions some external entity which is perhaps controlling the timing of actions or the order in which different CCERTS will take action, we don't think it is appropriate or the way that they operate. We would propose deleting the word coordinated.

That I think is the basis of my comments on this, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, United Kingdom.

United States, please.

>> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

The United States would also like to thank you for the proposed modification to resolution 69 and align ourselves with the comments made from our colleague from the United Kingdom about the importance of developing CCERTS around the world and his comment on collaboration and perhaps coordination implies more of a -- more of a prescriptive view to how CCERTS can collaborate. The ITU-D has done a lot of good work in this area and has a clear defined mandate related to cybersecurity and the focus should remain on sharing experiences and best practices, capacity building and coordinating with the worldwide network of partners in government industry and academia that address the development of CCERTS including the harm of incident response

and security teams and regional cooperative mechanisms like age-specific computer emergency response team among others as well as including regional organizations. We would align ourselves with the comments made by the U.K. and make some additional points.

In that vein, I'm wondering if maybe the Chair's indulgence to reconsider the notion of combining like topics into ad hoc Working Group, for example this particular resolution might fit well with the discussions that we would have on resolution 45 under the good chairmanship of our colleagues from Ghana. If you may even beg one more slight indulgence, we might also ask to revisiting of the idea of also combining question 3.2 in that discussion. We realize that the questions under resolution 2 are being dealt in a combined way, however this one is -- it sort of has a discrete topic that fits nicely with these two resolutions.

I would thank you for consideration.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, United States.
Canada.

>> CANADA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I would like to also join my colleagues in thanking the Arab Group for the presentation of this document.

Mr. Chairman, we would like to align ourselves with the intervention of the United Kingdom and United States but also with some information, Mr. Chairman, particularly the Americas region, we have a very effective and efficient organization within the area that for years has been providing technical assistance and capacity building to the countries in our hemisphere and in previous iterations of this discussion we have even had agreed with the ICT applications and cybersecurity group at the BDT to consult on any activities and programs related to CCERTS. Again, we thank our colleagues from the Arab Group and we look forward to working on the suggested changes as the intervention of the United Kingdom and the United States.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Canada.
United Kingdom.

>> UNITED KINGDOM: Thank you for giving me the floor a second time. That's kind of you.

I would like to support the proposal of the United States to perhaps take the text for question 3.2 if had the same meeting as we discussed in the cybersecurity resolutions and we would have the relevant experts in the same room and that seems as an efficient way forward. We ask your indulgence on that. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, all.

First, I would like to suggest that we request Ghana to

also coordinate the discussion on resolution 69 revision. I'm seeking the views of Ghana on this, whether you would be prepared to add this to your list of activities.

>> GHANA: Thank you, Chair.

As expressed by distinguished delegates we all want to take this up as well, accept to take this up, but with the discussions, with resolution 45. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Ghana. Sudan asked for the floor. Do you still seek to speak? Sudan, please.

>> SUDAN: Just we agree and we would like a sense of our member from the United Kingdom and United States and Canada and will join them with Ghana. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Now I would like to turn to the other points with respect to combining questions and resolutions: Of course, I'm in your hands. We have a saying in my own language that when we have more than one Cook than the food either becomes too salty or without any taste. We already have signed the mandate of study questions to a Drafting Group. I'm seeking your indulgence and agreement to stay with that decision that was made earlier by the same Committee. I see Jordan is asking for the floor.

>> JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I would like to support your opinion in that regard. We have started our work in the drafting Committee tonight and we have a number of sessions tomorrow. I think we should focus on the two resolutions at this point in time. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

United States.

>> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you for your reconsideration and your guidance on this issue.

We're happy to go along with that plan.

I would just make a request that along -- as we have heard from others, that the information be well posted for the discussion on those questions and also that they not conflict in time so that the experts may be able to participate in both discussions at the appropriate time.

Thank you again.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, United States.

As I have already mentioned, we'll do our best to avoid conflicts and to span the timing in such a way that would provide enough opportunity for colleagues to participate in different discussions.

Thank you very much.

Sweden.

>> SWEDEN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

What we have discussed the meeting schedule, I had asked the Secretariat to check what's happened on the website because

it indicates there is no meeting tomorrow when you look at the website. I assume that's not correct. I hope that it can be updated tonight because tomorrow would be too late for people to know exactly where they have to be and when they have to be there and what they're going to follow.

If we could make sure that the webpage with tomorrow's meeting is updated as soon as possible and preferably before we leave the meeting, I would appreciate that very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Sweden.

I think the Secretariat has taken note of your point and I'm sure that they would do their best to post all the schedules for tomorrow before we finish our meeting tonight. Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: I'll go to resolution 80, establishing and promoting trusted information frameworks in Developing Countries to facilitate and encourage electronic information exchanges between economic partners.

It is a CITELE document, document 20A28 and I invite Mexico to present this document.

Mexico, you have the floor.

>> MEXICO: I would like to present document 20A28 which contains a proposal for revision to resolution 80, the proposal, Chairman, on one hand considers the importance of reaffirming the impact of telecommunication policies in the development of goods and services markets and on the other hand the increase of applications which allow companies to expand their offer over various platforms and that's led to an increase in economic data transfer and we therefore propose a revision to the resolution and I will read it in English. For Developing Countries to facilitate and encourage electronic exchanges between economic partners. The Rapporteur requires the result. We have therefore made the relevant changes in order to allow the timing of the content of the resolution.

Thank you, Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Mexico.

Any comment?

No comment means that you are entirely agreeing to the proposed changes to resolution 80 as proposed by CITELE.

In that case, we do not need to have a drafting.

No comment.

Very good.

I would like to thank Mexico for their work on this revision of resolution 80 which they can quickly accept. Thank you very much.

So resolution 80 as revised by CITELE, we consider it as adopted by this Committee.

Thank you very much.

It's 9:30. We started about two hours ago. I would like to suggest a 10-minute break. We need to sort out a few administrative and logistics issues particularly with respect to what you requested with respect to the schedule of tomorrow and other things.

Please come back in 10 minutes so that we can continue.

Thank you.

(Break).

>> CHAIR: Good evening. Welcome back, colleagues.

Let's continue. We're now considering Resolution 22, alternative calling procedures on internal telecommunication networks identificational origin and appointment of revenues and a portion of revenues in providing international telecommunication services.

We have three documents, 19A8 by the African Group.

I invite the focal point from Africa to present this.

Egypt, you have the floor, sir. Please.

>> EGYPT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

It is my pleasure to present the Africa proposal for Resolution 22. The update and resolution in 22 is in context with other related revision for related resolution, Resolution 21 of the plenipotentiary concern and Resolution 29.

The main objectives of the African Group Amendments for Resolution 22 is to ensure that all forms of alternative calling procedures is considered in related to this issue and also to instruct the ITU-D Study Groups to also collaborate with the ITU-T Study Group and to avoid any form of duplication of efforts and validated steps.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Egypt. Next is document 21A9.

I invite Saudi Arabia as the focal point for the Arab Group to present this document.

You have the floor, sir.

>> SAUDI ARABIA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I would like to apologize. I would like to recall some previous resolutions. I don't know if it is the appropriate time in order to make my intervention about previous points or if you want me to postpone that. I have an observation on resolution 80 that we have discussed before the coffee break, if you allow me to do that. If it is appropriate, I can make my comments on resolution 80 or go ahead with my presentation

>> CHAIR: Saudi Arabia, I understand your point.

Let's finish consideration of resolution 22 first and then we go back to resolution 80.

Egypt.

>> EGYPT: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I would like to present the Arab State's document on the

update in Resolution 22, this presentation is similar to the one made by Africa and we still have some points about international communications, yet the proposal of the Arab States is very similar to that of the African Group so we align ourselves with them.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Egypt.

Next is document 23A13 from RCC. I invite the focal point from RCC to present this document.

Russian Federation, please.

>> RUSSIA: Thank you.

Colleagues, we are proposing a draft revision of resolution 23. In our region we propose updating the text of this resolution to bring it in line with the progress that's been achieved, including at the standardization sector and in line with the plenipotentiary resolutions adopted and the other resolutions also.

We also have tried in this effort to update the main text of the resolution, replacing the obsolete sections with more up to date texts and we have attempted to expand the resolve section by offering concrete areas of activity for to Member States and administrations and for operators, but also for our Study Groups in their joint efforts with standardization sector Study Groups on specific areas of activity.

We also propose instructing the director of the BDT, Telecommunication Development Bureau to continue to interact with other directors and we propose measures taken at the national level by Member States. This proposal is purely an invitation in nature.

Thank you very much. We'll be happy to answer any questions.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Russian Federation.

Any comments or views on Revision 2.22.

U.K., please.

>> UNITED KINGDOM: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

The CPT views the current procedures as a legitimate competitive telecom service which provides a number of benefits, prices and choice for European consumers.

We also recognize the difficulty that disposes for Developing Countries and we certainly came to participate in this discussion and work towards a solution that will be the best role.

Thank you, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, United Kingdom.

Other views?

None?

I would like to suggest that maybe Egypt could take the

lead since Egypt presented both the proposal from African Group and Arab Group which are fairly similar to coordinate with the focal point from RCC and all those who have points or comments on revising Resolution 22. I invite all those who are interested in this discussion to approach Egypt to coordinate for further discussion.

You agree? Thank you very much. We will proceed in this manner.

Before going to the next resolution there was a request for the floor by Saudi Arabia regarding the decision on Resolution 80.

Saudi Arabia, you have the floor, sir.

>> SAUDI ARABIA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I would like to apologize, once again because I'm asking you to go backwards. This being that we're quite fast in this session. I have to comment, Mr. Chair, and this comment, it is about deleting Paragraph D in Resolution 80. We would like to keep this Paragraph D, in paragraph starting being aware of. We would like to keep this Paragraph D and this is my only comment, Mr. Chair.

We hope that pulled accept it so that we can adopt the resolution in light of -- in the way it has been presented but for Paragraph D.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Saudi Arabia.

I was advised that when a decision is made by the Committee strictly from a procedure point of view it would be extremely difficult to go back to it.

We are in an atmosphere ever understanding and if the meeting is agreeable to go back to the decision that we made on resolution 80 and the request, Mexico, to take into account the point that was just raised by Saudi Arabia on behalf of the Arab Group, then, of course, we can -- I think we can manage to do it if there is no objection.

Jordan was asking for the floor -- or no longer?

Do you agree that we go back and slightly amend the decision that we took earlier on resolution 80? Jordan, you have the floor.

>> JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I think we're all working in the spirit of cooperation and I think the comment made by Saudi Arabia is to support the amendment, however, I think maintaining the text is important in supporting the existing resolution. So through you, Mr. Chairman, if Mexico would accept this proposal from Saudi Arabia, we can quickly proceed. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: So the proposal is to slightly amend the decision that we made earlier. Any objection? I do not see any

objection.

Any comments?

None?

Do I take it that you agree to revise the decision we made earlier? No objection. Mexico. And then Canada. Mexico first.

>> MEXICO: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

I would like to be able to consult with CITELE and to ask them whether or not they have any comments. If there was no opposition I see no problem being continuing with what's been suggested by the Delegation of Saudi Arabia. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Canada.

>> CANADA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

A question for clarification as it relates to procedures: If we were to agree on this we would just be making I hope an exception and not setting a precedent that once we have approved a document then the document is open for further revision.

Could I have your enlightened view on this matter, Mr. Chairman?

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Canada, for this important point that you're raising.

Of course, my understanding is that in the interest of moving forward maybe we move too quickly and in that respect, this would be definitely a single exception that we do not need to repeat.

I hope that this satisfies the concerns.

Okay. So can I take it that Mexico could consult with Saudi Arabia as the focal point for the Arab Group and others who may have some points in this revision to resolution 80 and then provide us with a revised consolidated text in due time.

I see Mexico is nodding. Thank you very much. It is so decided.

Before going to resolution 23 I would like to make a diversion and request the Chairman of the Ad Hoc Group on Study Group questions to brief us on the progress that's already been made and the future plan of work in his ad hoc.

India, you have the floor, sir.

>> INDIA: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

First and foremost, let me thank you for entrusting us with the work that's important to us. We had two sessions today. One in the morning and one in the noon. There are a lot of enthusiasm from members to contribute and include new areas which are relevant concerning the progress ICTs are making. In the first session generally we look at the work plan and Agenda considering the questions in different places and in different intentions and in a few cases there is a merger and allocation and in other cases modification.

How we look at the members, we focus only on areas of study and expected outcomes at the first point and other things like study of the situation and the timelines and, of course, the workload and due course with the members. Now we have already gone through this, I share with you for the information for the members that missed the meeting in the afternoon, the whole question on access to Cloud computing challenges and opportunities for Developing Countries orientation was made and because in a few contributions we requested U.S.A.'s leadership to build a consensus text on the textual parts including areas of study and outcomes and other matters including execution. The next question, economic policies and methods of determining the costs of services related to national telecommunication, including next generation networks making applicable for the leadership to work with the group.

The telecommunication and ICTs for remote areas, Japan offered to lead an informal group after making contributions, the last question, it was consumer information, protection, economic basis, consumer networks, please forgive me, I'm reading the information as it is available to all of us, this is related to Study Group 1 dealing with this question, Mexico agreed to have the leadership.

Accordingly, the names of leading members from these countries have been communicated in the meeting and we request members to tell us interested parties and when we come out in the meeting we come out with a reasonable text to bring forward. I want to bring it to your kind consideration, Chairman, that there were challenges to integrate the contributions from different regions, like we had a challenge with regard to Arab Group, African Group, Internet proposals, I think there was a challenge between the contribution process.

Secretariat, could you please look at them through manual work, extracting them and bringing them to the main document. Accordingly, the Document 45 had onward, they have revised revision one by including the revisions proposed by other Member States. Now, with this, we request the members because the initial plan is by evening, the countries that agreed to help with the developing text, they were going to have it ready, we thank those providing the it ex for remote and rural agencies, Japan, other areas with interests, we thank you for taking this opportunity, please approach the respective Member States as announced so that you can have text ready for the -- we can have text ready for the next session. Another thing to submit to you through you to other members. There was a request from a few members about how do they share about inputs and ideas and is there an option and the Secretariat kindly considered to work on that as an outcome we shared with the members in the next shared

meeting.

With this, I have a few requests, one, considering the volume of work, though it is good progress, we see we have a lot more to do. I know we need a few more sessions, to do the sessions we need more so that people can come back and work out the text and I request other members who have interest in this particular process the approach, with respect to leading countries so that they're available and contributing and their opinions are taken into consideration.

With this, I leave it to you with that. Thank you very much.

>> Thank you for the efforts. We do appreciate the work that you're doing. With regard to continuation of the work as you are all aware, tomorrow morning we have an ad hoc on resolution 9 and maybe it would be worthwhile to have an ad hoc continuation of the work on the study questions in the afternoon.

We have tentatively planned for this and considering the remaining amount of work, four questions, if we consider 16 to 18 # questions, it is one fourth of the work.

I suggest that maybe we should have a long session tomorrow afternoon starting maybe at 1:00 and continue onwards.

We will not have the opportunity to work on Sunday. That is removed from the possibilities.

Saturday you can work as long as you wish and Monday we have already scheduled another plenary of Committee 3 in the evening. So I just want to let you know that these are some of the other activities sometimes in parallel, sometimes in sequence with your activities and that would make us work more efficiently and more quickly arriving at the outcomes.

Again, Mr. Babu, thank you very much for your efforts. I'm thankful for the work that you have done and the work that you'll be doing subsequently.

Any comment on the work of the ad hoc group on the study questions? I see United Arab Emirates are asking for the floor.

You have the floor, sir.

>> UNITED ARAB EMIRATES: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

I thank you, Mr. Babu for all the work he's doing. I would like to highlight one thing, Mr. Chairman, we have explained in the meeting there was a document that was prepared for each question and accordingly and an informal group was requested to be carried out for each of the questions. Given that the limited sources and the many Delegations here I would not be able to follow all the activities. I would like to kindly ask Mr. Babu to take into consideration that some regions may come back to his Ad Hoc Group if they were not able to participate in the many parallel meetings that's going on with regards to the

questions.

Having said that, Mr. Chairman, I would like also to bring to your attention and the meeting's attention that the Arab Group, we do have proposals on the titles of the questions, we don't have any on the texts. However, by adding certain areas of work in the title, this means that certain text needs to be added in the text as well as questions to reflect what the Arab Group is proposing.

This would be some work and we would appreciate if such informal groups be announced also within the Ad Hoc Group and it will be very helpful for us to know who's leading the work and how we can approach them and be a part of this discussion.

I appreciate much, Mr. Chairman.

I do understand the heavy load.

I would appreciate that also because of the limitations that we have in all Delegations, different Delegations. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you Distinguished Delegate from the United Arab Emirates.

Just on the small drafting groups, I think they would be formed on the fly as the discussion evolves in the Ad Hoc Group, it may not be possible to announce in advance. As it is known I'm sure that the Secretariat would assist Mr. Babu to properly inform Delegates of what's happening. I hope that this would satisfy your point.

With regard to the proposals by the Arab Group on the titles of the questions, of course, I think that's already been taken into account and the continuation of the work would also be considered for the rest of the work.

Thank you very much.

Jordan.

>> JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair, for giving me the floor.

I'm actually seconding what the distinguished Delegate from the United Arab Emirates have mentioned. I personally participated in the first morning meeting but I couldn't participate in the inland meeting and the process, they're very much -- in case we would always establish informal group to discuss each and we'll have plenty of informal groups, how can we participate provided that the groups have revision for all the titles of the questions. How can this be considered in the discussion? I really consider that maybe we can ask Mr. Babu to think of other means of tackling the contributions.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Jordan.

I think we have to also to be realistic.

I think our group is a large group. Your proposals on the titles of the question I think you may be able to find at least

one person in different Delegations to participate in the work of this Drafting Group on Study Group questions.

At the same time, I'm requesting Mr. Babu and the group to take into account what their input was so that in case nobody is present in the room their points would also be considered. I hope this satisfies the Arab Group and Jordan.

I see Arab Group colleagues are nodding. Thank you very much.

Mr. Babu

>> Thank you, Chair. Thank you for that.

We're mindful of inputs from Arab Group representatives and Distinguished Delegate from U.A.E. and also in taking forward, but Chairman, there is a challenge here. When we form new wording each word in the title will add substantially in the study areas as an expected output. The reason we're adding a word in the title is that we want to accomplish something. Adding it merely in the title will not mean anything unless we incorporate the suitable text on what areas we want to study and the expected outcome, these are two important areas. For you, Mr. Chairman, what we appreciate is that there are many ongoing meetings and we invite the members to participate.

However, my request to Arab Group is take into consideration the new the titles of what they're proposing are modifications to the titles they're proposing, they can provide a few inputs with regard to what are the areas of study, what are the expected outcomes, if they could be shared with the respective leading informal groups perhaps this would help them and maybe then when we come back to the meeting, that time, we can show them what's been incorporated and how it is reflected in the final work because if we open with respect to the title in the Drafting Group each word will lead to new areas and that will produce text impromptu that may not be appropriate with the discussions happening on that. With my request, with the shortage of time and the enthusiasm of the members with the questions, my request, Chairman, is to request the Arab Group to provide the areas of study and expected out comes taking into consideration the words they would like to incorporate in the titles that would help all of us to work and as well it will -- they can contribute effectively for the work rather than doing the impromptu in the drafting meeting.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

I'm sure that my colleagues in the Arab Group have taken note of your point and would make every effort to provide you with the information that you asked for.

Please.

>> Once more, thank you.

I go from the U.S. Delegation that the morning session for them is continuing at 13 hours, our session starting -- it will not give a suitable break to the participants. May I request to start the question at 1330 hours, the Secretariat will accordingly locate the room.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Chairman.

Mr. Babu, we'll start your work, your Drafting Group. At 1330 tomorrow.

Ad Hoc, yes.

Ad Hoc Group on Study Group questions would start at 1330 tomorrow.

Okay. Thank you very much.

With your permission, I would like to go a little bit faster. We have a number of other documents, other resolutions to cover and resolution 23, we have four documents.

The first document 21A10 by Arab Group, and I invite the focal point from the Arab Group to present this document.

Algeria.

>> ALGERIA: Mr. Chairman, delegates, why did the Arab Group think of updating resolution 23? This is a notion that concentrates on Developing Countries. Many communications companies are doing their best to reduce the cost of Internet. It is very clear in the projects based on sea cables and on the infrastructure. Despite the trade agreements for connectivity between the various parties the costs are very high in the Arab world, especially at the expense of communication companies. It is very difficult to reach a balance between the needs of Developing Countries and developed countries. On the other hand, there are losses, big losses, and this is an increasing phenomenon when you see the activities with the communication companies because the telecommunication, it is very expensive and you have the various services through the Internet. This is why the Arab Group thinks that the non-traditional services by the internet have to be organized with Developing Countries through -- given a financial contribution for the infrastructure and help to the communication companies would reduce the cost and this would allow reaching a balance between the activities in Developing Countries and developed, and other countries. This would help us achieving the Sustainable Development, which is the main objective of this conference. On this basis we would like to have all Member States participating to think about what we said. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Next is document 23A14 from RCC. I invite the focal point to please present this document.

>> AZERBAIJAN: On behalf of the Commonwealth, we present our draft revision to WTDC Resolution 23. Given the importance

of the problem of bridging the digital divide which is a problem for almost all countries and also the regions of the ITU it makes sense for us to more strongly emphasize this problem in this document. Moreover, we propose reflecting an instruction to Study Group in the resolution, work on recommendations on 50 and others, including regulatory measures to optimize markets and reducing the costs of international Internet connections. Specific proposals are listed in the Annex for the document.

Thank you, Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you.

Next is document 24A4, CIPD countries.

I invite the United Kingdom to present this document, please.

>> UNITED KINGDOM: Apologies for the delay.

I'm pleased to present this proposal on behalf of CTP. Our proposal includes references to skills development, to education and to capacity building which we believe play a critical role in promoting Internet access in Developing Countries and we look forward to the discussions ahead.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you. Next is Document 44 by Paraguay.

>> PARAGUAY: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

Paraguay wishes to highlight the importance and relevance of 23 related to Internet access and its availability in Developing Countries in charge of Internet connections. Given the situation as a landlocked country Paraguay was suggested some modifications to the text of the resolution which allows it to be more precise in terms of the measures that could be taken to reduce the cost of accessing the Internet in landlocked Developing Countries and addresses issues that are referred to in traffic exchange points and other international rate matters. We also suggest that members foster regional and national traffic. Furthermore, we suggest that Member States foster measures at a national level to ensure that parties can have international connections and reduce the rates of connections outside of this country which would reduce transit costs for access to submarine cables for landlocked Developing Countries, like wise we propose encouraging regulators to include regulatory measures in this area and make changes to implement international regulations. We also suggest that ITU-DSG1 carries out studies related to this resolution to look at Internet -- international Internet connections and closer connection with ITU-T Study Group 3. Finally we suggest that the BDT carry out studies in national and international level.

Today, in a world in which distances and borders are no longer relevant for telecommunications, the geographic situation of landlocked Developing Countries means that they face greater

costs for access to Internet which makes their development tools and knowledge of information based society even more difficult. We invite Member States to reflect on the modifications that are proposed and we hope with the proposals of the modifications I have -- we have described that the WTDC will strengthen the provisions in resolution 23 to assist the people in landlocked Developing Countries.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Paraguay.

The floor is open for comments. Any views.

We have Mexico and Sudan.

Before we proceed, I would like to seek the agreement of the interpreters for 15 more minutes.

>> The interpreters are always ready to continue working for a few extra minutes when there is an exceptional need.

Please no more than 15 minutes.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much. We understand.

[Applause].

>> CHAIR: Colleagues, you heard that the interpretation, what they said. Please be brief to the extent possible.

Mexico.

>> MEXICO: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

We simply wanted to say that we support the proposal put forward by Paraguay and likewise the proposals by all of the regions and we would like to be included in the discussions on this resolution.

Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you.

Sudan.

>> SUDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

We take the floor to support proposals from Arab states and especially on supporting the ITU-T Study Group 3 and also I support the proposal from Paraguay in promotion of establishment of regional ICPs. Mr. Chairman, we are in Developing Countries suffering, as you know, from the high prices of connectivity and we appreciate to have those kind of regular ICTs to reduce costs since we have the local traffic being local and I appreciate if I have been within the discussion of this resolution.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Sudan.

U.K.

>> UNITED KINGDOM: Speaking on behalf of CPT, I would like to make a few short comments on the other proposals and we looked at the proposal from the Arab region which talks about non-traditional communications and we think more discussion on this would be helpful because we're not sure exactly what is meant by that term or how it is relevant to the cost of

international connectivity.

The RCC contribution raises the issue of ISPs which is a very important issue here. We need to consider how Developing Countries can have access to the best sources of supports and advice on the development and we look forward to that discussion.

Finally, we would like to support the proposals brought here by Paraguay. We think that landlocked countries face very particular challenges here and the issue of transit countries and surcharges is critically important.

We would like to support Paraguay's efforts to address that issue.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, United Kingdom.

Mali.

>> MALI: Thank you, Chairman.

Indeed this issue of international connectivity is extremely important for my country Mali.

We currently are very far from landing terminal points and our operators are, therefore, suffering. The location of international broadband connections are distanced between landing points and our country Mali means that the cost of broadband integrated in the prices has an impact on the costs of the services offered to users and that's why Mali completely endorses the various proposals that have been made by Sudan and the proposal made by Paraguay to introduce measures aimed at making the cost of international connectivity more accessible and affordable for populations. Mali would therefore support the proposal made by Paraguay and that made by Sudan.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Mali.

Canada.

>> CANADA: Thank you, Chairman.

Just very briefly to express our support to Paraguay for their contribution and to also briefly reflect on the fact of the relevance of ISPs and the work that's been undertaken by relevant organizations like the Internet Society in our region. We hope that work continues. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Canada.

Other points?

None.

Thank you very much.

Could I ask Paraguay to be the lead -- to take the lead in this revision of resolution 23 and take into account the other documents and other proposals in addition to what was mentioned to come up with the consolidated text on revising resolution 23?

Paraguay.

>> PARAGUAY: Thank you very much, Chairman.

We would be delighted to accept the responsibility.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Then I'll invite all those who have any comment or points, please approach Paraguay to reflect the points properly so that we could have a consolidated document on resolution 23.

So, this was the last document, the last resolution that I intended to cover. We will continue tomorrow with the rest of the Agenda and with your cooperation I'm confident that we can move quickly on the remaining items.

We have a few points by the Secretariat. Basically on some of the requests that was made earlier by you regarding the announcements and the logistics. Can you please brief us on the situation?

>> SECRETARIAT: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

Distinguished delegates, ladies and gentlemen, there will be several ad hoc groups tomorrow, including resolution 45 and many others which will be shown on the screen shortly starting from 9:00 a.m.

The Secretariat has coordinated with our logistics teams to identify the times in the rooms as much as we could for the very short periods of time which will appear on the screen just now. In the meanwhile, it can be also posted on our website and on the screen so that you can just follow the exact times and the resolution and the Study Group question that you can just follow.

As we have very limited also staff, as you can see, and who can act as a secretary and leading conveners with the ability to identify may proceed in ad hoc Committees while Secretariat will make every efforts as much as possible.

Please also let us know your contact to communicate if you have not done so with our colleagues and our Secretariat.

Last but not least, for your information, there will be no transfer to the delegates during the weekend. I'm sorry to announce to you that you are advised to organize your own transportation for the meetings tomorrow.

With that note, a very good evening and good night and looking forward to seeing you tomorrow morning.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you.

Before we close the meeting, Dr. Kim on Study Group questions, I don't see it on the -- I see it here.

Study Group questions?

>> SECRETARIAT: It is on the list after 45.

>> CHAIR: On Resolution 9?

>> SECRETARIAT: Move up.

>> CHAIR: Resolution 9.

>> SECRETARIAT: Can you scroll up?

>> CHAIR: Resolution 9 would be from 9:30 onwards.

If it is not on this table we would definitely amend it so that it would contain Resolution 9.

Yes, Deputy Director, please. Any comments?

>> DEPUTY DIRECTOR: Thank you, Chairman.

Our Secretariat engineers are taking every measure. The old meeting schedule, Saturday and Monday, it is published on the website. If you could access to the WTDC website and click today's meetings under the highlights, be please check this link and our meeting schedule is published on the website. That is synchronized with the content on the screen outside. I hope this is the most updated version.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> Thank you very much. Next is Sweden.

>> SWEDEN: Thank you, Chairman.

This reflects -- I was going to raise that I hope that the program will be presented on the website. Unfortunately, there is still no program on the website as far as I can see when I click -- I have been updating it several times. I think there is still work to do to make sure that the program is updated tonight so we know tomorrow morning where we have to be in the different time slots.

Please look more to this issue. I think there is still a problem with it. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you.

Côte d'Ivoire.

>> CÔTE D'IVOIRE: Thank you, Chairman.

I just wanted to ask for a clarification: Up announced that tomorrow there will be Ad Hoc Group meetings, but there will be meetings continuing our discussions from this evening. I wanted to ask you what time that will begin tomorrow, will we be continuing with the questions tomorrow, the resolutions, sorry, or will that be on Monday?

Thank you

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Côte d'Ivoire.

We would be continuing on Monday. Committee 3 will continue on Monday.

Ghana.

>> GHANA: Thank you, Chair.

Just to assure Resolution 69 of part of 45, if that could be amended of what's shown on the screen. 69 was missed and we should go with 45.

Thank you, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much. Russian Federation.

>> RUSSIA: Thank you, Chairman.

We would like to note a very inconvenient situation with

respect to Resolution 2. According to the timeline which is on the screens now from 1330 we will have a meeting of the Ad Hoc Resolution 2 on the issues and at the same time and this is reflected on the website in the administrative documents from 2 until 4:00 p.m. there will be a meeting of the Ad Hoc Group on Resolution 2 Committee 4.

I think that it is not just our administration that will have just one person responsible for each specific resolution, and so with apologies, we would humbly ask you to resolve this problem and avoid a situation where two groups are covered by one in the same resolution.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Russia.

South Africa, please.

No?

>> SOUTH AFRICA: I don't mean to intervene after Russia, I wanted to point out the same problem because they did indicate that the ad hoc Committees and groups should not clash.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you.

Portugal is the last, please.

>> PORTUGAL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Just to clarify, I'm assuming that this is an announcement on the screen, it will be published or something, just to clarify that, please.

>> CHAIR: This will be published, I think it is already available on the website. You just have to as the deputy director mentioned, you just have to click on today's meeting and you would get this document. This is a DT document and again available. If there is some technical glitches, we'll try to resolve that by the end of today.

Thank you very much.

Colleagues, it is 18 minutes past and I'm -- I would like to request that if it is absolutely necessary you would take the floor.

Mexico, please.

>> MEXICO: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

With regard to the question on resolution 80 I have consulted with the countries in CITELE in this situation and our general view is that it should be maintained as the resolution was previously adopted and we would, therefore, like to understand from you how we should proceed.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much. I think we have to stop having interpretation. I was just informed that we have gone beyond the time that interpretation could be provided to us.

If you want to continue, we can sit and continue the

discussion, but we would not be having any interpretation.

Sweden.

>> SWEDEN: Thank you, Chairman.

The schedule disappeared from the screen. If I recall from what I saw on the screen, resolution 62 was intended to meet in the afternoon tomorrow. If that's correct, on the website it is indicated on resolution 62 will meet at 8:00 tomorrow morning. I think -- I wasn't able to check the times, but at least I think you need to cross-check the information published on the website and the information that you presented on the screen to make sure -- if you scroll down to this one we should have it on the screen and look, there is a -- it is a little bit more, at 8:00 tomorrow morning, Resolution 62. I don't think that was the information presented on the previous schedule.

Please recheck and make sure that information is correct.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Sweden. We'll check.

Go ahead.

>> Thank you. Mr. Chairman, this is -- we have come far with respect to the concerns raised by our colleague from Russian Federation and South Africa. With respect to the overlap between the ad hoc of Com4 and 3 I would like to state that the first meeting of Com 4 Ad Hoc Group for Resolution 1 will start from 9:00 until 1:00 and during that meeting we recommend that the group decides in the next session of the Ad Hoc Group not to be overlapping with the Ad Hoc Group of Com 3, the first meeting for this Ad Hoc Group is from 9:00 until 1:00 as shown on the schedule you posted, and the group will decide for the second session not to be overlapping with the ad hoc for country.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, colleague, dear colleague from the Chair of Committee 4 for that information.

United Arab Emirates.

>> UNITED ARAB EMERITES: Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

Our concern was already -- I mean, the Chairman of Committee 4, he reflected on that and we do support the Russian Federation and South Africa on the proposal not to the overlap the two groups, Mr. Chairman, not to overlap with the discussion or resolution 2. We have requested this at the intersectoral informal meeting the first day of the conference. We would appreciate if that's possible to do that, but I don't want to complicate things.

If it is not possible, we do understand, but then we may come back to your meeting with our comments if we were not able to join the meeting. Please understand that if we do raise any concern during your meeting that means we were not able to participate in the many, many parallel meetings on Saturday.

Thank you so much, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much for your understanding and cooperation.

We will make every effort to -- I'm sure the Secretariat has taken note of your points.

Secretariat, please.

>> SECRETARIAT: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Just to reply to Sweden, he was right, I saw also it was different times. Resolution 62 will be after the Resolution 9 meeting. So we're planning to have it from 1:00.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

The interpretation team regrets that interpretation is no longer available.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

At this time I think we have to close the meeting and continue the discussion on Monday in Committee 3. In the meantime, Ad Hoc Groups would be conducting their businesses tomorrow.

The meeting is closed.

(Meeting concluded at 10:54).

This text is being provided in a rough draft format. Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) is provided in order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings.
