Recommendation ITU-R F.758-8 (02/2025)

F Series: Fixed service

System parameters and considerations in the development of criteria for sharing or compatibility between digital fixed wireless systems in the fixed service and systems in other services and other sources of interference



Foreword

The role of the Radiocommunication Sector is to ensure the rational, equitable, efficient and economical use of the radiofrequency spectrum by all radiocommunication services, including satellite services, and carry out studies without limit of frequency range on the basis of which Recommendations are adopted.

The regulatory and policy functions of the Radiocommunication Sector are performed by World and Regional Radiocommunication Conferences and Radiocommunication Assemblies supported by Study Groups.

Policy on Intellectual Property Right (IPR)

ITU-R policy on IPR is described in the Common Patent Policy for ITU-T/ITU-R/ISO/IEC referenced in Resolution ITU-R 1. Forms to be used for the submission of patent statements and licensing declarations by patent holders are available from https://www.itu.int/ITU-R/go/patents/en where the Guidelines for Implementation of the Common Patent Policy for ITU-T/ITU-R/ISO/IEC and the ITU-R patent information database can also be found.

	Series of ITU-R Recommendations
	(Also available online at <u>https://www.itu.int/publ/R-REC/en</u>)
Series	Title
BO	Satellite delivery
BR	Recording for production, archival and play-out; film for television
BS	Broadcasting service (sound)
BT	Broadcasting service (television)
F	Fixed service
Μ	Mobile, radiodetermination, amateur and related satellite services
Р	Radiowave propagation
RA	Radio astronomy
RS	Remote sensing systems
S	Fixed-satellite service
SA	Space applications and meteorology
SF	Frequency sharing and coordination between fixed-satellite and fixed service systems
SM	Spectrum management
SNG	Satellite news gathering
TF	Time signals and frequency standards emissions
V	Vocabulary and related subjects

Note: This ITU-R Recommendation was approved in English under the procedure detailed in Resolution ITU-R 1.

Electronic Publication Geneva, 2025

© ITU 2025

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, by any means whatsoever, without written permission of ITU.

RECOMMENDATION ITU-R F.758-8

System parameters and considerations in the development of criteria for sharing or compatibility between digital fixed wireless systems in the fixed service and systems in other services and other sources of interference

(Question ITU-R 252/5)

(1992-1997-2000-2003-2005-2012-2015-2019-2025)

Scope

This Recommendation contains principles for the development of sharing criteria of digital systems in the fixed service. Considerations are mainly given on how to properly design performance and availability degradations due to interference within the allowable objectives, as specified in Recommendation ITU-R F.1094, under the various interference environments. It also contains information on representative technical characteristics and typical system sharing parameters of digital fixed wireless systems in the fixed service for use in sharing studies above about 30 MHz. In cases where analyses indicate sharing issues, additional information on specific fixed wireless systems deployed by administrations can be found in Report ITU-R F.2108.

Keywords

Fixed service, sharing criteria, compatibility

Abbreviations

AP	Availability performance
APO	Availability performance objective
ATPC	Automatic transmit power control
BER	Bit error ratio
e.i.r.p.	equivalent isotropically radiated power
EP	Error performance
EPO	Error performance objective
FS	Fixed service
FSK	Frequency shift keying
FWS	Fixed wireless system
NF	Noise figure
PMP	Point-to-multipoint
PP	Point-to-point
QAM	Quadrature amplitude modulation
QPSK	Quaternary phase shift keying

Related ITU Recommendations and Reports

Recommendation ITU-R P.581 - The concept of "worst month"

- Recommendation ITU-R F.634 Error performance objectives for real digital radio-relay links forming part of the high-grade portion of international digital connections at a bit rate below the primary rate within an integrated services digital network
- Recommendation ITU-R F.695 Availability objectives for real digital radio-relay links forming part of a high-grade circuit within an integrated services digital network
- Recommendation ITU-R F.696 Error performance and availability objectives for hypothetical reference digital sections forming part or all of the medium-grade portion of an ISDN connection at a bit rate below the primary rate utilizing digital radio-relay systems
- Recommendation ITU-R F.697 Error performance and availability objectives for the local-grade portion at each end of an ISDN connection at a bit rate below the primary rate utilizing digital radio-relay systems
- Recommendation ITU-R F.699 Reference radiation patterns for fixed wireless system antennas for use in coordination studies and interference assessment in the frequency range from 100 MHz to 86 GHz
- Recommendation ITU-R SF.766 Methods for determining the effects of interference on the performance and the availability of terrestrial radio-relay systems and systems in the fixed-satellite service
- Recommendation ITU-R F.1094 Maximum allowable error performance and availability degradations to digital fixed wireless systems arising from radio interference from emissions and radiations from other sources
- Recommendation ITU-R F.1101 Characteristics of digital fixed wireless systems below about 17 GHz
- Recommendation ITU-R F.1108 Determination of the criteria to protect fixed service receivers from the emissions of space stations operating in non-geostationary orbits in shared frequency bands
- Recommendation ITU-R F.1245 Mathematical model of average and related radiation patterns for point-topoint fixed wireless system antennas for use in interference assessment in the frequency range from 1 GHz to 86 GHz
- Recommendation ITU-R F.1336 Reference radiation patterns of omnidirectional, sectoral and other antennas for the fixed and mobile service for use in sharing studies in the frequency range from 400 MHz to about 70 GHz
- Recommendation ITU-R SM.1448 Determination of the coordination area around an earth station in the frequency bands between 100 MHz and 105 GHz
- Recommendation ITU-R F.1494 Interference criteria to protect the fixed service from time varying aggregate interference from other services sharing the 10.7-12.75 GHz band on a co-primary basis
- Recommendation ITU-R F.1495 Interference criteria to protect the fixed service from time varying aggregate interference from other radiocommunication services sharing the 17.7-19.3 GHz band on a co-primary basis
- Recommendation ITU-R F.1565 Performance degradation due to interference from other services sharing the same frequency bands on a co-primary basis, or from other sources of interference, with real digital fixed wireless systems used in the international and national portions of a 27 500 km hypothetical reference path at or above the primary rate
- Recommendation ITU-R F.1606 Interference criteria to protect fixed wireless systems from time varying aggregate interference produced by non-geostationary satellites operating in other services sharing the 37-40 GHz and 40.5-42.5 GHz bands on a co-primary basis
- Recommendation ITU-R SF.1650 The minimum distance from the baseline beyond which in-motion earth stations located on board vessels would not cause unacceptable interference to the terrestrial service in the bands 5 925-6 425 MHz and 14-14.5 GHz

Recommendation ITU-R F.1668 – Error performance objectives for real digital fixed wireless links used in 27 500 km hypothetical reference paths and connections

- Recommendation ITU-R F.1669 Interference criteria of fixed wireless systems operating in the 37-40 GHz and 40.5-42.5 GHz bands with respect to satellites in the geostationary orbit
- Recommendation ITU-R F.1703 Availability objectives for real digital fixed wireless links used in 27 500 km hypothetical reference paths and connections

Recommendation ITU-R F.2086 - Deployment scenarios for point-to-point systems in the fixed service

Report ITU-R F.2108 – Fixed service system parameters for different frequency bands

- Recommendation ITU-T G.826 End-to-end error performance parameters and objectives for international, constant bit-rate digital paths and connections
- Recommendation ITU-T G.827 Availability performance parameters and objectives for end-to-end international constant bit-rate digital paths
- Recommendation ITU-T G.828 Error performance parameters and objectives for international, constant bitrate synchronous digital paths

Recommendation ITU-T G.829 - Error performance events for SDH multiplex and regenerator sections

The ITU Radiocommunication Assembly,

considering

a) that it is necessary to establish sharing criteria between the fixed service (FS) and other services and their associated application in frequency bands where both services are allocated with equal rights;

b) that sharing may be managed by determining allowable values of performance and availability degradations of fixed wireless systems (FWS) caused by interference from other radio services allocated in the same frequency bands as the FS with equal rights;

c) that for compatibility between FS and systems of other services, including their associated application having allocation in the same band on a secondary basis, unwanted emissions from any other systems of services outside the band under consideration, falling into a band allocated to the fixed service on a primary basis, and emissions from sources other than radio services need also to be taken into account;

d) that principles for apportioning the performance and availability degradation among the different elements of the FWS and between each interference source, need to be established;

e) that the technical characteristics of each system are normally determined in order to derive interference criteria corresponding to the allowable degradation in performance and availability of the FWS;

f) that performance and availability degradation may result from both long-term and short-term interference and hence both long-term and short-term interference criteria need to be established;

g) that availability of a basic methodology for the development of sharing criteria for the FS is useful for other ITU-R Study Groups;

h that characteristics of digital and analogue fixed systems based on previous versions of the Recommendation are contained in Report ITU-R F.2108,

recognizing

a) that Recommendation ITU-R F.1094 provides the overall apportionment principle of the performance and availability degradations to the FS due to interference from other services or sources;

b) that Recommendation ITU-R F.2086 provides information on deployment characteristics which may be used when studying sharing or interference between point-to-point systems in the FS and other systems/applications in the FS and other services when more specific information is not available,

recommends

1 that the development of sharing criteria and assessment of interference conditions between the FS and other services and other sources of interference should be considered in accordance with the principles described in Annex 1;

2 that the information provided in Annex 2 should be considered as guidance to the technical characteristics and typical system parameters of digital fixed wireless FS systems that need also to be considered when developing criteria for sharing with other services;

3 that the system parameters in the tables in Annex 3 may be used as supplementary information for the bands for which Annex 2 does not provide typical parameters.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Anne	ex 1 – Basic considerations in the development of sharing criteria	5
1	Overall performance objective	5
	1.1 Error performance and availability objectives	6
2	Sub-division of the performance and availability objectives	7
	2.1 Apportionment of section error performance and availability objective	7
	2.2 Apportionment of performance and availability degradation to different services	
3	Characteristics of interference	8
4	Considerations on allowable performance/availability degradations due to interference and related interference criteria	8
	4.1 Long-term interference	11
	4.2 Short-term interference	14
5	Use of automatic transmit power control in digital systems	14
6	Calculation of actual interference levels	15
Anne	ex 2 – Digital FS system parameters for sharing/compatibility studies	15
1	Introduction	15
2	Transmitter characterization	15
	2.1 Equipment parameters	15

	2.2	Statistic distribution over the territory	16
3	Receiv	ver characterization	17
	3.1	Equipment parameters	17
	3.2	Permitted interference	18
4	Tables	s of system parameters	18
	4.1	Frequency range and its related reference ITU-R Recommendation	18
	4.2	Modulation format	18
	4.3	Channel spacing and receiver noise bandwidth	19
	4.4	Tx output power range (dBW)	19
	4.5	Tx output power density range (dBW/MHz)	19
	4.6	Feeder/multiplexer loss range (dB)	19
	4.7	Antenna gain range (dBi) (point-to-point) or antenna type and gain range (dBi) (point-to-multipoint)	20
	4.8	e.i.r.p. range (dBW)	20
	4.9	e.i.r.p. density range (dBW/MHz)	20
	4.10	Receiver noise figure typical (dB)	21
	4.11	Receiver noise power density typical (dBW/MHz)	21
	4.12	Normalized Rx input level for 1×10^{-6} BER (dBW/MHz)	21
	4.13	Nominal long-term interference power density (dBW/MHz)	21
	4.14	Additional information (nominal Rx input level)	22
Attac	chment	1 to Annex 2 – Examples for statistical distribution studies	34
Anne	ex 3 – C	Other FS specific system parameters	35

Annex 1

Basic considerations in the development of sharing criteria

1 Overall performance objective

One of the functions of a radiocommunication planner is to design and implement a transmission network which meets the performance objectives laid down by the ITU-T and ITU-R. It is important, therefore, that real systems can meet the appropriate design objectives, recognizing the increasing use of the radio spectrum. There are various ITU-R F-Series Recommendations that relate to the overall performance objective for various types of circuit.

5

1.1 Error performance and availability objectives

1.1.1 ITU-T and ITU-R Reference Recommendation

Error performance objectives for real digital fixed wireless links used in 27 500 km hypothetical reference paths and connections are given in Recommendation ITU-R F.1668 based on Recommendations ITU-T G.826, ITU-T G.828 and ITU-T G.829. It is the only Recommendation defining error performance objectives for all real digital fixed wireless links.

NOTE 1 – The applicability of older Recommendations ITU-R F.634, ITU-R F.696 and ITU-R F.697 is limited to systems designed prior to the approval of Recommendation ITU-T G.826 (December 2002).

Availability objectives for real digital fixed wireless links used in 27 500 km hypothetical reference paths and connections are given in Recommendation ITU-R F.1703, based on Recommendation ITU-T G.827. It is the only Recommendation defining availability objectives for all real digital fixed wireless links.

NOTE 2 – The applicability of older Recommendations ITU-R F.695, ITU-R F.696 and ITU-R F.697 is limited to systems designed prior to the approval of Recommendation ITU-R F.1703 (January 2005).

Most new applications are for systems using a single or a small number of hops (for example, for cellular network backhaul or for connecting remote areas to metropolitan area networks). Nevertheless, the interference protection of each hop continues to be based on the Recommendations cited above.

1.1.2 Time basis for evaluation

1.1.2.1 General principles

Availability evaluation is made on a time basis of one year, as established by ITU-T (G.827) and is independent from the actual transport media.

Error performance evaluation is made on a time basis of one month, as established by ITU-T (G.826) and is independent from the actual transport media. In particular, since radio propagation is characterized by large variability depending on season and climate, the objectives are intended to be fulfilled in the worst month (which concept is clarified in Recommendation ITU-R P.581).

When necessary, for prediction purposes, conversion of annual statistics to worst-month statistics is addressed in Recommendation ITU-R P.841.

In case of radio connections affected by interference from any source, the overall error performance and availability evaluations include the additional effect of interference within the appropriate time basis above.

It should be noted that the concepts of 'long-term' and 'short-term' interference (see §§ 4.1 and 4.2 in this Annex 1) are not directly correlated with the 'month' or 'year' time basis. Both types of interference, depending on their time and level variability, may, in principle, affect the 'error performance' (on month basis), but only interference longer than 10 consecutive seconds may affect the "availability" (on year basis) of FS systems.

The latter will normally only be seen in long-term interference, but in special cases may include short-term interference.

1.1.2.2 Practical applications

According to the principles described above, whenever a sharing or compatibility situation with FS systems arises, different studies are necessary for separately evaluating the impact of the interference on FS availability (on year basis) and FS error performance (on month basis).

However, in some practical cases, both studies are not necessary due to the expected physical situation of the wanted and unwanted paths.

In particular, when the interference into FS victim is constantly present (e.g. from a GSO space station), it is generally assumed that the acceptable level of interference should be sufficiently low for not affecting the FS system availability threshold, on a yearly basis. In this case, ensuring the suitable FS availability degradation, it is generally assumed that any related 'error performance' degradation would be within the acceptable limits (in any month) and no specific study is required.

On the contrary, when the interference into the FS victim is relatively fast varying (e.g. from a non-GSO space station), it is generally assumed that, due to uncorrelated wanted and unwanted paths, the acceptable interference level may be higher, so that the 'error performance' degradation would predominate over the possible 'availability' degradation. In this case, the 'error performance' degradation study should be carried out on the 'worst month' basis (see examples in Recommendations ITU-R F.1108 and ITU-R F.1495).

In principle, it is expected that, when the variability of the interference is slowing down (quasi static situations), there might be a speed threshold where both 'availability' and 'error performance' degradations might be equally impacted. In such cases, specific studies should be carried out for both cases with their appropriate time basis.

2 Sub-division of the performance and availability objectives

The previous section dealt with the overall performance objectives for digital reference connections. However, there are, in practice, a large number of potential sources of interference contributing to the degradation of performance of a fixed wireless system. In order to move towards a practical method for planning, the overall performance objectives need to be subdivided between individual sections of the overall hypothetical reference connections (HRX) and hypothetical reference path (HRP). Within a section, the performance objective is then apportioned between the various sources.

2.1 Apportionment of section error performance and availability objective

This is covered in Recommendation ITU-R F.1094. The allowable performance objective is divided into an element of X% for the FS portion, Y% for frequency sharing on a primary basis, and Z% for all other sources of interference (it should be noted that X% + Y% + Z% = 100%) where X, Y, and Z are typically 89%, 10%, and 1%, respectively. There may be a further subdivision of the X% allowance to suit local requirements and this could be apportioned in such a way as to suit the grade of service (see § 4.1.3).

A particular point to note is that an interference source (say a transmitter Tx) may affect more than one hop of a system.

2.2 Apportionment of performance and availability degradation to different services

When establishing the sharing criteria with other co-primary services, it may be necessary to consider the apportionment of the error performance objective (EPO) and availability performance objectives (APO) to short-term and long-term interferences (see the introductory part in § 4). Then, the following points should be taken into consideration:

a) For the band shared by the FS and one radio service on a primary basis, performance/availability degradation *Y*1% of the FS caused by the interference from other services should not exceed 10% of the objective in accordance with Recommendation ITU-R F.1094.

- b) After the establishment of the sharing criteria with the first co-primary service, performance/availability degradation *Y*2% of the FS caused by the interference from another co-primary service sharing the same band may be developed as follows:
 - multi-interference environment due to both services should carefully be examined, in particular the case leading to the allowance limit of *Y*1% and simultaneously receiving additional interference from the second co-primary service;
 - then the limit of Y2 could be derived from a typical interference model for the FS and the second co-primary service taking also into account potential effect of the first co-primary service in that model.

3 Characteristics of interference

It is necessary to have information available on interference levels arising from other services, which would degrade system performance by specific amounts. This would be facilitated if, with the assistance from other Study Groups, a table were compiled giving information on the characteristics of emissions.

Two categories of interference are worth considering:

- for sharing studies, the interference arising from services sharing the same band on a primary basis that is likely to be within the receiver (Rx) bandwidth from digital modulations, in either carrier wave or burst emissions. Reference can be made to existing text where available in ITU-R F-series and SF-series Recommendations (e.g. Recommendation ITU-R SF.766);
- for compatibility studies, emissions from systems other than those sharing the same band on a primary basis that could be numerous and diverse, produced either by continuous or pulse and/or burst emissions, and may be considered in a similar way to the spurious emissions. Such emissions could be either from systems/applications operating in the same band on a secondary basis as well as from unwanted emissions from systems operating in other bands.

Ultimately, another table could be prepared, again with the assistance of other Radiocommunication Study Groups, which compares levels of interference or Gaussian noise required to produce a specified degradation in the channel performance.

4 Considerations on allowable performance/availability degradations due to interference and related interference criteria

Methods for characterizing interference levels into terrestrial FWS include power flux-density (PFD), the power level at the input to the antenna or the power level at the receiver input. It is worth noting that all of these methods are in use in ITU-R F- and ITU-R SF-Series Recommendations.

In general, the received power due to an interferer is not constant, but it varies because of varying propagation conditions of the interfering path or because of motion of the interfering transmitter. The propagation conditions that are of greatest significance on interfering paths are ducting and troposcatter. Propagation conditions, including multi-path fading, rain fading, and diffraction fading, may also cause the received power of the desired signal to vary (system fade), which requires the system to have an adequate fade margin. The variations in the received power of the desired and interfering signals may, or may not, be correlated depending on the frequency band and the interference geometry.

To simplify the analysis of interference, separate consideration is given to short-term interference, which is the term used to describe the highest levels of interference power that occur for less than 1 per cent of the time, and to long-term interference, which addresses the remaining portion of the interference power distribution.

When the desired signal fades, the percentage of time for which a performance threshold is violated will increase slightly because of the interference power that is present when the signal fades near the threshold. In considerations of the interference under these conditions, the interference is referred to as long-term interference. Long-term interference degrades the error performance and availability of a system by reducing the fade margin that is available to protect the fixed service system against fading. In sharing and compatibility studies, long-term interference is usually characterized as the interference power that is exceeded by 20% of the time, at the victim receiver input. This is the power level that would be used in Tables 2, 3 and 4 in §§ 4.1.1 and 4.1.2. For the percentages of time applied to the protection criteria see § 1.1.2.

Short-term interference requires separate consideration because the interference power may be high enough to produce degradation even when the desired signal is unfaded. Such interference must occur rarely enough and in events of short duration for the interference to be acceptable. A short-term interference criterion is set based on the interference power necessary to cause a particular error performance defect (such as an errored second) when the desired signal is unfaded. This is the approach taken in RR Appendix 7, and Recommendations ITU-R SM.1448, ITU-R F.1494, ITU-R F.1495, ITU-R F.1606, ITU-R F.1669 and ITU-R SF.1650.

Because permissible error performance defects can only occur for percentages of time that are much smaller than 1% of the time if error performance objectives are to be met, short-term interference studies require knowledge of the interference power that is exceeded for percentages of time much less than 1 per cent. The interference criterion for a particular error performance defect is specified by the power level (relative to the receiver noise) and the percentage of time allocated for this defect.

In sharing and compatibility studies in the frequency bands where multipath fading is the dominant propagation impairment for FS receivers (mostly in frequency bands below about 15 GHz), the fadings on the desired and interfering paths are uncorrelated. Under these conditions, Recommendation ITU-R F.1108 introduced the Fractional Degradation in Performance (FDP) method, which shows that it is appropriate to use the average value of the interference power as the critical value for long-term interference power. However, in this calculation, the average power must be determined while excluding periods of time when the interference power levels exceed the limit used for the short-term interference criteria. (Recommendation ITU-R F.1108 gives a relevant example applied to NGSO.)

In frequency bands where rain is the dominant factor, the use of FDP in considerations of long-term interference is not appropriate for two reasons: 1) The distribution of the fading of the desired signal must be independent of the distribution of the received interference power so that their joint distribution density can be represented by the product of the individual distribution densities; 2) The percentage of time that a fade depth of the desired signal is exceeded must be decreased by a factor of 10 for a 10 dB increase in fade depth. This is the characteristic of multipath fading as noted in Recommendation ITU-R P.530. In these bands, it is considered sufficient to ensure that all degradation of error performance and availability requirements allocated to long-term interference are met using the level of the time-varying interference power distribution meets the error performance degradation criteria developed for short-term interference. Interference power that is between the percentage of time defined for short-term criteria (< 1% of the time) and the time defined for the long-term criteria (> 20% of the time) could be evaluated on a case-by-case basis, but such considerations should take into account also the occurrence of interference power levels that are less than that expected for 20% of the time.

When continuously pulsed or burst interference emissions are considered, their impact on fixed service systems should be determined based on the coupling mechanism for the interference and not by the duty-cycle characteristics of the interfering signal. (E.g. a radar emission with less than 1% duty cycle should be evaluated both as a long-term and/or short-term interference, as appropriate.)

The number and values of the interference criteria necessary to protect a fixed wireless system will depend on the characteristics of the fixed wireless system and the interferer. In case of time varying interference, a single interference criterion may not be adequate; two or three values, corresponding to a long term (20% of time) and short terms (< 1% of time) have been specified in some Recommendations.

It should be noted that the events where error performance is degraded are events of very short duration because of the stringent requirements of error performance objectives.

The number of short-term interference criteria corresponds to the number of error performance criteria that are appropriate for the sharing scenario. The exact percentage of time associated with a short-term interference criterion is related to a performance objective for the system under consideration; more information on fulfilling short-term interference objectives can be found in Recommendations ITU-R F.1494, ITU-R F.1495 and ITU-R F.1606, all of which deal with protection criteria applicable to time-varying interference.

Table 1 lists the references relating to performance/availability objectives and sharing between the FS and other primary services concerning interference into the FS.

The error performance and availability objectives should be fulfilled independently whether they are resulting from long-term or short-term interference.

TABLE 1

ITU-R Recommendations relating to frequency sharing between the FS and other primary services

Recommendation ITU-R	Title
F.1094	Maximum allowable error performance and availability degradations to digital fixed wireless systems arising from radio interference from emissions and radiations from other sources
F.1108	Determination of the criteria to protect fixed service receivers from the emissions of space stations operating in non-geostationary orbits in shared frequency bands
F.1334	Protection criteria for systems in the fixed service sharing the same frequency bands in the 1 to 3 GHz range with the land mobile service
F.1338	Threshold levels to determine the need to coordinate between particular systems in the broadcasting-satellite service (sound) in the geostationary-satellite orbit for space-to-Earth transmissions and the fixed service in the band 1 452-1 492 MHz
F.1494	Interference criteria to protect the fixed service from time varying aggregate interference from other services sharing the 10.7-12.75 GHz band on a co-primary basis
F.1495	Interference criteria to protect the fixed service from time varying aggregate interference from other radiocommunication services sharing the 17.7-19.3 GHz band on a co-primary basis
F.1565	Performance degradation due to interference from other services sharing the same frequency bands on a co-primary basis with real digital fixed wireless systems used in the international and national portions of a 27 500 km hypothetical reference path at or above the primary rate
F.1606	Interference criteria to protect fixed wireless systems from time varying aggregate interference produced by non-geostationary satellites operating in other services sharing the 37-40 GHz and 40.5-42.5 GHz bands on a co-primary basis

Recommendation ITU-R	Title
F.1668	Error performance objectives for real digital fixed wireless links used in 27 500 km hypothetical reference paths and connections
F.1669	Interference criteria of fixed wireless systems operating in the 37-40 GHz and 40.5-42.5 GHz bands with respect to satellites in the geostationary orbit
F.1670	Protection of fixed wireless systems from terrestrial digital video and sound broadcasting systems in shared VHF and UHF bands
F.1703	Availability objectives for real digital fixed wireless links used in 27 500 km hypothetical reference paths and connections
F.1706	Protection criteria for point-to-point fixed wireless systems sharing the same frequency band with nomadic wireless access systems in the 4 to 6 GHz range
SF.1006	Determination of the interference potential between earth stations of the fixed-satellite service and stations in the fixed service
SF.1650	The minimum distance from the baseline beyond which in-motion earth stations located on board vessels would not cause unacceptable interference to the terrestrial service in the bands 5 925-6 425 MHz and 14-14.5 GHz

TABLE 1 (end)

4.1 Long-term interference

Recommendation ITU-R F.1094 lays the foundations for the apportionment of EPO and APO.

In this section, relations between the following three issues a), b) and c) are considered with the exclusion of short-term interference considerations:

- a) Degradation in the error performance (EP) or the availability performance (AP) resulting from interference from the co-primary service, which is clearly specified as 10% in Recommendation ITU-R F.1094 (and also in Recommendation ITU-R F.1565).
- b) Degradation in the error performance (EP) or the availability performance (AP) resulting from interference from any other sources and applications other than co primary in the considered frequency band, which is clearly specified as 1% in Recommendation ITU-R F.1094 (and already used in a number of compatibility studies, e.g. Recommendation ITU-R SM.1756 dealing with UWB interference); in practice the value derived according to a) should be divided by 10.
- c) Degradation in fade margin due to the interference, which is directly calculated from (I/N) value, as $10 \log ((N + I)/N) = 10 \log ((1 + (I/N)))$ (dB).

It should be noted that the I/N is generally defined in terms of mean (root-mean-square (RMS)) power of both noise and interference; however, when continuously pulsed/burst interference emissions are concerned, their peak-to-mean power ratio might play a significant role in defining the protection criteria.

When the peak-to-mean power ratio becomes very high and the fixed service receiver bandwidth becomes large, it may be necessary to take into account an *I/N* objective in terms of peak interference integrated over the whole victim bandwidth to correctly evaluate the fade margin degradation due to the interference. Background on high peak interference impact and protection criteria may be found in Recommendation ITU-R F.1097, for radar interference, and Recommendation ITU-R SM.1757, further detailed in Report ITU-R SM.2057, for UWB-SRR (ultra-wideband short-range radars) interference.

In the following sections, guidance is given only for the more usual cases where the mean (rms) interference power evaluation is appropriate.

4.1.1 Effect of fade margin reduction in bands where multipath is a dominant factor

In cases where the performance of digital systems is dominated by multipath fading (e.g. at frequencies below about 17 GHz), the introduction of an aggregate interference contribution that is 10 dB below the system noise floor causes a 10% increase in the time that the system carrier-to-noise plus interference (C/(N + I)) ratio is below a critical value. Any temporal characteristics of the exposure of the FS to interference will also need to be taken into account with respect to the error performance objectives in determining the degradation in performance.

Furthermore, it should be noted that many FWS employ space diversity reception in the bands where multipath is the dominant fade effect, and that the receiving power in systems using diversity is subject to more moderate distribution than Rayleigh fading. Therefore, such systems achieve the same performance as a non-diversity implementation, but with a much smaller fade margin. The same degradation in fade margin will more impact systems with diversity reception resulting in about two times EP degradation. Table 2 indicates these relations between them for three (I/N) values.

TABLE 2

Degradation in error performance due to multipath fading

Interference level	Resultant degradation	Resultant degradation in EP ⁽¹⁾					
relative to receiver thermal noise (dB)	in fade margin (dB)	Systems without space diversity	Systems with space diversity				
-6	1	25%	50%				
-10	0.5	10%	20%				
-13	0.2	5%	10%				

⁽¹⁾ Multipath fading subject to Rayleigh distribution and the typical space diversity effect are considered. The numbers would be different for different fading distributions.

4.1.2 Effect of fade margin reduction in bands where rainfall is a dominant factor

In case of rainfall, the relation between:

- a) availability performance (AP) degradation due to the interference; and
- b) fade margin degradation due to the interference,

is not simple, since the distribution of rain attenuation varies depending on many parameters, e.g. radio frequency, rain zone, link length, specified APO.

Using typical parameters and probabilistic distributions given in Recommendation ITU-R P.530, example calculation results are given in Tables 3 and 4, each providing relations between the (I/N) value and resultant AP degradation for a link with a hop length of 6 km and 3 km, respectively. Interpretation of the figures in Tables 3 and 4, for example, is that, if the nominal margin 42.9 dB is degraded by 1 dB (down to 41.9 dB), the link AP specified at an unavailability ratio of 0.001% in the absence of interference will increase to 0.001 085% (8.5% increase) with interference.

General observation is that resultant degradation in AP is greater in systems having a smaller nominal fade margin. System designers should consider all the related parameters including propagation information when developing a sharing criterion in terms of (I/N) value.

It should be noted that the example calculations of the resultant degradations of AP and fade margin in Tables 3 and 4 are based on uncorrelated rain fade. If the effects of correlated rain fade are taken

into account, the resultant numbers may become smaller values. An example of this effect can be found in Recommendation ITU-R F.1669.

TABLE 3

Climate (rain rate exceeded for 0.01% of time)	Interference level relative to receiver	Resultant degradation in	interference: ratio	v	Specified AP without interference: Unavailabilit ratio 0.001%		
	thermal noise (dB)	margin (dB)	Nominal margin (dB)	Resultant degradation in AP	Nominal margin (dB)	Resultant degradation in AP	
	-6	1	20.1	14.6%	42.9	8.5%	
32 mm/h	-10	0.5	20.1	7.0%	42.9	4.2%	
	-13	0.2	20.1	2.8%	42.9	1.7%	
	-6	1	13.8	22.0%	29.6	12.6%	
22 mm/h	-10	0.5	13.8	10.3%	29.6	6.1%	
	-13	0.2	13.8	4.0%	29.6	2.4%	

Degradation in AP due to rainfall fading (Radio frequency: 23 GHz, Link length: 6 km)

TABLE 4

(Radio frequency: 23 GHz, Link length: 3 km) **Specified AP without Specified AP without** Climate **Interference** interference: Unavailability interference: Unavailability Resultant (Rain rate level relative ratio 0.01% ratio 0.001% degradation exceeded to receiver in margin Nominal Resultant Nominal Resultant for 0.01% thermal noise (\mathbf{dB}) degradation degradation margin margin of time) (**dB**) in AP in AP (\mathbf{dB}) (**dB**) -6 1 11.2 27.8% 24.115.7% 32 mm/h -100.5 11.2 12.7% 24.1 7.5% -130.2 11.2 24.1 2.9% 4.8% 7.6 -6 1 44.3% 16.3 24.2%

7.6

7.6

19.5%

7.2%

16.3

16.3

11.4%

4.5%

Degradation in AP due to rainfall fading

4.1.3 Contribution of combined receiver thermal and interference noise

0.5

0.2

-10

-13

22 mm/h

The calculations in Tables 2 and 3 of the preceding sections were referenced to a power level identified as the 'receiver thermal noise'. In practice the reference level should be an effective noise level that includes all the noise in the receiving system as well as the assumed interference within the fixed service as in the portion X defined in Recommendation ITU-R F.1094. Note that the other-service interference would also be referenced to this effective level. Thus, increasing the assumed value for same-service interference will reduce the performance degradation allowed by a given level of other-service interference power.

4.1.4 EP/AP degradation in multi-hop links

In Recommendation ITU-R F.1565, the EP degradation of real FWS due to interference from other co-primary services is specified for each section of the HRX. More specifically, EP degradation of real FWS could be evaluated for the entire portion of short haul inter-exchange section and access network section. In case of the long haul inter-exchange section, the minimum link length for which the EPO is specified is 50 km.

It should be noted that, if a multi-hop FWS is deployed forming all of the access network section or short haul inter-exchange section, or long haul inter-exchange section shorter than 50 km, the EPO for interference specified in Recommendation ITU-R F.1565 does not need to apply to individual hops but to the multi-hop link in total.

Similar consideration could apply to the allocation of the APO specified in Recommendation ITU-R F.1703 noting that a link is considered available only if both directions are available.

This point should be taken into account in the sharing environment where the interference does not occur significantly in every hop but affect only specific hops. For example, if only one hop is exposed to the interference within the N-hop FWS link forming all of the section, resultant EP/AP degradation should be allocated to the one exposed hop accordingly as shown in the calculation condition of Tables 2, 3 and 4.

4.2 Short-term interference

A system must meet its EP objectives and availability objectives regardless of whether the permissible degradations are due to short-term or long-term interference events. This requires consideration of the degradations allocated to short-term interference along with the degradations allocated for long-term interference so that their sum does not exceed the permitted performance degradation. For interference from co-primary sources, the total degradation in error performances and availability objectives due to both short term and long term interference should be limited to 10%; for interference from sources which are not co-primary, the total degradation should be limited to 1%.

The derivation of permitted short-term interference levels, and associated time percentages, is a complex process. Since it is detailed in several existing ITU-R Recommendations for co-primary sharing in different conditions and frequency bands, calculations are not presented here.

The procedures described in Recommendations ITU-R F.1494, ITU-R F.1495 and ITU-R F.1606, and in Annex 5 to Report ITU-R M.2119 provide examples of the development of short-term interference criteria.

5 Use of automatic transmit power control in digital systems

FS systems in some frequency bands may make use of automatic transmit power control (ATPC). ATPC is usually activated by the level of received signal below a predefined threshold; in some cases, also a bit error ratio (BER) degradation threshold might complement the ATPC activation algorithm. Where applicable, ATPC may be taken into account when performing sharing studies involving the FS. Such studies should consider the maximum transmit power level, the range of ATPC and the distribution of FS power levels with respect to time, due to propagation loss variation.

In presence of relatively high interference (e.g. when short-term interference is considered) this distribution may be difficult to determine since the level of interference might cause ATPC activation (e.g. triggering the BER threshold) or prevent ATPC activation (e.g. preventing the received signal threshold to be reached) in an unpredictable way. For inter-service sharing analysis, the short-term interference evaluation into the ATPC equipped systems should nevertheless use the long-term power of the wanted transmitter rather than its maximum power, unless the interference source has the same

propagation path configurations. For example, if the interference path is from a satellite-based station, there is no correlation with the wanted FS path. In such cases, it must be assumed that the wanted transmitter power is at its lowest level. If, however, the interference path is from a terrestrial source, then some correlation of fading may be assumed (see Note below). In this case, the assumed wanted power could be the maximum power of the ATPC range. More information on ATPC can be found in Recommendations ITU-R F.1494, ITU-R F.1495, ITU-R F.1606 and ITU-R F.1669.

NOTE – This is mostly the case in frequency bands higher than 17 GHz, where rain is the dominant factor affecting the link propagation, for example, Recommendations ITU-R P.452 and ITU-R P.839 give information on rain cell size and rain intensity distribution (in azimuth and elevation direction) within the cells. In lower frequency bands, where multipath is the dominant factor, deep fading on the wanted-signal path and interference path are uncorrelated.

6 Calculation of actual interference levels

To complete the analysis of sharing, the probability of interference arriving at the input to the antenna must be evaluated. This will take into account up-to-date propagation models and path factors, which are described in the ITU-R P-Series Recommendations and Reports. It is unlikely that a single model will suffice for all possible applications. The transmission loss calculation will also include factors such as absorption losses, diffraction losses, scattering loss, polarization coupling loss, aperture-to-medium coupling loss and the effect of multipath. Also, both aggregate and single-entry interference levels may need to be considered.

Annex 2

Digital FS system parameters for sharing/compatibility studies

1 Introduction

In order to calculate degradations in performance and availability, it is necessary to know the characteristics of the FWS being degraded. There is a large variety of FWS in operation or being developed to meet future requirements. This variety in system parameters can be generalized by representative systems for specific frequency ranges where equipment operations are consistently similar. This Annex provides details of the key radio system parameters required for interference evaluation and calculations for frequency sharing studies with other services. The system parameters are presented in tabular form for the minimal number of frequency ranges required to undertake sharing studies between the FS and other services.

2 Transmitter characterization

2.1 Equipment parameters

The basic transmitter parameters needed to assess interference potential to other services are:

- carrier frequency;
- spectral characteristics (e.g. bandwidth and transmitter power density);
- equivalent isotropically radiated power (e.i.r.p.);
- antenna radiation pattern.

Operating frequencies normally correspond to radio-frequency channel arrangements specified in ITU-R Recommendations. The modulation type and radio-frequency channel arrangement will give a guide to the spectral characteristics of the emissions for generic statistical evaluations, where only co-channel interference situation is usually taken into account. However, deterministic (station-by-station) sharing calculations would require a template of the spectral characteristics to be specified so that any frequency offset rejection at a given wanted/interfering signal carrier frequency separation may be calculated.

The e.i.r.p. of the transmitter is calculated from the transmitter power, feeder/multiplexer losses and antenna gain. In principle, the maximum e.i.r.p. value would correspond to maximum antenna gain, minimum feeder/multiplexer losses and maximum transmitter output power, which represents the worst interference potential to other services; however, when sharing/compatibility studies require statistical evaluation of an aggregation of a large number of interfering FS stations or the potential interference situation may randomly appear within a large geographical area, it might not be appropriate to use the absolute worst case and a range of values to be used in a random (or other convenient statistical distribution) is more appropriate.

Knowledge of antenna radiation patterns is essential to perform detailed sharing studies. In cases where measured patterns are not available, the reference radiation patterns addressed in the following Recommendations should be used:

- Recommendation ITU-R F.699 Reference radiation patterns for fixed wireless system antennas for use in coordination studies and interference assessment in the frequency range from 100 MHz to 86 GHz;
- Recommendation ITU-R F.1245 Mathematical model of average radiation patterns for lineof-sight point-to-point radio-relay system antennas for use in certain coordination studies and interference assessment in the frequency range from 1 GHz to 86 GHz, and
- Recommendation ITU-R F.1336 Reference radiation patterns of omnidirectional, sectoral and other antennas for the fixed and mobile service for use in sharing studies in the frequency range from 400 MHz to about 70 GHz.

2.2 Statistic distribution over the territory

In the past, the major application of FS links was for multi-channel, multi-hop trunk connections oriented around the known directions between switching centres of large cities or rural connection in remote areas. For both applications, the network economy generally required that each hop be designed as the longest possible with the current technology for the expected propagation behaviour. This resulted, for the large majority of FS links, in the general use of the maximum possible output power associated to the larger antenna.

Therefore, the maximum possible transmitter e.i.r.p. was, in practice, coincident with the e.i.r.p. assumed for sharing studies. Moreover, the FS station density over the territory was limited to few large telecom stations where all trunk links converged.

Nowadays, the advent of mobile networks and the need of wireless data connections in the access network have changed the typical distribution of link lengths; they are mainly defined by different considerations about the cellular system coverage (i.e. distance between base stations to be connected via FS links) or geographical location of private customers data centres with respect to the closest core network access point.

In populated areas, this resulted in denser FS networks requiring:

- shorter hops, randomly deployed over the territory;
- significantly different hop lengths in the same geographical area;
- careful coordination of the network;

- different e.i.r.p. imposed, on link per link basis, by the licensing rules for minimizing interference and maximizing spectrum efficiency.

The above considerations, applied to sharing studies, lead to the need of a 'probabilistic oriented' deployment scenario where the e.i.r.p. spreads, according to the link length, within a range of values and link directions are randomly distributed over any azimuth angle and a wider elevation range.

The achievable link length decreases as the operating frequency increases, due to fixed power output levels according to administration domestic regulatory requirements and higher propagation attenuation. Therefore, for each band, the e.i.r.p. upper bound is limited by the maximum available on the market, while the lower bound is, in practice, limited by the minimum 'economic' link length in the band. In fact most licensing conditions imply a fee-per-link which decreases as the operating band increases; therefore, the user is economically encouraged to use higher bands (where equipment is also cheaper) for the shorter links instead of just reducing the e.i.r.p. in lower bands.

Therefore, the power output and e.i.r.p. ranges reported in Tables 6 through 15 give the sensible range of values useful for 'probabilistic' studies.

As the link length distribution function is ultimately related to the geographical distribution of mobile base stations or customer premises, the e.i.r.p. statistical distribution cannot be assumed 'Gaussian' but might be evaluated on a case-by-case basis. Attachment 1 to this Annex shows examples of these calculations.

To build an accurate probabilistic model, a sharing model should distribute the fixed service links in a nodal arrangement with random distribution over the geographical area. A weighted factor should be assumed for urban, suburban and rural locations, which roughly identify the characteristics of the FS used in average, to distribute the fixed nodes more accurately.

The weighting factor is dependent on the kind of fixed service to be deployed and should be determined on a case-by-case basis. The actual percentage subdivision in these geographical areas may vary from country to country. As an example, in one country, values of 60% / 30% / 10% are used for urban, suburban and rural, respectively.

3 Receiver characterization

3.1 Equipment parameters

Assessment of the effects of interference into the FS from other services requires knowledge of the performance characteristics of the radio receiver. The following receiver parameters are important for frequency sharing studies:

- noise figure;
- noise bandwidth;
- receiver thermal noise power density;
- received signal power for 1×10^{-3} , 1×10^{-6} , 1×10^{-10} BER (post-error-correction) (Refer to Note 1);
- nominal receiver input level.

NOTE 1 – Typically, for uncoded systems, the carrier level corresponding to 1×10^{-6} BER is around 4 dB higher than that for 1×10^{-3} BER; the carrier level difference between the 1×10^{-6} and 1×10^{-10} BER points is also about 4 dB. For radio equipment using forward error correction (FEC), the carrier level corresponding to 1×10^{-6} BER is 1 to 2 dB higher than that for 1×10^{-3} BER; the carrier difference between 1×10^{-6} and 1×10^{-6} and 1×10^{-6} BER is 1 to 2 dB higher than that for 1×10^{-3} BER; the carrier difference between 1×10^{-6} and 1×10^{-6} is only addressed, since the corresponding parameters for other BERs could be theoretically derived from the modulation scheme or the error correction effect.

The received signal levels and interference levels could be referenced to the low noise amplifier (LNA)/mixer input of the receiver, so that they would be independent of receive antenna gain and feeder/multiplexer losses (assuming this to be the same for both transmitter/receiver).

It should also be noted that deterministic (station-by-station) sharing calculations require information on the frequency selectivity of the radio equipment. Generic sharing/compatibility studies, in the same allocated band, are usually based on co-channel interference situation; hence the noise bandwidth is sufficient.

The required signal levels for given BERs could be derived from the calculated receiver thermal noise level adding the required signal-to-thermal noise ratio, S/N, for a given BER. Information on theoretical and practical S/N for the most common modulation formats may be found in Recommendation ITU-R F.1101.

3.2 Permitted interference

It is necessary to specify maximum interference levels for both long- and short-term time percentages. Where aggregate long-term interference is specified, if interference from multiple sources can simultaneously occur, it should be noted that single-entry interference criteria will be correspondingly lower. In the case of short-term interference, the time percentages of interest will be related to the system performance objectives.

The long- and short-term interference levels, and associated time percentages, must be individually derived for each system type in accordance with the principles described in Annex 1.

4 Tables of system parameters

Tables 6 to 14 show representative parameter values to be used in studies of sharing/compatibility for digital FWS that are currently used in various frequency bands.

In most of the bands, a large variety (e.g. in terms of channel spacing and modulation formats) of FWS are present in the world; their actual use in a geographical area depends on regional and national allocations and needs. Therefore, the system parameters shown are not representative of any actual FS system but represent an averaging or an expected range of values suitable for generic sharing/compatibility studies.

Each row in the Tables takes into account a specific parameter (or its expected range) that has been defined or derived according to the principles in the following paragraphs. As a result, these principles, as well as other factors, are important to take into account when selecting specific FWS parameters from the tables below in order to use an overall set of FWS parameters which are representative in sharing and compatibility studies.

4.1 Frequency range and its related reference ITU-R Recommendation

The range is approximate and generally covered by the relevant radio-frequency channel arrangement Recommendation; actual band limits depend on regional and national allocations to FS.

4.2 Modulation format

For each frequency range, the two columns refer to two types of applications. The first is assumed as representative of simpler (e.g. narrower band, low complexity modulation format) systems, which often exhibit the higher e.i.r.p. density. The second is assumed to be representative of more complex (e.g. wider band, high complexity modulation format) systems, which usually require high error performance and are consequently assumed to be more sensitive to interference.

Sharing studies are generally independent of modulation, because they are based upon I/N objectives. The modulation format, in principle, is useful only for the evaluation of Rx signal levels (nominal and for BER 1×10^{-6}), which may be used for short-term interference evaluation.

It should be noted that adaptive coding and modulation (ACM) technology (i.e. the coding rate and/or modulation is changed according to the propagation and/or intra-system interference situation) can be used for increasing the available throughput/capacity of the system when possible. Accordingly, these systems can operate with a modulation format as low as BPSK (1 bit/symbol) during worst propagation conditions and up to 4 096-QAM (12 bits/symbol) during nominal propagation conditions.

4.3 Channel spacing and receiver noise bandwidth

Channel spacing is necessary for simple evaluation of the Tx output power density. However, in some bands, the ITU-R Recommendation reports a variety of channel spacing, which actual use is country-specific; therefore, a number of values are given for channel spacing. The actual noise bandwidth is implementation dependent; however, for the purpose of generic sharing/compatibility studies, the nominal value is generally assumed equal to the channel bandwidth.

4.4 Tx output power range (dBW)

When frequency coordination is applied (either link-by-link in PP systems, or among cells and terminals of the same PMP system) for intra-service (FS to FS) interference managing, the e.i.r.p. (and consequently the Tx output power) is fixed at a level that just permits to offer the service, with the expected quality, over the specific link or within the cell area. Therefore, the range of output power presented, provides information not only on the maximum power provided by the system design, but also on the actual spread of power actually used over a large territory. The values take into account the Tx filter losses.

FS systems may also make use of Automatic Transmit Power Control (ATPC), whereby the transmitter output power is not fixed to certain level, but can vary within the possible range of the transmitter by adapting the power level to the propagation conditions (i.e. the receiver requests the transmitter to increase or decrease the output power). Accordingly, these systems can operate with a lower transmitter output power level during nominal propagation conditions. This nominal output power can be as low as -40 dBW, but this lower bound is only used in rare cases.

4.5 Tx output power density range (dBW/MHz)

In sharing/compatibility studies, power spectral densities may be needed. The Tx output power density is obtained by scaling the Tx output power with the bandwidth factor, for the links in the considered network: TX output power density (dBW/MHz) = TX output power $(dBW) - 10\log(channel spacing in MHz)$.

4.6 Feeder/multiplexer loss range (dB)

Among the large variety of systems present in the world, different physical deployment methodologies exist. Conventional indoor systems (e.g. with the radio frequency front ends in protected environment) associated to a tower/rooftop mounted antenna connected by a feeder are present mostly in the lower bands; full outdoor systems (e.g. within a waterproof mount integrated or close to the antenna) are present mostly in higher bands, but their presence in the lower bands is increasing. Therefore 0 dB feeder losses refer to full outdoor applications, while the higher value, only in bands below 18/23 GHz, is derived from an average feeder length of ~50 m of flexible waveguide. The feeder/multiplexer loss row reflects feeder losses and, if any, also losses due to

multichannel combining systems (excluding the channel filter losses, which are taken into account within the Tx power output or in the Rx noise figure).

4.7 Antenna gain range (dBi) (point-to-point) or antenna type and gain range (dBi) (point-to-multipoint)

In PP systems, smaller antennas are generally coupled with low or null feeder losses (e.g. full outdoor applications); reference radiation patterns can be found in Recommendations ITU-R F.699 and ITU-R F.1245. In PMP, representative antenna types are Omni, Yagi, Dish, Sectoral; reference radiation patterns can be found in Recommendation ITU-R F.1336.

Care should be taken considering that:

- in sharing studies, it is not always the maximum value of antenna gain that causes the most interference. A lower antenna gain has a wider beam and, in some scenarios, this is more harmful, being the FS either the victim or the interferer. This can be determined on a case-by-case basis for each sharing scenario from a given representative range;
- the range of gain is representative of the whole networks population, as each network is characterized by a different distribution of antenna gain values. The typical value is likely to lay somewhere in a given range, which would also depend on different national considerations.

4.8 e.i.r.p. range (dBW)

The e.i.r.p. range depends on the above-mentioned Power output, feeder losses and antenna gain as e.i.r.p. = (Tx output power) + (Antenna gain) - (Feeder losses). However, the actual e.i.r.p. range is not to be computed as the direct sum of the highest and lowest values as the following considerations apply:

- When a feeder losses range is given, the 0 dB value refers to full outdoor applications, which usually exhibit moderate output power.
- Where regulatory limits apply, e.i.r.p. may not be equal to the maximum power plus the maximum gain the minimum feeder loss (in decibels).
- Systems with less complex modulation may, in principle, have low transmitter back-off and consequent higher power; however, a design tailored on the average link budget required by the market for that application suggests, for economy reasons, to maintain a moderate power. Nevertheless, when used in smaller channel spacing, the e.i.r.p. density (dBW/MHz) may become higher.
- Systems with higher order of modulation, require higher transmitter back-off and, when associated to high capacity wideband systems, use the maximum power commonly available. Nevertheless, the e.i.r.p. density (dBW/MHz) might not be the highest among FS applications.
- In a given network, the highest Tx output power is not necessarily associated with the highest antenna gain.

The e.i.r.p. at different antenna directions may be calculated taking into account the antenna radiation pattern.

4.9 e.i.r.p. density range (dBW/MHz)

In sharing/compatibility studies, the e.i.r.p. spectral density is often used. It can be easily obtained by scaling with the bandwidth factor for the links in the considered network: e.i.r.p. density (dBW/MHz) = e.i.r.p. (dBW) – 10log (channel spacing in MHz).

In some cases, a mode is also provided, where the mode is the statistical parameter for the most frequently occurring value.

4.10 Receiver noise figure typical (dB)

The receiver noise figure includes the Rx filter losses. The value is intended to be a cost-effective balancing for the application (mostly dependent on the required link budget targeted in the system design).

4.11 Receiver noise power density typical (dBW/MHz)

The receiver noise power density typical is derived from the thermal noise power density and is described as: -144 dBW/MHz + Noise figure. Absolute Rx noise power may be derived adding the nominal noise bandwidth factor = 10 log(channel spacing (in MHz)).

4.12 Normalized Rx input level for 1×10^{-6} BER (dBW/MHz)

The normalized Rx input level for 10^{-6} BER depends on the corresponding *S*/*N* for the actual modulation format and on the channel bandwidth. It can be derived from the receiver noise power density with the formula:

Normalized Rx Level (dBW/MHz) = Rx Noise power density (dBW/MHz) + S/N (dB).

Actual Rx input level is obtained by adding the nominal noise bandwidth factor = $10 \log$ (channel spacing in MHz).

Information about theoretical *S*/*N* for a number of modulation formats, coded and uncoded, may be found in Recommendation ITU-R F.1101. When data is available on typical expected *S*/*N* figure including coding gain, it is reported in the Table, in other cases values in the table are derived from that Recommendation assuming that, in present systems, the actual coding gain recovers at least the implementation losses. It is noted that Recommendation ITU-R F.1101 is for systems in the FS operating below about 17 GHz as mentioned in the title of the Recommendation. However, the theoretical *S*/*N* values contained in the Recommendation ITU-R F.1101 may be applied to systems in the FS operating above 17 GHz.

4.13 Nominal long-term interference power density (dBW/MHz)

The long-term interference power density given in Tables 6 to 14 and Tables 16 to 19 is equal to $N_{RX} + I/N$. This value is intended to provide a starting point for sharing or compatibility considerations. Although a value for N_{RX} is available in the second row above this entry in each column of these Tables, an appropriate value for I/N depends on the frequency band and the sharing or compatibility conditions as shown in Table 5. In most cases, in the past, an aggregate value of -10 dB has been used for sharing conditions with one co-primary service; however, other values have also been used or developed in sharing and compatibility studies in different interference environments.

A value of -6 dB was used in some cases of co-primary sharing in bands below 3 GHz. In addition, further guidance is provided for sharing studies involving more than one co-primary service; Table 4 provides some guidance in the choice of I/N values for use in determining an appropriate long-term interference power density.

Sharing/compatibility **Comments and relevant ITU-R** $I/N^{(1)}$ **Frequency range** conditions (2) **Recommendations** Sharing condition except Generally applicable value for the aggregate -6 dB 30 MHz to 3 GHz as noted elsewhere in this interference Table See relevant Recommendations in Table 1. Above 3 GHz -10 dB 30 MHz to 3 GHz Sharing with more than Apportionment of ITU-R F.1094 objectives < -6 dBone co-primary service (see § 2 in Annex 1 of this Recommendation) -6 dB or -10 dB, as appropriate, may be applicable where the risk of simultaneous interference from the stations of the other co-primary allocations is negligible. In $\leq -10 \text{ dB}$ Above 3 GHz other cases, a more stringent criterion may be required to account for aggregate interference from all interfering co-primary services (i.e. -6 dB or -10 dB should be intended as maximum aggregate I/N from all other co-primary services). Compatibility with UWB For indoor FWA terminals only -13 dB 3-6 GHz **ITU-R SM.1757** Sharing with FS using ITU-R F.1609 -15 dB 27-31 GHz HAPS -20 dB 3-8.5 GHz Compatibility with UWB **ITU-R SM.1757** -20 dB All Compatibility studies ITU-R F.1094

TABLE 5

Guidance in the choice of *I*/*N* values for long-term interference

⁽¹⁾ These values of I/N apply to the aggregate interference from the operations of the shared service.

⁽²⁾ For purposes of this Recommendation, compatibility studies refer to those studies performed between FWS and:

- systems in services having allocation on a secondary basis in bands allocated to the fixed service on a primary basis;
- systems in services having allocation in other bands (e.g. in adjacent bands); or
- sources of emissions other than radio services.

4.14 Additional information (nominal Rx input level)

The nominal Rx input level (dBW) is not mentioned in the Tables because of its wide variability in actual networks. However, this may be needed for 'short-term' interference evaluation. Nominal receive level depends on required link-specific budget, needed for achieving the required error performance and availability. In addition, when ATPC is used, the nominal receiver level is further reduced by the ATPC range. Typically, when ATPC is used, the nominal receiver level should be decreased by ~10 dB. When needed, the nominal Rx input level data should be supplied by the national administrations concerned in the specific study.

In any case, for proper link function, including ATPC, the nominal Rx input level would not be lower than about 10 to 15 dB above the Rx input level for BER = 10^{-6} .

For each frequency range in Tables 6 to 15, the two columns refer to the representative values for simpler systems and more complex systems, respectively (see § 4.2 in Annex 2).

TABLE 6

System parameters for PP FS systems in allocated bands below 400 MHz

Frequency range (MHz)	54.02-66.26
Reference ITU-R Recommendation	
Modulation	QPSK, 16-QAM, 64-QAM, 256-QAM
Channel spacing and receiver noise bandwidth (MHz)	0.12
Tx output power range (dBW)	10
Tx output power density range (dBW/MHz)	19.2
Feeder/multiplexer loss range (dB)	3
Antenna gain range (dBi)	11.15 (yagi)
e.i.r.p. range (dBW)	19.2
e.i.r.p. density range (dBW/MHz)	27.4
Receiver noise figure typical (dB)	6.4
Receiver noise power density typical (=NRX) (dBW/MHz)	-137.6
Normalized Rx input level for 1×10^{-6} BER (dBW/MHz)	-111.4
Nominal long-term interference power density (dBW/MHz)	-137.6 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>

System parameters for PP FS systems in allocated bands between 0.4 and 3 GHz

Frequency range (GHz)	0.4061-0.450 F.1567		0.457-0.464	1.350-1.530 F.1242		1.700-2.100 1.900-2.300 F.382		1.900-2.300 F.1098		2.290- 2.670
Reference ITU-R Recommendation										F.1243
Modulation			4-FSK, QPSK							
Channel spacing and receiver noise	0.05, 0.1,	0.05, 0.1,	0.0125	0.25,	0.25,	29	0.05,	0.05,	0.25,	0.25, 0.5,
bandwidth (MHz)	0.15, 0.2,	0.15, 0.2,		0.5,	0.5, 1, 2,		0.1,	0.1,	0.5, 1, 2,	1, 2, 3.5
	0.25, 0.3,	0.25, 0.3,		1, 2,	3.5		0.15,	0.15,	3.5	
	0.5, 0.6,	0.5, 0.6,		3.5			0.2,	0.2,		
	0.75, 1,	0.75, 1,					0.25,	0.25,		
	1.75, 3.5	1.75, 3.5					0.3, 0.5,	0.3, 0.5,		
							0.6,	0.6,		
							0.75, 1,	0.75, 1,		
							1.75, 3.5	1.75, 3.5		
Tx output power range (dBW)	NOTE	NOTE	1 10	NOT	NOTE	NOTE	NOTE	NOTE	NOTE	NOTE
Tx output power density range			20 29	E						
(dBW/MHz)										
Feeder/multiplexer loss range (dB)			0 4							
Antenna gain range (dBi)			11 17 (yagi)							
e.i.r.p. range (dBW)			14 20							
e.i.r.p. density range (dBW/MHz)			33 39							
Receiver noise figure typical (dB)			4							-
Receiver noise power density typical			$-140^{(1)}$							
$(=N_{RX})$ (dBW/MHz)										
Normalized Rx input level for			$-121^{(2)}$							1
1×10^{-6} BER (dBW/MHz)										
Nominal long-term interference power	$N_{RX} + I/N$	$N_{RX} + I/N$	$-140 + I/N^{(3)}$	$N_{RX} +$	$N_{RX} +$	N_{RX} +	$N_{RX} +$	$N_{RX} +$	$N_{RX} +$	$N_{RX} + I/N$
density (dBW/MHz)				I/N	I/N	I/N	I/N	I/N	I/N	

NOTE - The intended set of parameters for two reference systems for sharing/compatibility studies currently are partially or completely unavailable;

On a provisional basis, the parameters reported in Annex 3 for the same bands may be used.

⁽¹⁾ Receiver noise power density in the channel will be -159 dBW/12.5 kHz.

⁽²⁾ Normalize Rx input level for 1×10^{-6} BER in the channel will be -140 dBW/12.5 kHz.

⁽³⁾ Nominal long-term interference power density in the channel will be -159+I/N dBW /12.5 kHz.

System parameters for PP FS systems in allocated bands between 3 and 7.2 GHz

Frequency range (GHz)	Frequency range (GHz) 3.600-4.200		3.700-4.200		4.400-5.000		5.925	5-6.425	6.425-7.125		
Reference ITU-R Recommendation	F.635		F.382		F.1099		F.383		F	.384	
Modulation	64-QAM	512-QAM	64-QAM	256-QAM	16-QAM	256-QAM	64-QAM	128-QAM	QPSK	64-QAM	
Channel spacing and receiver noise bandwidth (MHz)	10, 30 , 40, 60, 80, 90	10, 30, 40 , 60, 80, 90	9 ⁽³⁾ , 10, 13 ⁽³⁾ , 20, 28 , 29, 40, 60, 80	9 ⁽³⁾ , 10, 13 ⁽³⁾ , 20, 28 , 29, 40, 60, 80	$\begin{array}{c} 8^{(3)}, 9^{(3)}, 10, \\ 13^{(3)}, 16.6^{(3)}, \\ 20, \textbf{28}, 33.2^{(3)}, \\ 40, 60, 80 \end{array}$	9 ⁽³⁾ , 10, 13 ⁽³⁾ , 20, 28 , 40, 60, 80	5, 10, 20, 28, 29.65, 40 , 60, 90	5, 10, 20, 28, 29.65 , 40, 60, 90	3.5, 5, 7, 10, 14, 20 , 30, 40, 60, 80	3.5, 5, 7, 10, 14, 20, 30, 40 , 60, 80	
Tx output power range (dBW)	NOTE	NOTE	NOTE	-5	-510	-5	-82.0	-112	-134	-153	
Tx output power density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾				-19.5, -14.5	-25,214.5	-19.5, -14.5	-2414.0	-25.79.7	-269	-3113.0	
Feeder/multiplexer loss range (dB)				3	0	3	2.55.6	1.13	06.3	06.3	
Antenna gain range (dBi)				22.5	21.522.5	22.5	38.145.0	38.746.6	32.647.4	32.647.4	
e.i.r.p. range (dBW)				14.5	11.514.5	14.5	20.637.5	25.745.9	27.142.2	15.848.8	
e.i.r.p. density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾				0.05.0	-3.75.0	0.05.0	4.621.5 (Mode 14.3)	10.931.1 (Mode 26.9)	14.129.1 (Mode 21.7)	-0.232.7(Mo de 8.224.2)	
Receiver noise figure typical (dB)				6.5	6.57	6.5	5	4.0	3.5	3.5	
Receiver noise power density typical $(=N_{RX})$ (dBW/MHz)				-137.5	-137.5137	-137.5	-139	-140	-140.5	-140.5	
Normalized Rx input level for 1×10^{-6} BER (dBW/MHz)				-104.9	-117.0 -116.5	-104.9	-112.5	-110.5	-127	-114	
Nominal long-term interference power density (dBW/MHz) ⁽²⁾	$N_{RX} + I/N$	$N_{RX} + I/N$	$N_{RX} + I/N$	-137.5 + <i>I/N</i>	-137.5 -137 + I/N	-137.5 + <i>I/N</i>	-139 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-140 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-140.5 + I/N	-140.5 + I/N	

NOTE – The intended set of parameters for two reference systems for sharing/compatibility studies are currently partially or completely unavailable; On a provisional basis, the parameters reported in Annex 3 for the same bands may be used.

(1) To calculate the values for the Tx/ e.i.r.p. densities, channel spacing/bandwidth needs to be identified. In these tables, the channel spacing indicated in the **bold text** is used. Where a modal value (Mode) is provided, it is to be taken as indicative within the range specified and further sensitivity analysis may be required on a case-by-case basis to assess a given interference potential due to the variations within the range specified.

⁽²⁾ Nominal long-term interference power density is defined by "Receiver noise power density + (required *I/N*)" as described in § 4.13 in Annex 2 (see also § 4.1 in Annex 1).

⁽³⁾ This channel spacing value is not specified in the reference Recommendation.

System parameters for PP FS systems in allocated bands between 7.1 and 14 GHz

Frequency range (GHz)	7.110-7.900		7.725-8.500		10-10.5		10.5-10.68		10.7-11.7		12.75-13.25	
Reference ITU-R Recommendation	F.3	385	F.380	F.386		F.747		F.747		F.387		7
Modulation	16-QAM	128-QAM	16-QAM	128-QAM	QPSK	128-QAM	QPSK	128-QAM	16-QAM	64-QAM	QPSK	128-QAM
Channel spacing and receiver noise bandwidth (MHz)	3.5, 5, 7, 10, 14, 20, 28, 30 ⁽³⁾ , 40 ⁽³⁾ , 60 ⁽³⁾ , 80 ⁽³⁾	3.5, 5, 7, 10, 14, 20, 28, 30 ⁽³⁾ , 40 ⁽³⁾ , 60 ⁽³⁾ , 80 ⁽³⁾	1.25, 2.5, 5, 7, 10 , 11.662, 14, 20 , 28 , 29.65, 30 , 40 , 60 ⁽³⁾ , 80 ⁽³⁾	1.25, 2.5, 5, 7, 10, 11.662, 14, 20, 28, 29.65, 30, 40, 60 ⁽³⁾ , 80 ⁽³⁾	1.25, 2.5, 3.5, 7, 14 , 28, 56	1.25, 2.5, 3.5, 7, 14 , 28, 56	1.25, 2.5, 3.5, 7	1.25, 2.5 , 3.5, 7	5, 10, 20, 40, 60 , 67, 80, 56, 112	5, 10, 20, 40 , 60, 67, 80, 56, 112	3.5 , 7, 14, 28, 56	3.5, 7,14, 28, 56
Tx output power range (dBW)	-6.5 13	-6.513	-6.5 13	-6.5 13	0	0	NOTE	-15.0 1.0	35.0	0.0	0	-15.6 -3.0
Tx output power density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾	-25.53	-25.53	-25.53	-25.53	-11.5	-11.5		-19.0 -3.0	-14.812.8	-16.0	-5.4	-30.1 -17.5
Feeder/multiplex er loss range (dB)	03.0	03.0	03.0	03.0	06.3	06.3		2.110 .0	09.5	07.6	09.5	07.6
Antenna gain range (dBi)	1248.6	1248.6	1248.6	1248.6	33.748. 6	33.748. 6		37.14 5.9	4451	3648.0	2949.5	3047.6
e.i.r.p. range (dBW)	5.555	5.555	5.555	5.555	27.448. 6	27.448. 6		20.53 6.4	33.151.2	13.343. 0	19.549.5	23.538 .9
e.i.r.p. density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾	-13.545	-13.545	-13.545	-13.545	15.937. 1	15.937. 1		16.53 2.4	15.333.4 (Mode 28.5)	-2.727.0 (Mode 15.9)	14.144.1	9.024. 4
Receiver noise figure typical (dB)	2.56	2.56	2.56	2.58	4	4		4	5	5	4.55	4
Receiver noise power density typical (= <i>N_{RX}</i>) (dBW/MHz)	-141.5 -138.0	-141.5 -138.0	-141.5138.0	-141.513 6	-140	-140		-140	-139	-139	-139.513 9	-140
Normalized Rx input level for 1×10^{-6} BER (dBW/MHz)	-121.0 -117.5	-112.5 -115.0	-121.0117.5	-111.310 6.5	-126.5	-110.5		-117	-118.5	-112.5	-126125. 5	-117

TABLE 9 (end)

Frequency range (GHz)	7.110-7.900		7.725-8.	500	10-2	10.5	10.5-	10.68	10.7-2	11.7	12.75-13	3.25
Nominal long- term interference	-141.5 -138.0 +	-138.0 + <i>I/N</i>	-141.5 -138.0 + I/N	-141.5 -136+ <i>I/N</i>	-140 + <i>I/N</i>	-140 + <i>I/N</i>	$N_{RX} + I/N$	$\frac{N_{RX}}{I/N}$	-139 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-139 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-139.513 9 + I/N	-140 + <i>I/N</i>
power density (dBW/MHz) ⁽²⁾	I/N											

NOTE – The intended set of parameters for two reference systems for sharing/compatibility studies currently are partially or completely unavailable; On a provisional basis, the parameters reported in Annex 3 for the same bands may be used.

⁽¹⁾ To calculate the values for the Tx/e.i.r.p. densities, channel spacing/bandwidth needs to be identified. In these tables, the channel spacing indicated in the **bold text** is used. Where a modal value (Mode) is provided, it is to be taken as indicative within the range specified and further sensitivity analysis may be required on a case-by-case basis to assess a given interference potential due to the variations within the range specified.

⁽²⁾ Nominal long-term interference power density is defined by "Receiver noise power density + (required I/N)" as described in § 4.13 in Annex 2 (see also § 4.1 in Annex 1).

⁽³⁾ This channel spacing value is not specified in the reference Recommendation.

System parameters for PP FS systems in allocated bands between 14 and 34 GHz

Frequency range (GHz)	14.4-	15.35	17.7-1	.9.7	21.2	2-23.6	24.25-2	29.50	31.8	-33.4
Reference ITU-R Recommendation	F.e	536	F.59	5	F	.637	F.74	48	F.1	520
Modulation	QPSK	128-QAM	QPSK	64-QAM	QPSK	128-QAM	16-QAM ⁽⁴⁾	128-QAM ⁽⁵⁾	QPSK	256-QAM
Channel spacing and receiver noise	2.5, 3.5 , 7,	2.5, 3.5, 7,	1.25, 1.75, 2.5,	1.25, 1.75, 2.5,	2.5, 3.5, 7,	2.5, 3.5, 7,	2.5, 3.5, 7, 14, 28,	2.5, 3.5, 7, 14, 28,	3.5, 7 , 14, 28,	3.5, 7, 14, 28 ,
bandwidth (MHz)	14, 28, 40,	14, 28, 40,	3.5, 5, 7 , 7.5,	3.5, 5, 7, 7.5,	14, 25 ⁽³⁾ , 28	, 14, 28, 30 ⁽³⁾ ,	30,40 ⁽⁶⁾ , 56, 60 ⁽⁶⁾ ,	30, 40 ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾ , 56,	56(6),112	56 ⁽⁶⁾ ,112
	56, 112	56, 112	10,13.75, 20,	10, 13.75, 20,	30, 50, 56,	50, 56, 112,	112	60 ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾ , 112		
			27.5 , 30, 40 , 50,	27.5, 30, 40 ,	112, 224	224				
			55 , 60 ⁽⁶⁾ , 110 , 220	50, 55, 60 ⁽⁶⁾ ,						
				110, 220						
Tx output power range (dBW)	-21.03.0	-18.64	-373.0	-100	-28.03.	-27.010.0	-3919	-100	-299	-2915
					0					
Tx output power density range	-26.48.4	-33.118.5	-45.4	-26	-42.017	-41.824.8	-53.8	-24.814.8	-37.5	-43.5
(dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾			-19.0		.0		-33.8(7)		-17.5	-29.5
Feeder/multiplexer loss range (dB)	010.0	010.0	0.09.3	09.3	0.06.3	05.9	0.06.3	0.06.3	01.5	01.5
Antenna gain range (dBi)	31.949.0	31.949.0	21.748.3	3248	32.349.7	32.349.7	31.548	31.548	37.843	37.843
e.i.r.p. range (dBW)	16.937	19.936	-11.743	-1.136	9.434.7	12.829.6	-13.929	15.248	7.3	7.3
									34.0	28.05
e.i.r.p. density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾	11.531.6	5.421.5	-20.427.3	-17.120	-4.620.7	-2.014.8	-28.7	0.433.2	-1.1	-7.2
			(Mode 16.2)	(Mode 8.0)			14.2(7)		25.5	13.5
Receiver noise figure typical	5	5	5.0	5	6	5	6.5	6.5	6	6
Receiver noise power density typical	-139	-139	-139	-139	-138	-139	-137.5	-137.5	-138	-138
$(=N_{RX})$ (dBW/MHz)										
Normalized Rx input level for	-125.5	-109.5	-125.5	-112.5	-124.51	-116	-117	-108	-131.3	-107.3
1×10^{-6} BER (dBW/MHz)					19.5					
Nominal long-term interference power	-139+ <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-139136 +	-139 + I/N	-139 + I/N	-138 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-139 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-137.5 + I/N	-137.5 + I/N	-138 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-138 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>
density (dBW/MHz) ⁽²⁾		I/N								

NOTE – The intended set of parameters for two reference systems for sharing/compatibility studies currently are partially or completely unavailable; On a provisional basis, the parameters reported in Annex 3 for the same bands may be used.

(1) To calculate the values for the Tx/e.i.r.p. densities, channel spacing/bandwidth needs to be identified. In these tables, the channel spacing indicated in the bold text is used. Where a modal value (Mode) is provided, it is to be taken as indicative within the range specified and further sensitivity analysis may be required on a case-by-case basis to assess a given interference potential due to the variations within the range specified.

(2) Nominal long-term interference power density is defined by "Receiver noise power density + (required *I/N*)" as described in § 4.13 in Annex 2 (see also § 4.1 in Annex 1).

⁽³⁾ This channel spacing value is not specified in the reference Recommendation.

⁽⁴⁾ This system uses adaptive modulation between QPSK and 16-QAM and 16-QAM is selected under ordinary conditions. This system uses the band 25.27-26.98 GHz.

⁽⁵⁾ In Region 2, this system uses the 25.25-27.3 GHz band.

⁽⁶⁾ Frequency block bandwidth.

⁽⁷⁾ These Tx/e.i.r.p. density values are calculated from a channel spacing (bandwidth) of 30 MHz within a 60 MHz frequency block.

 TABLE 11

 System parameters for PP FS systems in allocated bands between 36 and 59 GHz

Frequency range (GHz)	36.0-	40.5	40.5	-43.5	51.4-52.6		55.78	8-59.0
Reference ITU-R Recommendation	F.74	49	F.2	005	F.1496		F.1	497
Modulation	QPSK	32-QAM	QPSK	256-QAM			FSK	
Channel spacing and receiver noise	2.5, 3.5, 7,	2.5, 3.5, 7, 10	7, 14, 28 , 56,	7, 14, 28, 56 ,	3.5, 7, 14,	3.5, 7, 14,	3.5, 7, 10 ⁽³⁾ ,	3.5, 7, 14, 28,
bandwidth (MHz)	14, 28 , 56,	14, 28, 30, 40,	112, 224	112, 224	28, 56	28, 56	14, 20 ⁽³⁾ , 28,	50, 56, 100
	112, 140, 224	50, 56 ,					30 ⁽³⁾ , 40 ⁽³⁾ 50 ,	
		112, 140, 224					56, 100	
Tx output power range (dBW)	-6015	-37.516.5	-10	-17	NOTE	NOTE	-203	NOTE
Tx output power density range	-68.423.4	-45.9	-24.5	-34.5			-37.07.0	
(dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾		-33.9						
Feeder/multiplexer loss range (dB)	0	0	02.5	02.5			02.5	
Antenna gain range (dBi)	3445	3446	3844	3844			40.148.8	
e.i.r.p. range (dBW)	-20.830	-1.729.5	25.534	18.527			17.651.8	
e.i.r.p. density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾	-29.221.5	-15.712.1	1119.5	1.09.5			0.641.8	
Receiver noise figure typical (dB)	8	7	7	7			7	
Receiver noise power density typical	-136	-137.7	-137	-137			-137	
$(=N_{RX})$ (dBW/MHz)								
Normalized Rx input level for 1×10^{-6}	-122.5	-114.2	-123.5	-104.4			-123.6	
BER (dBW/MHz)								
Nominal long-term interference power	-136 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-137.7 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-137+ I/N	-137 + I/N	$N_{RX} + I/N$	$N_{RX} + I/N$	-137 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	$N_{RX} + I/N$
density (dBW/MHz) ⁽²⁾								

NOTE – The intended set of parameters for two reference systems for sharing/compatibility studies currently are partially or completely unavailable. On provisional basis, the parameters reported in Annex 3 for the same bands may be used.

⁽¹⁾ To calculate the values for the Tx/e.i.r.p. densities, channel spacing/bandwidth needs to be identified. In these tables, the channel spacing indicated in the **bold text** is used. Where a modal value (Mode) is provided, it is to be taken as indicative within the range specified and further sensitivity analysis may be required on a case-by-case basis to assess a given interference potential due to the variations within the range specified.

(2) Nominal long-term interference power density is defined by "Receiver noise power density + (required I/N)" as described in § 4.13 in Annex 2 (see also § 4.1 in Annex 1).

⁽³⁾ This channel spacing value is not specified in the reference Recommendation.

System parameters for PP FS systems in allocated bands beyond 59 GHz

Frequency range (GHz)	59.	64	64-6	6	71-76/8	1-86
Reference ITU-R Recommendation	F.14	497	F.149	97	F.200)6
Modulation	QPSK	64-QAM	BPSK	64-QAM	QPSK	64-QAM
Channel spacing and receiver noise bandwidth (MHz)	50; 100	50; 100; 150; 250; 500 ⁽³⁾ ; 1 250; 2 500	30 ; 50	50; 100; 150; 250; 500 ⁽³⁾ ; 1 250; 2 500	250, 500, 750, 1 000, 1 250 , 1 500, 1 750, 2 000, 2 250	500, 700, 1 000
Tx output power range (dBW)	-2220	-24	-1512	-24	-10	-20
Tx output power density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾	-4240	-51	-29.826.8	-51	-41	-4750
Feeder/multiplexer loss range (dB)	02.5	02.5	02.5	02.5	0	0
Antenna gain range (dBi)	3848	3848	4850	3848	54	4450
e.i.r.p. range (dBW)	13.528	11.524	30.538	11.524	44	2430
e.i.r.p. density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾	-6.58	-15.53.0	15.823.3	-15.53.0	13	-63
Receiver noise figure typical (dB)	8	8	9	8	10	8
Receiver noise power density typical $(=N_{RX})$ (dBW/MHz)	-136	-136	-135	-136	-134	-136
Normalized Rx input level for 1×10^{-6} BER (dBW/MHz)	-122.5	-109.5	-124.5	-109.5	-120.5	-9491
Nominal long-term interference power density (dBW/MHz) ⁽²⁾	-136+ <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-136 + <i>I/N</i>	-135 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-136 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-134 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-136 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>

⁽¹⁾ To calculate the values for the Tx/ e.i.r.p. densities, channel spacing/bandwidth needs to be identified. In these tables, the channel spacing indicated in the **bold text** is used. Where a modal value (Mode) is provided, it is to be taken as indicative within the range specified and further sensitivity analysis may be required on a case-by-case basis to assess a given interference potential due to the variations within the range specified.

⁽²⁾ Nominal long-term interference power density is defined by "Receiver noise power density + (required I/N)" as described in § 4.13 in Annex 2 (see also § 4.1 in Annex 1).

⁽³⁾ This channel spacing value is not specified in the reference Recommendation.

TABLE 13

System parameters of PMP FS systems below 0.47 GHz

Frequency range (GHz)	0.45	57-0.464
Reference ITU-R Recommendation		
Modulation format	Central stations 4-FSK, QPSK	Terminal stations 4-FSK, QPSK
Channel spacing and receiver noise bandwidth (MHz)	0.0125	0.0125
Maximum Tx output power range (dBW)	1 to 10	1 to 10
Maximum Tx output power density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾	20 to 29	20 to 29
Minimum feeder/multiplexer loss range (dB)	1 3	1 4
Maximum antenna gain range (dBi)	2 11 (omni / sectoral)	8 17 (directional)
Maximum e.i.r.p. range (dBW)	14 to 20	18 to 24
Maximum e.i.r.p. density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾	33 to 39	37 to 43
Receiver noise figure (dB)	4	4
Receiver noise power density typical $(=N_{RX})$ (dBW/MHz)	-140 ⁽¹⁾	-140 (1)
Normalized Rx input level for 1×10^{-6} BER (dBW/MHz)	-121 ⁽²⁾	-121 (2)
Nominal long-term interference power density (dBW/MHz) ⁽²⁾	$-140 + I/N^{(3)}$	$-140 + I/N^{(3)}$

⁽¹⁾ Receiver noise power density in the channel will be -159 dBW/12.5 kHz.

⁽²⁾ Normalize Rx input level for 1×10^{-6} BER in the channel will be -140 dBW/12.5 kHz.

⁽³⁾ Nominal long-term interference power density in the channel will be -159 + I/N dBW / 12.5 kHz.

TABLE 1	4
---------	---

System parameters of PMP FS systems in allocated bands between 1.3 and 11 GHz

Frequency range (GHz)		-2.69 sub-bands)	1.35-2 (2.5-2.69 su		3.40-3.	.80	10.15-1	10.68
Reference ITU-R Recommendation	F.7	701	F.70	1	F.148	8	F.747, I	F.1568
Modulation format	Central stations	Terminal stations	Central stations QPSK through 64-QAM ⁽⁵⁾	Terminal stations QPSK	Central stations QPSK through 64-QAM ⁽⁵⁾	Terminal stations QPSK	Central stations 64-QAM	Terminal station 64-QAM
Channel spacing and receiver noise bandwidth (MHz)	Multiple of 0.5	Multiple of 0.5	5 , 5 . 5 , 6 ⁽⁶⁾	5, 5.5, 6 ⁽⁶⁾	25 ⁽⁴⁾ , 1.75 , 3.5 , 14 ⁽⁷⁾	25 ⁽⁴⁾ , 1.75 , 3.5 , 14 ⁽⁷⁾	$1.75^{(3)}, 2.5, 5, 28^{(4)}, 30^{(4)}$	1.75 ⁽³⁾ , 2.5, 5, 28 ⁽⁴⁾ , 30 ⁽⁴⁾
Tx output power range (dBW)	NOTE	NOTE	513	-60	513	-60	-3	-12
Tx output power density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾			-2.786.01	-13.86.99	-6.4610.6	-17.52.43	-5.43	-14.4
Feeder/multiplexer loss range (dB)			3	0	2	0	0.5	0
Antenna type and gain range (dBi)			13 (omni) 16 (sector)	13 (omni)	10 (omni) 18 (sector)	8 (indoor) 18 (outdoor)	15 (90° microstrip sectoral)	18 (panel)
e.i.r.p. range (dBW)			2326	32	2129	818	11.5	6
e.i.r.p. density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾			15.219.0	24.225.0	9.5426.5	-3.4615.6	9.07	3.57
Receiver noise figure typical (dB)			4	4	3	3	5	5
Receiver noise power density typical $(=N_{RX})$ (dBW/MHz)			-140	-140	-141	-141	-139	-139
Normalized Rx input level for 1×10^{-6} BER (dBW/MHz)			-126.5113.5	-126.5	-127.5114.5	-127.5	-112.5	-112.5
Nominal long-term interference power density (dBW/MHz) ⁽²⁾	$N_{RX} + I/N$	$N_{RX} + I/N$	-140 + <i>I/N</i>	-140 + I/N	-141 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-141 + I/N	-139 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-139 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>

NOTE – The intended set of parameters for two reference systems for sharing/compatibility studies currently are partially or completely unavailable. On a provisional basis, the parameters reported in Annex 3 for the same bands may be used.

(1) To calculate the values for the Tx/e.i.r.p. densities, channel spacing/bandwidth needs to be identified. In these tables, the channel spacing indicated in the bold text is used. Where a modal value (Mode) is provided, it is to be taken as indicative within the range specified and further sensitivity analysis may be required on a case-by-case basis to assess a given interference potential due to the variations within the range specified.

⁽²⁾ Nominal long-term interference power density is defined by "Receiver noise power density + (required *I/N*)" as described in § 4.13 in Annex 2 (see also § 4.1 in Annex 1).

⁽³⁾ This channel spacing value is not specified in the reference Recommendation.

⁽⁴⁾ Frequency block bandwidth.

⁽⁵⁾ The modulation format is usually changed dynamically according to the propagation impairment.

⁽⁶⁾ Recommendation ITU-R F.701 recommends only a basic pattern of 0.5 MHz (or its integer multiple). The values of 5, 5.5 and 6 MHz are proposed as most common channel spacings for these systems.

(7) Recommendation ITU-R F.1488 recommends only a basic pattern of 0.25 MHz (or its integer multiple). The values of 1.75, 3.5, ... 14 MHz are proposed as most common channel spacings for these systems.

	System parameters of 1 with 15 systems in anotated bands above 11 GHz												
Frequency range (GHz)			21.2	0-23.60		24.25-29.50		31.8	8-33.4	38.60)-40.00		
Reference ITU-R Recommendation	F	.595	F	.637		F.748		F.	1520	F.	.749		
Modulation	Central station	Terminal stations	Central station	Terminal stations	MultiPoint (mesh) 60 cm High gain antenna station QPSK through 256-QAM ⁽⁶⁾	Central station QPSK through 16-QAM ⁽⁶⁾	Terminal stations QPSK through 16-QAM ⁽⁶⁾	Central station	Terminal stations	Central station	Terminal stations		
Channel spacing and receiver noise bandwidth (MHz)	2.5, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50	2.5, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50	3.5, 7, 14, 28	3.5, 7, 14, 28	40, 50, 56, 100, 112	3.5, 7, 14, 28, 30 ⁽³⁾ , 56, 112, 40 ⁽⁴⁾ , 60 ⁽⁴⁾	3.5, 7, 14, 28, 30 ⁽³⁾ , 56, 112, 40 ⁽⁴⁾ , 60 ⁽⁴⁾	3.5, 7, 14, 28, 56 ⁽⁴⁾ , 112, 168	3.5, 7, 14, 28, 56 ⁽⁴⁾ , 112, 168	50 ⁽⁴⁾ , 60 ⁽⁴⁾	50 ⁽⁴⁾ , 60 ⁽⁴⁾		
Tx output power range (dBW) Tx output power density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾ Feeder/multiplexer loss range (dB) Antenna type and gain range (dBi) e.i.r.p. range (dBW)	NOTE	NOTE	NOTE	NOTE	-12 to -5 -62 to -28 0 to 1 43 (directional) 29 to 36.5 dBW	-19 -33.8 ⁽⁶⁾ 0 6.5 (omni) -12.5	[-3919] -53.8 -33.8 ⁽⁵⁾ 0 15 (planar)35 -7.512.5	NOTE	NOTE	NOTE	NOTE		
e.i.r.p. density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾ Receiver noise figure typical (dB) Receiver noise power density typical (= N_{RX}) (dBW/MHz) Normalized Rx input level for 1×10^{-6} BER (dBW/MHz)					1718 6 -138 -130	-27.3 ⁽⁵⁾ 8 -136 -122.5 -115.5	-22.32.3 ⁽⁵⁾ 8 -136 -122.5 -115.5						
Nominal long-term interference power density (dBW/MHz) ⁽²⁾	$N_{RX} + I/N$	$N_{RX} + I/N$	$N_{RX} + I/N$	$N_{RX} + I/N$	-138 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-136 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-136 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	$N_{RX} + I/N$	$N_{RX} + I/N$	$N_{RX} + I/N$	$N_{RX} + I/N$		

TABLE 15 System parameters of PMP FS systems in allocated bands above 11 GHz

NOTE – The intended set of parameters for two reference systems for sharing/compatibility studies currently are partially or completely unavailable; On a provisional basis, the parameters reported in Annex 3 for the same bands may be used.

⁽¹⁾ To calculate the values for the Tx/e.i.r.p. densities, channel spacing/bandwidth needs to be identified. In these tables, the channel spacing indicated in the **bold text** is used. Where a modal value (Mode) is provided, it is to be taken as indicative within the range specified and further sensitivity analysis may be required on a case-by-case basis to assess a given interference potential due to the variations within the range specified.

(2) Nominal long-term interference power density is defined by "Receiver noise power density + (required *I/N*)" as described in § 4.13 in Annex 2 (see also § 4.1 in Annex 1).

⁽³⁾ This channel spacing value is not specified in the reference Recommendation.

⁽⁴⁾ Frequency block bandwidth.

⁽⁵⁾ These Tx/e.i.r.p. density values are calculated from a channel spacing (bandwidth) of 30 MHz within a 60 MHz frequency block.

⁽⁶⁾ The modulation format is usually changed dynamically according to the propagation impairment.

Attachment 1 to Annex 2

Examples for statistical distribution studies

The following examples were derived analysing some PP networks in the mobile infrastructure networks which statistical functions are linked to the statistics of mobile base stations on a territory of one administration. These networks were described as follows:

- 1 335 links with length range 16-0.4 km in the 11 GHz band;
- 1 285 links with length range 8.7-0.1 km in the 15 GHz band;
- 1 058 links with length range 5.1-0.1 km in the 18 GHz band.

The consequent statistical distributions of their e.i.r.p. are presented in Table 16.

TABLE 16

Difference between the theoretical maximum and statistical dispersion of the actual data for e.i.r.p.; in the example three systems in one administration

Frequency band (GHz)	10.715-10.955 11.245-11.485	14.5-14.660 14.970-15.130	17.850-17.970 18.600-18.720
Theoretical maximum ⁽¹⁾	40.3	38.1	35
Actual data maximum	38.8	35.4	33
Actual data average (µ)	31.7	28.4	22.8
Actual data standard deviation (σ)	3.2	3.2	4.3
Difference between theoretical maximum and actual data maximum	1.5	2.7	2
$\mu + 2\sigma$	38.1	34.8	31.4
Theoretical maximum $(\mu + 2\sigma)$	2.2	3.3	3.6
$\mu + 1.64\sigma$	37	33.7	29.9
Theoretical maximum (μ + 1.64 σ)	3.3	4.4	5.1

⁽¹⁾ Theoretical maximum = Tx output power (maximum) – Feeder/multiplexer loss (minimum) + Antenna gain (maximum), this value may not become the actual data maximum.

The differences between the theoretical and the actual data maxima range from 1.5 to 2.7 dB. The data points of the actual transmitter data for these systems were more than 2 000 data points. Then assuming that a data set more than 2 000 data points follows a normal distribution, the values of 2 σ and 1.64 σ are calculated. Where σ is the standard deviation and μ is the average. About 95% of the data points are within 2 σ from the average and about 90% of the data points are within 1.64 σ from the average. e.i.r.p. reduces about 3 dB for 95% and about 4 dB for 90% from the theoretical maximum.

It is noted that such analysis may lead to a bit different results depending on the statistical distribution of the data.

However, it is demonstrated that, in practical examples, there is some difference between the theoretical maximum and the maximum values of actual data.

Annex 3

Other FS specific system parameters

The information in this Annex still represents real systems deployed along the times. Some of these parameters may be obsolete but no new consolidated set of parameters have been yet contributed from administrations; however, they can still be used on a provisional basis when reference systems data, for the interested bands, are not found in the Tables in Annex 2.

This Annex is based on Report ITU-R F.2108. The following terms are updated:

- The terms 'Hub', 'Base station', 'Central station' have been unified to 'Central station'.
- The terms 'Remote station', 'Out station', 'Terminal station' have been unified to 'Terminal station'.

For each frequency range in Tables 17 to 20, the two columns refer to the representative values for simpler systems and more complex systems, respectively (see § 4.3 in Annex 2).

TABLE 17
System parameters for PP FS systems in allocated bands below 3 GHz

Frequency range (GHz)	(GHz) 0.4061-0.450		1.350)-1.530		-2.100 -2.300	1.900	2.290- 2.670	
Reference ITU-R Recommendation	F.1	1567	F.1242		F.382		F.1098		F.1243
Modulation	QPSK	32-QAM	MSK	QPSK	O-QPSK	QPSK	QPSK	256-QAM	MSK
Channel spacing and receiver noise bandwidth (MHz)	0.05, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2, 0.25 , 0.3, 0.5, 0.6, 0.75, 1, 1.75, 3.5	0.05, 0.1, 0.15, 0.2 , 0.25, 0.3, 0.5, 0.6, 0.75, 1, 1.75 , 3.5	0.25, 0.5, 1, 2 , 3.5	0.25, 0.5, 1 , 2 , 3.5	29	29	1.75, 2.5 , 3.5, 7 , 10, 14	1.75, 2.5, 3.5 , 7, 10, 14	0.25, 0.5, 1, 1.75, 2, 2.5, 3.5, 7, 14
Maximum Tx output power range (dBW)	7	0	7	07	7	3	-97	-12	5
Maximum Tx output power density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾	1.613	-2.47.0	4.0	-3.07	-7.6	-12	-141. 5	-6.43.4	-6.5
Minimum feeder/multiplexer loss range (dB)	2	2	5	15	3	1	36	02	4
Maximum antenna gain range (dBi)	25	25	16	1633	33	31	2830	3338	25
Maximum e.i.r.p. range (dBW)	30	23	20	2039	40	34	1430	3240	26
Maximum e.i.r.p. density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾	2536	2130	17	1739	25	19	1019	2735	15
Receiver noise figure (dB)	5	3.5	4	47	4	4	46	34	4
Receiver noise power density typical $(=N_{RX})$ (dBW/MHz)	-139	-140.5	-140	-14013 7	-140	-140	-1401 38	-141140	-140
Normalized Rx input level for 1×10^{-6} BER (dBW/MHz)	-125.5	-117	-126.5	-126.5 -123.5	-126.5	-126.5	-126.5 -124.5	-108.4 -107.4	-126.5
Nominal long-term interference power density (dBW/MHz) ⁽²⁾	-139 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-140.5 + I/N	-140 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-140 -137 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-140 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-140 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-140 -138 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-141 -140 + <i>I/N</i>	-140 + <i>I/N</i>

⁽¹⁾ To calculate the values for the Tx/e.i.r.p. densities, channel spacing/bandwidth needs to be identified. In these Tables, the channel spacing indicated in bold text is used.

(2) Nominal long-term interference power density is defined by "Receiver noise power density + (required I/N)" as described in § 4.13 in Annex 2 (see also § 4.1 in Annex 1).

TABLE 18

System parameters for PP FS systems in allocated bands between 3 and 12 GHz

Frequency range (GHz)	3.600	-4.200	3.700-4.200	10.5-10.68		
Reference ITU-R Recommendation	F.e	635	F.382	F.7	47	
Modulation	64-QAM	512-QAM	QPSK	QPSK ⁽³⁾	128-TCM	
Channel spacing and receiver noise bandwidth (MHz)	10 , 30 , 40, 60, 80, 90	10, 30, 40 , 60, 80, 90	28, 29	1.25, 2.5, 3.5, 7	1.25, 2.5 , 3.5, 7	
Maximum Tx output power range (dBW)	-1	7	0	-2	-3	
Maximum Tx output power density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾	-1611	-9.0	-15	-10	-7.0	
Minimum feeder/multiplexer loss range (dB)	0	3	3	0	0	
Maximum antenna gain range (dBi)	42	40	37	49	51	
Maximum e.i.r.p. range (dBW)	41	44	38	47	48	
Maximum e.i.r.p. density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾	2631	28	23	39	44	
Receiver noise figure (dB)	3	2	4	3	4	
Receiver noise power density typical (= N_{RX}) (dBW/MHz)	-141	-142	-140	-141	-140	
Normalized Rx input level for 1×10^{-6} BER (dBW/MHz)	-114.5	-106.5	-126.5	-127.5	-116.4	
Nominal long-term interference power density (dBW/MHz) ⁽²⁾	-141 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-142 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>	-140 + I/N	-141 + I/N	-140 + I/N	

⁽¹⁾ To calculate the values for the Tx/e.i.r.p. densities, channel spacing/bandwidth needs to be identified. In these Tables, the channel spacing indicated in **bold text** is used.

⁽²⁾ Nominal long-term interference power density is defined by "Receiver noise power density + (required I/N)" as described in § 4.13 in Annex 2 (see also § 4.1 in Annex 1).

⁽³⁾ There are two modulations (QPSK and 4-FSK) described and QPSK is selected.

TABLE 19^(*)

System parameters for PP FS systems in allocated bands beyond 12 GHz

Frequency range (GHz)	12.75-13.25	51.4-52.6 F.1496	
Reference ITU-R Recommendation	F.497		
Modulation	QPSK	4-FSK	32-QAM
Channel spacing and receiver noise bandwidth (MHz)	3.5, 7, 14, 28	3.5 , 7 , 14 , 28 , 56	3.5, 7, 14 , 28, 56
Maximum Tx output power range (dBW)	10	-20	-20
Maximum Tx output power density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾	-4.54.6	-3425	-31
Minimum feeder/multiplexer loss range (dB)	0	0	0
Maximum antenna gain range (dBi)	49	50	50
Maximum e.i.r.p. range (dBW)	45	30	30
Maximum e.i.r.p. density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾	3140	1625	19
Receiver noise figure (dB)	10	11	7
Receiver noise power density typical (= N_{RX}) (dBW/MHz)	-134	-133	-137
Normalized Rx input level for 1×10^{-6} BER (dBW/MHz)	-120.5	-109.9	-113.5
Nominal long-term interference power density (dBW/MHz) ⁽²⁾	-134 + I/N	-133 + I/N	-137 + <i>I</i> / <i>N</i>

⁽¹⁾ To calculate the values for the Tx/e.i.r.p. densities, channel spacing/bandwidth needs to be identified. In these Tables, the channel spacing indicated in **bold text** is used.

(2) Nominal long-term interference power density is defined by "Receiver noise power density + (required I/N)" as described in § 4.13 in Annex 2 (see also § 4.1 in Annex 1).

TABLE 20^(*)

System parameters of PMP FS systems in allocated bands below 11 GHz

Frequency range (GHz)	1.35-2.69 (1.35-2.5 sub-bands)	
Reference ITU-R Recommendation	F.701	
Modulation format	Central Stations QPSK ⁽³⁾	Terminal Stations QPSK ⁽³⁾
Channel spacing and receiver noise bandwidth (MHz)	2 , 3 .5 ⁽⁴⁾	2 , 3.5 ⁽⁴⁾
Maximum Tx output power range (dBW)	07	07
Maximum Tx output power density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾	-3.01.6	-3.01.6
Minimum feeder/multiplexer loss range (dB)	04.4	04
Maximum antenna gain range (dBi)	13 (omni/sectoral) 17 (omni/section)	17.5 (yagi/horn) 27 (dish/horn)
Maximum e.i.r.p. range (dBW)	624	1634
Maximum e.i.r.p. density range (dBW/MHz) ⁽¹⁾	3.019	1329
Receiver noise figure (dB)	3.54	3.54
Receiver noise power density typical $(=N_{RX})$ (dBW/MHz)	-140.5140	-140.5140
Normalized Rx input level for 1×10^{-6} BER (dBW/MHz)	-127126.5	-127126.5
Nominal long-term interference power density (dBW/MHz) ⁽²⁾	-140.5140 + I/N	-140.5140 + I/N

⁽¹⁾ To calculate the values for the Tx/e.i.r.p. densities, channel spacing/bandwidth needs to be identified. In these Tables, the channel spacing indicated in **bold text** is used.

⁽²⁾ Nominal long-term interference power density is defined by "Receiver noise power density + (required I/N)" as described in § 4.13 in Annex 2 (see also § 4.1 in Annex 1).

⁽³⁾ There are systems using O-QPSK and QPSK in the band and the QPSK system is selected since it has all the parameters.

⁽⁴⁾ Recommendation ITU-R F.701 recommends only a basic pattern of 0.5 MHz (or its integer multiple). The values of 2 and 3.5 MHz are proposed as most common channel spacings for these systems.