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RESOLUTION 93 (Rev. New Delhi, 2024)

Interconnection of new-generation IMT family networks (IMT-Advanced, IMT-2020 and beyond)

(Hammamet, 2016; New Delhi, 2024)

The World Telecommunication Standardization Assembly (New Delhi, 2024),

recognizing

*a)* that Resolution ITU‑R 57‑2, on the principles for the process of development of IMT-Advanced, describes the minimum criteria and principles used in the process of development of Recommendations and Reports for IMT-Advanced;

*b)* that IMT-Advanced systems started service around the year 2013, and since then IMT-Advanced has been continually enhanced;

*c)* that IMT-2020 systems were developed to provide additional features beyond the capabilities of IMT-Advanced, as described in Recommendation ITU‑R M.2083;

*d)* that Resolution ITU‑R 56‑3, on naming for International Mobile Telecommunications, recognized that the existing terms IMT-2000, IMT-Advanced and IMT-2020 continue to be relevant and should continue to be utilized, and resolved that the term IMT be the name that collectively applies to "IMT-2000", "IMT-Advanced", "IMT-2020" and "IMT-2030";

*e)* that, currently, most of the telecommunication operators in the world are migrating from circuit-switched networks to packet-switched networks, and most of them have already established Internet protocol (IP)‑based networks for delivering most of their services using a new concept "all over IP";

*f)* that Recommendation ITU‑R M.2012‑6 (12/2023), on detailed specifications of the terrestrial radio interfaces of International Mobile Telecommunications-Advanced (IMT-Advanced), recommends that IMT-Advanced include the LTE-Advanced and WirelessMAN-Advanced standards;

*g)* that Recommendation ITU‑R M.2150‑2 (12/2023), on detailed specifications of the terrestrial radio interfaces of International Mobile Telecommunications-2020 (IMT-2020), recommends that IMT-2020 include the 3GPP 5G-RIT (also known as new radio (NR)), 3GPP 5G-SRIT (also known as LTE+NR), 5Gi, and DECT 5G-SRIT standards;

*h)* that, currently, long-term evolution (LTE) is used on the access stratum of operators' networks as one of the technologies for delivering voice-over-IP services (VoLTE) and, in new IMT-2020 networks, voice-over-New-Radio (VoNR);

*i)* that network architectures, roaming principles, numbering issues and charging and security mechanisms that are being used in circuit-switched networks are in most cases not suitable for interconnection of IP-based networks (e.g. IMT-Advanced, IMT-2020 and beyond) to be used for providing voice and video services;

*j)* that the interconnection of IP-based networks needs to be agreed among all Member States in order to prevent the appearance of new issues related to numbering, roaming, charging and security, to name a few;

*k)* that VoLTE/VoNR interconnection as well as other types of interconnection of packet-based networks will require translation from ITU‑T E.164 number format to the Universal Resource Identifier (URI), which may be considered as a common identifier of IP-based networks to be used for voice and video communications;

*l)* that ENUM is one of the possible solutions to be used for E.164/URI translation for such interconnections;

*m)* that Resolution 49 (Rev. Hammamet, 2016) of this assembly instructs Study Group 2 of the ITU Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU‑T) to study how ITU could have administrative control over changes that could relate to the international telecommunication resources (including naming, numbering, addressing and routing) used for ENUM;

*n)* that Resolution 133 (Rev. Bucharest, 2022) of the Plenipotentiary Conference instructs the Secretary-General and the Directors of the Bureaux to take any necessary action to ensure the sovereignty of ITU Member States with regard to Recommendation ITU‑T E.164 numbering plans, whatever the application in which they are used;

*o)* that Resolution 76 (Rev. Hammamet, 2016) of this assembly instructs the Director of the Telecommunication Standardization Bureau to continue to conduct as necessary exploratory activities in each region in order to identify and prioritize the problems faced by developing countries[[1]](#footnote-1)1 related to achieving interoperability of telecommunication/information and communication technology (ICT) equipment and services,

considering

*a)* that ENUM is not commonly used around the globe for E.164/URI transfer, and some operators have their private solutions;

*b)* that some alliances of operators are developing guidelines for interconnection of VoLTE/VoNR-based networks but there is still no agreed option to be used for such interconnection;

*c)* that the development of interconnection procedures for IP-based networks to be used for providing voice and video services needs to be carried out on an international basis;

*d)* that development of the conformance and interoperability requirements to support testing of protocols and technologies used for such interconnection is an essential component for developing interoperable equipment that is based on ITU‑T Recommendations,

taking into account

*a)* that, according to the communiqué of the chief technology officers (CTO) meeting which ITU‑T conducted in Budapest (October 2015), "*CTOs encouraged ITU‑T to initiate studies – including studies on accessibility, data formats, and control and management aspects – with the goal of enabling the global interoperability of such high-quality services, inviting contributions to these studies from operators and related industry experts as well as relevant SDOs*";

*b)* that, according to the summary report of the ITU Workshop on voice and video services interoperability over fixed-mobile hybrid environments, including IMT-Advanced (LTE) (December 2015, Geneva), "*further ITU standardization activities should focus on the deployment of signalling protocols for VoLTE interconnection, emergency calls on VoLTE-based networks and numbering issues*";

*c)* the work of ITU‑T Study Group 11 on a framework for interconnection of VoLTE/ViLTE-based networks, which aims to specify common requirements regarding the interconnection of VoLTE/ViLTE-based networks;

*d)* that the development of standards relating to a framework for interconnection of VoLTE/ViLTE-based networks is one of the subjects of the established collaboration agreement between ITU‑T Study Group 11 and ETSI TC INT;

*e)* the successful work of the ITU‑T Focus Groups on IMT-2020 and on testbeds federations for IMT-2020 and beyond,

resolves

that ITU‑T Recommendations to address network architectures, roaming principles, numbering issues, charging and security mechanisms as well as interoperability and conformance testing for interconnection of IMT‑Advanced, IMT-2020 and IMT-2030 networks shall be progressed as quickly as possible,

instructs the Director of the Telecommunication Standardization Bureau

1 to continue to conduct, as necessary, exploratory activities among telecommunication operators in order to identify and prioritize the problems related to achieving interconnection of IP-based networks such as IMT‑Advanced, IMT-2020 and beyond;

2 to submit the results of these activities to the ITU Council for its consideration and required action,

instructs the study groups

1 to identify as soon as possible future ITU‑T Recommendations that need to be developed associated with the interconnection of IMT‑Advanced, IMT-2020 and IMT-2030 networks;

2 to cooperate, as appropriate, with interested stakeholders and alliances in order to optimize studies on this particular subject,

further instructs Study Group 11

to develop ITU‑T Recommendations which specify the framework and signalling architectures to be used for establishing interconnection of IMT‑Advanced, IMT-2020 and IMT-2030 networks to achieve interoperability worldwide

further instructs Study Group 2

to develop ITU‑T Recommendations which specify the ENUM architecture to be used for interconnection of IMT‑Advanced, IMT-2020 and IMT-2030 networks, including administrative control that could relate to the international telecommunication resources (including naming, numbering, addressing and routing),

invites Member States and Sector Members

to contribute to the implementation of this resolution,

invites Member States

to encourage telecommunication operators to assist ITU‑T in implementing this resolution.

**Reasons:** The terminology relating to the interconnection between networks of different generations at the international level needs to be aligned with the terminology and decisions adopted by ITU and with ITU resolutions.

1. 1 These include the least developed countries, small island developing states, landlocked developing countries and countries with economies in transition. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)