ITU-T Workshop on "From Speech to Audio: bandwidth extension, binaural perception" Lannion, France, 10-12 September 2008

### Spatial audio conferencing.

Peter Hughes.

### Broadband Applications Research Centre, BT Group.



## Introduction.

### Personal background: BT Research labs since 1975. Work areas: data communications, speech technologies, audio and accessibility. Current and recent activities: Spatial audio. Bandwidth expansion. Accessibility – telephony for hearing impaired. TA2 (EU 7<sup>th</sup> Framework project on social networking).



# Natural audio for conferencing

### What do users want from a conference?

- Everybody has a natural voice and can be heard clearly.
- I can see who is present and who is talking
- I can share information easily and be confident I'm understood.
- I don't have to hold a piece of bent plastic to my head
- I can actually enjoy taking part!



Because life is not monophonic....



# Why use spatial audio?





### Earlier work at BT Labs 1995-99.

### NSAS: Shared network audio server.

Virtual meeting space featuring avatars meeting in several different sized virtual rooms with .

Spatial audio – various renderings – ambisonic, binaural, stereo etc - available using Lake Huron platform.

Current status: Online virtual worlds (e.g. Second Life) gaining popularity.

### SmartSpace:

Concept demonstrator of alternative office desk/chair.

Immersive sound field using 3 loudspeakers to support video display.

#### Virtual conferencing.

Large display screens (typically back projection) with spatial sound to merge boundary between local and distant realities.
Current status: techniques commonly used in top-end teleconferencing.

#### Spatial telephony conferencing.

 Simple spatial audio applied to audio conferencing – the Personal Audio World (SAW) system.



### **PAW conference system.**



•Hardware based 4-way system (Motorola DSP56302 processor).

- •46 coefficient HRTFs, 10 degrees.
- •16 kHz sample rate 7kHz BW.
- •3 artificial rooms with spatial reverberation.



Analogue audio bus.

PAW spatialized audio

conference system.



# **Results from PAW**

Results of informal tests: Users were universally very impressed by both increased clarity and naturalness. Artificial rooms were very popular. Comments: 'Like being in the same room', 'Hearing in colour' and 'When can we have it? Results of formal tests: Positive, but largely inconclusive. Demonstrated need for more rigorous design. Difficult to convince people that the system could be delivered!

### The Senate

### Spatially Enhanced Natural Audio Teleconferencing **Environment**.

- Fully interconnected SIP VoIP conference
- 7kHz bandwidth using G.722.2.
- HRTF (5 degree spacing CIPIC Kemar model) or 5 channel audio.
- Simple graphical interface to control volume and position.
- Visual talker indiction.
- Text to speech for text data
- Support for video streaming.
- Audio smileys, background music.



Run demo.



### Senate extensions.

- Artificial room acoustics
- Voice caricaturing and enhancement.
- Selectable GUI 'skins' for domestic, business, teenage markets.
- Loud speaking systems.
  - Stereo,
  - cinematic surround systems.
- Groups of people.
  - Microphone positioning
  - Wearable microphones (e.g. 'tie-clip').
  - Need spatial audio over wide listening area.
- Echo control.
  - Difficult for multi-channel due to cross correlations between channels.
  - Easier for HRTF or intensity panning.

## Network design.

- Fundamental to designing spatial audio conference system.
- 4 basic options are:
  - 1. Fully interconnected Peer to Peer with all processing performed at the client terminals.
  - 2. Centralised processing all processing performed in server.
  - 3. Distributed processing processing performed at several points in the network to optimise processing and network resources.
  - Server concentration schemes using efficient multichannel audio compression – e.g. Spatial Audio Object Coding (SAOC) or channel concentration.



### Fully interconnected mesh.

- Each conferee gets a direct stream from all other conferees.
- •Audio rendering performed independently at each client.
- •Network loads assume G.722.2 at 24kbits/sec.

N	Total bi- directional network hops	Total Network Ioad (kbit/sec)
2	1	48
3	3	144
4	6	288
5	10	480
6	15	720
7	21	1008
8	28	1344
9	36	1728
10	45	2160





# Central processing.

•All spatial processing done in central bridge and 2-channel spatial mix broadcast to all users.

•Note higher bit rate is required to preserve spatial cues.

- •24kbits/s upstream; 128kbits 2-channel downstream.
- •No processing required at local terminals.

Ν	US and DS. (kbit/s)	Total worst case network load (kbits/sec).
2	24 / 128	304
3	24 / 128	456
4	24 / 128	608
5	24 / 128	760
6	24 / 128	912
7	24 / 128	1064
8	24 / 128	1216
9	24 / 128	1368
10	24 / 128	1520



### Distributed processing.

•Terminals transmit and receive mono or multi-channel spatial audio, allocated to achieve optimum usage of processing and network resources

•Heavy lines indicate multichannel spatial signals.

•Application Layer Routing (ALR) can have a major impact on efficiency.

Area of research at BT\*.





### Server concentration.

•Audio from M clients is compressed at the server into an efficient 'N channel plus supplementary data' form.

•This data is streamed to all clients and spatialized locally as required.

•This method is also suitable for Spatial Audio Object Coding.



24kbits/s mono upstream

128kbits 2-channel + supplementary data. downstream



## Spatial Audio Object Coding.



- •Parametric multiple object coding method. Based on MEG surround technology
- Very efficient transmission of multi channel audio data.
- •Transmits N audio objects in a K channel audio stream. K < N, and K is typically 1 or 2 channels.
- Undergoing MPEG standardization process.

# **Project TA2**.

Together anywhere, together anytime

- BT led EU 7<sup>th</sup> Framework collaborative project.
- New media experiences for homes and families.
- Enjoyable and fun supporting family to family relationships that are served poorly by current ICT products and services.
- Promoting activities such as:
  - social interaction
  - building relationships
  - Entertainment
  - Relaxation
- Started January 2008.



## What will TA2 address?

"New media experiences for households and families"

5 Prototype Applications: Family game, My Videos, Child's play, Sixth Age, Social Communication

Technology Capabilities
 System Architectures

- New patterns of consumption and production of digital media
- New converged business opportunities
- Improved social and emotional well-being



# **TA2: The Technical Challenges**

- How can we improve the **experience of audiovisual communications** between dislocated groups of people to a level where they are happy to use it for enjoyable purpose-driven social experiences?
  - Techniques include low-delay audio codecs, echo cancellation and spatial audio object coding.
- How can we support the end-to-end delivery of complex, interactive audiovisual services between homes?
- How can we bring support to the next generation of social networking applications?
  - We need to define the APIs, rules, protocols and network services which will enable applications developers to leverage network resources within these new applications.



### The TA2 consortium

Industry partners			
BT	Management, systems architecture		
Alcatel Lucent	Systems architecture		
Philips	Application design		
Ravensburger	Games		
Limbic Entertainment	Games		
Eurescom	Management		
Research institutes			
Fraunhofer IIS	Audio		
TNO	Users, markets, economic issues		
IDIAP	Audio/video scene interpretation		
Joanneum Research	Semantics and ontologies		
CWI	Media annotation		
Interactive Institute	Application design		
Academic institutes			
Goldsmiths, University of London	Computational modelling		



## Thanks for listening.

Peter Hughes. Broadband applications research centre. BT Group Adastral Park Ipswich UK.

peter.j.hughes@bt.com http://barc.visual.bt.co.uk/ www.ta2-project.eu



### Bringing it all together