# Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615-3 (11/2023)

BS Series: Broadcasting service (sound)

### "Planning parameters" for digital sound broadcasting at frequencies below 30 MHz



#### Foreword

The role of the Radiocommunication Sector is to ensure the rational, equitable, efficient and economical use of the radiofrequency spectrum by all radiocommunication services, including satellite services, and carry out studies without limit of frequency range on the basis of which Recommendations are adopted.

The regulatory and policy functions of the Radiocommunication Sector are performed by World and Regional Radiocommunication Conferences and Radiocommunication Assemblies supported by Study Groups.

#### Policy on Intellectual Property Right (IPR)

ITU-R policy on IPR is described in the Common Patent Policy for ITU-T/ITU-R/ISO/IEC referenced in Resolution ITU-R 1. Forms to be used for the submission of patent statements and licensing declarations by patent holders are available from <a href="http://www.itu.int/ITU-R/go/patents/en">http://www.itu.int/ITU-R/go/patents/en</a> where the Guidelines for Implementation of the Common Patent Policy for ITU-T/ITU-R/ISO/IEC and the ITU-R patent information database can also be found.

	Series of ITU-R Recommendations
	(Also available online at <u>https://www.itu.int/publ/R-REC/en</u> )
Series	Title
BO	Satellite delivery
BR	Recording for production, archival and play-out; film for television
BS	Broadcasting service (sound)
ВТ	Broadcasting service (television)
F	Fixed service
Μ	Mobile, radiodetermination, amateur and related satellite services
Р	Radiowave propagation
RA	Radio astronomy
RS	Remote sensing systems
S	Fixed-satellite service
SA	Space applications and meteorology
SF	Frequency sharing and coordination between fixed-satellite and fixed service systems
SM	Spectrum management
SNG	Satellite news gathering
TF	Time signals and frequency standards emissions
V	Vocabulary and related subjects
S SA SF SM SNG TF V	Fixed-satellite service Space applications and meteorology Frequency sharing and coordination between fixed-satellite and fixed service systems Spectrum management Satellite news gathering Time signals and frequency standards emissions Vocabulary and related subjects

Note: This ITU-R Recommendation was approved in English under the procedure detailed in Resolution ITU-R 1.

Electronic Publication Geneva, 2023

#### © ITU 2023

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, by any means whatsoever, without written permission of ITU.

#### **RECOMMENDATION ITU-R BS.1615-3**

#### "Planning parameters" for digital sound broadcasting at frequencies below 30 MHz

(2003-2011-2020-2023)

#### Scope

This Recommendation describes the planning criteria, which could be used for planning of terrestrial digital sound broadcasting in the LF, MF and HF bands (30 kHz to 30 MHz), including DRM and IBOC (HD Radio) Digital Systems in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1514.

#### Keywords

Digital Sound Broadcasting, DRM, IBOC, HD Radio

#### Abbreviations

AF	Audio frequency
AM	Amplitude modulation
BER	Bit error rate
BW	Bandwidth
C/N	Carrier-to-noise ratio
CNR	Carrier-to-noise ratio
DRM	Digital Radio Mondiale
DSB	Digital sound broadcasting
ETSI	European Telecommunications Standards Institute
GCS	Grounded conductive structures
HF	High frequency (band)
HFBC	High frequency broadcasting
IBOC	In-band on channel
IF	Intermediate frequency
LF	Low frequency (band)
MBF	Measurement band-pass filter
MF	Medium frequency (band)
MUF	Maximum usable frequency
OFDM	Orthogonal frequency division multiplexing
PDS	Power density spectrum
PSD	Power spectral density
QAM	Quadrature amplitude modulation
RF	Radio frequency
RMS (r.m.s)	Root mean square

S/I	Signal-to-interference ratio
S/N	Signal-to-noise ratio
SNR	Signal-to-noise ratio
WARC	World Administrative Radiocommunication Conference

#### **Related ITU-R documents**

Recommendation ITU-R BS.560 - Radio-frequency protection ratios in LF, MF and HF broadcasting

- Recommendation ITU-R BS.703 Characteristics of AM sound broadcasting reference receivers for planning purposes
- Recommendation ITU-R BS.1514 System for digital sound broadcasting in the broadcasting bands below 30 MHz

The ITU Radiocommunication Assembly,

#### considering

*a)* that ITU-R is carrying out urgent studies on the development of digital broadcasting modulation emissions in the bands allocated to the broadcasting service below 30 MHz;

*b)* Recommendation ITU-R BS.1514 describing a digital system suitable for broadcasting in the bands below 30 MHz;

c) that values of RF protection ratios to be applied for all relevant combinations of wanted and unwanted analogue and digital emissions have not been included in the Recommendation mentioned in *considering b*);

d) that values of minimum usable field strength for wanted digital emissions have not been included in the Recommendation mentioned in *considering b*);

*e)* that the analogue emissions will remain in use in the LF, MF and HF bands for some time;

*f)* that the availability of consistent sets of "planning parameters" will facilitate the introduction of digital emissions in these bands,

#### recommends

1 that the relevant minimum usable field strength values<sup>1</sup> given in Annex 1 and the values of RF protection ratios given in Annex 2 should be used as a guideline for the introduction of DRM digital broadcasting services in the bands below 30 MHz;

2 that the relevant minimum usable field strength values given in Annex 3 and the values of RF protection ratios given in Annex 4 should be used as a guideline for the introduction of IBOC (HD Radio) digital broadcasting services in the band between 525 kHz and 1 705 kHz,

#### invites ITU-R

to develop suitable computer software for the introduction of digital broadcasting emissions in the LF, MF and HF broadcasting bands, taking into account the "planning parameters" covered in the Annexes to this Recommendation, and to participate actively in this development.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> As far as the minimum usable field strength values given in Annex 1 related to the tropical broadcasting bands are concerned, these values are a first approximation and field tests will be needed to verify these values.

#### Annex 1

#### Minimum usable field strengths for digital sound broadcasting (DSB) (Digital Radio Mondiale (DRM) system) at frequencies below 30 MHz

#### 1 Introduction

The information on minimum usable field strength contained in this Annex relies upon measurements made using the DRM system. The values are derived from results on S/N after applying the procedure given in Attachment 1 to this Annex. The influence of the variety of system parameters as well as of the propagation conditions in the different frequency bands has been considered during the evaluation of the S/N values.

NOTE 1 – Report ITU-R BS.2144 examines the reasons for the introduction of digital sound broadcasting in the bands below 30 MHz and looks at the technologies involved.

#### 2 Relevant transmission parameters

#### 2.1 DRM robustness modes

In the DRM specification, four robustness modes with different parameters (subcarrier number and spacing, useful symbol and guard interval length, etc.) for the orthogonal frequency division multiplex (OFDM) transmission scheme are defined for the various propagation conditions in the LF, MF and HF bands (see Table 1).

#### TABLE 1

#### **Robustness Preferred frequency Typical propagation conditions** mode bands A Ground-wave channels, with minor fading LF, MF В Time – and frequency-selective channels, with longer delay spread MF, HF С As robustness mode B, but with higher Doppler spread Only HF D As robustness mode B, but with severe delay and Doppler spread Only HF

#### **DRM robustness modes**

#### 2.2 Spectrum occupancy types

For each robustness mode the occupied signal bandwidth can be varied dependent on the frequency band and on the desired application. The specified spectrum occupancy types are shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2	2
---------	---

Robustness mode	Spectrum occupancy type					
	0	1	2	3	4	5
Α	4.208	4.708	8.542	9.542	17.208	19.208
В	4.266	4.828	8.578	9.703	17.203	19.266
С				9.477		19.159
D				9.536		19.179
Nominal bandwidth (kHz)	4.5	5	9	10	18	20

#### Bandwidths for DRM robustness mode combinations (kHz)

The bandwidths in the last row of Table 2 are the nominal bandwidths for the respective spectrum occupancy types of the DRM signal and the values given in lines A to D are the exact signal bandwidths for the different robustness mode combinations.

#### 2.3 Modulation and protection levels

Audio services are transmitted in the main service channel (MSC) of the DRM multiplex. For all robustness modes two different modulation schemes (16- or 64-QAM) are defined for the MSC, which can be used in combination with one of two (16-QAM) or four (64-QAM) protection levels, respectively.

Each protection level is characterized by a specific parameter set for the two (16-QAM) or three (64-QAM) convolutional encoders, resulting in a certain average code rate for the overall multilevel encoding process in the modulator. For 16-QAM protection level, No. 0 corresponds to an average code rate of 0.5; No. 1 to 0.62. For 64-QAM the protection levels, Nos 0 to 3 correspond to average code rates of 0.5, 0.6, 0.71 and 0.78.

#### **3** Computation of minimum usable field strength

To achieve a sufficiently high quality of service for a DRM digital audio service, a BER of about  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  is needed. The *S*/*N* required at the receiver input to achieve this BER is dependent, apart from the system parameters, also on the wave propagation conditions in the different frequency bands. Corresponding details can be found in Attachments 2 and 3 to this Annex.

On the basis of these S/N values, the minimum usable field strength can be computed applying the procedure proposed in Attachment 1 to this Annex. The relevant resulting values can be found in Tables 3 to 6. For the LF and MF bands (Tables 3 to 5), only results for the DRM robustness mode A are included. If one of the other robustness modes is intended to be used in these bands, the corresponding field strength values can be computed with the help of S/N values for these modes given in Attachment 2 to this Annex.

#### TABLE 3

## Minimum usable field strength (dB( $\mu$ V/m)) to achieve BER of 1 × 10<sup>-4</sup> for DRM robustness mode A with spectrum occupancy types 0 or 2 (4.5 or 9 kHz) dependent on modulation scheme and protection level for the LF frequency band (ground-wave propagation)

Modulation	Protection	Average code	Robustness mode/spectrum occupancy type		
scheme	level No.	rate	A/0 (4.5 kHz)	A/2 (9 kHz)	
16-QAM	0	0.5	41.4	41.2	
	1	0.62	43.5	43.3	
64-QAM	0	0.5	46.9	46.7	
	1	0.6	48.4	47.9	
	2	0.71	50.1	49.7	
	3	0.78	51.8	51.3	

#### TABLE 4

Minimum usable field strength (dB( $\mu$ V/m)) to achieve BER of 1 × 10<sup>-4</sup> for DRM robustness mode A with different spectrum occupancy types dependent on protection level and modulation scheme for the MF frequency band (ground-wave propagation)

Modulation	Protection	Average code	Robustness mode/spectrum occupancy type		
scheme	level No.	rate	A/0 (4.5 kHz), A/1 (5 kHz)	A/2 (9 kHz), A/3 (10 kHz)	
16-QAM	0	0.5	35.4	35.2	
	1	0.62	37.5	37.3	
	0	0.5	40.9	40.7	
(1 O A M	1	0.6	42.4	41.9	
04-QAM	2	0.71	44.1	43.7	
	3	0.78	45.8	45.3	

#### TABLE 5

#### Minimum usable field strength (dB( $\mu$ V/m)) to achieve BER of 1 × 10<sup>-4</sup> for DRM robustness mode A with different spectrum occupancy types dependent on protection level and modulation scheme for the MF frequency band (ground-wave plus sky-wave propagation)

Modulation	Protection	Average code	Robustness mode/spectrum occupancy type		
scheme	level No.	rate	A/0 (4.5 kHz), A/1 (5 kHz)	A/2 (9 kHz), A/3 (10 kHz)	
16 OAM	0	0.5	36.4	36.0	
10-QAM	1	0.62	39.3	39.1	
	0	0.5	41.8	41.5	
64 O M	1	0.6	43.2	42.9	
04-QAM	2	0.71	46.2	45.8	
	3	0.78	49.5	48.6	

#### TABLE 6

## Range of minimum usable field strengths (dB( $\mu$ V/m)) to achieve BER of 1 × 10<sup>-4</sup> for DRM robustness mode B with spectrum occupancy types 1 or 3 (5 or 10 kHz) dependent on protection level and modulation scheme for the HF frequency band

Modulation	Protection	Average code	Robustness mode/spectrum occupancy type		
scheme	level No.	rate	B/1 (5 kHz)	B/3 (10 kHz)	
16-QAM	0	0.5	29.3-36.9	29.2-36.6	
	1	0.62	32.6-39.7	32.3-39.4	
64-QAM	0	0.5	35.2-42.4	34.7-41.9	
	1	0.6	37.8-44.5	37.3-44	

NOTE 1 - The derivation of the values in Tables 3 to 6 is based on the intrinsic noise level of a digital receiver as given in the last row of the Table in Attachment 1 to this Annex. However, when the effect of the external noise is greater than that of the receiver intrinsic noise, then the external noise value should replace the corresponding value of the intrinsic noise in Attachment 1 to this Annex. The adaptation of the values for minimum usable field strength in Tables 3 to 6 can be done afterwards according to the procedure described in Attachment 1 to this Annex.

Not considered in the computation of the field strength up to now are any changes in antenna design and integration into modern receivers (see also Attachment 1 to this Annex).

Table 6 shows the range for minimum usable field strength needed to achieve the BER target on HF channels using robustness mode B. This range gives an impression on the spread of the results caused by varying propagation channel conditions (for details on the system performance evaluation see Attachment 2 to this Annex). As for LF and MF bands, field strength values for other robustness modes can be computed with the *S*/*N* values given in Attachment 2 to this Annex. Only mode A is not applicable to HF transmission due to the lack of robustness in the OFDM parameters (length of the guard interval and frequency spacing of the subcarriers).

In contrast to the entries in Tables 3 to 5, results for protection level Nos 2 and 3 in combination with 64-QAM are not included in Table 6 for HF bands, due to the occurrence of bit-error floors even at higher S/N, which are caused by the weak error protection. Therefore these protection levels are not recommended for HF transmission on channels with strong time – and/or frequency-selective behaviour (see Attachments 2 and 3 to this Annex).

#### 4 Further remarks

In DRM field tests it was also recognized that the fading depth with the digital broadband OFDM signal was distinctly less than with the analogue AM transmission (mainly the carrier) under the same propagation conditions. This fact has to be considered either in the algorithms for prediction of median field strength (Recommendation ITU-R P.533) or for computation of transmission reliability (Recommendation ITU-R P.842) by modification of corresponding fading margins. Furthermore, Recommendation ITU-R P.842 – Computation of reliability and compatibility of HF radio systems, makes simplifying assumptions that are unlikely to apply to specific digital modulation.

#### Attachment 1 to Annex 1

#### Procedure for estimation of the minimum usable field strength

1 Receiving by receivers using built-in antenna, as defined in Recommendation ITU-R BS.703.

#### 2 Receiver sensitivity

			Ι	Double sideband (DSB) (AM)	Digital	
1 Required receiving quality			Audio frequency <i>S/N</i> : 26 dB with 30% (-10.5 dB) modulation (Rec. ITU-R BS.703)		$BER = 1 \times 10^{-4}$	
2	2 Required <i>C</i> / <i>N</i> for the above quality (dB)			0.5 = 36.5	x	
3	Receiver IF bandwidth (	kHz)	8		10	
					(1 dB higher receiver intrinsic noise than DSB)	
4	Receiver sensitivity	LF	66	Required in Recommendation ITU-R BS.703	32.6 + x	(x  dB  above the)
	for the above $C/N$	MF	60		26.6+ <i>x</i>	receiver intrinsic
	(αΒ(μ ν/Π))	HF < 7 MHz	40		18.6 + x	noise)
		HF > 7 MHz			14.6 + x	
5	Receiver intrinsic	LF	29.5	(36.5 dB ( <i>C</i> / <i>N</i> ) below the	32.6	
noise related to f	noise related to field	MF	23.5		26.6	
	sensitivity ( $dB(\mu V/m)$ )	HF < 7 MHz	3.5 <sup>(1)</sup>	Sensitivity)	18.6	
	• • • //	HF > 7 MHz			14.6	

<sup>(1)</sup> This value, 3.5 dB( $\mu$ V/m), is also indicated in Annex 4 to Recommendation ITU-R BS.560.

NOTE 1 – In the case of the digital receiver, the expression S/N should be used instead of C/N which is used for the analogue DSB receiver.

NOTE 2 - Intrinsic noise of the reference DSB receiver can be calculated as 36.5 dB below the sensitivity.

NOTE 3 – The value x is taken from Table 8.

NOTE 4 – The increase of antenna loss for any receiver that uses a small-sized built-in antenna directly increases the receiver intrinsic noise related to the field strength. This should be taken into account.

#### **3** Other factors to be considered

The external noise level (increasing man-made noise) and the pulse nature of some of the external noise have to be considered. Recommendation ITU-R P.372 deals with radio noise, including some information on impulsive noise. This provides some indication of the noise levels encountered by a digital system. The integrated effects of distant thunderstorms are also included, and the statistical characteristics of the amplitude probability density function are modelled. The method of applying the information is given in Recommendation ITU-R P.372.

#### Attachment 2 to Annex 1

#### **Required** *S*/*N* for DRM reception

#### 1 Introduction

In Recommendation ITU-R BS.1514, the use of the DRM system was recommended for DSB in the broadcasting frequency bands below 30 MHz. To achieve a sufficiently high quality of service for a digital audio programme transmitted via this system, a BER of about  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  is needed. In the following values on *S/N* required to achieve this BER are given for typical propagation conditions on the relevant frequency bands. The values were derived by tests with receiver equipment recently developed on the basis of the current DRM specification published as TS 101 980 (V1.1.1) in September 2001 by the European Telecommunications Standards Institute (ETSI). With these *S/N* values the corresponding minimum usable field strengths can be computed applying the procedure proposed in Attachment 1 to Annex 1.

#### 2 *S/N* values for LF/MF bands

In Attachment 3 to Annex 1 a detailed description of transmission channel models used to evaluate the system performance can be found. Channel model No. 1 represents the typical behaviour of a transmission channel with ground-wave propagation during daytime in LF and MF bands. In Table 7 the required *S*/*N* for the different robustness modes and their typical spectrum occupancy types (2 for mode A, i.e. nominal channel bandwidth of 9 kHz, and 3, i.e. 10 kHz, for the others) to achieve a BER of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  on this channel is given.

For real transmissions based on ground-wave propagation only the use of robustness mode A is recommended because of the higher achievable service data rate. The values for the other modes are included in Table 7 only for reference. The degradation of their performance in S/N compared with mode A can be explained by the fact that the ratio between the numbers of data and pilot subcarriers is varying from mode to mode. With the robustness of the mode the number of pilot subcarriers, which are boosted in power in comparison with data subcarriers, also increases and therefore the average usable power of the remaining data subcarriers decreases.

#### TABLE 7

Madulation	Ductaction	A voya go go do	Robustness mode/spectrum occupancy type			
scheme	level No.	rate	A/2 (9 kHz)	B/3 (10 kHz)	C/3 (10 kHz)	D/3 (10 kHz)
16-QAM	0	0.5	8.6	9.3	9.6	10.2
	1	0.62	10.7	11.3	11.6	12.1
	0	0.5	14.1	14.7	15.1	15.9
64 O M	1	0.6	15.3	15.9	16.3	17.2
04-QAM	2	0.71	17.1	17.7	18.1	19.1
	3	0.78	18.7	19.3	19.7	21.4

## S/N (dB) to achieve BER of $1 \times 10^{-4}$ for all DRM robustness modes with spectrum occupancy types 2 or 3 (9 or 10 kHz) dependent on modulation scheme and protection level for channel model No. 1

For simulcast applications in a nominal channel bandwidth of 9 or 10 kHz DRM spectrum occupancy types 0 and 1 are suitable. Only robustness modes A and B are providing this feature. The corresponding S/N values for channel model No. 1 can be found in Table 8.

#### TABLE 8

## S/N (dB) to achieve BER of $1 \times 10^{-4}$ for DRM robustness modes A and B with spectrum occupancy type 0 or 1 (4.5 or 5 kHz) dependent on modulation scheme and protection level for channel model No. 1

Madada 4ª are	Deretertier	A	Robustness mode/spectrum occupancy type		
scheme	level No.	rate	A/0 (4.5 kHz)	B/1 (5 kHz)	
16-QAM	0	0.5	8.8	9.5	
	1	0.62	10.9	11.5	
	0	0.5	14.3	14.9	
CI OAN	1	0.6	15.8	16.2	
64-QAM	2	0.71	17.5	17.9	
	3	0.78	19.2	19.5	

For the application of robustness mode A with spectrum occupancy types 1 or 3 or mode B with 0 or 2 the *S*/*N* values in Tables 7 and 8 are also recommended, because differences in performance are less than 0.1 dB.

In contrast to channel model No. 1 the channel model No. 2 represents a wave propagation model for MF bands at night-time including a delayed sky wave in addition to the ground wave. The required S/N for this channel model is shown in Table 9. Only results for the relevant robustness modes A and B are given (also for lower spectrum occupancy types).

#### TABLE 9

S/N(dB) to achieve BER of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  for DRM robustness modes A and B with different spectrum occupancy types dependent on modulation scheme and protection level for channel model No. 2

Modulation	Dustantian Avanage and		Robustness mode/spectrum occupancy type				
scheme	level No.	rate	A/0 (4.5 kHz)	A/2 (9 kHz)	B/1 (5 kHz)	B/3 (10 kHz)	
16 OAM	0	0.5	9.8	9.4	10.3	10.2	
16-QAM	1	0.62	12.7	12.5	13.2	13.1	
64-QAM	0	0.5	15.2	14.9	15.8	15.6	
	1	0.6	16.6	16.3	17.3	16.9	
	2	0.71	19.7	19.2	20.4	19.7	
	3	0.78	22.9	22.0	22.8	22.3	

Compared with pure ground-wave propagation the system performance degrades due to the increased frequency-selectivity and especially the slowly time-selective channel behaviour caused by the sky wave. The values indicate the correlation between the strength of the channel coding and the S/N impairment, i.e. with increasing coding rate the impairment increases, too. But for correct interpretation of the results it has to be considered that under the assumption of the same noise power as for pure ground-wave propagation the additional sky-wave power would lead to a gain in received signal power of approximately 1 dB, i.e. the resulting impairment in that case is only marginal, at least for a sufficient strength of the applied error protection scheme (protection levels Nos 0 and 1).

#### 3 *S/N* values for HF bands

In Tables 10 to 13 the *S/N* values for the three robustness modes suited for HF transmission are given for channel models Nos 3 to 6. Mode A is not able to be applied for HF due to the lack of robustness in the OFDM parameters (length of the guard interval and frequency spacing of the subcarriers). In the case of mode B, results both for spectrum occupancy type 1 and 3 are included. Only robustness mode D is applicable also for channels with extremely long path delays and Doppler spreads as defined with channel model No. 6, which is a typical example for tropical-near-vertical incidence sky-wave propagation.

For 16-QAM modulation and also for 64-QAM with strong error protection (protection levels Nos 0 and 1) robustness mode B achieves the best performance, i.e. the lowest *S*/*N* values are required to achieve high quality audio transmission. On channel model No. 5, where the fast-fading on the two paths is dominating, the better robustness of mode C and D in view of synchronization and channel estimation plays a more and more important role in the case of reduced coding strength.

Nevertheless, the results for protection level Nos 2 and 3 in combination with 64-QAM show an increasing performance degradation due to the occurrence of a bit-error floor even at higher S/N. Therefore these protection levels are not recommended for HF transmission on channels with strong time- and/or frequency-selective behaviour like channel models Nos 3 to 6. It also has to be kept in mind that the results given in the different tables may represent typical bad cases for HF transmission, but not necessarily the worst ones. The S/N values for HF and also for MF with sky-wave propagation have to be seen as a useful index for the achievement of the required quality of service, but cannot guarantee it under all circumstances.

#### TABLE 10

S/N(dB) to achieve BER of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  for DRM robustness mode B with spectrum occupancy type 1 dependent on modulation scheme and protection level for channel model Nos 3 to 6

Modulation	Protection	Average code	Channel model No.				
scheme	level No. rate		3	4	5	6	
16 OAM	0	0.5	18.3	16.2	14.7	_	
16-QAM	1	0.62	21.1	19.3	18.0	_	
64-QAM	0	0.5	23.8	21.5	20.6	—	
	1	0.6	25.9	23.7	23.2	_	
	2	0.71	<b>29.0</b> <sup>(1)</sup>	$27.0^{(1)}$	29.4 <sup>(1)</sup>	_	
	3	0.78	31.2(1)	30.0 <sup>(1)</sup>	_	_	

<sup>(1)</sup> Protection levels not recommended for use in HF propagation conditions with severe time- and frequencyselective fading.

#### TABLE 11

Modulation	Protection	Average code	Channel model No.				
scheme	level No.	rate	3	4	5	6	
16 OAM	0	0.5	18.0	16.0	14.6	_	
16-QAM	1	0.62	20.8	19.0	17.7	_	
64-QAM	0	0.5	23.3	21.3	20.1	_	
	1	0.6	25.4	23.5	22.7	_	
	2	0.71	28.3(1)	26.8 <sup>(1)</sup>	27.0 <sup>(1)</sup>	_	
	3	0.78	30.9 <sup>(1)</sup>	29.7 <sup>(1)</sup>	_	_	

## S/N(dB) to achieve BER of $1 \times 10^{-4}$ for DRM robustness mode B with spectrum occupancy type 3 dependent on modulation scheme and protection level for channel model Nos 3 to 6

<sup>(1)</sup> Protection levels not recommended for use in HF propagation conditions with severe time- and frequencyselective fading.

#### TABLE 12

## S/N (dB) to achieve BER of $1 \times 10^{-4}$ for DRM robustness mode C with spectrum occupancy type 3 dependent on modulation scheme and protection level for channel model Nos 3 to 6

Modulation	Protection	Average code	Channel model No.				
scheme	level No.	rate	3	4	5	6	
16 OAM	0	0.5	18.0	16.5	14.6	_	
16-QAM	1	0.62	20.9	19.1	17.6	_	
64-QAM	0	0.5	23.6	21.3	20.2	_	
	1	0.6	25.6	23.7	22.3	_	
	2	0.71	<b>29.0</b> <sup>(1)</sup>	26.8 <sup>(1)</sup>	26.4 <sup>(1)</sup>	_	
	3	0.78	32.3 <sup>(1)</sup>	29.6 <sup>(1)</sup>	33.3 <sup>(1)</sup>	_	

<sup>(1)</sup> Protection levels not recommended for use in HF propagation conditions with severe time- and frequencyselective fading.

#### TABLE 13

## *S/N* (dB) to achieve BER of $1 \times 10^{-4}$ for DRM robustness mode D with spectrum occupancy type 3 dependent on modulation scheme and protection level on channel model Nos 3 to 6

Modulation Protection		Average code	Channel model No.				
scheme	level No.	rate	3	4	5	6	
16 OAM	0	0.5	18.5	16.9	15.3	16.0	
10-QAM	1	0.62	21.2	19.9	18.3	19.2	
	0	0.5	24.2	22.2	20.8	22.1	
64-QAM	1	0.6	26.3	24.5	22.9	25.2	
	2	0.71	29.2 <sup>(1)</sup>	27.6 <sup>(1)</sup>	27.2 <sup>(1)</sup>	29.3(1)	
	3	0.78	32.1 <sup>(1)</sup>	31.7 <sup>(1)</sup>	35.5 <sup>(1)</sup>	32.5 <sup>(1)</sup>	

<sup>(1)</sup> Protection levels not recommended for use in HF propagation conditions with severe time- and frequency-selective fading.

#### Attachment 3 to Annex 1

#### Prediction and modelling of radiowave propagation for DSB at frequencies below 30 MHz

#### 1 Introduction

For the introduction of DSB the effect of the radio channels on the reception quality in the LF, MF and HF bands has to be considered. In principle all three are multipath channels, because the surface of the Earth and the ionosphere are involved in the mechanism of electromagnetic wave propagation. In the following parts of this Attachment, methods to predict and to simulate the multipath profiles are described.

#### 2 Prediction of HF sky-wave propagation

For sky-wave propagation, Recommendation ITU-R P.533 – Method for the prediction of the performance of HF circuits, provides within the method parameters for wave propagation mode and field strength. The time delay of an individual wave propagation mode, as predicted in this Recommendation for ranges up to 7 000 km, is given by:

$$\tau = (p'/c) \times 10^3 \qquad ms$$

where:

*p'*: virtual slant range (km)

*c*: velocity of light (km/s).

The values of time delay for each individual mode may be used in conjunction with the predicted field strength for each mode, as determined according to the procedure in § 5.1.3 of Recommendation ITU-R P.533 to give the median time-delay profile, thereby estimating multipath time spread.

When a single propagation mode (e.g. one-hop F) is operational, the propagation may comprise up to four multipath components, as there can be both O and X (magneto-ionic polarization components), and both high- and low-angle rays at frequencies near the maximum usable frequency (MUF). When the ratio of working frequency/MUF exceeds 0.9, the magneto-ionic components are resolvable and there are two to four rays with equal relative powers and total time dispersion about 0.3 to 0.6 ms. As the ratio of working frequency/MUF decreases below 0.9 the O and X modes merge and the high-angle ray becomes defocused and disappears, limiting the total dispersion for the path. As guidance, typical values for the maximum multipath spread are shown in Fig. 1 for various ranges and ratios of the working frequency to the instantaneous path MUF.



These values may not apply for paths which traverse the equatorial (low magnetic dip) region after sunset, or the auroral regions during times of ionospheric disturbance. In such cases, the time dispersion may increase up to a maximum of about 4 ms. This is likely to be most severe during the major periods of occurrence of equatorial ionospheric irregularities, i.e. March-April, June and September-October.

As assistance in gauging the mode structure and the multimode fading of HF sky-wave signals, each mode may be approximately described by a Rice-Nakagami distribution, where the *k*-factor will describe the ratio of the specular to diffuse reflection from the layer.

#### **3** Prediction of MF ground- and sky-wave propagation

As regards MF, the simplistic approach of Recommendation ITU-R P.1321 – Propagation factors affecting systems using digital modulation techniques at LF and MF is recommended for both ground-wave and sky-wave predictions.

#### 4 Modelling of propagation channels

The approach is to use stochastic time-varying models with stationary statistics and define models for good, moderate and bad conditions by taking appropriate parameter values of the general model. One of those models with adaptable parameters is the wide sense stationary uncorrelated scattering (WSSUS) model. The justification for the stationary approach with different parameter sets is that results on real channels lead to BER curves between best and worst cases found in the simulation.

The channel models have been generated from the following equations where e(t) and s(t) are the complex envelops of the input and output signals respectively:

$$s(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \rho_k c_k (t) e(t - \Delta_k)$$
(1)

This is a tapped delay-line where:

- $\rho_k$ : attenuation of the path number *k* (listed in Table 14)
- $\Delta_k$ : relative delay of the path number *k* (listed in Table 14).

The time-variant tap weights  $\{c_k(t)\}\$  are zero mean complex-valued stationary Gaussian random processes. The magnitudes  $|c_k(t)|\$  are Rayleigh-distributed and the phases  $\Phi(t)$  are uniformly distributed.

For each weight  $\{c_k(t)\}\$  there is one stochastic process, characterized by its variance and its power density spectrum (PDS). The variance is a measure for the average signal power, which is received via this path and is defined by the relative attenuation  $\rho_k$ , and the PDS determines the average speed of variation in time. The width of the PDS is quantified by a number and is referred to as the Doppler spread,  $D_{sp}$ , of that path (listed in Table 14).

There might be also a non-zero centre frequency of the PDS, which can be interpreted as an average frequency shift or Doppler shift,  $D_{sh}$ , (listed in Table 14).

The PDS is modelled by filtering white noise (i.e. with constant PDS) and is equal to:

$$\varphi_{n_t n_t}(f) = N_0 |H(f)|^2$$
 (2)

H(f) is the transfer function of the filter. The stochastic processes belonging to every individual path then become Rayleigh processes. For the ionospheric path, a Gaussian shape has proven to be a good approach with respect to real observations.

The Doppler profile on each path k is then defined as:

$$\left|H(f)\right|^{2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_{d}^{2}}} e^{-\frac{(f-D_{sh})^{2}}{2\sigma_{d}^{2}}}$$
(3)

The Doppler spread is specified as two-sided and contains 68% of the power:

$$D_{sp} = 2\,\sigma_d \tag{4}$$

#### TABLE 14

#### Set of transmission channel models

Channel model No. 1 (additive white Gaussian noise)		Good: Typical/moderate: Bad:	LF, MF, HF LF with variable <i>S/N</i>		
	Path 1				
Delay, $\Delta_k$ (ms)	0				
Path gain, r.m.s., $\rho_k$	1				
Doppler shift, $D_{sh}$ (Hz)	0				
Doppler spread, $D_{sp}$ (Hz)	0				

Channel model No. 2 (ground wave + sky wave)		Good: Typical/moderate: Bad:	MF, HF		
	Path 1	Path 2			
Delay, $\Delta_k$ (ms)	0	1			
Path gain, r.m.s., $\rho_k$	1	0.5			
Doppler shift, <i>D</i> <sub>sh</sub> (Hz)	0	0			
Doppler spread, $D_{sp}$ (Hz)	0	0.1			

Channel model No. 3		Good: Typical/moderate: Bad:	HF MF		
	Path 1	Path 2	Path 3	Path 4	
Delay, $\Delta_k$ (ms)	0	0.7	1.5	2.2	
Path gain, r.m.s., $\rho_k$	1	0.7	0.5	0.25	
Doppler shift, <i>D</i> <sub>sh</sub> (Hz)	0.1	0.2	0.5	1.0	
Doppler spread, $D_{sp}$ (Hz)	0.1	0.5	1.0	2.0	

Channel model No. 4		Good: Typical/moderate: Bad	HF
	Path 1	Path 2	
Delay, $\Delta_k$ (ms)	0	2	
Path gain, r.m.s., $\rho_k$	1	1	
Doppler shift, <i>D</i> <sub>sh</sub> (Hz)	0	0	
Doppler spread, $D_{sp}$ (Hz)	1	1	

#### TABLE 14 (end)

Channel model No. 5		Good: Typical/moderate: Bad:	HF	
	Path 1	Path 2		
Delay, $\Delta_k$ (ms)	0	4		
Path gain, r.m.s., $\rho_k$	1	1		
Doppler shift, <i>D</i> <sub>sh</sub> (Hz)	0	0		
Doppler spread, $D_{sp}$ (Hz)	2	2		

Channel model No. 6 (near vertical incidence in tropical zones)		Good: Typical/moderate: Bad:	HF	
	Path 1	Path 2	Path 3	Path 4
Delay, $\Delta_k$ (ms)	0	2	4	6
Path gain, r.m.s., $\rho_k$	0.5	1	0.25	0.0625
Doppler shift, <i>D</i> <sub>sh</sub> (Hz)	0	1.2	2.4	3.6
Doppler spread, $D_{sp}$ (Hz)	0.1	2.4	4.8	7.2

#### Annex 2

#### RF protection ratios for DSB (DRM system) at frequencies below 30 MHz

#### 1 Introduction

The DRM specification allows for several robustness modes (A to D) and spectrum occupancy types (0 to 5) of DRM signals. Only certain combinations of robustness modes (A to D) and spectrum occupancy types (0 to 5) are used in this Annex. The parameters for the used mode combinations, i.e. the respective number of subcarriers and the corresponding subcarrier spacing in the OFDM signal, lead to the bandwidths in rows A to D of Table 15.

#### TABLE 15

Robustness mode		Spectrum occupancy type						
	0	1	2	3	4	5		
Α	4.208	4.708	8.542	9.542	17.208	19.208		
В	4.266	4.828	8.578	9.703	17.203	19.266		
С				9.477		19.159		
D				9.536		19.179		
Nominal bandwidth (kHz)	4.5	5	9	10	18	20		

#### Bandwidths for DRM mode combinations (kHz)

The bandwidths in the last row of Table 15 are the nominal bandwidths for the respective spectrum occupancies of the DRM signal, and the values given in lines A to D are the exact signal bandwidths for the different mode combinations.

#### 2 **RF protection ratios**

The combinations of spectrum occupancy types and robustness modes lead to several transmitter RF spectra, which cause different interference and therefore require different RF protection ratios. The applied calculation method is described in detail in Attachment 2 to this Annex. The differences in protection ratios for the different DRM robustness modes are quite small. Therefore, the RF protection ratios presented in the following tables are restricted to the robustness mode B. More calculation results are presented in Attachment 1 to this Annex.

Table 16 shows calculation results for AM interfered with by digital and Table 17, digital interfered with by AM. These values are calculated for AM signals with high compression. The RF protection ratios for digital interfered with by digital are given in Table 18. Correction values for DRM reception using different modulation schemes and protection levels are given in Table 19.

The values in Tables 16 to 18 represent relative RF protection ratios,  $A_{RF\_relative}$ . For the pure AM case, the relative protection ratio is the difference in dB between the protection ratio when the carriers of the wanted and unwanted transmitters have a frequency difference of  $\Delta f$  Hz and the protection ratio when the carriers of these transmitters have the same frequency (Recommendation ITU-R BS.560), i.e. the co-channel RF protection ratio,  $A_{RF}$ , which corresponds to the audio frequency (AF) protection ratio,  $A_{AF}$ . In the case of a digital signal its nominal frequency instead of the carrier frequency is the relevant value for the determination of the frequency difference. For spectrum occupancy types 2 and 3 the nominal frequency is shifted about 2.2 and 2.4 kHz, respectively, above the nominal frequency. Due to the fact that the spectrum of the interference signal is different from the AF spectrum of analogue AM, the values for relative RF protection ratio in the case of co-channel interference are not equal to zero.

To adjust Table 16 to a given AM planning scenario, the relevant AF protection ratio has to be added to the values in the Table to get the required RF protection ratio (see Attachment 2 to this Annex). Relevant values may be determined taking into account:

- for HF, the AF protection ratio of 17 dB, which was adopted for HFBC planning by WARC HFBC-87 for AM interfered with by AM;
- for LF/MF, the AF protection of 30 dB, which was adopted by the Regional Administrative LF/MF Broadcasting Conference for Regions 1 and 3 (Geneva, 1975) for AM interfered with by AM.

With DRM as the wanted signal, the AF protection ratio as a parameter for the quality of service has to be replaced by the *S/I* required to achieve a certain BER. A BER threshold of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  is supposed for the calculations (see Annex 1). The protection ratio values in Tables 17 and 18 are based on 64-QAM modulation and protection level No. 1. For other combinations the correction values in Table 19 have to be added to the *S/I* values given in the Tables.

#### TABLE 16

#### Relative RF protection ratios between broadcasting systems below 30 MHz (dB) AM interfered with by digital

							Freque	ency sepa	aration						Para	meters
Wanted signal	Unwanted signal						<b>f</b> unwante	$d-f_{wanted}$	(kHz)						<b>B</b> <sub>DRM</sub>	$A_{AF}^{(1),(2)}$
		-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	(dB)
AM	DRM_B0 <sup>(3)</sup>	-50.4	-50.4	-49	-35.5	-28.4	6.4	6.6	-30.9	-46.7	-48.2	-50.4	-50.4	-50.4	4.5	_
AM	DRM_B1 <sup>(4)</sup>	-51	-50.5	-47.6	-32	-23.8	6	6	-31.1	45.7	47.4	-51	-51	-51	5	_
AM	DRM_B2	-48.8	-46.9	-43.5	-34.4	-29.7	3.4	6.5	3.4	-29.7	-34.4	-43.5	-46.9	-48.8	9	_
AM	DRM_B3	-47.2	-45.3	-41.9	-32	-25.9	3	6	3	-25.9	-32	-41.9	-45.3	-47.2	10	-
AM	DRM_B4	-35.3	-27.4	-1.3	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	0.3	-27.4	-32.9	-39.2	-41.9	-43.3	18	
AM	DRM_B5	-29.3	-14.6	0.1	3	3	3	3	0.1	-22.5	-28.8	-38.2	-40.9	-42.2	20	

*B*<sub>DRM</sub>: nominal bandwidth of DRM signal.

DRM\_B0: DRM signal, robustness mode B, spectrum occupancy type 0.

<sup>(1)</sup> The RF protection ratio for AM interfered with by digital can be calculated by adding a suitable value for the AF protection ratio according to a given planning scenario to the values in the Table.

<sup>(2)</sup> The values presented in this table refer to the specific case of high AM compression. For consistency with Table 17, the same modulation depth, namely that associated with high compression, has been assumed for the AM signal. In order to offer adequate protection to AM signals with normal levels of compression (as defined in Attachment 1 to Annex 2), each value in the Table should be increased to accommodate the difference between normal and high compression.

<sup>(3)</sup> The centre frequency of DRM\_B0 transmission is shifted about 2.2 kHz above the nominal frequency.

<sup>(4)</sup> The centre frequency of DRM\_B1 transmission is shifted about 2.4 kHz above the nominal frequency.

#### TABLE 17

#### Relative RF protection ratios between broadcasting systems below 30 MHz (dB) Digital (64-QAM, protection level No. 1) interfered with by AM

Wanted							Freque	ency sepa	aration						Paran	neters
Wanted signal	Unwanted signal						<b>f</b> unwante	d – $f$ wanted	(kHz)						<b>B</b> <sub>DRM</sub>	S/I
		-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	(dB)
DRM_B0 <sup>(1)</sup>	AM	-57.7	-55.5	-52.2	-46.1	-45	-36.2	0	-3.5	-30.9	-41.1	-46.9	-50.6	-53	4.5	4.6
DRM_B1 <sup>(2)</sup>	AM	-57.4	-55.2	-51.9	-45.9	-44.7	-36	0	-0.2	-22	-37.6	-46	-49.6	-52	5	4.6
DRM_B2	AM	-54.6	-52.4	-48.8	-42.8	-33.7	-6.4	0	-6.4	-33.7	-42.8	-48.8	-52.4	-54.6	9	7.3
DRM_B3	AM	-53.9	-51.5	-48	-39.9	-25	-3.1	0	-3.1	-25	-39.9	-48	-51.5	-53.9	10	7.3
DRM_B4	AM	-53.8	-52.2	-48.6	-42.7	-36.7	-7.6	0	0	0	0	-12.8	-36.7	-43.9	18	7.4
DRM_B5	AM	-53.2	-51.5	-47.9	-41.2	-27.1	-4.3	0	0	0	0	-4.6	-20	-41.5	20	7.4

*S/I*: signal-to-interference ratio for a BER of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$ .

<sup>(1)</sup> The centre frequency of DRM\_B0 transmission is shifted about 2.2 kHz above the nominal frequency.

<sup>(2)</sup> The centre frequency of DRM\_B1 transmission is shifted about 2.4 kHz above the nominal frequency.

#### TABLE 18

#### Relative RF protection ratios between broadcasting systems below 30 MHz (dB) Digital (64-QAM, protection level No. 1) interfered with by digital

							Frequ	ency sepa	ration						Paran	neters
Wanted signal	Unwanted signal						funwant	ed – $f$ wanted	(kHz)						<b>B</b> <sub>DRM</sub>	S/I
~- <u>-</u>	~- <u>B</u>	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	(dB)
DRM_B0	DRM_B0	-60	-59.9	-60	-55.2	-53.2	-40.8	0	-40.8	-53.2	-55.2	-60	-59.9	-60	4.5	16.2
DRM_B0	DRM_B1	-60.1	-60	-59.5	-52.5	-50.4	-37.4	0	-40	-51.6	-53.6	-59.8	-60	-60.1	5	15.7
DRM_B0	DRM_B2	-57.4	-55.7	-52.9	-46.7	-45.1	-36.6	0	-0.8	-35.6	-38.4	-47.7	-51.5	-53.6	9	13.2
DRM_B0	DRM_B3	-55.2	-53.6	-50.7	-44.5	-42.9	-33.1	0	-0.1	-13.6	-36.2	-45.5	-49.3	-51.4	10	12.6
DRM_B0	DRM_B4	-41.30	-39.20	-38.00	-0.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.80	-30.20	-26.80	-41.00	-43.90	-45.50	18.00	10.30
DRM_B0	DRM_B5	-38.80	-36.20	-30.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.20	-13.00	-27.50	-39.40	-42.30	-43.80	20.00	9.80
DRM_B1	DRM_B0	-59.4	-59.5	-59.5	-55	-53	-40.8	0	-37.9	-51.7	-53.9	-59.4	-59.5	-59.4	4.5	16.2
DRM_B1	DRM_B1	-60	-60	-59.5	-52.8	-50.8	-37.8	0	-37.8	-50.8	-52.8	-59.5	-60	-60	5	16.2
DRM_B1	DRM_B2	-57.1	-55.4	-52.6	-46.4	-44.9	-36.4	0	-0.1	-13.7	-36.8	-46.6	-50.5	-52.7	9	13.2
DRM_B1	DRM_B3	-55.5	-53.8	-51	-44.8	-43.3	-33.5	0	-0.1	-8.1	-35.2	-45	-48.9	-51.1	10	13.2
DRM_B1	DRM_B4	-41.30	-39.30	-38.10	-1.40	-0.40	0.00	0.00	-0.40	-13.70	-27.60	-40.40	-43.30	-45.00	18.00	10.90
DRM_B1	DRM_B5	-39.00	-36.60	-31.30	-0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.10	-7.90	-31.30	-39.10	-41.90	-43.60	20.00	10.40
DRM_B2	DRM_B0	-57	-56.8	-54.8	-43.4	-39.1	-0.7	0	-40.6	-52.2	-53.9	-57	-57	-57	4.5	15.9
DRM_B2	DRM_B1	-56.9	-56.1	-52.7	-40.2	-14.1	-0.1	0	-39.7	-50.8	-52.5	-56.9	-57	-57	5	15.4
DRM_B2	DRM_B2	-55.1	-53.1	-49.5	-40.7	-38.1	-3.7	0	-3.7	-38.1	-40.7	-49.5	-53.1	-55.1	9	15.9
DRM_B2	DRM_B3	-52.9	-51	-47.4	-38.6	-16.6	-3.2	0	-3.2	-16.6	-38.6	-47.4	-51	-52.9	10	15.4
DRM_B2	DRM_B4	-37.20	-32.80	-5.10	-0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3.70	-32.80	-29.40	-42.50	-45.20	-46.80	18.00	13.40
DRM_B2	DRM_B5	-32.60	-32.60	-3.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3.60	-37.50	-32.10	-43.10	-45.80	-47.30	20.00	12.90

#### TABLE 18 (end)

							Frequ	ency sepa	ration						Parar	neters
Wanted signal	Unwanted signal						funwante	ed – $f$ wanted	(kHz)						<b>B</b> DRM	S/I
0	0	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	(dB)
DRM_B3	DRM_B0	-56.4	-56.2	-53.8	-41.1	-14.1	-0.1	0	-37.7	-50.9	-52.8	-56.4	-56.4	-56.4	4.5	15.9
DRM_B3	DRM_B1	-56.8	-55.7	-52.1	-38.2	-8.2	-0.1	0	-37.6	-50.1	-51.9	-56.7	-57	-57	5	15.9
DRM_B3	DRM_B2	-54.3	-52.3	-48.6	-39.3	-16.7	-3.1	0	-3.1	-16.7	-39.3	-48.6	-52.3	-54.3	9	15.9
DRM_B3	DRM_B3	-52.7	-50.7	-47	-37.7	-11.1	-3.1	0	-3.1	-11.1	-37.7	-47	-50.7	-52.7	10	15.9
DRM_B3	DRM_B4	-40.80	-37.90	-5.00	-0.40	0.00	0.20	0.00	-3.80	-37.90	-31.50	-42.70	-45.50	-46.90	18.00	13.70
DRM_B3	DRM_B5	-34.40	-8.00	-3.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3.10	-10.90	-33.80	-40.70	-43.50	-44.90	20.00	13.40
DRM_B4	DRM_B0	-54.00	-53.90	-52.90	-43.90	-44.80	-1.10	0.00	0.00	-0.30	-1.50	-45.20	-51.10	-53.10	4.50	16.60
DRM_B4	DRM_B1	-54.60	-54.20	-52.00	-41.60	-19.60	-0.90	0.00	0.00	-0.80	-2.00	-45.50	-50.70	-52.80	5.00	16.60
DRM_B4	DRM_B2	-54.00	-52.40	-49.10	-41.40	-41.80	-4.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	-0.50	-5.40	-41.80	-43.60	9.00	16.40
DRM_B4	DRM_B3	-52.40	-50.70	-47.30	-41.90	-19.70	-3.60	0.00	0.40	0.00	-0.50	-4.80	-19.70	-49.40	10.00	16.20
DRM_B4	DRM_B4	-40.6	-37.7	-8.4	-3.7	-3.2	-1.5	0	-1.5	-3.2	-3.7	-8.4	-37.7	-40.6	18	16.4
DRM_B4	DRM_B5	-35.20	-14.70	-6.30	-2.90	-2.50	-1.00	0.00	-1.30	-2.90	-3.40	-7.40	-20.80	-42.90	20.00	15.90
DRM_B5	DRM_B0	-53.40	-53.40	-52.00	-41.70	-19.50	-0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-47.30	-48.30	-51.40	4.50	16.60
DRM_B5	DRM_B1	-54.00	-53.40	-51.10	-44.60	-9.40	-0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.30	-46.40	-47.90	-51.00	5.00	16.60
DRM_B5	DRM_B2	-53.20	-51.70	-48.30	-42.40	-19.80	-3.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3.40	-11.80	-43.30	9.00	16.60
DRM_B5	DRM_B3	-52.00	-50.30	-46.80	-41.10	-12.10	-3.30	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	-3.40	-8.60	-42.10	10.00	16.40
DRM_B5	DRM_B4	-43.50	-21.30	-7.50	-3.40	-2.90	-1.30	0.00	-1.10	-2.50	-2.90	-6.40	-14.70	-35.40	18.00	16.60
DRM_B5	DRM_B5	-39.1	-11.5	-6.3	-3.2	-2.7	-1.4	0	-1.4	-2.7	-3.2	-6.3	-11.5	-39.1	20	16.4

#### TABLE 19

Modulation	Protection	Average code	Correction value robustness mode/spec	es (dB) for DRM ctrum occupancy type
scheme	level no.	rate	B/0 (4.5 kHz), B/1 (5 kHz)	B/2 (9 kHz), B/3 (10 kHz)
16 OAM	0	0.5	-6.7	-6.6
10-QAM	1	0.62	-4.7	-4.6
	0	0.5	-1.3	-1.2
64 OAM	1	0.6	0.0	0.0
04-QAM	2	0.71	1.7	1.8
	3	0.78	3.3	3.4

## *S/I* correction values in Tables 17 and 18 to be used for other combinations of modulation scheme and protection level No.

#### **3 RF** power reduction for DSB

For the introduction of a digitally modulated signal in an existing environment, it has to be ensured that this new signal will not cause more interference to other AM stations than the AM signal which is replaced by the digitally modulated signal. Values for the required power reduction to fulfil this requirement can easily be found when the RF protection ratios for AM interfered with by AM and AM interfered with by digital are known.

The RF protection ratio is the required power difference between the wanted and the unwanted signal which ascertains a stated quality (either analogue audio or digital *S/N*). When the wanted audio quality is comparable for AM interfered with by AM and AM interfered with by digital, the difference in RF protection ratio is the required power reduction.

Recommendation ITU-R BS.560 contains relative RF protection ratios for AM interfered with by AM (see Table 20).

Wanted signal	Unwanted signal					Fre funv	quen vanted –	cy sej - <i>f<sub>want</sub></i>	parati <sub>ted</sub> (kH	ion Iz)				
Signa	Signar	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20
AM	AM	-55.4 -53.3 -49.5 -35.5 -29.0 -2.5 0.0 -2.5 -29.0 -35.5 -49.5 -53.3 -55											-55.4	

TABLE 20

Relative RF protection ratios for AM interfered with by AM

With that knowledge, the required power reduction for the different DRM modes can be calculated as the difference of the values of Table 23 and of Table 20. The result is given in Table 21.

In Table 21, it can be seen that for some modes the required power reduction to restrict the interference to AM transmissions at certain frequency separations is somewhat higher than the co-channel value. In that case it has to be considered if the digitally modulated signal appears somewhere as interferer with one of these frequency separations and if it is the strongest interferer. If that is the case, the higher value has to be taken into account.

TABLE 21
----------

**Required power reduction** 

						F	requ	ency	separa	tion					Paran	neter
Replaced signal	New signal					f	unwante	d-f	wanted (	kHz)					<b>B</b> <sub>DRM</sub>	AAF
0	8	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	(dB)
AM	DRM_A0	5	2.9	0.4	-0.1	0.5	9	6.6	-28.6	-17.9	-12.8	-0.9	2.9	5	4.5	
AM	DRM_A1	4.5	2.7	1.6	3	4.5	8.6	6.1	-28.8	-17	-12.2	-1.4	2.4	4.5	5	
AM	DRM_A2	6.5	6.3	5.9	1	-0.8	5.9	6.6	5.9	-0.8	1	5.9	6.3	6.5	9	
AM	DRM_A3	8	7.8	7.4	3.1	2.5	5.6	6.1	5.6	2.5	3.1	7.4	7.8	8	10	
AM	DRM_B0	5	2.9	0.5	0	0.6	8.9	6.6	-28.4	-17.7	-12.7	-0.9	2.9	5	4.5	
AM	DRM_B1	4.4	2.8	1.9	3.5	5.2	8.5	6	-28.6	-16.7	-11.9	-1.5	2.3	4.4	5	
AM	DRM_B2	6.6	6.4	6	1.1	-0.7	5.9	6.5	5.9	-0.7	1.1	6	6.4	6.6	9	
AM	DRM_B3	8.2	8	7.6	3.5	3.1	5.5	6	5.5	3.1	3.5	7.6	8	8.2	10	
AM	DRM_C3	7.9	7.7	7.3	2.9	2.3	5.6	6.1	5.6	2.3	2.9	7.3	7.7	7.9	10	_
AM	DRM_D3	8	7.8	7.3	3.1	2.5	5.6	6.1	5.6	2.5	3.1	7.3	7.8	8	10	_

#### Attachment 1 to Annex 2

#### Calculated RF protection ratios for DSB (DRM system) at frequencies below 30 MHz

#### 1 Introduction

In this Attachment, more information on calculated RF protection ratios, which are required for AM and DRM reception, is given. The RF protection ratios are derived using the parameters given in § 1 of Attachment 2 to this Annex 2 and applying the calculation method described in § 2 of the same Attachment.

#### 2 Calculation parameters

#### 2.1 Analogue signal

AM transmitter

_	Cut-off frequency or bandwidth:	$F_{tx} = 4.5$ kHz, i.e. B = 9 kHz
_	Low-pass AF filter slope:	-60 dB/octave, starting with 0 dB at $F_{tx}$
(See Fi	g. 6 of Attachment 2 to this Annex.)	
_	Harmonic distortion:	$k_2 = 0$ $k_3 = 0.7\%$ (-43 dB)
_	Intermodulation:	$d_3 = -40 \text{ dB}$

Noise floor:

-60.3 dBc/kHz

With the above parameters the calculated RF spectrum is compliant with the spectrum mask included in Recommendation ITU-R SM.328.

#### AM modulation

_	Modulating signal for unwanted wave:	coloured noise according to Recommendation ITU-R BS.559
_	Modulation depth:	$m_{r.m.s.} = 25\%$ (corresponds to a programme signal with normal compression)
_	High compression:	increases the sideband power by $6.5 \text{ dB}$ with normal compression
AM rec	reiver	
_	Selectivity curve:	$B_{af} = 2.2$ kHz, slope = 35 dB/octave, see Figs 2 and 3
_	Audio signal evaluation:	r.m.s. used for signal evaluation <sup>2</sup>
_	AF protection ratio:	desired value.

#### 2.2 **DRM** signal

The DRM specification allows for several robustness modes (A to D) and spectrum occupancy types (0 to 5) of DRM signals. Only certain combinations of robustness modes (A to D) and spectrum occupancy types (0 to 3) are used in this Attachment. The parameters for the used mode combinations, i.e. the respective number of subcarriers and the corresponding subcarrier spacing in OFDM signal lead to the bandwidths in rows A to D of Table 22.

#### TABLE 22

#### **Robustness mode** Spectrum occupancy type 0 1 2 3 4 4.208 4.708 19.208 8.542 9.542 17.208 Α В 4.266 4.828 8.578 9.703 17.203 19.266 С 9.477 19.159

4.5

#### **Bandwidths for DRM mode combinations (kHz)**

5

19.179

20

9.536

10

18

The bandwidths in the last row of Table 22 are the nominal bandwidths for the respective spectrum occupancies of the DRM signal, and the values given in lines A to D are the exact signal bandwidths for the different mode combinations.

5

9

#### Transmitter for digital signals

Bandwidths: see Table 22

D

Nominal bandwidth (kHz)

calculated according to Recommendation ITU-R SM.328, § 6.3.3 of Spectrum masks: Annex 1 using the exact bandwidths F of Table 22. This includes a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Psophometric weighting according to Recommendation ITU-R BS.468.

30 dB attenuation at  $\pm 0.53$  *F*, beyond this point there is a slope of -12 dB/octave to -60 dB. Examples of the masks for spectrum occupancy types 1 (5 kHz) and 3 (10 kHz) are given in Figs 2 and 3 (including also the filter curves for AM and digital receivers).

*Receiver/demodulator for digital signals* 

- Bandwidths: see Table 22
- Shoulder distance:  $52 \text{ dB}^3$
- Additional IF filter: BIF = nominal DRM bandwidth + 6 kHz, slope =  $35 \text{ dB/octave}^3$
- Selectivity curve: see Figs 2 and 3
- Required *S/I* for a BER =  $1 \times 10^{-4}$ : valid for 64-QAM, protection level No. 1

#### **3 RF protection ratios**

The combinations of spectrum occupancy types and robustness modes lead to several transmitter RF spectra, which cause different interference and therefore require different RF protection ratios. The applied calculation method is described in detail in Attachment 2 to this Annex.

Table 23 shows calculation results for AM interfered with by digital and Table 24, digital interfered with by AM. These values are calculated for AM signals with high compression. The RF protection ratios for digital interfered with by digital are given in Table 25 for all the digital mode combinations, but only for identical mode combination pairings, e.g. digital mode B3 (robustness mode B, spectrum occupancy 3) interfered with by digital B3. Table 26 shows RF protection ratios between identical and different spectrum occupancies, but only for the robustness mode B. Correction factors for the different modulation schemes are given in Tables 27 to 29.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> These parameters were chosen to approximate the calculated RF protection ratios to the measured values.



#### FIGURE 2 Transmitter spectrum mask and receiver/demodulator selectivity curves for DRM robustness mode B and spectrum occupancy type 1 (5 kHz)



Transmitter spectrum mask and receiver/demodulator selectivity curves for DRM robustness mode B and spectrum occupancy type 3 (10 kHz)



#### TABLE 23

#### Relative RF protection ratios between broadcasting systems below 30 MHz (dB) AM interfered with by digital

							Frequ	ency sepa	aration						Para	meters
Wanted signal	Unwanted signal						funwant	ed – $f$ wanted	ı (kHz)						<b>B</b> <sub>DRM</sub>	$A_{AF}^{(1),(2)}$
~- <u>B</u>	~- <u>B</u>	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	(dB)
AM	DRM_A0	-50.4	-50.4	-49.1	-35.6	-28.5	6.5	6.6	-31.1	-46.9	-48.3	-50.4	-50.4	-50.4	4.5	_
AM	DRM_A1	-50.9	-50.6	-47.9	-32.5	-24.5	6.1	6.1	-31.3	-46	-47.7	-50.9	-50.9	-50.9	5	_
AM	DRM_A2	-48.9	-47	-43.6	-34.5	-29.8	3.4	6.6	3.4	-29.8	-34.5	-43.6	-47	-48.9	9	_
AM	DRM_A3	-47.4	-45.5	-42.1	-32.4	-26.5	3.1	6.1	3.1	-26.5	-32.4	-42.1	-45.5	-47.4	10	_
AM	DRM_A4	-35.3	-27.4	-1.3	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	0.3	-27.4	-32.9	-39.3	-41.9	-43.4	18	_
AM	DRM_A5	-29.3	-14.5	0.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	0.1	-22.8	-29.3	-38.4	-40.8	-42.3	20	_
AM	DRM_B0	-50.4	-50.4	-49	-35.5	-28.4	6.4	6.6	-30.9	-46.7	-48.2	-50.4	-50.4	-50.4	4.5	
AM	DRM_B1	-51	-50.5	-47.6	-32	-23.8	6	6	-31.1	-45.7	-47.4	-51	-51	-51	5	
AM	DRM_B2	-48.8	-46.9	-43.5	-34.4	-29.7	3.4	6.5	3.4	-29.7	-34.4	-43.5	-46.9	-48.8	9	_
AM	DRM_B3	-47.2	-45.3	-41.9	-32	-25.9	3	6	3	-25.9	-32	-41.9	-45.3	-47.2	10	
AM	DRM_B4	-35.3	-27.4	-1.3	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	0.3	-27.4	-32.9	-39.2	-41.9	-43.3	18	_
AM	DRM_B5	-29.3	-14.6	0.1	3	3	3	3	0.1	-22.5	-28.8	-38.2	-40.9	-42.2	20	_
AM	DRM_C3	-47.5	-45.6	-42.2	-32.6	-26.7	3.1	6.1	3.1	-26.7	-32.6	-42.2	-45.6	-47.5	10	
AM	DRM_C5	-29.7	-14.6	0.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	0.1	-22.7	-29.4	-38.3	-40.9	-42.3	20	_
AM	DRM_D3	-47.4	-45.5	-42.2	-32.4	-26.5	3.1	6.1	3.1	-26.5	-32.4	-42.2	-45.5	-47.4	10	_
AM	DRM_D5	-29.9	-15	0.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	0.2	-22.3	-28.8	-38.3	-40.7	-42.2	20	_

 $A_{AF}$ : audio frequency protection ratio.

DRM\_A0: DRM signal, robustness mode A, spectrum occupancy type 0.

<sup>(1)</sup> The RF protection ratio for AM interfered with by digital can be calculated by adding a suitable value for the AF protection ratio according to a given planning scenario to the values in this Table.

<sup>(2)</sup> The values presented in this Table refer to the specific case of high AM compression. For consistency with Table 25, the same modulation depth, namely that associated with high compression, has been assumed for the AM signal. In order to offer adequate protection to AM signals with normal levels of compression (as defined in Attachment 1 to Annex 2), each value in the Table should be increased to accommodate the difference between normal and high compression.

#### TABLE 24

#### Relative RF protection ratios between broadcasting systems below 30 MHz (dB) Digital (64-QAM, protection level No. 1) interfered with by AM

							Freau	encv sepa	ration						Parar	neters
Wanted signal	Unwanted signal						funwant	ed — $f$ wanted	(kHz)						<b>B</b> <sub>DRM</sub>	S/I
		-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	( <b>dB</b> )
DRM_A0	AM	-57.7	-55.5	-52.2	-46.2	-45	-36.7	0	-3.5	-31.2	-41.1	-47	-50.7	-53	4.5	4.2
DRM_A1	AM	-57.5	-55.2	-52	-45.9	-44.8	-36.6	0	-0.6	-22.8	-38.4	-46.1	-49.8	-52.2	5	4.2
DRM_A2	AM	-54.7	-52.4	-48.8	-42.9	-34	-6.5	0	-6.5	-34	-42.9	-48.8	-52.4	-54.7	9	6.7
DRM_A3	AM	-54	-51.7	-48.1	-40.6	-25.8	-3.6	0	-3.6	-25.8	-40.6	-48.1	-51.7	-54	10	6.7
DRM_A4	AM	-54.4	-52.2	-48.6	-42.7	-36.7	-7.5	0	0	0	0	-12.8	-36.7	-43.9	18	7.4
DRM_A5	AM	-53.8	-51.5	-48	-41.5	-27.9	-4.6	0	0	0	0	-4.6	-20	-41.5	20	7.4
DRM_B0	AM	-57.7	-55.5	-52.2	-46.1	-45	-36.2	0	-3.5	-30.9	-41.1	-46.9	-50.6	-53	4.5	4.6
DRM_B1	AM	-57.4	-55.2	-51.9	-45.9	-44.7	-36	0	-0.2	-22	-37.6	-46	-49.6	-52	5	4.6
DRM_B2	AM	-54.6	-52.4	-48.8	-42.8	-33.7	-6.4	0	-6.4	-33.7	-42.8	-48.8	-52.4	-54.6	9	7.3
DRM_B3	AM	-53.9	-51.5	-48	-39.9	-25	-3.1	0	-3.1	-25	-39.9	-48	-51.5	-53.9	10	7.3
DRM_B4	AM	-53.8	-52.2	-48.6	-42.7	-36.7	-7.6	0	0	0	0	-12.8	-36.7	-43.9	18	7.4
DRM_B5	AM	-53.2	-51.5	-47.9	-41.2	-27.1	-4.3	0	0	0	0	-4.6	-20	-41.5	20	7.4
DRM_C3	AM	-54	-51.7	-48.1	-40.9	-26.1	-3.8	0	-3.8	-26.1	-40.9	-48.1	-51.7	-54	10	7.7
DRM_C5	AM	-53.2	-51.5	-48	-41.5	-27.9	-4.6	0	0	0	0	-4.9	-20.3	-41.7	20	7.4
DRM_D3	AM	-54	-51.7	-48.1	-40.7	-25.8	-3.6	0	-3.6	-25.8	-40.7	-48.1	-51.7	-54	10	8.6
DRM_D5	AM	-53.2	-51.5	-47.9	-41.2	-27.1	-4.3	0	0	0	0	-5.1	-20.5	-41.8	20	7.4

#### TABLE 25

## Relative RF protection ratios between broadcasting systems below 30 MHz (dB) Digital (64-QAM, protection level No. 1) interfered with by digital (identical robustness modes and spectrum occupancy types)

		Frequency separation													Parameters	
Wanted signal	Unwanted signal		funwanted – fwanted (kHz)													S/I
0	8	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	(dB)
DRM_A0	DRM_A0	-60.1	-60	-60	-55.4	-53.4	-41.2	0	-41.2	-53.4	-55.4	-60	-60	-60.1	4.5	15.8
DRM_A1	DRM_A1	-60	-60	-59.7	-53.3	-51.3	-38.4	0	-38.4	-51.3	-53.3	-59.7	-60	-60	5	15.8
DRM_A2	DRM_A2	-55.1	-53.1	-49.6	-40.8	-38.3	-3.8	0	-3.8	-38.3	-40.8	-49.6	-53.1	-55.1	9	15.3
DRM_A3	DRM_A3	-53	-51	-47.3	-38.1	-12.1	-3.2	0	-3.2	-12.1	-38.1	-47.3	-51	-53	10	15.3
DRM_A4	DRM_A4	-40.3	-37	-8.4	-3.7	-3.2	-1.5	0	-1.5	-3.2	-3.7	-8.4	-37	-40.3	18	16.4
DRM_A5	DRM_A5	-37	-11.8	-6.3	-3.2	-2.7	-1.4	0	-1.4	-2.7	-3.2	-6.3	-11.8	-37	20	16.4
DRM_B0	DRM_B0	-60	-59.9	-60	-55.2	-53.2	-40.8	0	-40.8	-53.2	-55.2	-60	-59.9	-60	4.5	16.2
DRM_B1	DRM_B1	-60	-60	-59.5	-52.8	-50.8	-37.8	0	-37.8	-50.8	-52.8	-59.5	-60	-60	5	16.2
DRM_B2	DRM_B2	-55.1	-53.1	-49.5	-40.7	-38.1	-3.7	0	-3.7	-38.1	-40.7	-49.5	-53.1	-55.1	9	15.9
DRM_B3	DRM_B3	-52.7	-50.7	-47	-37.7	-11.1	-3.1	0	-3.1	-11.1	-37.7	-47	-50.7	-52.7	10	15.9
DRM_B4	DRM_B4	-40.6	-37.7	-8.4	-3.7	-3.2	-1.5	0	-1.5	-3.2	-3.7	-8.4	-37.7	-40.6	18	16.4
DRM_B5	DRM_B5	-39.1	-11.5	-6.3	-3.2	-2.7	-1.4	0	-1.4	-2.7	-3.2	-6.3	-11.5	-39.1	20	16.4
DRM_C3	DRM_C3	-53.2	-51.1	-47.5	-38.3	-12.6	-3.2	0	-3.2	-12.6	-38.3	-47.5	-51.1	-53.2	10	16.3
DRM_C5	DRM_C5	-36.5	-12.1	-6.4	-3.2	-2.8	-1.4	0	-1.4	-2.8	-3.2	-6.4	-12.1	-36.5	20	16.4
DRM_D3	DRM_D3	-53	-51	-47.4	-38.1	-12.2	-3.2	0	-3.2	-12.2	-38.1	-47.4	-51	-53	10	17.2
DRM_D5	DRM_D5	-37.2	-12	-6.4	-3.2	-2.8	-1.4	0	-1.4	-2.8	-3.2	-6.4	-12	-37.2	20	16.4

#### TABLE 26

#### Relative RF protection ratios between broadcasting systems below 30 MHz (dB) Digital (64-QAM, protection level No. 1) interfered with by digital

		Frequency separation													Parameters	
Wanted signal	Unwanted signal						<b>f</b> unwante	d-fwanted	(kHz)						<b>B</b> <sub>DRM</sub>	S/I
0	0	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	(dB)
DRM_B0	DRM_B0	-60	-59.9	-60	-55.2	-53.2	-40.8	0	-40.8	-53.2	-55.2	-60	-59.9	-60	4.5	16.2
DRM_B0	DRM_B1	-60.1	-60	-59.5	-52.5	-50.4	-37.4	0	-40	-51.6	-53.6	-59.8	-60	-60.1	5	15.7
DRM_B0	DRM_B2	-57.4	-55.7	-52.9	-46.7	-45.1	-36.6	0	-0.8	-35.6	-38.4	-47.7	-51.5	-53.6	9	13.2
DRM_B0	DRM_B3	-55.2	-53.6	-50.7	-44.5	-42.9	-33.1	0	-0.1	-13.6	-36.2	-45.5	-49.3	-51.4	10	12.6
DRM_B0	DRM_B4	-41.30	-39.20	-38.00	-0.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.80	-30.20	-26.80	-41.00	-43.90	-45.50	18.00	10.30
DRM_B0	DRM_B5	-38.80	-36.20	-30.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.20	-13.00	-27.50	-39.40	-42.30	-43.80	20.00	9.80
DRM_B1	DRM_B0	-59.4	-59.5	-59.5	-55	-53	-40.8	0	-37.9	-51.7	-53.9	-59.4	-59.5	-59.4	4.5	16.2
DRM_B1	DRM_B1	-60	-60	-59.5	-52.8	-50.8	-37.8	0	-37.8	-50.8	-52.8	-59.5	-60	-60	5	16.2
DRM_B1	DRM_B2	-57.1	-55.4	-52.6	-46.4	-44.9	-36.4	0	-0.1	-13.7	-36.8	-46.6	-50.5	-52.7	9	13.2
DRM_B1	DRM_B3	-55.5	-53.8	-51	-44.8	-43.3	-33.5	0	-0.1	-8.1	-35.2	-45	-48.9	-51.1	10	13.2
DRM_B1	DRM_B4	-41.30	-39.30	-38.10	-1.40	-0.40	0.00	0.00	-0.40	-13.70	-27.60	-40.40	-43.30	-45.00	18.00	10.90
DRM_B1	DRM_B5	-39.00	-36.60	-31.30	-0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.10	-7.90	-31.30	-39.10	-41.90	-43.60	20.00	10.40
DRM_B2	DRM_B0	-57	-56.8	-54.8	-43.4	-39.1	-0.7	0	-40.6	-52.2	-53.9	-57	-57	-57	4.5	15.9
DRM_B2	DRM_B1	-56.9	-56.1	-52.7	-40.2	-14.1	-0.1	0	-39.7	-50.8	-52.5	-56.9	-57	-57	5	15.4
DRM_B2	DRM_B2	-55.1	-53.1	-49.5	-40.7	-38.1	-3.7	0	-3.7	-38.1	-40.7	-49.5	-53.1	-55.1	9	15.9
DRM_B2	DRM_B3	-52.9	-51	-47.4	-38.6	-16.6	-3.2	0	-3.2	-16.6	-38.6	-47.4	-51	-52.9	10	15.4
DRM_B2	DRM_B4	-37.20	-32.80	-5.10	-0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3.70	-32.80	-29.40	-42.50	-45.20	-46.80	18.00	13.40
DRM_B2	DRM_B5	-32.60	-32.60	-3.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3.60	-37.50	-32.10	-43.10	-45.80	-47.30	20.00	12.90

TABLE 26 (end)

Frequency separation													Paran	neters		
Wanted signal	Unwanted signal						<b>f</b> unwantea	$f - f_{wante}$	ed (kHz)						<b>B</b> <sub>DRM</sub>	S/I
Jight	~-9	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	(dB)
DRM_B3	DRM_B0	-56.4	-56.2	-53.8	-41.1	-14.1	-0.1	0	-37.7	-50.9	-52.8	-56.4	-56.4	-56.4	4.5	15.9
DRM_B3	DRM_B1	-56.8	-55.7	-52.1	-38.2	-8.2	-0.1	0	-37.6	-50.1	-51.9	-56.7	-57	-57	5	15.9
DRM_B3	DRM_B2	-54.3	-52.3	-48.6	-39.3	-16.7	-3.1	0	-3.1	-16.7	-39.3	-48.6	-52.3	-54.3	9	15.9
DRM_B3	DRM_B3	-52.7	-50.7	-47	-37.7	-11.1	-3.1	0	-3.1	-11.1	-37.7	-47	-50.7	-52.7	10	15.9
DRM_B3	DRM_B4	-40.80	-37.90	-5.00	-0.40	0.00	0.20	0.00	-3.80	-37.90	-31.50	-42.70	-45.50	-46.90	18.00	13.70
DRM_B3	DRM_B5	-34.40	-8.00	-3.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3.10	-10.90	-33.80	-40.70	-43.50	-44.90	20.00	13.40
DRM_B4	DRM_B0	-54.00	-53.90	-52.90	-43.90	-44.80	-1.10	0.00	0.00	-0.30	-1.50	-45.20	-51.10	-53.10	4.50	16.60
DRM_B4	DRM_B1	-54.60	-54.20	-52.00	-41.60	-19.60	-0.90	0.00	0.00	-0.80	-2.00	-45.50	-50.70	-52.80	5.00	16.60
DRM_B4	DRM_B2	-54.00	-52.40	-49.10	-41.40	-41.80	-4.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	-0.50	-5.40	-41.80	-43.60	9.00	16.40
DRM_B4	DRM_B3	-52.40	-50.70	-47.30	-41.90	-19.70	-3.60	0.00	0.40	0.00	-0.50	-4.80	-19.70	-49.40	10.00	16.20
DRM_B4	DRM_B4	-40.6	-37.7	-8.4	-3.7	-3.2	-1.5	0	-1.5	-3.2	-3.7	-8.4	-37.7	-40.6	18	16.4
DRM_B4	DRM_B5	-35.20	-14.70	-6.30	-2.90	-2.50	-1.00	0.00	-1.30	-2.90	-3.40	-7.40	-20.80	-42.90	20.00	15.90
DRM_B5	DRM_B0	-53.40	-53.40	-52.00	-41.70	-19.50	-0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-47.30	-48.30	-51.40	4.50	16.60
DRM_B5	DRM_B1	-54.00	-53.40	-51.10	-44.60	-9.40	-0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.30	-46.40	-47.90	-51.00	5.00	16.60
DRM_B5	DRM_B2	-53.20	-51.70	-48.30	-42.40	-19.80	-3.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3.40	-11.80	-43.30	9.00	16.60
DRM_B5	DRM_B3	-52.00	-50.30	-46.80	-41.10	-12.10	-3.30	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	-3.40	-8.60	-42.10	10.00	16.40
DRM_B5	DRM_B4	-43.50	-21.30	-7.50	-3.40	-2.90	-1.30	0.00	-1.10	-2.50	-2.90	-6.40	-14.70	-35.40	18.00	16.60
DRM_B5	DRM_B5	-39.1	-11.5	-6.3	-3.2	-2.7	-1.4	0	-1.4	-2.7	-3.2	-6.3	-11.5	-39.1	20	16.4

#### TABLE 27

## *S/I* correction values to be used in Tables 24 and 25 for other combinations of modulation scheme and protection level No.

Modulation	Protection	Average	Correction values (dB) for DRM robustness mode/spectrum occupancy type						
scheme	level no.	code rate	A/0 (4.5 kHz), A/1 (5 kHz)	A/2 (9 kHz), A/3 (10 kHz)					
16-QAM	0	0.5	-7.0	-6.7					
	1	0.62	-4.9	-4.6					
	0	0.5	-1.5	-1.2					
CA DAM	1	0.6	0.0	0.0					
64-QAM	2	0.71	1.7	1.8					
	3	0.78	3.4	3.4					

#### TABLE 28

### *S/I* correction values to be used in Tables 24, 25 and 26 for other combinations of modulation scheme and protection level No.

Modulation	Protection	Average	Correction values (dB) for DRM robustness mode/spectrum occupancy type						
scheme	level no.	coue rate	B/0 (4.5 kHz), B/1 (5 kHz)	B/2 (9 kHz), B/3 (10 kHz)					
16 OAM	0	0.5	-6.7	-6.6					
16-QAM	1	0.62	-4.7	-4.6					
	0	0.5	-1.3	-1.2					
64 O M	1	0.6	0.0	0.0					
64-QAM	2	0.71	1.7	1.8					
	3	0.78	3.3	3.4					

#### TABLE 29

### *S/I* correction values to be used in Tables 24 and 25 for other combinations of modulation scheme and protection level No.

Modulation	Protection	Average	Correction values (dB) for DRM robustness mode/spectrum occupancy type						
scheme	level Ino.	code rate	C/3 (10 kHz)	D/3 (10 kHz)					
16-QAM	0	0.5	-6.7	-7.0					
	1	0.62	-4.7	-5.1					
64-QAM	0	0.5	-1.2	-1.3					
	1	0.6	0.0	0.0					
	2	0.71	1.8	1.9					
	3	0.78	3.4	4.2					

The values in Tables 23 to 26 represent relative RF protection ratios,  $A_{RF\_relative}$ . For the pure AM case, the relative protection ratio is the difference (dB) between the protection ratio when the carriers of the wanted and unwanted transmitters have a frequency difference of  $\Delta f$  Hz, and the protection ratio when the carriers of these transmitters have the same frequency (Recommendation ITU-R BS.560), i.e. the co-channel RF protection ratio,  $A_{RF}$ , which corresponds to the AF protection ratio,  $A_{AF}$ . In the case of a digital signal, its nominal frequency instead of the carrier frequency is the relevant value for the determination of the frequency difference. For spectrum occupancy types 2 and 3, the nominal frequency is shifted about 2.2 and 2.4 kHz, respectively, above the nominal frequency. Due to the fact that the spectrum of the interference signal is different from the AF spectrum of analogue AM, the values for relative AF protection ratio in the case of co-channel interference are not equal to zero.

To adjust Table 23 to a given AM planning scenario, the relevant AF protection ratio has to be added to the values in the Table to get the required RF protection ratio (see Attachment 2 to this Annex). Relevant values may be determined taking into account:

- for HF, the AF protection ratio of 17 dB, which was adopted for HFBC planning by WARC HFBC-87 for AM interfered with by AM;
- for LF/MF, the AF protection ratio of 30 dB, which was adopted by the Regional Administrative LF/MF Broadcasting Conference for Regions 1 and 3 (Geneva, 1975) for AM interfered with by AM.

With DRM as the wanted signal the AF protection ratio as a parameter for the quality of service has to be replaced by the *S/I* required to achieve a certain BER. A BER threshold of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  is supposed for the calculations (see Annex 1). The protection ratio values in Tables 24 and 25 are based on 64-QAM modulation and protection level No. 1. For other combinations, the correction values in Table 26 have to be added to the *S/I* values given in the Tables.

#### Attachment 2 to Annex 2

#### Method of measurements and determination of RF protection ratios

#### 1 Method of measurements in accordance with Recommendation ITU-R BS.559

#### **1.1** Calculation method

It has been decided that RF protection ratios should be determined using the calculation method outlined in § 2 of this Attachment.

#### 1.2 RF power relationship AM/digital

The RF power of an AM signal is the power of the AM carrier, whereas the RF power of a digital signal is the total power within the bandwidth of the wanted signal.

#### **1.3** Receiver characteristics

#### 1.3.1 AM receiver selectivity curve

It was decided to take for calculations of RF protection ratios the selectivity curve of a modern AM receiver (audio frequency bandwidth = 2.2 kHz; slope = 35 dB/octave). Further reasons for this decision were that the influence on protection ratios is expected to be low and the latter selectivity curve is not too optimistic.

#### **1.3.2** Digital receiver: required *S/I*

For the calculation of RF protection ratios, measured S/I for the digital system shall be used and stated together with the respective protection ratios. Thus the provided values could later be reviewed, taking into account future developments.

#### 1.4 Use of the DRM spectrum mask

Because digital signals must not cause higher interference to existing transmissions than AM transmissions, it was decided that it is appropriate to apply the measured DRM spectrum mask for the calculation of RF protection ratios.

#### **1.5** Frequency separations

RF protection ratios should be given for the following frequency separations:

- 9 kHz channel spacing: 0 kHz, 9 kHz, 18 kHz
- 10 kHz channel spacing: 0 kHz, 5 kHz, 10 kHz, 15 kHz, 20 kHz.

#### 2 Determination of RF protection ratios for DSB in the broadcasting bands below 30 MHz

#### 2.1 Introduction

For the introduction of DRM in an existing environment, it has to be ensured that the digitally modulated signal causes no more interference to other AM stations than the AM signal which is replaced by DRM. On the other hand, the interference from existing AM stations has to be low enough to allow for a reliable reception of the digital signal. Therefore, protection ratios are needed for the following four cases:

- AM reception interfered with by AM transmissions (AM-AM).
- AM reception interfered with by digitally modulated signals (AM-DIG).
- Reception of digitally modulated signals interfered with by AM transmissions (DIG-AM).
- Reception of digitally modulated signals interfered with by digitally modulated signals (DIG-DIG).

The RF protection ratios may either be measured using directly the method described in Recommendation ITU-R BS.559 or using an adapted method, taking into account the different modulation characteristics or they may be calculated. The first case above (AM-AM) is covered by the existing protection ratio curves in Recommendation ITU-R BS.560. In order to restrict the number of complicated measurements, and as long as only a few receivers for digitally modulated signals exist, it may be helpful to calculate the RF protection ratios for the other cases. The calculation of protection ratios has the additional advantage that the applied system parameters may easily be changed.
For the determination of protection ratios, a calculation model was developed based on a numerical method for the calculation of RF protection ratios for AM transmission systems and on Recommendation ITU-R BS.559. Using this model leads, under certain assumptions, to protection ratios quite similar to those given in Recommendation ITU-R BS.560. The differences between calculated values for AM-AM and the ITU protection ratio curves are negligible (Table 30, last two columns  $\Delta A_{RI}$ /dB). Therefore, this model can also be used to calculate RF protection ratios with sufficient accuracy for AM interfered with by DRM.

RF protection ratios for the cases DRM interfered with by AM or DRM may also be calculated using this model, but there are larger uncertainties because the performance of DRM receivers and the influence of the AM carrier to DRM reception are not known well enough.

#### 2.2 Calculation model

#### 2.2.1 Calculation method

The RF protection ratios are calculated by simulating the transmitters for desired and undesired signals and feeding their signals at different channel separations into a model receiver (see Fig. 4). The required RF protection ratio is then the difference between the response to the undesired and the desired signal.

The total interference to the desired signal is calculated by taking the power sum of the interference caused by the sidebands of the undesired signal and the interference caused by the RF carrier (in case of AM signals).

This calculation leads to relative RF protection ratios. The required absolute RF protection ratio to protect the existing AM service is derived by adding the wanted AF protection ratio (see § 3.4) using the following equation:

$$A_{RF} = A_{RF\_relative} + A_{AF}$$
<sup>(5)</sup>

The RF protection for DRM is derived by a similar calculation. Instead of the AF protection ratio the required S/I ratio (see § 3.7) for a specified BER is taken into account:

$$A_{RF} = A_{RF} \quad relative + S/I \tag{6}$$

#### 2.3 Transmitter model

The complete set of transmitter parameters used for the calculation are given in § 3.

In case of AM transmissions, a modulation with coloured noise according to Recommendation ITU-R BS.559 is assumed (see § 3.3), as it is recommended for the measurement of AM protection ratios. The spectral distribution of the radiated signal is composed of the modulating signal, harmonic distortion, intermodulation, transmitter filter and noise floor (see §§ 3.1 and 3.2).

For digitally modulated transmitters, the measured spectra of DRM transmitters or an assumed theoretical spectrum that fulfils the requirement for out-of-band emissions are used (see §§ 3.1, 3.5 and 3.6).





## 2.4 Receiver model

The complete set of receiver parameters used for the calculation are given in § 3.

For the verification of the calculation method for AM reception the characteristics of the measurement receiver with band-pass filter (MBF) is used (see § 3.4 and Fig. 11a). The spectral components falling in its pass-band are weighted according to Recommendation ITU-R BS.468 (see Fig. 12) and their power is summed up, either as desired or undesired signal.

The characteristics of a receiver for digitally modulated signals is described by its selectivity (see §§ 3.1 and 3.7). The power of all spectral components falling in its pass-band is summed up, either as desired or undesired signal.

#### 2.5 Future extension of the calculation model

It may be necessary to expand the calculation model in order to allow for the calculation of RF protection ratios for simulcast transmissions, which leads to five additional interference cases:

- AM reception interfered with by simulcast transmissions (AM-SIM).
- Reception of digitally modulated signals interfered with by simulcast transmissions (DIG-SIM).
- Simulcast reception interfered with by AM transmissions (SIM-AM).
- Simulcast reception interfered with by digitally modulated signals (SIM-DIG).
- Simulcast reception interfered with by simulcast transmissions (SIM-SIM).

#### **3** Assumed system parameters

#### 3.1 Spectrum masks

The spectrum masks for AM transmissions are based on a model taking into account the non-linear distortion of the transmitter and/or the modulating signal as well as a certain noise floor. For amplitude modulated transmitters second- and third-order harmonic distortion as well as third-order intermodulation are incorporated in the calculation model. For digitally modulated transmitters, measured or assumed spectra are used.

The spectrum shaping for the AM transmitter is performed by using a low-pass filter with the parameters given in § 3.2 (see Figs 5, 6 and 7). The selectivity curve of the AM receiver is given under § 3.4.

The parameters given in §§ 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 were chosen for the AM transmitter and receiver models because they are usual for AM transmissions and, moreover, they lead in the case AM interfered with by AM to the RF protection ratios of Recommendation ITU-R BS.560.

The receiver selectivity curves and the spectrum masks resulting from the parameters specified in the following clauses are presented graphically in Figs 8, 9, 10 and 11.

#### 3.2 AM transmitter (Figs 5 to 8)

_	sideband power:	$N_{sb} = N_c * m^2/2$
_	total power:	$N_{total} = N_c * (1 + m^2/2)$
_	cut-off frequency or bandwidth:	$F_{tx} = \pm 4.5$ kHz, i.e. $B = 9$ kHz
_	low-pass AF filter slope: (see Fig. 6)	60 dB/octave, starting with 0 dB at $F_{tx}$
_	harmonic distortion:	$k_2 = 0$ $k_3 = 0.7\%$ (-43 dB)

_	intermodulation:	$d_3 = -40 \text{ dB}$
_	noise floor:	-60.3 dBc/kHz

With the above parameters, the calculated RF spectrum of the AM signal is compliant with the spectrum mask included in Recommendation ITU-R SM.328.

3.3	AM modulation (Figs 5 to 7)	
_	modulating signal:	coloured noise according to Recommendation ITU-R BS.559
_	modulation depth:	$m_{r.m.s.} = 25\%$ (corresponding to a programme signal with normal compression)
_	high compression:	increase of the modulating signal power by 6.5 dB (this may be achieved by a compressor with a compression gain of 15 dB and a compression ratio of 2:1).
3.4	AM receiver (Figs 11a and 11b)	
_	selectivity curve:	as MBF, or a modern AM receiver with $B = 4.4$ kHz, slope = 35 dB/octave <sup>4</sup>
_	audio signal measurement:	r.m.s. <sup>5</sup>
_	AF protection ratio:	desired value.
3.5	Transmitter for digital signals	
_	sideband power:	$N_{sb} = N_{total}$
_	carrier power:	$N_c = 0$
_	bandwidth:	B = 9 kHz or 10 kHz.
3.6	Digital modulation (Figs 9a and 9b)	
_	spectrum:	defined by measured transmitter signal or required spectrum mask.
3.7	Receiver for digital signals (Fig. 9a)	
_	bandwidth:	B = 9 kHz or 10 kHz
_	selectivity curve:	receiver spectrum (Figs 2 and 3)
_	required <i>S</i> / <i>I</i> :	<i>S/I</i> required to achieve BER of $1 \times 10^{-4}$ dependent on robustness mode, spectrum occupancy type, modulation scheme and

protection level.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> As modern AM receiver, a receiver with an AF bandwidth of 2.2 kHz and a selectivity curve having a slope of 35 dB/octave is used. This leads to an attenuation of about 41.5 dB at 5 kHz frequency separation (see Fig. 11b). The choice of such a receiver is based on measurements of 27 AM receivers performed by "Deutsche Welle" during the time period between 1989 and 1997.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Psophometric weighting according to Recommendation ITU-R BS.468.



FIGURE 5 Characteristic of noise shaping filter







FIGURE 8



FIGURE 9a



DRM synthesizer signal (64-QAM, 9 kHz)

FIGURE 9b DRM synthesizer signal (64-QAM, 9 kHz) and ITU spectrum mask





FIGURE 10a AM signal interfered with by AM signal

FIGURE 10b AM signal interfered with by DRM signal



FIGURE 11a







#### FIGURE 13

Receiver response including selectivity curve and psophometric filter



#### 4 Verification of calculation method

Using the developed calculation model and the system parameters of § 3 and an AF protection ratio of 30 dB led in the case AM interfered with by AM (AM-AM) to the results presented in Table 30 and Figs 14 and 15. The calculated RF protection ratios are given for frequency separations up to 20 kHz for normal and high compression of the transmitted AM signals. In Fig. 14, only the relative RF protection ratio values are drawn in the diagram.

#### TABLE 30

Desir	ed: AM		Undesired: AM	<i>A<sub>AF</sub></i> : 30 dB				
∆f/kHz	A <sub>RF</sub>	/dB	AITU	/ <b>dB</b>	$\Delta A_R$	//dB		
0	30	30	30	30	0	0		
5	32.4	27	33	27.5	-0.6	-0.5		
9	4.7	1.4	5	1	-0.3	0.4		
10	-2.4	-5.4	-2	-5.5	-0.4	0.1		
15	-19.6	-19.7	-19	-19.5	-0.6	-0.2		
18	-23.3	-23.3	-23.3	-23.3	0	0		
20	-25.6	-25.7	-25.4	-25.4	-0.2	-0.3		
	Normal compression	High compression	Normal compression	High compression	Normal compression	High compression		

# Calculated RF protection ratios $A_{RF}$ for AM, ITU values $A_{ITU}$ and calculation error $\Delta A_{RI}$ for AM transmissions

The comparison of calculated values with the RF protection ratios of Recommendation ITU-R BS.560 shows that the calculation error is less than 0.6 dB.



FIGURE 14 Relative RF protection ratios AM interfered with by AM

## 5 Application for digitally modulated signals

The small calculation error for the determination of RF protection ratios in the case AM interfered with by AM shows that this method can also be used with sufficient accuracy to calculate RF protection ratios for AM interfered with by digitally modulated signals, under the condition that the spectrum of the interfering digital signal is known.

For digitally modulated signals interfered with by AM or digitally modulated signals, the selectivity curve and the demodulation characteristics of the receiver have to be known. Therefore, this method can only be applied with some restrictions, e.g. to investigate the influence of different spectra based on known measurement results.

#### 6 Summary

The described calculation model has been used for the determination of RF protection ratios for DSB in the broadcasting bands below 30 MHz. The achieved accuracy is sufficient for planning purposes. The calculations should be based on measured transmitter spectra or on a spectrum mask which is needed to fulfil the requirements for out-of-band emissions. Only if it is necessary should the calculation results be checked and completed by measurement results.



FIGURE 15 Calculation error for RF protection ratios AM interfered with by AM

# Attachment 3 to Annex 2

# Calculated RF protection ratios for DSB (DRM system) using 18 and 20 kHz bandwidths at frequencies below 30 MHz

#### 1 Background

Initially, Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 was approved by RA-03 and provided information about RF protection ratios for DRM signals with bandwidths of 4.5 kHz, 5 kHz, 9 kHz and 10 kHz.

However, in 2001 and up until the beginning of 2002, the PDNR produced by Task Group 6/7 of ITU-R (PDNR-2001) provided information on RF PR for DRM signals with bandwidths of 4.5 kHz, 9 kHz, 10 kHz, 18 kHz and 20 kHz. During the works by TG 6/7 in 2002, bandwidths of 18 kHz and 20 kHz were suppressed.

This Attachment describes the method used to include in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 protection ratio values for DRM signals with bandwidths of 18 and 20 kHz.

#### 2 Basic parameters - Reminders

#### 2.1 DRM bandwidths

#### TABLE 31

#### Bandwidths (F) for specified DRM mode combinations (Hz)

Mode	0	1	2	3	4	5
Α	4 208	4 708	8 542	9 542	17 208	19 208
В	4 266	4 828	8 578	9 703	17 203	19 266
С				9 477		19 159
D				9 536		19 179
<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	4.5	5	9	10	18	20

**Remark:** It should be noted that the exact bandwidths of cases A4, A5, B4, B5, C5, D5 are not the double of bandwidths in cases A2, A3, B2, B3, C3, D3. Examples:

A2 = 8 542 Hz	$2 \times A2 = 17\ 084\ Hz$	A4 = 17 208 Hz
A3 = 9 542 Hz	$2 \times A3 = 19\ 084\ Hz$	A5 = 19 208 Hz
B3 = 9 703 Hz	2 × B3 = 19 406 Hz	B5 = 19 266 Hz
C3 = 9 477 Hz	$2 \times C3 = 18\ 954\ Hz$	C5 = 19 159 Hz
D3 = 9 536 Hz	$2 \times D3 = 19\ 072\ Hz$	D5 = 19 179 Hz

#### 2.2 Spectrum mask

In 2001, the characteristics of the spectrum mask of the transmitter were calculated according to Recommendation ITU-R SM.328-11, § 6.3.3 using the exact bandwidths F of Table 31. This includes a 35 dB attenuation at  $\pm 0.57$  F, beyond this point there is a slope of -12 dB/octave to -60 dB.

An example of the mask for spectrum occupancy type 2 (9 kHz) is given in Fig. 16 (including also the filter curves for AM and digital receivers).

In 2002, the characteristics of the spectrum mask were changed. The attenuation of DRM signals between:

 $\pm 0.50$  and  $\pm 0.53$  of the bandwidth (F) is 30 dB and not 35 dB at  $\pm 0.57$  F. Above and below  $\pm 0.53$ F down to -60 dB a slope of -12 dB/octave can be assumed.

An example of the mask for spectrum occupancy type 3 (10 kHz) is given in Fig. 17 (including also the filter curves for AM and digital receivers).

The steeper slope between  $\pm 0.5$  and  $\pm 0.53$  F of the DRM spectrum has a large influence on the RF protection ratio for a DRM reception in the adjacent channel.





#### 2.3 DRM Signal



**Remark:** The so-called "central or reference frequency  $F_c$ " does not exist physically. However, it is used to specify the central frequency of a DRM channel of 9 kHz and 10 kHz bandwidths.

For 18 kHz and 20 kHz bandwidths, the "reference frequency  $F_c$ " has the same position as for 9 and 10 kHz. In other words, the "reference" frequency of a 18 kHz or 20 kHz DRM signal is not located in the middle of the bandwidth.

#### 2.4 True values and relative values of protection ratios

In the next paragraph, it will be referred to Tables providing either "true values" of protection ratios (in PDNR\_2001) or "relative values" of protection ratios (in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615).

For AM interfered with by DRM, the absolute RF protection ratio to protect the existing AM service is derived by adding the wanted AF protection ratio  $(A_{AF})$  using the following equation:

$$A_{RF} = A_{RF\_relative} + A_{AF}$$

Inversely,

 $A_{RF\_relative} = A_{RF} - A_{AF}$ 

For DRM interfered with by AM, the RF protection for DRM is derived by a similar calculation. Instead of the AF protection ratio the required S/I ratio for a specified BER is taken into account:

$$A_{RF} = A_{RF\_relative} + S/I$$

Inversely,

$$A_{RF\_relative} = A_{RF} - S/I$$

The protection ratios are given for various frequency separations between the unwanted signal and the wanted frequency, extending from -20 kHz to +20 kHz.

In the Tables "AM interfered with by DRM"  $f_{unwanted} - f_{wanted} = \Delta$  has the following meaning:

If the frequency separation is  $\Delta = -10$  kHz, *f*<sub>DRM</sub> is lower than *f*<sub>wanted</sub> by 10 kHz

If the frequency separation is  $\Delta = +15$  kHz,  $f_{DRM}$  is higher than  $f_{wanted}$  by 15 kHz

#### 3 Method to derive protection ratios for 18 and 20 kHz DRM signals

- Use the last tables produced in 2001 by TG 6/7 for 18 and 20 kHz bandwidths and for a spectrum mask offering an attenuation of 35 dB at ±0.57 F.
- Derive the relative PR from these tables (with  $A_{AF} = 17 \text{ dB}$ ).
- Use the final tables existing in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 established for a spectrum mask offering an attenuation of 30 dB at  $\pm 0.53$  F.
- Calculate the differences d between relative PR between values calculated in 2001 and values in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 for DRM signals up to 10 kHz bandwidths.
- Apply these differences d to the PR values established in 2001 taking into account the positions of the unwanted and wanted signals and the similarities.



#### Positions of the unwanted (DRM) and wanted (AM) signals – Similarities

 $\Delta = f_{unwanted} - f_{wanted}$ 

Similarities: Taking into account the positions of the DRM signals, there are similarities between DRM\_A3 and DRM\_A5.

Let us take  $\Delta = f_{unwanted} - f_{wanted}$ 

DRM_A5 at $\Delta = -20$ kHz/18 kHz	equivalent to DRM_A3 at $\Delta = -10$ kHz/9 kHz
DRM_A5 at $\Delta = -15$ kHz	equivalent to DRM A3 at $\Delta = -5$ kHz
DRM_A5 at $\Delta = -10$ kHz/9 kHz	equivalent to DRM_A3 at $\Delta = 0$ kHz
DRM_A5 at $\Delta = -5$ kHz	equivalent to DRM_A3 at $\Delta = 0$ kHz
DRM_A5 at $\Delta = 0$ kHz	equivalent to DRM_A3 at $\Delta = 0$ kHz
DRM_A5 at $\Delta = +5$ kHz	equivalent to DRM_A3 at $\Delta = +5$ kHz
DRM_A5 at $\Delta = +10$ kHz/9 kHz	equivalent to DRM_A3 at $\Delta = +10$ kHz/9 kHz
DRM_A5 at $\Delta = +15$ kHz	equivalent to DRM_A3 at $\Delta = +15$ kHz
DRM_A5 at $\Delta = +20$ kHz/18 kHz	equivalent to DRM_A3 at $\Delta = +20$ kHz/18 kHz

#### 3.1 AM interfered with by DRM

DRM\_A2, A3, B2, B3, C3 and D3 will be taken into account in the tables issued by TG 6/7 in 2001 and by Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615.

Method:

Step 1: original table by PDNR\_01 in 2001

Step 2: final table in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615

**Step 3:** transformation of true PR values of PDNR\_01 in relative values for AM interfered with by DRM,

taking into account the formula:  $A_{RF\_relative} = A_{RF} - A_{AF}$ 

**Step 4:** calculation of differences "**d**" between relative PR given by Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 and PR given by PDNR\_01

**3.1.1** Case: Mode A\_9 kHz and Mode A\_18 kHz.

apply "d" to relative PR of PDNR\_01 for 18 kHz bandwidths, taking into account the similarities.

- **3.1.2** Case: Mode A\_10 kHz and Mode A\_20 kHz. apply "**d**" to relative PR of PDNR\_01 for 20 kHz bandwidths, taking into account the similarities.
- 3.1.3 Case: Mode B\_9 kHz and Mode B\_18 kHz.apply "d" to relative PR of PDNR\_01 for 18 kHz bandwidths, taking into account the similarities.
- 3.1.4 Case: Mode B\_10 kHz and Mode B\_20 kHz.apply "d" to relative PR of PDNR\_01 for 20 kHz bandwidths, taking into account the similarities.
- 3.1.5 Case: Mode C\_10 kHz and Mode C\_20 kHz. apply "d" to relative PR of PDNR\_01 for 20 kHz bandwidths, taking into account the similarities.

3.1.6 Case: Mode D\_10 kHz and Mode D\_20 kHz.apply "d" to relative PR of PDNR\_01 for 20 kHz bandwidths, taking into account the similarities.

## TABLE 1 (PDNR\_2001)

#### RF protection ratios between broadcasting systems below 30 MHz (dB) 64-QAM, protection level No. 1

## AM interfered with by DRM

			Frequency separation													Parameters		
Case	Wanted signal	Unwanted signal						funwanted	l-fwanted	(kHz)						<b>B</b> <sub>DRM</sub>	S/N	$A_{AF}$
	0	0	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	(dB)	(dB)
0	AM	AM	-38.4	-36.3	-32.5	-18.5	-12.0	14.5	17.0	14.5	-12.0	-18.5	-32.5	-36.3	-38.4	9		17
1	AM	DRM_A0	-33.5	-33.5	-32.3	-18.4	-10.9	23.3	23.4	-13.6	-30.2	-31.6	-33.5	-33.5	-33.5	4.5		17
2	AM	DRM_A1	-34.0	-33.8	-31.2	-15.0	-6.7	23.0	23.0	-13.8	-29.3	-31.0	-34.0	-34.0	-34.0	5		17
3	AM	DRM_A2	-32.2	-30.3	-26.9	-17.3	-11.5	20.3	23.4	20.3	-11.5	-17.3	-26.9	-30.3	-32.2	9		17
4	AM	DRM_A3	-30.8	-28.9	-25.5	-14.6	-7.1	19.9	22.9	19.9	-7.1	-14.6	-25.5	-28.9	-30.8	10		17
5	AM	DRM_A4	-18.1	-9.1	15.6	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	17.2	-9.1	-15.7	-22.6	-25.2	-26.7	18		17
6	AM	DRM_A5	-11.5	5.1	16.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	16.9	-3.4	-11.5	-21.7	-24.2	-25.7	20		17
7	AM	DRM_B0	-33.6	-33.6	-32.3	-18.3	-10.8	23.3	23.4	-13.4	-29.9	-31.5	-33.6	-33.6	-33.6	4.5		17
8	AM	DRM_B1	-34.1	-33.8	-30.9	-14.5	-5.9	22.9	22.9	-13.5	-29.1	-30.7	-34.1	-34.1	-34.1	5		17
9	AM	DRM_B2	-32.2	-30.2	-26.9	-17.2	-11.4	20.3	23.4	20.3	-11.4	-17.2	-26.9	-30.2	-32.2	9		17
10	AM	DRM_B3	-30.6	-28.6	-25.3	-14.2	-6.2	19.8	22.8	19.8	-6.2	-14.2	-25.3	-28.6	-30.6	10		17
11	AM	DRM_B4	-18.1	-9.1	15.6	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	17.2	-9.1	-15.7	-22.6	-25.2	-26.7	18		17
12	AM	DRM_B5	-11.5	5.1	16.9	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	16.9	-2.8	-11.0	-21.6	-24.1	-25.6	20		17
13	AM	DRM_C3	-30.9	-28.9	-25.6	-14.8	-7.4	19.9	22.9	19.9	-7.4	-14.8	-25.6	-28.9	-30.9	10		17
14	AM	DRM_C5	-11.9	4.7	16.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	16.9	-3.4	-11.6	-21.7	-24.2	-25.7	20		17
15	AM	DRM_D3	-30.8	-28.9	-25.5	-14.7	-7.1	19.9	22.9	19.9	-7.1	-14.7	-25.5	-28.9	-30.8	10		17
16	AM	DRM_D5	-12.2	4.4	16.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	17.0	-2.9	-11.1	-21.6	-24.1	-25.6	20		17

AM: AM signal

DRM\_A0: DRM signal, robustness mode A, spectrum occupancy 0

#### TABLE 2 (Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615)

#### Relative RF protection ratios between broadcasting systems below 30 MHz (dB) AM interfered with by digital

			Frequency separation													Parameters		
Wanted signal	Unwanted signal						funwan	ted $-f_{wanted}$ (	(kHz)						<b>B</b> <sub>DRM</sub>	$A_{AF}^{(1),(2)}$		
D	0	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	( <b>dB</b> )		
AM	DRM_A0	-50.4	-50.4	-49.1	-35.6	-28.5	6.5	6.6	-31.1	-46.9	-48.3	-50.4	-50.4	-50.4	4.5	_		
AM	DRM_A1	-50.9	-50.6	-47.9	-32.5	-24.5	6.1	6.1	-31.3	-46	-47.7	-50.9	-50.9	-50.9	5			
AM	DRM_A2	-48.9	-47	-43.6	-34.5	-29.8	3.4	6.6	3.4	-29.8	-34.5	-43.6	-47	-48.9	9	_		
AM	DRM_A3	-47.4	-45.5	-42.1	-32.4	-26.5	3.1	6.1	3.1	-26.5	-32.4	-42.1	-45.5	-47.4	10	-		
AM	DRM_B0	-50.4	-50.4	-49	-35.5	-28.4	6.4	6.6	-30.9	-46.7	-48.2	-50.4	-50.4	-50.4	4.5	_		
AM	DRM_B1	-51	-50.5	-47.6	-32	-23.8	6	6	-31.1	-45.7	-47.4	-51	-51	-51	5			
AM	DRM_B2	-48.8	-46.9	-43.5	-34.4	-29.7	3.4	6.5	3.4	-29.7	-34.4	-43.5	-46.9	-48.8	9			
AM	DRM_B3	-47.2	-45.3	-41.9	-32	-25.9	3	6	3	-25.9	-32	-41.9	-45.3	-47.2	10			
AM	DRM_C3	-47.5	-45.6	-42.2	-32.6	-26.7	3.1	6.1	3.1	-26.7	-32.6	-42.2	-45.6	-47.5	10	_		
AM	DRM_D3	-47.4	-45.5	-42.2	-32.4	-26.5	3.1	6.1	3.1	-26.5	-32.4	-42.2	-45.5	-47.4	10	_		

 $A_{AF}$ : audio frequency protection ratio

DRM\_A0: DRM signal, robustness mode A, spectrum occupancy type 0

<sup>(1)</sup> The RF protection ratio for AM interfered with by digital can be calculated by adding a suitable value for the AF protection ratio according to a given planning scenario to the values in this Table.

<sup>(2)</sup> The values presented in this Table refer to the specific case of high AM compression. For consistency with Table 25, the same modulation depth, namely that associated with high compression, has been assumed for the AM signal. In order to offer adequate protection to AM signals with normal levels of compression (as defined in Attachment 1 to Annex 2), each value in the Table should be increased to accommodate the difference between normal and high compression.

## **Steps 3 + 4 (see following tables)**

# AM interfered with by DRM

RF protection ratios between broadcasting systems below 30 MHz (dB) 64-QAM, protection level No. 1

## 3.1.1 Mode DRM\_A2\_9 kHz

Case	Wanted	Unwanted						Frequ funwante	ency sepa ed – fwanted	ration (kHz)						Parameters		
	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)
3	AM	DRM_A2	-32.2	-30.3	-26.9	-17.3	-11.5	20.3	23.4	20.3	-11.5	-17.3	-26.9	-30.3	-32.2	9		17
3a	AM	A2/AREL	-49.2	-47.3	-43.9	-34.3	-28.5	3.3	6.4	3.3	-28.5	-34.3	-43.9	-47.3	-49.2	9		17
3b	AM	DRM_A2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-48.9	-47	-43.6	-34.5	-29.8	3.4	6.6	3.4	-29.8	-34.5	-43.6	-47	-48.9	9		17
diff	AM	d	0.3	0.3	0.3	-0.2	-1.3	0.1	0.2	0.1	-1.3	-0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	9		17

To obtain the A<sub>RF\_REL</sub> in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 (DRM\_A2), add to A<sub>RF\_REL</sub> in Document 6-7/21 the difference [3b-3a].

#### Mode DRM\_A4\_18 kHz

Case	Wanted signal	Unwanted signal		Frequency separation funwanted – fwanted (kHz)													Parameters				
			-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)			
5	AM	DRM_A4	-18.1	-9.1	15.6	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	17.2	-9.1	-15.7	-22.6	-25.2	-26.7	18		17			
5	AM	A4/AREL	-35.1	-26.1	-1.4	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.2	-26.1	-32.7	-39.6	-42.2	-43.7	18		17			
		d similar	-0.2	-1.3	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	-1.3	-0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3						
New 5	AM	A4/AREL	-35.3	-27.4	-1.3	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	0.3	-27.4	-32.9	-39.3	-41.9	-43.4	18		17			

## 3.1.2 Mode DRM\_A3\_10 kHz

Case	Wanted	Unwanted signal		Frequency separation funwanted – fwanted (kHz)														Parameters			
	signal		-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)			
4	AM	DRM_A3	-30.8	-28.9	-25.5	-14.6	-7.1	19.9	22.9	19.9	-7.1	-14.6	-25.5	-28.9	-30.8	10		17			
4a	AM	$A3/A_{REL}$	-47.8	-45.9	-42.5	-31.6	-24.1	2.9	5.9	2.9	-24.1	-31.6	-42.5	-45.9	-47.8	10		17			
4b	AM	DRM_A3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-47.4	-45.5	-42.1	-32.4	-26.5	3.1	6.1	3.1	-26.5	-32.4	-42.1	-45.5	-47.4	10		17			
diff	AM	d	0.4	0.4	0.4	-0.8	-2.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	-2.4	-0.8	0.3	0.4	0.4						

To obtain the A<sub>RF\_rel</sub> in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 (DRM\_A3), add to A<sub>RF\_rel</sub> in Document 6-7/21 the difference [4b-4a].

## Mode DRM\_A5\_20 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freq funwa	uency s nted – fwa	eparatio <sub>nted</sub> (kH	on z)					Pa	rameter	:s
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> (dB)	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)
6	AM	DRM_A5	-11.5	5.1	16.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	16.9	-3.4	-11.5	-21.7	-24.2	-25.7	20		17
6	AM	$A5/A_{REL}$	-28.5	-12.1	-0.1	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	-0.1	-20.4	-28.5	-38.7	-41.2	-42.7	20		17
		d similar	-0.8	-2.4	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	-2.4	-0.8	0.3	0.4	0.4			
New 6	AM	A5/AREL	-29.3	-14.5	0.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	0.1	-22.8	-29.3	-38.4	-40.8	-42.3	20		17

## **3.1.3** Mode B2\_9 kHz

CaseWanted signalUi9AMD	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwanted	ncy sep -fwanted	aration (kHz)						Pa	rameter	s
	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)	
9	AM	DRM_B2	-32.2	-30.2	-26.9	-17.2	-11.4	20.3	23.4	20.3	-11.4	17.2	-26.9	-30.2	-32.2	9		17
9a	AM	$B2/A_{REL}$	-49.2	-47.2	-43.9	-34.2	-28.4	3.3	6.4	3.3	-28.4	-34.2	-43.9	-47	-49.2	9		17
9b	AM	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-48.8	-46.9	-43.5	-34.4	-29.7	3.4	6.5	3.4	-29.7	-34.4	-43.5	-46.9	-48.8	9		17
diff	9a-9b	d	0.4	0.3	0.4	-0.2	-1.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	-1.3	-0.2	0.4	0.3	0.4			

To obtain the A<sub>RF\_rel</sub> in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 (DRM\_B2), add to A<sub>RF\_rel</sub> in Document 6-7/21 the difference [9b-9a].

## Mode B4\_18 kHz

Case	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sepa d – fwanted	aration (kHz)						P	arameter	rs
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)
11	AM	DRM_B4	-18.1	-9.1	15.6	20.3	20.3	20.3	20.3	17.2	-9.1	-15.7	-22.6	-25.2	-26.7	18		17
11	AM	$B4/A_{REL}$	-35.1	-26.1	-1.4	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.2	-26.1	-32.7	-39.6	-42.2	-43.7	18		17
		d similar	-0.2	-1.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	-1.3	-0.2	0.4	0.3	0.4			
New 11	AM	B4/AREL	-35.3	-27.4	-1.3	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	0.3	-27.4	-32.9	-39.2	-41.9	-43.3	18		17

## 3.1.4 Mode B3\_10 kHz

Case Wan sign 10 AN	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque: funwanted	ncy sep – fwanted	aration (kHz)						Pa	rameter	·s
	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)
10	AM	DRM_B3	-30.6	-28.6	-25.3	-14.2	-6.2	19.8	22.8	19.8	-6.2	-14.2	-25.3	-28.6	-30.6	10		17
10a		$B3/A_{REL}$	-47.6	-45.6	-42.3	-31.2	-23.2	2.8	5.8	2.8	-23.2	-31.2	-42.3	-45.6	-47.6	10		17
10b	AM	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-47.2	-45.3	-41.9	-32	-25.9	3	6	3	-25.9	-32	-41.9	-45.3	-47.2	10		17
diff	10a-10b	d	0.4	0.3	0.4	-0.8	-2.7	0.2	0.2	0.2	-2.7	-0.8	0.4	0.3	0.4			

To obtain the A<sub>RF\_rel</sub> in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 (DRM\_B3), add to A<sub>RF\_rel</sub> in Document 6-7/21 the difference [10b-10a].

## Mode B5\_20 kHz

Case	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sepa d – fwanted	aration (kHz)						P	arameter	rs
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)
12	AM	DRM_B5	-11.5	5.1	16.9	19.8	19.8	19.8	19.8	16.9	-2.8	-11.0	-21.6	-24.1	-25.6	20		17
12	AM	$B5/A_{REL}$	-28.5	-11.9	-0.1	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	-0.1	-19.8	-28	-38.6	-41.1	-42.6	20		17
		d similar	-0.8	-2.7	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	-2.7	-0.8	0.4	0.2	0.4			
New 12	AM	B5/AREL	-29.3	-14.6	0.1	3	3	3	3	0.1	-22.5	-28.8	-38.2	-40.9	-42.2	20		17

#### 3.1.5 Mode DRM\_C3\_10 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwanted	ncy sep - fwanted	aration (kHz)						Pa	rameter	s
13 A	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)
13	AM	DRM_C3	-30.9	-28.9	-25.6	-14.8	-7.4	19.9	22.9	19.9	-7.4	-14.8	-25.6	-28.9	-30.9	10		17
13a	AM	$C3/A_{REL}$	-47.9	-45.9	-42.6	-31.8	-24.4	2.9	5.9	2.9	-24.4	-31.8	-42.6	-45.9	-47.9	10		17
13b	AM	DRM_C3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-47.5	-45.6	-42.2	-32.6	-26.7	3.1	6.1	3.1	-26.7	-32.6	-42.2	-45.6	-47.5	10		17
diff	AM	d	0.40	0.30	0.40	-0.80	-2.30	0.20	0.20	0.20	-2.30	-0.80	0.40	0.30	0.40	10		17

To obtain the A<sub>RF\_REL</sub> in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 (DRM\_C3), add to A<sub>RF\_REL</sub> in Document 6-7/21 the difference [13b-13a].

## Mode DRM\_C5\_20 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sepa d – fwanted	aration (kHz)						P	aramete	rs
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)
14	AM	DRM_C5	-11.9	4.7	16.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	16.9	-3.4	-11.6	-21.7	-24.2	-25.7	20		17
14	AM	C5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-28.9	-12.3	-0.1	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	-0.1	-20.4	-28.6	-38.7	-41.2	-42.7	20		17
		d similar	-0.8	-2.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.20	-2.30	-0.80	0.40	0.30	0.40			
New 14	AM	C5/AREL	-29.7	-14.6	0.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	0.1	-22.7	-29.4	-38.3	-40.9	-42.3	20		17

## 3.1.6 Mode DRM\_D3\_10 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sepa ad – fwanted	ration (kHz)						Pa	rametei	rs
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	S/N (dB)	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)
15	AM	DRM_D3	-30.8	-28.9	-25.5	-14.7	-7.1	19.9	22.9	19.9	-7.1	-14.7	-25.5	-28.9	-30.8	10		17
15a	AM	D3/A <sub>REL</sub>	-47.8	-45.9	-42.5	-31.7	-24.1	2.9	5.9	2.9	-24.1	-31.7	-42.5	-45.9	-47.8	10		17
15b	AM	DRM_D3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-47.4	-45.5	-42.2	-32.4	-26.5	3.1	6.1	3.1	-26.5	-32.4	-42.2	-45.5	-47.4	10		17
diff	AM	d	0.40	0.40	0.30	-0.70	-2.40	0.20	0.20	0.20	-2.40	-0.70	0.30	0.40	0.40	10		17

To obtain the A<sub>RF\_REL</sub> in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 (DRM\_D3), add to A<sub>RF\_REL</sub> in Document 6-7/21 the difference [15b-15a].

## Mode DRM\_D5\_20 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sepa d – fwanted	aration (kHz)						Pa	aramete	rs
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)
16	AM	DRM_D5	-12.2	4.4	16.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	19.9	17.0	-2.9	-11.1	-21.6	-24.1	-25.6	20		17
16	AM	$D5/A_{REL}$	-29.2	-12.6	-0.1	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	0	-19.9	-28.1	-38.6	-41.1	-42.6	20		17
		d similar	-0.70	-2.40	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	-2.40	-0.70	0.30	0.40	0.40			
New 16	AM	D5/AREL	-29.9	-15	0.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	0.2	-22.3	-28.8	-38.3	-40.7	-42.2	20		17

#### 3.2 DRM interfered with by DRM, identical modes

In this section we apply the same method described in § 3, taking into account that the similarities should be adjusted adequately.

The source figures are taken from the original table by PDNR\_01 in 2001 (see Table 3) and from the final table in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 (see Table 4).

The calculation is described in the following sections:

- 3.2.1 New figures for DRM\_A4\_18 kHz are derived from analysis made on DRM\_A2\_9 kHz
- 3.2.2 New figures for DRM\_A5\_20 kHz are derived from analysis made on DRM\_A3\_10 kHz
- 3.2.3 New figures for DRM\_B4\_18 kHz are derived from analysis made on DRM\_B2\_9 kHz
- 3.2.4 New figures for DRM\_B5\_20 kHz are derived from analysis made on DRM\_B3\_10 kHz
- 3.2.5 New figures for DRM\_C5\_20 kHz are derived from analysis made on DRM\_C3\_10 kHz
- 3.2.6 New figures for DRM\_D5\_20 kHz are derived from analysis made on DRM\_D3\_10 kHz

## TABLE 3 (PDNR\_2001)

## RF protection ratios between broadcasting systems below 30 MHz (dB) 64-QAM, protection level No. 1

								Freque	ency sepa	aration						P	aramete	rs
Case	Wanted signal	Unwanted signal						funwante	$d-f_{wanted}$	(kHz)						<b>B</b> <sub>DRM</sub>	S/N	AAF
	~-8	8	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	(dB)	(dB)
0	AM	AM	-38.4	-36.3	-32.5	-18.5	-12.0	14.5	17.0	14.5	-12.0	-18.5	-32.5	-36.3	-38.4	9		17
33	DRM_A0	DRM_A0	-43.6	-43.5	-43.6	-39.2	-37.2	-24.8	16.4	-24.8	-37.2	-39.2	-43.6	-43.5	-43.6	4.5	16.4	
34	DRM_A1	DRM_A1	-43.6	-43.6	-43.4	-37.0	-35.0	-10.2	16.4	-10.2	-35.0	-37.0	-43.4	-43.6	-43.6	5	16.4	
35	DRM_A2	DRM_A2	-38.9	-36.9	-33.4	-24.2	-8.9	12.8	16.4	12.8	-8.9	-24.2	-33.4	-36.9	-38.9	9	16.4	
36	DRM_A3	DRM_A3	-36.8	-34.8	-31.1	-7.9	5.5	13.4	16.4	13.4	5.5	-7.9	-31.1	-34.8	-36.8	10	16.4	
37	DRM_A4	DRM_A4	-23.7	-7.6	8.2	12.9	13.4	15.1	16.4	15.1	13.4	12.9	8.2	-7.6	-23.7	18	16.4	
38	DRM_A5	DRM_A5	-6.8	5.8	10.3	13.4	13.9	15.2	16.4	15.2	13.9	13.4	10.3	5.8	-6.8	20	16.4	
39	DRM_B0	DRM_B0	-43.6	-43.6	-43.6	-38.9	-36.9	-24.2	16.4	-24.2	-36.9	-38.9	-43.6	-43.6	-43.6	4.5	16.4	
40	DRM_B1	DRM_B1	-43.6	-43.6	-43.2	-36.6	-34.5	-5.7	16.4	-5.7	-34.5	-36.6	-43.2	-43.6	-43.6	5	16.4	
41	DRM_B2	DRM_B2	-38.8	-36.8	-33.3	-23.9	-8.1	12.9	16.4	12.9	-8.1	-23.9	-33.3	-36.8	-38.8	9	16.4	
42	DRM_B3	DRM_B3	-36.5	-34.4	-30.8	-4.9	6.3	13.5	16.4	13.5	6.3	-4.9	-30.8	-34.4	-36.5	10	16.4	
43	DRM_B4	DRM_B4	-23.8	-7.7	8.2	12.9	13.4	15.1	16.4	15.1	13.4	12.9	8.2	-7.7	-23.8	18	16.4	
44	DRM_B5	DRM_B5	-6.3	5.9	10.3	13.4	13.9	15.2	16.4	15.2	13.9	13.4	10.3	5.9	-6.3	20	16.4	
45	DRM_C3	DRM_C3	-36.9	-34.9	-31.3	-9.1	5.2	13.4	16.4	13.4	5.2	-9.1	-31.3	-34.9	-36.9	10	16.4	
46	DRM_C5	DRM_C5	-7.3	5.7	10.2	13.4	13.8	15.2	16.4	15.2	13.8	13.4	10.2	5.7	-7.3	20	16.4	
47	DRM_D3	DRM_D3	-36.8	-34.8	-31.1	-8.0	5.5	13.4	16.4	13.4	5.5	-8.0	-31.1	-34.8	-36.8	10	16.4	
48	DRM_D5	DRM_D5	-7.1	5.7	10.2	13.4	13.8	15.2	16.4	15.2	13.8	13.4	10.2	5.7	-7.1	20	16.4	

AM: AM signal

DRM\_A0: DRM signal, robustness mode A, spectrum occupancy 0

#### TABLE 4 (Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615)

# Relative RF protection ratios between broadcasting systems below 30 MHz (dB) Digital (64-QAM, protection level No. 1) interfered with by digital (identical robustness modes and spectrum occupancy types)

							Frequ	ency sepa	aration						Parar	neters
Wanted signal	Unwanted signal						funwant	ed $-f$ wanted	(kHz)						<b>B</b> <sub>DRM</sub>	S/N
~-8	-8	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	(dB)
DRM_A0	DRM_A0	-60.1	-60	-60	-55.4	-53.4	-41.2	0	-41.2	-53.4	-55.4	-60	-60	-60.1	4.5	15.8
DRM_A1	DRM_A1	-60	-60	-59.7	-53.3	-51.3	-38.4	0	-38.4	-51.3	-53.3	-59.7	-60	-60	5	15.8
DRM_A2	DRM_A2	-55.1	-53.1	-49.6	-40.8	-38.3	-3.8	0	-3.8	-38.3	-40.8	-49.6	-53.1	-55.1	9	15.3
DRM_A3	DRM_A3	-53	-51	-47.3	-38.1	-12.1	-3.2	0	-3.2	-12.1	-38.1	-47.3	-51	-53	10	15.3
DRM_B0	DRM_B0	-60	-59.9	-60	-55.2	-53.2	-40.8	0	-40.8	-53.2	-55.2	-60	-59.9	-60	4.5	16.2
DRM_B1	DRM_B1	-60	-60	-59.5	-52.8	-50.8	-37.8	0	-37.8	-50.8	-52.8	-59.5	-60	-60	5	16.2
DRM_B2	DRM_B2	-55.1	-53.1	-49.5	-40.7	-38.1	-3.7	0	-3.7	-38.1	-40.7	-49.5	-53.1	-55.1	9	15.9
DRM_B3	DRM_B3	-52.7	-50.7	-47	-37.7	-11.1	-3.1	0	-3.1	-11.1	-37.7	-47	-50.7	-52.7	10	15.9
DRM_C3	DRM_C3	-53.2	-51.1	-47.5	-38.3	-12.6	-3.2	0	-3.2	-12.6	-38.3	-47.5	-51.1	-53.2	10	16.3
DRM_D3	DRM_D3	-53	-51	-47.4	-38.1	-12.2	-3.2	0	-3.2	-12.2	-38.1	-47.4	-51	-53	10	17.2

## 3.2.1 Mode DRM\_A2\_9 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwanted	ncy sep -fwanted	aration 1 (kHz)	l					Pa	rameter	S
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> ( <b>dB</b> )
35	DRM_A2	DRM_A2	-38.9	-36.9	-33.4	-24.2	-8.9	12.8	16.4	12.8	-8.9	-24.2	-33.4	-36.9	-38.9			
35a	A2	$A2/A_{REL}$	-55.3	-53.3	-49.8	-40.6	-25.3	-3.6	0	-3.6	-25.3	-40.6	-49.8	-53.3	-55.3	9		
35b	DRM_A2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_A2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-55.1	-53.1	-49.6	-40.8	-38.3	-3.8	0	-3.8	-38.3	-40.8	-49.6	-53.1	-55.1	9	15.3	
diff	d	d	0.2	0.2	0.2	-0.2	-13	-0.2	0	-0.2	-13	-0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	9		

To obtain the A<sub>RF\_REL</sub> in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 (DRM\_A4), add to A<sub>RF\_REL</sub> in Document 6-7/21 the difference [35b-35a].

## Mode DRM\_A4\_18 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwanted	ency sep a – fwanted	aration d (kHz)						Pa	rameter	s
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	AAF ( <b>dB</b> )
37	DRM_A4	DRM_A4	-23.7	-7.6	8.2	12.9	13.4	15.1	16.4	15.1	13.4	12.9	8.2	-7.6	-23.7	18	16.4	
37	A4	A4/ $A_{REL}$	-40.1	-24	-8.2	-3.5	-3	-1.3	0	-1.3	-3	-3.5	-8.2	-24	-40.1	18	16.4	
		d similar	-0.2	-13	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-13	-0.2			
New 37	A4	A4/A <sub>REL</sub>	-40.3	-37	-8.4	-3.7	-3.2	-1.5	0	-1.5	-3.2	-3.7	-8.4	-37	-40.3	18	16.4	

#### 3.2.2 Mode DRM\_A3\_10 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwanted	ncy sep -fwanted	aration 1 (kHz)	l					Pa	rameter	s
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)
36	DRM_A3	DRM_A3	-36.8	-34.8	-31.1	-7.9	5.5	13.4	16.4	13.4	5.5	-7.9	-31.1	-34.8	-36.8	10	16.4	
36a	A3	A3/A <sub>REL</sub>	-53.2	-51.2	-47.5	-24.3	-10.9	-3	0	-3	-10.9	-24.3	-47.5	-51.2	-53.2	10	16.4	
36b	DRM_A3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_A3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-53	-51	-47.3	-38.1	-12.1	-3.2	0	-3.2	-12.1	-38.1	-47.3	-51	-53	10	15.3	
diff	d	d	0.2	0.2	0.2	-13.8	-1.2	-0.2	0	-0.2	-1.2	-13.8	0.2	0.2	0.2	10		

To obtain the A<sub>RF\_REL</sub> in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 (DRM\_A5), add to A<sub>RF\_REL</sub> in Document 6-7/21 the difference [36b-36a].

## Mode DRM\_A5\_20 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sepa d – fwanted	aration (kHz)						Pa	aramete	rs
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)
38	DRM_A5	DRM_A5	-6.8	5.8	10.3	13.4	13.9	15.2	16.4	15.2	13.9	13.4	10.3	5.8	-6.8			
38	A5	$A5/A_{REL}$	-23.2	-10.6	-6.1	-3	-2.5	-1.2	0	-1.2	-2.5	-3	-6.1	-10.6	-23.2	20	16.4	
		d similar	-13.8	-1.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-1.2	-13.8	10		
New 38	A5	A5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-37	-11.8	-6.3	-3.2	-2.7	-1.4	0	-1.4	-2.7	-3.2	-6.3	-11.8	-37	20	16.4	

## 3.2.3 Mode DRM\_B2\_9 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwanted	ncy sep: 1 – fwantea	aration (kHz)						Pa	rametei	<b>*</b> S
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> ( <b>dB</b> )
41	DRM_B2	DRM_B2	-38.8	-36.8	-33.3	-23.9	-8.1	12.9	16.4	12.9	-8.1	-23.9	-33.3	-36.8	-38.8			
41a	B2	$B2/A_{REL}$	-55.2	-53.2	-49.7	-40.3	-24.5	-3.5	0	-3.5	-24.5	-40.3	-49.7	-53.2	-55.2	9	16.4	
41b	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-55.1	-53.1	-49.5	-40.7	-38.1	-3.7	0	-3.7	-38.1	-40.7	-49.5	-53.1	-55.1	9	15.9	
diff	d	d	0.1	0.1	0.2	-0.4	-13.6	-0.2	0	-0.2	-13.6	-0.4	0.2	0.1	0.1	9		

To obtain the A<sub>RF\_REL</sub> in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 (DRM\_B4), add to A<sub>RF\_REL</sub> in Document 6-7/21 the difference [41b-41a].

## Mode DRM\_B4\_18 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sepa d – fwanted	aration (kHz)						Pa	arameter	rs
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)
43	DRM_B4	DRM_B4	-23.8	-7.7	8.2	12.9	13.4	15.1	16.4	15.1	13.4	12.9	8.2	-7.7	-23.8			
43	B4	$B4/A_{REL}$	-40.2	-24.1	-8.2	-3.5	-3	-1.3	0	-1.3	-3	-3.5	-8.2	-24.1	-40.2	18	16.4	
		d similar	-0.4	-13.6	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-13.6	-0.4	9		
New 43	<i>B4</i>	B4/A <sub>REL</sub>	-40.6	-37.7	-8.4	-3.7	-3.2	-1.5	0	-1.5	-3.2	-3.7	-8.4	-37.7	-40.6	18	16.4	

## 3.2.4 Mode DRM\_B3\_10 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwanted	ncy sep -fwanted	aration # (kHz)	l					Pa	rameter	S
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> (dB)	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)
42	DRM_B3	DRM_B3	-36.5	-34.4	-30.8	-4.9	6.3	13.5	16.4	13.5	6.3	-4.9	-30.8	-34.4	-36.5			
42a	B3	B3/A <sub>REL</sub>	-52.9	-50.8	-47.2	-21.3	-10.1	-2.9	0	-2.9	-10.1	-21.3	-47.2	-50.8	-52.9	10	16.4	
42b	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-52.7	-50.7	-47	-37.7	-11.1	-3.1	0	-3.1	-11.1	-37.7	-47	-50.7	-52.7	10	15.9	
diff	d	d	0.2	0.1	0.2	-16.4	-1	-0.2	0	-0.2	-1	-16.4	0.2	0.1	0.2	10		

To obtain the A<sub>RF\_REL</sub> in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 (DRM\_B5), add to A<sub>RF\_REL</sub> in Document 6-7/21 the difference [42b-42a].

#### Mode DRM\_B5\_20 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sepa d – fwantea	aration (kHz)						P	aramete	rs
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)
44	DRM_B5	DRM_B5	-6.3	5.9	10.3	13.4	13.9	15.2	16.4	15.2	13.9	13.4	10.3	5.9	-6.3			
44	B5	$B5/A_{REL}$	-22.7	-10.5	-6.1	-3	-2.5	-1.2	0	-1.2	-2.5	-3	-6.1	-10.5	-22.7	20	16.4	
		d similar	-16.4	-1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-1	-16.4	10		
New 44	<i>B</i> 5	B5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-39.1	-11.5	-6.3	-3.2	-2.7	-1.4	0	-1.4	-2.7	-3.2	-6.3	-11.5	-39.1	20	16.4	

## 3.2.5 Mode DRM\_C3\_10 kHz

Case	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwanted	ncy sep - <i>f</i> wante	aration d (kHz)	l					Pa	rameter	S
Cuse	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	<i>A</i> <sub><i>AF</i></sub> ( <b>dB</b> )
45	DRM_C3	DRM_C3	-36.9	-34.9	-31.3	-9.1	5.2	13.4	16.4	13.4	5.2	-9.1	-31.3	-34.9	-36.9			
45a	C3	$C3/A_{REL}$	-53.3	-51.3	-47.7	-25.5	-11.2	-3	0	-3	-11.2	-25.5	-47.7	-51.3	-53.3	10	16.4	
45b	DRM_C3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_C3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-53.2	-51.1	-47.5	-38.3	-12.6	-3.2	0	-3.2	-12.6	-38.3	-47.5	-51.1	-53.2	10	16.3	
diff	d	d	0.1	0.2	0.2	-12.8	-1.4	-0.2	0	-0.2	-1.4	-12.8	0.2	0.2	0.1	10		

To obtain the  $A_{RF\_REL}$  in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 (DRM\_C5), add to  $A_{RF\_REL}$  in Document 6-7/21 the difference [45b-45a].

#### Mode DRM\_C5\_20 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sep: d – fwanted	aration (kHz)						Pa	aramete	rs
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)
46	DRM_C5	DRM_C5	-7.3	5.7	10.2	13.4	13.8	15.2	16.4	15.2	13.8	13.4	10.2	5.7	-7.3			
46	C5	C5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-23.7	-10.7	-6.2	-3	-2.6	-1.2	0	-1.2	-2.6	-3	-6.2	-10.7	-23.7	20	16.4	
		d similar	-12.8	-1.4	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-1.4	-12.8	10		
New 46	<i>C</i> 5	C5/AREL	-36.5	-12.1	-6.4	-3.2	-2.8	-1.4	0	-1.4	-2.8	-3.2	-6.4	-12.1	-36.5	20	16.4	

#### 3.2.6 Mode DRM\_D3\_10 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwanted	ncy sep -fwanted	aration # (kHz)	l					Pa	rameter	S
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)
47	DRM_D3	DRM_D3	-36.8	-34.8	-31.1	-8	5.5	13.4	16.4	13.4	5.5	-8	-31.1	-34.8	-36.8			
47a	D3	D3/A <sub>REL</sub>	-53.2	-51.2	-47.5	-24.4	-10.9	-3	0	-3	-10.9	-24.4	-47.5	-51.2	-53.2	10	16.4	
47b	DRM_D3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_D3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-53	-51	-47.4	-38.1	-12.2	-3.2	0	-3.2	-12.2	-38.1	-47.4	-51	-53	10	17.2	
diff	d	d	0.2	0.2	0.1	-13.7	-1.3	-0.2	0	-0.2	-1.3	-13.7	0.1	0.2	0.2	10		

To obtain the  $A_{RF\_REL}$  in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 (DRM\_D5), add to  $A_{RF\_REL}$  in Document 6-7/21 the difference [47b-47a].

## Mode DRM\_D5\_20 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sepa d – fwanted	aration (kHz)						P	aramete	rs
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> (dB)	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)
48	DRM_D5	DRM_D5	-7.1	5.7	10.2	13.4	13.8	15.2	16.4	15.2	13.8	13.4	10.2	5.7	-7.1			
48	D5	D5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-23.5	-10.7	-6.2	-3	-2.6	-1.2	0	-1.2	-2.6	-3	-6.2	-10.7	-23.5	20	16.4	
		d similar	-13.7	-1.3	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-1.3	-13.7	10		
New 48	D5	D5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-37.2	-12	-6.4	-3.2	-2.8	-1.4	0	-1.4	-2.8	-3.2	-6.4	-12	-37.2	20	16.4	

# 3.3 DRM interfered with by AM

## 3.3.1 Proposed method

For DRM interfered with by AM, it is expected that the modification of the DRM transmitter spectrum mask should not have an impact on the protection ratio for the digital system as this protection ratio depends on the characteristics of the digital receiver, not the transmitter. This is checked by comparing the PDNR values (old DRM transmitter spectrum mask, see Table 5, case 17 for example ) and Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 (new spectrum mask, see Table 6, first line, after conversion from relative to absolute values) for the same DRM mode interfered with by AM. This comparison is shown below.

## a) PDNR (absolute protection ratios, Table 5)

Cara	Worded	Unamontod					]	Frequenc funwanted –	cy sepa fwanted	ration (kHz)	l					Pa	rameter	s
Case	w anted	Unwanted	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)
17	DRM_A0	AM	-52.8	-50.6	-47.3	-41.2	-40.1	-31.7	5.0	1.4	-26.2	-36.1	-42.0	-45.7	-48.1	4.5	16.4	

#### b) Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 (relative protection ratios, Table 6 below)

Wanted	Unwanted	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> ( <b>dB</b> )
DRM_A0	AM	-57.7	-55.5	-52.2	-46.2	-45	-36.7	0	-3.5	-31.2	-41.1	-47	-50.7	-53	4.5	4.2

## c) Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 (absolute protection ratios)

DRM_A0 AM -53.5 -51.3 -48 -42 -41.8 -32.5 4.2 0.7 -27 -36.9 -42.8 -46.5 -48.8
---

#### Difference between the PDNR figures and the Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 figures

DRM_A1 AM 0.8 0.7 0.8 0.7 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.7 0.8 0.7 0.8 0.8	
---	--

70
It is noted from this comparison that the difference between the absolute values of protection ratios in the PDNR [row a] and in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 [row c] is around 0.8 dB or 0.7 dB. This difference may come from the fact that the carriers have not exactly the same positions in the two masks ( $\pm 0.57$  F and  $\pm 0.53$  F) nor the same levels. Therefore, the signal with the narrower spectrum mask (as in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615) is more robust, and this gives  $\Delta_F = 0$  a better protection ratio.

#### 3.3.2 Calculation

This method is applied using the source figures given in Tables 5 and 6.

## TABLE 5 (PDNR\_2001)

#### RF protection ratios between broadcasting systems below 30 MHz (dB) 64-QAM, protection level No. 1

## DRM interfered with by AM

								Freque	ency sepa	ration						P	arameter	rs
Case	Wanted signal	Unwanted signal						funwante	d-fwanted	(kHz)						<b>B</b> <sub>DRM</sub>	S/N	AAF
	~-8	~-8	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	(dB)	(dB)
0	AM	AM	-38.4	-36.3	-32.5	-18.5	-12.0	14.5	17.0	14.5	-12.0	-18.5	-32.5	-36.3	-38.4	9		17
17	DRM_A0	AM	-52.8	-50.6	-47.3	-41.2	-40.1	-31.7	5.0	1.4	-26.2	-36.1	-42.0	-45.7	-48.1	4.5	16.4	
18	DRM_A1	AM	-52.5	-50.3	-47.0	-41.0	-39.8	-31.6	5.0	4.4	-17.9	-33.4	-41.2	-44.8	-47.2	5	16.4	
19	DRM_A2	AM	-46.7	-44.4	-40.8	-34.9	-26.0	1.4	8.0	1.4	-26.0	-34.9	-40.8	-44.4	-46.7	9	16.4	
20	DRM_A3	AM	-46.0	-43.7	-40.1	-32.7	-17.8	4.4	8.0	4.4	-17.8	-32.7	-40.1	-43.7	-46.0	10	16.4	
21	DRM_A4	AM	-46.4	-44.2	-40.6	-34.7	-28.7	0.5	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	-4.8	-28.7	-35.9	18	16.4	
22	DRM_A5	AM	-45.8	-43.5	-40.0	-33.5	-19.9	3.4	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	3.4	-12.0	-33.5	20	16.4	
23	DRM_B0	AM	-52.7	-50.5	-47.2	-41.2	-40.0	-31.2	5.0	1.5	-26.0	-36.1	-42.0	-45.7	-48.0	4.5	16.4	
24	DRM_B1	AM	-52.4	-50.2	-46.9	-40.9	-39.7	-31.1	5.0	4.8	-17.1	-32.6	-41.0	-44.7	-47.1	5	16.4	
25	DRM_B2	AM	-46.7	-44.4	-40.8	-34.9	-25.7	1.5	8.0	1.5	-25.7	-34.9	-40.8	-44.4	-46.7	9	16.4	
26	DRM_B3	AM	-45.9	-43.6	-40.0	-31.9	-17.0	4.8	8.0	4.8	-17.0	-31.9	-40.0	-43.6	-45.9	10	16.4	
27	DRM_B4	AM	-46.4	-44.2	-40.6	-34.7	-28.7	0.4	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	-4.8	-28.7	-35.9	18	16.4	
28	DRM_B5	AM	-45.8	-43.5	-39.9	-33.2	-19.1	3.7	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	3.4	-12.0	-33.5	20	16.4	
29	DRM_C3	AM	-46.1	-43.7	-40.2	-32.9	-18.2	4.2	8.0	4.2	-18.2	-32.9	-40.2	-43.7	-46.1	10	16.4	
30	DRM_C5	AM	-45.8	-43.5	-40.0	-33.5	-19.9	3.4	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	3.1	-12.3	-33.7	20	16.4	
31	DRM_D3	AM	-46.0	-43.7	-40.1	-32.7	-17.9	4.4	8.0	4.4	-17.9	-32.7	-40.1	-43.7	-46.0	10	16.4	
32	DRM_D5	AM	-45.8	-43.5	-39.9	-33.2	-19.1	3.7	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	2.9	-12.5	-33.8	20	16.4	

AM: AM signal

DRM\_A0: DRM signal, robustness mode A, spectrum occupancy 0

#### TABLE 6 (Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615)

#### Relative RF protection ratios between broadcasting systems below 30 MHz (dB) Digital (64-QAM, protection level No. 1) interfered with by AM

							Freque	ency sepa	aration						Parar	neters
Wanted signal	Unwanted signal						funwante	$d-f_{wanted}$	(kHz)						<b>B</b> <sub>DRM</sub>	S/I
~-8	~-8	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	(dB)
DRM_A0	AM	-57.7	-55.5	-52.2	-46.2	-45	-36.7	0	-3.5	-31.2	-41.1	-47	-50.7	-53	4.5	4.2
DRM_A1	AM	-57.5	-55.2	-52	-45.9	-44.8	-36.6	0	-0.6	-22.8	-38.4	-46.1	-49.8	-52.2	5	4.2
DRM_A2	AM	-54.7	-52.4	-48.8	-42.9	-34	-6.5	0	-6.5	-34	-42.9	-48.8	-52.4	-54.7	9	6.7
DRM_A3	AM	-54	-51.7	-48.1	-40.6	-25.8	-3.6	0	-3.6	-25.8	-40.6	-48.1	-51.7	-54	10	6.7
DRM_B0	AM	-57.7	-55.5	-52.2	-46.1	-45	-36.2	0	-3.5	-30.9	-41.1	-46.9	-50.6	-53	4.5	4.6
DRM_B1	AM	-57.4	-55.2	-51.9	-45.9	-44.7	-36	0	-0.2	-22	-37.6	-46	-49.6	-52	5	4.6
DRM_B2	AM	-54.6	-52.4	-48.8	-42.8	-33.7	-6.4	0	-6.4	-33.7	-42.8	-48.8	-52.4	-54.6	9	7.3
DRM_B3	AM	-53.9	-51.5	-48	-39.9	-25	-3.1	0	-3.1	-25	-39.9	-48	-51.5	-53.9	10	7.3
DRM_C3	AM	-54	-51.7	-48.1	-40.9	-26.1	-3.8	0	-3.8	-26.1	-40.9	-48.1	-51.7	-54	10	7.7
DRM_D3	AM	-54	-51.7	-48.1	-40.7	-25.8	-3.6	0	-3.6	-25.8	-40.7	-48.1	-51.7	-54	10	8.6

Calculating the difference for all DRM modes, using the same method as above gives the following:

Difference (PDNR\_001) – (Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615)

Wanted	Unwanted						Frequ <i>funwan</i>	<b>uency separ</b> $f_{ted} - f_{wanted}$ (1)	ation kHz)					
signai	signai	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20
DRM_A0	AM	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7
DRM_A1	AM	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.8
DRM_A2	AM	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3
DRM_A3	AM	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3
DRM_B0	AM	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.4
DRM_B1	AM	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3
DRM_B2	AM	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.6
DRM_B3	AM	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.7	0.7	0.7	0.6	0.7
DRM_C3	AM	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.2
DRM_D3	AM	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.7	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.7	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6
	Average difference	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.6

The average of the differences calculated for all common modes between the PDNR and Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 is 0.6 dB. We choose to use this value to calculate the protection ratios in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 for the large bandwidths (18 and 20 kHz) from the corresponding figures in the PDNR by applying:

### PR (BS.1615-absolute) = PR (PDNR-absolute) - 0.6

Based on this, the final calculated figures for 18 and 20 kHz DRM signal bandwidths in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 are given in the Table below.

Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwanted	ncy sepa $-f_{wanted}$	ration (kHz)						Param	ieters
signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
DRM_A4	AM	-47	-44.8	-41.2	-35.3	-29.3	-0.1	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	-5.4	-29.3	-36.5	18	
DRM_A5	AM	-46.4	-44.1	-40.6	-34.1	-20.5	2.8	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	2.8	-12.6	-34.1	20	
DRM_B4	AM	-46.4	-44.8	-41.2	-35.3	-29.3	-0.2	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	-5.4	-29.3	-36.5	18	
DRM_B5	AM	-45.8	-44.1	-40.5	-33.8	-19.7	3.1	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	2.8	-12.6	-34.1	20	
DRM_C5	AM	-45.8	-44.1	-40.6	-34.1	-20.5	2.8	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	2.5	-12.9	-34.3	20	
DRM_D5	AM	-45.8	-44.1	-40.5	-33.8	-19.7	3.1	7.4	7.4	7.4	7.4	2.3	-13.1	-34.4	20	

#### New figures for Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 absolute protection ratios

From the previous table, it can be deduced that the *S*/*I* for all the modes considered in the table is 7.4 dB which corresponds to the absolute protection ratio. From this the relative protection ratios can be calculated by applying:

#### **PR** (**BS.1615-relative**) = **PR** (**BS.1615-absolute**) - 7.4

The results are given in the Table below. These figures can be added as new rows to Table 24 of Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615.

	Wanted	Unwanted					Fre fun	equency wanted - f,	separ <sub>vanted</sub> (l	ation kHz)						Parame	eters
	signai	signai	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	$B_{DRM}$ (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> ( <b>dB</b> )
New 21	DRM_A4	AM	-54.4	-52.2	-48.6	-42.7	-36.7	-7.5	0	0	0	0	-12.8	-36.7	-43.9	18	7.4
New 22	DRM_A5	AM	-53.8	-51.5	-48	-41.5	-27.9	-4.6	0	0	0	0	-4.6	-20	-41.5	20	7.4
New 27	DRM_B4	AM	-53.8	-52.2	-48.6	-42.7	-36.7	-7.6	0	0	0	0	-12.8	-36.7	-43.9	18	7.4
New 28	DRM_B5	AM	-53.2	-51.5	-47.9	-41.2	-27.1	-4.3	0	0	0	0	-4.6	-20	-41.5	20	7.4
New 30	DRM_C5	AM	-53.2	-51.5	-48	-41.5	-27.9	-4.6	0	0	0	0	-4.9	-20.3	-41.7	20	7.4
New 32	DRM_D5	AM	-53.2	-51.5	-47.9	-41.2	-27.1	-4.3	0	0	0	0	-5.1	-20.5	-41.8	20	7.4

# New figures for Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 relative protection ratios

# 3.4 Digital (64-QAM, protection level No. 1) interfered with by digital

In this section is applied the method described in § 3, taking into account that the similarities should be adjusted adequately.

The source figures are taken from the original PDNR\_01 in 2001 (Tables 7A and 7B) and from the last Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 (Table 8).

	ŗ	Farget configur	ation
Section	Case	Wanted signal	Unwanted signal
3.4.1	New 53	DRM_B0	DRM_B4
3.4.2	New 54	DRM_B0	DRM_B5
3.4.3	New 59	DRM_B1	DRM_B4
3.4.4	New 60	DRM_B1	DRM_B5
3.4.5	New 65	DRM_B2	DRM_B4
3.4.6	New 66	DRM_B2	DRM_B5
3.4.7	New 71	DRM_B3	DRM_B4
3.4.8	New 72	DRM_B3	DRM_B5
3.4.9	New 73	DRM_B4	DRM_B0
3.4.10	New 74	DRM_B4	DRM_B1
3.4.11	New 75	DRM_B4	DRM_B2
3.4.12	New 76	DRM_B4	DRM_B3
3.4.13	New 78	DRM_B4	DRM_B5
3.4.14	79	DRM_B5	DRM_B0
3.4.15	80	DRM_B5	DRM_B1
3.4.16	81	DRM_B5	DRM_B2
3.4.17	82	DRM_B5	DRM_B3
3.4.18	83	DRM_B5	DRM_B4

	Reference con	figuration
	Wanted signal	Unwanted signal
51	DRM_B0	DRM_B2
52	DRM_B0	DRM_B3
57	DRM_B1	DRM_B2
58	DRM_B1	DRM_B3
63	DRM_B2	DRM_B2
64	DRM_B2	DRM_B3
69	DRM_B3	DRM_B2
70	DRM_B3	DRM_B3
61	DRM_B2	DRM_B0
62	DRM_B2	DRM_B1
63	DRM_B2	DRM_B2
64	DRM_B2	DRM_B3
64	DRM_B2	DRM_B3
67	DRM_B3	DRM_B0
68	DRM_B3	DRM_B1
69	DRM_B3	DRM_B2
70	DRM_B3	DRM_B3
69	DRM_B3	DRM_B2

The calculation is described in the following sections.

#### TABLE 7A (PDNR\_2001)

#### RF protection ratios between broadcasting systems below 30 MHz (dB) 64-QAM, protection level No. 1

#### DRM interfered with by DRM (identical and different spectrum occupancy modes)

								Frequ	ency sepa	aration						Pa	aramete	rs
Case	Wanted signal	Unwanted signal						funwante	d — $f$ wanted	(kHz)						<b>B</b> <sub>DRM</sub>	S/N	AAF
	~-8	~-8	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	(dB)	(dB)
0	AM	AM	-38.4	-36.3	-32.5	-18.5	-12.0	14.5	17.0	14.5	-12.0	-18.5	-32.5	-36.3	-38.4	9	_	17
49	DRM_B0	DRM_B0	-43.6	-43.6	-43.6	-38.9	-36.9	-24.2	16.4	-24.2	-36.9	-38.9	-43.6	-43.6	-43.6	4.5	16.4	-
50	DRM_B0	DRM_B1	-44.1	-44.1	-43.7	-36.8	-34.7	-5.9	15.8	-23.0	-35.9	-37.8	-44.0	-44.1	-44.1	5	16.4	Ι
51	DRM_B0	DRM_B2	-44.2	-42.5	-39.7	-33.5	-31.9	-14.4	13.3	12.8	-8.2	-24.5	-34.5	-38.2	-40.4	9	16.4	١
52	DRM_B0	DRM_B3	-42.6	-40.9	-38.1	-31.9	-30.3	-2.8	12.8	12.8	2.3	-14.9	-32.9	-36.6	-38.8	10	16.4	Ι
53	DRM_B0	DRM_B4	-31.1	-29.0	-18.8	9.4	10.3	10.3	10.3	9.8	-5.8	-15.9	-30.8	-33.6	-35.3	18	16.4	
54	DRM_B0	DRM_B5	-29.2	-26.6	-3.5	9.8	9.8	9.8	9.8	9.7	-0.1	-9.2	-29.8	-32.6	-34.2	20	16.4	_
55	DRM_B1	DRM_B0	-43.1	-43.1	-43.1	-38.7	-36.8	-24.2	16.5	-6.5	-35.5	-37.6	-43.1	-43.1	-43.1	4.5	16.4	Ι
56	DRM_B1	DRM_B1	-43.6	-43.6	-43.2	-36.6	-34.5	-5.7	16.4	-5.7	-34.5	-36.6	-43.2	-43.6	-43.6	5	16.4	-
57	DRM_B1	DRM_B2	-43.8	-42.2	-39.3	-33.2	-31.6	-14.4	13.6	13.4	2.6	-16.7	-33.4	-37.3	-39.5	9	16.4	I
58	DRM_B1	DRM_B3	-42.2	-40.6	-37.7	-31.6	-30.0	-2.7	13.4	13.3	6.3	-4.9	-31.8	-35.7	-37.9	10	16.4	_
59	DRM_B1	DRM_B4	-30.8	-28.7	-18.8	9.5	10.5	10.9	10.9	10.4	-0.1	-10.2	-29.9	-32.8	-34.5	18	16.4	Ι
60	DRM_B1	DRM_B5	-28.8	-26.3	-3.5	10.3	10.4	10.4	10.4	10.3	3.5	-4.0	-28.9	-31.7	-33.4	20	16.4	Ι
61	DRM_B2	DRM_B0	-40.6	-40.5	-38.5	-27.1	-16.2	15.8	16.5	-24.0	-36.0	-37.6	-40.6	-40.6	-40.6	4.5	16.4	_
62	DRM_B2	DRM_B1	-41.0	-40.2	-37.0	-24.3	3.8	15.9	16.0	-22.7	-35.0	-36.8	-41.0	-41.1	-41.1	5	16.4	_
63	DRM_B2	DRM_B2	-38.8	-36.8	-33.3	-23.9	-8.1	12.9	16.4	12.9	-8.1	-23.9	-33.3	-36.8	-38.8	9	16.4	
64	DRM_B2	DRM_B3	-37.2	-35.2	-31.7	-14.7	2.4	12.9	15.9	12.9	2.4	-14.7	-31.7	-35.2	-37.2	10	16.4	-
65	DRM_B2	DRM_B4	-23.4	-5.8	8.5	13.0	13.4	13.4	13.4	9.9	-5.8	-15.6	-29.3	-31.9	-33.5	18	16.4	_
66	DRM_B2	DRM_B5	-9.6	4.9	10.0	12.9	12.9	12.9	12.9	10.0	0.0	-9.1	-28.3	-30.9	-32.4	20	16.4	_

AM: AM signal

DRM\_B0: DRM signal, robustness mode B, spectrum occupancy 0

#### TABLE 7B (PDNR\_2001)

#### RF protection ratios between broadcasting systems below 30 MHz (dB) 64-QAM, protection level No. 1

### DRM interfered with by DRM (identical and different spectrum occupancy modes)

								Freque	ency sepa	aration						P	aramete	rs
Case	Wanted signal	Unwanted signal						funwante	d — $f$ wanted	(kHz)						<b>B</b> <sub>DRM</sub>	S/N	AAF
	0	8	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	(dB)	(dB)
0	AM	AM	-38.4	-36.3	-32.5	-18.5	-12.0	14.5	17.0	14.5	-12.0	-18.5	-32.5	-36.3	-38.4	9	-	17
67	DRM_B3	DRM_B0	-40.0	-39.8	-37.5	-24.9	4.1	16.4	16.6	-6.5	-34.7	-36.5	-40.0	-40.0	-40.0	4.5	16.4	I
68	DRM_B3	DRM_B1	-40.4	-39.4	-35.9	-10.1	8.7	16.4	16.5	-5.7	-33.8	-35.7	-40.4	-40.6	-40.6	5	16.4	
69	DRM_B3	DRM_B2	-38.1	-36.0	-32.4	-16.5	2.6	13.5	16.6	13.5	2.6	-16.5	-32.4	-36.0	-38.1	9	16.4	_
70	DRM_B3	DRM_B3	-36.5	-34.4	-30.8	-4.9	6.3	13.5	16.4	13.5	6.3	-4.9	-30.8	-34.4	-36.5	10	16.4	_
71	DRM_B3	DRM_B4	-19.5	-0.1	9.3	13.3	13.7	13.9	13.7	10.5	-0.1	-10.2	-28.5	-31.3	-32.8	18	16.4	
72	DRM_B3	DRM_B5	-4.6	6.4	10.5	13.4	13.4	13.4	13.4	10.5	3.5	-4.0	-27.5	-30.2	-31.7	20	16.4	_
73	DRM_B4	DRM_B0	-37.5	-37.5	-36.5	-27.5	-21.8	15.5	16.6	16.6	16.3	15.1	-28.5	-34.8	-36.7	4.5	16.4	_
74	DRM_B4	DRM_B1	-38.1	-37.7	-35.7	-25.1	-1.1	15.7	16.6	16.6	15.8	14.6	-27.9	-34.3	-36.5	5	16.4	
75	DRM_B4	DRM_B2	-37.7	-36.1	-32.9	-24.6	-11.8	12.6	16.4	16.6	16.4	15.9	11.2	-11.8	-26.8	9	16.4	I
76	DRM_B4	DRM_B3	-36.4	-34.6	-31.3	-17.7	-0.4	12.8	16.2	16.6	16.2	15.7	11.6	-0.4	-25.2	10	16.4	_
77	DRM_B4	DRM_B4	-23.8	-7.7	8.2	12.9	13.4	15.1	16.4	15.1	13.4	12.9	8.2	-7.7	-23.8	18	16.4	_
78	DRM_B4	DRM_B5	-11.3	4.3	9.8	13.2	13.6	15.1	15.9	14.8	13.2	12.7	8.7	-1.8	-19.0	20	16.4	_
79	DRM_B5	DRM_B0	-37.0	-37.0	-35.7	-25.5	-1.3	16.2	16.6	16.6	16.6	16.6	-16.1	-32.1	-35.1	4.5	16.4	-
80	DRM_B5	DRM_B1	-37.5	-37.0	-34.8	-16.4	7.6	16.2	16.6	16.6	16.6	16.3	-14.4	-31.5	-34.7	5	16.4	_
81	DRM_B5	DRM_B2	-37.0	-35.4	-32.1	-19.6	-0.5	13.3	16.6	16.6	16.6	16.6	13.2	7.5	-20.5	9	16.4	_
82	DRM_B5	DRM_B3	-35.8	-34.0	-30.6	-8.3	5.3	13.3	16.4	16.6	16.6	16.4	13.2	8.8	-9.3	10	16.4	_
83	DRM_B5	DRM_B4	-20.7	-2.0	9.1	13.2	13.7	15.3	16.6	15.5	14.1	13.7	10.2	4.6	-12.6	18	16.4	_
84	DRM_B5	DRM_B5	-6.3	5.9	10.3	13.4	13.9	15.2	16.4	15.2	13.9	13.4	10.3	5.9	-6.3	20	16.4	_

AM: AM signal

DRM\_B3: DRM signal, robustness mode B, spectrum occupancy 3

### TABLE 8 (Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615)

# Relative RF protection ratios between broadcasting systems below 30 MHz (dB) Digital (64-QAM, protection level No. 1) interfered with by digital

							Freque	ency sepa	aration						Paran	neters
Wanted signal	Unwanted signal						funwante	d – $f_{wanted}$	(kHz)						<b>B</b> <sub>DRM</sub>	S/I
		-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	(dB)
DRM_B0	DRM_B0	-60	-59.9	-60	-55.2	-53.2	-40.8	0	-40.8	-53.2	-55.2	-60	-59.9	-60	4.5	16.2
DRM_B0	DRM_B1	-60.1	-60	-59.5	-52.5	-50.4	-37.4	0	-40	-51.6	-53.6	-59.8	-60	-60.1	5	15.7
DRM_B0	DRM_B2	-57.4	-55.7	-52.9	-46.7	-45.1	-36.6	0	-0.8	-35.6	-38.4	-47.7	-51.5	-53.6	9	13.2
DRM_B0	DRM_B3	-55.2	-53.6	-50.7	-44.5	-42.9	-33.1	0	-0.1	-13.6	-36.2	-45.5	-49.3	-51.4	10	12.6
DRM_B1	DRM_B0	-59.4	-59.5	-59.5	-55	-53	-40.8	0	-37.9	-51.7	-53.9	-59.4	-59.5	-59.4	4.5	16.2
DRM_B1	DRM_B1	-60	-60	-59.5	-52.8	-50.8	-37.8	0	-37.8	-50.8	-52.8	-59.5	-60	-60	5	16.2
DRM_B1	DRM_B2	-57.1	-55.4	-52.6	-46.4	-44.9	-36.4	0	-0.1	-13.7	-36.8	-46.6	-50.5	-52.7	9	13.2
DRM_B1	DRM_B3	-55.5	-53.8	-51	-44.8	-43.3	-33.5	0	-0.1	-8.1	-35.2	-45	-48.9	-51.1	10	13.2
DRM_B2	DRM_B0	-57	-56.8	-54.8	-43.4	-39.1	-0.7	0	-40.6	-52.2	-53.9	-57	-57	-57	4.5	15.9
DRM_B2	DRM_B1	-56.9	-56.1	-52.7	-40.2	-14.1	-0.1	0	-39.7	-50.8	-52.5	-56.9	-57	-57	5	15.4
DRM_B2	DRM_B2	-55.1	-53.1	-49.5	-40.7	-38.1	-3.7	0	-3.7	-38.1	-40.7	-49.5	-53.1	-55.1	9	15.9
DRM_B2	DRM_B3	-52.9	-51	-47.4	-38.6	-16.6	-3.2	0	-3.2	-16.6	-38.6	-47.4	-51	-52.9	10	15.4
DRM_B3	DRM_B0	-56.4	-56.2	-53.8	-41.1	-14.1	-0.1	0	-37.7	-50.9	-52.8	-56.4	-56.4	-56.4	4.5	15.9
DRM_B3	DRM_B1	-56.8	-55.7	-52.1	-38.2	-8.2	-0.1	0	-37.6	-50.1	-51.9	-56.7	-57	-57	5	15.9
DRM_B3	DRM_B2	-54.3	-52.3	-48.6	-39.3	-16.7	-3.1	0	-3.1	-16.7	-39.3	-48.6	-52.3	-54.3	9	15.9
DRM_B3	DRM_B3	-52.7	-50.7	-47	-37.7	-11.1	-3.1	0	-3.1	-11.1	-37.7	-47	-50.7	-52.7	10	15.9

Carro	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sepa	ration (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
51	DRM_B0	DRM_B2	-44.20	-42.50	-39.70	-33.50	-31.90	-14.40	13.30	12.80	-8.20	-24.50	-34.50	-38.20	-40.40		
51a	DRM_B0 /REL	DRM_B2 /REL	-57.50	-55.80	-53.00	-46.80	-45.20	-27.70	0.00	-0.50	-21.50	-37.80	-47.80	-51.50	-53.70	9.00	13.30
51b	DRM_B0 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-57.40	-55.70	-52.90	-46.70	-45.10	-36.60	0.00	-0.80	-35.60	-38.40	-47.70	-51.50	-53.60	9.00	13.20
diff		d = 51a-51b	-0.10	-0.10	-0.10	-0.10	-0.10	8.90	0.00	0.30	14.10	0.60	-0.10	0.00	-0.10		

#### 3.4.1 Mode DRM\_B0\_4.5 kHz interfered with by B4\_18 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Frequ <i>funwant</i>	ency sep ed – fwanted	aration # (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
53	DRM_B0	DRM_B4	-31.10	-29.00	-18.80	9.40	10.30	10.30	10.30	9.80	-5.80	-15.90	-30.80	-33.60	-35.30	18.00	
53	DRM_B0 /REL	DRM_B4 /REL	-41.40	-39.30	-29.10	-0.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.50	-16.10	-26.20	-41.10	-43.90	-45.60	18.00	10.30
		d similar	-0.10	-0.10	8.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.30	14.10	0.60	-0.10	0.00	-0.10		
New 53	DRM_B0 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B4 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-41.30	-39.20	-38.00	-0.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.80	-30.20	-26.80	-41.00	-43.90	-45.50	18.00	10.30

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Frequ funwant	ency sepa ed – fwanted	ration (kHz)						Parar	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> ( <b>dB</b> )
52	DRM_B0	DRM_B3	-42.60	-40.90	-38.10	-31.90	-30.30	-2.80	12.80	12.80	2.30	-14.90	-32.90	-36.60	-38.80	10.00	
52a	DRM_B0 /REL	DRM_B3 /REL	-55.40	-53.70	-50.90	-44.70	-43.10	-15.60	0.00	0.00	-10.50	-27.70	-45.70	-49.40	-51.60	10.00	12.80
52b	DRM_B0 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-55.20	-53.60	-50.70	-44.50	-42.90	-33.10	0.00	-0.10	-13.60	-36.20	-45.50	-49.30	-51.40	10.00	12.60
diff		d = 52a-52b	-0.20	-0.10	-0.20	-0.20	-0.20	17.50	0.00	0.10	3.10	8.50	-0.20	-0.10	-0.20		

### 3.4.2 Mode DRM\_B0\_4.5 kHz interfered with by B5\_20 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Frequ funwan	tency sepa	aration (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> ( <b>dB</b> )
54	DRM_B0	DRM_B5	-29.20	-26.60	-3.50	9.80	9.80	9.80	9.80	9.70	-0.10	-9.20	-29.80	-32.60	-34.20	20.00	
54	DRM_B0 /REL	DRM_B5 /REL	-39.00	-36.40	-13.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.10	-9.90	-19.00	-39.60	-42.40	-44.00	20.00	9.80
		d similar	-0.20	-0.20	17.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	3.10	8.50	-0.20	-0.10	-0.20		
New 54	DRM_B0 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B5 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-38.80	-36.20	-30.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.20	-13.00	-27.50	-39.40	-42.30	-43.80	20.00	9.80

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwanted	ncy sepa – fwanted	ration (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
57	DRM_B1	DRM_B2	-43.80	-42.20	-39.30	-33.20	-31.60	-14.40	-3.60	13.40	2.60	-16.70	-33.40	-37.30	-39.50	9.00	
57a	DRM_B1 /REL	DRM_B2 /REL	-57.40	-55.80	-52.90	-46.80	-45.20	-28.00	0.00	-0.20	-11.00	-30.30	-47.00	-50.90	-53.10	9.00	13.60
57b	DRM_B1 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-57.10	-55.40	-52.60	-46.40	-44.90	-36.40	0.00	-0.10	-13.70	-36.80	-46.60	-50.50	-52.70	9.00	13.20
diff		d = 57a-57b	-0.30	-0.40	-0.30	-0.40	-0.30	8.40	0.00	-0.10	2.70	6.50	-0.40	-0.40	-0.40		

## 3.4.3 Mode DRM\_B1\_5 kHz interfered with by B4\_18 kHz

Case	Wanted	Unwanted						Freq funwar	uency sep uted – fwante	paration ed (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
59	DRM_B1	DRM_B4	-30.80	-28.70	-18.80	9.50	10.50	10.90	10.90	10.40	-0.10	-10.20	-29.90	-32.80	-34.50	18.00	
59	DRM_B1 /REL	DRM_B4 /REL	-41.70	-39.60	-29.70	-1.40	-0.40	0.00	0.00	-0.50	-11.00	-21.10	-40.80	-43.70	-45.40	18.00	10.90
		d similar	-0.40	-0.30	8.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.10	2.70	6.50	-0.40	-0.40	-0.40		
New 59	DRM_B1 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B4 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-41.30	-39.30	-38.10	-1.40	-0.40	0.00	0.00	-0.40	-13.70	-27.60	-40.40	-43.30	-45.00	18.00	10.90

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwanted	ncy sepa – fwanted	ration (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
58	DRM_B1	DRM_B3	-42.20	-40.60	-37.70	-31.60	-30.00	-2.70	13.40	13.30	6.30	-4.90	-31.80	-35.70	-37.90	10.00	
58a	DRM_B1 /REL	DRM_B3 /REL	-55.60	-54.00	-51.10	-45.00	-43.40	-16.10	0.00	-0.10	-7.10	-18.30	-45.20	-49.10	-51.30	10.00	13.30
58b	DRM_B1 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-55.50	-53.80	-51.00	-44.80	-43.30	-33.50	0.00	-0.10	-8.10	-35.20	-45.00	-48.90	-51.10	10.00	13.20
diff		d = 58a-58b	-0.10	-0.20	-0.10	-0.20	-0.10	17.40	0.00	0.00	1.00	16.90	-0.20	-0.20	-0.20		

## 3.4.4 Mode DRM\_B1\_5 kHz interfered with by B5\_20 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Frequ funwant	ency sep ed – fwanted	aration 1 (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
60	DRM_B1	DRM_B5	-28.80	-26.30	-3.50	10.30	10.40	10.40	10.40	10.30	3.50	-4.00	-28.90	-31.70	-33.40	20.00	
60	DRM_B1 /REL	DRM_B5 /REL	-39.20	-36.70	-13.90	-0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.10	-6.90	-14.40	-39.30	-42.10	-43.80	20.00	10.40
		d similar	-0.20	-0.10	17.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	16.90	-0.20	-0.20	-0.20		
New 60	DRM_B1 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B5 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-39.00	-36.60	-31.30	-0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.10	-7.90	-31.30	-39.10	-41.90	-43.60	20.00	10.40

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sep d – fwanted	aration t (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
63	DRM_B2	DRM_B2	-38.80	-36.80	-33.30	-23.90	-8.10	12.90	16.40	12.90	-8.10	-23.90	-33.30	-36.80	-38.80	9.00	
63a	DRM_B2 /REL	DRM_B2 /REL	-55.20	-53.20	-49.70	-40.30	-24.50	-3.50	0.00	-3.50	-24.50	-40.30	-49.70	-53.20	-55.20	9.00	16.40
63b	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-55.10	-53.10	-49.50	-40.70	-38.10	-3.70	0.00	-3.70	-38.10	-40.70	-49.50	-53.10	-55.10	9.00	15.90
diff		d = 63a-63b	-0.10	-0.10	-0.20	0.40	13.60	0.20	0.00	0.20	13.60	0.40	-0.20	-0.10	-0.10		

## 3.4.5 Mode DRM\_B2\_9 kHz interfered with by B4\_18 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Frequ funwan	iency sej ted – fwant	paration ed (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
65	DRM_B2	DRM_B4	-23.40	-5.80	8.50	13.00	13.40	13.40	13.40	9.90	-5.80	-15.60	-29.30	-31.90	-33.50	18.00	
65	DRM_B2 /REL	DRM_B4 /REL	-36.80	-19.20	-4.90	-0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3.50	-19.20	-29.00	-42.70	-45.30	-46.90	18.00	13.40
		d similar	0.40	13.60	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	13.60	0.40	-0.20	-0.10	-0.10		
New 65	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B4 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-37.20	-32.80	-5.10	-0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3.70	-32.80	-29.40	-42.50	-45.20	-46.80	18.00	13.40

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sepa d – fwanted	aration (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
64	DRM_B2	DRM_B3	-37.20	-35.20	-31.70	-14.70	2.40	12.90	15.90	12.90	2.40	-14.70	-31.70	-35.20	-37.20	10.00	
64a	DRM_B2 /REL	DRM_B3 /REL	-53.10	-51.10	-47.60	-30.60	-13.50	-3.00	0.00	-3.00	-13.50	-30.60	-47.60	-51.10	-53.10	10.00	15.90
64b	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-55.10	-53.10	-49.50	-40.70	-38.10	-3.70	0.00	-3.70	-38.10	-40.70	-49.50	-53.10	-55.10	10.00	15.90
diff		d = 64a-64b	2.00	2.00	1.90	10.10	24.60	0.70	0.00	0.70	24.60	10.10	1.90	2.00	2.00		

### 3.4.6 Mode DRM\_B2\_9 kHz interfered with by B5\_20 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freq funwar	uency se uted – fwan	paration ted (kHz)	n )					Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
66	DRM_B2	DRM_B5	-9.60	4.90	10.00	12.90	12.90	12.90	12.90	10.00	0.00	-9.10	-28.30	-30.90	-32.40	20.00	
66	DRM_B2 /REL	DRM_B5 /REL	-22.50	-8.00	-2.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-2.90	-12.90	-22.00	-41.20	-43.80	-45.30	20.00	12.90
		d similar	10.10	24.60	0.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.70	24.60	10.10	1.90	2.00	2.00		
New 66	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B5 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-32.60	-32.60	-3.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3.60	-37.50	-32.10	-43.10	-45.80	-47.30	20.00	12.90

Care	Wanted	Unwanted						Frequ funwant	ency sepa ed – fwanted	aration (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
69	DRM_B3	DRM_B2	-38.10	-36.00	-32.40	-16.50	2.60	13.50	16.60	13.50	2.60	-16.50	-32.40	-36.00	-38.10	9.00	
69a	DRM_B3 /REL	DRM_B2 /REL	-54.70	-52.60	-49.00	-33.10	-14.00	-3.10	0.00	-3.10	-14.00	-33.10	-49.00	-52.60	-54.70	9.00	16.60
69b	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-55.10	-53.10	-49.50	-40.70	-38.10	-3.70	0.00	-3.70	-38.10	-40.70	-49.50	-53.10	-55.10	9.00	15.90
diff		d = 69a-69b	0.40	0.50	0.50	7.60	24.10	0.60	0.00	0.60	24.10	7.60	0.50	0.50	0.40		

#### 3.4.7 Mode DRM\_B3\_10 kHz interfered with by B4\_18 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freq <i>funwa</i>	uency se	paration <sub>ted</sub> (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
71	DRM_B3	DRM_B4	-19.50	-0.10	9.30	13.30	13.70	13.90	13.70	10.50	-0.10	-10.20	-28.50	-31.30	-32.80	18.00	
71	DRM_B3 /REL	DRM_B4 /REL	-33.20	-13.80	-4.40	-0.40	0.00	0.20	0.00	-3.20	-13.80	-23.90	-42.20	-45.00	-46.50	18.00	13.70
		d similar	7.60	24.10	0.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.60	24.10	7.60	0.50	0.50	0.40		
New 71	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B4 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-40.80	-37.90	-5.00	-0.40	0.00	0.20	0.00	-3.80	-37.90	-31.50	-42.70	-45.50	-46.90	18.00	13.70

Case	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sepa ed – fwanted	ration (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
70	DRM_B3	DRM_B3	-36.50	-34.40	-30.80	-4.90	6.30	13.50	16.40	13.50	6.30	-4.90	-30.80	-34.40	-36.50	10.00	
70a	DRM_B3 /REL	DRM_B3 /REL	-52.90	-50.80	-47.20	-21.30	-10.10	-2.90	0.00	-2.90	-10.10	-21.30	-47.20	-50.80	-52.90	10.00	16.40
70b	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-52.70	-50.70	-47.00	-37.70	-11.10	-3.10	0.00	-3.10	-11.10	-37.70	-47.00	-50.70	-52.70	10.00	15.90
diff		d = 70a-70b	-0.20	-0.10	-0.20	16.40	1.00	0.20	0.00	0.20	1.00	16.40	-0.20	-0.10	-0.20		

#### 3.4.8 Mode DRM\_B3\_10 kHz interfered with by B5\_20 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Fre funy	equency so vanted – fwa	eparation <sub>nted</sub> (kHz)	l					Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
72	DRM_B3	DRM_B5	-4.60	6.40	10.50	13.40	13.40	13.40	13.40	10.50	3.50	-4.00	-27.50	-30.20	-31.70	20.00	
72	DRM_B3 /REL	DRM_B5 /REL	-18.00	-7.00	-2.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-2.90	-9.90	-17.40	-40.90	-43.60	-45.10	20.00	13.40
		d similar	16.40	1.00	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	1.00	16.40	-0.20	-0.10	-0.20		
New 72	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B5 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-34.40	-8.00	-3.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3.10	-10.90	-33.80	-40.70	-43.50	-44.90	20.00	13.40

Case	Wanted	Unwanted						Frequ <i>funwant</i>	tency sep	aration d (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
61	DRM_B2	DRM_B0	-40.60	-40.50	-38.50	-27.10	-16.20	15.80	16.50	-24.00	-36.00	-37.60	-40.60	-40.60	-40.60	4.50	
61a	DRM_B2 /REL	DRM_B0 /REL	-57.10	-57.00	-55.00	-43.60	-32.70	-0.70	0.00	-40.50	-52.50	-54.10	-57.10	-57.10	-57.10	4.50	16.50
61b	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B0 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-57.00	-56.80	-54.80	-43.40	-39.10	-0.70	0.00	-40.60	-52.20	-53.90	-57.00	-57.00	-57.00	4.50	15.90
diff		d = 61a-61b	-0.10	-0.20	-0.20	-0.20	6.40	0.00	0.00	0.10	-0.30	-0.20	-0.10	-0.10	-0.10		

#### 3.4.9 Mode DRM\_B4\_18 kHz interfered with by B0\_4.5 kHz

Case	Wanted	Unwanted						Frequei funwanted	ncy separ – f <sub>wanted</sub> (	ation kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
73	DRM_B4	DRM_B0	-37.50	-37.50	-36.50	-27.50	-21.80	15.50	16.60	16.60	16.30	15.10	-28.50	-34.80	-36.70	4.50	
73	DRM_B4 /REL	DRM_B0 /REL	-54.10	-54.10	-53.10	-44.10	-38.40	-1.10	0.00	0.00	-0.30	-1.50	-45.10	-51.40	-53.30	4.50	16.60
		d similar	-0.10	-0.20	-0.20	-0.20	6.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	-0.30	-0.20		
New 73	DRM_B4 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B0 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-54.00	-53.90	-52.90	-43.90	-44.80	-1.10	0.00	0.00	-0.30	-1.50	-45.20	-51.10	-53.10	4.50	16.60

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Frequ funwant	tency separated	ration (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
62	DRM_B2	DRM_B1	-41.00	-40.20	-37.00	-24.30	3.80	15.90	16.00	-22.70	-35.00	-36.80	-41.00	-41.10	-41.10	5.00	
62a	DRM_B2 /REL	DRM_B1 /REL	-57.00	-56.20	-53.00	-40.30	-12.20	-0.10	0.00	-38.70	-51.00	-52.80	-57.00	-57.10	-57.10	5.00	16.00
62b	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B1 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-56.90	-56.10	-52.70	-40.20	-14.10	-0.10	0.00	-39.70	-50.80	-52.50	-56.90	-57.00	-57.00	5.00	15.40
diff		d = 62a-62b	-0.10	-0.10	-0.30	-0.10	1.90	0.00	0.00	1.00	-0.20	-0.30	-0.10	-0.10	-0.10		

#### 3.4.10 Mode DRM\_B4\_18 kHz interfered with by B1\_5 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwanted	ncy separ – <i>f</i> wanted (	ation kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
74	DRM_B4	DRM_B1	-38.10	-37.70	-35.70	-25.10	-1.10	15.70	16.60	16.60	15.80	14.60	-27.90	-34.30	-36.50	5.00	
74	DRM_B4 /REL	DRM_B1 /REL	-54.70	-54.30	-52.30	-41.70	-17.70	-0.90	0.00	0.00	-0.80	-2.00	-44.50	-50.90	-53.10	5.00	16.60
		d similar	-0.10	-0.10	-0.30	-0.10	1.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	-0.20	-0.30		
New 74	DRM_B4 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B1 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-54.60	-54.20	-52.00	-41.60	-19.60	-0.90	0.00	0.00	-0.80	-2.00	-45.50	-50.70	-52.80	5.00	16.60

3.4.11	Mode DRM B4	18 kHz interfered	with by B2 9 kHz
		—	•/ <u> </u>

Case	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sepa d – fwanted	ration (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
63	DRM_B2	DRM_B2	-38.80	-36.80	-33.30	-23.90	-8.10	12.90	16.40	12.90	-8.10	-23.90	-33.30	-36.80	-38.80	9.00	
63a	DRM_B2 /REL	DRM_B2 /REL	-55.20	-53.20	-49.70	-40.30	-24.50	-3.50	0.00	-3.50	-24.50	-40.30	-49.70	-53.20	-55.20	9.00	12.90
63b	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-55.10	-53.10	-49.50	-40.70	-38.10	-3.70	0.00	-3.70	-38.10	-40.70	-49.50	-53.10	-55.10	9.00	15.90
diff		d = 63a-63b	-0.10	-0.10	-0.20	0.40	13.60	0.20	0.00	0.20	13.60	0.40	-0.20	-0.10	-0.10		

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Frequen funwanted -	cy separa – <i>f<sub>wanted</sub></i> (k	ation xHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
75	DRM_B4	DRM_B2	-37.70	-36.10	-32.90	-24.60	-11.80	12.60	16.40	16.60	16.40	15.90	11.20	-11.80	-26.80	9.00	
75	DRM_B4 /REL	DRM_B2 /REL	-54.10	-52.50	-49.30	-41.00	-28.20	-3.80	0.00	0.20	0.00	-0.50	-5.20	-28.20	-43.20	9.00	16.40
		d similar	-0.10	-0.10	-0.20	0.40	13.60	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	13.60	0.40		
New 75	DRM_B4 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-54.00	-52.40	-49.10	-41.40	-41.80	-4.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	-0.50	-5.40	-41.80	-43.60	9.00	16.40

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sepa ed – fwanted	ration (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
64	DRM_B2	DRM_B3	-37.20	-35.20	-31.70	-14.70	2.40	12.90	15.90	12.90	2.40	-14.70	-31.70	-35.20	-37.20	10.00	
64a	DRM_B2 /REL	DRM_B3 /REL	-53.10	-51.10	-47.60	-30.60	-13.50	-3.00	0.00	-3.00	-13.50	-30.60	-47.60	-51.10	-53.10	10.00	15.90
64b	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-52.90	-51.00	-47.40	-38.60	-16.60	-3.20	0.00	-3.20	-16.60	-38.60	-47.40	-51.00	-52.90	10.00	15.40
diff		d = 64a-64b	-0.20	-0.10	-0.20	8.00	3.10	0.20	0.00	0.20	3.10	8.00	-0.20	-0.10	-0.20		

#### 3.4.12 Mode DRM\_B4\_18 kHz interfered with by B3\_10 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Frequen	ncy separa – <i>f<sub>wanted</sub></i> (l	ation xHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
76	DRM_B4	DRM_B3	-36.40	-34.60	-31.30	-17.70	-0.40	12.80	16.20	16.60	16.20	15.70	11.60	-0.40	-25.20	10.00	
76	DRM_B4 /REL	DRM_B3 /REL	-52.60	-50.80	-47.50	-33.90	-16.60	-3.40	0.00	0.40	0.00	-0.50	-4.60	-16.60	-41.40	10.00	16.20
		d similar	-0.20	-0.10	-0.20	8.00	3.10	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	3.10	8.00		
New 76	DRM_B4 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-52.40	-50.70	-47.30	-41.90	-19.70	-3.60	0.00	0.40	0.00	-0.50	-4.80	-19.70	-49.40	10.00	16.20

					-										
Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sepa ad – fwanted	ration (kHz)					
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20
64	DRM_B2	DRM_B3	-37.20	-35.20	-31.70	-14.70	2.40	12.90	15.90	12.90	2.40	-14.70	-31.70	-35.20	-37.20
64a	DRM_B2 /REL	DRM_B3 /REL	-53.10	-51.10	-47.60	-30.60	-13.50	-3.00	0.00	-3.00	-13.50	-30.60	-47.60	-51.10	-53.10
64b	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R	-52.90	-51.00	-47.40	-38.60	-16.60	-3.20	0.00	-3.20	-16.60	-38.60	-47.40	-51.00	-52.90

3.10

8.00

#### 3.4.13 Mode DRM\_B4\_18 kHz interfered with by B5\_20 kHz

-0.20

BS.1615 d = 64a-64b

diff

BS.1615

-0.10

-0.20

To obtain the new figure in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615 for the concerned configuration, subtract from the corresponding figure of Document 6-7/21 the difference "d" after adjustment for the similarities, as shown below.

0.20

0.00

0.00

3.10

0.20

8.00

-0.20

-0.10

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sepa d – fwanted	aration (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
78	DRM_B4	DRM_B5	-11.30	4.30	9.80	13.20	13.60	15.10	15.90	14.80	13.20	12.70	8.70	-1.80	-19.00	20.00	
78	DRM_B4 /REL	DRM_B5 /REL	-27.20	-11.60	-6.10	-2.70	-2.30	-0.80	0.00	-1.10	-2.70	-3.20	-7.20	-17.70	-34.90	20.00	15.90
		d similar	8.00	3.10	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.20	0.20	3.10	8.00		
New 78	DRM_B4 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B5 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-35.20	-14.70	-6.30	-2.90	-2.50	-1.00	0.00	-1.30	-2.90	-3.40	-7.40	-20.80	-42.90	20.00	15.90

Parameters

**B**<sub>DRM</sub>

(kHz)

10.00

10.00

10.00

-52.90

-0.20

S/I

(**dB**)

15.90

15.40

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Frequ <i>funwant</i>	tency sep	aration 1 (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
67	DRM_B3	DRM_B0	-40.00	-39.80	-37.50	-24.90	4.10	16.40	16.60	-6.50	-34.70	-36.50	-40.00	-40.00	-40.00	4.50	
67a	DRM_B3 /REL	DRM_B0 /REL	-56.60	-56.40	-54.10	-41.50	-12.50	-0.20	0.00	-23.10	-51.30	-53.10	-56.60	-56.60	-56.60	4.50	16.60
67b	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B0 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-56.40	-56.20	-53.80	-41.10	-14.10	-0.10	0.00	-37.70	-50.90	-52.80	-56.40	-56.40	-56.40	4.50	15.90
diff		d = 67a-67b	-0.20	-0.20	-0.30	-0.40	1.60	-0.10	0.00	14.60	-0.40	-0.30	-0.20	-0.20	-0.20		

#### 3.4.14 Mode DRM\_B5\_20 kHz interfered with by B0\_4.5 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Frequei funwanted	ncy separ – f <sub>wanted</sub> (	ation kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
79	DRM_B5	DRM_B0	-37.00	-37.00	-35.70	-25.50	-1.30	16.20	16.60	16.60	16.60	16.60	-16.10	-32.10	-35.10	4.50	
79	DRM_B5 /REL	DRM_B0 /REL	-53.60	-53.60	-52.30	-42.10	-17.90	-0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-32.70	-48.70	-51.70	4.50	16.60
		d similar	-0.20	-0.20	-0.30	-0.40	1.60	-0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	14.60	-0.40	-0.30		
New 79	DRM_B5 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B0 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-53.40	-53.40	-52.00	-41.70	-19.50	-0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-47.30	-48.30	-51.40	4.50	16.60

3.4.15	Mode DRM_B5	_20 kHz interfered	with by B1_	_5 kHz
--------	-------------	--------------------	-------------	--------

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freq <i>funwa</i>	uency sej nted – fwant	paration <sub>ed</sub> (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
68	DRM_B3	DRM_B1	-40.40	-39.40	-35.90	-10.10	8.70	16.40	16.50	-5.70	-33.80	-35.70	-40.40	-40.60	-40.60	5.00	
68a	DRM_B3 /REL	DRM_B1 /REL	-56.90	-55.90	-52.40	-26.60	-7.80	-0.10	0.00	-22.20	-50.30	-52.20	-56.90	-57.10	-57.10	5.00	16.50
68b	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B1 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-56.80	-55.70	-52.10	-38.20	-8.20	-0.10	0.00	-37.60	-50.10	-51.90	-56.70	-57.00	-57.00	5.00	15.90
diff		d = 68a-68b	-0.10	-0.20	-0.30	11.60	0.40	0.00	0.00	15.40	-0.20	-0.30	-0.20	-0.10	-0.10		

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwanted	ncy sepa t - f <sub>wanted</sub>	ration (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
80	DRM_B5	DRM_B1	-37.50	-37.00	-34.80	-16.40	7.60	16.20	16.60	16.60	16.60	16.30	-14.40	-31.50	-34.70	5.00	
80	DRM_B5 /REL	DRM_B1 /REL	-54.10	-53.60	-51.40	-33.00	-9.00	-0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.30	-31.00	-48.10	-51.30	5.00	16.60
		d similar	-0.10	-0.20	-0.30	11.60	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	15.40	-0.20	-0.30		
New 80	DRM_B5 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B1 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-54.00	-53.40	-51.10	-44.60	-9.40	-0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.30	-46.40	-47.90	-51.00	5.00	16.60

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sepa ed – fwanted	ration (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
69	DRM_B3	DRM_B2	-38.10	-36.00	-32.40	-16.50	2.60	13.50	16.60	13.50	2.60	-16.50	-32.40	-36.00	-38.10	9.00	
69a	DRM_B3 /REL	DRM_B2 /REL	-54.70	-52.60	-49.00	-33.10	-14.00	-3.10	0.00	-3.10	-14.00	-33.10	-49.00	-52.60	-54.70	9.00	16.60
69b	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-54.30	-52.30	-48.60	-39.30	-16.70	-3.10	0.00	-3.10	-16.70	-39.30	-48.60	-52.30	-54.30	9.00	15.90
diff		d = 69a-69b	-0.40	-0.30	-0.40	6.20	2.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.70	6.20	-0.40	-0.30	-0.40		

#### 3.4.16 Mode DRM\_B5\_20 kHz interfered with by B2\_9 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Frequen funwanted -	cy separa – <i>f<sub>wanted</sub></i> (l	ation kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
81	DRM_B5	DRM_B2	-37.00	-35.40	-32.10	-19.60	-0.50	13.30	16.60	16.60	16.60	16.60	13.20	7.50	-20.50	9.00	
81	DRM_B5 /REL	DRM_B2 /REL	-53.60	-52.00	-48.70	-36.20	-17.10	-3.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3.40	-9.10	-37.10	9.00	16.60
		d similar	-0.40	-0.30	-0.40	6.20	2.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.70	6.20		
New 81	DRM_B5 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-53.20	-51.70	-48.30	-42.40	-19.80	-3.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3.40	-11.80	-43.30	9.00	16.60

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Frequ funwante	ency sepa ed – fwanted	ration (kHz)						Paran	ieters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
70	DRM_B3	DRM_B3	-36.50	-34.40	-30.80	-4.90	6.30	13.50	16.40	13.50	6.30	-4.90	-30.80	-34.40	-36.50	10.00	
70a	DRM_B3 /REL	DRM_B3 /REL	-52.90	-50.80	-47.20	-21.30	-10.10	-2.90	0.00	-2.90	-10.10	-21.30	-47.20	-50.80	-52.90	10.00	16.40
70b	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-52.70	-50.70	-47.00	-37.70	-11.10	-3.10	0.00	-3.10	-11.10	-37.70	-47.00	-50.70	-52.70	10.00	15.90
diff		d = 70a-70b	-0.20	-0.10	-0.20	16.40	1.00	0.20	0.00	0.20	1.00	16.40	-0.20	-0.10	-0.20		

#### 3.4.17 Mode DRM\_B5\_20 kHz interfered with by B3\_10 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Frequen funwanted -	cy separa – <i>f<sub>wanted</sub></i> (l	ation kHz)						Param	eters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	BDRM (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> ( <b>dB</b> )
82	DRM_B5	DRM_B3	-35.80	-34.00	-30.60	-8.30	5.30	13.30	16.40	16.60	16.60	16.40	13.20	8.80	-9.30	10.00	
82	DRM_B5 /REL	DRM_B3 /REL	-52.20	-50.40	-47.00	-24.70	-11.10	-3.10	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	-3.20	-7.60	-25.70	10.00	16.40
		d similar	-0.20	-0.10	-0.20	16.40	1.00	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.20	1.00	16.40		
New 82	DRM_B5 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-52.00	-50.30	-46.80	-41.10	-12.10	-3.30	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	-3.40	-8.60	-42.10	10.00	16.40

Corre	Wanted	Unwanted						Frequ funwante	ency sepa ed – fwanted	ration (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
69	DRM_B3	DRM_B2	-38.10	-36.00	-32.40	-16.50	2.60	13.50	16.60	13.50	2.60	-16.50	-32.40	-36.00	-38.10	9.00	
69a	DRM_B3 /REL	DRM_B2 /REL	-54.70	-52.60	-49.00	-33.10	-14.00	-3.10	0.00	-3.10	-14.00	-33.10	-49.00	-52.60	-54.70	9.00	16.60
69b	DRM_B3 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B2 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-54.30	-52.30	-48.60	-39.30	-16.70	-3.10	0.00	-3.10	-16.70	-39.30	-48.60	-52.30	-54.30	9.00	15.90
diff		d = 69a-69b	-0.40	-0.30	-0.40	6.20	2.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.70	6.20	-0.40	-0.30	-0.40		

#### 3.4.18 Mode DRM\_B5\_20 kHz interfered with by B4\_18 kHz

Casa	Wanted	Unwanted						Freque funwante	ency sepa d – fwanted	aration (kHz)						Paran	neters
Case	signal	signal	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B</i> <sub>DRM</sub> (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> (dB)
83	DRM_B5	DRM_B4	-20.70	-2.00	9.10	13.20	13.70	15.30	16.60	15.50	14.10	13.70	10.20	4.60	-12.60	18.00	
83	DRM_B5 /REL	DRM_B4 /REL	-37.30	-18.60	-7.50	-3.40	-2.90	-1.30	0.00	-1.10	-2.50	-2.90	-6.40	-12.00	-29.20	18.00	16.60
		d similar	6.20	2.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.70	6.20		
New 83	DRM_B5 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	DRM_B4 Rec. ITU-R BS.1615	-43.50	-21.30	-7.50	-3.40	-2.90	-1.30	0.00	-1.10	-2.50	-2.90	-6.40	-14.70	-35.40	18.00	16.60

# 4 Summary

# 4.1 AM interfered with by DRM

These tables summarize the new relative protection ratios (*A<sub>REL</sub>*) for DRM\_A4, DRM\_A5, DRM\_B4, DRM\_B5, DRM\_C5 and DRM\_D5.

Case	Wanted signal	Unwanted signal				I	F <b>reque</b> funwanted	ncy sej 1 – f <sub>wante</sub>	parat <sub>ed</sub> (kH	ion Iz)						Pa	irameters	3
			-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	<i>B<sub>DRM</sub></i> (kHz)	<i>S/N</i> ( <b>dB</b> )	A <sub>AF</sub> (dB)

# DRM\_A4

5	AM	A4/A <sub>REL</sub>	-35.1	-26.1	-1.4	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.2	-26.1	-32.7	-39.6	-42.2	-43.7	18	17
New 5	AM	A4/A <sub>REL</sub>	-35.3	-27.4	-1.3	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	0.3	-27.4	-32.9	-39.3	-41.9	-43.4	18	17

## DRM\_A5

6	AM	A5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-28.5	-12.1	-0.1	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	-0.10	-20.4	-28.5	-38.7	-41.2	-42.7	20	17
New 6	AM	A5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-29.3	-14.5	0.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	0.1	-22.8	-29.3	-38.4	-40.8	-42.3.	20	17

# DRM\_B4

11	AM	B4/A <sub>REL</sub>	-35.1	-26.1	-1.4	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	0.2	-26.1	-32.7	-39.6	-42.2	-43.7	18	17
New 11	AM	B4/A <sub>REL</sub>	-35.3	-27.4	-1.3	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	0.3	-27.4	-32.9	-39.2	-41.9	-43.3	18	17

## DRM\_B5

12	AM	B5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-28.5	-11.9	-0.1	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	-0.1	-19.8	-28	-38.6	-41.1	-42.6	20	17
New 12	AM	B5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-29.3	-14.6	0.1	3	3	3	3	0.1	-22.5	-28.8	-38.2	-40.9	-42.2	20	17

DRM\_C5

14	AM	C5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-28.9	-12.3	-0.1	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	-0.1	-20.4	-28.6	-38.7	-41.2	-42.7	20	17
New 14	AM	C5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-29.7	-14.6	0.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	0.1	-22.7	-29.4	-38.3	-40.9	-42.3	20	17

DRM\_D5

16	AM	D5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-29.2	-12.6	-0.1	2.9	2.9	2.9	2.9	0	-19.9	-28.1	-38.6	-41.1	-42.6	20	17
New 16	AM	D5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-29.9	-15	0.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	0.2	-22.3	-28.8	-38.3	-40.7	-42.2	20	17

## 4.2 DRM interfered with by DRM, identical modes

These tables summarize the new relative protection ratios (*A<sub>REL</sub>*) for DRM\_A4, DRM\_A5, DRM\_B4, DRM\_B5, DRM\_C5 and DRM\_D5.

DRM\_A4

37	A4	A4/A <sub>REL</sub>	-40.1	-24	-8.2	-3.5	-3	-1.3	0	-1.3	-3	-3.5	-8.2	-24	-40.1	18	16.4
New 37	A4	A4/A <sub>REL</sub>	-40.3	-37	-8.4	-3.7	-3.2	-1.5	0	-1.5	-3.2	-3.7	-8.4	-37	-40.3	18	16.4

DRM\_A5

38	A5	A5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-23.2	-10.6	-6.1	-3	-2.5	-1.2	0	-1.2	-2.5	-3	-6.1	-10.6	-23.2	20	16.4
New 38	A5	A5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-37	-11.8	-6.3	-3.2	-2.7	-1.4	0	-1.4	-2.7	-3.2	-6.3	-11.8	-37	20	16.4

100

# DRM\_B4

43	B4	B4/A <sub>REL</sub>	-40.2	-24.1	-8.2	-3.5	-3	-1.3	0	-1.3	-3	-3.5	-8.2	-24.1	-40.2	18	16.4	
New 43	<i>B4</i>	B4/A <sub>REL</sub>	-40.6	-37.7	-8.4	-3.7	-3.2	-1.5	0	-1.5	-3.2	-3.7	-8.4	-37.7	-40.6	18	16.4	

# DRM\_B5

44	B5	B5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-22.7	-10.5	-6.1	-3	-2.5	-1.2	0	-1.2	-2.5	-3	-6.1	-10.5	-22.7	20	16.4	
New 44	<b>B</b> 5	B5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-39.1	-11.5	-6.3	-3.2	-2.7	-1.4	0	-1.4	-2.7	-3.2	-6.3	-11.5	-39.1	20	16.4	

# DRM\_C5

46	C5	C5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-23.7	-10.7	-6.2	-3	-2.6	-1.2	0	-1.2	-2.6	-3	-6.2	-10.7	-23.7	20	16.4	
New 46	<i>C5</i>	C5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-36.5	-12.1	-6.4	-3.2	-2.8	-1.4	0	-1.4	-2.8	-3.2	-6.4	-12.1	-36.5	20	16.4	

# DRM\_D5

48	D5	D5/A <sub>REL</sub>	-23.5	-10.7	-6.2	-3	-2.6	-1.2	0	-1.2	-2.6	-3	-6.2	-10.7	-23.5	20	16.4	
New 48	D5	$D5/A_{REL}$	-37.2	-12	-6.4	-3.2	-2.8	-1.4	0	-1.4	-2.8	-3.2	-6.4	-12	-37.2	20	16.4	

## 4.3 DRM interfered with by AM

	Wanted	Unwanted	Frequency separation funwanted - fwanted (kHz)												Parameters		
	signai	signai	-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	BDRM (kHz)	<i>S/I</i> ( <b>dB</b> )
New 21	DRM_A4	AM	-54.4	-52.2	-48.6	-42.7	-36.7	-7.5	0	0	0	0	-12.8	-36.7	-43.9	18	7.4
New 22	DRM_A5	AM	-53.8	-51.5	-48	-41.5	-27.9	-4.6	0	0	0	0	-4.6	-20	-41.5	20	7.4
New 27	DRM_B4	AM	-53.8	-52.2	-48.6	-42.7	-36.7	-7.6	0	0	0	0	-12.8	-36.7	-43.9	18	7.4
New 28	DRM_B5	AM	-53.2	-51.5	-47.9	-41.2	-27.1	-4.3	0	0	0	0	-4.6	-20	-41.5	20	7.4
New 30	DRM_C5	AM	-53.2	-51.5	-48	-41.5	-27.9	-4.6	0	0	0	0	-4.9	-20.3	-41.7	20	7.4
New 32	DRM_D5	AM	-53.2	-51.5	-47.9	-41.2	-27.1	-4.3	0	0	0	0	-5.1	-20.5	-41.8	20	7.4

These Tables summarize the new relative protection ratios for DRM\_A4, DRM\_A5, DRM\_B4, DRM\_B5, DRM\_C5 and DRM\_D5.

#### 4.4 DRM interfered with by DRM, different modes

The following Table summarizes the new relative protection ratios for DRM interfered with by DRM for different modes to be included in Table 26 of Recommendation ITU-R BS.1615.

102

Frequency separation											Parameters					
Wanted signal	Unwanted signal						funwant	ed – $f$ wanted	(kHz)						<b>B</b> DRM	S/I
		-20	-18	-15	-10	-9	-5	0	5	9	10	15	18	20	(kHz)	(dB)
DRM_B0	DRM_B4	-41.30	-39.20	-38.00	-0.90	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.80	-30.20	-26.80	-41.00	-43.90	-45.50	18.00	10.30
DRM_B0	DRM_B5	-38.80	-36.20	-30.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.20	-13.00	-27.50	-39.40	-42.30	-43.80	20.00	9.80
DRM_B1	DRM_B4	-41.30	-39.30	-38.10	-1.40	-0.40	0.00	0.00	-0.40	-13.70	-27.60	-40.40	-43.30	-45.00	18.00	10.90
DRM_B1	DRM_B5	-39.00	-36.60	-31.30	-0.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.10	-7.90	-31.30	-39.10	-41.90	-43.60	20.00	10.40
DRM_B2	DRM_B4	-37.20	-32.80	-5.10	-0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3.70	-32.80	-29.40	-42.50	-45.20	-46.80	18.00	13.40
DRM_B2	DRM_B5	-32.60	-32.60	-3.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3.60	-37.50	-32.10	-43.10	-45.80	-47.30	20.00	12.90
DRM_B3	DRM_B4	-40.80	-37.90	-5.00	-0.40	0.00	0.20	0.00	-3.80	-37.90	-31.50	-42.70	-45.50	-46.90	18.00	13.70
DRM_B3	DRM_B5	-34.40	-8.00	-3.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3.10	-10.90	-33.80	-40.70	-43.50	-44.90	20.00	13.40
DRM_B4	DRM_B0	-54.00	-53.90	-52.90	-43.90	-44.80	-1.10	0.00	0.00	-0.30	-1.50	-45.20	-51.10	-53.10	4.50	16.60
DRM_B4	DRM_B1	-54.60	-54.20	-52.00	-41.60	-19.60	-0.90	0.00	0.00	-0.80	-2.00	-45.50	-50.70	-52.80	5.00	16.60
DRM_B4	DRM_B2	-54.00	-52.40	-49.10	-41.40	-41.80	-4.00	0.00	0.20	0.00	-0.50	-5.40	-41.80	-43.60	9.00	16.40
DRM_B4	DRM_B3	-52.40	-50.70	-47.30	-41.90	-19.70	-3.60	0.00	0.40	0.00	-0.50	-4.80	-19.70	-49.40	10.00	16.20
DRM_B4	DRM_B5	-35.20	-14.70	-6.30	-2.90	-2.50	-1.00	0.00	-1.30	-2.90	-3.40	-7.40	-20.80	-42.90	20.00	15.90
DRM_B5	DRM_B0	-53.40	-53.40	-52.00	-41.70	-19.50	-0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-47.30	-48.30	-51.40	4.50	16.60
DRM_B5	DRM_B1	-54.00	-53.40	-51.10	-44.60	-9.40	-0.40	0.00	0.00	0.00	-0.30	-46.40	-47.90	-51.00	5.00	16.60
DRM_B5	DRM_B2	-53.20	-51.70	-48.30	-42.40	-19.80	-3.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	-3.40	-11.80	-43.30	9.00	16.60
DRM_B5	DRM_B3	-52.00	-50.30	-46.80	-41.10	-12.10	-3.30	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.00	-3.40	-8.60	-42.10	10.00	16.40
DRM_B5	DRM_B4	-43.50	-21.30	-7.50	-3.40	-2.90	-1.30	0.00	-1.10	-2.50	-2.90	-6.40	-14.70	-35.40	18.00	16.60

# Annex 3

# Minimum usable field strengths for digital sound broadcasting (DSB) IBOC<sup>6</sup> system at frequencies 525 kHz – 1 705 kHz

## 1 Introduction

The information on minimum field strength contained in this Annex relies upon measurements made using the IBOC system. The values are derived from results on C/N after applying the procedure given in Attachment 1 to this Annex. The influence of the variety of system parameters as well as of the propagation conditions in the different frequency bands has been considered during the evaluation of the C/N values.

# 2 IBOC system configurations

The MF IBOC system operates in two modes: hybrid and all-digital. In hybrid mode, this IBOC implementation preserves the analogue broadcast located on the main frequency assignment and adds low-level digitally-modulated signals immediately adjacent to either side (or both sides) of the analogue signal. In all-digital mode, the system takes advantage of a previously vacated analogue broadcast and employs digitally-modulated signals immediately adjacent to either side (or both sides) of the analogue carrier.

The IBOC hybrid configuration makes use of the existing MF Band allocations and embeds new audio and data services with the existing analogue frequency raster. The system characteristics for the IBOC system can be found in Recommendation ITU-R BS.1514.

A detailed report of IBOC planning analysis for MF band Report ITU-R BS.2482 provides the details and modelling for deriving the planning requirements.

# 2.1 Operating modes and parameters

The system can be configured to use multiple frequency blocks that employ up to 30-kHz digital signal bandwidth. Such spectral configurations are shown for hybrid signal composition in Fig. 18, and for all-digital signal composition in Fig. 19.





<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The IBOC system is implemented and referenced in ITU Region 2 as HD Radio<sup>™</sup> System.

NOTE – PL/SL/TL and PU/SU/TU are used for indicating lower positioning and upper positioning (respectively) of the digital block. The indication is for convenience only, and does not suggest an actual difference in the signal.

The configuration is defined by system modes and power settings, and provides various combinations of logical channels, bit rates, and protection levels.

Three different digital block-pairs or blocks may be employed. The Primary block-pair, indicated by PL (Primary Lower) and PU (Primary Upper), occupies 10-kHz, is present in all the configurations and carries logical channel P1. The Secondary block-pair, indicated by SL (Secondary Lower) and SU (Secondary Upper), may be present in the 20-kHz configuration MA3 and in the 30-kHz configuration MA1. The Tertiary block-pair, indicated by TL (Tertiary Lower) and TU (Tertiary Upper), may be present in the 30-kHz configuration MA1. Logical channel P3 is carried solely by the Secondary block-pair in the 20-kHz configuration MA3, and jointly by the Secondary block-pair and Tertiary block-pair in the 30-kHz configuration MA1.



FIGURE 19 IBOC AM system digital-only block positioning examples

NOTE -PL/SL and PU/SU are used for indicating lower positioning and upper positioning (respectively) of the digital block. The indication is for convenience only, and does not suggest an actual difference in the signal.

The essential characteristics of the IBOC system configurations (operating modes) are summarized in Table 32. Additional time-frequency information may be found in Table 33.

#### TABLE 32

System	Used BW	Total <sup>(1)</sup>		Chan	nel P1		Chanr	nel P3	Analogue	Comments	
mode	(kHz)	bit rate	Code rate	Bit <sup>(1)</sup> rate	Modulation	Code rate	Bit <sup>(1)</sup> rate	Modulation	host signal support	Interleaver span	
MA1	10	20.4	5/12	20.4	64 QAM	-	-	-	Yes	P1: ~4.5s	
MA1 <sup>(2)</sup>	30(3)	36.4	5/12	20.4	64 QAM	2/3	16	16 QAM/QPSK	Yes	P1: ~4.5s P3: ~4.5s	
MA3	10	20.4	5/12	20.4	64 QAM	-	-	-	No	P1: ~4.5s	
MA3 <sup>(2)</sup>	20	40.4	5/12	20.4	64 QAM	5/12	20	64 QAM	No	P1: ~4.5s P3: ~4.5s	

#### Characteristics of various IBOC AM system operating modes

Notes relative to Table 32:

- <sup>(1)</sup> The bit rates reflect the throughput ('net' bit rate) by the application layer, and do not include the overhead used by the physical layer.
- (2) Joint configuration of two or more digital signal block-pairs for enhanced services or features. Each digital block-pair may be adjusted independently for power level.
- <sup>(3)</sup> This value includes shared (overlapped) bandwidth with the analogue host signal.

#### TABLE 33

# IBOC system time-frequency parameters for MF band

Parameter name	Computed value (rounded)
Symbol duration (with prefix), $T_s$	5.805 ms
Frame duration, $T_f$	1.486 s
OFDM subcarrier spacing, $\Delta f$	181.7 Hz
Number of carriers	10 kHz band: 54 20 kHz band: 104 30 kHz band: 156
Used bandwidth	10 kHz band: 9.8 kHz 20 kHz band: 18.9 kHz 30 kHz band: 28.4 kHz

#### 3 Minimum usable field strength

#### 3.1 Noise level related audio protection minimum usable field strength (Legacy method)

The minimum usable field strength  $E_{min}$  for the IBOC system, using legacy audio protection noiselevel-based approach, is indicated in Tables 34 to 37. All the values are rounded towards the nearest 0.5 dBµV/m.

It is noted that the minimum usable field strength is indicated for the carrier frequency (as a measurable reference). It employs the relevant carrier to digital block-pair power ratio ( $L_p$ ,  $L_{st}$  and  $L_s$ , respectively).

NOTE – The value of  $L_p$ ,  $L_{st}$  and  $L_s$  may vary from one configuration to another.

The reception environment and related antenna and noise considerations are further described in § 3 of Report ITU-R BS.2482.
Reception mode		FX	МО	PO
Channel model symbol		FXWGN	UFGCS/RFGCS	FXWGN
Environment		Suburban/Urban	Suburban/Urban	Suburban/Urban
Speed (km/h)		0 (static)	55, 100 (driving)	0 (quasi static)
Indicated ante (dBµV/m)	enna noise at10 kHz BW	23.5	23.5	23.5
MA1 – 10 kHz	Minimum carrier field strength $E_{min}$ (dB $\mu$ V/m) For receiving PL+PU	$36.5 + L_p$	$36.5 + L_p$	$36.5 + L_p$
MA1 – 30 kHz	Minimum carrier field strength $E_{min}$ (dB $\mu$ V/m) For receiving PL+PU	$36.5 + L_p$	$36.5 + L_p$	$36.5 + L_p$

# IBOC receiver minimum usable carrier field strength for hybrid configuration primary bands reception based on noise level (adjustable settings)

# TABLE 35

# IBOC receiver minimum usable carrier field strength for hybrid configuration secondary and tertiary bands reception based on noise level (adjustable settings)

Reception mode		FX	МО	РО
Channel mode	el symbol	FXWGN	UFGCS/RFGCS	FXWGN
Environment		Suburban/Urban	Suburban/Urban	Suburban/Urban
Speed (km/h)		0 (static)	55, 100 (driving)	0 (quasi static)
Indicated ante (dBµV/m)	nna noise at 10 kHz BW	23.5	23.5	23.5
MA1 – 30 kHz	Minimum carrier field strength <i>E<sub>min</sub></i> (dBµV/m) For receiving SL+SU and TL+TU	$34 + L_{st}$	$34 + L_{st}$	$34 + L_{st}$

# TABLE 36

# IBOC receiver minimum usable carrier field strength for all digital configuration primary bands reception based on noise level (adjustable settings)

Reception mode	FX	МО	РО
Channel model symbol	FXWGN	UFGCS/RFGCS	FXWGN
Environment	Suburban/Urban	Suburban/Urban	Suburban/Urban
Speed (km/h)	0 (static)	55, 100 (driving)	0 (quasi static)
Indicated antenna noise at 10 kHz BW (dBµV/m)	23.5	23.5	23.5

MA3 – 10 kHz	Minimum carrier field strength $E_{min}$ (dB $\mu$ V/m) For receiving PL+PU	$36.5 + L_p$	$36.5 + L_p$	$36.5 + L_p$
MA3 – 20 kHz	Minimum carrier field strength $E_{min}$ (dB $\mu$ V/m) For receiving PL+PU	$36.5 + L_p$	$36.5 + L_p$	$36.5 + L_p$

TABLE 36 (end)

# IBOC receiver minimum usable carrier field strength for all digital configuration secondary bands reception based on noise level (adjustable settings)

Reception mode 1		FX	МО	РО
Channel model symbol		FXWGN	UFGCS/RFGCS	FXWGN
Environment		Suburban/Urban	Suburban/Urban	Suburban/Urban
Speed (km/h)		0 (static)	55, 100 (driving)	0 (quasi static)
Indicated ante (dBµV/m)	enna noise at 10 kHz BW	23.5	23.5	23.5
MA3 – 20 kHz	Minimum carrier field strength $E_{min}$ (dB $\mu$ V/m) For receiving SL+SU	$36.5 + L_s$	$36.5 + L_s$	$36.5 + L_s$

# **3.2** Integrated receiver practice related minimum usable field strength

The minimum usable field strength  $E_{min}$  for the IBOC system, using an integrated receiver practicebased approach, is indicated in Tables 38 to 41. All the values are rounded towards the nearest 0.5 dBµV/m.

It is noted that the minimum usable field strength is indicated for the carrier frequency (as a measurable reference). It employs the relevant carrier to digital block-pair power ratio ( $L_p$ ,  $L_{st}$  and  $L_s$ , respectively).

NOTE – The value of  $L_p$ ,  $L_{st}$  and  $L_s$  may vary from one configuration to another.

#### TABLE 38

# IBOC receiver minimum usable carrier field strength for hybrid configuration primary bands reception based on integrated receiver practice (adjustable settings)

Reception mode	FX	МО	РО
Channel model symbol	FXWGN	UFGCS/RFGCS	FXWGN
Environment	Suburban/Urban	Suburban/Urban	Suburban/Urban
Speed (km/h)	0 (static)	55, 100 (driving)	0 (quasi static)
Antenna type	Air loop	Whip	Ferrite loop
Calculated receiver noise factor (dB)	85	64.5	91.5
Calculated antenna noise at 10 kHz BW (dBµV/m)	29.5	9	36

Fading margin (dB)		0	3	0
Implementation loss (dB)		3	3	4
MA1 – 10 kHz	Minimum carrier field strength $E_{min}$ (dB $\mu$ V/m) For receiving PL+PU	$45.5 + L_p$	$28 + L_p$	$53 + L_p$
MA1 – 30 kHz	Minimum carrier field strength $E_{min}$ (dB $\mu$ V/m) For receiving PL+PU	$45.5 + L_p$	$28 + L_p$	$53 + L_p$

# IBOC receiver minimum usable carrier field strength for hybrid configuration secondary bands reception based on integrated receiver practice (adjustable settings)

Reception mode		FX	МО	РО
Channel mode	l symbol	FXWGN	UFGCS/RFGCS	FXWGN
Environment		Suburban/Urban	Suburban/Urban	Suburban/Urban
Speed (km/h)		0 (static)	55, 100 (driving)	0 (quasi static)
Antenna type		Air loop	Whip	Ferrite loop
Calculated receiver noise factor (dB)		85	64.5	91.5
Calculated antenna noise at 10 kHz BW (dBµV/m)		29.5	9	36
Fading margin (dB)		0	3	0
Implementation loss (dB)		3	3	4
MA1 – 30 kHz	Minimum carrier field strength $E_{min}$ (dB $\mu$ V/m) For receiving SL+SU and TL+TU	$43 + L_{st}$	$25.5 + L_{st}$	$50.5 + L_{st}$

## TABLE 40

# IBOC receiver minimum usable carrier field strength for all digital configuration primary bands reception based on integrated receiver practice (adjustable settings)

Reception mode	FX	МО	РО
Channel model symbol	FXWGN	UFGCS/RFGCS	FXWGN
Environment	Suburban/Urban	Suburban/Urban	Suburban/Urban
Speed (km/h)	0 (static)	55, 100 (driving)	0 (quasi static)
Antenna type	Air loop	Whip	Ferrite loop
Calculated receiver noise factor (dB)	85	64.5	91.5
Calculated antenna noise at 10 kHz BW (dBµV/m)	29.5	9	36

Fading margin (dB) 0 3 0 3 3 4 Implementation loss (dB) MA3 – Minimum carrier field strength  $45.5 + L_p$  $28 + L_p$  $49 + L_p$ 10 kHz  $E_{min}$  (dB $\mu$ V/m) For receiving PL+PU MA3 – Minimum carrier field strength  $45.5 + L_p$  $28 + L_p$  $49 + L_p$ 20 kHz  $E_{min}$  (dB $\mu$ V/m) For receiving PL+PU

TABLE 40 (end)

# IBOC receiver minimum usable carrier field strength for all digital configuration secondary bands reception based on integrated receiver practice (adjustable settings)

Reception mode		FX	МО	РО
Channel mode	l symbol	FXWGN	UFGCS/RFGCS	FXWGN
Environment		Suburban/Urban	Suburban/Urban	Suburban/Urban
Speed (km/h)		0 (static)	55, 100 (driving)	0 (quasi static)
Antenna type		Air loop	Whip	Ferrite loop
Calculated receiver noise factor (dB)		85	64.5	91.5
Calculated antenna noise at 10 kHz BW (dBµV/m)		29.5	9	36
Fading margin (dB)		0	3	0
Implementation loss (dB)		3	3	4
MA3 – 20 kHz	Minimum carrier field strength $E_{min}$ (dB $\mu$ V/m) For receiving SL+SU	$45.5 + L_s$	$28 + L_s$	$49 + L_s$

# Attachment 1 to Annex 3

# Procedure for estimation of the minimum usable field strength

### **1** Spectrum management considerations and control

The IBOC system promotes spectrum management by allowing the introduction of digital broadcasts without the need for additional spectrum allocations. Special attention is given to allowing for adequate operation of the legacy analogue services while adding the digital signals. That includes also the prevalence of older receivers alongside with better performing newer receivers that can

benefit from the digital services. Therefore, the system is often introduced with nominal power setting, but it allows for individually adjusting the power level of each digital block-pair ('sub-bands').

The power settings of each digital signal block-pair are provided in terms of dBc. The values indicate the ratio of the total power of the digital block-pair to the analogue (or otherwise measurable reference) carrier frequency power. Such an approach allows for hybrid signal composition to easily relate the signal components to each other in terms of power, as well as in terms of relating performance to the carrier power (being a single power parameter).

FIGURE 20



In system mode MA1, the transmitted digital power is separately defined for each block-pair. The definition is in dBc, relative to the existing analogue host carrier frequency power (which is the reference at 0 dBc). The values apply to the digital signal power density over a specified bandwidth. The specified bandwidth is typically one subcarrier bandwidth of 181.7 Hz. That bandwidth is often converted to 300 Hz in order to simplify practical settings and field measurements.

The parameters in Fig. 20 apply to the AM mode MA1 configuration as follows:

- 0 dBc indicates the analogue host carrier frequency power level
- A<sub>p</sub> indicates the power density setting of the primary block-pair in dBc/181.7 Hz
- $A_s$  indicates the power density setting of the secondary block-pair in dBc/181.7 Hz
- At indicates the power density setting of the tertiary block-pair in dBc/181.7 Hz

The term  $L_p$  indicates the ratio of the analogue frequency power to the total power of the primary digital block-pair may be calculated from the power density as follows:

$$L_p = -(A_p + 10 \cdot \log(9200/181.7))$$

Similarly, the ratio of the analogue carrier power to the secondary block-pair  $L_s$ , and tertiary block-pair  $L_t$  can be calculated from the power density. However, in system mode MA1, the secondary and tertiary block-pairs are only used jointly. Therefore, only the ratio  $L_{st}$  of the analogue carrier power to the joint power of these block-pairs is of interest.

### Rec. ITU-R BS.1615-3



FIGURE 21 IBOC digital signal power settings for AM system mode MA3

The parameters in Fig. 21 apply to the AM mode MA3 configuration as follows:

- 0 dBc indicates the power level of the included carrier frequency (at 0 Hz)
- A<sub>p</sub> indicates the power density setting of the primary block-pair in dBc/181.7 Hz
- A<sub>s</sub> indicates the power density setting of the secondary block-pair in dBc/181.7 Hz

Then for MA1,

- For the nominal settings of  $A_p = -30$  dBc,  $L_p \sim 13$  dB
- For the nominal settings of  $A_s = -43$  dBc and  $A_t = -44$  dBc  $\div -50$  dBc,  $L_{st} \sim 24.5$  dB

Then for MA3,

- For the nominal settings of  $A_p = -15$  dBc,  $L_p \sim -2.5$  dB
- For the nominal settings of  $A_s = -30$  dBc,  $L_s \sim 12.5$  dB
- For these nominal settings, the total power of the digital subcarriers (including the reference subcarriers and the PIDS subcarriers), exceeds the power of the included frequency carrier at 0 Hz by approximately 2.3 dB.

These power ratios ( $L_p$ ,  $L_s$ ,  $L_{st}$ ) are further used for planning, thus allowing for flexibility and adjustment if and when such need arises.

# 2 Field strength considerations

The calculations of minimum field strength are provided twice, where each time a different approach is considered.

The first is a legacy audio protection noise-level-based approach which follows ITU-based information.

The later approach is the receiver practice approach, which applies to the highly integrated receivers and follows practical considerations as often apply to more recent receiver implementations.

Specifically, the following is noted:

• The noise driven approach solely considers the information provided by ITU documents such as Recommendation ITU-R P.368, Recommendation ITU-R P.1321, Recommendation ITU-R P.1147, Recommendation ITU-R P.372, Recommendation ITU-R BS.703, Report ITU-R SM.2055 and Recommendation ITU-R BS.415, regarding both noise sources and wave propagation.

The referenced ITU documents that provided data regarding noise were established in the 1970s and updated only to a limited degree. Technological advances in recent decades have resulted in increased man-made noise, as has been observed and indicated in certain independent (non ITU) published documents.

While certain other systems' approaches may consider only the noise data from referenced documents for deriving the minimum usable field strength, the IBOC system's analysis applies also a supplementary approach, where receiver design practices are considered in order to determine the reception limiting factors for a given field strength. This may be considered informative but has the potential for assisting with realistic planning regarding minimum usable field strength, rather than only referring to potentially increased noise as the sole cause for reception performance.

- Large signal variability is indicated in the referenced documents, due to the limited accuracy of the propagation analysis and due to dispersion and GCS impacts. In attempting to predict reception in mobile mode, the signal strength over a large reception area is often measured in limited size squares and/or over several static location points. While certain other systems' approaches may consider such quasi-static information sufficient for mobile reception analysis, the IBOC system's approach to signal reception considers 'good' mobile reception as the reception in motion. As a result, the IBOC system applies an additional (on top of already considered propagation and noise information) GCS-related fading margin of 3 dB to mobile reception mode for adequate reception in true motion.
- Broad industry experience with advanced and highly integrated and/or small receivers indicates that such receivers may be optimized for a wide range of functionalities other than medium wave reception. Therefore, considering implementation losses may be required. Such losses are included in the receiver practices approach for deriving the minimum usable field strength.

The IBOC system analysis for deriving field strength requirements considers the most probable usage scenarios along with conservative assumptions regarding adverse channel conditions, environmental noise (man-made), and deployment margins. Considering less conservative parameters or partial data may lead to potential reduction of more than 10 dB in minimum usable field strength requirements, which may potentially lead to inadequate planning and then inadequate reception in realistic conditions.

The various channel models, reception modes and details related to the analysis and calculations for deriving the minimum required field strength, for allowing an adequate operation of IBOC receivers, are provided in Report ITU-R BS.2482.

In certain IBOC system configurations (i.e. system modes) where both channels P1 (included in PL+PU digital block-pair) and P3 (included in SL+SU and TL+TU) are active, and where power level settings for each block-pair are different, separate requirements (CNR) are used for planning and are specifically indicated in the tables in this section.

# **3** Background for calculating the actual noise factor at the receiver input

Receiver sensitivity, being the minimum required signal field strength at the receiver antenna (E) is expressed as a function of the required pre-detection SNR (or  $C/N_0$ ). For a given signal field strength E ( $\mu$ V/m) impinging upon the antenna, the  $C/N_0$  exhibited at the receiver input is expressed as a function of the field strength, the antenna effective length  $h_e(f)$ , the transfer function of the antenna circuit (matched) filter  $H_a(f)$ , and the sum of noise sources comprising  $N_0$ .

For a short (length,  $1 \ll \lambda$ ) monopole antenna (over a 'sufficient' ground plane), the indicated relationship (Recommendation ITU-R P.372) between the noise field strength and the antenna noise factor is given by:

$$E_n = F_a + 20 \cdot \log(f_{MHz}) + 10 \cdot \log(b_{Hz}) - 95.5 \, \mathrm{dB}\mu\mathrm{V/m} \tag{1}$$

And for a reference point of f = 1 MHz; b = 10 kHz:

$$E_n = F_a - 55.5 \,\mathrm{dB}\mu\mathrm{V/m} \tag{2}$$

However, the indicated noise field is at the antenna. It is then transformed to noise voltage at the receiver input. The transformation is done by the receiver antenna circuit that is represented by the antenna factor (AF) (as resulting from the antenna effective length  $h_e(f)$ , and the transfer function  $H_a(f)$ ). The transformation can then be expressed by the antenna factor (AF) and by the actual noise factor at the receiver input.

$$E_{nrcv} = V_{nrcv} - AF = F_{arcv} - 55.5 \, \mathrm{dB}\mu\mathrm{V/m} \tag{3}$$

The actual noise factor at the receiver input:

$$F_{arcv} = 55.5 + V_{nrcv} - AF_{dB} \qquad \text{dB} \tag{4}$$

The actual noise factor can be calculated for specific cases where the receiver antenna circuit is defined.

For reference only, three typical receiver antennas were chosen as indicated in § 3. Then, the IBOC broadcasting-specific integrated method has been used for calculating the actual receiver noise factor. The results are indicated in Table 5.

### 3.1 Determining the minimum usable field strength using ITU noise related data

For each system configuration and for each reception mode, the applicable  $C/N_0$  is defined.

The minimum usable field strength based on SNR and ITU related noise field  $E_n$ :

$$E_{min}(dBu) = SNR + E_n \tag{5}$$

Using the conversion definitions as provided in Annex 1 (related to analogue signal bandwidth of 10 kHz), the minimum usable field strength is:

$$E_{min}(dBu) = C/N_0 - L_x - 40 + E_n$$
(6)

where  $L_x$  is the relevant power setting ratio as indicated in Attachment 1.

# **3.2** Determining the minimum usable field strength using receiver practice integrated method

The integrated method considers the actual receiver input noise factor (and noise field strength), specific margins related to the reception modes, and implementation losses.

Using the general format in equation (5), in addition to the factors indicated for this specific method, the expression for calculating minimum usable field strength is:

$$E_{min}(dBu) = C/N_0 - L_x - 40 + E_{nrcv} + L_f + L_{im}$$
(7)

where:

- $L_x$ : relevant power setting ratio as indicated in Annex 3
- *L<sub>f</sub>*: fading margin as applicable to the specific reception mode
- *L<sub>im</sub>* : implementation loss as applicable to the specific receiver for the reception mode.

The reception environment and related antenna and noise considerations are further described in Report ITU-R BS.2482.

# Attachment 2 to Annex 3

# Carrier to noise ratio for digital sound broadcast (DSB) IBOC System

# 1 Reception level

It is expected that AM IBOC digital audio streams broadcast using this standard will provide stereophonic audio free of undesirable artifacts if both the core stream and the enhanced stream have a received bit error rate (BER) of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$ .

The minimum carrier-to-noise ratio  $(C/N_0)$  levels at which the expected BER of the audio stream of an AM signal will not exceed  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  are provided in Table 42. Carrier-to-noise ratio  $(C/N_0)$  is defined as the total AM unmodulated carrier power to the AWGN power spectral density.

# 1.1 Minimum *C*/*N*

C/N values (at f = 1 MHz) are provided for an average decoded BER of  $1 \times 10^{-4}$  as a reference operating point for providing services. These values are provided in terms of  $C/N_0$  [dB-Hz], reflecting the ratio of the analogue (or otherwise measurable reference) carrier frequency power to the noise density (in 1 Hz).

In considering the propagation factors and noise-related information, as provided in Recommendation ITU-R P.1321, and particularly their large variability or their level of uncertainty, and based on potential (and actual) usage scenarios of various IBOC receiver types, the following approach is applied for planning:

- 1 A single coding rate and an interleaver span that far exceeds the indicated composite wave time span are employed (see). Therefore, no significant dependency on the wave composition variants is considered.
- 2 For fixed reception, only noise (ambient, man-made) is considered.
- For mobile receivers, typical usage is more likely to be experienced in urban areas. In addition, analysis and actual tests have not shown significant differences of impact on reception, between urban conditions (55 km/h) and suburban conditions (100 km/h), with often urban environment causing more signal disruption. Therefore, urban reception conditions analysis, which employs more aggressive GCS dispersive profiles, are used for planning purposes.
- For portable receivers, it is assumed that they are likely to be used for quasi-static reception, thus quasi-static (0 km/h) outdoor conditions. Therefore, such reception is used in conjunction with portable receivers for planning purposes. Only noise (ambient, man-made) is considered.

The signal-to-noise requirements for the IBOC system are provided in terms of  $C/N_0$  (carrier power to noise spectral density ratio). The carrier frequency power is an easily measurable reference. These values consider the ratio of the analogue host carrier frequency power to the total power of the digital block-pair, for the hybrid configurations. Similarly, these values consider already the ratio of the

transmitted carrier frequency power to the total power of the digital block-pair, for the all-digital configurations.

The ratio of the carrier frequency power to the total power of the digital block-pair may be adjusted. using the power adjustment parameters  $L_p$ ,  $L_{st}$  and  $L_s$  (as defined in § 3)

The cases (and models) and their related required  $C/N_0$  (carrier power to noise spectral density ratio) as analysed for planning purposes are provided in Table 42 for the parameter-dependent adjustable settings. All the values are rounded towards the nearest 0.5 dB-Hz.

# TABLE 42

# **IBOC** receiver required *C*/*N*<sup>0</sup> for various reception modes (adjustable settings)

Reception mode		FX	МО	РО
Channel model sy	ymbol	FXWGN	UFGCS/RFGCS	FXWGN
Environment		Suburban/Urban	Suburban/Urban	Suburban/Urban
Speed (km/h)		0 (static)	55, 100 (driving)	0 (quasi static)
MA1 – 10 kHz	Required C/N <sub>0</sub> (dB–Hz) For receiving P1	$53 + L_p *$	$53 + L_p *$	$53 + L_p *$
MA1 – 30 kHz	Required C/N <sub>0</sub> (dB–Hz) For receiving P1	$53 + L_p *$	$53 + L_p *$	$53 + L_p *$
MA1 – 30 kHz	Required <i>C</i> / <i>N</i> <sub>0</sub> (dB–Hz) For receiving P1 and P3	$50.5 + L_{st} *$	$50.5 + L_{st}$	$50.5 + L_{st}$
MA3 – 10 kHz	Required <i>C</i> / <i>N</i> <sub>0</sub> (dB–Hz) For receiving P1	$53.5 + L_p *$	$53.5 + L_p *$	$53.5 + L_p *$
MA3 – 20 kHz	Required <i>C</i> / <i>N</i> <sub>0</sub> (dB–Hz) For receiving P1	$53.5 + L_p *$	$53.5 + L_p *$	$53.5 + L_p *$
MA3 – 20 kHz	Required <i>C</i> / <i>N</i> <sub>0</sub> (dB–Hz) For receiving P1 and P3	$53.5 + L_s *$	$53.5 + L_s *$	$53.5 + L_s *$

\* Power adjustment parameter.

# Attachment 3 to Annex 3

# Conversion of *C*/*N*<sup>0</sup> to SNR for IBOC signals

The carrier-to-noise ratio, often written CNR or C/N, is the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) of a modulated signal. The noise power N is typically defined in the signal's processing (reception) bandwidth.

The carrier-to-noise-spectral-density ratio ( $C/N_0$ ) is similar to carrier-to-noise ratio, except that the noise  $N_0$  is defined per unit Hz bandwidth.

For AM system analysis, the carrier-to-noise-spectral-density ratio  $C/N_0$  is being used. The analogue carrier power *C* is an easily measurable reference, both in analysis and in field evaluation.

#### **IBOC AM conversion of** *C*/*N*<sup>0</sup> **to digital CNR or SNR example**

In order to convert  $C/N_0$  to SNR, the ratio of carrier power to digital band power  $C/C_d$  is used.

For example, in system configuration mode MA1-10kHz that has a single block-pair and employs 10 kHz bandwidth, with power ratio  $L_p = (C/C_d)_{dB}$ 

$$SNR_{dB} \equiv (Cd / N)_{dB} = Cd_{dB} - N_{dB} = C - L_p - N_{dB}$$
$$N_{dB} = No_{dB} + 10 \cdot \log(10 \text{ kHz}) = No_{dB} + 40 \text{ dB}$$

Then

$$SNR_{dB} = (C/N_0)_{dB} - L_P - 40 \text{ dB}$$

#### Annex 4

# RF protection ratios for DSB (IBOC<sup>7</sup> system) at frequencies between 525 kHz and 1 705 kHz

#### 1 Introduction

The IBOC system protection requirements for ITU Region 1 and Region 3 (9kHz spacing) and ITU Region 2 (10kHz spacing) are analysed and defined.

#### 2 IBOC system spectral mask

The system can be configured to use multiple frequency blocks. Each frequency block occupies a nominal bandwidth of 5 kHz (actual bandwidth of approximately 4.8 kHz). Such spectral configurations are shown for hybrid signal composition in Fig. 18, and for all-digital signal composition in Fig. 19.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The IBOC system is implemented and referenced in ITU Region 2 as HD Radio<sup>™</sup> System.

#### Rec. ITU-R BS.1615-3

Ideally, it is desired to configure each matching block-pair at the same power level. However, the system supports setting the power level of each individual block. Therefore, for the purpose of defining the protection ratios, each such configuration can be analysed by each block at a time.

FIGURE 22



#### TABLE 43

#### IBOC digital waveform spectral emissions limits for hybrid configuration - Mode MA1

Frequency offset relative to carrier	Level relative to uniform distribution of unmodulated carrier Rec. ITU-R SM.328-11, § 6.3.3 (dBc per 100 Hz)
9.4 to 15 kHz offset	-16.3
15 to 15.2 kHz offset	-17.5
15.2 to 15.8 kHz offset	$-28.5 - ( \text{frequency offset in kHz}  - 15.2) \cdot 43.3$
15.8 to 25 kHz offset	-54.5
25 kHz to 30.5 kHz offset	$-54.5 - ( \text{frequency offset in kHz}  - 25) \cdot 1.273$
30.5 kHz to 75 kHz offset	$-61.5 - ( \text{frequency offset in kHz}  - 30.5) \cdot 0.292$
> 75 kHz offset	-74.5

The spectra of one supported hybrid signal configuration, using 10 kHz bandwidth, is shown in Fig. 22. In that case, the secondary and tertiary bands are not present. Referring to Recommendation ITU-R SM.328, the emissions mask is shown for each block, and details are provided in Table 43. For protection and interference analysis, the contribution of each block may be calculated individually and then combined (if combination is still relevant, given the frequency-separated positioning). Additionally, the power level of the blocks may be set independently of each other, if considered necessary for mitigating potential interference in a specific case.



IBOC hybrid signal spectra and digital signal emissions mask - Mode MA3 at 10 kHz used bandwidth



#### TABLE 44

IBOC digital waveform spectral emissions limits for all-digital configuration – Mode MA3 10 kHz bandwidth

Frequency offset relative to carrier	Level relative to uniform distribution Recommendation ITU-R SM.328-11, § 6.3.3 (dBc per 100 Hz)				
0.3 kHz to 5.0 Hz offset	0				
5.0 kHz to 7.0 kHz offset	- ( $ $ frequency offset in kHz $ $ - 5.0) $\cdot$ 17.35				
7.0 to 10.4 kHz offset	$-34.7 - ( \text{frequency offset in kHz}  - 7.0) \cdot 2.06$				
10.4 to 20.0 kHz offset	$-41.7 - ( \text{frequency offset in kHz}  - 10.4) \cdot 1.25$				
20.0 to 30.0 kHz offset	$-53.7 - ( \text{frequency offset in kHz}  - 20.0) \cdot 0.60$				
30.0 to 60.0 kHz offset	$-59.7 - ( \text{frequency offset in kHz}  - 30.0) \cdot 0.27$				
> 60 kHz offset	-67.8				

The spectra of one supported all-digital signal configuration, using 10 kHz bandwidth, is shown in Fig. 23. In that case, the secondary bands are not present. Referring to Recommendation ITU-R SM.328, the emissions mask is shown for the block-pair, and details are provided in Table 44. For protection and interference analysis, the contribution of the block-pair should be used, following by setting the power level of the block-pair accordingly. However, it is possible to calculate the contribution of each block individually and then combine the results. Then, the power level of the blocks may be set independently of each other, if considered necessary for mitigating potential interference in unique cases.



IBOC hybrid signal spectra and digital signal emissions mask - Mode MA3 at 20 kHz used bandwidth



#### TABLE 45

IBOC digital waveform spectral emissions limits for all-digital configuration – Mode MA3 20 kHz bandwidth

Frequency offset relative to carrier	Level relative to uniform distribution Recommendation ITU-R SM.328-11, § 6.3.3 (dBc per 100 Hz)				
0.3 kHz to 5.0 kHz offset	0				
5.0 kHz to 5.9 kHz offset	$-( \text{frequency offset in kHz}  - 5.0) \cdot 16.67$				
5.9 kHz to 10.0 kHz offset	-15				
10.0 to 11.2 kHz offset	$-15 - ( \text{frequency offset in kHz}  - 10.0) \cdot 23.08$				
11.2 to 20.0 kHz offset	$-42.7 - ( \text{frequency offset in kHz}  - 11.2) \cdot 1.25$				
20.0 to 30.0 kHz offset	$-53.7 - ( \text{frequency offset in kHz}  - 20.0) \cdot 0.6$				
30.0 to 60.0 kHz offset	$-59.7 - ( \text{frequency offset in kHz}  - 30) \cdot 0.27$				
> 60 kHz offset	-67.8				

The spectra of higher bitrate supported all-digital signal configuration, using 20 kHz bandwidth, is shown in Fig. 24. In that case, the secondary bands are not present. Referring to Recommendation ITU-R SM.328, the emissions mask is shown for the block-pair, and details are provided in Table 45. For protection and interference analysis, the contribution of each block-pair (PL+PU and SL+SU respectively) should be used, following by setting the power level of each block-pair accordingly. However, it is possible to calculate the contribution of each block individually and then combine the results. Then, the power level of the blocks may be set independently of each other, if considered necessary for mitigating potential interference in unique cases.

#### **3 RF** protection levels

For calculating the protection ratio required for the analogue AM signal, preserving the performance of the audio frequency (thus the audio protection ratio) may be considered. Recommendation ITU-R BS.560 provides the required RF signal protection ratio, which is required for ensuring the audio signal protection ratio. For Region 2, the AF protection ratio and the employed related (uncorrected) RF protection ratio is 26 dB. For Regions 1 and 3, an AF protection ratio of 30 dB, was adopted by the Regional Administrative LF/MF Broadcasting Conference for ITU Regions 1 and 3

(Geneva, 1975). The same value is used for calculating the RF protection ratio, as the AF correction is less than 1 dB.

While the IBOC system is initially associated with ITU Region 2 and its practiced protection ratios, the protection ratios have been also calculated and provided in the following Tables in respect to ITU Regions 1 and 3.

The relative RF protection ratio for AM interfered by AM follows Recommendation ITU-R BS.560 § 2, Fig. 1. The higher protection ratio demanding case of low audio compression (curve C) is used, thus ensuring sufficient protection for the highly compressed audio (curve D). The relative ratio is provided in Table 46.

### TABLE 46

							•			
Desired	Undesired	Fundesired – Fdesired (kHz)								
		-20	-18	-10	-9	0	+9	+10	+18	+20
AM	AM	-55.4	-53.3	-32	-25	0	-25	-32	-53.3	-55.4

# Relative Protection Ratio for AM interfered by AM

# 3.1 Calculation methodology for interference involving analogue AM

Calculating the interference to analogue AM signals may require certain assumptions. A possible approach to calculating the interference to analogue AM signals may involve assumption regarding the parameters of a receiver filter. However, such assumption may be valid only for a given time and may not represent receiver improvements. IBOC receivers, which handles simultaneously both analogue AM and digital signals, have employed various filters, thus suggesting that assuming a specific filter (for modelling receiver performance) may be inadequate.

An alternative approach is adopted by IBOC system. It is based on a firmly defined reference broadcast waveforms for analogue AM and a long time established and field employed AM to AM interference paradigm. The approach examines the relatively added interference by the digital signal in comparison to a potentially existing (or hypothetically placed or previously existing but now removed) analogue AM signal. Employing the defined signals and familiar paradigm is assumed more reliable and sustainable for deriving the adjusted RF protection ratios.

Detailed and refined calculations of protection ratio and coloured noise modulated analogue AM spectra are already defined. For practical reason, including channel raster resolution, flow up Figures and analysis in Recommendation ITU-R BS.560 (Fig. 1) for protection requirements, Recommendation ITU-R SM.328 (Fig. 11) for spectra modelling, and Recommendation ITU-R BS.559 (Fig. 8) for objective analysis, are provided for frequency shifts ( $\Delta f$ ) resolution of 1 kHz.

### Rec. ITU-R BS.1615-3

#### FIGURE 25





The IBOC hybrid signal in mode MA1 consists of the original analogue signal ('host') and a digital signal block (or block-pair). The analogue signal spectra, generated by using coloured noise for modulation, as recommended (Recommendation ITU-R BS.559), and including both digital blocks (PL and PU) and their spectral mask are shown in Fig. 25, using resolution of 1 kHz. Since the original analogue AM signal is present, the level of the digital signal PSD does not exceed -23 dBc. The level of each block can be individually reduced or set such that only one block is present.

#### **3.2 Protection tables**

The IBOC protection ratios provided in Tables 47 and 48 are based on the system and field strength definitions provided above and detailed analysis in Report ITU-R BS.2482.

The protection ratios in this Recommendation are representative of steady state conditions and should serve well for daytime planning. Administrations may wish to take into consideration an additional factor to compensate for sky-wave fading conditions.

Desired	Undesired	F <sub>undesired</sub> – F <sub>desired</sub> (kHz)									
		-20	-18	-10	-9	0	+9	+10	+18	+20	
AM	AM	-55.4	-53.3	-32	-25	0	-25	-32	-53.3	-55.4	
AM	MA1: PU	-37	-30	-4	-4	0	-25	-32	-53.3	-55.4	
AM	MA1: PL	-55.4	-53.3	-32	-25	0	-4	-4	-30	-37	
AM	MA3: 10 kHz	-49	-47	-23	-16	6	-16	-23	-47	-49	
AM	MA3: 20 kHz	-41	-36	-12	-11	6	-11	-12	-36	-41	

TABLE 47

Relative protection ratio<sup>(1)</sup> for AM interfered by with IBOC waveform

<sup>(1)</sup> Relative protection values are calculated based on signals spectral characteristics, before considering additional filtering by any chosen receiver filter.

Desired	Un-desired	$F_{undesired} - F_{desired} (kHz)$				
		-20	-10	0	+10	+20
AM	AM	-55.4	-32	0	-32	-55.4
Hybrid Mode MA1: PL+PU	Hybrid Mode MA1: TL+SL+PL+PU+SU+TU	<-75 <sup>(2)</sup>	-44.5	-22.8	-44.5	<-75 <sup>(2)</sup>
Hybrid Mode MA1: TL+SL+ SU+TU	Hybrid Mode MA1: TL+SL+PL+PU+SU+TU	-74	-23.2	-19	-23.2	-74
Hybrid Mode MA1: PL+PU	All-Digital Mode MA3: SL+PL+PU+SU	<-75 <sup>(2)</sup>	-44.2	-28.2	-44.2	<-75 <sup>(2)</sup>
Hybrid Mode MA1: TL+SL+ SU+TU	All-Digital Mode MA3: SL+PL+PU+SU	-74	-23	-28.5	-23	-74
All-Digital Mode MA3: PL+PU	All-Digital Mode MA3: SL+PL+PU+SU	<-75 <sup>(2)</sup>	-59	-18	-59	<-75 <sup>(2)</sup>
All-Digital Mode MA3: SL +SU	All-Digital Mode MA3: SL+PL+PU+SU	<-75 <sup>(2)</sup>	-59	-18	-59	<-75 <sup>(2)</sup>

# Relative protection ratio<sup>(1)</sup> for IBOC digital components of hybrid waveform interfered with by digital components of hybrid waveform

<sup>(1)</sup> Relative protection values are calculated based on signals spectral characteristics, before considering additional filtering by any chosen receiver filter. Calculations are in reference to the protection requirements for analogue AM.

<sup>(2)</sup> Results are calculated but are unlikely to be experienced in actuality due to the high range.

# Attachment 1 to Annex 4

# Calculation methodology for interference

### 1 Calculation methodology for interference involving analogue AM

Calculating the interference to analogue AM signals may require certain assumptions. A possible approach to calculating the interference to analogue AM signals may involve assumption regarding the parameters of a receiver filter. However, such assumption may be valid only for a given time and may not represent receiver improvements. IBOC receivers, which handle simultaneously both analogue AM and digital signals, have employed various filters, thus suggesting that assuming a specific filter (for modelling receiver performance) may be inadequate.

An alternative approach is adopted by IBOC system. It is based on a firmly defined reference broadcast waveforms for analogue AM and a long time established and field employed AM to AM interference paradigm. The approach examines the relatively added interference by the digital signal in comparison to a potentially existing (or hypothetically placed or previously existing but now removed) analogue AM signal. Employing the defined signals and familiar paradigm is assumed more reliable and sustainable for deriving the adjusted RF protection ratios.

#### **Rec. ITU-R BS.1615-3**

Detailed and refined calculations of protection ratio and coloured noise modulated analogue AM spectra are already defined. For practical reason, including channel raster resolution, flow up Figures and analysis in Recommendation ITU-R BS.560 (Fig. 1) for protection requirements, Recommendation ITU-R SM.328 (Fig. 11) for spectra modelling, and Recommendation ITU-R BS.559 (Fig. 8) for objective analysis, are provided for frequency shifts ( $\Delta f$ ) resolution of 1 kHz.



#### 2 IBOC Interference into analogue and protection

#### 2.1 Desired AM signal interfered by IBOC hybrid

The IBOC hybrid signal in modified mode MA1 consists of the original analogue signal ('host') and a digital signal block (or block-pair). The analogue signal spectra, generated by using coloured noise for modulation, as recommended (Recommendation ITU-R BS.559), and including both digital blocks (PL and PU) and their spectral mask are shown in Fig. 26, using resolution of 1 kHz. Since the original analogue AM signal is present, the level of the digital signal PSD does not exceed -23 dBc. The level of each block can be individually reduced or set such that only one block is present.



FIGURE 27 Desired AM Signal interfered by IBOC Hybrid Signal Analogue + PU (0 Hz) The desired analogue AM signal along with interfering IBOC hybrid signal consisting of AM and PU is shown in Fig. 27. The co-channel (shift of 0 kHz) hybrid signal is required to adhere to the AM protection ratio of 30 dB, referenced to hypothetical interfering analogue AM signal.

The digital block PU (of that interfering hybrid signal) is inherently located in the frequency band that otherwise would be interfered by a shifted analogue AM signal. Therefore, a hypothetical AM signal shifted by +9 kHz and set at the maximum allowed AM to AM protection level of 5 dB, is shown for reference. The added interference by PU is the calculated contribution of PU spectra that exceeds the spectra of hypothetical (allowed) AM interference in that band. In the specific example in Fig. 27, it can be seen that PU interference does not exceed that interference of the hypothetical AM.



Similarly, when the undesired above IBOC hybrid signal configuration is shifted by +10 kHz, the incremental interference (if exists) overlaps with a hypothetical analogue shifted further. Therefore, the added interference (if exists) is calculated for hypothetical AM signal at any applicable shift. As can be seen (or interpreted) from Figs 27 and 28, there seems to be no added interference from PU at any frequency shift > 0 Hz, for channel spacing in multiples of 9 kHz and 10 kHz.



FIGURE 29 Desired AM signal interfered by IBOC hybrid signal analogue + PU (-9 kHz)

In the situation is shown in Fig. 29, the undesired IBOC hybrid signal configuration is shifted by -9 kHz, and the analogue component is set at the allowed level of -5 dBc. The incremental interference (caused by PU) overlaps with a hypothetical interfering analogue shifted by 0 Hz. The hypothetical interfering analogue signal is adjusted by 30 dB as required for protecting the desired signal. Yet, the digital block PU (or the entire hybrid signal) must be further reduced by approximately 21 dB (to approximately 12 dB below the level of the hypothetical interfering analogue) in order for the entire integrated PU power to not exceed the interference allowed for the hypothetical signal.

It is noted that the interference is calculated without assuming the additional filtering of a receiver filter. Any given receiver filter may further reduce the interference by 1 dB to 7 dB, thus allowing to adjust (relief) the protection requirements accordingly. For example, a narrow receiver filter with a bandwidth of 2.4 kHz at -3 dB and a slope of 36 dB/Octave may further filter the interference from PU by an amount of approximately 5 dB, thus requiring the reduction of PU level by approximately 7 dB (instead of the no-filter case), and setting it at a power level similar to that of the hypothetical interfering analogue shifted by 0 Hz (i.e. -30 dBc).



FIGURE 30

In the situation is shown in Fig. 30, the undesired IBOC hybrid signal configuration is shifted by -20 kHz, and the analogue component is set at the allowed level of +25.4 dBc. The incremental interference (caused by PU) overlaps with a hypothetical interfering analogue shifted by -10 Hz. The hypothetical interfering analogue signal is adjusted by 30 dB as required for protecting the desired signal. Yet, the digital block PU (or the entire hybrid signal) must be further reduced by approximately 18 dB in order for the entire integrated PU power to not exceed the interference allowed for the hypothetical signal.

It is noted that the interference is calculated without assuming the additional filtering of a receiver filter. Any given receiver filter may further reduce the interference by 3 dB to 15 dB, thus allowing to adjust (relief) the protection requirements accordingly. For example, a narrow receiver filter with a bandwidth of 2.4 kHz at -3 dB and a slope of 36 dB/Octave may further filter the interference from PU by an amount of approximately 11 dB, thus requiring the reduction of PU level by approximately 7 dB (instead of the no-filter case), and setting it at a power level similar to that of the hypothetical interfering analogue shifted by -10 Hz (i.e. +2 dBc).

#### 2.2 AM signal interfered by IBOC Digital

The desired analogue AM signal along with co-channel interfering IBOC digital signal consisting of PL and PU is shown in Fig. 31. The digital signal is configured to mode MA3 at 10 kHz bandwidth. In that specific configuration, the total power of the modulated subcarriers is approximately 2.3 dB above the power of the included un-modulated carrier (at 0 Hz). Therefore, the actual resulting spectrum of the modulated subcarrier is equivalently lowered (in reference to 0 dBc) by 2 dB.

The co-channel (shift of 0 kHz) digital signal is required to adhere to the AM protection ratio of 30 dB, referenced to hypothetical interfering analogue AM signal.

The hypothetical interfering analogue signal is adjusted by 30 dB as required for protecting the desired signal. Yet, the digital signal has to be further reduced by approximately 6 dB (Having the modulated subcarriers at approximately 8 dB below the level of the hypothetical interfering analogue) in order for the entire integrated digital signal power to not exceed the interference allowed for the hypothetical signal.

It is noted that the interference is calculated without assuming the additional filtering of a receiver filter. Any given receiver filter may further reduce the interference by 1 dB to 7 dB, thus allowing to adjust (relief) the protection requirements accordingly. For example, a narrow receiver filter with a bandwidth of 2.4 kHz at -3 dB and a slope of 36 dB/Octave may further filter the interference from PL + PU by an amount of approximately 2 dB, thus requiring the reduction of digital signal by only 4 dB (instead of the no-filter case), resulting in setting the modulated subcarriers at approximately 6 dB below the level of the hypothetical interfering analogue.



FIGURE 31



The desired analogue AM signal along with interfering IBOC digital signal consisting of PL and PU, shifted by +9 kHz, is shown in Fig. 32. The digital signal resulting spectrum of the modulated subcarrier is equivalently lowered (in reference to 0 dBc) by 2 dB.

The hypothetical interfering analogue signal, shifted by +9 kHz, and set at the allowed level of -5 dBc as required for protecting the desired signal from such analogue AM. Yet, the digital signal has to be further reduced by approximately 9 dB (having the modulated subcarriers at approximately 11 dB below the level of the hypothetical interfering analogue) in order for the entire integrated digital signal power to not exceed the interference allowed for the hypothetical signal. The adjustment consists approximately 6 dB in band excess power and approximately additional 3 dB difference between the hypothetical AM spectrum and the digital signal mask in the out-of-band range of -5 kHz to -7 kHz removed from the enter frequency of the digital interference.

It is noted that the interference is calculated without assuming the additional filtering of a receiver filter. Any given receiver filter may further reduce the interference by 2 dB to 12 dB, thus allowing to adjust (relief) the protection requirements accordingly. For example, a narrow receiver filter with a bandwidth of 2.4 kHz at -3 dB and a slope of 36 dB/Octave may further filter the interference from PL by an amount of approximately 8 dB, thus requiring the reduction of digital signal by only 1 dB (instead of the no-filter case), resulting in setting the modulated subcarriers at approximately 3 dB below the level of the hypothetical interfering analogue.

When the digital interfering signal and the hypothetical AM interference are shifted by +10 kHz and compared to the maximum allowed AM to AM interference at +10 kHz, similar relative results as for the shift by +9 kHz, may be obtained for the cases without and with assuming additional receiver filtering.



The desired analogue AM signal along with interfering IBOC digital signal consisting of PL and PU, shifted by +20 kHz, is shown in Fig. 33.

The hypothetical interfering analogue signal, shifted by +20 kHz, is set at the allowed level of +25.4 dBc as required for protecting the desired signal from such analogue AM (having the modulated subcarriers at approximately 8 dB below the level of the hypothetical interfering analogue) in order for the entire integrated digital signal power to not exceed the interference allowed for the hypothetical signal.

It is noted that the interference is calculated without assuming the additional filtering of a receiver filter. Any given receiver filter may further reduce the interference by very little, as the excess interference is caused by the slow drop of the far out-of-band signal. For example, a narrow receiver filter with a bandwidth of 2.4 kHz at -3 dB and a slope of 36 dB/Octave may further filter the interference by an amount of approximately 1 dB, resulting in setting the modulated subcarriers at approximately 7dB below the level of the hypothetical interfering analogue.

When the digital interfering signal and the hypothetical AM interference are shifted by +18 kHz and compared to the maximum allowed AM to AM interference at +18 kHz, similar relative results as for the shift by +20 kHz, may be obtained for the cases without and with assuming additional receiver filtering.



The desired analogue AM signal along with co-channel interfering IBOC digital signal consisting of SL, PL, PU and SU, is shown in Fig. 34. The digital signal is configured to mode MA3 at 20 kHz bandwidth. In that specific configuration, the total power of the modulated subcarriers is approximately 2.4 dB above the power of the included un-modulated carrier (at 0 Hz). Therefore, the actual resulting spectrum of the modulated subcarrier is equivalently lowered (in reference to 0 dBc) by approximately 2 dB.

The co-channel (shift of 0 kHz) digital signal is required to adhere to the AM protection ratio of 30 dB, referenced to hypothetical interfering analogue AM signal.

The hypothetical interfering analogue signal is adjusted by 30 dB as required for protecting the desired signal. Yet, the digital signal has to be further reduced by approximately 6 dB (Having the modulated subcarriers PL + PU at approximately 8 dB below the level of the hypothetical interfering analogue) in order for the entire integrated digital signal power to not exceed the interference allowed for the hypothetical signal.

It is noted that the interference is calculated without assuming the additional filtering of a receiver filter. Any given receiver filter may further reduce the interference by 1 dB to 7 dB, thus allowing to adjust (relief) the protection requirements accordingly. For example, a narrow receiver filter with a bandwidth of 2.4 kHz at -3 dB and a slope of 36 dB/Octave may further filter the interference from the digital signal (caused nearly solely by PL + PU) by an amount of approximately 2 dB, thus requiring the reduction of digital signal by only 4 dB (instead of the no-filter case), resulting in setting the modulated PL + PU subcarriers at approximately 6 dB below the level of the hypothetical interfering analogue.



The desired analogue AM signal along with interfering IBOC digital signal consisting of PL and PU, shifted by +9 kHz, is shown in Fig. 35. The digital signal resulting spectrum of the modulated subcarrier is equivalently lowered (in reference to 0 dBc) by 2 dB.

The hypothetical interfering analogue signal, shifted by +9 kHz, and set at the allowed level of -5 dBc as required for protecting the desired signal from such analogue AM. Yet, the digital signal has to be further reduced by approximately 14 dB (Having the modulated subcarriers PL + PU at approximately 16 dB below the level of the hypothetical interfering analogue) in order for the entire integrated digital signal power to not exceed the interference allowed for the hypothetical signal. The adjustment is required mostly due to the level of SL, which is perceived as co-channel. Residual interference is caused by the digital signal mask in the out-of-band range of -5 kHz to -5.9 kHz removed from the enter frequency of the digital interference.

It is noted that the interference is calculated without assuming the additional filtering of a receiver filter. Any given receiver filter may further reduce the interference very little, thus barely allowing to adjust (relief) the protection requirements. For example, a narrow receiver filter with a bandwidth of 2.4 kHz at -3 dB and a slope of 36 dB/Octave may further filter the interference from PL by an amount of approximately 8 dB, thus requiring the reduction of digital signal by only 1 dB (instead of the no-filter case), resulting in setting the modulated subcarriers at approximately 3 dB below the level of the hypothetical interfering analogue.

When the digital interfering signal and the hypothetical AM interference are shifted by +10 kHz and compared to the maximum allowed AM to AM interference at +10 kHz, the interference from SL may be reduced by up to 1 dB comparing to that for the shift by +9 kHz. Receiver filtering may not help with reducing the interference noticeably.



