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1 Introduction

This paper, as part of the ITU LET’S ROAM THE WORLD Initiative launched in 2015 by Mr. Brahima Sanou, Director of the International Telecommunication Union Development Bureau (ITU-D)\(^1\), presents Building Blocks for Strategic Guidelines on International Mobile Roaming (IMR).

The issue of high IMR service prices at the national, regional and international level is recognized as an increasingly important issue, with national regulatory authorities (NRAs) and policy makers looking for appropriate regulatory and policy solutions. Discussions are not only focusing on just voice or data roaming, or on international trade-related principles (e.g. Most Favored Nation principles under the GATS Agreement), but also addressing changes in revenue streams, usage and traffic, new business models as well as new opportunities and innovative measures on roaming for Machine-to-Machine (M2M) communications and the Internet of Things (IoT). The issue is still evolving and policy makers and regulators will continue to seek solutions.

Although demand for IMR services and actual usage are increasing, this does not always translate into higher roaming revenues for Mobile Network Operators (MNOs). There is a need to balance business innovation, on one hand, and revenues, on the one hand, while seeking lower roaming tariffs for consumers. Business models and revenue streams are changing and technology brings new solutions for consumers. This presents challenges to policy makers and regulators.

The treatment of possible roaming alternatives such as OTT services (e.g. Skype, WhatsApp, Line, etc.), raises particular issues given that such services are becoming a tool for consumers to have access to more affordable means of communication while also raising regulatory challenges in terms of quality of service (QoS), consistency of customers’ experience known as quality of experience (QoE), availability of free Wi-Fi, network performance, etc. Furthermore, IoT and M2M roaming also differs from traditional consumer voice and data roaming services and raises particular regulatory issues and consumer protection concerns. Considering that changing roaming business models and regulatory innovations will bring direct benefits to consumers as well as business, internationally harmonized principles and guidelines can help foster innovative roaming solutions at the global, regional and national levels.

From an international perspective, information and knowledge exchange for ITU members around the world is key to building capacity and creating the necessary enabling environment for this challenging issue.

Given the international nature of roaming, stakeholders should work together at the regional and international level or through bilateral/multilateral country agreements to address structural measures in the IMR market, regulatory price measures, as well as to ensure transparency, and to permit NRAs to monitor price development in the IMR markets, including sharing of information.

The aim of these Building Blocks is to provide a basis for discussion for stakeholders with the aim of improving the delivery of IMR services for the benefit of consumers, reduce what are generally perceived as high mobile roaming retail prices and enhance efficiency and transparency of retail roaming prices and services. By addressing future issues such as IoT and M2M, a basis for discussion on future challenges has also been proposed.

2 Methodology

The main objective of the LET'S ROAM THE WORLD Initiative is to provide a neutral platform to exchange experiences and best practices among ITU Membership (see full list of stakeholders in Annex 3 and Figure 1). The initiative is designed to encourage constructive discussion on existing as well as future regulatory issues on International Mobile Roaming (see figure 2).

Recognizing the importance of collaboration among all stakeholders involved in IMR, the BDT Director convened a first workshop for all stakeholders on 18 September 2015.

Bearing in mind that different regional and international associations as well as private sector associations had already developed regional guidelines, a First IMR Consultation Meeting was held in Geneva from 15 to 16 September 2016, targeting these organizations. The objective of this first consultation was to facilitate collaboration with this first group of stakeholders to gather information from the respective regions and draft a first version of the building blocks. The main output of this first document is a consolidated set of IMR Strategic Guidelines for regulators, on the one hand, and the private sector, on the other.
Following the guidance given by stakeholders during the First Consultation Meeting, the BDT secretariat consolidated inputs from RAs, international organizations, consumer associations and private sector associations to develop the first draft of the **Building Blocks of IMR Strategic Guidelines**. Various other resources were also leveraged in the process, including available guidelines applied by different regional associations and tools, recommendations, materials and research previously developed by ITU. The report and guidelines take into account IMR regional initiatives, identifying common denominators.

In addition, an extensive consultation process was carried out in order to build the basis for consensus. The first draft of IMR Strategic Guidelines was shared electronically with this first group of stakeholders for a first round of comments in December 2016. Comments from several associations were received and integrated. A second round of comments was sought in March 2017 and the inputs received were integrated to improve the draft set of guidelines.

This report contains the latest version of the draft IMR Strategic Guidelines, presented here as a discussion paper together with an overview, in annex 2, of the key regulatory issues to be considered and a summary of existing regional and international initiatives.

**Next Steps**

The BDT Director will organize a Second Consultation Meeting inviting all stakeholders in September 2017 to enrich discussions and aim to reach consensus on common measures for IMR at the national, regional and global level, to be presented at the High Level Round Table to be held in October 2017 at the World Telecommunication Development Conference.
3 International Mobile Roaming Strategic Guidelines

Recognizing the importance of collaboration among stakeholders in IMR, ITU, in its role as facilitator of expert information exchange, has drafted **Building Blocks for IMR Strategic Guidelines**. The aim of these Building Blocks is to provide a basis for discussion and to create a common understanding of the complexities of the issues and to foster solutions as defined in a common set of Strategic Guidelines.

The Guidelines have been structured in terms of what is most relevant for National Regulatory Authorities (NRAs), on the one hand, and for Mobile Network Operators (MNOs) and Service Providers, on the other, with special focus on consumer protection, as described in Figure 3.

![Figure 3: Building Blocks for IMR Strategic Guidelines - Structure](image)

Source: ITU

### 3.1 Guidelines for National Regulatory Authorities (NRAs)

In the context of bilateral, multilateral and regional initiatives:

**General principles:**

- Roaming regulation at regional level should aim to maximize social benefits and harmonize national policies without unduly jeopardizing MNO’s revenues, while accommodating essential national requirements and differences\(^2\);
- Bilateral, multilateral and regional initiatives shall provide for third party membership on condition that participating countries are legally able to ensure full compliance with all the requirements of the framework;

---

\(^2\) ONA In East Africa – lessons to be learned.
- Bilateral, multilateral and regional initiatives shall encourage co-operation with other regions or sub-regions through treaties and agreements; and

- To ensure that mobile roaming grows the real economy, and in the particular instance where the IMR regulations being studies at the moment is still between neighbouring countries, regions should take into account policy initiatives, travelling levels, bilateral traders, geographic issues and cost of travel when defining a roaming area in bilateral, multilateral and regional initiatives; as well as to consider the GATS principle of the most favoured nation (MFN).

Legal Mandate:

- Bilateral, multilateral and regional initiatives shall clearly define the responsibility of NRAs, including the methodologies to be followed and the data requirements for monitoring developments in IMR markets and ensure that developments in the market, in particular price changes, are monitored on a regular basis;

- Bilateral, multilateral and regional initiatives, in defining roaming regulation, should ensure that it shall be sustainable, and provide for regular monitoring and evaluation and revision, as appropriate; and

- Bilateral, multilateral and regional initiatives shall provide NRAs with the mandate and legal authority at regional level to:
  o Obtain/collect data, such as those on retail and wholesale costs and prices, for IMR services;
  o Enforce measures to monitor development in the IMR markets retail and wholesale prices, and
  o Enter into agreements, including the sharing of information, with counterparts in other countries or at the regional level. Such information could be made anonymous wherever possible (at global levels) to avoid breach of any commercial issues between the operators.

Taxation:

- In the regional context, work in coordination with Ministries of Finance, to promote that all surcharges on international incoming calls and other revenue raising taxes by countries must be retracted, at least on calls originating within the countries integrating the bilateral or regional initiative. It is necessary to evolve towards schemes that allow to reduce the high tax burden on roaming services and to avoid double taxation.

Pricing Regulation:

- Bilateral, multilateral and regional initiatives shall ensure that prices for all roaming services are not less than underlying actual costs;

- Bilateral, multilateral and regional initiatives may consider structural measures in addition to any price regulation, such as, unbundling IMR services from domestic services and allowing market entry to foreign MVNOs to interconnect with local MNOs;

- Bilateral, multilateral and regional initiatives shall consider follow-up in terms of structural measures which would allow for the development of IMR services as separate unbundled services; and

- Bilateral, multilateral and regional initiatives shall distinguish between measures applicable to either wholesale price regulation or to both wholesale and retail prices and the time frame for price reductions. It is strongly recommended that price regulation be applied at both
retail and wholesale levels in order to avoid any abuse of power by MNOs when setting price caps on retail prices.

**In the context of national markets:**

**General Principles:**

- NRAs should apply and promote the application of the ITU International Telecommunication Regulations (ITR), the ITU Recommendations and the Regional Association recommendations of their jurisdiction;
- NRAs should promote/participate in bilateral and multilateral, as well as in regional initiatives/agreements;
- If IMR regulation is applied, NRAs are encouraged to provide credible evidence that roaming regulations will lead to more economic and social integration or greater use of the service;
- NRAs are encouraged to take steps to remove any unjustifiable obstacles which may limit the availability of substitutes to IMR for voice, messaging and data services and the ability of these services to compete in traditional mobile roaming markets;
- NRAs are encouraged to apply ITU-T recommendations D-98 (Charging in international mobile roaming service) and D.97 (Methodological principles for determining international mobile roaming rates) and use the ITU International Mobile Roaming (IMR) Cost Analysis Tool to set and benchmark wholesale and retail prices;
- NRAs shall increase the technical and human capacity of the staff dealing with IMR tariff and regulation issues; and
- NRAs are encouraged to investigate solutions regarding Internet of Thing (IoT) and Machine2Machine (M2M) services in order to promote measures to apply permanent roaming services, and the application of specific prices and conditions for IoT traffic.

**Competition Principles:**

- NRAs are encouraged to improve competition in the IMR market in particular by facilitating market entry of MVNOs and by ensuring that they can interconnect on reasonable terms with MNOs for the purpose of offering roaming services on fair, affordable and reasonable conditions; prices for roaming services must be transparent, fair and non-discriminatory;
- NRAs and policy makers, taking into account specific national or regional conditions, should encourage harmonization of IMR policies and regulations and may introduce regulatory

---

3 SAMENA council at the ITU IMR Consultation Meeting
(www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Regulatory-Market/Pages/Events2016/IMR_Consultation/home.aspx)


5 [http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-D.97-201610-I](http://www.itu.int/rec/T-REC-D.97-201610-I)

6 The ITU International Mobile Roaming Cost Analysis Tool is a tool designed to forecast the cost of international mobile roaming compared to domestic mobile. It was developed in the framework of ITU-T Study Group 3. This tool is based on the 2015 ITU-T Guide for NRAs on IMR cost analysis, available at: [www.itu.int/net4/roamingtool/](http://www.itu.int/net4/roamingtool/).
interventions on IMR service tariffs for the benefit of consumers by encouraging competition. Possible interventions may include a range of regulatory measures such as: to enhance mobile consumer awareness, alerts, bill caps, tariff caps and pre-selection⁷;

- NRAs are encouraged to liberalize international gateways, where appropriate;
- NRAs can play an important role in improving IMR competition by ensuring that MNOs maintain the principle of network neutrality and discourage blocking the use of VoIP and other messaging applications on smartphones.

Pricing Principles and Regulation:

- NRAs shall promote fair and transparent pricing of IMR services and ensure that consumers are paying for only the services used (e.g. wholesale and retail IMR services per second or Kb for data);
- NRAs shall promote that prices for IMR services should be cost based and not be too excessive in comparison with prices charged for the same services at national level;
- NRAs shall consider that roaming regulation not to lead to MNOs incurring losses on the provision of roaming services, recognizing different cost basis for roaming services⁸;
- NRAs shall collect wholesale and retail cost and price data in order to benchmark progress in reducing prices. NRAs need to have the authority to collect information on wholesale IMR rates charged by their national operators to foreign operators, including Inter Operators Tariffs (IOTs);
- NRAs should encourage discussions with the private sector about the transparency of IOTs to inform future or current regulatory proceedings in relation to these services;
- If NRAs determine that market dynamics are insufficient to produce reasonably competitive wholesale prices, they are encouraged to regulate wholesale roaming prices, including by reaching bi- or multilateral agreements, as appropriate, and/or through the introduction of price caps based on commonly established principles. As a last resort, implement retail price regulation to protect customers from paying excessive prices for using roaming services⁹.

Consumer Protection:

- NRAs are encouraged to promote transparency of information provided to customers by international roaming providers regarding tariffs and use of roaming services¹⁰; Information should be accessible to customers with special needs;

---


⁸ SAMENA council at the ITU IMR Consultation Meeting (www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Regulatory-Market/Pages/Events2016/IMR_Consultation/home.aspx)

⁹ Based from OECD, 16 February 20102, Recommendation of the Council on International Mobile Roaming Services, Paris 2012

- NRAs are encouraged to require MNOs to provide data roaming customers with information on the risk of automatic and uncontrolled data roaming connections and downloads; including, where available and required, specific solutions for cross border roaming and explanations about how to switch off these connections\textsuperscript{11};
- NRAs are encouraged to require MNOs to provide customers living near borders with specific solutions to avoid cross border roaming;
- NRAs are encouraged to define measures that all customers are entitled to receive a free personalized automatic message providing basic roaming information and prices when the customer enters the visited country;
- NRAs are encouraged to advise customers about IMR in general or have a webpage on their websites, if possible, dedicated for provision of IMR-related information and advices to consumers,\textsuperscript{12} including where available, hyperlinks to the web pages of individual operators dedicated for IMR-related information;
- NRAs should encourage international roaming providers to provide customers with special plans in order to agree financial limits, beyond which voice and data roaming transmission would be stopped, unless the customer follows an indicated procedure and personalised notifications when data roaming services have reached a certain proportion of an agreed financial limit;
- NRAs should require MNOs to provide customers with information on emergency services for visited country;
- NRAs should have clear process/procedures to resolve disputes between MNOs and customers.

**3.2 Guidelines for Mobile Network Operators (MNO) / Service Providers**

**General Principles:**

- MNO should ensure the application of the ITU International Telecommunication Regulations (ITR), the ITU recommendations and the Regional Association recommendations of their jurisdiction;
- MNO should maintain the quality of service (QoS) parameters and standards for roaming services at least equivalent to those prescribed by their NRA and provided at the national level;
- MNO are encouraged to improve the current information exchange systems to allow shorten the timing for exchange of information between operators to avoid fraud\textsuperscript{13};

\textsuperscript{11} Idem.


- Subject to national legislations and regulations, MNO are encouraged to follow network neutrality principles by not blocking the use of VoIP and other messaging applications on smartphones.

Pricing:
- MNO are encouraged to use an IMR price structures do not affect consumers by paying for services which are not rendered/used, such as retail IMR services per second or Kb for data;
- MNO should share wholesale and retail data with the NRA in order to demonstrate progress in price reduction and allow the NRA to assess the national market;
- MNO should maintain, as possible, transparency vis-a-vis NRAs of Inter Operators Tariffs (IOTs) and commercial agreements;
- MNO are encouraged to implement solutions for the provision of Internet of Things (IoT) services, including specific wholesale roaming charges and conditions;
- MNO are recommended to develop packages integrating competitive national and IMR rates for voice and data services.

Consumer Protection:
- Any roaming network connection should be established with the consumer’s consent;
- MNOs are recommended to provide clear, accurate and easy to understand information on IMR services to customers, including by informing subscribers of different charging structures for IMR tariffs compared to national services;
- Before their departure, consumers should be encouraged to obtain information from their MNO on the detailed information of IMR charges applicable to their visited countries;
- Customers should be reminded that they may manually select the designated network under the “manual” mode of the network selection when travelling in the visited country, as well as on how to deactivate all or some IMR services;
- Unless they have opted not to receive such information, all customers are entitled to receive an automatic message providing basic roaming information including the roaming charges applied in the currency of the home bill when the customer enters the visited country;
- Customers should be informed by SMS whether there would be any difference in charges in the currency of the home bill for voice and data services among different mobile networks in the visited country;
- MNO should provide a free phone number from which the customers can obtain more detailed personalised information on voice calls, SMS, or data roaming services (including MMS) and prices;
- Where a customer contacts their home operator requesting further detailed information, the operator should ensure that the information on the prices of roaming voice calls, SMS and data services for that customer is immediately available in the currency of the home bill, regard-less of the time of day. If using automated machines to comply with this, the home operator should ensure that the customer can access the required personalised information speedily and easily;14

14 Adapted from BEREC Guidelines.
– In the visited country, customers must have the possibility of accessing the emergency services by dialling a free of charge number, this information should be provided by the local operator when the customer connect its network;

– To avoid cross boarder or inadvertent roaming, MNO should inform by SMS customers, free of charge, that they are roaming and the prices for voice and data services. MNO are encouraged to work to minimize the negative effects produced by cross border or inadvertent roaming, and to create special plans of prices for the customers living in these areas; In any case, mobile operators should inform their customers about the measures for preventing inadvertent roaming (e.g. the manual selection of the operator when using the device near the border);

– MNOs should facilitate a timely and easy to use settlement of consumer complaints free of charge. NRAs could have access to this data.
Annex 1 – Key IMR Regulatory Issues

1.1 Looking to the Future: Internet of Things (IoT) and Machine-to-Machine (M2M) – a challenge to IMR or an opportunity for all?

The Internet of Things is changing the quality of life for customers and offering real opportunities for business. IoT has the potential to enhance affordable communications and is influencing the provision and use of ICTs across the sectors, including health, transport, agriculture, security, education, as well as logistics, invoicing, sales, manufacturing, etc. IoT also provides a new ecosystem for the development of the Apps economy. Although this ecosystem basically depends on mobile networks, it has different characteristics in the areas of ICT service provision and charging models required to deliver IoT services.

The Internet of Things (IoT) has been defined in ITU Recommendation ITU-T Y.2060 (06/2012) as a global infrastructure for the information society, enabling advanced services by interconnecting (physical and virtual) things based on existing and evolving interoperable information and communication technologies. ITU-T Study Group 20 on IoT and its applications including smart cities and communities (SC&C) is working on the development of frameworks and roadmaps for the harmonized and coordinated development of IoT, including M2M communications, ubiquitous sensor networks and smart sustainable cities and communities. This work includes the development of frameworks and requirements for infrastructure and services, as well as guidelines, methodologies and best practices related to standards to help cities (including rural areas and villages) deliver services using the IoT.

At present, no specific regulations exist with regards to IoT and IMR. A 2016 BEREC Report provides that this issue should be assessed whether and, if so, to what extent the existing rules which were primarily construed for voice telephony can be applied to IoT and M2M communications or not. In view of the Digital Single Market (DSM) review, BEREC considers that special treatment of IoT services and/or M2M communication is necessary for the following areas:

- Roaming;
- Switching;
- Number portability.

For BEREC the key issues regarding the regulatory situation of IoT services when based on mobile connectivity involving international roaming are in particular:

- whether these types of services are under the scope of the Roaming Regulation and, furthermore,
- whether the Roaming Regulation applies when the connectivity is provided based on permanent roaming.

---


According to BEREC, despite the fact that the above-mentioned reports do not explicitly refer to IoT services, it is clear that a connectivity service is always essential for the provision of IoT services. When that connectivity service consists of public mobile connectivity for a roaming device, this service should fall within the scope of the roaming regulatory framework, regardless of the parties affected by the connectivity contractual obligations. Therefore the main regulatory measures regarding roaming are generally considered applicable to the mobile connectivity service’s underlying IoT services, implying that any IoT service provider/mobile operator benefits from the roaming access right as well as from the price caps. MNOs, on the other hand, benefit from the right to refuse access requests on the basis of objective criteria.

In addition, another important issue is whether it is “permanent” or “periodic” roaming. In the case of permanent roaming, the connected device is sold outside the country of production but uses a Subscriber identity module (SIM) with an International Mobile Subscriber Identity (IMSI) of the country of production (e.g. cars, e-readers). It is noted that the provisions do not differentiate between person-to-person communications and M2M communications and do not foresee any special treatment for IoT communications. In the light of the fast developing nature and diversity/solutions of IoT services/business models as well as different permanent roaming scenarios the scope and application of the European Roaming Regulation is indeed questionable. Against this background a case-by-case evaluation and legal interpretation should take any specific (technical) details and parameters of the respective IoT service in consideration in light of the purpose of the European Roaming Regulation. However, any case-by-case approach carries legal uncertainty. Therefore, further clarification in the European Roaming Regulation and/or in a Commission Communication with regard to permanent roaming in the IoT context might be helpful.18

In January 2017 the European Commission (EC) launched a public consultation on Building the European Data Economy19. This consultation closed on 26 April and results will be published one month later. The objective of this consultation was to collect information on different issues addressing data localisation restrictions, portability, interoperability and standards. It will also treat the emerging IoT issues, robotics and machine-generated data.

IoT could also be interesting for operators in the provision of roaming services. They can address this by implementing, for instance, intelligent roaming solutions, such as real-time roaming detection, targeted offers, customer segmentation, in order to gain a stronger position to retain subscribers and benefit from their share of the expanding roaming market and IoT market. Since roaming is an established industry with the business relationships and underpinning technologies already in place, tapping into these revenues could be an evolution of current practices. By leveraging real-time data intelligence tools/applications, operators could provide subscribers individualised pricing offers that could be guided by usage patterns. These customized pricing offers could encourage consumers to use their mobile devices anywhere they travel.20

1.2 Price Regulation

Price regulation is not very effective if carried out unilaterally by one country since the only regulated prices concern national operators’ retail margin imposed on wholesale roaming charges for national clients when roaming internationally or wholesale charges imposed by national operators on foreign

18 Idem.
20 www.iot-now.com/2015/04/24/32449-before-the-internet-of-things-there-was-roaming-the-importance-of-getting-the-basics-right/
operators entering into roaming agreements. Bilateral and regional agreements are more effective in lowering IMR prices where there is agreement by two or more member states and where NRAs have the legal authority and empowerment to implement.

In addition, there are several transnational challenges that characterize international roaming, such as different policies and regulation in countries in the same region. According to the experiences from EU regulation an essential premise for lower retail roaming prices is a mutual/common wholesale price regulation covering more than one country.

Figure 4, based on the ITU Tariff Policies Survey, shows the number of countries that are applying IMR regulation in the different regions targeting retail prices. It is important to note that countries by region corresponds to the BDT list of country distribution\(^\text{21}\).

![Figure 4: Number of countries that regulate IMR prices by Region](figure4.png)

Source: ITU Tariff Policies database 2016, ICTEye (www.itu.int/net4/itu-d/icteye/)
Note: number of responses received for the survey by region in 2016: Africa 22; Arab States 9; Asia and Pacific 13; CIS 6; Europe 35; Americas 22.

Although prices may decrease temporarily, price regulation should only be an interim measure. In the long term, sustainable competition in the IMR market is required if prices are to achieve efficient levels. Without the development of effective competition in the IMR market there is a risk that there will be a continued, long-term, need for price regulation which is not a desirable outcome.\(^\text{22}\) NRAs should have access to information on existing IMR retail and wholesale prices as well as relating to desired prices. Unfortunately, no database exists with this type of information and most commercial agreements between operators concerning Inter-Operators Tariffs (IOT) are considered by them as confidential.

Figure 5 illustrates how price cap is a more common regulatory approach in Europe, whereas regions such as the Arab States, the CIS countries and the Americas are combining such regulatory measures with the Benchmarking of tariffs.


1.3 Taxation

The issue of taxation is becoming more and more relevant with respect to IMR. Taxation, and especially double taxation, generally inflates consumers’ prices. This situation not only affects consumers with higher prices, but also operators and government revenues.

During the last ITU IMR Consultation Meeting, Regional Regulatory Associations as well as Operators Associations highlighted the need for action to address the issue of taxation issues and define solutions to avoid double taxation. The price of international roaming services in Latin American countries, for example, is between approximately 35% and 60% more expensive than a price without taxes due to double taxation between VAT and other sectorial taxes.

The issue is that the problem continues and substantially increases the price of roaming services, especially considering the different range of taxes across countries. In addition, many countries charge other local or specific taxes, such as state taxes, sector specific taxes, environmental taxes which further increase final prices.

The EU has adopted a directive which provides that from 1 January 2015, value-added tax on telecommunication services provided by a supplier located in EU will be charged in the jurisdiction

---

where the customer belongs\textsuperscript{24}. In addition, Paragraph 8.3 of the International Telecommunication Regulations (ITRs) states:

"8.3.1 Where, in accordance with the national law of a country, a fiscal tax is levied on collection charges for international telecommunication services, this tax shall normally be collected only in respect of international services billed to customers in that country, unless other arrangements are made to meet special circumstances."\textsuperscript{25}

Government and operators, at national level, together with regional regulatory associations should address the issue in their respective countries/regions and work on the creation of mechanisms or structural measures to limit the effects of double taxation on IMR services and introduce uniform roaming service pricing with uniform taxing rules. Furthermore, in setting any relevant price caps, whether on retail or wholesale levels, appropriate and related taxes should be taken into consideration by the NRAs when setting such caps.

\textbf{1.4 IMR Market Competition}

The current structure of the IMR market does encourage long-term competition. For customers, it is often difficult to compare mobile roaming prices when they enter into a contract with a national mobile operator when their prime concern is generally the relative cost of the domestic package, and mobile roaming services are part of the bundle offered by the mobile operator. When arriving in a visited country, customers do not always have the choice to select the less expensive international mobile roaming operator, as they are not necessarily informed about the prices they are charging until they are connected and receive a message informing them of the level of charges.\textsuperscript{26} At present it is often impossible for consumers to compare roaming prices when leaving their home country and arriving in a visited country.

Changes in the market have helped in lowering prices, for example, the expansion of MNOs with wide cross-border footprints has brought some improvements in certain regions, but such expansions are not global. A recent example is in Central America, where MNOs decided by themselves to provide domestic rates in all countries where they have a footprint, for voice and data services (see section 4.2 Regional and Multi-Countries Initiatives). Other measures that have helped to reduce prices is traffic routing. This has allowed MNOs to negotiate better wholesale IOT agreements.

Recognizing that excessive regulation in this market is not recommended, there are a number of structural solutions that could improve IMR market competition, including\textsuperscript{27}:

- To allow market entry in national markets to MVNOs or resellers, including MVNOs that may limit their service provision to the provision of IMR services whether these services are targeted at nationals of that country, visitors to that country or both.


\textsuperscript{25} \url{http://www.itu.int/en/wcit-12/Pages/itrs.aspx}

\textsuperscript{26} ITU Study on International Mobile Roaming Services: Facilitating competition and protecting users, 2013. \url{www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Regulatory-Market/Pages/Roaming_info.aspx}

\textsuperscript{27} Idem.
• To allow the liberalization of international gateways to facilitate the transmission and reception of international calls, if not already liberalized.

These structural solutions may require some kind of price regulation and close monitoring of market developments by NRAs in order to decide when it would be appropriate to reduce or remove any regulatory obligations. Market players should be treated in a transparent, non-discriminatory and proportional way with no undue burdens imposed on them. Of course, the implementation of any type of solution or procedure would need close collaboration between all stakeholders through national, bilateral, multilateral and regional initiatives at the global level.

On the other hand, it is important to consider the GATS principle of the most favoured nation (MFN). MFN means treating one’s trading partners equally on the principle of non-discrimination. Under GATS, if a country allows foreign competition in a sector, equal opportunities in that sector should be given to service providers from all other WTO members. In the framework of bilateral/multilateral country agreements, to consider structural measures in the IMR market could be a delicate issue, if the countries not participating in the agreement be subject to different conditions. In this sense, Roaming agreements can be part of a substantial sectoral coverage trade agreement (Article V of GATS).

1.5 Consumer Protection

Government policy and regulation in the telecommunication/ICT sector has been focused mainly on service provision, market competition and other aspects such as universal access, interconnection, licensing, price regulation, etc. In order to provide consumers with access and services in an efficient and affordable manner. However, the participation of consumers is becoming more and more active, not only because they “use” the services, but also because they can also have an active involvement. As “informed consumers” they could exert their ability to choose between service providers, and this is very important to encourage operators to innovate, improve quality and compete.

The main objective of the International Mobile Roaming Building Blocks for Strategic Guidelines (this guideline) is to empower consumers and allow them to gain access to the necessary information, via transparency of prices and greater awareness, which allows them to become “informed consumers”. This would enable customers to better manage their consumption of roaming services, take the necessary measures to avoid inadvertent charges, and choose the best offer when roaming internationally. In addition, all stakeholders should work together to improve transparency of prices, to provide consumers with more awareness about the prices that they may face when travelling outside their home country.

It is also very important to facilitate a timely and user friendly consumer complaint process. NRAs could make use of the complaint system information as an indicator showing the IMR market evolution and performance of service providers.

As a reference, ITU approved in September 2012 the Recommendation ITU-T D.98, which was also further enhanced in 2016 with Recommendation ITU-T D.97. Section 4 of the D.98 Recommendation

28 https://www.wto.org/english/thewto_e/whatis_e/tif_e/agrm6_e.htm. (This applies even if the country has made no specific commitment to provide foreign companies access to its markets under the WTO.)

29 See also article by Michael H. Ryan “The Application of the GATS to Bilateral Arrangements for the Reduction of International Mobile Roaming Charges”: http://files.arnoldporter.com/application%20of%20the%20gats%20to%20bilateral%20arrangements%20for%20the%20red uction%20of%20international%20mobile%20roaming%20charges ( europe_12573280_1).pdf.

contains principles for lowering IMR rates including empowering consumers. The main aspects relating to consumer protection are highlighted in Box 1.

**Box 1: Excerpt from ITU Recommendation D.98 Charging in International Mobile Roaming Service**

Recommendation ITU-T D.98 proposes measures to empower consumers to benefit from efficient competition and regulation so that they have the information and transparency to take appropriate actions; to identify measures for improving the way the market works; and proposals for regulatory actions, which may include measures to lower rates.

Empowering consumers:

1. Transparent information on IMR retail rates and structure before users roam internationally;
2. Usage alerts when users start to roam;
3. Warning alert when a certain cost has incurred;
4. Roaming cost caps;
5. Special user protection measures for inadvertent roaming in border regions;
6. User choice of visiting network.

Source: ITU Recommendation ITU-T D.98, Charging in International Mobile Roaming Service

---

31 Idem.
Annex 2 – Overview of Existing Regional and International IMR Initiatives

IMR tariffs remain high, with the cost structure of roaming services being seen as a primary driver of roaming tariffs. Changing market structures with for example the entrance of MVNOs, the introduction of price packages or offers has led to more competition and decreasing prices over time.

The roaming market and any regulation thereof is very complex with multiple impacts and implications and requires a thorough understanding of retail and wholesale cost structures, network elements, cost components involved and international commercial agreements between operators related to Inter-Operator Tariffs (IOT). For operators in particular, data collection requires a deep understanding of different underlying (billing) systems as well as cost components and structures involved, complex relationships, dynamics and commercial sensitivity of the information, alongside the need for regulators to have the right legal powers to obtain information.

A particular challenge many NRAs face is one of collecting data across countries to enable them to analyze wholesale markets in those countries. However, according to the ITU Tariff Policies Survey, NRAs are becoming more and more active in collecting information on retail and wholesale prices for the different roaming services offered by operators. Figure 6 below illustrates how voice, SMS and Data services are monitored for both retail and wholesale, with almost one-third of NRAs world-wide collecting such information.

Figure 6: Data collection by NRAs on retail and wholesale roaming rates by region, 2016

Given that the evolution of roaming rates is a complex process and may involve multiple jurisdictions there is no “one-fits-all-off-the-shelf” solution. One regulatory initiative in a specific country could significantly affect national operators of this country in the commercial relations with operators of
other countries. Many NRAs, regional regulatory associations and international organizations have either taken regulatory actions or made recommendations on how to increase transparency for consumers when they are roaming internationally and to assist them limiting charges incurred. Many MNOs have also reacted to the increased consumers’ concern with regard to high IMR prices by improving the information available on their websites, trying to be more transparent. Many activities and initiatives are being done around the world to try to find a solution on IMR high prices, most of these are available at the ITU IMR Resources Portal).

This chapter presents an overview of tools that Regional Regulatory Associations have adopted as well ITU recommendations.

2.1 ITU Activities on Roaming

The revised International Telecommunication Regulations (ITR) provide that “Member States shall foster measures to ensure that authorized operating agencies provide free-of-charge, transparent, up-to-date and accurate information to end users on international telecommunication services, including international roaming prices and the associated relevant conditions, in a timely manner.

Within this context, ITU has defined a number of tools, including:

- ITU has developed a series of studies and tools containing best practices and guidelines to support regulators in their strategy to reduce the prices of roaming and enabling competition in this market, which are available on the ITU International Mobile Roaming (IMR) Resources Portal.

- ITU has developed the ITU National Regulatory Authority (NRA) Technical Guide and the ITU Online Cost Analysis Tool developed in the framework of the ITU-T Study Group 3 activities on economic and policy issues. ITU-T approved in September 2012 Recommendation ITU-T D.98 on “Charging in International Mobile Roaming Service”37. This recommendation contains principles for lowering IMR rates including empowering consumers, market-based solutions and regulatory intervention. The main references from this Recommendation relating to consumer protection are highlighted in Box 1. In addition, and as mentioned before there is the new ITU-T recommendation ITU-T D.9738 on “Methodological principles for determining international mobile roaming rates” that proposes a possible approach to the

---

33 www.itu.int/en/wcict-12/Pages/overview.aspx - the ITRs that were reviewed during the 2012 World Conference on International Telecommunications (WCIT), serve as the binding global treaty designed to facilitate international interconnection and interoperability of information and communication services, as well as ensuring their efficiency and widespread public usefulness and availability.
35 www.itu.int/en/ITU-D/Regulatory-Market/Pages/Roaming_info.aspx - This is a one-stop portal platform for stakeholders to access publications and information on activities undertaken by ITU, other regional and international organizations and roaming initiatives at national, bilateral, and regional level;
36 www.itu.int/net4/roamingtool/
37 www.itu.int/en/ITU-T/studygroups/com03/Pages/results.aspx
38 www.itu.int/ITU-T/workprog/wp_item.aspx?isn=10399
reduction of excessive roaming rates, highlights the need to encourage competition in the roaming market, educate consumers and consider appropriate regulatory actions such as the introduction of caps on roaming rates.

2.2 Regional and Multi-Countries Initiatives - summary

**European Union (EU)**

**Box 2: EU Roaming charges ended on 15 June 2017**

Roaming charges ended on 15 June 2017. Europeans travelling within the EU countries can Roam Like at Home and pay domestic prices for roaming calls, SMS and data irrespective of where they are travelling.

Phone calls, SMS and going online with their mobile device from another EU country will be covered in the national bundle. The minutes of calls, SMS and megabytes of data that a person consumes abroad (within the EU) will be charged the same as at home. People will not have bill shocks anymore.

If a person has unlimited calls and SMS, they will get unlimited calls and SMS when roaming in the EU. However if a person has unlimited mobile data or very cheap mobile data at home, his operator may apply a safeguard (fair use) limit on data use while roaming. If so, the operator will have to inform the customer in advance about such a limit and alert them when they reach this limit. The EU rules ensure that such a roaming data limit should cover the normal usage patterns of most travelers. If a person reaches the limit, they can continue to use data roaming for a very small fee: up to 7.7€/GB + VAT, which is 6.5 times less than before 15 June 2017 and 25 times less than before that.

Background

Following the adoption of the 2015 Regulation, the European Commission (EC) in November 2015 launched a public consultation on roaming to gather input for the wholesale roaming review, and looking for views on the fair use policy and on sustainability of roaming. The consultation aimed to gather input for the wholesale roaming review and the preparation of implementing acts that set out detailed rules on fair use policy and on the methodology for assessing the sustainability for individual operators of abolishing retail roaming surcharges. The overall objective laid down by the EU legislators in Regulation 2015/2120 (the "Telecoms Single Market" TSM Regulation) is to enable the sustainable abolition of retail roaming charges (roam-like-at-home or RLAH) by 15 June 2017.

Several initiatives pre-dated this consultation:

- The European Commission's first rules to address overcharging in roaming prices came in 2007, with the "Eurotariff" applying to all consumers, unless they opt for special packages offered by operators. The Eurotariff capped maximum prices for phone calls made and received while abroad. These rules have since been periodically reviewed and reformed, with further reductions in price caps and automatic protections against data roaming bill shocks.

- On 11 September 2013, the European Commission adopted a legislative package for a "Connected Continent: Building a Telecoms Single Market" aimed at building a connected, competitive continent and enabling sustainable digital jobs and industries.

---

In October 2015 the European Parliament’s plenary voted in favour of ending roaming charges by June 2017. The decision provided that consumers will pay the same price for calls, texts and mobile data wherever they are travelling in the EU. As a result, from April 2016, operators will only be able to charge a small additional amount to domestic prices up to €0.05 per minute of call made, €0.02 per SMS sent, and €0.05 per MB of data (excl. VAT).

The Commission services published a revised draft of the rules needed to avoid abuses of the end of roaming charges in time for June 2017. This follows on from discussions in the College of Commissioners held on 21 September 2016 during which Commissioners endorsed a new approach to the fair use principle and agreed that there should be no limits in terms of timing or volume imposed on consumers when using their mobile devices abroad in the EU. At the same time, the new approach provides a solid safeguard mechanism for operators against potential abuses.

Considering these EU roaming rules, the cost of making and receiving calls abroad within the EU was at that time substantially cheaper than in 2007, when the EU first started to tackle excessive roaming charges. The propose price caps for June 2017 are presented in figure 7.

**Figure 7: The EU maximum tariffs (excluding VAT) for calls, texts and downloading data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service</th>
<th>1 July 2014</th>
<th>30 April 2016**</th>
<th>15 June 2017***</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Outgoing voice calls (per minute)</td>
<td>€0.19</td>
<td>domestic price + up to €0.05</td>
<td>no extra roaming fee, same as domestic price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incoming voice calls (per minute)</td>
<td>€0.05</td>
<td>€0.0114</td>
<td>no extra roaming fee, same as domestic price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outgoing texts (per SMS message)</td>
<td>€0.06</td>
<td>domestic price + up to €0.02</td>
<td>no extra roaming fee, same as domestic price</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Online (data download, per MB*)</td>
<td>€0.20</td>
<td>domestic price + up to €0.05</td>
<td>no extra roaming fee, same as domestic price</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The tariff is per Megabyte to download data or browse the Internet whilst travelling abroad (charged per Kilobyte used).
**From 30 April 2016 onwards, the roaming fee equal to domestic price + €0.05 may not exceed €0.19 for voice and €0.20 for data. The roaming fee equal to domestic price + €0.02 may not exceed €0.06 for SMS.
***When travelling in the EU


These price caps are the maximum permissible prices. Operators and service provider are free to offer cheaper rates, so be on the lookout for better deals. EU Member States’ national telecoms regulators must ensure that mobile phone operators comply with the new rules on data roaming and the lower prices of voice calls. Consumers can contact the national regulator in the Member State where their mobile operator is based if they have any problems or questions about the new limits.

---
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The European Commission formally adopted a roaming fair use policy on the 15 December 2016. This draft regulation proposal is in relation with the implementing regulation laying down detailed rules on the application of fair use policy and on the methodology for assessing the sustainability of the abolition of retail roaming surcharges and on the application to be submitted by a roaming provider for the purposes of that assessment. BEREC is currently updating the general guidelines taking into account this new part of the Regulation43.

The review of the wholesale roaming markets is undertaken by the EU Commission as set out in the Roaming Regulation. BEREC provided its valuable inputs to the EC public consultation to the wholesale roaming market review and published a wholesale market report in the first quarter of 2016.

As described in Box 2, Roaming charges ended on 15 June 2017 in the EU Countries. Europeans travelling within the EU countries can Roam Like at Home and pay domestic prices for roaming calls, SMS and data. A very small number of operators in the EU have been allowed by the national telecoms regulator to continue applying a small roaming surcharge after 15 June, in order to avoid negative effects on very low domestic prices. Such surcharges will be significantly lower than the ones applied before 15 June 2017.

Body of European Regulators for Electronic Communications (BEREC)44

Box 3: Intention of the BEREC Guidelines BEREC guidelines related to wholesale issues include an explanation on how to calculate the average wholesale charges for voice, SMS and data roaming which are subject to the maximum wholesale charges set out in the EU Regulation. This clarifies uncertainties that may arise for calculating the maximum charging intervals with regard to retail voice and data as well as wholesale voice, SMS and data roaming services45.

Price regulation has resulted in a decrease in roaming prices of about 80 to 90% between 2007 and 2015. However, non-regulated alternative roaming packages remain above the EU regulated price cap.

BEREC has revised and presented an update of the BEREC Guidelines on the Third Roaming Regulation, following the adoption of the Telecom Single Market (TSM) Regulation,46 which included amendments to the Roaming Regulation 531/2012. It is important to note that BEREC does not issue legal provisions, this is the responsibility of the EU Commission. BEREC advises the European Commission and gives guidance to stakeholders about how to apply the legal provisions, therefore contributing to a harmonized implementation of the regulation in the European Economic Area (EEA). These BEREC guidelines also help NRAs when monitoring the application of the legal provisions and in their procedures. It should be noted that the BEREC guidelines are not legally binding but NRAs have to take utmost account of it.


44 BEREC assists the European Commission and the national regulatory authorities (NRAs) in implementing the EU regulatory framework for electronic communications.


This revision is considered necessary as the Third Roaming Regulation, as amended by the TSM Regulation, incorporates substantial changes to the existing provisions and leads to significant changes to the current roaming regime. The changes in this update of the BEREC Guidelines relate to the implementation of the transitional regime, where operators from 30 April 2016 until 14 June 2017 are only allowed to charge the domestic price plus a surcharge that shall not exceed the maximum wholesale charges for roaming services.

**Figure 8: Provisions and deadlines of the new Roaming Regulation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deadline</th>
<th>Provision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 Apr 2016</td>
<td>Implementing act on weighted average of maximum mobile termination rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 Apr 2016</td>
<td>Transitional period enters into force</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 May 2016</td>
<td>EC Report and appropriate legislative proposal on wholesale market review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 May 2016</td>
<td>Implementing acts on the application of the fair use policy and the methodology for assessing the sustainability of the abolition of retail roaming surcharges</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 June 2017</td>
<td>RLAH with fair use and sustainability clause (provided that legislative act is applicable)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: BEREC

In the transitional period from 30 April 2016 to 14 June 2017 - Roam Like at Home (RLAH+) the roaming providers may apply a surcharge in addition to the domestic retail price for the provision of regulated retail roaming services. Any surcharge applied shall not exceed the maximum current wholesale charges for the provision of the service. In the case of received calls it shall not exceed the weighted average of maximum mobile termination rates (MTR) across the EU as indicated in the Implementing.

The EC carried out a wholesale market review that, in June 2016, confirmed that the RLAH rules will be applicable from 15 June 2017 if by that date, the legislative act is adopted and applicable. The study provided with a new wholesale caps proposal as follows:

- Voice: €c 4 (-20%)
- SMS: €c 1 (-50%)
- Data: €c 0,85 (-83%)
Figure 9: Results from the wholesale market review

Significant structural differences exist between all the Member States, such as different MTRs and imbalanced roaming traffic. This could affect in the introduction of RLAH on a sustainable basis, as well as the potential impact of RLAH on operator’s revenues, and their ability to recover their costs. 47

In October 2016, BEREC also published the “BEREC input to the European Commission Implementing Act on fair use policy and sustainability of the abolition of retail roaming surcharges”48. This paper presents an overall assessment of the impacts of RLAH, a summary of the main elements of the proposals of the EC for the Fair Use Policy (FUP) and the sustainability mechanism shared with BEREC, as well as BEREC’s assessment of the EC proposals, including:

- Risks related to wholesale charges – in relation with the risks arising from too low wholesale cap and too high wholesale charges.
- The application of the Fair Use Policy (FUP).
- Sustainability Mechanisms - to recover by operators the overall actual and projected costs from the overall actual and projected revenues from the provision of regulated retail roaming services.

Associação de Reguladores de Comunicações e Telecomunicações da Comunidade dos Países de Língua Portuguesa (ARCTEL-CPLP)

Box 4: ARCTEL Plan of Action
ARCTEL has developed a plan of action calling for the involvement of operators, on a voluntary basis as well as national regulatory authorities (NRAs). Member States adopted a Statement endorsed by the

---


Comunidade dos Países de Língua Portuguesa (CPLP) supporting this plan of action.

The ARCTEL Working Group on Roaming is:
- Monitoring intra-CPLP Member States Roaming fees (retail and wholesale);
- Monitoring user’s complaints;
- Assessing International Laws, including under the WTO framework.

ARCTEL-CPLP is an association of regulators in Portuguese speaking countries that facilitates and enhances the sharing and exchange of information and knowledge among the various national regulatory authorities (NRAs), with the aim of contributing to the development of their communications markets and the sector as a whole. According to the memorandum of understanding of ARCTEL-CPLP, it seeks to:
- Promote the exchange of information between members on regulation of communications markets and the sector;
- Promote the adoption of best practices and harmonization of regulation in the communications sector;
- Develop studies and adopt positions on issues of common interest;
- Analyze, coordinate and defend the interests of the national regulatory authorities (NRAs) of the Portuguese language, create and defend common positions in international forums, within the framework of their respective competences;
- Promote the exchange of employees and technicians of the different NRAs, as well as the institutional visits among its members;
- Analyze the issues relevant to the development and universalization of communications services;
- Promote contacts and cooperation with other organizations.

Today, there are approximately 300 million telecommunication/ICT consumers within the ARCTEL countries as well as a high level of movement of people in terms of travel and immigration between these countries, with some Portuguese speaking countries having more people living abroad than in their country of origin. International Mobile Roaming (IMR) between these countries is essential for consumers and an opportunity for business.

ARCTEL is working on the implementation of an action plan on IMR, taking into consideration the following elements:
- Geographical distance between ARCTEL Member States;
- Different market power of operators in the various countries;
- Different purchasing power of consumers in the various countries;
- Differences in the international traffic flows between countries and operators; and
- Differences in regulatory approaches and level of national political support.

The 2016 ARCTEL Ministerial Meeting recognized the need to promote the concept of “Comunidade dos Países de Língua Portuguesa CPLP Market” as a distinctive brand for Portuguese speaking countries, and adopted a Digital Agenda for the Community of Portuguese-Speaking Countries, which includes International Mobile Roaming as a key issue.

Southern African Development Community (SADC)

Box 5: Recommendations Third Study: SADC Home And Away Roaming - Wholesale and Retail Roaming Regulation
The tariff data for mobile voice, messaging and mobile data services for roaming services in the SADC region show that roaming prices are significantly higher than domestic national mobile service tariffs. One SADC MNO has voice revenue
per minute for domestic calls at 1.0 cost units compared to roaming at 2.79 while SMS revenue per message if taken at a domestic rate of 1.0 implies a roaming rate 2.56, so the roaming markup for voice is 179 percent, and for SMS is 156 percent. Another MNO has roaming voice revenues which are 7 times the incurred roaming costs, and SMS roaming revenues which are 52 times the incurred cost. High markups are also evident when comparing IOTs with preferred partners, compared to the IOTs with non-preferred partners, which can differ by a factor of 30. Clearly, given such high margins between national prices and roaming prices, any lowering of roaming prices will provide important welfare benefits to residential and business subscribers in the SADC region.

The report does not recommend implementing a ‘Roam Like a Local’ (RLAL) framework, in that it imposes high adjustment costs on mobile operators, a complex administrative burden for NRAs and lacks transparency for mobile subscribers and may not be always in their best interests. An alternative framework, is ‘Roam like at home’ (RLAH). Unlike RLAL, where roaming prices are linked to the prices of the visited country and roaming prices vary according to the country visited, in a R Lah framework, prices are linked to the subscriber’s home prices and roaming prices are the same irrespective of the country visited. Thus the report recommends a Roam Like at Home framework, which over time would be replaced by a regulatory framework based on analysing the actual cost base of mobile roaming charges. Also, the report also puts forward an appropriate glide-path which can be used to set reductions in both retail roaming prices and wholesale roaming charges which allows for immediate price reductions followed by step by step reductions in wholesale and retail prices as these are adjusted toward cost. Such a glidepath provides MNOs ample adjustment time while providing subscribers with immediate benefits.

Intervention by regulators to counter overcharging for IMR using this combined approach necessitates an understanding by NRAs of the real MNO cost-basis for roaming – both wholesale and retail. In practice a simple form of forensic accounting is needed to analyse the IMR process cost structure and its concomitant activities at an international level. To affect both the national MNO costs and those within the international wholesale IOTs also requires international regulatory co-operation – most probably among a regional group of NRAs – firstly across the SADC – then perhaps further overseas, involving trading partners.

The proposed guidelines presented need to be adopted by all CRASA members across the SADC Region in order to establish an effective regional framework which can reduce mobile roaming prices. This is because any countermeasures, by their international nature, must be multi-country across all of the Region. Hence appropriate regulation may be necessary in all SADC countries, in order to put in place a series of incremental price caps - just as the EU has followed in its series of limits on roaming tariffs, implemented in progressive fashion since 2007 for voice, data and SMS.

Implementing such a ‘glidepath’ towards zero additional roaming charges demands that a realistic estimate is found for the target increment of the additional cost of roaming above the domestic tariff. This might be considered as a maximum limit – which is the modus operandi of the mechanism used by the EU - with progressively reducing caps on roaming charges, approaches the cost of roaming added to the domestic retail tariff, with competition operating on tariffs beneath the maximum limit.

However, to introduce a common roaming market in the SADC region, through price caps, a transition phase may be useful. The concept of ‘Roam Like at Home’ (RLAH) with domestic retail level pricing used for retail roaming prices is proposed. RLAH provides a more consumer friendly framework which is simpler to regulate and to implement. This would be an interim introductory phase, before applying full cost-based price caps on maximum roaming prices are introduced, as shown below:

![A two-phase transition to cost based roaming](image)

**Source:** Southern African Development Community (SADC)

### Background and context

The Southern African Development Community (SADC) Roaming project’s main aim is to provide an enabling environment for provision of affordable and competitive mobile roaming service in the
Region. The project emanated from the concerns raised by the SADC Ministers Responsible for Telecommunications, Postal and ICTs in July 2007 in regards to non-affordable roaming services in the Region. SADC established the Regional Alliance Task Team (RATT) on SADC Home and Away Roaming in 2008. RATT then comprised of representatives of the SADC Secretariat, CRASA, GSM Africa, Southern Africa Telecommunication Association (SATA) and SADC Parliamentary Forum as an observer. The RATT assisted SADC in project implementation until 21 November 2014 when ICT Ministers dissolved the team. In March 2015, the Communications Regulators’ Association of Southern Africa (CRASA) Roaming Task Team (CRTC) was established to assist CRASA implement the ministerial directives.

Several studies have been carried out to understand the SADC roaming market as well as to provide the Region with best practices that would allow for co-regulation of the roaming services in the Region.

**Understanding roaming in the region**

In 2009, RATT instituted a study to gain more detailed understanding of among others:

- a) the roaming tariffs (both wholesale and retail) in the SADC region and the effects of international roaming charges on regional and national termination rates and end consumer charges;
- b) possible market and regulatory factors influencing international roaming wholesale and retail charges; and
- c) factors that influence service delivery and performance of the market, specifically addressing pricing, network infrastructure and architecture, and quality of service.

The report was delivered in Angola in May 2010 to the Ministers responsible for Telecommunications, Postal Services and ICTs. The Ministers adopted a Policy Statement whose key objectives were:

- a) to increase transparency and market liberalization;
- b) Introduce Cost-based pricing for roaming services;
- c) Introduce effective competition in the roaming ;
- d) Improve regulatory certainty and predictability, and
- e) Promote Regional integration and infrastructure development.

**Wholesale and Retail Roaming Regulation**

In 2013, the RATT with assistance from the International Telecommunications Union (ITU) initiated a Regulatory Impact Assessment study on SADC Home and Away Roaming and developed guidelines for roaming services in the region. The recommendations of the study are shown in Box 4.

In response to the 2014 SADC Roaming Report, the region agreed to implement the Roaming initiative in three (3) phases:

- Phase I: Liberalization, Transparency, Information and Data Collection; SADC developed the SADC Transparency Guidelines. The SADC ICT Ministers approved the Guidelines in 2013. The Guidelines became effective on 1 June 2013.

- Phase II: Wholesale and Retail (Roam Like at Home) Glide Paths (see Figure 9 Table A and B).
- Phase III: Cost–based Roaming Price Regulation\textsuperscript{49}.

**Figure 10: Wholesale and Retail Roaming Tariff reduction – Glide Path**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table A: Retail Voice, Data and SMS Roaming Tariff Reduction Glide Path</th>
<th>Table B: Wholesale Voice, Data and SMS Roaming Tariff Reduction Glide Path</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2014 ROAM (Existing Roaming prices)</strong></td>
<td><strong>21 October 2014 Existing IOT prices</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 January 2015</td>
<td>1 January 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLAH + (ROAM – RLAH) * 67%</td>
<td>WTA + (IOT-WTA)* 67% +5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 January 2016</td>
<td>1 January 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLAH + (ROAM – RLAH) * 33%</td>
<td>WTA + (IOT-WTA) * 33% + 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 January 2017</td>
<td>1 January 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLAH + 5%</td>
<td>WTA + 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 January 2018</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST + (RLAH – COST) * 67%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 January 2019</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST + (RLAH – COST) * 33%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 January 2020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COST + 5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textit{*WTA: Weighted Tariff Average}

Source: SADC

**Roam Like at Home Concept**

The RLAH concept entails the following:

i) Adoption of progressive glide paths in setting of price caps for both the retail and wholesale prices on international roaming and this is being encouraged to be adopted simultaneously by all SADC Members;

ii) Addressing the wholesale rates for each MNO through establishment of price ceilings on the three (3) key routes with the highest Inter Operator Tariffs (IOTs);

iii) The need for SADC member states to consider removal of additional taxes on ICT services and establish policy frameworks for regional roaming;

iv) That NRAs put in place Roaming Regulations and monitoring procedures; and

v) The need for CRASA and MNOs to publish the Retail Roaming Prices on their websites.

In order to provide guidelines on implementation of the glide paths, the Region adopted the SADC Roaming Policy and Regulations\textsuperscript{50}.

**SADC Roaming Policy Guidelines**

The CRTT developed the SADC Roaming Policy Guidelines, which were endorsed by the SADC Ministers on 26 June 2015 in Walvis Bay, Namibia. The Policy Guidelines recommends the following guiding principles for roaming within the Region:

a) Prices for roaming services must be transparent, fair and non –discriminatory;

b) Consumers must be provided with adequate information with regards to the provision of roaming services in relation to retail prices and billing cycles;

c) Prices for all roaming services should not be less than underlying costs;
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\textsuperscript{49} Roaming Task Team (CRTT) website: \texttt{http://www.crasa.org/crasa-content/id/74/crasa-roaming-task-team/}

\textsuperscript{50} Idem.
d) Prices for regional roaming services should be cost based and not be too excessive in comparison with prices charged for the same services at national level;
e) Prices charged and other obligations imposed on operators should not distort the competitive conditions between mobile operators within the Region;
f) Any roaming network connection should be established with the consumer’s consent; and
g) The quality of service parameters for roaming services should at least be equivalent to those prescribed by the NRA of each Member State\textsuperscript{51}.

**SADC Model Roaming Regulations**

The CRTT also developed the SADC Model Roaming Regulations, which were endorsed by the SADC Ministers on 26 June 2015 in Walvis Bay, Namibia. The Regulation Guidelines recommends:

a) coordinated regional response to the formulation of roaming regulations;
b) development of regionally acceptable standards on roaming charges;
c) Establishment of direct routes to connect and roam with operators in other Members States
d) Adoption of fair usage policy to avoid instances of Network abuse through permanent roaming by roaming customers

The Draft SADC Model Roaming Regulations provides for the following:

a) Transparency and safeguarding of consumers and how to provide high quality of service to roaming consumers;
b) Costing for roaming and how to set ceilings for both wholesale and retail charges in the Region; and
c) Monitoring and reinforcement of the SADC Roaming Glide Paths\textsuperscript{52}.

**Implementation of the glidepaths**

As at 15 June 2016, seven (7) countries out of 15 SADC Member States were implementing the roaming glidepaths on reciprocal basis. Recognising that the glidepaths were an interim methodology to regulating roaming pricing, SADC is in the process of developing the Generic Roaming Cost Model that would assist regulators to determine the cost-based price ceilings for operators to apply to both their wholesale and retail charges. The Region is also pursuing an array of actions to reduce regulatory barriers to affordable roaming rates through market liberalisation, encouraging investor-friendly taxation policies and averting the wholesale market barriers through the ‘three route principle’. In addition, SADC is pursuing increased transparency to roaming tariff; increase of the roaming footprints, especially in prepaid roaming services; promotion of multilateral cost-reduction measures; and establishment of roaming hubs in the Region.


\textsuperscript{52} Idem.
**East African Community “One Network Area” (ONA-EAC)**

**Box 6: Lessons to be learned from the ONA experience**

There are lessons to be learned from this efficiently organised yet thorough process. Most importantly, to maximise mobile roaming’s contribution to regional social integration and economic development, a regional framework for roaming regulation needs to be established, along with competent and accountable entities for implementing the framework. East Africa demonstrates that a workable set of policies can be formulated in a matter of months by a committee composed of the heads of national regulatory authorities supported by their respective Heads of State. The regulators’ role was to gather and analyse market information to make proposals and recommendations to the Meeting of Ministers, taking into account input from the MNOS. The Meeting of Ministers then advised the Heads of States on what policy directives would be appropriate. This arrangement was sufficient to start the process. But for regional roaming regulation to be sustainable, persistent oversight and periodic course corrections are required. For that, more permanent processes are needed.

In East Africa, the Northern Corridor Heads of State catalysed and directed the ONA initiative. In other regions, a similar group of national leaders could guide the process. Alternatively, since changes in ICT development policies and telecom laws may be necessary, and tax revenues affected, an executive council of communications and finance ministers from the participating countries would strengthen links between national and regional policy making.

High wholesale prices for IMR can only be curbed by a transnational authority because international roaming is – and will always be – a cross-border transaction. In May 2014 a Heads of State Summit for Northern Corridor Integration Projects concluded that the high cost of in-region roaming was detrimental to the East African Community’s (EAC) integration agenda. They agreed to fast-track the implementation of a “One Network Area” in East Africa by 31 December 2014. The original concept was for roammers to pay only the local domestic tariffs of the visited network. Eliminating all charges and surcharges for calls received while roaming was another part of the concept. Kenya, Rwanda and Uganda were the first to commit to ONA; South Sudan joined in January 2015; Burundi and Tanzania expressed interest in joining, too.

**Legal Jurisdiction**

Heads of State Summits in East Africa can set policy directions which reshape national laws and regulations because of Article 8 of the Treaty for the Establishment of the East African Community. Paragraph 4 of Article 8 states: “Community organs, institutions and laws shall take precedence over similar national ones on matters pertaining to the implementation of this Treaty.” (The definition of “Community organs” includes Heads of State Summits.) In addition, Article 16 of the Treaty makes the regulations, directives, decisions and recommendations of the Council of Ministers binding on the Partner States. Regional cooperation in the telecom sector is mandated by Article 99, which notes in sub-paragraph (c) that the “Partner States shall… agree on preferential tariff treatment applicable within the Community…”

**Implementing ONA in EAC Partner States**

The Northern Corridor Heads of State Summit tasked the EAC’s Sectoral Council on Transport, Communications and Meteorology (TCM) with responsibility for transforming the basic ONA decision into specific proposals for implementation. In June 2014, the Council directed the EAC Secretariat to convene a meeting of the Committee of the Heads of Communications Regulatory Authorities (“the Committee”) to develop a work plan and guidelines for establishing ONA.

---
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Principles for determining roaming charges

Based on their analysis of the operators’ tariffs, these principles were proposed for determining harmonised EAC roaming charges:

- Roaming charges should be “cost oriented” - that is, reflective of real costs but not mechanically derived from them.
- The harmonised EAC roaming framework only applies to traffic originating and terminating within EAC Partner Countries.
- Traffic originating and terminating within the EAC should be exempt from international traffic surcharges.
- Mobile telecommunication taxes (excise, VAT, etc.) should be regionally harmonised.
- Partner states should establish systems to prevent traffic re-filing, tariff fraud and illegal call termination.

Recommendations adopted by the EAC’s Multi-sectoral Coordination Committee for the implementation of ONA

- Wholesale (inter-operator) tariffs should be capped at 7 US cents/minute for traffic originating and terminating within EAC;
- The retail tariff cap should be 10 US cents/minute, including taxes, for traffic originating and terminating within EAC;
- There should be no charge for receiving calls while roaming within the EAC;
- Existing inter-operator agreements should be amended by 31 January 2015;
- Systems should be established to prevent traffic re-filing, fraud and illegal termination of traffic;
- Partner states should harmonise taxes on telecommunication traffic and remove international surcharges for traffic originating and terminating within the EAC;
- The Committee of Heads of Communications Regulatory Authorities should meet every 3 months to review the ONA framework’s implementation;
- The Committee should “consider in detail” inclusion of a “fair use” policy in the ONA framework.

Impact of ONA

Although it is still preliminary to assess the full benefits of ONA, some notable achievements can be noted including:

1. **Lower tariffs on individual roamers is significant** - Cross border voice traffic has grown rapidly since ONA’s implementation – tripling in both Kenya and Uganda, with a nearly 5-fold increase in Rwanda and a 30-fold increase in South Sudan. More analysis is required to assess if the growth is due to roaming and previously stifled demand or if there indeed other factors attributed to this.

2. **A wholesale price cap of 7 US cents/minute without surcharges let’s all MNOs in the EAC offer roaming services profitably**, according to data collected by the EAC Sectoral Council before introducing ONA. Similarly the Council found that the regional average minimum retail price for roaming voice calls made in visited countries was 8.7 US cents/minute. The Council’s analysis also found that the regional average for the lowest freely negotiated wholesale price for roaming was
7.63 US cents/minute including surcharges. So a retail price cap of 10 cents/minute left room for further voluntary price reductions due to competition.

Figure 11: Voice Calls made and received by Kenyans roaming in ONA States and ONA citizens roaming in Kenya

Source: ONA
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Box 7: Intention of ECOWAS

The main intention of ECOWAS in ICT is the establishment of a single ICT Market in West Africa. Three conditions for high-quality roaming at affordable prices have been identified by the Technical Group on Roaming (ETGR):

- harmonised Community regulation;
- total cross-border connectivity between all the ECOWAS countries;
- a preferential Community tariff to encourage and boost the use of roaming.

The core objective of ECOWAS in ICT is the establishment of a single ICT Market in West Africa. In order to achieve that, ECOWAS works with 2 main pillars: the harmonization of ICT policies and the development of broadband infrastructure. The ECOWAS Authority of Heads of State and Government (HOSG) appealed to mobile operators to sign roaming agreements in order to facilitate communication in the region and set up the ECOWAS Technical Group on Roaming (ETGR) in 2005.

ETGR noted that Roaming should not only be seen as a business opportunity for mobile operators, but also must be considered as a social issue and a factor of exchange and regional integration and recommended:

- Promote liberalization of international gateways and direct connectivity between Mobile operators of neighboring states in order to reduce the costs of roaming traffic;
- Reduction and/or suppression of taxes included in the setting of Roaming tariffs in the ECOWAS region;
- ECOWAS to fix a ceiling for retail prices for the benefit of the consumers;

• Undertake a detailed study on the Roaming pricing including the possibility of integrated Roaming for the region;

**Ongoing activities on Roaming**

The main objective is to reduce the cost of roaming and potentially termination rates.

The engagement is split into two main activities:
1. Study on harmonization of cross-border communications costs supported by World Bank
2. Elaboration and adoption of a Regulation on Roaming in the ECOWAS space, in collaboration with the West Africa Telecommunications Regulators Assembly (WATRA).

The aim of this engagement are to:
- harmonise the costing and pricing of roaming services within the ECOWAS Region;
- address the high cost of roaming services within the ECOWAS Region in order to reduce/eliminate roaming charges;
- provide the minimum safeguards for consumers of roaming services to empower them to make informed decisions.

Reducing/eliminating Roaming charges will facilitate regional m-services and will improve regional traffic and business opportunities for Operators.

**The Arab Regulators Network (AREGNET)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 8: AREGNET has determined the following challenges on IMR:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Different level of competition and different regulatory frameworks;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Costing structures and bargaining power;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Transparency and commercially sensitive information;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Low ARPU operators challenging any initiatives to lowering roaming prices;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Some practices like steering and home data register (HDR) blocking;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Existence of loyalty fees (revenue sharing) from telecom sector;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Practicing market power on roaming and set high wholesale and retail prices.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Roaming remains a main concern for all Arab Countries. It was noted that roaming rates are priced on the destination rather than cost-based, so that operators are generating a lot of profits, especially for data roaming. Efforts made through the Arab League and GCC are encouraging lower roaming and international prices. These efforts are positive but benefits are limited for other countries outside the region. AREGNET was tasked to coordinate efforts to alleviate the excessive roaming prices for the best interest of subscribers roaming across the Arab region. An important issue in the region is the number of countries and the differing market characteristics in each, one example is taxation, there are high differences among countries (e.g. in Qatar the taxation of telecom services is 0% and in Jordan 43.8%). This is also the case in regards to income per capita and average revenue per user (ARPU).

Internationally coordinated regulation is viewed as the appropriate way forward for the region. It is best to act multilaterally:
- Coordination to set affordable prices by intervening at wholesale and retail level;
- Widening the multi-lateral agreements (e.g. Jordan-GCC);
- Coordination to prohibit steering and HDR blocking;
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The possibility to adopt more interventionist tool like decoupling.

**The Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) International Roaming Regulatory Initiative**

**Box 9: GCC International Roaming Regulatory Initiative Recommendations**

- to reduce the current level of price caps on outgoing calls and to introduce new price caps on incoming calls, SMS sent and data usage while roaming.

- to reduce the price caps gradually to reach the desired price caps in 2018 for outgoing calls, received calls and SMS sent, and in 2020 for data.

- to set the price control glide paths in such a way as to ensure that MNOs' IMR revenues remain in excess of the 2015 levels.

Following the consultation of September 2014, the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) Roaming Working Group (RWG) finalized its report on roaming regulation across GCC countries, and the GCC Ministerial Committee for Post, Telecommunications and Information Technology approved the recommended regulations in its 24th meeting of June 9, 2015 held in Doha, Qatar that changed the underlying cost estimates of different roaming services, in particular changing the proposed price caps. Like other regional initiatives, these proposals are a response to a mandate from the GCC telecommunications ministers committee to address the issue of high prices for IMR in order to achieve regional integration and social cohesion, and to protect consumers in the GCC.

The RWG considered different options:

- taking no action;
- encouraging MNOs to lower retail prices;
- implementing price controls; and
- taking steps to increase competition.

Although GCC member states have yet to adopt the necessary regulatory instruments that would oblige MNOs to implement the price controls and other regulatory measures, the Communications Regulatory Authority (CRA) Qatar and the Telecommunications Regulatory Authority (TRA) Oman have announced the new retail price caps that would apply starting from April 2016.

In particular, changes were made to:

- reflect the wide variation of taxes among GCC countries; and
- to take account of the fact that international gateways in Kuwait are still not liberalized, as a result of which MNOs incur additional costs (paid to the Kuwaiti ministry of communications) in order to terminate voice calls to MNOs in other GCC countries.  

In 2015 CRA in tandem with its counterparts in other members of the Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC) published the final report of consultation to assess the effectiveness of the existing regulations and a
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review of IMR services. The final document also assesses the rationale for regulatory intervention at GCC level, and the approved new price caps for roaming charges. The reductions of roaming charges on both inter-operator wholesale level and customer retail level will take place gradually, over a three years period, for voice calls and SMS services, and over a five years period for mobile data services.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 10: The key tenets of CTU in respect of roaming policy are:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Roam free area to facilitate movement of labour;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Reduced charges as predictable cost of doing business;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Roaming charges scaled down to zero;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Application to voice and data;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Area codes and geographical borders should not increase costs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CTU was established in 1989 to coordinate/harmonize telecommunications policy development and ICTs. The main objective is the implementation of road map for single ICT space for the Caribbean, including the Eastern Caribbean countries Commission (OECS) and Eastern Caribbean Telecommunications Authority (ECTEL). Their mandate is oriented to the establishment of common frameworks for Governments, ICT service providers and consumers, in the areas of Quality of Service (QoS) standards, roaming, top level domain name management; local content; open data, licensing and authorizations.

Research and advocacy on roaming forms an integral part of the mandate of the Caribbean ICT Collaboration Committee (CICC). This a regional grouping of private sector, public sector, civil society and regulatory agencies of the wider Caribbean and coordinated by the CTU Secretariat60. The CICC will promote discussions on roaming in all jurisdictions in the Caribbean.

Recognizing that citizen benefits from advances in telecommunications technology and liberalization, and that the Caribbean trails other integrated areas (e.g. EU), main challenges in respect to IMR are defined as being:

- The absence of political integration/arrangements (EU, GCC, SADC);
- Roaming cannot be controlled by single state (or single provider);
- Negotiating an appropriate institutional framework;
- Current ICT policy fragmentation;
- The paucity of political will;
- Prioritizing roaming as an ICT policy matter;
- Perception of loss of tax revenue from a zero rate regime;
- Uncoordinated responses/approaches to service providers.

In addition, the Caribbean Community (CARICOM) Heads of Government requested the CTU to prepare a Roadmap for the development of a CARICOM Single ICT Space. An important action in the Roadmap is the elimination or significant reduction in Roaming Charges in the twenty member states countries of CARICOM. This is justified since these countries are served by two dominant operators: Cable and Wireless and DIGICEL. From country to country roaming is on their own network. Accordingly, CTU has established a


60 http://www.ctu.int/events/2nd-caribbean-ict-collaboration-forum-2/
Collaborative Working Group to examine this matter and make recommendations. These deliberations are in progress.

**Eastern Caribbean Telecommunications Authority (ECTEL)**

**Box 11: ECTEL Recommendations**

- A cost analysis should be conducted following the ITU Guide for NRAs on IMR Cost Analysis.
- Regulations may be made pursuant to the Telecommunications Act to regulate the roaming rates. However, since roaming is a technical and substantial area of the telecommunications industry indeed the best approach is to draft a Roaming Bill. It should be noted that ECTEL will recommend a new Electronic Communications Bill to the Member States in early 2017. This Bill will replace the current Telecommunications Act. ECTEL will ensure that the Roaming Bill and new Electronic Communications Bill are not in conflict.
- The retail or provider to consumer business needs to be regulated. Consumers will see a direct effect through rates from their provider. This is especially so for providers who operate in all ECTEL Member States. However, consumers may access services for other providers when roaming and so the provider-to-provider business should also be regulated, this is known as wholesaling. Wholesale rates are the rates that a provider charges another provider for handling mobile services of one provider’s customer on another provider’s network. This will affect roamers travelling into the ECTEL Member States.
- The proposed Bill shall be accompanied by regulations. The proposed rates or caps on the roaming rates as ECTEL decides shall be prescribed in the regulations. The prescription in the regulations will provide for easier or frequent amendments.

Pursuant to a decision of the Council of Ministers of the Eastern Caribbean Telecommunications Authority (ECTEL), the Regulatory Authority was mandated to develop the appropriate legislation to effect a reduction in roaming rates in its Member States. The first component of the process which involved the review of the legislation and pricing options has been completed. The Council accepted the report and recommendations and agreed to proceed with the development of the appropriate legal and regulatory instruments.

The specific objective of this project to be done during 2016 is to prepare a model Roaming Bill for enactment and appropriate supporting Regulations to be made in Saint Lucia and their subsequent adoption in the other Eastern Caribbean Telecommunications Authority (ECTEL) member states, as and when required. The Roaming Bill ECTEL states will be the most effective way to deal with the concern of costly roaming rates and strengthen the currently weak state of legislation in ECTEL member states in regards to mobile telecommunications roaming. As such the Bill will seek to regulate roaming rates for voice, short messaging service (SMS) text and data services.

**Expected Results**

It is expected that following a comprehensive review of the existing relevant legislation locally, regionally and internationally, the report and recommendations on pricing options and of the ITU Guide for NRAs on IMR Cost analysis - Technical Paper 2015, Saint Lucia and other ECTEL Member States would have for enactment a draft model Roaming Bill and the accompanying Regulations. The legislation would seek to place restrictions on the capacity of providers to charge for roaming within ECTEL Member States and achieve the overall policy objectives of reducing prices, protecting
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consumers from unfair and unreasonable roaming policies, promoting sub-regional competitiveness and enabling the ECTEL Member States to move closer to a harmonized telecommunications space. In addition, a cost analysis should be conducted using the ITU Online Cost Analysis Tool as a guide. Once the analysis has been completed the results should be submitted to the Consultant to provide a direction on the regulation of the roaming rates. ECTEL developed a draft Roaming Bill and Regulations for regulating roaming in the Member States in collaboration with ITU. This draft Roaming Bill was submitted to public consultation from November 2016 to January 2017. The Bill is currently being finalized to be submitted to the Member States.

The major provisions of the Draft Roaming Bill for ECTEL States are:

- Wholesale rates to be regulated via price cap;
- Retail rates to be regulated using “Rome like you are Home” option;
- Customers to be made aware of inadvertent roaming on networks of providers outside the ECTEL Member States;
- Home country provider to notify customer of emergency numbers in visitor country.

It is still under consideration to treat within the draft Bill issues of permanent roaming vs fair use policy, the use of national roaming in emergencies and for new entrants, number portability and finally roaming and Internet of Things (IoTs).

**Comisión Técnica Regional de Telecomunicaciones (COMTELCA)**

**Box 12: COMTELCA Standardization Committee Recommendations included**

- The rate per minute, including taxes, of roaming services should not exceed one hundred thirty percent (130%) of the current rate of the Mobile international long distance call (from origin country to visited country);
- Gradual reduction of roaming rates over a period of 24 months;
- Establish a geographical strip of 5 km on both sides of the border line in the countries of the region in which roaming service fee will not be charged, and apply local rates for mobile service;
- Create a procedure to allow operators that do not have infrastructure in all countries, to provide roaming service with non-discriminatory rates.

**Background**

COMTELCA is the Regional Telecommunication Technical Commission that unites, disseminates and assists the telecommunication Regulatory Authorities in 6 countries of Central America (Guatemala, El Salvador, Honduras, Nicaragua, Costa Rica and Panama). In 2012 the Board of COMTELCA instructed the Standardization Committee to develop a proposal to reduce roaming and regional long distance rates, taking into account that the Central American Parliament (PARLACEN) has expressed interest in eliminating roaming charges in this sub region.

In 2013 under a COMTELCA-BID Project (Inter-American Development Bank IDB) the first Regional workshop on Long distance and Roaming issues was held with the aim of promoting the reduction of these services rates in Meso-America countries. A channel of dialogue between operators and regulators was opened and an opportunity for all stakeholders to continue working together, with the challenge of agreeing the rate reduction, was generated. In 2016 the members of the Pacific Alliance
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(Colombia, Chile, Mexico and Peru) decided to work together to eliminate roaming rates in the countries of the pact, trying to reach agreements with operators.

**Current Situation**

Traditionally, roaming traffic in the region was related to business activities. However, this trend has changed. The World Tourism Organization (WTO) figures indicate that 52 percent of worldwide travels are made for leisure, recreation and holidays; 27 percent for health, religion and other matters and only 14% for business and professional reasons. Latin America attracted 16 percent of international travels in 2015, equivalent to 192 million people, an increase of 5 percent compared to 2014.

Operators have invested in mobile platforms and have already deployed infrastructure in several countries. The presence and competition of operators is regional and they also face the challenge of Mobile Virtual Network Operators (MVNO) and Over the Top enterprises (OTT). To respond to these challenges, operators in the Central American region are offering packages that include calls, messages and data for consumers who travel to other countries at local rates.

The average price per minute of voice in Latin America has fallen from 11 dollar cents in the fourth quarter of 2008 to 6 dollar cents in the same period of 2013.

In Latin America, the first offers of roaming elimination were focused on consumers traveling to the United States (US) or Canada; however, the increasing of intraregional travels for business and tourism in recent years has encouraged mobile operators to reduce and/or eliminate the roaming charges in all countries in Central America.

**The Response from Operators in Central America**

**Box 13: Concrete outcomes of the Central America “Without Border” initiative**

Seven main operators across Central America have introduced roaming tariffs in the second semester of 2015, with the “without borders” plans, therefore the consumers can use their phone in the region and pay the domestic rates set in their home country. These plans could include data and voice services. This is an ongoing trend as operators increasingly work towards serving the needs of roaming consumers, which can be particularly seen in specialized tariffs for heavy commercial and tourism traffic in Central America. This will also help to eliminate inadvertent roaming in narrow border zones.

In the never-ending mobile phone wars among telecom giants, in the second semester of 2015, some mobile operators introduced the “without borders” plans, so the consumers can use their phone in the Americas region and pay the rates set in their home country, as national or domestic per minute tariff instead of roaming charges.

These plans could include data and voice services. Some examples of these private initiates are:

**Movistar (Telefonica)**

Movistar started its "Sin fronteras" (without borders) option for regional roaming in Central America in May 2015. Without further activation, customers can use data, voice and texts on the Movistar networks of Mexico, Guatemala, El Salvador, Costa Rica and Panama for the equivalent of a domestic tariff. Additionally, for a US$5 surcharge per day, customers can also use data at domestic tariff in the US, Canada, Argentina, Chile, Colombia, Ecuador, Peru, Uruguay, Venezuela and Brazil. This is available
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for prepaid and postpaid plans. Data consumption exceeding the contracted plan also will be charged at local rate, regardless of the country where it is consumed.

**Claro Central America**

From April 2015 Claro eliminated roaming charges in Central America "Talk and browse throughout Central America with your same local rate". Postpaid customers that contract the unlimited calling plan at no cost, can also use it to communicate in other countries in the region on Claro network, with an additional charge (USD 3 monthly) consumers could also add US and Canada. The customer is saving about 40% per minute. Countries included in the Claro network are Mexico, Guatemala, El Salvador, Honduras, Nicaragua, Costa Rica and Panama.

**Telcel**

Telcel following their competitors eliminated the Mexico-US border and allow mobile consumers to call from Mexico to the US and vice versa for just a minor charge. At present, this is available only for postpaid services. Telcel's mobile customers can call and text (SMS) to the US without paying long distance charges, and when they travel to US they will be able to use their minutes, messages and data just as they use it in Mexico, with no roaming charges.

Telcel charges a monthly service fee of 50 Mexican pesos (around USD3) for the “Telcel Sin Frontera” plan which subscribers can activate in Mexico or US.

**AT&T**

AT&T introduced the "AT&T Mexico Roaming Bonus" plan that customers can add for free. Customers who do so will receive unlimited texting (SMS) to anywhere in the world and unlimited voice calling within Mexico and from Mexico to United States. Additionally, customers will get 1 GB of data to use in Mexico for free (with average charges of USD20 per GB).

**Sprint**

Sprint Open WorldSM add-on offers customers free calls and texts to Canada and Mexico with calls starting at just a nickel to Latin America, the Dominican Republic and more than 180 other countries when added to a domestic service plan. For those who like to travel, it boasts free unlimited calls and texts when traveling in Canada, Mexico and more than a dozen Latin American countries without the annoyance of roaming tariffs.

Sprint Open World includes 1GB of free data while traveling in these Sprint Open World countries: Mexico, Canada, Dominican Republic, Argentina, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua, Panama and Paraguay. The countries coming soon to Sprint Open World are Bolivia, Ecuador, Peru, Uruguay and Venezuela. Sprint customers needing more than 1GB of data pay just USD30 per GB, based on actual usage.

**T-Mobile**

T-Mobile introduced “Mobile Without Borders”, an expansion of Simple Choice that lets customers use their phone in Canada and Mexico just like you would in the US. That means that calling and texting to, from, and between the US, Canada and Mexico won’t cost extra, regardless of whether a mobile or landline phone is involved. And when they are in Canada or Mexico, they can use their mobile data plan just like they would in the United States.

**Verizon**

Verizon Wireless also updated its international roaming options as follows: for $5 per month its customers can now call Mexico and Canada for no additional per-minute fees, with calling minutes deducted from their current plans, this is also available to its prepaid customers.
Verizon’s customers traveling to Canada and Mexico can now receive 500 calling minutes, 500 sent text messages and unlimited received text messages, and 1 gigabyte of data for USD25 per line, and customers can purchase additional data for USD20 per 1 GB.

**Future Challenges**

The main challenge in the region is to create an “America Free of Roaming”, by developing new mobile platforms that take advantage of free communication services across the continent. Operators and services providers must adapt their current mobile offers to include roaming services for both pre-paid and post-paid, enhance existing services, and create new solutions and offers. These solutions should also consider to allow operators that do not have infrastructure in other countries to provide roaming services with non-discriminatory rates.

**2.3 Operators and Services Provider Initiatives - summary**

**GSMA**

GSMA’s mission is to provide consumers with clear and transparent pricing for mobile services when they travel internationally, along with the predictability and choice that mobile consumers expect. The mobile market continues to grow, and consumers increasingly use their mobile devices while travelling.

Mobile operators around the world are committed to offering competitive, optimized roaming offers that provide valuable services to their travelling customers — while ensuring prices for roaming services are clear.

Roaming alliances in different regions and increasing competition from mobile and other providers continue to deliver price reductions — up to 90% in some cases — improving customer value and resulting in higher customer use of roaming services. New roaming bundles and standard tariffs are giving customers more choice, with daily, weekly, monthly or service-specific offers.

**Region-wide low roaming rates**

Mobile operators that have a large regional presence increasingly offer low region-wide roaming rates similar to domestic tariff levels. In Europe, the Middle East and Africa, for instance, mobile operators are encouraging their customers to roam rather than acquire local SIMs when they go abroad. Sub-regional and bilateral packages, as well as regional offers for tourists through reduced rates and monthly, weekly or daily roaming bundles, are also facilitating cross-border travel and trade.

**Calling using a local SIM**

Local SIMs have always been an important substitute for roaming services, particularly among regular visitors and migrant workers. Some Middle Eastern operators are building on the concept of a local SIM by offering a temporary local phone number that works in parallel with a consumer’s home number, without the need for an additional SIM. Consumers in Asia Pacific are also making use of roaming substitutes. They have adopted SIM-based alternatives to international roaming across multiple regions. Call-by-call substitutes to roaming for corporate and business consumers are already having a significant impact on the roaming market in Asia. As the use of substitutes continues to rise, roaming prices will be reduced further.
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Transparency
In June 2012, the GSMA launched the Mobile Data Roaming Transparency Scheme, a voluntary commitment by mobile operators to give consumers greater visibility of roaming charges and usage of mobile data services when abroad. Operators continue to improve transparency to ensure consumers receive better value from their roaming services. Easy access to personalized tariff and usage information allows consumers to quickly understand the range of options available in the market. Operators use several methods to ensure consumers are aware of the latest rates and offers, such as consumer-friendly websites and SMS messages welcoming roaming customers when they enter a country.

Within the EU, Gulf and other regions, comprehensive notification systems and informative websites have been developed to ensure consumers understand their usage. Data roaming services in these regions are managed according to regulation, competition and customer preferences, for example when a data usage limit is reached.

Removal of structural barriers and price regulation
From the GSMA point of view, governments and regulators should eliminate structural barriers that increase costs and cause price differences between countries. These include double taxation, international gateway monopolies and fraud, all of which should be removed before any form of IMR price regulation is considered.

Price regulation should be considered as a last resort, after transparency measures and innovative IMR pricing have failed to address consumer complaints, and after structural barriers have been removed. The costs and benefits of regulation must be carefully assessed, taking into account unique economic factors such as national variances in income, GDP, inflation, exchange rates, mobile penetration rates and the percentage of the population that travels internationally, as well as incidence of international travel to neighboring countries, all of which have an impact on IMR prices.

SAMENA COUNCIL
SAMENA Telecommunications Council is a trade association that represents telecommunications operators and service providers in South Asia, the Middle East and North Africa. The Council was founded in 2006 and its operational office is based in Dubai, United Arab Emirates.

Background
International mobile roaming ("IMR") is a service that enables a mobile subscriber to automatically and seamlessly make/send/access and receive voice calls, SMS/MMS and the Internet/data when travelling abroad without losing the connection. IMR has been a topic of intense discussion and concern with regulators and policy makers for many years now, mainly in relation to the level of IMR retail prices charged to consumers, the lack of pricing and usage transparency and resulting bill shock, and the level of wholesale payments made between mobile operators.

The reasons for high IMR prices are of a structural nature and relate to market mechanics and some behavioral aspects on the part of the consumer. These are (1) a quasi-oligopolistic market structure, (2) cross-border nature of the IMR service, (3) insufficient attention on the part of the consumer, and (4) low demand elasticity for IMR voice services. It is argued that in the absence of regulatory intervention, these characteristics prevent the emergence of competition and enable prices to remain high.

In terms of market effects, operators have been confronted with suppressed demand with customers switching off the roaming function on their phones to avoid bill shock. This has resulted in the emergence of a number of imperfect substitutes such as individuals having multiple SIM numbers across the different countries they frequently visit, call back options, the use of a local numbers offered
to visited country roamers, or the use of Wi-Fi together with the use of OTT services such as Skype, WhatsApp and Viber (where such services are not blocked).

With the roll-out of 4G networks and LTE roaming coupled with available OTT applications and services, demand for mobile data while roaming is likely to continue to increase significantly. This in turn will also drive competitive roaming offers. Given that the price elasticity of demand is much higher for data roaming in comparison to voice roaming, lowering prices sufficiently may have a significant volume effect, which can increase operators’ revenues going forward. Operators consequently have responded with competitive roaming packages that include inclusive calls, SMS and data volumes that can be purchased separately and which are valid for a set number of days.

**SAMENA Position**

IMR is a competitive service that provides significant value-add to customers. It is technically and administratively complex, incurs costs and entails a number of different interrelated and non-transparent components that need to be well understood. These include legal / commercial aspects (roaming agreements / negotiation between MNOs), procedural aspects (what happens in terms of sequential process when a subscriber switches on his mobile device in a visited country and places or receives a call, sends an SMS or MMS, or accesses data), technical aspects (how do the home and visited networks “talk” to each other), and, lastly, what type of costs are incurred during the roaming process. Further complexities are added with rapidly changing technologies, services and applications and the advent of cross-border M2M communication and the IoT, all impacting the procedural and competitive roaming landscape.

Regulators and policy makers need to be mindful of these complexities when considering regulatory intervention. Any proposed regulatory intervention will need to be assessed as to its impact and potentially adverse or distorting effect (including the costs of implementation), which should be minimized.

While SAMENA Council agrees that price regulation in the form of price caps is an efficient means to achieve consumer benefit in the form of lower prices, the introduction of price regulation should be an intervention of “last resort”. Moreover, price regulation should only be introduced following a rigorous analysis and only after other measures such as transparency obligations and the removal of structural barriers, including double taxation or international gateway monopolies and associated charges have been implemented. To avoid abrupt “shocks” and needless disruption to MNO revenues and profits, and to ensure continued investment and enable a smooth transition, any introduction of price caps should be accompanied by a multi-year glide path. Regulators should also consider introducing wholesale caps only, to ensure that there is sufficient pricing flexibility at the retail level, given the more competitive landscape at the retail level.

In the specific case of the GCC, given the high variability in underlying costs across the GCC (including termination, taxes, international gateway fees), price caps must be set sufficiently high to ensure that all operators affected can cover their costs and that sufficient pricing flexibility is given to offer alternative, commercially viable packages.
Asociación Interamericana de Empresas de Telecomunicaciones (ASIET)\textsuperscript{68}

Box 14: ASIET proposals include:
- moving forward under schemes of self-regulation for the establishment of common quality standards among all operators involved in the provision of roaming services;
- working to minimize the negative effects produced by cross border roaming. To study the creation of mechanisms that warn these consumers when its device changes of operator involuntarily or study the possibility to create special offers for these customers;
- improving current information systems to allow to shorter the time for exchange of information between operators related to the roaming agreements to avoid fraud;
- evolving towards schemes that allow to reduce the high tax burden on roaming services.

ASIET is the association of telecommunications companies in Latin America, with more than 30 years dedicated to favor public policies that promote the sector development and the Information Society.

Although the traffic in roaming in the Latin American region was related to business activities in the past, according to the World Tourism Organization (WTO) this dependence is changing, given that at present globally 52\% percent of trips are made by leisure, recreation and holidays; 27\% for health, religion and other matters and only 14\% for business and professional purposes. In the region mobile roamers will spend from 21.7 million in 2013 to 32.5 million in 2018.

Roaming is affected by a number of external and internal factors that make it difficult to improve the service, such as:

- the double taxation of VAT and other sectorial taxes applied in most of the countries of the region the price of the international roaming service is approximately between 35\% and 60\% more expensive than a price without taxes\textsuperscript{69};
- many actors are involved at the end-to-end service. The mobile operator has no control of the entire chain, since they are involved among others, the long-distance operators;
- the cases of fraud are between 3\% and 5\% of operator’s income;
- the higher risk of fraud is due to the delay in the exchange of information between operators;
- complex schemes of rates, by type of call, by country and by operator discourages use;
- the different technologies initially deployed by mobile operators in the region as well as the different attribution of frequency bands, delayed the development of the service and conditioned the use of more expensive terminals.

In the Latin American region some operators companies are reacting to face these challenges and respond to the needs of customers. Several operators companies with footprint in several countries started to introduce packages and offers to remove roaming charges (see COMTELCA).


\textsuperscript{69} Source: IIRSA project
Annex 3 – List of Regional Regulatory Associations (RA), International Organizations, Consumer Associations and Private Sector Associations – invited to participate at the LET’S ROAM THE WORLD INITIATIVE

1. Assemblée des Régulateurs des Téléccommunications de l’Afrique Centrale (ARTAC)
2. Comité de Régulation des Télécussions de l’UEMOA (CRTEL)
3. West Africa Telecommunications Regulators’ Association (WATRA)
4. Economic Community for West African States (ECOWAS)
5. Association of Regulators for Information and Communication Services of Eastern and Southern Africa (ARICEA)
6. East African Communications Organization (EACO)
7. African Telecommunications Union (ATU)
8. Communications Regulators’ Association of Southern Africa (CRASA)
9. Association of Communications and Telecommunications Regulators of the Community of Portuguese Speaking Countries (ARCTEL-CPLP)
10. Independent Regulators Group (IRG)
11. Body of European Regulators for Electronic Communications (BEREC)
12. European Commission (EC)
13. European Conference of Postal and Telecommunications Administrations (CEPT)
14. The European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI)
15. Euro-Mediterranean Telecom Regulators (EMERG)
16. Arab Regulators Network of telecommunications and information technologies (AREGNET)
17. Gulf Cooperation Council (GCC)
18. League of Arab States (LAS)
19. Inter-American Telecommunication Commission (CITEL)
20. Caribbean Telecommunications Union (CTU)
21. Organisation of Caribbean Utility Regulators (OOCUR)
22. Eastern Caribbean Telecommunications Authority (ECTEL)
23. Telecommunications Regional Technical Commission (COMTELCA)
24. Latin American Forum of Telecom Regulators (REGULATEL)
25. South Asian Telecommunication Regulators’ Council (SATRC) and
26. Asia-Pacific Telecommunity (APT)
27. Pacific Island Telecommunication Association (PITA)
28. Regional Commonwealth in the Field of Communications (RCC)
29. Réseau Francophone de la Régulation des Télécommunications (FRATEL)
30. Réseau des Consommateurs Africains des TIC (RECATIC)
31. International Telecommunications Users Group (INTUG)
32. Association for Progressive Communications (APC)
33. Caribbean Association of National Telecommunications Organizations (CANTO)
34. European Telecommunications Network Operators' Association (ETNO)
35. GSMA
36. Asociación Interamericana de Empresas de Telecomunicaciones (ASIET)
37. SAMENA Council
38. Commonwealth Telecommunications Organisation (CTO)
39. SMART AFRICA
40. International Organisation of la francophonie (OIF)
41. European Consumers' Organisation (BUEC)
## Annex 4 – Glossary of terms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>APEC</td>
<td>Asia-Pacific Cooperation Telecommunications and Information Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APT</td>
<td>Asia Pacific Telecommunity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AREGNET</td>
<td>Arab Regulators Network of telecommunications and information technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARPU</td>
<td>Average revenue per user</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASIET</td>
<td>Asociación Interamericana de Empresas de Telecomunicaciones</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEREC</td>
<td>Body of European Regulators for Electronic Communications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CARICOM</td>
<td>Caribbean Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CITEL</td>
<td>Inter-American Telecommunications Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COCOM</td>
<td>Communications Committee (EU)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMTELCAR</td>
<td>Comisión Técnica Regional de Telecomunicaciones de América Central</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRA</td>
<td>Communications Regulatory Authority Qatar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRASA</td>
<td>Communications Regulatory Association of Southern Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRTT</td>
<td>CRASA Roaming Task Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTU</td>
<td>Caribbean Telecommunication Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSM</td>
<td>Digital Single Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EAC</td>
<td>East African Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBITDA</td>
<td>Earnings before interest, tax, depreciation and amortization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>European Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECOWAS</td>
<td>Economic Community for the West African States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEA</td>
<td>European Economic Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECTEL</td>
<td>Eastern Caribbean Telecommunications Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>European Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETGR</td>
<td>ECOWAS Technical Group on Roaming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUP</td>
<td>Fair Use Policy (EC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATS</td>
<td>General Agreement on Trade in Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GB</td>
<td>Gigabyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GCC</td>
<td>Gulf Cooperation Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GDP</td>
<td>Gross domestic product</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSMA</td>
<td>GSM Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GSR</td>
<td>Global Symposium of Regulators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDR</td>
<td>Home data register</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICT</td>
<td>Information Communication Technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDB</td>
<td>Inter-American Development Bank</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMR</td>
<td>International Mobile Roaming</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMSI</td>
<td>International Mobile Subscriber Identity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IOT</td>
<td>Inter-Operator Tariff</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IoT</td>
<td>Internet of Things</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITRs</td>
<td>International Telecommunication Regulations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITU</td>
<td>International Telecommunication Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MB</td>
<td>Megabyte</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2M</td>
<td>Machine-2-machine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFN</td>
<td>Most favoured nation (GATS principle)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MNO</td>
<td>Mobile network operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTR</td>
<td>Mobile Termination rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MVNO</td>
<td>Mobile virtual network operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRA</td>
<td>National Regulatory Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECD</td>
<td>Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OECs</td>
<td>Eastern Caribbean countries Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ONA</td>
<td>One Network Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTT</td>
<td>Over-The-Top</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QoS</td>
<td>Quality of Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QoE</td>
<td>Quality of Experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PARLACEN</td>
<td>Central American Parliament</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPDU</td>
<td>Project Preparation and Development Unit (ECOWAS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Regulatory Associations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RATT</td>
<td>Regional Alliance Task Team (SADC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REGULATEL</td>
<td>Latin American Forum of Telecom Regulators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLAH</td>
<td>Roam-like-at-home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RLAL</td>
<td>Roam Like a Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWG</td>
<td>Roaming working Group (GCC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SATA</td>
<td>Southern Africa Telecommunication Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SADC</td>
<td>Southern African Development Community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIM</td>
<td>Subscriber identity module</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMS</td>
<td>Short message service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSM</td>
<td>Telecom Single Market</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRA</td>
<td>Telecommunications Regulatory Authority Oman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAT</td>
<td>Value-added tax</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VoIP</td>
<td>Voice over Internet Protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WATRA</td>
<td>West Africa Telecommunications Regulators Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WCIT</td>
<td>World Conference on International Telecommunications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wi-Fi</td>
<td>Wireless fidelity (IEEE 802.11 standard)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTA</td>
<td>Weighted Tariff Average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTDC</td>
<td>World Telecommunication Development Conference</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTO</td>
<td>World Trade Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTO</td>
<td>World Tourism Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WTSA</td>
<td>World Telecommunication Standardization Assembly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>