Recommendation ITU-R P.533-12
(09/2013)

Method for the prediction of the performance of HF circuits

P Series
Radiowave propagation
Foreword

The role of the Radiocommunication Sector is to ensure the rational, equitable, efficient and economical use of the radio-frequency spectrum by all radiocommunication services, including satellite services, and carry out studies without limit of frequency range on the basis of which Recommendations are adopted.

The regulatory and policy functions of the Radiocommunication Sector are performed by World and Regional Radiocommunication Conferences and Radiocommunication Assemblies supported by Study Groups.

Policy on Intellectual Property Right (IPR)


Series of ITU-R Recommendations
(Also available online at http://www.itu.int/publ/R-REC/en)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BO</td>
<td>Satellite delivery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR</td>
<td>Recording for production, archival and play-out; film for television</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>Broadcasting service (sound)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BT</td>
<td>Broadcasting service (television)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Fixed service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>Mobile, radiodetermination, amateur and related satellite services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>Radiowave propagation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Radio astronomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RS</td>
<td>Remote sensing systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Fixed-satellite service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SA</td>
<td>Space applications and meteorology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>Frequency sharing and coordination between fixed-satellite and fixed service systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM</td>
<td>Spectrum management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNG</td>
<td>Satellite news gathering</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TF</td>
<td>Time signals and frequency standards emissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Vocabulary and related subjects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: This ITU-R Recommendation was approved in English under the procedure detailed in Resolution ITU-R 1.

Electronic Publication
Geneva, 2013

© ITU 2013
All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, by any means whatsoever, without written permission of ITU.
RECOMMENDATION ITU-R P.533-12

Method for the prediction of the performance of HF circuits


Scope
This Recommendation provides a method for the prediction of available frequencies, of signal levels and of the predicted reliability for both analogue and digital modulated systems at HF, taking into account not only the signal-to-noise ratio but also the expected time and frequency spreads of the channel.

The ITU Radiocommunication Assembly,

considering

a) that tests against ITU-R Data Bank D1 show that the method of Annex 1 of this Recommendation has comparable accuracy to the other more complex methods;
b) that information on the performance characteristics of transmitting and receiving antennas is required for the practical application of this method,

recommends

1 that the information contained in Annex 1 should be used for the prediction of sky-wave propagation at frequencies between 2 and 30 MHz;
2 that administrations and ITU-R should endeavour to improve prediction methods to enhance operational facilities and to improve accuracy.

* A computer program (ITURHFProp) associated with the prediction procedures described in this Recommendation is available from that part of the ITU-R website dealing with Radiocommunication Study Group 3.

1 Detailed information on a range of antennas with an associated computer program is available from the ITU; for details, see Recommendation ITU-R BS.705.
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Attachment 1 to Annex 1 – A model for equatorial scattering of HF signals
1 Introduction
This prediction procedure applies a ray-path analysis for path lengths up to 7000 km, composite mode empirical formulations from the fit to measured data beyond 9000 km and a smooth transition between these two approaches over the 7000-9000 km distance range.

Monthly median basic MUF, incident sky-wave field strength and available receiver power from a lossless receiving antenna of given gain are determined. The method includes an estimation of the parameters of the channel transfer function for use for the prediction of performance of digital systems. Methods are given for the assessment of circuit reliability. Signal strengths are standardized against an ITU-R measurement data bank. The method requires the determination of a number of ionospheric characteristics and propagation parameters at specified “control points”.

In equatorial regions, in the evening hours (local time), it is possible to have distortions in the predicted results due to regional ionospheric structural instabilities which are not fully accounted for by this method.

PART 1

Frequency availability

2 Location of control points
Propagation is assumed to be along the great-circle path between the transmitter and receiver locations via E modes (up to 4000 km range) and F2 modes (for all distances). Depending on path length and reflecting layer, control points are selected as indicated in Table 1.

3 Basic and operational maximum usable frequencies
The estimation of operational MUF, the highest frequency that would permit acceptable operation of a radio service, is in two stages: first, the estimation of basic MUF from a consideration of ionospheric parameters and second, the determination of a correction factor to allow for propagation mechanisms at frequencies above the basic MUF.

3.1 Basic maximum usable frequencies
The basic MUFs of the various propagation modes are evaluated in terms of the corresponding ionospheric layer critical frequencies and a factor related to hop length. Where both E and F2 modes are considered the higher of the two basic MUFs of the lowest-order E and F2 modes give the basic MUF for the path.

3.2 E-layer critical frequency (foE)
The monthly median foE is determined as defined in Recommendation ITU-R P.1239.
TABLE 1
Locations of control points for the determination of basic MUF, E-layer screening, ray-path mirror-reflection heights and ionospheric absorption

a) Basic MUF and associated electron gyrofrequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Path length, $D$ (km)</th>
<th>E modes</th>
<th>F2 modes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0 &lt; D \leq 2,000$</td>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>$M$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,000 &lt; D \leq 4,000$</td>
<td>$T + 1,000, R - 1,000$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,000 &lt; D \leq d_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td>$M$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D &gt; d_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td>$T + d_0 / 2, R - d_0 / 2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b) E-layer screening

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Path length, $D$ (km)</th>
<th>F2 modes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0 &lt; D \leq 2,000$</td>
<td>$M$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,000 &lt; D &lt; 9,000$</td>
<td>$T + 1,000, R - 1,000$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

c) Ray-path mirror-reflection heights

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Path length, $D$ (km)</th>
<th>F2 modes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0 &lt; D \leq d_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>$M$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{\text{max}} &lt; D &lt; 9,000$</td>
<td>$T + d_0 / 2, M, R - d_0 / 2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d) Ionospheric absorption and associated electron gyrofrequency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Path length, $D$ (km)</th>
<th>E modes</th>
<th>F2 modes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$0 &lt; D \leq 2,000$</td>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>$M$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,000 &lt; D \leq 4,000$</td>
<td>$T + 1,000, M, R - 1,000$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$2,000 &lt; D \leq d_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td>$T + 1,000, M, R - 1,000$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{\text{max}} &lt; D &lt; 9,000$</td>
<td>$-$</td>
<td>$T + 1,000, T + d_0 / 2, M, R - d_0 / 2, R - 1,000$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$M$: path mid-point  
$T$: transmitter location  
$R$: receiver location  
$d_{\text{max}}$: maximum hop length for F2 mode calculated at the mid-path control point  
$d_0$: hop length of lowest-order mode  
Distances are quoted in kilometres.
3.3 E-layer basic MUF

foE is evaluated at the control points noted in Table 1a) and for path lengths of 2000-4000 km the lower value is selected. The basic MUF of an n-hop E mode over a path of length $D$ is given by:

$$n \text{ E}(D) \text{MUF} = \text{foE} \cdot \sec i_{110}$$

(1)

where $i_{110}$ is the angle of incidence at a mid-hop mirror-reflection height of 110 km for a hop of length $d = D/n$.

The E-layer basic MUF for the path is the value of $n_0 \text{E}(D) \text{MUF}$ for the lowest-order E-mode, $n_0$.

3.4 F2-layer characteristics

Numerical representations of the monthly median ionospheric characteristics foF2 and M(3000)F2, for solar-index values $R_{12} = 0$ and 100, and for each month are taken from Recommendation ITU-R P.1239 where the magnetic field is evaluated at a height of 300 km. These representations are used to determine these values for the required times and for the control points given in Table 1a). Linear interpolation or extrapolation is applied for the prevailing index values between $R_{12} = 0$ and 160 (see Recommendation ITU-R P.371). For higher sunspot activity, $R_{12}$ is set equal to 160 in the case of foF2 only.

3.5 F2-layer basic MUF

3.5.1 Lowest-order mode

3.5.1.1 Paths up to $d_{\text{max}}$ (km)

The lowest-order mode, $n_0$, is determined by geometrical considerations, using the mirror reflection height $h_r$ derived at the mid-path control point from the equation:

$$h_r = \frac{1490}{M(3000)F2} - 176 \text{ km or 500 km, whichever is the smaller}$$

(2)

For the $n$th order mode, the F2-layer basic MUF is calculated as:

$$n \text{ F2}(D) \text{MUF} = \left[ 1 + \left( \frac{C_d}{C_{3000}} \right) (B - 1) \right] \cdot \text{foF2} + \frac{f_{HT}}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{d}{d_{\text{max}}} \right)$$

(3)

where:

- $f_{HT}$: value of electron gyrofrequency, for a height of 300 km, determined at each of the appropriate control points given in Table 1a)
- $C_d = 0.74 - 0.591 Z - 0.424 Z^2 - 0.090 Z^3 + 0.088 Z^4 + 0.181 Z^5 + 0.096 Z^6$

(4)

with $Z = 1 - 2d/d_{\text{max}}$

- $d_{\text{max}} = 4780 + (12610 + 2140/x^2 - 49720/x^4 + 688900/x^6) \cdot (1/B - 0.303)$

(5)

$$B = M(3000)F2 - 0.124 + [[M(3000)F2]^2 - 4] \cdot \left[ 0.0215 + 0.005 \sin \left( \frac{7.854}{x} - 1.9635 \right) \right]$$

(6)

where:

- $d$: $D/n$ and $d_{\text{max}}$ are in kilometres
- $C_{3000}$: value of $C_d$ for $d = 3000$ km
\[ x : \frac{f_0F_2}{f_0E}, \text{or} 2, \text{whichever is the larger} \]

\( f_0E \) is calculated as in § 3.2.

The \( nF2(D)MUF \) for the lowest-order mode, \( n_0 \), is the path basic MUF. For the calculation of the basic MUF, \( d_{\text{max}} \) is restricted to be no greater than 4 000 km.

### 3.5.1.2 Paths longer than \( d_{\text{max}} \) (km)

The basic MUF of the lowest-order mode \( n_0 \) \( F2(D)MUF \) for path length \( D \) is taken equal to the lower of the \( F2(d_{\text{max}})MUF \) values determined from equation (3) for the two control points given in Table 1a). This is also the basic MUF for the path.

### 3.5.2 Higher-order modes (paths up to 9 000 km)

#### 3.5.2.1 Paths up to \( d_{\text{max}} \) (km)

The \( F2 \)-layer basic MUF for an \( n \)-hop mode is calculated using equations (3) to (6) at the midpath control point given in Table 1a) for hop length \( d = D/n \).

#### 3.5.2.2 Paths longer than \( d_{\text{max}} \) (km)

The \( F2 \)-layer basic MUF for an \( n \)-hop mode is calculated in terms of \( F2(d_{\text{max}})MUF \) and a distance scaling factor dependent on the respective hop lengths of the mode in question and the lowest possible order mode. For the calculation of \( M_n/M_n0 \), the maximum hop distance, \( d_{\text{max}} \), is recalculated at the control point and can be larger than 4 000 km.

\[ nF2(D)MUF = n_0F2(d_{\text{max}})MUF \cdot \frac{M_n}{M_n0} \quad (7) \]

where \( M_n/M_n0 \) is derived using equation (3) as follows:

\[ \frac{M_n}{M_n0} = \frac{nF2(D)MUF}{n_0F2(D)MUF} \quad (8) \]

The lower of the values calculated at the two control points of Table 1a) is selected.

### 3.6 Within the month probability of ionospheric propagation support

In some cases, it may be sufficient to predict the probability of having sufficient ionization to support propagation over the path, without taking into account system and antenna characteristics and performance requirements. In such cases, the probability that the MUF exceeds the working frequency is required. Sections 3.3 and 3.5 above give the median values of MUF(50) for E and F2 propagation.

For F2 modes, the lower decile ratio, \( \delta \), of the MUF exceeded for 90% of the days of the month, MUF(90), to MUF(50) is given in Recommendation ITU-R P.1239, Table 2, as a function of local time, latitude, season and sunspot number.

For cases where the working frequency, \( f \), is less than MUF(50), the probability of ionospheric support is given by:

\[ F_{\text{prob}} = 130 - \frac{80}{1 + \text{MUF}(50)/(f \cdot \delta)} \quad \text{or} = 100, \text{whichever is the smaller} \quad (9) \]
The upper decile ratio, $\delta_u$, of the MUF exceeded for 10% of the days of the month, MUF(10) to MUF(50) is given in Recommendation ITU-R P.1239, Table 3, as a function of local time, latitude, season and sunspot number.

For cases where the working frequency, $f$, is greater than MUF(50), the probability of ionospheric support is given by:

$$F_{\text{prob}} = \frac{80}{1 + f/(\text{MUF}(50) \cdot \delta_u)} - 30 \quad \text{or} \quad 0, \text{ whichever is the larger} \quad (10)$$

In the case of E modes, the appropriate factors for the interdecile range are 1.05 and 0.95, respectively.

The distribution of the operational MUF at a given hour within a month may be obtained by applying the distribution given in § 3.6.

Note that the operational MUFs exceeded for 90% and 10% of days of the month are defined as the optimum working frequency and the highest probable frequency respectively.

3.7 The path operational MUF

The path operational MUF is the greater of the operational MUF for F2 modes and the operational MUF for E modes. The relationship between the operational and basic MUFs will depend on the systems and antenna characteristics and on the path length geographic and other considerations, and should be determined from practical experience of the circuit performance. Where this experience is not available, for F2 modes, the operational MUF = basic MUF. $R_{\text{op}}$ where $R_{\text{op}}$ is given in Table 1 to Recommendation ITU-R P.1240; for E modes the operational MUF is equal to the basic MUF.

An estimate of the operational MUF exceeded for 10% and 90% of the days is determined by multiplying the median operational MUF by the appropriate factors given in Recommendation ITU-R P.1239, Tables 2 and 3, in the case of the F modes. In the case of E modes the appropriate factors are 1.05 and 0.95 respectively.

4 E-layer maximum screening frequency ($f_s$)

E-layer screening of F2 modes is considered for paths up to 4000 km (see Table 1b). The foE value at the path mid-point (for paths up to 2000 km), or the higher one of the foE values at the two control points 1000 km from each end of the path (for paths longer than 2000 km), is taken for the calculation of the maximum screening frequency.

$$f_s = 1.05 \text{ foE sec } i \quad (11)$$

with:

$$i = \arcsin \left( \frac{R_0 \cos \Delta_F}{R_0 + h_r} \right) \quad (12)$$

where:

$i$: angle of incidence at height $h_r = 110$ km
$R_0$: radius of the Earth, 6371 km
$\Delta_F$: elevation angle for the F2-layer mode (determined from equation (13)).
PART 2

Median sky-wave field strength

5 Median sky-wave field strength

The predicted field strength is the monthly median over all days of the month. The prediction procedure is in three parts, dependent on the path length. For path distances less than 7 000 km predictions of median sky-wave field strength are made using only the method given in § 5.2. For path distances greater than 9 000 km median sky-wave field strengths are made using only the method given in § 5.3. For path distances between 7 000 and 9 000 km both methods are used and the results are interpolated by the method described in § 5.4.

5.1 Elevation angle

The elevation angle which applies for all frequencies, including those above the basic MUF, is given by:

\[
\Delta = \arctan \left( \cot \frac{d}{2 R_0} - \frac{R_0}{R_0 + h_r} \csc \frac{d}{2 R_0} \right)
\]

where:

- \(d\): hop length of an \(n\)-hop mode given by \(d = D/n\)
- \(h_r\): equivalent plane-mirror reflection height
  - for E modes \(h_r = 110\) km
  - for F2 modes \(h_r\) is taken as a function of time, location and hop length.

The mirror reflection height for F2 modes, \(h_r\), is calculated as follows, where:

\[
x = \frac{f_{oF2}}{f_{oE}} \quad \text{and} \quad H = \frac{1490}{M(3000)F2 + \Delta M} - 316
\]

with:

\[
\Delta M = \frac{0.18}{y - 1.4} + \frac{0.096(R_{12} - 25)}{150}
\]

and \(y = x\) or 1.8, whichever is the larger.

a) For \(x > 3.33\) and \(x_r = f/f_{oF2} \geq 1\), where \(f\) is the wave frequency:

\[
h_r = h \text{ or } 800\text{ km, whichever is the smaller}
\]

where:

\[
h = A_1 + B_1 2.4^{-a} \quad \text{for } B_1 \text{ and } a \geq 0
\]

\[
h = A_1 + B_1 \quad \text{otherwise}
\]

with:

\[
A_1 = 140 + (H - 47) E_1
\]

\[
B_1 = 150 + (H - 17) F_1 - A_1
\]

\[
E_1 = -0.09707 x_{r}^{3} + 0.6870 x_{r}^{2} - 0.7506 x_{r} + 0.6
\]
\[ F_1 = -1.862 \, x_r^4 + 12.95 \, x_r^3 - 32.03 \, x_r^2 + 33.50 \, x_r - 10.91 \text{ for } x_r \leq 1.71 \]
\[ F_1 = 1.21 + 0.2 \, x_r \text{ for } x_r > 1.71 \]

and \( a \) varies with distance \( d \) and skip distance \( d_s \) as:
\[ a = (d - d_s) / (H + 140) \]

where:
\[ d_s = 160 + (H + 43) \, G \]
\[ G = -2.102 \, x_r^4 + 19.50 \, x_r^3 - 63.15 \, x_r^2 + 90.47 \, x_r - 44.73 \text{ for } x_r \leq 3.7 \]
\[ G = 19.25 \text{ for } x_r > 3.7 \]

b) For \( x > 3.33 \) and \( x_r < 1 \):
\[ h_r = h \text{ or } 800 \text{ km, whichever is the smaller} \] (15)

where:
\[ h = A_2 + B_2 \, b \text{ for } B_2 \geq 0 \]
\[ = A_2 + B_2 \text{ otherwise} \]

with:
\[ A_2 = 151 + (H - 47) \, E_2 \]
\[ B_2 = 141 + (H - 24) \, F_2 - A_2 \]
\[ E_2 = 0.1906 \, Z^2 + 0.00583 \, Z + 0.1936 \]
\[ F_2 = 0.645 \, Z^2 + 0.883 \, Z + 0.162 \]

where:
\[ Z = x_r \text{ or } 0.1, \text{ whichever is the larger} \]
\[ b = -7.535 \, d_f^4 + 15.75 \, d_f^3 - 8.834 \, d_f^2 - 0.378 \, d_f + 1 \]

where:
\[ d_f = \frac{0.115 \, d}{Z(H + 140)} \text{ or } 0.65; \text{ whichever is the smaller} \]

c) For \( x \leq 3.33 \):
\[ h_r = 115 + H \, J + U \, d \text{ or } 800 \text{ km, whichever is the smaller} \] (16)

with:
\[ J = -0.7126 \, y^3 + 5.863 \, y^2 - 16.13 \, y + 16.07 \]

and
\[ U = 8 \times 10^{-5} (H - 80) (1 + 11 \, y^{2.2}) + 1.2 \times 10^{-3} \, H \, y^{-3.6} \]

In the case of paths up to \( d_{\text{max}} \) (km), \( h_r \) is evaluated at the path mid-point: for longer paths, it is determined for all the control points given in Table 1c) and the mean value is used.
5.2 Paths up to 9 000 km

For path distances less than 7 000 km predictions of median sky-wave field strength are made using only the method given in § 5.2. For path distances between 7 000 and 9 000 km both methods in §§ 5.2 and 5.3 are used. The results from each method are then interpolated by the method given in § 5.4.

5.2.1 Modes considered

Up to three E modes (for paths up to 4 000 km) and up to six F2 modes are selected, each of which meets all of the following separate criteria:

- mirror-reflection heights:
  - for E modes, from a height \( h_r = 110 \) km;
  - for F2 modes, from a height \( h_r \) determined from equation (2), where \( M(3000)F2 \) is evaluated at the mid-path control point (path lengths up to \( d_{\text{max}} \) (km)), or at the control point given in Table 1c) for which \( f_{0F2} \) has the lower value (path lengths from \( d_{\text{max}} \) to 9 000 km);
- E modes – the lowest-order mode with hop length up to 2 000 km, and the next two higher-order modes;
- F2 modes – the lowest-order mode with a hop length up to \( d_{\text{max}} \) (km) and the next five higher-order modes, which have an E-layer maximum screening frequency evaluated as described in § 4 which is less than the operating frequency.

5.2.2 Field strength determination

For each mode \( w \) selected in § 5.2.1, the median field strength is given by:

\[
E_w = 136.6 + P_t + G_t + 20 \log f - L_b \quad \text{dB(1 µV/m)}
\]  

(17)

where:

- \( f \): transmitting frequency (MHz)
- \( P_t \): transmitter power (dB(1 kW))
- \( G_t \): transmitting antenna gain at the required azimuth angle and elevation angle (\( \Delta \)) relative to an isotropic antenna (dB)
- \( L_b \): the ray path basic transmission loss for the mode under consideration given by:

\[
L_b = 32.45 + 20 \log f + 20 \log p' + L_i + L_m + L_g + L_h + L_z
\]  

(18)

with:

- \( p' \): virtual slant range (km)

\[
p' = 2R_0 \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{\sin \left( \frac{d}{2R_0} \right)}{\cos \left[ \Delta + \left( \frac{d}{2R_0} \right) \right]} \right]
\]  

(19)

- \( L_i \): absorption loss (dB) for an \( n \)-hop mode given by:

\[
L_i = \frac{n(1 + 0.0067R_{12}) \cdot \sec i}{(f + f_{L})^2} \cdot \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} AT_{noon} \cdot \frac{F(\chi_j)}{F(\chi_{noon})} \cdot \frac{\varphi_n\left(\frac{f_v}{f_{0E}}\right)}{f_{0E}}
\]  

(20)
with:

\[ F(\chi) = \cos^{p} (0.881 \, \chi) \text{ or } 0.02, \text{ whichever is greater} \]  

(21)

where:

\[ f_v = f \cos i \]  

(22)

and

\[ i: \text{ angle of incidence at 110 km} \]

\[ k: \text{ number of control points (from Table 1d)} \]

\[ f_L: \text{ mean of the values of electron gyrofrequency, about the longitudinal component of the Earth’s magnetic field for a height of 100 km, determined at the control points given in Table 1d). For the magnetic dip, } I, \text{ this quantity can be calculated as:} \]

\[ f_L = |f_H \cdot \sin(I)| \]  

(23)

\[ \chi_j: \text{ solar zenith angle at the } j\text{-th control point or } 102^\circ \text{ whichever is the smaller. The equation-of-time, for the middle of the month in question, is incorporated in the calculation of this parameter} \]

\[ \chi_{noon}: \text{ value of } \chi_j \text{ at local noon} \]

\[ AT_{noon}: \text{ absorption factor at local noon and } R_{12} = 0 \text{ given as a function of geographic latitude and month from Fig. 1} \]

\[ \varphi_n \left( \frac{f_v}{f_{OE}} \right): \text{ absorption layer penetration factor given as a function of the ratio of equivalent vertical-incidence wave frequency } f_v \text{ to } f_{OE} \text{ from Fig. 2} \]

\[ p: \text{ diurnal absorption exponent given as a function of modified magnetic dip calculated at height of 100 km (see Recommendation ITU-R P.1239, Annex 1) and month from Fig. 3.} \]

For frequencies above the basic MUF, the absorption continues to vary with frequency and is calculated assuming the same ray-paths as those at the basic MUF.

\[ L_m: \text{ “above-the-MUF” loss.} \]

For frequency \( f \) equal to or less than the basic MUF \( (f_b) \) of the given mode:

\[ L_m = 0 \]  

(24)

For E modes for \( f > f_b \):

\[ L_m = 130 \left[ \left( \frac{f}{f_b} \right) - 1 \right]^2 \text{ dB} \]  

(25)

or 81 dB whichever is the smaller.

For F2 modes for \( f > f_b \):

\[ L_m = 36 \left[ \left( \frac{f}{f_b} \right) - 1 \right]^{1/2} \text{ dB} \]  

(26)

or 62 dB whichever is the smaller.
\( L_g \): summed ground-reflection loss at intermediate reflection points:

For an \( n \)-hop mode:

\[
L_g = 2(n - 1) \quad \text{dB} \tag{27}
\]

\( L_h \): factor to allow for auroral and other signal losses, given in Table 2. Each value is evaluated in terms of the geomagnetic latitude \( G_n \) (N or S of equator) and local time \( t \) for an Earth-centred dipole with pole at 78.5° N, 68.2° W: mean values for the control points of Table 1d) are taken.

In the Northern Hemisphere, winter is taken as December-February, equinox as March-May and September-November and summer as June-August. In the Southern Hemisphere, the months for winter and summer are interchanged.

For \( G_n < 42.5° \), \( L_h = 0 \) dB

\( L_z \): term containing those effects in sky-wave propagation not otherwise included in this method. The present recommended value is 10.3 dB given in § 5.2.

NOTE 1 – It should be noted that the value of \( L_z \) is dependent on the elements of the prediction method, so that any changes in those elements should be accompanied by revision of the \( L_z \) value.

Discounting modes screened by the E layer, the overall resultant equivalent median sky-wave field strength, \( E_s \), is taken as the root-sum-squared field strength for \( N \) modes where \( N \) is chosen to encompass the F2 and E modes for which predictions have been made, i.e.:

\[
E_s = 10 \log_{10} \left( \sum_{w=1}^{N} 10^{E_w/10} \right) \quad \text{dB}(1 \mu \text{V/m}) \tag{28}
\]

For the prediction of the performance of digitally modulated systems, the equivalent median sky-wave field strength for each mode is taken into account, see § 10.2.
FIGURE 1
The absorption factor, $A_{T_{\text{noon}}}$
The absorption layer penetration factor, \( \Phi_n \left( \frac{f_v}{f_0E} \right) \)
**FIGURE 3**

The diurnal absorption exponent, $\rho$

### TABLE 2

**Values of $L_h$ giving auroral and other signal losses (dB)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$G_n$</th>
<th>1 $\leq t &lt; 04$</th>
<th>04 $\leq t &lt; 07$</th>
<th>07 $\leq t &lt; 10$</th>
<th>10 $\leq t &lt; 13$</th>
<th>13 $\leq t &lt; 16$</th>
<th>16 $\leq t &lt; 19$</th>
<th>19 $\leq t &lt; 22$</th>
<th>22 $\leq t &lt; 01$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>77.5° $\leq G_n$</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72.5° $\leq G_n &lt; 77.5°$</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.5° $\leq G_n &lt; 72.5°$</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.5° $\leq G_n &lt; 67.5°$</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.5° $\leq G_n &lt; 62.5°$</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.5° $\leq G_n &lt; 57.5°$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.5° $\leq G_n &lt; 52.5°$</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.5° $\leq G_n &lt; 47.5°$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.5° $\leq G_n$</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>72.5° $\leq G_n &lt; 77.5°$</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>67.5° $\leq G_n &lt; 72.5°$</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.5° $\leq G_n &lt; 67.5°$</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57.5° $\leq G_n &lt; 62.5°$</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52.5° $\leq G_n &lt; 57.5°$</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47.5° $\leq G_n &lt; 52.5°$</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42.5° $\leq G_n &lt; 47.5°$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 2 (end)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$G_n$</th>
<th>1.0</th>
<th>1.2</th>
<th>2.7</th>
<th>3.0</th>
<th>0.6</th>
<th>2.0</th>
<th>2.3</th>
<th>1.6</th>
<th>2.1</th>
<th>1.2</th>
<th>2.3</th>
<th>2.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$72.5^\circ \leq G_n &lt; 77.5^\circ$</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>q</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$67.5^\circ \leq G_n &lt; 72.5^\circ$</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>u</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$62.5^\circ \leq G_n &lt; 67.5^\circ$</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>i</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$57.5^\circ \leq G_n &lt; 62.5^\circ$</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$52.5^\circ \leq G_n &lt; 57.5^\circ$</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>o</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$47.5^\circ \leq G_n &lt; 52.5^\circ$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$42.5^\circ \leq G_n &lt; 47.5^\circ$</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 5.3 Paths longer than 7 000 km

For path distances longer than 9 000 km predictions of median sky-wave field strength are made using only the method given in § 5.3. For path distances between 7 000 and 9 000 km both methods in §§ 5.2 and 5.3 are used. The results from each method are then interpolated by the method given in § 5.4.
For paths longer than 7 000 km, calculating all possible modes is impractical. Consequently, the following method is applied where the LUF \((f_L)\) and the operational MUF \((f_M)\) define the transmission frequency range. The values \(f_M\) and \(f_L\) are the most important parameters in the empirical formula to calculate the field strength. However, for path lengths between 7 000 and 9 000 km, the results of the two methods are interpolated in order to provide a smooth transition (see § 5.4).

This method involves three basic steps:

− determination of the \(f_M\);
− determination of the \(f_L\);
− estimation of the field strength.

### 5.3.1 Determination of the \(f_M\)

To determine \(f_M\), predictions are made by dividing the path into the minimum number \((n_M)\) of equal length hops \((d_M)\) 4 000 km or smaller. The elevation angle is calculated according to equation (13), taking into account the hop length and a fixed height of 300 km. If the elevation angle is lower than 3.0 degrees, one hop is added and the hop length and elevation angle are recalculated until the elevation angle exceeds 3.0 degrees. Next, the positions of both control points are determined from Table 1a). In this case, \(d_0\) equals \(d_M\), so the control points are located at the half hop length \((d_M/2)\) from transmitter and receiver.

At both control points \(f_0\), \(M(3000)\) and the gyro frequency \((f_H)\) are determined according to § 3.4. These values are used to calculate the \(F2(4000)\)MUF \((f_4)\), \(F2(\text{Zero})\)MUF \((f_z)\), and the basic MUF \((f_{BM})\) for the control points:

\[
f_{BM} = f_z + (f_4 - f_z)f_D \quad \text{MHz} \tag{29}
\]

where:

\[
f_4 = 1.1 \cdot f_0 F2 \cdot M(3000) F2
\]

\[
f_z = f_0 F2 + \frac{f_H}{2}
\]

The distance reduction factor \((f_D)\) is used to reduce the 4 000 km MUF to the actual hop length. The factor \(f_D\) varies between 0.0 (for a hop length of 0 km) and 1.0 (for a hop length of 4 000 km).

\[
f_D = \left( \left( \left( \left( \left( C_6 d_M + C_5 \right) d_M + C_4 \right) d_M + C_3 \right) d_M + C_2 \right) d_M + C_1 \right) d_M + C_0 \right) d_M \tag{30}
\]

where:

\[
C_6: \quad -2.40074637494790 \cdot 10^{-24}
\]
\[
C_5: \quad 25.8520201885984 \cdot 10^{-21}
\]
\[
C_4: \quad -92.498698833091 \cdot 10^{-18}
\]
\[
C_3: \quad 102.342990689362 \cdot 10^{-15}
\]
\[
C_2: \quad 22.0776941764705 \cdot 10^{-12}
\]
\[
C_1: \quad 87.4376851991085 \cdot 10^{-9}
\]
\[
C_0: \quad 29.1996868566837 \cdot 10^{-6}
\]

\(d_M:\) hop length (km).

The value \(f_{BM}\) is determined separately for the two control points and the lower value is taken as the Basic MUF for the whole path.
The value $f_M$ is determined separately for the two control points from the product of the K-factor and the basic MUF. The lower value is taken as the operational MUF for the whole path.

$$f_M = K \cdot f_{BM} \quad \text{MHz} \quad (31)$$

The K-factor is used to calculate the operational MUF $f_M$ from the basic MUF $f_{BM}$:

$$K = 1.2 + W \frac{f_{BM}}{f_{BM,noon}} + X \left[ 3 \sqrt{\frac{f_{BM,noon}}{f_{BM}}} - 1 \right] + Y \left[ \frac{f_{BM,noon}}{f_{BM}} \right]$$

where:

- $f_{BM,noon}$: value of $f_{BM}$ for a time corresponding to local noon
- $f_{BM,\text{min}}$: lowest value of $f_{BM}$ which occurs during 24 hours.

$W$, $X$ and $Y$ are given in Table 3. The azimuth angle of the great-circle path is determined at the centre of the whole path; this angle is used to linearly interpolate the angle between the East-West and North-South values.

### TABLE 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$W$</th>
<th>$X$</th>
<th>$Y$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>East-West</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North-South</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### 5.3.2 Determination of the $f_L$

The LUF is strongly influenced by non-derivative absorption. HF waves are absorbed by penetrating the D-Layer. For the determination of the LUF, the path is divided into $n_L$ equal hops $d_L$ in length (none longer than 3 000 km). The penetration points are determined by assuming a fixed reflection height of 300 km and a penetration height of 90 km (two penetration points per hop).

The $f_L$ is calculated by equation (33):

$$f_L = 5.3 \left[ \frac{(1+0.009R_{12}) \sum_m \cos(0.5\chi)}{\cos(\phi_m) \log \left( \frac{9.5 \times 10^6}{p} \right)} \right]^{0.5} - f_H (A_w + 1) \quad \text{MHz} \quad (33)$$

where:

- $m$: number of penetration points $2n_L$
- $R_{12}$: sun spot number which does not saturate for high values and can exceed 160
- $\chi$: Solar zenith angle which can be calculated by the following equation:
  $$\cos(\chi) = \sin(\phi_m) \sin(\delta) + \cos(\phi_m) \cos(\delta) \cos(\eta)$$

where:

- $\delta$: solar declination (radians)
- $\phi_m$: geographical latitude of the $m^{th}$ penetration point (radians)
- $\eta$: solar hour angle (radians).
The solar declination, $\delta$, can be approximated by the subsolar latitude for the middle of the month ($s_x$) from Table 4:

### Table 4
**Subsolar latitude for the middle of the month**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$s_x$ (degrees)</td>
<td>-21.2</td>
<td>-12.7</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>14.1</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>-8.4</td>
<td>-18.4</td>
<td>-23.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The solar hour angle can be approximated by:

$$\eta \cong \left(\frac{UTC}{12} - 1\right) \cdot \pi + y_m$$  \hspace{1cm} (35)

where:

- $UTC$: universal time (hours)
- $y_m$: geographical longitude of the $m^{th}$ penetration point (radians).

In the summation, $\chi$ is determined for each traverse of the ray-path through the height of 90 km. When $\chi > 90^\circ$, $\cos^{0.5} \chi$ is set to zero.

- $i_{90}$: angle of incidence at a height of 90 km
- $p^\prime$: slant path length
- $A_w$: winter-anomaly factor determined at the path midpoint, which is unity for geographic latitudes 0° to 30° and at 90°, and reaches the maximum values given in Table 5 at 60°. The values at intermediate latitudes are determined through linear interpolation.

### Table 5
**Values of the winter-anomaly factor $A_w$, at 60° geographic latitude used in the equation for $f_L$**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hemisphere</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>J</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Northern</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Southern</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Initially, the $f_L$ for 24 hours is determined from equation (33) or the night-LUF. The night-LUF ($f_{LN}$) is calculated from:

$$f_{LN} = \frac{D}{\sqrt{3000}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (36)

For each hour, the larger of the values calculated from equations (32) and (35) are taken as the $f_L$ for that hour. In this way, the 24-hour minimum $f_L$ value is $f_{LN}$. Next, the decay from day-LUF to night-LUF is calculated. This is because the absorption does not follow the sun’s zenith angle exactly, but is delayed around sunset. The following procedure is required to determine the day- to night-LUF.

The day-LUF to night-LUF hour ($t_r$) is defined as the hour where the current $f_L$ is less than $2f_{LN}$ while the previous hour $f_L$ is greater than $2f_{LN}$. If $t_r$ exists, then $f_L$ must be recalculated for the hours $t_r$ and the succeeding three hours. If $t_r$ does not exist, then the determination of $f_L$ for 24 hours is complete.
When \(t_r\) exists, the \(f_L\) for that hour and the succeeding three hours must be recalculated in the following way. For the hour \((t_r)\), \(f_L\) is calculated using:

\[
   f_L(t_r) = e^{-0.23} \cdot f_L(t_r - 1) \cdot (dt \cdot (1 - e^{-0.23}) + e^{-0.23})
\]  

(37)

where:

\[
   dt = \frac{2 \cdot f_{LN} - f_L(t_r)}{f_L(t_r - 1) - f_L(t_r)}
\]

For the succeeding three hours \((n = 1, 2\) and 3), \(f_L\) is calculated by:

\[
   f_L(t_r + n) = f_L(t_r + n - 1) \cdot e^{-0.23}
\]

(38)

The newly recalculated \(f_L\) values replace the initial \(f_L\) values only if they are larger. Once all \(f_L\) values in a 24-hour period are calculated, the current hour \(f_L\) value is selected and the \(f_L\) calculation is complete.

### 5.3.3 Estimation of the field strength \(E_d\)

The resultant median field strength \(E_d\) is given by:

\[
   E_d = E_0 \left[ 1 - \frac{(f_M + f_H)^2}{(f_M + f_H)^2 + (f_L + f_H)^2} \left[ \frac{(f_L + f_H)^2}{(f_M + f_H)^2 + (f_L + f_H)^2} \right] \right] - 30.0 + P_t + G_{tl} + G_{ap} - L_y 
\]

\[
   \text{dB}(1 \mu V/m)
\]

(39)

where \(E_0\) is the free-space field strength for 3 MW e.i.r.p. In this case:

\[
   E_0 = 139.6 - 20 \log p' \quad \text{dB}(1 \mu V/m)
\]

(40)

where:

- \(p'\) is calculated using equations (19) and (13) with \(h_r = 300\) km
- \(G_{tl}\): largest value of transmitting antenna gain at the required azimuth in the elevation range 0° to 8° (dB)
- \(G_{ap}\): increase in field strength due to focusing at long distances given as:

\[
   G_{ap} = 10 \log \left[ \frac{D}{R_0 \sin \left( \frac{\theta}{2} \right)} \right] \quad \text{dB}
\]

(41)

As \(G_{ap}\) from the above formula tends to infinity when \(D\) is a multiple of \(\pi R_0\), it is limited to the value of 15 dB.

- \(L_y\): a term similar in concept to \(L_z\). The present recommended value is –0.9 dB
- It should be noted that the value of \(L_y\) is dependent on the elements of the prediction method, so that any changes in those elements should be accompanied by revision of the \(L_y\) value
- \(f_{lt}\): mean of the values of electron gyrofrequency determined at both control points
- \(f_M\): MUF (see § 5.3.1)
- \(f_L\): LUF (see § 5.3.2).
5.4 Paths between 7000 and 9000 km

In this distance range the median sky-wave field strength $E_i$ is determined by interpolation between values $E_s$ and $E_l$. $E_s$ is the root-sum-squared field strength given by equation (28) and $E_l$ refers to a composite mode as given by equation (39).

\[ E_i = 10 \log_{10} X_i \quad \text{dB(1 $\mu$V/m)} \quad (42) \]

with:

\[ X_i = X_s + \frac{D - 7000}{2000} (X_l - X_s) \]

where:

\[ X_s = 10^{0.01 E_s} \]

and

\[ X_l = 10^{0.01 E_l} \]

The basic MUF for the path is equal to the lower of the basic MUF values given from equation (3) for the two control points noted in Table 1.

6 Median available receiver power

For distance ranges up to 7000 km, where field strength is calculated by the method of § 5.2, for a given mode $w$ having sky-wave field strength $E_w$ (dB(1 $\mu$V/m)) at frequency $f$ (MHz), the corresponding available signal power $P_{rw}$ (dBW) from a lossless receiving antenna of gain $G_{rw}$ (dB relative to an isotropic radiator) in the direction of signal incidence is:

\[ P_{rw} = E_w + G_{rw} - 2 \log_{10} f - 107.2 \quad \text{dBW} \quad (43) \]

The resultant median available signal power $P_r$ (dBW) is given by summing the powers arising from the different modes, each mode contribution depending on the receiving antenna gain in the direction of incidence of that mode. For $N$ modes contributing to the summation:

\[ P_r = 10 \log_{10} \sum_{w=1}^{N} 10^{P_{rw}/10} \quad \text{dBW} \quad (44) \]

For distance ranges beyond 9000 km, where field strength is calculated by the method of § 5.3, the field strength $E_l$ is for the resultant of the composite modes. In this case $P_r$ is determined using equation (43), where $G_{rw}$ is the largest value of receiving antenna gain at the required azimuth in the elevation range 0° to 8°.

In the intermediate range 7000 to 9000 km, the power is determined from equation (42) using the powers corresponding to $E_s$ and $E_l$. 
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7 Monthly median signal-to-noise ratio

Recommendation ITU-R P.372 provides values of median atmospheric noise power for reception on a short vertical lossless monopole antenna above perfect ground and also gives corresponding man-made noise and cosmic noise intensities. The resultant external noise factor is given as $F_a$ (dB($k T b$)) at frequency $f$ (MHz) where $k$ is the Boltzmann constant and $T$ is a reference temperature of 288 K. In general, when using some other practical reception antenna the resultant noise factor may differ from this value of $F_a$. However, since complete noise measurement data for different antennas is not available, it is appropriate to assume that the $F_a$ value obtained from Recommendation ITU-R P.372 applies, as a first approximation. Hence the monthly median signal-to-noise ratio ($S/N$) (dB) achieved within a bandwidth $b$ (Hz) is:

$$S/N = P_r - F_a - 10 \log_{10} b + 204$$ (45)

where:

$P_r$: median available receiver power determined from § 6.

8 Sky-wave field strength, available receiver signal power and signal-to-noise ratios for other percentages of time

The sky-wave field strength, available receiver signal power and signal-to-noise ratio may be determined for a specified percentage of time in terms of the within-an-hour and day-to-day deviations of the signals and the noise. In the absence of other data, signal fading allowances may be taken as those adopted by WARC HFBC-87 with a short-term upper decile deviation of 5 dB and a lower decile deviation of 8 dB. For long-term signal fading the decile deviations are taken as a function of the ratio of operating frequency to the path basic MUF as given in Table 2 of Recommendation ITU-R P.842.

In the case of atmospheric noise, the decile deviations of noise power arising from day-to-day variability are taken from Recommendation ITU-R P.372. No allowance for within-an-hour variability is currently applied. For man-made noise, in the absence of direct information on temporal variability, the decile deviations are also taken as those given in Recommendation ITU-R P.372 although these strictly relate to a combination of temporal and spatial variability.

The combined within-an-hour and day-to-day decile variability of galactic noise is taken as ±2 dB.

The signal-to-noise ratio exceeded for 90% of the time is given by:

$$S/N_{90} = S/N_{50} - (S^2_{wh} + S^2_{dd} + N^2_{dd})^{1/2}$$ (46)

where:

$S_{wh}$: wanted signal lower decile deviation from the hourly median field strength arising from within the hour changes (dB)

$S_{dd}$: wanted signal lower decile deviation from the monthly median field strength arising from day-to-day changes (dB)

$N_{dd}$: background noise upper decile deviation from the monthly median field strength arising from day-to-day changes (dB).
For other time percentages the deviations may be obtained from the information for a log-normal distribution given in Recommendation ITU-R P.1057.

9 Lowest usable frequency (LUF)
The LUF is defined in Recommendation ITU-R P.373. Consistent with this definition, this is evaluated as the lowest frequency, expressed to the nearest 0.1 MHz, at which a required signal-to-noise ratio is achieved by the monthly median signal-to-noise.

10 Basic circuit reliability (BCR)

10.1 The reliability of analogue modulated systems
The BCR is defined in Recommendation ITU-R P.842, where the reliability is the probability (in that Recommendation given as a percentage) that the specified performance criterion (i.e. the specified signal-to-noise) is achieved. For analogue systems, it is evaluated on the basis of signal-to-noise ratios incorporating within-an-hour and day-to-day decile variations of both signal field strength and noise background. Distribution about the median is as described in § 8. The procedure is set out in Recommendation ITU-R P.842.

10.2 The reliability of digitally modulated systems, taking account of the time and frequency spreading of the received signal
For modulation systems which are robust in respect of the expected time and frequency spreading, the reliability is the percentage of time for which the required signal-to-noise is expected, using the procedure described in § 8.

In general, for digitally modulated systems, account should be taken of the time and frequency spreading of the received signal.

10.2.1 System parameters
A simplified representation of the channel transfer function is used. For the modulation method concerned the estimation of reliability is based on four parameters:

- **Time window,** $T_w$: The time interval within which signal modes will contribute to system performance and beyond which will reduce system performance.

- **Frequency window,** $F_w$: The frequency interval within which signal modes will contribute to system performance and beyond which will reduce system performance.

- **Required signal-to-noise ratio,** $S/N_r$: The ratio of the power sum of the hourly median signal modes to the noise, which is required to achieve the specified performance for the circumstances where all signal modes are within the time and frequency windows, $T_w$ and $F_w$.

- **Amplitude ratio,** $A$: For each propagating mode the hourly median value of the field strength will be predicted, taking account of transmitter power and of the antenna gain for that mode. The strongest mode at that hour will be determined and the amplitude ratio, $A$, is the ratio of the strength of the dominant mode to that of a sub-dominant mode, which will just affect the system performance if it arrives with a time delay beyond $T_w$ or a frequency spread greater than $F_w$. 

10.2.2 Time delay
The time delay of an individual mode is given by:

\[ \tau = (p'/c) \times 10^3 \text{ ms} \]  

(47)

where:

- \( p' \): virtual slant range (km) given by equations (13) and (19), and the reflection height, \( h_r \), determined as in § 5.1
- \( c \): speed of light (km/s) in free space.

The differential time delay between modes may be determined from the time delays of each mode.

10.2.3 Reliability prediction procedure
For the prediction of reliability the following procedure is used:

For path lengths up to 9 000 km:

Step 1: The strength of the dominant mode, \( E_w \), is determined using the methods given in §§ 5.2 and 5.3.

Step 2: All other active modes with strengths exceeding \((E_w - A \text{ (dB)})\) are identified.

Step 3: Of the modes identified in Steps 1 or 2, the first arriving mode is identified, and all modes within the time window, \( T_w \), measured from the first arriving mode, are identified.

Step 4: For path lengths up to 7 000 km, a power summation of the modes arriving within the window is made, or for path lengths between 7 000 and 9 000 km the interpolation procedure given in § 5.4 is used, and the basic circuit reliability, BCR, is determined using the procedure in § 10.1. This uses the procedure of Table 1 of Recommendation ITU-R P.842. The required signal-to-noise ratio, \( S/N_r \), is used in Step 10 of that table.

Step 5: If any of the active modes identified in Step 2 above have differential time delays beyond the time window, \( T_w \), the reduction in reliability due to these modes is determined using a method similar to that for overall circuit reliability given in Table 3 of Recommendation ITU-R P.842, replacing the relative protection ratios of Step 3 of Table 3 by the ratio A and ignoring the day-to-day variability by setting to 0 dB all parameters in Steps 5 and 8. The result given by step 14 of Recommendation ITU-R P.842 is the digital circuit reliability, DCR, in the absence of scattering. Thus the degradation in reliability due to multimode interference, MIR, is the ratio of the values obtained for Step 14 to Step 13 of Table 3 of Recommendation ITU-R P.842, i.e. \( \text{DCR} = ((\text{BCR}) \times (\text{MIR})/100)\% \).

Note that it may be necessary to reconsider the values for the decile deviations given in Steps 6 and 9 of Table 3, since the probability distribution may be different for the consideration of individual modes.

Step 6: Outside the regions and times where scattering is expected, the frequency shift due to bulk motion of the reflecting layers is expected to be of the order of 1 Hz and this method assumes that such frequency shifts are negligible.

For path lengths beyond 9 000 km:

The strength of the composite signal is as obtained in § 5.3. It is assumed that the modes making up this composite signal are contained within a time delay spread of 3 ms at 7 000 km, increasing linearly to 5 ms at 20 000 km. If the time window specified for the system is smaller than this time delay spread, then it is predicted that the system will not meet its performance requirements.
10.3 Equatorial scattering

In addition to the procedure given in § 10.2 above, the following steps should be undertaken to calculate the spreading due to scatter, invoking the model for equatorial scattering given in Attachment 1:

Step 7: The potential time spread due to scattering is given in Attachment 1, § 1, this time scattering function at increasing times is applied to each F region mode within the time window and the scattering strength $p_{Tspread}$, found at the edge of the time window, $T_w$.

Step 8: The potential frequency spread due to scattering is given in Attachment 1, § 2, this frequency scattering function, $p_{Fspread}$, is applied to the dominant F region mode and the frequency scattering strength is found symmetrically at the edges of the frequency window, $F_w$.

Step 9: If the value of any $p_{Tspread}$ and/or $p_{Fspread}$ at the edges of the windows exceeds $(E_W - A)$ the probability of occurrence of scattering should be determined at the control points for the F region modes as given in Attachment 1, § 3. Where more than one control point is considered for a propagation mode, the largest probability should be taken.

Step 10: The digital circuit reliability in the presence of scattering is given by the function:

$$DCR = ((BCR) \times (MIR) \times (1 – prob_{occ})/100)\%$$

(48)

where the probability of scattering occurrence, $prob_{occ}$, is defined in Attachment 1.

Attachment 1 to Annex 1

A model for equatorial scattering of HF signals

1 The time scattering model for the available power from the scattered component $p_{Tspread}$ is given by a half-normal distribution:

$$p_{Tspread} = 0.056 p_m e^{-(\tau - \tau_m)^2/2T_{spread}^2}$$

for $\tau$ greater than $\tau_m$.

where:

- $p_m$: available received power from specular reflection of the mode
- $\tau$: time delay being considered
- $\tau_m$: time delay of the specular mode
- $T_{spread}$: standard deviation of the time spread in this half distribution, taken as 1 ms.

2 For frequency spreading the scatter is symmetrical around the transmitted frequency with a similar form of variation as for time spreading:

$$p_{Fspread} = 0.056 p_m e^{-(f - f_m)^2/2F_{spread}^2}$$
where:

- \( f \): frequency being considered
- \( f_m \): transmitted centre frequency
- \( F_{\text{spread}} \): standard deviation of the frequency spread, taken as 3 Hz.

3 The probability of occurrence of scattering on a day within a month \( \text{probocc} \) is given by:

\[
\text{probocc} = F_{\lambda_d} F_{T_l} F_R F_S
\]

where:

\[
F_{\lambda_d} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{for } 0^\circ < |\lambda_d| < 15^\circ \\
\left( \frac{25 - |\lambda_d|}{10} \right)^2 \left( \frac{|\lambda_d| - 10}{5} \right) & \text{for } 15^\circ < |\lambda_d| < 25^\circ \\
0 & \text{for } 25^\circ < |\lambda_d| < 90^\circ 
\end{cases}
\]

where \( \lambda_d \) is the magnetic dip

\[
F_{T_l} = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{for } 00 < T_l < 03 \\
\left( \frac{7 - T_l}{4} \right)^2 \left( T_l - 1 \right) & \text{for } 03 < T_l < 07 \\
0 & \text{for } 07 < T_l < 19 \\
(T_l - 19)^2 (41 - 2T_l) & \text{for } 19 < T_l < 20 \\
1 & \text{for } 20 < T_l < 24 
\end{cases}
\]

where:

- \( T_l \): local time at the control point (h)
- \( F_R = (0.1 + 0.008R_{12}) \) or 1, whichever is the smaller, and \( R_{12} \) is the sunspot number

and

\[
F_S = 0.55 + 0.45 \sin\left(60^\circ (m - 1.5)\right)
\]

where \( m \) is the month number.

4 The prediction procedure would be to determine the levels of the time- and frequency-scattered components at the limits of the time and frequency windows specified for the modulation system in use. If the ratio of the greater of these two levels to the level of the specular component of the dominant mode is within the limits specified for inter-symbol interference for the system, then the system is predicted to fail with a probability given by the probability of scattering occurrence.