APPLICATION OF CANFIS MODEL IN THE PREDICTION OF MULTIPLE-INPUT TELECOMMUNICATION NETWORK TRAFFIC

Francis Kwabena Oduro-Gyimah¹, Kwame Osei Boateng² ¹Ghana Technology University College, Department of Telecommunications Engineering, Kumasi, Ghana, ^{1,2}Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Department of Computer Engineering, Kumasi, Ghana

Abstract –Telecommunication network traffic prediction is an important approach that ensure efficient network planning and management. Telecommunication network traffic is univariate and prediction models have mostly been concentrated on single-input and single-output traffic. This study proposes a new approach, the multiple-input multiple-output Coactive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (CANFIS) model to predict a five time span univariate hourly, daily, weekly, monthly and quarterly time series of 3G downlink traffic simultaneously. In the modelling process several parameters were used in the configuration of the network. The best model for predicting five-input telecommunication traffic was CANFIS (5-2-5) which employed a Bell membership function, Axon transfer function and Momentum learning rule and the membership function per input of 2. The performance of the model was evaluated by comparing the predicted traffic with actual traffic obtained from a 3G network operator and the results indicate a minimum accuracy measure value of MSE = 0.000486, NRMSE = 0.01120 and percent error = 12.33%.

Keywords – 3G downlink, CANFIS, multiple-input, multiple-output, prediction, telecommunication network traffic.

1. INTRODUCTION

Telecommunication network traffic prediction is an important approach that ensures efficient network planning and management. However, in research, the focus of forecasting mobile network traffic has mostly been on developing single models for each individual data set [1] [2][3][4]. Other research works that have applied individual traditional forecasting approaches for prediction are Kalman filtering [5], ARIMA and exponential smoothing [6] and voice traffic forecasting for GSM using feedforward neural network [7]. Reference [8] applied four different models, linear regression, simple exponential regression, ARMA and dynamic harmonic regression (DHR) to analyze hourly, daily and weekly telecommunication traffic. Reference [9] applied a neural network ensemble to 44160 hourly data of HSDPA traffic and indicated that the neural network ensemble predict the traffic with high accuracy.

Several methods have been used to develop high precision techniques in forecasting 3G network traffic [9] [10] [11]. Reference [10] applied data mining technique in predicting the air interface load of 3G network traffic while reference [12] established that 3G cellular network resource management is influenced by factors such as number of users, multipath propagation, congestion control, transport protocol flow, etc.

Reference [13] designed a multiple fuzzy system architecture which is connected side by side. The model is able to predict time series data at dissimilar inserting lengths and time intervals; however the system could not predict instantaneous multiple outputs.

In another study, [14] proposed a multiple-input multiple-output Adaptive Neuro Fuzzy Inference System (MANFIS) model that considered overtaking incidents of vehicles for dissimilar time steps in the future. This model however, predicted two different time steps of the future as output using five inputs. However to the best of our knowledge the Coactive

© International Telecommunication Union, 2018

Some rights reserved. This work is available under the CC BY-NC-ND 3.0 IGO license: <u>https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/igo/</u>. More information regarding the license and suggested citation, additional permissions and disclaimers is available at https://www.itu.int/en/journal/002/Pages/default.aspx

Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System (CANFIS) model has never been used to simultaneously predict hourly, daily, weekly, monthly and quarterly 3G downlink traffic.

CANFIS is a multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) generalization of the Adaptive Neuro Fuzzy Inference System (ANFIS) structure [14]. Many researchers have explored the advantages of MIMO in the analysis and forecasting in several fields [14] [15] [16] [17]. For instance [17] used CANFIS with two inputs and three outputs in fault detection and diagnosis of railway track circuits. Reference [17] applied the CANFIS model to Australian regional flood and concluded that the model provided an accurate regional floods estimated level. The authors implemented multi-input single output (SISO) CANFIS architecture.

The ability of CANFIS models to work on multipleinput and multiple-output have been tested by other researchers: 7-input/4-output [18]; 9-input/6-output [16]. Reference [19] employed the CANFIS architecture with 6-inputs and 1-output to predict farm yields.

Reference [20] evaluated the capabilities of a CANFIS model for the prediction of flow through trapezoidal and rectangular rockfill dams. The authors in [21] predicted the electric load using the CANFIS and ANN models and concluded that the CANFIS model outperformed the ANN model.

The advantage of applying the CANFIS model is that it serves as a single model to predict five different time spans of telecommunication network traffic, unlike the traditional forecasting models, that use one model for each time span. In previous research no study has been conducted that has explored the forecasting of telecommunication network traffic using the multiple-input multiple-output CANFIS model with 5-input and 5-output: hourly, daily, weekly, monthly and quarterly data.

2. METHODOLOGY

The methodology section of this study highlights the approach adopted to instantaneously predict five-input 3G downlink traffic using the CANFIS network model and the selection of the best model.

2.1 CANFIS network architecture creation

CANFIS is an extension of the basic principles of the

earlier Adaptive Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System with a multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) architecture [21]. CANFIS is an improvement on the MISO ANFIS architecture to multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) configuration. The CANFIS architecture for five-input five-output is shown in Fig. 1 with five layers. There are five inputs of 3G downlink traffic, $x_1 = hourly data, x_2 =$ *daily data*, $x_3 = weekly data, x_4 =$ *weekly data and* $x_5 = hourly data$ with predicted hourly, daily, weekly, monthly and quarterly

The CANFIS structure consists of five layers whereby each one can be adaptive or fixed in performance [22]: Layer 1, Layer 2, Layer 3, layer 4 and Layer 5.

Layer 1(Premise parameters): Every node in this layer is a complex-valued membership function (μ_{ij}) with a node function:

$$O_{1,j} = \mu_{Ai}(x_1), for \ i = 1, 2.$$
 (1)

$$O_{1,j} = \mu_{Bi-2}(x_2), for \ i = 3, 4. \tag{2}$$

$$O_{1,j} = \mu_{Ci-4}(x_3), for \ i = 5, 6.$$
 (3)

$$O_{1,j} = \mu_{Di-6}(x_4), for \ i = 7, 8.$$
 (4)

$$O_{1,j}=\mu_{Ei-8}(x_5), for \ i=9,10. \ \ (5)$$

where,

outputs.

 $(A_1, A_2 \text{ or } B_1, B_2 \text{ or } C_1, C_2 \text{ or } D_1, D_2 \text{ or } E_1, E_2)$ represents the linguistic variable, $\mu_{Ai}(x_1), \mu_{Bi-2}(x_2), \mu_{Ci-4}(x_3), \mu_{Di-6}(x_4)$

and μ_{Ei} are some appropriate parameterized membership functions (MFs), x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 and x_5 are the input to the *i*th node.

Each node in Layer 1 is the membership grade of a fuzzy set (A_{ij}) and identifies the degree to which the given input fits to one of the fuzzy sets, which is represented in general as equation (6)

$$O_{1,j} = \left| \mu_{ij} A_{ij}(z_i) \right| \sqcup \mu_{ij} A_{ij}(z_i)$$

for $(1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m)$ (6)

where $O_{i,j}$ the membership grade of a fuzzy set A_{ij} , μ_{ij} is any suitable parameterized membership

function and z_i is a real number.

Layer 2 (Firing strength): Every node in this layer is the product of all the incoming signals. This layer receives input in the form of all the output pairs from the first layer:

$$for \ (1 \le i \le m) \tag{7}$$

$$O_{2,j} = \mu_{Ai}(x_1)\mu_{Bi}(x_2)\mu_{Ci}(x_3)\mu_{Di}(x_4)\mu_{Ei}(x_5),$$

for = 1,2,3,4,5. (8)

where w_{ij} is the weights equivalent to the *j*th MF of input *i*.



Fig. 1 – 5-input 5-output CANFIS architecture for telecommunication traffic

Layer 3 (Normalised firing strength): Every node in this layer calculates rational firing strength using the formula:

$$O_{3,j} = \overline{w_j} = \frac{w_j}{\sum_{j=i}^m w_j} \text{ for } (1 \le j \le m) (9)$$

where $\overline{w_i}$ is the output of layer 3.

Layer 4 (Consequence parameters): Every node in this layer is a multiplication of normalized firing strength from the third layer and output of the neural network given by:

$$O_{4,j} = \overline{w_j}\mu_j = \overline{w_j}(P_{J1}Z_1 + P_{J2}Z_2 + \cdots P_{Jn}Z_{2n} + q_j) \quad for \ (1 \le j \le m)$$
(10)

where $\overline{w_j}$ is the output of layer 3, $(P_{J1} + P_{J2} + \cdots P_{Jn})$ is the parameter set or consequent

parameters, *n* is the number of rules, *j* is the number of outputs.

Layer 5 (Overall output): This layer computes the output of the CANFIS network using equation (11) [20]:

$$O_{5,j} = \sum \overline{w_j} \mu_j \tag{11}$$

where $O_{5,j}$ is the overall output, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.

The CANFIS model combines fuzzy input with a modular neural network to rapidly explain poorly defined intricate functions using a basic component of fuzzy axon which applies a membership function (MF) to the input [15].

2.2 Configuration of the CANFIS network

In modelling the five-input five-output CANFIS

structure, a bell fuzzy axon with the bell-shaped curve as its MF was applied to the input telecommunication network traffic variable, hourly, daily, weekly, monthly and quarterly respectively as shown in equation (12). The fuzzy axon has the advantage of modifying the MF while the network training process continues over back propagation which ensures convergence. The MFs per input used were 3.

Bell function is given as [20]:

$$\mu_1(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \left|\frac{(x - c_1)}{a_1}\right|^{2b_i}}$$
(12)

where x = input to the node and a_1 , b_1 and c_1 are adaptable variables known as premise parameters.

From reference [23], the output of fuzzy axon is calculated using equation (13):

$$f_j(x,w) = \min \forall_i \left(MF(x_i, w_{ij}) \right)$$
(13)

where, *i* = input index, *j* = output index, x_i = input *i*, w_{ij} = weights (MF parameters) corresponding to the *j*th MF of input *i* and MF is the membership function of the particular subclass of the fuzzy axon.

The parameters applied for configuring the network are grouped under input and output as shown in Table 1. The momentum algorithm was chosen as the learning rule with the axon as the transfer function. The fuzzy model reasoning approach of the Tsukamoto model and the Sugeno model (TSK) were implemented.

| Input layer parame | ter | Output layer parameters | | |
|---------------------|------|-------------------------|----------------|--|
| Input PE | 5 | Transfer function | Axon | |
| Output PE | 5 | Learning rule | Momentum | |
| Exemplars | 271 | Step size | 1 | |
| Hidden layer | 0 | Momentum | 0.7 | |
| Membership function | Bell | Maximum epochs | 1000 | |
| MFs per input | 3 | Termination | MSE (Increase) | |
| Fuzzy model | TSK | Weight update | Batch | |

Table 1 – Network parameter selection for configuration of CANFIS model

2.3 Initialization of the CANFIS network

For a model initialization, a common rule set with n input and m IF-THEN rules are used in equation (14), equation (15) and equation (16) as follows [23]:

Rule 1: If
$$z_1$$
 is A_{11} and z_2 is A_{12} ... and z_n is A_{1n}

then
$$u_1 = p_{11}z_1 + p_{12}z_2 + \dots + p_{1n}z_n + q_1$$
 (14)

Rule 2: If z_1 is A_{21} and z_2 is A_{22} ... and z_n is A_{2n}

then
$$u_2 = p_{21}z_1 + p_{22}z_2 + \dots + p_{2n}z_n + q_2$$
 (15)

Rule m: If z_1 is A_{m1} and z_2 is A_{m2} ... and

then
$$u_m = p_{m1}z_1 + p_{m2}z_2 + \dots + p_{mn}z_n + q_m$$
 (16)

2.4 Prediction measure of accuracy

In order to determine the goodness of fit of the CANFIS models the following statistical measures are used as shown mathematically in equation (17), equation (18) and equation (19). A model with a minimum value is selected for forecasting.

Mean squared error (MSE) is calculated as:

$$MSE = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{P-1} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} (d_{ij} - y_{ij})^2}{NP}$$
(17)

Normalised root mean squared error (NRMSE) is given as:

$$NRMSE = \frac{\sqrt{MSE}}{\sum_{j=0}^{P-1} \frac{max(d_{ij}) - min(d_{ij})}{P}}$$
(18)

 z_n is A_{mn}

The percent error (%Error) is computed as:

$$\% Error = \frac{100}{NP} \sum_{j=0}^{P-1} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{|dy_{ij} - dd_{ij}|}{dd_{ij}} \quad (19)$$

where, *P* is the number of output processing elements, *N* is the number of exemplars in the data set, y_{ij} is the network output for exemplar *i* at processing element *j*, d_{ij} is the desired output for exemplar *i* at processing element *j*, dy_{ij} is the denormalised network output for exemplar *i* at processing element *j*, dd_{ij} is the denormalised desired output for exemplar *i* at processing element *j*, dd_{ij} is the denormalised desired output for exemplar *i* at processing element.

3. ANALYSIS AND RESULTS

3.1 Data collection and specification

The data used for this study is obtained from a mobile network operator in Ghana with a nationwide coverage of three hundred and eighty (380) active NodeBs connected to three radio network controllers (RNCs). The network operator recorded an estimated figure of 3,889,821 data subscribers according to 2017 statistics [24].

The experimental 3G downlink traffic data was collected from 2015 to 2017. It consists of 957 samples of hourly traffic, 707 samples of daily traffic, 178 samples of weekly traffic, 72 samples of monthly traffic and 15 samples of quarterly traffic. The different samples of the 3G downlink traffic for

modeling are illustrated in Table 2. Each sample is divided into three parts of 70% training, 15% for validation and 15% for testing. The data set analysis was carried out by NeuroSolutions software version 7.1 and Eviews software.

Table 4 shows the descriptive statistics of 3G downlink traffic under five classifications of hourly, daily, weekly, monthly and quarterly. The weekly and quarterly data had negative skewness while hourly, daily and monthly data produced positive skewness.

3.2 CANFIS network training

Table 4 illustrates the training results of the CANFIS network using the Momentum learning algorithm and an Axon transfer function. The data with 70%:15%:15% architecture was selected as the allocation with minimum percent error of 32.34 and MSE of 0.00298.

In Table 5, five different architectures of CANFIS were analysed with varying learning rule and transfer functions.

The best network with minimum values of MSE, NRMSE and percent error of 0.000406, 0.0112 and 12.33% respectively using Table 5 is the CANFIS (5-2-5) model with an Axon transfer function and Momentum learning rule.

| Data | Hourly (957 samples) | Daily (707 samples) | Weekly (178 samples) | Monthly (72 samples) | Quarterly (15 samples) |
|------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Training | 671 | 495 | 126 | 50 | 9 |
| Validation | 143 | 106 | 26 | 11 | 3 |
| Testing | 143 | 106 | 26 | 11 | 3 |

Table 2 – Training, testing and validation sample of 3G downlink traffic

| | | - | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| Statistic | 957 samples (Hourly) | 707 samples (Daily) | 178 samples (Weekly) | 72 samples (Monthly) | 15 samples (Quarterly) |
| Mean | 413531.6 | 553128.8 | 1362434.0 | 3114591.0 | 13919290 |
| Median | 409333.4 | 431660.2 | 1619248.0 | 2383673.0 | 14087025 |
| Maximum | 1041087.0 | 3391268.0 | 2709330.0 | 10691053 | 20920417 |
| Minimum | 78563.67 | 205131.2 | 437722.6 | 270005.7 | 6388322.0 |
| Std. Deviation | 186704.9 | 329937.4 | 553089.7 | 2839062 | 6582633.0 |
| Skewness | 0.466702 | 1.819760 | -0.105359 | 0.794602 | -0.019465 |
| Kurtosis | 3.018888 | 10.54329 | 2.168778 | 2.295719 | 1.100051 |
| Jarque-Bera | 34.75510 | 2066.423 | 5.453709 | 9.064749 | 2.257075 |

Table 3 – Descriptive statistics of 3G downlink data traffic

| Statistic | 957 samples | 707 samples | 178 samples | 72 samples | 15 samples |
|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| | (Hourly) | (Daily) | (Weekly) | (Monthly) | (Quarterly) |
| Probability | 0.000000 | 0.000000 | 0.065425 | 0.010755 | 0.323506 |

 Table 4 – CANFIS architecture training results

| | | 0 | | |
|--|---------|--------|-------|---------|
| CANFIS Architecture (Training: Validation: Testing) | MSE | NMSE | R | % Error |
| (70%:15%:15%) | 0.00298 | 0.0303 | 0.71 | 32.34 |
| (70%:10%:20%) | 0.00373 | 0.0339 | 0.70 | 34.89 |
| (80%:10%:10%) | 0.00460 | 0.0377 | 0.698 | 36.70 |
| (60%:10%:30%) | 0.0034 | 0.0328 | 0.683 | 36.24 |

| CANFIS Model | 5-3-5 | 5-3-5 | 5-2-5 | 5-2-5 | 5-2-5 |
|-------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Transfer function | TanhAxon | TanhAxon | TanhAxon | Axon | TanhAxon |
| Learning Rule | Step | RProp | RProp | Momentum | Step |
| MSE | 0.0092 | 0.0021 | 0.00108 | 0.000406 | 0.00559 |
| NRMSE | 0.0531 | 0.0252 | 0.01825 | 0.01120 | 0.0415 |
| R | 0.5968 | 0.7868 | 0.9268 | 0.9737 | 0.6262 |
| % Error | 48 37 | 21.05 | 17 82 | 12 33 | 52 55 |

Table 5 – Selection of network architecture for forecasting

The CANFIS (5-2-5) model testing window with convergence rate for the data is shown in Fig. 2. The active cost curve plot indicates that with testing data the algorithm has successfully undergone generalization and thereby converging to zero.

3.3 Network Validation

The CANFIS (5-2-5) network for five-input fiveoutput data with 2 MFs per input, was validated by comparing the actual and predicted traffic as demonstrated in Fig. 3 for hourly traffic prediction.

The daily, weekly, monthly and quarterly prediction of 3G traffic are exhibited in Fig. 4, Fig. 5, Fig. 6 and Fig. 7. The CANFIS model employed the Bell membership function, Axon transfer function and Momentum learning rule. The membership function per input was varied between 2 and 7.



Fig. 2 - CANFIS (5-2-5) testing window

The study found out that with the increase in the number of inputs, the CANFIS model produce accurate traffic forecasting when membership functions per input are 2. Therefore the best model for predicting five-input telecommunication traffic was CANFIS (5-2-5).



Fig. 3 - Actual and predicted 3G hourly traffic using the CANFIS (5-2-5) model



Fig. 4 - Actual and predicted 3G daily traffic using the CANFIS (5-2-5) model







Fig. 6 - Actual and predicted 3G monthly traffic using the CANFIS (5-2-5) model



Fig. 7 – Actual and predicted 3G quarterly traffic using the CANFIS (5-2-5) model

4. CONCLUSION

The CANFIS model has been used to predict five time spans of hourly, daily, weekly, monthly and quarterly 3G downlink data simultaneously. In this approach five different CANFIS models were developed and the CANFIS (5-2-5) model was selected as the best. The model was evaluated by comparing the forecast with actual data obtained from 3G mobile operator and the results showed a good performance with minimum values of MSE, NRMSE and percent error of 0.000486, 0.01120 and 12.33%.

In the future, a genetic algorithm optimization technique will be explored to improve on the delay in training of the CANFIS model when membership function per input and multiple input data are increased.

REFERENCE

- [1] Y. Yu, M. Song, Y. Fu and J. Song, "Traffic prediction in 3G mobile networks based on multifractal exploration", Tsinghua *Science and Technology*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 398-405, August 2013.
- [2] B. Yang, W. Guo, Y. Jin and S. Wang, "Smartphone data usage: downlink and uplink asymmetry", *Electronics Letters*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 243-245, February 2014.
- [3] X. Dong, W. Fan and J. Gu, "Predicting LTE throughput using traffic timeseries", *ZTE Communications*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 61-64 December 2015.

- [4] Purnawansyah and Haviluddin, "Comparing performance of backpropagation and RBF neural network models for predicting daily 4th network traffic", The Makassar International Conference Electrical on Enaineerina and Informatics (MICEEI). Makassar City, Indonesia, pp. 166-169, 2014.
- [5] M. D. Junior, J. D. Gadze, and D. K. Anipa, "Short-term traffic volume prediction in UMTS networks using the Kalman filter algorithm", *International Journal of Mobile Network Communications & Telematics*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 31-40, 2013.
- [6] X. Dong, W. Fan, and J. Gu, "Predicting LTE throughput using traffic time series", *ZTE Communications*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 61-64, December 2015.
- [7] G. Pandey, K. M. Siddiqui and A. K. Choudhary, "Telecom voice traffic prediction for GSM using feedforward neural network", *International Journal of Engineering Science and Technology*, vol. 5 no. 3, pp. 505-511, March 2013.
- [8] P. Svoboda, M. Buerger, and M. Rupp, "Forecasting of traffic load in a live 3G packet switched core network", 5th International Symposium on Communication Systems, Networks and Digital Signal Processing, CNSDSP 2008, Graz, Austria, 25 July, 2008.
- [9] I. A. Lawal, S. A. Abdulkarim, M. K. Hassan, and J. M. Sadiq, "Improving HSDPA traffic forecasting using ensemble of neural networks", 15th IEEE International Conference on Machine Learning and Applications (ICMLA), Anaheim, USA, 18-20 December, 2016.

- [10] D. Radosavljevik, and P. Vander Putten, "Large scale predictive modelling for microsimulation of 3G air interface load", *Proceedings of the 20th ACM SIGKDD International Conference on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining KDD'14*, pp. 1620-1629, New York, USA, August 24-27, 2014.
- [11] N. S. Jaddi, S. Abdullah, and A. R. Hamdan, "Multi-population cooperative bat algorithmbased optimization of artificial neural network model", *Information Sciences*, vol. 294, pp. 628-644, 2014.
- [12] V. Aggarwal, R. Jana, J. Pang, K. K. Ramakrishnan, and N. K. Shankaranarayanan, "Characterizing fairness for 3G wireless networks", 18th IEEE Workshop on Local & Metropolitan Area Networks (LANMAN), Chapel Hill, USA, 13-14 October, 2011.
- [13] M.-S. Kim, and S.-G. Kong, "Time series prediction using the parallel-structure fuzzy system", *IEEE International Fuzzy Systems Conference Proceedings*, Seoul, South Korea, pp. 934-938, 22-25 August, 1999.
- [14] A. Ghaffari, A. Khodayari, and F. Alimardani, H. Sadati, "MANFIS-Based overtaking manoeuvre modeling and prediction of a driver-vehicle-unit in real traffic flow", 2012 IEEE International Conference on Vehicular Electronics and Safety, Istanbul, Turkey, pp. 387-392, July 24-27, 2012.
- [15] K. Aziz, A. Rahman, A. Y. Shamseldin, and M. Shaoib, "Co-active Neuro-Fuzzy System for regional flood estimation in Australia, *Journal* of Hydrology and Environment Research, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 11-20, 2013.
- [16] T. O. Hanafy, A. S. Al-Osaimy, M. M. Al-Harthi, and A. A. Aly, "Identification of uncertain nonlinear MIMO spacecraft systems using Coactive NeuroFuzzy Inference System (CANFIS)", *International Journal of Control, Automation and Systems*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 25-37, 2014.

- [22] Q. Ruiyun, L. Zhu, and B. Jiang, (2013), "Faulttolerance reconfigurable control for MIMO Systems using online fuzzy identification", *International Journal of Innovative Computing Information and Control*, vol. 9, no. 10, pp. 3915-3928.
- [18] A. B. Adeyemo, "Soft Computing for weather and climate change studies", *African Journal of Computing and ICT*, vol.6, no. 2, pp. 77-90, 2013.
- [19] S. Firoozi, M. J. Sheikhdavoodi, and M. Sami, "Evaluating the ability of different Artificial Intelligence-based modelling techniques in prediction of yield using energy inputs data of Farms", *Journal of Life Science and Biomedicine*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 305-311, 2014.
- [20] M. Heydari, and P. H. Talaee, "Prediction of flow through rockfill dams using a Neuro-Fuzzy Computing technique", *The Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 515-528, 2011.
- [21] S. Hemachandra, and R. V. S. Satyanarayana, "Co-active Neuro-Fuzzy Inference System for prediction of electric load", *International Journal of Electrical and Electronics*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 217-222, 2013.
- [22] S. K. Bhuvaneswari, P. Geetha, and K. J. Devi, "Semantic classification and region growing of MRI using CANFIS model for Tumor identification", *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 43-52, 2014.
- [23] L. Parthiban, and R. Subramanian, "Intelligent heart disease prediction system using CANFIS and Genetic algorithm", *International Journal* of Biological and Life Science, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 157-160, 2007.
- [24] National Communications Authority, Telecom Statistics, September 2017. <u>https://www.nca.org.gh/industry-data-</u> <u>2/market-share-statistics-2/voice-2/</u> [Accessed: 21st June, 2018]