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| **Abstract:** | ITU-T Study Group 5 provides feedback to TSAG on the proposal to clarify the process for resolution of comments received by a study group after an AAP Last Call. |

This liaison replies [TSAG-LS44](https://www.itu.int/ifa/t/2022/ls/tsag/sp17-tsag-oLS-00044.zip).

ITU-T Study Group 5 would like to thank TSAG for the liaison statement on the resolution of AAP comments.

Please see below the feedback from ITU-T Study Group 5 regarding your queries:

1. *Are there particular guidelines that your study group implement when resolving AAP comments?*

ITU-T Study Group 5 adheres to the procedures set out in Recommendation ITU-T A.8 and does not apply any additional guidelines. These established procedures are consistently followed in the resolution of AAP comments.

1. *Do you think that harmonizing these guidelines for all study groups would be useful, or is better to leave these details to the purview of each study group, taking into account their established practice?*

ITU-T Study Group 5 believes that the current practices implemented by each Study Group are sufficient and does not consider it necessary to harmonize these guidelines across all Study Groups.

1. *Do you think that* [*Rec. ITU‑T A.8*](https://itu.int/ITU-T/A.8) *requires further text to clarify the comment resolution process to follow up on comments received during AAP Last Call or Additional Review, or is the in-force edition of* [*Rec. ITU‑T A.8*](https://itu.int/ITU-T/A.8) *sufficient? In the former case, would the change suggested in clause 4.4.2 of* [*TSAG-TD628R1*](https://www.itu.int/md/T22-TSAG-240729-TD-GEN-0628/en) *be useful for your study group?*

ITU-T Study Group 5 typically requests the Rapporteur to engage and consult with relevant parties to address AAP comments. A Rapporteur e-meeting may be organized if needed; otherwise, the latest text with resolved comments is circulated via the mailing list. In this context, ITU-T Study Group 5 believes that the current provisions of Recommendation ITU-T A.8 provide a clear and effective framework, and further harmonization or textual changes are not considered necessary at this time.

1. *In case* [*Rec. ITU‑T A.8*](https://itu.int/ITU-T/A.8) *is updated and a deadline for confirming comments is added, would "6 weeks" be a reasonable period after which the study group chair may consider that the comment resolution is agreeable to all, even to those who did not respond explicitly to confirm it?*

ITU-T Study Group 5 considers the existing provisions of Recommendation ITU-T A.8 to be sufficient and sees no need for further modifications, including the introduction of specific deadlines*.*
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