

FINISHED FILE

ITU WORLD TELECOMMUNICATION DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE 2017  
BUENOS AIRES, ARGENTINA

13 OCTOBER, 2017  
16:30 LOCAL TIME

COM 4

Services Provided By:  
Caption First, Inc.  
P.O. Box 3066  
Monument, CO 80132  
1-877-825-5234  
+001-719-482-9835  
www.captionfirst.com

\*\*\*

This text is being provided in a rough draft format.  
Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) is provided in  
order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be a  
totally verbatim record of the proceedings.

\*\*\*

>> CHAIR: Distinguished colleagues, can I request you to  
please proceed to your seats in order to start our meeting,  
please.

Good afternoon, everyone.

I would welcome you to the second session of Com 4.

If I may request the colleagues to take their seats,  
please.

Yesterday we got a good start, particularly with the  
creation of the ad hoc group for Resolution 131. I would like  
to thank you for the agreements to Chair the group and thank all  
regions for their inputs of this Ad Hoc Group and hope with all  
your efforts that the final report of this group will be ready  
to report to our Committee for plenary on Monday.

The first meeting of this group will take place this  
afternoon straight after this session from 5:35 to 6:30.

The second meeting of the Ad Hoc Group for Resolution 131  
will be held on Saturday from 9:00 a.m. to 1:00 p.m. in room  
Pacara. All of the information is readily available on the  
screens.

I thank you in advance for your support to the Chair of the  
Ad Hoc Group to conclude the work in time. Before we proceed to  
our Agenda, I would like to bring the attention of Com 4, the

notes from Com 2 requesting the Chairman of our committees to provide as soon as possible all indications and information regarding decisions, resolutions, any other conclusions of the conference that could have revenue or expenses. Keep this in mind during the operations in order for us to report to Com 2 and expected financial impact that could occur due to any resolutions that we may decide here.

I would like to bring your attention now to the time management plan.

Today we'll deal with the proposed Amendments to Resolution 81 and I would like to request from the RCC to present Document 23 Addendum 30

RCC?

May I ask the representatives of RCC to present Document 13 Addendum 30, please, if they're here with us.

You have the floor.

>> KYRGYZSTAN: We would like to present the proposal from RCC.

This is Document 13 Addendum 30, and it is proposed based on updates and developments in electronic communication systems between protectors and ITU-D administrations in to the region, particularly developing video conference telecommunications. Our proposal covers the considering section noting further and also the section under resolves.

Briefly then, with that clarification, I bring Document 4 for the Committee for its consideration.

Thank you very much, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Kyrgyzstan, for presenting this document on behalf of the RCC. I would like now to open the floor for any comments.

Czech Republic.

>> CZECH REPUBLIC: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Good afternoon to everyone.

While we think this is quite a good proposal, we don't oppose it, nevertheless, we would like to make clear this proposal in our view causes financial implications so it should be also considered within the existing budget and we also would like to stress that there should be efficiency applying the implementation of this resolution.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Czech Republic.

Any more comments?

What I would like to do is go very quickly over the Amendments in the consideration, it is very minimal. We could start in the first page.

Editorial changes. We go to the considering -- recognizing C. Is that acceptable? Okay.

We go to the second Amendments noting further.

Thank you very much.

We move to the third Amendments and the resolves. Seeing no objection.

Director of Telecommunication Bureau, Item 4.

Czech Republic, please.

>> CZECH REPUBLIC: I'm sorry. Could we return to resolves?

This is the place.

We would like to support proportionate development of regional electronic working methods in originals so that if we could add proportionate.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you, Czech Republic. If you could be more specific on where to insert proportionate.

Czech Republic, please.

>> CZECH REPUBLIC: Let me see the text. It's -- need to continue -- to continue to develop regional systems in the proportionate mode of proportionately -- so before the comma.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Is that acceptable?

Thank you very much.

We move to -- instruct the director of BDT, 4.

Thank you very much.

We go to number 9. Instruct the director of BDT.

I see no comments.

Thank you very much.

We move to instruct Telecommunication Development Advisory Group, the TDAG.

I see no comments. Thank you very much.

With that, I have taken into account the comments made by the colleague from Czech Republic. I would like to ask your approval to approve this document.

Thank you very much. The Document is approved.

Now I would like to move to Document 23 Addendum 31 by the RCC. It is a proposal of tracked new resolutions. I would like to ask the Russian Federation to present this document, please.

>> RUSSIA: Thank you, Chair.

Chair, we looked at this issue of using languages on equal footing within the development sector on several occasions, particularly in TDAG recently, the council adopted a resolution which sets out for a joint Committee on vocabulary bringing together representatives from the radio sector, the satellite sector and also there was -- it was recalled that the development sector should be represented. As a Vice-Chair and -- a Vice-Chair from each Study Group.

With this in mind, we prepared a draft new resolution which sets out for the participation of the development sector in issues of vocabulary the proposal is to have two Vice-Chairs

from each Study Group to Vice-Chair this joint Committee on vocabulary and they could also speak, the Vice-Chair also from each Study Group -- we usually have two of these, one of them could then lead this work or perhaps separately they could do this as the conference decides. We received some comments from our colleagues from the state, from the United States with the editorial and we're ready to support those and together if there are any other proposals, of course, we'll take them on board, we'll submit the material for consideration and approval. Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you for the proposal, RCC.

Now I would like to open the floor for any comments.

Brazil, please.

>> BRAZIL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Brazil would like to commend RCC and Russia for the presentation, for putting this important new resolution. We could see this afternoon the definitions and concepts are sometimes difficult to achieve and to standardize here in this room. We are in favor of having a group to define terms and concepts in a standardized way.

However, Mr. Chairman, we just would include the BDT director, one paragraph in order for the BDT to seek cost effective alternatives for translation, such as out sourcing. It is our concern that translation should be one occupation, one important thing for us, but we are also very concerned about the costs for all these works that's been put here by this resolution.

Mr. Chairman, we should take care of the cost-effective alternatives for translations as well.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Brazil.

Jordan.

>> JORDAN: Thank you, Mr. Chairperson.

I, in turn, would like to thank RCC for presenting this resolution. However, I do not know whether along with the Vice-Chair of the Study Groups, I think they have tasks to do and now when you ask them to join the works of this Committee and here we're speaking about finding the proper vocabulary and languages, we deal with all languages on equal footing because the vocabulary has to be in coordination in the D Sector as they are in both the radio sector and standardization sector.

Thank you, Chairperson.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Jordan.

ATVI, please.

>> ATVI: Thank you very much, Chair.

I would say we have Resolution 36.4 which is very similar. Now in ITU Study Group 1 we ask the question what is broadband

and then ITU-R proposed we go and find them. Why I say, I say that in the council there is a proposal to marriage the vocabulary of D, R and T because they are similar and we cannot use the same broadband with different definitions in different sectors.

So the question to Russia, how does it go with the proposal to marry the R, D, T, ICV vocabulary groups.

Thank you, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

I would like to give the floor to Russia and maybe also considering the question made by the ATDI.

Thank you very much.

>> RUSSIA: Thank you, Chair.

I would like to answer Dr. Mazar, the issue, we at the council agreed that we'll have a joint Committee on vocabulary and the CVE and the SVE have met together so that all the vocabulary can be harmonized between the two sectors so now we're talking about a single batch of vocabulary that should cover the whole ITU, including the development sector.

Should the development sector itself draw up vocabulary and definitions, that's a controversial issue I'll have you, but the development sector should apply the terminology and vocabulary that have been agreed upon and approved in development recommendations from the radio sector and standardization sector. At the same time, the development sector can raise the question about a certain item of vocabulary that's not defined and need to, therefore, together with all of the other sectors agree on this particular term.

With that in mind, we submitted our proposal. In terms of out sourcing now, I generally -- the cost effectiveness of translation, we fully support this. In our view this is a question for the relevant Department of The Secretariat because the development bureau, it can't be -- it can't translate anything in the bureau unless I'm mistaken, of course.

Thank you very much, Chair.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Russia federation for your explanations.

I see no further requests for the floor.

From the comments we have received and listened I would say there's support to have such resolution. However, there was some comments made by distinguished colleague from Brazil with request to inserting an instruct for the BDT to consider the outsource respect to the translation to make it most cost effective, the cost-effective alternatives. Also there were comments made by our distinguished colleague from Jordan with respect to the assignments of the experts based on the request of resolution or cost of resolution and whether they should be a

Vice-Chairman or maybe mandated as an expert from the conference.

With that, if there's no further comments I would like to ask the RCC to get together with those who made the comments and maybe you could accommodate some of the inputs and come back to us with an agreed text with respect to the resolution.

Thank you very much, RCC.

I have one more request. TOGO, you have the floor.

Are you requesting the floor?

>> TOGO: Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon, everyone.

TOGO fully supports this resolution submitted by RCC. However, we would like to ask a question to Mr. Mankin, we believe that there is -- there are vocabulary -- Committee for vocabulary in the T and R sectors, the CCV and the SCV and Mr. Mankin said earlier that the two Committees started to work jointly together for a while now. We believe that these are two Committees still that coexist so now in a decides -- in a resolve rather under one, the proposal is that this is a Committee 1 and Committee 2, two aspects -- two experts rather are appointed. In terms of the other Committee, the SCV, how -- where do they stand on that?

Thank you.

>> RUSSIA: To respond to the distinguished colleague from TOGO, the issue is that at the council of this year a resolution was adopted. I can't remember the number, 3086 perhaps, here they set out for a joint Committee on terminology to -- the two Committees would be included in this. The two from Radio Assembly that is and there was a point in 2015 and one from the standardization assembly which was from 2016. Now this is just a single joint Committee. In this resolution it was also written that the membership of this Committee should have two representatives from each of the Study Groups from the development sector appointed by our conference because all the Vice-Chairs or Chairs are appointed at the assembly level and so in terms of equality our Vice-Chairs should also be appointed by the conference here.

Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, RCC.

Again, I invite ITU, Legal Adviser?

>> LEGAL ADVISER: Thank you, Chairman.

I'm sorry to interrupt the discussion, but I wanted to make a clarification following on from the question raised by the Delegate of TOGO. I think that his question was in fact caused by an error in the acronym used in 3 of the resolution, in the French version, it should say in order to represent ITU-D in the CCT, that's the joint coordination Committee for terminology which was created by resolution 1386 of the council. I think

with that clarification we can respond to the concerns expressed by the Delegate of TOGO.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much. I believe that is a typo, it should be ITU CCT in results 3. So thank you for that.

I hope this clarifies for our distinguished colleague from TOGO.

So, with that, I invite Member States to get together with the RCC.

Any requests, RCC, Professor Mankin, if you could come back with the text with respect to this resolution for the approval of our Com 4 plenary.

Thank you very much.

With this agreement I would like now to move to the work area 2. It is in our time management plan.

We will start considering Resolution 2. As mentioned yesterday, Resolution 2 defines the structure of the ITU-D Study Groups and allocates the approved study questions to Study Group 1 and Study Group 2, therefore the proposals is to deal with the structure of the Study Groups now and listen to the proposal received. We will leave the final allocation of questions for our last session since by then we'll have received all of the approved Study Group questions from Committee 3 and we can finalize our work by locating them to the specific Study Groups.

Committee 3 has also during the first three sessions briefly touched upon these proposals as they also provide suggestions on how the content of the study questions should be considered.

With that, I would like now to invite ATU to present document 19 Addendum 5.

Côte d'Ivoire, please.

I know it is still green. Our colleague from Côte d'Ivoire, are waiting for -- if we can ask the technical team, please.

Could you turn it off and on again, please, Côte d'Ivoire?

There you go.

>> CÔTE D'IVOIRE: I think that it is all right now.

Thank you, Chairman.

On behalf of the Member State South Africa we would like to present the modifications proposed by the Africa region to Resolution 2.

Chairman, we need to update the information about the title, the proposed editorial Amendments in fact. Most of our modifications and Amendments pertain to the Annex.

Particularly we'll look at Annex 1 and 2, if you can indicate that -- whether or not we can continue.

>> CHAIR: I would like to present the modifications in

Annex 1 as Annex 2 have been touched from the Com 3. The client -- it is under discussion, please.

Côte d'Ivoire.

>> CÔTE D'IVOIRE: Thank you, Chairman.

Annex 1, as you're aware is about the scope of the ITU-D Study Groups. The first Study Group, Study Group 1, here with me with proposals on 1 objective enabling environment for the development of telecommunication ICTs I'll continue in English.

Modification and report to add some wording and our proposal is like that, national telecommunication policy regulatory technical, strategic development, which will have a cost benefit from testify communication ICTs including the supporting infrastructure for broadband services and Cloud computing and protection as an engine for a sustainable growth work. For this proposal we had the word supporting broadband and other words related to services. We have yet another word, which is access -- the new wording should be economy policies and therefore the determining costs of services related to access to national telecommunication/ICTs work.

This is our proposal of amendment from the African contribution regarding Study Group 1.

For Study Group 2 we had added some wording and the scope should be ICT services and applications to the promotion of Sustainable Development, cybersecurity, emergency and related services and we want to strikeout communications and Climate Change adaptations and for the bullet we have a second bullet, protection and building confidence and security in ICT. So I would add -- you replace -- we had some wording, consumer protections, and the third, we have provided and the sentence would be actually review of telecommunications/ICTs in mitigating Climate Change in countries providing telecommunications/ICTs services in emergency situation and natural disaster preparedness mitigation and relief as well as conformance and we have providing access to communication/ICT, services in emergency situations and for the fourth bullet, there is an editorial modification, we tried to strikeout electric and replace it by e and the word should be eWaste or in replace of electronic waste.

Mr. Chairman, for now on, I want to thank you for presenting our proposal of amendment from the African countries.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much for these proposals.

I would like to say coming back to the introduction of all proposals, and then we'll proceed in the way forward.

I would like to ask the states to present Document 21, Addendum 2, United Arab Emirates, please.

>> UNITED ARAB EMERITES: Thank you, Chairman.



I have the honor on behalf of the Arab States of presenting to you modifications and Amendments to Resolution 2.

Chairman, there is a modification under resolves to that each Study Group and relevant Working Groups indicated in Annex 2 to this resolution we'll study the questions adopted by this conference.

The amendment consists of two Working Parties for each Study Group, the transition from Arabic towards English has a small arrow. I mentioned working groups instead of Working Parties. We would like this to be corrected.

Under resolves 6 it says that each question with considering aspects of related to output and action plans and we would like to add action plans in line with related program, modifications to Annex one, scope of ITU-D Study Groups there are Amendments in Annex 2 as well which already have been presented a number of times in Committee 3. And in the Ad Hoc Group which handles these issues.

So I'm not going to repeat them.

Those are the proposals from the Arab States Group.

Thank you very much, sir.

>> CHAIR: I thank the Arab States for their proposal.

Now I would like to request from ACP to present Document 22, Addendum 2.

Japan, please.

>> JAPAN: Thank you, Mr. Chair.

On behalf of our members, I would like to introduce our proposal for modification to Revision 2, the Document 22 Addendum 2, Revision 1. The proposed modification to the scope of the Study Groups and the title of the existing questions is to simplify and emphasizing the need to focus on policy and regulatory issues in Study Group 1 and on technical issues in Study Group 2. We have respect between the two Study Groups that we emphasizes in WTDC14.

Our proposal is to the title and scope of each Study Groups is Study Groups 1 title is enabling direction in studying telecommunications ICT and regulation for Sustainable Development.

Study Groups 2, it is in technologies and applications in telecommunications/ICTs for Sustainable Development.

Then we have -- we don't have any modification on part of Resolution 2 and move next to resolution 2. So we have some modification that's the focus on policy and regulation issues on Study Groups 1 technology applications on Study Groups 2.

And to Resolution 2, we have listed the proposal of the question titles for next study periods and this modification is for making clear to the scope of each question and easy to understand and a more interactive question, also easy to

understand what is the question of each questions.

So these are our proposals. Thank you very much, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: I thank you for these proposals.

I now would like to request for RCC to present document 23, addendum 4. Russian Federation, please.

>> RUSSIA: Thank you, Chairman.

On behalf of the RCC we would like to introduce our proposal on resolution 2. With regard to organization and the mandate of Study Groups in terms of reference.

In accordance with the topics considered by this conference we propose linking the work of the Study Group to the corresponding Sustainable Development Goals so that Study Group 1 should be linked to Sustainable Development goal 10 reducing inequality among and amongst countries, including digital inequality and Study Group 2 should be linked to goal 11, making cities and human settlements inclusive, safe, resilient and sustainable.

In accordance with our proposal we are proposing that there be a restructuring of the Study Groups through implementing two Working Parties in each of the Study Groups, working party for first Study Group would be called working party 1.1, questions relating to the migration to broad networks and next generation networks in Developing Countries, including access through rural and remote areas and Persons with Disabilities and persons with specific needs. Working party 2.1 would be called questions relating to the creation of an enabling environment for development of telecommunications ICT and ICT applications. The Study Group 2, they would have working party 1.2 on questions related to the creation of smart, sustainable cities based on new ICTs and Working Party 2.2 questions related to health, Climate Change, environment and emergency telecommunications.

Not going into the detail of the questions because that's not the mandate of this Committee, we would like to say that we also undertook a certain restructuring of the questions in order to better balance the tasks of each one of the Study Groups because recurrent study cycle it was noted that there's a difference in the number of contributions between the Study Groups, up to 100 contributions different, a large amount. With that, I would conclude the introduction of our contribution.

Thank you, sir.

>> CHAIR: I thank you for these proposals.

I now would like to move to the second -- the next

document, I would like to ask ACP to present Document 24, Addendum 17.

Germany, please.

>> GERMANY: Thank you, Chairman.

On behalf of Europe, I have the pleasure to present the part of this document that deals with the new Committee because it covers also some issues related to a specific question.

To start with, Europe is of the opinion that policy and regulatory issues should be dealt with within the development sector. There are good reasons for this. One reason is that the development sector is a sector who disseminates and who weighs information and assists countries in implementing regulatory -- in regulation and policy.

There is another group in the development sector is organizing world class events with regard to this topic.

Unfortunately for us at least, there is a tendency in the standardization sector instead of cooperation with the development sector to have a kind of competition and it sooner or later ITU-T Study Group 3 will, in fact, discuss general telecommunication ICT regulatory and policy issues.

At present, even many contributions to this ITU-T Study Group already refers more or less to national or at least regional issues rather than to international issues.

With regard to tariffs, there was always the distinguishment between national and international tariffs and so far Study Group 1 of the development sector had a specific question on the establishment of national tariffs. We believe that this distinction does not anymore reflect the market realities, and as a consequence of this, that means that decisions of the standardization assembly and what we consider as being market realities, we did propose in the Annex 1 of resolution 2 a few Amendments with regard to the scope of ITU Study Group 1.

First thing is, that in the first indent we propose to delete the national telecommunication ICT policy and speak in general of telecommunication ICT policy and regulatory and technical and strategical strategy development. As a consequence in the ITU-T Study Group 3, the tariff issue, we propose to delete in the scope of ITU-D Study Group 1 the second indent relating to policies and methods of determining costs of services related to national telecommunication ICTs. We don't

see a large difference between determining the costs of national and international telecommunication ICTs both in our ways are interrelated. This in short is our proposal in this regard to this document to be dealt with in your Committee, Mr. Chairman.

Thank you very much.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much for these proposals. We have 10 minutes. We have two more documents.

I would like to request you to present document 31, Brazil. Brazil, please.

>> BRAZIL: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Firstly, Brazil recognizes the excellent work carried out by ITU-D questions in the current study period. However, we believe necessary to rethink the scope in view of the SDGs. Therefore, Brazil proposes a rational for the restructure of the Study Groups and proposes that to be reflected in resolution 2 focusing on improving effectiveness and optimizing resource allocation.

It is suggested that the revision of Study Group's structure cans and questions consider the discussion on ITU-D objectives and the respective outputs. It is also proposed that a rational for question allocation and Study Group structures as follows: Use of ITU-D objectives as baseline, current number of questions as a limit for each SG, Study Group, merge questions with commonalities, allocate -- sorry -- the existence and new questions in Study Groups bearing in mind the ITU-D objectives. We suggest a new Study Group structure as follows, Study Group 1, propose a title, policy and regulations and proposed Working Parties. Working Party 1/1, enabling environment, related with objective D3. Working Party 2/1, building the digital committee with inclusiveness related with objective 4. Study Group 2, propose a title, ICTs for SD, goes, proposed Working Parties 1/2, ICT infrastructure and services for the achievement of the SDGs related with objective D.12. Working Party 2/2, confidence and security of telecommunication ICTs and the disaster preparedness with response related with objective D.2. This is our proposal for the name structure of Study Groups.

Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much. Brazil.

Now I would like to ask United States to present Document 34, Revision 1.

United States, please.

>> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: Thank you very much, Mr.

Chairman.

Good evening to everyone, our colleagues.

So it is my pleasure to present to you document 34, Rev. 1 which contains the revisions to Resolution 2 that are proper to this conference by the United States.

Essentially, Mr. Chairman, what we are proposing to do is somewhat similar to our colleagues from Brazil and that is to align the Study Groups and their related questions with the objectives that are established in the strategic plan and what will be the action plan. In our proposal Study Group 1 aligns with objectives 1 and 2 and we would suggest -- Buenos Aires Action Plan -- a name related to ICT infrastructure.

In this Study Group we would discuss things like infrastructure deployment, conformance and interoperability, cybersecurity and emergency communications. Study Group 2 would be the group that would cover enabling environment and inclusive digital society and it would align with Objectives 3 and 4.

Again, we're not -- it is not whether it is Group 1 or 2 but these are general categories that we would suggest.

In addition, we are proposing to modify question 1.1, this is something that will be -- that we'll go over in Com 3, and we would also let -- many people talked about questions 1.1 and 2.1 as being highly duplicative. We are not proposing that standalone question on technology as in 2.1 from the previous session continue on. We would have technology issues studied in the appropriate questions and in their individual context.

We would handle broadband questions in conjunction with our proposal to support the AIP from the Americas region. That would separate issues of broadband in three main categories, first our AIP from the Americas region would propose a new question on wireless aspects -- I'm sorry. The last mile wireless aspects of broadband deployment strategies related to that question. For our region where we have some small island developing nations and others, the last mile is -- it is an item that we believe warrants very specific concentration.

In companion to our AIP from the Americas Region the United States also proposes a question to modify Question 1.1 and to discuss fixed wireless -- I'm sorry -- fixed -- I don't know why I keep saying that. I did that this morning. I must be tired. The fixed network, including back hall and all those related

elements.

We would also propose to move items like services and OTT into a third question, Question 3.1, which formally only talked about Cloud computing services.

So we would have in three separate questions that we anticipate would be, you know, together in the Study Group process one question a day so that in three days' time told consider all aspects of broadband.

We also propose to merge Questions 1.2 and 2.2 into a single question that would be creating the smart society and the question on eHealth and we would merge 6.2 and 8.2 into a single question addressing ICTs and Climate Change and strategies and policies for the proper disposal or reuse of ICT waste.

Finally we propose like many others to suppress question 9.2. This is a question that covered issues of interest in ITU-T and ITU-D that's in the interest of Developing Countries. We're proposing to suppress this question, but not to reduce intersectoral exchange. We believe that ITU-D should continue to take advantage of the existing resources to facilitate that exchange through the liaison statements as we have done in the previous sector.

If you are able to look at the chart, Table 1 in our contribution, you can see kind of a snapshot view of how we would arrange the individual questions and so you would see that we don't have a standalone question on technology, we don't have a question on the intersectoral ITU-D and ITU-R and that our Study Groups are organized according to the objectives that we're going to adopt here.

With that, thank you, Mr. Chairman.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, United States, for these proposals.

After listening to all these proposals, there are a lot of different ideas on how to structure the ITU-D Study Groups and I would like to propose that we create an Ad Hoc Group which will be tasked to work in the main body of resolution 2 and the scope of the Study Groups and how they could be structured and later on they will address Annex 2 once they receive the questions from Committee 3.

I would like to seek your approval for the creation of this Ad Hoc Group.

Thank you very much.

If you agree, I would like to propose that our Com 4 Vice-Chairman, who will Chair this Ad Hoc Group. Would

you accept him for this role? May I ask also a request that you accept this mandate to be with this Ad Hoc Group?

Please.

>> Thank you, Chair.

I would like to express or I would like to say that I happily accept your proposal.

Thank you very much.

Thank you very much.

The Ad Hoc Group, with the help of the Secretariat will meet outside the hours of Com 4, the proposal that the Ad Hoc Group will meet tomorrow afternoon from 2:00 to 6:00 in room B on the fifth floor.

Thank you very much.

We look forward from the Ad Hoc Group to come up with an agreed text on Monday.

With that, may I ask the interpreters for 10 more minutes please, if that's acceptable.

>> 10 more minutes is acceptable.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Jordan, you have the floor.

>> JORDAN: Thank you, Chair.

I asked for the floor to say that although we are calling for the question of an Ad Hoc Group the outcomes of the work by this Committee should be submitted on Tuesday next, and as you know, Chair, Monday -- on Monday we have a few meetings that will be held and you have given this Ad Hoc Group four hours on Saturday and only that. If this group could conclude its work on Saturday, should it meet on Sunday as well? We need to know this as soon as possible. If this group is meeting on Monday then that will be too late for them to submit the outcomes of its work on Tuesday.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: The progress of the work in tomorrow's meeting, if the Ad Hoc Group decides to convene on Sunday with the coordination with the Secretariat it will be reflected on the screens. Of course, we expect the Ad Hoc Group to report back to us on Monday in terms of the resolution and the Annex 1 which deals with the scope of the Study Groups.

Germany, please.

>> GERMANY: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

This is a short intervention.

I look at D online, the compilation document and if I'm not mistaken our ACP/24A17/1, it is not reflected in this document. Similar problem by the way that we have

in the other Committees, it is not a single event here. We know that our contribution is not left by everybody, but it is relatively strange that it doesn't appear in the compilation documents for Com 3 and not in the compilation documents for Com 4. I would ask kindly to have a revision of the document before the group starts to work.

Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much.

Apologies for this and indeed all the proposals and all other proposals will be there before Com 4.

With that, I see United States requesting the floor. United States, please.

>> UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: A quick question: The progression of the work for this Ad Hoc Group for tomorrow, they will be provisionally -- I guess it will be just -- we'll determine the scope, the mandate of the questions? I guess my question really is what is the relationship between the work that's going on in the group that's doing the study questions and this one? How do you envision that would proceed? If you can guide us, thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, United States.

The Ad Hoc Group which is established just now for this Resolution 2 in Com 4 will address the main body of the resolution which is the one page and the Annex 1, which is the scope of the Study Groups and Annex 2, the questions, they'll receive from Com 3 the questions and cluster it in Study Group 1 or 2 in Annex 2. Annex 3, with respect to Chair and Vice-Chair, we will deal with it based on the output of the other Delegation meeting which will take place next week on Wednesday.

I hope this is clarified.

Thank you very much.

You have the floor.

>> BAHRAIN: I want to comment on the point that my colleague from Germany just stated. Indeed, document D9 does not include the comments as my colleague from Germany stated, but there is a revision, Rev 1 of this document that's published, I just checked it online and it does include all of the contributions. I believe that document DL1Rev1 has all of the necessary comments on this resolution. Thank you.

>> CHAIR: Thank you very much, Bahrain, for this data and clarification.

Indeed, I had received accounts from the Secretariat that it is Rev 1 for DL/9.



With that, it I thank you all for the constructive discussion today and enjoy the rest of your afternoon and evening.

Thank you very much. The meeting is closed.

(Meeting adjourned at 17:45)

>> SECRETARIAT: Nigeria is asking heads of Delegations plus one to a reception taking place this evening from 6:00 in the foyer on the second floor.

Thank you very much.

\*\*\*

This text is being provided in a rough draft format. Communication Access Realtime Translation (CART) is provided in order to facilitate communication accessibility and may not be a totally verbatim record of the proceedings.

\*\*\*