Coordinating Committee of Business Interlocutors (CCBI) Input

WSIS PrepCom 1 (1-5 July 2002)

Coordinating Committee of Business Interlocutors (CCBI) Comments

Comments from the Coordinating Committee of Business Interlocutors (CCBI) on themes and issues for the World Summit on the Information Society

CCBI Members

International Chamber of Commerce-ICC (Chair) Business Council for the United Nations-BCUN Business and Industry Advisory Committee to the OECD-BIAC Global Information Infrastructure Commission-GIIC Global Business Dialogue on e-commerce-GBDe Information Technology Association of America/ World Information and Technology Services Alliance-ITAA/WITSA United States Commission on International Business-USCIB World Economic Forum-WEF Money Matters Institute

CCBI larger group members: ICC National Committees, Groups and Members in 130 Countries Internet Law & Policy Forum-ILPF

International Organization of Employers-IOE

<u>General</u>

CCBI welcomes very much Document 4 prepared for PrepCom 1 "Proposed Themes For The Summit And Possible Outcomes". CCBI as a primary voice of business particularly welcomes the overall focus as noted in points 7 and 12.

We have carefully looked at annex 1 "An illustration of the range of issues that may be relevant to the Information Society". We would like to propose a business view of the "clustering" of issues.

- We feel that an understanding of the different roles of government, business and civil society is such an overarching issue that it should be addressed as a separate issue.
- There are some concrete issues that require leadership from government, with the input of business and civil society. There are also other issues that require primary leadership from the private sector.

We have noted on the attached "clusters" sheet some of these issues under 'building an appropriate framework'. They are further elaborated in section 2 of the attached Global Action Plan for Electronic Business (current draft 4th edition).

- I. Roles of stakeholders in shaping the information society
 - Governments
 - Business
 - Civil Society
- II. Building an appropriate policy framework
 - Creating an environment that attracts investment in technology and infrastructure, including telecommunications liberalization
 - Intellectual property rights
 - Taxation; Competition; Customs
 - Jurisdiction and applicable law
 - Freedom of communication over the internet
 - Security
 - Privacy
 - Data protection
- III. Services and applications/supportive frameworks
 - Facilitating trade in ICT goods and services
 - Facilitating the delivery of government services
 - Facilitating institution building for ICT
- IV. User issues
 - Affordability
 - Access to infrastructures, information and knowledge
 - Local content and applications
 - Confidence-building measures for online transactions
- V. ICTs as a tool for development
 - Identifying key components necessary to seize digital opportunities, particularly in LDCs
 - Investment in education to develop a skilled workforce
 - Mobilizing ICT for education and training particularly for youth
 - Mobilizing ICT for health
 - Fostering entrepreneurship

A Global Action Plan for Electronic Business













A Global Action Plan

for Electronic Business

Prepared by Business with

Recommendations for Governments

3rd edition

(For publication 30 July 2002)

Collectively, the Alliance for Global Business represents the following organizations;

Arbeitsgemeinschaft für Datenverarbeitung (ADV), Austria Asociación Española de Empresas de Tecnologías de la Información (SEDISI), Spain Asociación Mexicana de la Industria de Tecnologías de Información (AMITI), Mexico Associação Industrial Portuguesa (Portuguese Industrial Association) Associação Portugesa das Empresas de Tecnologias de Informação e Comunicações (APESI), Portugal Association for Consulting to Business (APP), Czech Republic Association Française des Utilisateurs du Téléphone et des Télécommunications, (AFUTT) Association of Telecommunication Users (AUTEL), Spain Association of the Computer Industry (PIKOM), Malysia Association Suisse d'Usagers de Télécommunicatons, (ASUT) Associazione Nazionale Aziende Servizi Informatica e Telematica Italy Associazione Nazionale Utenti Italiani di Telecomunicazioni (ANUIT) Associatione vazionale orem tranam un relecondine Australian Chamber of Commerce and Industry Australian Information Industry Association (AIIA) Australian Telecommunications Users Group (ATUG) AUT, Czech Republic Bangladesh Computer Samity (BCS) Belgian Telecommunications Users Group (BELTUG) Bundesverband der Deutschen Industrie (Federation of German Industries) Bundesverband Informationstechnologien (BVITeV), German Bundesvereinigung der Deutschen Arbeitgeberverbände (Confederation of German Employers' Associations) Cámara de Empresas de Software y Servicios Informáticos (CESSI), Argentina Canadian Council for International Business CEHIC (Confederation of Hungarian Employers' Organisations for International Cooperation) Colombian Software Federation (FEDECOLSOFT) Computer Suppliers' Association of Zimbabwe (COMSA) Computing Services & Software Association (CSSA), United Kingdom Confederacion de Camaras Industriales de los Estados Unidos Mexicanos (CONCAMIN) (Mexican Confederation of Chambers of Industry) Confederacion Espanola de Organizaciones Empresariales (Spanish Confederation of Employers' Organizations) Confederacion Patronal de la Républica Mexicana (COPARMEX) (Employers Confederation of the Mexican Republic) Confederation of British Industry United States Council for International Business Confederation of Industry of the Czech Republic Confederazione Generale dell'Industria Italiana (General Confederation of Italian Industry) Dansk Arbejdsgiverforening (Danish Employers' Confederation) Dansk Dataforening (DDF), Denmark Dansk Industri (Confederation of Danish Industries) Fédération des Entreprises de Belgique (Federation of Belgian Industry - FEB-VBO) Fédération des Industriels Luxembourgeois (Federation of Luxembourg Industrialists) Federation of Dutch Branch Associations in Information Technology (FENIT) Federation of Hellenic Information Technology Enterprises (SEPE Federation of Korean Industries (FKI) Federation of Korean Information Industries (FKII) Hong Kong Information Technology Federation (HKITF) Hong Kong Telecommunications Users Group (HKTUG) ICC Argentina ICC Australia ICC Austria ICC Bahrain ICC Bangladesh ICC Belgium ICC Brazil ICC Burkina Faso ICC Canada ICC Chile ICC China ICC Colombia ICC Cyprus ICC Denmark ICC Ecuador ICC Egypt ICC Finland ICC France ICC Germany ICC Ghana ICC Greece ICC Hungary ICC Iceland ICC India ICC Indonesia ICC Iran (Islamic Republic of) ICC Ireland ICC Israel ICC Italy ICC Japan ICC Jordan ICC Korea ICC Kuwait ICC Lebanon ICC Lithuania ICC Luxembourg ICC Mexico ICC Morocco ICC Netherlands

ICC New Zealand ICC Norway ICC Peru ICC Philippines ICC Portugal ICC Saudi Arabia ICC Singapore ICC South Africa ICC Spain ICC Sri Lanka ICC Sweden ICC Switzerland ICC Syria ICC Thailand ICC Togo ICC Tunisia ICC Turkey ICC Ukraine ICC United Kingdom ICC Uruguay ICC Venezuela ICC Yugoslavia ICC Caribbean Chinese Taipei Business Council of ICC Hong Kong, China Business Council of ICC Information Service Industry Association of China, Taipei (CISA) China Information Technology Association of America (ITAA) Information Technology Association of Canada (ITAC) Information Technology Association of New Zealand (ITANZ) Information Technology Services Association (TIPAL), Finland International Communication User Group (ICUG), Ireland International Communications Association (ICA), USA International Press Telecommunications Council (IPTC) Irish Business and Employers Confederation Israeli Association of Software Houses (IASH) IT Association of South Africa (ITA) IT&C Association of Romania (ATIC) Japan Information Service Industry Association (JISA) Keidanren - BIAC Japan (Federation of Economic Organizations) Konfederacja Pracodawcow Polskich (Confederation of Polish Employers) L'Association des Professionnels de L'Informatique de la Bureautique et de la Telematique (APEBI), Morocco Mongolian National Information Technology Association Mouvement des Entreprises de France (MEDEF) (French Enterprises Association) Naeringslivets Hovedorganisasjon (Confederation of Norwegian Business and Industry) Näringslivets Telekommitté (NTK), Sweden National Association of Software and Service Companies (NASSCOM), India National Telecommunications User Group (NTUG), South Africa Nederlandse vereniging van bedrijfs telecommunicatie grootgebruikers (BTG) New Zealand Employers' Federation Norsk Tele- og Informasjonsbrukerforening (NORTIB) Polish Chamber of Information Technology and Telecommunications (Polska Izba Informatyki i Telekomunikacji - PIIiT) Singapore Information Technology Federation (SITF) Sociedade de Usuários de Informática e Telecomunicações - Sao Paulo (Sucesu-SP), Brazil Software Industry Federation in Northern Ireland (SIF) Svenska Arbetsgivareföreningen (Swedish Employers' Confederation) Sveriges Industriförbund (Federation of Swedish Industries) Swedish IT-companies' Organisation AB. Sweden Syndesmos Ellinikon Viomichanion (Federation of Greek Industries) Syntec Informatique, France Telecom eV, Germany Telecom Forum Africa Ltd Telecommunications Managers Association (TMA), UK Telecommunications Users Association (TUA), UK Telecommunications Users Association of New Zealand (TUANZ) Teollisuuden Ja Työnantajain Keskuslitto (Confederation of Finnish Industry and Employers) The Association of Lithuania's Information, technology, telecommunications and office equipment (INFOBALT) The Association of Thai Computer Industry (ATCI) The Co-operative Society for Computers of Egypt (CSCE) Türk Sanayicileri ve Isadamlari Dernegi (TÜSIAD - Turkish Industrialists' and Businessmen's Association) Türkiye Isveren Sendikalari Konfederasyonu (TISK Turkish Confederation of Employer Associations) Türkiye Ticaret - Sanayi - Deniz Ticaret Odalari ve Borsalari Birligi (TOBB -Union of Chambers of Commerce, Industry, Maritime Commerce and Commodity Exchanges of Turkey) Union Patronale Suisse (Confederation of Swiss Employers) Union Suisse du Commerce et de l'Industrie - Vorort (Swiss Federation of Commerce and Industry) United States Council for International Business (USCIB) Vereinigung Der Österreichischer Industrieller (Federation of Austrian Industry) Vereinigung von TK-netzbetreibern die Finanzsektors (VTF), Austria Vereinigung von TK-netzbetreibern des Finanzsektors (VTF), Austria NCW) Vinnuveitendasamband Islands (Confederation of Icelandic Employers)

This Action Plan is supported by:

World Association of Newspapers (WAN) World Economic Forum (WEF) World Federation of Advertisers (WFA) World Trade Centres Association (WTCA) International Express Carriers Conference (IECC) International Federation of Freight Forwarders' Associations (FIATA) Eurobit Eurocommerce **European Community Shipowners' Association (ECSA)** European Society for Opinion and Marketing Research (ESOMAR) Federation of European Direct Marketing (FEDMA) Japan-US Business Council **US-Japan Business Council** Advertising Agencies' Association of New Zealand Advertising Standards Authority New Zealand **Canadian Chamber of Commerce** Canadian Council for International Business (CCIB) Chamber of Commerce and Industry – Haifa and Northern Israel Federation of German Industry (BDI) German Association of Chambers of Commerce and Industry (DIHT) Association of New Zealand Advertisers German Electrical and Electronic Manufacturers' Association (ZVEI) German Information Technology Manufacturers' Association (FVIT) Information Technology Association of Canada (ITAC) Keidanren New Zealand Chambers of Commerce **New Zealand Direct Marketing Association** Pacific Basin Economic Council (PBEC) Pacific Economic Cooperation Council (PECC) TIIF The British Phonographic Industry Ltd (BPI) US Council for International Business (USCIB)

In cooperation with:

Association Européenne pour la Protection des Oeuvres et services Cryptés (AEPOC) Internet Law and Policy Forum (ILPF)

Table of contents

A Global Action Plan for Electronic Commerce Prepared by Business with recommendations for Governments

| I. | Intr | oduction to the third edition Background This document | 6 | | |
|------|----------------|--|-------------------|--|--|
| II. | Fun | damental principles | | | |
| III. | | | | | |
| 111. | An Action Plan | | | | |
| | 1. | Maximizing the benefits – economic and social impacts | 9 | | |
| | | Economic and social impacts | 12 | | |
| | | Small and medium-sized enterprises | 12 | | |
| | | Skills development | | | |
| | | Ensuring global participation | 13 | | |
| | | Infrastructure deployment | | | |
| | | Government as model user | 14 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 2. | Electronic business and the information infrastructure – trade | • · | | |
| | | standards, and Internet names and numbers | | | |
| | | Competition and trade-related aspects of electronic commerce | | | |
| | | Telecommunications competition | | | |
| | | IT equipment | | | |
| | | Trade-related aspects of electronic commerce | | | |
| | | Trade-related aspects of intellectual property | | | |
| | | Convergence. | | | |
| | | Standards | | | |
| | | Internet names and numbers | | | |
| | | Domain name system | | | |
| | 3. | Building trust for users and consumers | | | |
| | | Protection of personal information | | | |
| | | Privacy and transborder flows of data | | | |
| | | Internet privacy | | | |
| | | Consumer trust and content | | | |
| | | Consumer trust | | | |
| | | Online Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) | | | |
| | | Content | | | |
| | | Marketing and advertising ethics | | | |
| | | Unsolicited commercial communications | | | |
| | | Issues relating to cybersecurity | | | |
| | | Promotion of technology and services development to | ······ <i>L</i>) | | |
| | | ensure security | 29 | | |
| | | Cryptography for confidentiality | | | |
| | | Information sharing | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | Cybercrime | |
|----|--|----|
| | Business monitoring of its own communications and networks | 31 |
| | Data storage requirements | 32 |
| | Searches and subpoenas of computer records | |
| | Access to public domain information | |
| | Legal government interception of telecommunications | |
| | and electronic communications | |
| | Electronic authentication | |
| | Legal validity of electronic signatures; interoperability of | |
| | certificates and electronic signatures | |
| | Accreditation | |
| | Availability of certification practice statements | 36 |
| 4. | Establishing ground rules for the digital marketplace | |
| | Contractual and other legal issues | |
| | Removing legal/regulatory obstacles | |
| | Create a new uniform legal framework | |
| | Jurisdiction and applicable law | |
| | Incorporation by reference | |
| | Transparency and availability of proprietary and best practice | |
| | legal terms, model contracts etc | 39 |
| | Dispute settlement | |
| | Fraud and other commercial crime | |
| | Liability | |
| | Taxation and tariffs | |
| | Customs duties | |
| | Taxation | |
| | Trade facilitation and customs modernization | |
| | Private/public sector interface | |
| | Customs modernization | |
| | Capacity building | |
| | Intellectual property | |
| | Copyright and neighbouring rights | |
| | Trademarks | |
| | Databases | |
| | | |

| IV. | Annex - selected industry self-regulatory initiatives |
|-----|---|
|-----|---|

I. Introduction to the third edition

Background

This third edition of the Global Action Plan for Electronic Business published by the Alliance for Global Business (AGB) reflects a number of developments in the global discussions about electronic business since the first Global Action Plan was submitted on behalf of business to the OECD Ministerial in Ottawa, Canada, in October 1998. It also responds to a commitment that the AGB made in Ottawa on behalf of business globally to submit evidence, after one year, that the various business actions set forth in the Global Action Plan were being properly implemented.

In its first edition, the Global Action Plan urged governments to rely on business selfregulation and the voluntary use of empowering technologies as the main drivers behind the creation of trust across the whole spectrum of users and providers of e-commerce goods and services. It also stated that governments should focus on the provision of a stable and predictable environment enabling the enforcement of electronic contracts, the protection of intellectual property and safeguarding competition. Furthermore, the Action Plan provided a detailed overview of which issues were the respective responsibilities of the private and the public sectors, and included an exemplary selection of self-regulatory projects.

The organizations in the AGB and the many Action Plan supporters believe that implementation of the business agenda expressed in the Action Plan is progressing satisfactorily. As this update shows, in many areas there is strong evidence that business is responding to the new environment by providing unprecedented transparency and safeguards for consumers. Sometimes the results of such business action can be easily quantified, but more often it is reflected in the figures showing a rapid expansion of e-business globally.

Self-regulation is not a new phenomenon. Throughout history, business has set its own standard rules and practices through a variety of organizations to lower transaction costs, to avoid and resolve conflicts, and to create consumer confidence.

The pace of change and nascent state of electronic business have heightened the risks associated with premature or unnecessary government regulation. This has increased the responsibility of business to promote a trustworthy environment through self-regulation and technological innovation. Business has a strong market incentive to foster the empowerment of users, but will only make the necessary investments if it can trust that governments will recognize and reinforce the leadership of business in responding to the highly dynamic nature of electronic business.

This document...

Part II of this document establishes a set of fundamental principles as the basis for the framework in which policymaking for electronic commerce should take place.

Part III presents a proposed framework for action that has been agreed to by the world's leading international business organizations that are working together to provide business leadership, in cooperation with governments and others. The plan describes business actions and commitments in concrete terms and identifies business expectations of government action. It is proposed as a reference point for all relevant business and government organizations to contribute to the efficient and transparent development of the minimal rules necessary for a stable and predictable electronic commerce environment.

The action plan calls for a "hands off" approach by government on certain issues, whilst recognizing that electronic business raises many important public policy considerations that may require governmental facilitation. Government intervention may be required in such matters as intellectual property protection, taxation, and the removal of barriers to competition in providing the underlying infrastructure. However, in other matters, business solutions such as self-regulation and technology tools are the preferred and more effective means than legislation to create trust in electronic business transactions.

The objective of the action plan is to provide an inventory of fundamental business views on the issues that government must deal with and to give a clear overview of business action in those areas where market-driven, industry-led solutions are most likely to be found. The action plan also responds to various high-level statements made by governments in recent years about the need for business to lead the development of electronic business. Business accepts this task and proposes this revised action plan as a mechanism for providing such leadership in a coordinated and transparent fashion.

Part IV of the document presents a sample compilation of successful self-regulatory solutions currently in use or being developed, and indicates progress made in those projects that were also featured in the first and second editions of the Global Action Plan.

Finally, Part V describes the Alliance for Global Business.

It is the intent of the authors for this document to be a "living document" that evolves as electronic business implementation unfolds and provides experience and new evidence of successful projects.

To this end, we renew our invitation to readers to submit comments for improvement and, where appropriate, endorsements to:

Ayesha Hassan

Senior Policy Manager, Electronic Business, IT, and Telecoms, ICC Tel: +33 1 49 53 30 13; Fax: +33 1 49 53 28 59; e-mail: <u>ayesha.hassan@iccwbo.org</u>

II. Fundamental principles

Business believes that a number of fundamental principles should shape the policies that govern electronic business if the promises of electronic business are to be fulfilled. In this context, we provide the following Policy Principles for Global Electronic Business. We expect that these Principles may need to be extended as insights are gained from the development of the marketplace.

- 1. The development of electronic business should be led primarily by the private sector in response to market forces.
- 2. Participation in electronic business should be pursued through an open and fair competitive market.
- 3. Government intervention, when required, should promote a stable, international legal environment, allow a fair allocation of scarce resources and protect public interest. Such intervention should be no more than is essential and should be clear, transparent, objective, non-discriminatory, proportional, flexible, and technologically neutral.
- 4. Mechanisms for private sector input and involvement in policy making should be promoted and widely used in all countries and international fora.
- 5. Electronic business is global by nature. Government policies that affect it should be internationally co-ordinated and compatible, and should facilitate interoperability within an international, voluntary and consensus-based environment for standards setting.
- 6. Transactions conducted using electronic business means should receive neutral tax treatment in comparison to transactions using non-electronic means. Taxation of electronic business should be consistent with established, internationally accepted practices, and administered in the least burdensome manner.
- 7. Regulation of the underlying telecommunications infrastructure, when necessary, should enable actors to compete, globally, in an open and fair market. As competition develops, regulation should be phased out and there should be a greater reliance on competition law.
- 8. The protection of users, in particular with regard to privacy, confidentiality, anonymity and content control should be pursued through policies driven by choice, individual empowerment, industry-led solutions. It will be in accordance with applicable laws.
- 9. Business should make available to consumers and, where appropriate, business users the means to exercise choice with respect to privacy, confidentiality, content control and, under appropriate circumstances, anonymity.
- 10. A high level of trust in the global information infrastructure and services should be pursued by mutual agreement, education, further technological innovations to enhance security and reliability, adoption of adequate dispute resolution mechanisms, and private sector self-regulation.

III. An action plan

This section aims to provide fundamental business views on:

- (a) the main barriers to the development of electronic business
- (b) solutions already developed by government and business
- (c) the respective roles of governments and business, and, within that context
 - actions business is taking with respect to specific problems; and
 - actions business considers governments should take.

1. Maximizing the benefits - economic and social impacts

Electronic business is an innovative approach to ensuring future sustainable economic growth. Throughout the world, the profound impact of electronic commerce on the economies and societies of the globe will undoubtedly improve economic efficiency, competitiveness and profitability and the development of the information society. Within such an environment countries in all stages of development will have the opportunity to benefit by:

- increasing internal organizational and management efficiency;
- increasing transaction efficiency and reducing transaction costs for both suppliers and buyers;
- extending market reach of suppliers and increasing choice for both suppliers and consumers;
- having access to accurate information to improve service delivery such as in health provision or the provision of information to consumers.

Electronic business facilitates established business-to-business commercial relations, sales by companies to consumers, and exchanges between consumers. It affects the business environment at national, regional and global levels, and generates major opportunities, and new challenges, for market growth and development of jobs, industries and services. Consequently, internationally coordinated efforts are essential in order to secure the economic benefits of electronic commerce for both the information "rich" and the information "poor".

Electronic business creates a number of positive impacts, including:

- Shrinking the production and distribution chain by reducing intermediation and changing its nature. In addition, using networks to integrate markets directly with suppliers and inventory-tracking procedures can help reduce costs and allow more flexible production methods.
- The ability to compare prices and other terms globally.
- Providing virtual shopping facilities that will change concepts of retailing for a number of goods and services and enhancing the ability of customers to browse and choose new products and services.

- Increasing market competition as costs for consumers are reduced and as market entry barriers for suppliers are lowered, enabling suppliers to address directly market segments that were previously uneconomical.
- Raising productivity growth and the development of new activities will lead to new job creation, but will also result in the demand for new skills.
- Increased employment figures boost government revenues through more taxable income.

Measuring electronic business as accurately as conventional commerce is not easy given the difficulty of defining it and adequately capturing the value associated with it. Nevertheless, such data are needed to focus the policy debate so that action is directed towards activities that accurately reflect electronic commerce and its contributions to economic growth and development.

Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises (SMEs) represent an increasingly important dimension of the global economy. Particular efforts should be made to promote SME involvement in electronic commerce to ensure that they can take maximum advantage of the ability of telecommunications and information technologies to deliver cost efficiencies, quality control and competitiveness in manufacturing and service industries. As one of the most dynamic sectors of a growing economy, SMEs play a critical role in creating employment and enhancing Gross Domestic Product. Electronic commerce provides SMEs with lower market entry costs and the ability to extend geographic reach to a much larger market.

Electronic commerce is changing the way we do business. We have moved from an industrial economy where machines dominated productivity, to an information-based economy where intellectual content is the dominant source of value added and which knows no geographic boundaries. In this new environment, education and lifelong learning will be essential not only for workers but for all in society. As industry, commerce, and services are transformed by technology, many skills, not only of employees but also of managers and the self-employed, need to be improved or acquired. Future education will include formal and informal learning arrangements and require that it is made available to a much wider range of people, including adults returning to learn. The new information technology, such as interactive media and distance learning, will offer wider access and innovative approaches to education. As future economic prosperity and social and political cohesion depend on a well-educated population, lifelong learning will be essential for everyone as we progress in the 21st century.

It is important to recognize the need for global cooperation by both business and governments to facilitate electronic business. Otherwise, there is a risk that much of the world's population may not be able to participate in the economic and social benefits that can arise from electronic business and information technologies. According to the World Bank, half of the investment needed to upgrade the telecommunications infrastructure in developing and emerging economies will need to come from private capital. Therefore, these countries need to take measures to ensure an appropriate investment climate.

Countries around the world should use electronic means of communications to deliver public services and to make public procurement procedures more efficient. This area requires increased attention to ensure that these processes and services keep pace with best practices. The benefits include government efficiencies, equalization of service provision to all citizens, and the demonstration of government leadership in the use of electronic commerce technology and services.

As the world's richest and most industrialized societies have steadily migrated towards conducting commercial and other activities on the Internet, public policy dilemmas have arisen. The 'digital divide' has become a widely debated policy issue. The term "digital divide" refers to the growing gulf between those who are adequately connected to communications networks and information resources, and possess the means to make best use of these resources, and the two-thirds of the world's population who are not.

So acute is concern, that the leaders of the industrialized world—the Group of Eight or G8 made the digital divide the center piece of concern at their 2000 summit meeting in Okinawa. The G8 Digital Opportunity Task Force [DOT Force] was established following that meeting, and it produced a report with concrete recommendations for bridging the international digital divide at the G8 meeting in Genoa, Italy, in July 2001. The DOT Force is marked by close cooperation, on an equal footing, between representatives from G8 governments, developing nations, international organizations, non-profit and private sectors. The DOT Force includes almost 100 stakeholder organizations spanning more than 20 countries. It has generated more than 20 major bilateral and multilateral initiatives. This successful model of co-operation is now serving as the model for other ICT and development initiatives by international organizations and the private sector.

In addition, the United Nations has established the U.N. Information & Communication Technologies Task Force [UN ICT Task Force] which will provide an umbrella for some of the work started by the Dot Force and other international initiatives to build bridges in the digital economy and create the necessary foundations for digital opportunities. The UN and the International Telecommunications Union, in collaboration with other international initiatives, and organizations will host the World Summit on the Information Society in Geneva in 2003 and in Tunis in 2005 and plan to push these initiatives forward and encourage international governmental, private sector and non-profit organizations' cooperation to develop the necessary international environment to overcome the information gap.

Foremost among the findings of the DOT Force and others concerned with the digital divide is a recognition that public communications infrastructure is essential for bridging the unconnected of the world to a rapidly integrating global and largely digital economy. Private sector investment continues to be critical for the construction of needed infrastructure. Governments, international aid agencies, and philanthropic organizations do not have the financial, human, and technical means to construct needed infrastructure. History demonstrates that market-oriented incentives involving the private sector stand the best chance of producing new and sustainable forms of economic activity.

Public policy makers throughout the world increasingly view electronic commerce as more than an otherwise desirable end in itself. It as a powerful economic dynamic which, if allowed to flourish and evolve as quickly and effectively as possible, will foster and speed the deployment of public communications infrastructure, and begin to close the gap between the world's information "have's" and "have-nots".

1. Economic and social impacts Business organizations will continue to support studies and analyses of the impact of electronic commerce and share these finding with governments and international organizations.

Government action required

Governments are encouraged to inform both individual consumers and businesses, about the potentials of electronic business and its impact on social and economic structures.

Governments and international organizations, notably the OECD, should be encouraged to continue to collect and analyze data relevant to the study of electronic commerce in order to effectively measure its economic and social impact.

International development organizations such as the World Bank should continue to study the potential impact of electronic commerce on emerging economies in an effort to assist in the economic development process in those nations.

Business action / observations

Government action required

2. Small and medium sized enterprises Business organizations and chambers of commerce will promote marketing and technology support for SMEs through information brochures, collection and communication of sectorspecific best practices and workshops. Business will encourage greater interaction between large corporations and SMEs to provide access to electronic business networks for supplier and contracting opportunities. Government should provide SMEs with information and education relevant to market entry opportunities provided by global electronic commerce.

Governments should create an environment that is conducive to private sector investment in information technologies and encourage capital access for SMEs.

| | | Business action / observations | Government action required |
|----|--|--|---|
| 3. | Skills development | Business is committed to continue to work with government to promote technical training and life- long learning for all in society. | Governments should review existing labour laws to remove existing barriers for workers to be able to share in the new and different employment generated by electronic business. Governments should continue to promote both formal and non-formal skills-development programs. |
| 4. | Ensuring global participation / Seizing Digital Opportunities | Existing business organizations will work to expand the participation from business in developing countries in promoting the uses and benefits of electronic business. Business will expand its advisory role with international organizations dealing with electronic business issues. | Existing international organizations should increase cooperation, as well as coordination and transparency of their respective work programmes. All governments should use a policy framework that ensures open and competitive markets for electronic business as a means of maximizing access to digital information and communication networks for all. A liberalized trade regime for services, IT goods and timely and effective implementation of TRIPS (Trade Related Intellectual Property Issues) is an essential element to such a framework, providing opportunities for developing countries to leapfrog technologies and services that have required enormous research and development. |

Government action required

5. Infrastructure Business will remain an important As telecommunications continues to driver in the development of the transition from a monopoly to deployment information infrastructure because competitive environment, a crucial role it mobilizes the private capital for the government is that of being a needed to build the global neutral force in the economy that ensures pro-competitive behaviour, information infrastructure and to transparent rules, and value to the develop the technological innovations that enhance customer. communications. International organizations should

International organizations should provide a forum for coordinated government action and international cooperation on matters relating to global development. Agreements drawn up by multilateral organizations offer the private sector and governments the necessary legal and regulatory certainty for investment.

6. Government as model user

Business will work with governments to offer cost-effective electronic delivery systems for the public sector. Governments are encouraged to use new electronic delivery systems to provide the means to significantly enhance the internal efficiency and productivity of public administrations. Governments should be pioneers in using new technologies for making electronic forms available for collecting taxes and other functions.

Governments should promote electronic business through its public procurement system, done in a fully open and competitive environment, based on cost-efficient, commercial solutions that are technology neutral.

Governments are also encouraged to use new electronic means to deliver core public services. In particular this would concern public information and cultural resources, databases for health services, web sites at local, regional and national levels and public libraries and databases, where appropriate.

2. Electronic business and the information infrastructure – trade aspects, standards, and Internet names and numbers

Competition and trade-related aspects of electronic business

On September 25 1998, the WTO Declaration on Global Electronic Commerce began "a comprehensive work program to examine all trade-related issues relating to global electronic commerce, taking into account the economic, financial, and development needs of developing countries...". Four WTO bodies -- The Committee on Trade and Development, The Council on Trade-Related Intellectual Property, the Council on Goods, and the Council on Services -- reviewed how existing multilateral trade agreements apply to global electronic commerce.

The 2001 WTO Doha Ministerial Declaration's section on electronic commerce said "We take note of the work which has been done in the General Council and other relevant bodies since the Ministerial Declaration of 20 May 1998 and agree to continue the Work Programme on Electronic Commerce. The work to date demonstrates that electronic commerce creates new challenges and opportunities for trade for countries at all stages of development, and we recognize the importance of creating and maintaining an environment which is favourable to the future development of electronic commerce. We instruct the General Council to consider the most appropriate institutional arrangements for handling the Work Programme, and to report on further progress to the Fifth Session of the Ministerial Conference..."

Open competition in the provision of products and services at all levels of the information society is a prerequisite for the development of electronic business. Regulation of the underlying telecommunications infrastructure, when necessary, should enable actors to compete globally in an open and fair market. As competition develops and is relied upon more, regulation should be phased out. The convergence of telecommunications, IT and multimedia raises important questions that require international attention for liberalization efforts to produce optimum results.

Business action / observations

1. Telecommunications competition
Business remains committed to providing practical information about appropriate competitive to call on governments to set and implement conditions for open and fair competition.
Here is a structure is

Business is studying means of avoiding and settling commercial disputes between competitors to provide an alternative to resolution by regulatory agencies.

Effective implementation of the WTO agreement on basic telecommunications is of critical importance. In consultation with business, governments with experience in telecommunications liberalization should actively assist signatory countries that still have to start this process. Countries that have scheduled commitments under the WTO agreement on basic telecommunications should review the extent to which their markets are fulfilling the agreement, publish their findings on a regular basis and work towards the elimination of MFN exemptions.

Government action required

Telecommunications competition (continued)

2.

The OECD, ITU and WTO – within their respective domains - have a role to play in facilitating such assistance.

Efforts to promote full implementation of existing commitments and seek increased liberalization for all basic telecommunications, value-added and computer and related services need to continue following the new round of negotiations launched in Doha in November 2001.

Business urges WTO members to include as one of the highest WTO negotiating priorities in any accession protocol market opening commitments in basic telecom services that at a minimum include: (1) specifying a date certain for full liberalization, (2) progressively removing foreign ownership restrictions and (3) adopting the reference paper in its entirety.

Business action / observations

IT equipmentBusiness has been a staunch
supporter of the ITA and its
extension to more participants and
products. It is desirable that IT
acce
products are integrated into
mainstream WTO market access
products of the
obje
Doha negotiating mandate.Gov
source
cource

Governments should ensure that all countries have the hardware and software necessary to deploy and access the e-business infrastructure by eliminating duties on all IT products to fully realize the objectives of the Information Technology Agreement, including commitments at the broadest level for categories 84, 85, and 90.

Government action required

To that end, governments should commit to including these products in the upcoming market access negotiation on non-agricultural products in the course of the new round of negotiations launched at Doha.

3. Trade-related aspects of electronic commerce In response to the WTO's work programme to examine all traderelated issues relating to global electronic commerce, business has responded in a constructive way by issuing papers on the trade-related aspects of electronic commerce.

Government action required

WTO members should recognize that specific WTO agreements governing trade in goods, trade in services, or trade-related intellectual property apply to electronic transmissions. WTO members should continue to address the issue of classification of electronic transmissions as either goods or services in their ongoing work programme ensuring trade treatment and classification of electronic transmissions that is as similar as possible to and no less favorable than the historical treatment applied to the underlying good, service or intellectual property.

Electronic transmissions are blind with regards to national borders. Thus, WTO members should agree to make permanent duty free treatment of electronic transmissions.

Continued development of electronic business requires the ability to conduct cross-border transactions. Negotiations following the WTO Doha Ministerial Conference negotiations should promote the adoption of meaningful market opening commitments in all service sectors, especially for all services that can be delivered via e-commerce.

With the rapid development of digital technologies and electronic services, the need for strong protection and enforcement of intellectual property is imperative. The TRIPS Agreement plays a very important role insofar as it provides minimum standards for such protection and enforcement.

Government action required

- 4. Trade-related aspects of intellectual property Business will work to encourage all countries to implement effectively the TRIPS agreement. Business will also continue to develop and deploy technologies that prevent IP infringements in the online environment.
- 5. Convergence Businesses are already developing, Convergence Businesses are already developing, Convergence providing and using products and leading services taking full advantage of convergence phenomenon. Broad These developments are likely to convergence. Businesses are already developments are likely to businesses are already developments are likely to businesses.

Convergence of technologies is leading to the need for concomitant convergence in regulation of broadcasting, IT and telecoms. Convergence is crucial to electronic business and must be supported by appropriate government policies. Governments should work closely with business in preparing for and reacting to changes caused by convergence by applying the following principles:

- competition policy should be used as much as possible as the predominant means of preventing abuses of market power in the telecommunications and media sectors
- Government regulation should be limited to promoting fair competition, allocating scarce resources and protect the public interest.
- Governments should recognize that technological neutrality is a concept to promote competition, not to extend existing regulation to new activities.

Standards

Electronic business offers great economic efficiencies, linking all parts of a transaction into one integrated end-to-end chain. Government policies should facilitate interoperability within an international, voluntary and consensus-based environment for standards setting. The market needs a fully interoperable architecture, which is being developed within existing standards-setting institutions or by market forces. In addition to enforcing appropriate competition laws, governments should ensure that standards are accepted by customs, taxation and other relevant governmental agencies. Government should refrain from developing competing standards through procurement mechanisms. Where market mechanisms do not respond to government procurement needs, the private sector and government should work together to develop a responsive, inter-operative interface.

| | Business action / observations | Government action required |
|-----------|--|---|
| Standards | There are numerous efforts to develop standards relating to electronic commerce, including privacy, electronic signatures, etc. | Governments should avoid mandating unnecessary technical standards that could be or are being led by business. |
| | Standards for electronic business should continue to be market driven and industry-led. | |
| | Business will continue to work with all relevant international standards-making bodies to develop international standards that provide added value and are necessary for the development of electronic business. | |

Internet names and numbers

Domain name

1.

Because the Internet is rapidly becoming the vehicle for global electronic commerce, the management of the domain names system is of great importance.

| Business action / | | |
|--------------------------|--|--|
| observations | | |

Business should have a

Government action required

Governments should

- continue to support ICANN and its private sector leadership;
- support initiatives to ensure that the business community will have a sufficient voice in the technical management of the domain name system.

| system | significant role in the formation of policy for technical management of the domain name system and the development of policy. Through the various Supporting Organizations of the Internet Corporation for Assigned Names and Numbers (ICANN), and in particular the Business Constituency of the Domain Name Supporting Organization (DNSO), business will continue to work to ensure continued stability and security of the Internet, as well as appropriate protection of intellectual property (especially famous names) and efficient ways of dealing with cybersquatting remain priority issues for business. | • | continue and its pr leadershi support in that the b will have the techn the doma |
|--------|--|---|---|
|--------|--|---|---|

3. Building trust for users and consumers

The protection of users, in particular with regard to privacy, confidentiality, anonymity and content control should be pursued through policies driven by choice, individual empowerment, industry-led solutions, and will be in accordance with applicable laws.

Business will make available to users the means to exercise choice with respect to privacy, confidentiality and content control.

Protection of Personal Information

Business action / Government action required observations Business endorses the OECD 1. **Privacy and** Governments should adopt a 1980 Guidelines for the flexible and responsive approach to transborder flows of protection of Privacy and the protection of personal data Transborder Flows of Personal information, including the Data, and is committed to acceptance of self-regulatory implementing fair information solutions and technological practices and transparent innovations that empower the user. procedures consistent with these Guidelines. Provided the principles in the OECD Guidelines are met, Business uses model contracts different approaches to the and internal control procedures to protection of personal information satisfy requirements of legislation should not prevent transborder data restricting export of data to third flows, and governments should countries that do not provide a cooperate internationally to ensure level of protection considered to a seamless environment. In be adequate or sufficient by the assessing the level of protection source country. The use of model provided to personal information in contracts provides a flexible, other jurisdictions, the criterion market-based solution for meeting should be the objective level of differing data protection protection afforded by the system standards in the conduct of global honoured within that jurisdiction. business.

Model contracts and codes of conduct prepared by the private sector should be endorsed by relevant governments at the earliest possible time to promote the free and secure flow of information.

2. Internet privacy Business is committed to work with governments to ensure nondiscrimination among culturally different but adequate regimes for protection of personal information in the digital environment

> Business is developing, based on global marketplace experience, fair information practices that are consistent with the OECD 1980 Guidelines for the Protection of Privacy and Transborder Flows of Personal Data. It does so through self-regulation, voluntary codes and by making commercially available technologies enabling a high level of privacy protection tailored to user needs and preferences.

> The use of third-party compliance schemes is growing rapidly in response to market forces. The rate with which such schemes are being adopted in some jurisdictions demonstrates a strong commitment by the private sector to embrace consumer satisfaction as a competitive issue. Effective self-assessment programs have been and continue to be implemented by the private sector.

Business is continually reviewing existing self-regulation to ensure that it takes into account new technologies and provide effective and credible privacy protection.

Government action required

Governments should recognize that the Internet is a new medium providing new opportunities and challenges. Existing regulatory systems must provide consumers with useful protection of their personal data and at the same time guarantee the free flow of information needed for the information society to produce the anticipated benefits. Governments should also recognize that selfregulation may be a more flexible method of achieving data protection than government regulation.

To that end, governments should:

- work with the private sector to adopt interpretation of existing regulatory solutions based on the criteria in the paragraph above;
- recognize the validity and adequacy of effective selfregulation augmented by the use of privacy-enhancing technologies; and
- educate the public to use such privacy-enhancing technologies properly.

Any privacy measures taken by governments should not be more restrictive than necessary or be applied in a manner which is a disguised restriction on trade in services as set forth in Article XIV of the GATS. Current international initiatives to enhance privacy protection on a global basis should be taken into consideration when assessing the need for such measures. They also must not constitute a means of arbitrary or unjustifiable discrimination, or a disguised restriction on trade in services.

Consumer trust and content

Within a freely functioning global electronic marketplace, increasingly sophisticated userfriendly tools and business practices for empowerment of consumers have been and continue to be developed and implemented. Retail websites offering wide ranges of consumer choice and providing individualization of the consumer experience are succeeding in gaining customer support.

By its very nature, online business-to-consumer (B2C) commerce is exponentially more crossborder in nature, thus potentially subjecting merchant and consumer to problems of jurisdiction and applicable law whenever a dispute arises. Effective dispute resolution is an essential element of consumer trust. The private sector is developing and implementing effective self-regulatory mechanisms and governments are contemplating legislative steps to reduce the risk of conflict. Governments, business and consumers alike agree that effective alternative dispute resolution is an efficient and cost-effective means of resolving consumer disputes.

Government action required

1. Consumer trust Increasingly sophisticated empowerment tools for consumers continue to be developed and implemented to protect and empower consumers in a truly global marketplace.

> Consumer protection is an essential element of building trust in the online environment and is directly complementary to market principles of consumer service and satisfaction. Companies that safeguard consumer interests stand to gain a business advantage.

Business is developing and deploying policies and mechanisms that provide informed consumer choice. Consumer protection should develop from appropriate business/consumer platforms. Business, and interested parties, should be fully involved in international discussions to set policies for consumer protection.

Governments have a major role in educating and empowering the public to enhance awareness of their responsibilities and ability to exercise choice with respect to their protection as consumers.

Governments should adopt "optout" procedures as the most appropriate balance between consumer trust and choice with regard to unsolicited commercial communications, cookies, directories, etc. Any other approach would discriminate against electronic business vis-à-vis other communications mechanisms.

Business is taking the lead in developing user friendly dispute resolution mechanisms as a means of resolving consumer disputes in a rapid, efficient and costeffective way. Governments should promote a systematic approach to the resolution of consumer disputes, encouraging consumers to;

- make reasonable attempts to utilize a company's internal customer satisfaction mechanisms;
- utilize online alternative dispute resolution (ADR); and
- if the dispute persists, resort to legal action.

Government action required

Business action / observations

2. Online Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) Business and other organizations should continue to develop and implement effective B2C ADR systems which meet certain minimum standards concerning, for example, accessibility, attentiveness, global flexibility, compliance, and the nature of decision. Moreover, they should have in place workable internal complaint systems which also meet certain minimum standards, in order to reduce the chance of disputes requiring B2C ADR. Internal complaint-handling systems should be timely and transparent to ease any eventual outsourcing of a dispute to B2C ADR should the complaint not be resolved internally.

Governments should refrain from imposing mandatory national or regional accreditation systems. Rather, they should promote the development of international selfregulatory principles, guidelines and rules that could be the basis for merchants' and B2C ADR providers' declarations of compliance.

Governments should promote the development of globally applicable B2C ADR systems.

Governments should refrain from creating obstacles in national legislation and international conventions for the innovative use of technology, including electronic contracts, to settle business-toconsumer disputes and eliminate legal obstacles to allow an appropriate level of confidentiality and security in online B2C ADR. Such legal obstacles include unnecessary legal form requirements that hinder the use of online B2C ADR, and the requirement for B2C ADR to meet all the same procedural requirements as litigation in court.

ICC in cooperation with theGeOECD has completed alegcomprehensive inventory ofjuitonline and offline disputecoorresolution service providers thatimhandle B2C and C2C disputesforarising out of online transactions.tra

Governments should provide a legislative framework for jurisdiction and applicable law in ecommerce which recognizes the importance of B2C ADR systems for business-to-consumer transactions.

3.

ContentTechnological developments have
had and will continue to have a
profound impact on culture.
However, the emerging digital
environment can provide ample
distribution capacity for all
content, alleviating "competition"
among different types of content.
In addition, the interactivity of the
new technologies enables users to
choose among different types of
content and governments should
not interfere in this personal
decision-making process.

Business continues to develop and implement voluntary content rating and filtering technologies to empower consumers.

Government action required

Content regulations are based on different legal and cultural traditions. Nevertheless, content regulations should be kept to a minimum as they restrict the free flow of information into the marketplace of ideas. Where content regulations exist, it is the role of the appropriate law enforcement authority to enforce the law. In the context of potentially inappropriate, but otherwise legal content, business encourages the use of marketdriven solutions, including the numerous filtering and blocking technologies rather than restricting access to such content through regulation. Such technologies empower the consumer to make informed decisions about the type of content he/she wants and does not want to access

Governments should enforce existing laws relating to illegal content.

Any legislation that deals with the issue of liability should carefully consider the effect such laws have on the interests of all stakeholders in the electronic environment, and, where clarifying liability rules for intermediaries, needs to strike a careful balance between the legitimate business interests of the different stakeholders involved, including content providers, service providers and end-users.

4. Marketing and advertising ethics

Business in many countries has a mature system of self-regulatory complaint mechanisms and cooperative enforceable selfregulation for advertising practices, such as industry or trade associations, chambers of commerce, and better business bureaus.

The concept of business selfregulation of marketing ethics continues to evolve to adapt to the online environment through international cooperation and agreement.

As a complement to existing legal instruments, self-regulatory guidelines and voluntary industry norms, such as those developed by the International Chamber of Commerce, also play an important role in promoting high standards of consumer protection.

Business will continue to improve upon self-regulatory solutions for Internet advertising and market research. Business is establishing appropriate international selfregulatory enforcement mechanisms for violations of such best practice rules.

Government action required

In the borderless global environment of the Internet, internationally incompatible national laws on advertising and promotions seriously impede cross border sales.

Governments should support selfregulation for Internet advertising at the global level based on the existing good cooperation between government agencies and business self-regulatory bodies for traditional advertising at the national level.

5. Unsolicited Commercial Communications

Business is attempting to eliminate fraudulent and untargeted commercial communications (spam) and is working to provide choice relating to legitimate commercial email.

Government action required

Governments should distinguish between fraudulent and untargeted commercial communications (spam) and commercial email, prohibiting spam while recognizing that commercial email can play a legitimate and significant role in the emergence and growth of electronic commerce. To that end, an "opt-out" approach should be adopted as the most effective means of balancing consumer trust and choice for legitimate commercial email.

Issues relating to cyber security

Cyber security is essential in promoting trust for both business and consumers. Three general activities relating to cyber security are currently part of the public policy debate:

- 1. Protecting critical information infrastructures to ensure national security and the security of society at large;
- 2. Ensuring public safety through law enforcement and fighting cybercrime; and
- 3. Promoting economic security.

Governments directly, and through regional bodies such as the EU, NAFTA, FTAA and APEC, have roles to play in the two first areas by securing their own national and regional networks as part of their critical infrastructure responsibilities, and agreeing on a comprehensive international law enforcement platform with the private sector and other stakeholders. Economic security is largely the domain of the private sector, though a dialogue with government is essential to build consistency with the first two activities.

The steps that need to be taken to address these three activities include:

- ensuring the confidentiality of personal and business information;
- establishing mechanisms and procedures to prevent security breaches and sufficient disaster control plans;
- adopting laws necessary to establish malicious acts as criminal; and
- developing appropriate mechanisms for co-operation between business and law enforcement.

The business community recognizes the efforts made by governments on issues relating to cyber-security. The Wassenaar Arrangement has liberalized its export restrictions on mass market encryption products and other states have liberalized their cryptography policies. The OECD is undertaking a review of its Information Security Guidelines. The Council of Europe has ratified a convention on cybercrime which signatory states are beginning to implement, and the G8 has been working on cybercrime and national security issues. Business looks forward to continuing to working with governments on these initiatives.

| | | Business action / observations | Government action required |
|----|---|--|---|
| 1. | Promotion of technology and services development to ensure security | Business continues to develop and deploy technology and services for ensuring security in order to build trust in electronic commerce. | Governments should support private sector leadership in the development and deployment of technology solutions and services and fundamental private sector research and development relating |

to security technology.

2. Cryptography for confidentiality It is crucial for electronic commerce that business and endusers are able to choose the cryptographic systems that best suit their needs, and that these systems can function internationally. To that end, business applauds the efforts of numerous governments to liberalize their cryptography policy.

> Business is opposed to any mandatory system based on a specific technology. Business will continue to develop and implement cryptographic products allowing a high level of confidentiality. Business is prepared to continue to discuss possibilities to protect law enforcement and national security.

Government action required

The OECD cryptography policy guidelines were an important step in the direction of a uniform international approach. The urgent need for strong encryption in electronic commerce necessitates the next step in this co-operation between business and government. Governments must allow electronic commerce to thrive in a free market by continuing to remove obstacles to the use of publicly offered encryption solutions.

Governments should commit to:

- remove all controls on cryptographic technologies and applications; and
- cooperate in such a way that interoperability of solutions for internationally secure exchange of information is facilitated, independent of their choice of regulation.
- 3. **Information Sharing** Information sharing is an essential element in enhancing security, including prevention of security breaches. In that regard, business is working with governments through Information Sharing and Analysis Centers (ISACs) which have been created in the U.S. and are being established in other countries. Moreover, business has created information sharing and analysis websites, listserves, email alerts and other services to promote information sharing.

Governments should support the development of ISACs and should recognize private sector leadership in protecting the information infrastructure.

Cybercrime Business is committed to cooperating with law enforcement in a manner consistent with business realities and therefore welcomes the current discussions between governments and industry regarding cybercrime and security. Businesses constantly develop and deploy measures designed to ensure the security of networks and the content residing on these networks to protect them from attack. However, government action may also be needed to ensure that the necessary laws are in place to make such attacks illegal.

Government action required

Business recognizes the improvements in the Council of Europe Convention on Cybercrime. As signatories begin to implement the Convention they should contemplate:

- preventing conflicting privacy and security obligations;
- limiting service provider liability in a manner that balances the interest of all interested parties including copyright owners, service providers and users
- adopting clear procedural safeguards;
- providing for reimbursement for costs of compliance;
- identifying the appropriate circumstances for corporate liability;
- maintaining criminalization of copyright infringements;
- ensuring consistency between the "misuse of devices provisions of the Convention and existing law concerning "anti-circumvention".

5. Business monitoring of its own communications and networks

4.

Businesses needs to monitor their communications to verify and/or prove business transactions and for quality control or training purposes for the benefit of the customer. Some regulatory initiatives restrict these legitimate practices.

Business also needs to monitor the traffic flows over its networks to ensure that it conforms to expectations thereby identifying potential security attacks. Government should ensure that the appropriate legislation and resources are in place to investigate and prosecute cyber attacks.

Governments should guarantee that business can monitor communications for legitimate purposes (such as the improvement of customer service, the verification or proof of a business transaction, quality control and training) with the consent of only one party to the communication.

Governments should guarantee that business can monitor network traffic flows as a means of enhancing network security.
Government action required

access or seize information stored

on computers outside their jurisdiction but rather rely on existing procedures such as mutual

legal assistance treaties and

legislation.

| 6. | Data Storage Requirements | Business fully supports appropriate cooperation with law enforcement to enhance security, being mindful of business realities. | Governments require data for law enforcement purposes. Businesses should be required to preserve data in their possession only upon receipt of a subpoena (or written functional equivalent thereof) issued by a competent judicial or administrative authority that follows appropriate due process, is narrowly tailored to meet the needs of a specific investigation or prosecution, is limited in duration, and is conducted in an expedited way. |
|----|--|--|--|
| 7. | Searches and subpoenas of computer records | Business recognizes the need for lawful access to computer records and co-operates with law enforcement as appropriate. However, there is an urgent need to ensure that law enforcement practices and policies reflect a clear understanding of how computers work, how businesses use them, and the extent to which traditional approaches to the collection of computer records may unnecessarily impose significant costs on business. | When requesting, issuing or executing a search warrant or a subpoena, government law enforcement agencies should take due care to cause the least burden to the operations of the subject firm, to respect privacy and confidentiality requirements, and not to overstep the territorial scope of the subpoena or search warrant by exploiting computer linkages with sources in other locations. Law enforcement agencies should not use the firm's computers to |

Access to public To ensure that the information 8. domain information business holds is correct and to promote efficiency and consumer satisfaction, business needs access to public domain information to conduct its transactions. International principles, if appropriate, should be developed in order to guarantee fair use of personal information, prevent liability for the use of public data, and promote the availability of such data for legitimate commercial purposes.

Government action required

Governments should guarantee equal and transparent access to public domain information to ensure business' legitimate interests, whilst recognizing the need to achieve a balance with the protection of personal information.

9. Legal government The development of acceptable Discussions that may affect existing international standards is wiretapping safeguards should interception of telecommunications important. Business is concerned include business participation as a and electronic that the wide range of government matter of principle. organizations devising standards communications may lead to inconsistencies.

Electronic authentication

Electronic signatures^{*}, are important to ensure proper identification of communicating partners, and authenticity and non-repudiation of messages that they exchange. For this to be realized, a number of international actions need to be taken. Electronic authentication is an embryonic sector that requires a flexible framework of rules to evolve. Self-regulation should be given preference to avoid the lock-in effect of inflexible and potentially incompatible government regulation. Many countries are developing, or have already implemented, electronic signature laws that include rules pertaining to certification services. Governments have an important role to play in assuring the legal validity of electronic signatures.

Business action / observations

1. Legal validity of electronic signatures; interoperability of certificates and electronic signatures

Common definitions and best practice guidelines for authentication, and in particular certification practice have been published by several business organizations and will be continually revised to reflect business practice. **Government action required**

Governments should implement the principles on authentication in the OECD Ottawa Ministerial Declaration.

http://www.iccwbo.org/home/guidec/guidec.asp or www.ilpf.org/work/ca/draft.htm and related

^{*} The terms "digital signature" and "electronic signature" are often used interchangeably. This has led to significant international confusion as to the use of the term. This topic is not appropriate for an indepth discussion in this paper. We refer interested parties to

information sources for further information and definitions. For the purpose of clarity, the term "digital signature" as used in this document refers to "a transformation of a message using an asymmetric cryptosystem such that a person having the ensured message and the ensurer's public key can accurately determine: (a) whether the transformation was created using the private key that corresponds to the signer's public key, and (b) whether the signed message has been altered since the transformation was made." The term "electronic signature" as used in this document refers to "a signature in electronic form in, or attached to, or logically associated with, a data message, and used by or on behalf of a person with the intent to identify that person and to indicate that person's approval of the contents of the data message."

Government action required

Legal validity of electronic signatures; interoperability of certificates and electronic signatures (continued)

Business action / observations

Business supports freedom of contract to establish parties' rights and responsibilities when using electronic signatures. Contracts enable parties to agree on the acceptance of electronically signed data and on the terms and conditions of transactions (including limitations on liability). Contracts can also include the following: methodologies for enforcing online contracts and resolving disputes; compelling public policy considerations such as public safety and prevention of fraud issues; and use of appropriate terms and conditions.

Business looks forward to working with governments within relevant bodies in this field.

The private sector should ensure technical interoperability. The legal acceptability of certificates and electronic signatures, both within a nation and internationally, should be supported by appropriate government policies. Government policies should aim to provide a predictable legal framework based on the fundamental concept of freedom of contract. They should be nondiscriminatory; technologically and architecturally neutral; promote flexibility as to the content, form and function of certificates and similar authenticating devices; and promote competition among providers of authentication services.

Rules for evaluating the legal validity of electronic signatures should not be written to require localization, local partners, local insurance or guarantee schemes, mutual recognition, or otherwise act as trade barriers. Neutral criteria relating to adequacy should be used to determine recognition.

Standards for electronic signatures that are used or recognized by governments should be technology neutral, commercially available, not endorse or favour any particular solution and should allow for technological innovation.

2.

Accreditation The marketplace should rely on existing trust infrastructures to provide the equivalence of accreditation where appropriate. If and when the accreditation is considered, the marketplace should determine whether an accreditation or certification of certificate authorities is required. As electronic business is an emerging market, the marketplace may require different kinds or levels of accreditation or certification. Accreditation or certification may not be required in every instance. Those that undertake the accreditation or certification of certificate authorities should be held liable for their certification actions. Therefore any accreditation solution should be developed in response to a specific market need and tailored to address a welldefined requirement.

Government action required

In order to facilitate electronic transactions across borders, governments should enable the emergence of borderless networks of certification authorities by supporting voluntary nondiscrimination agreements. Governments should not impose any licensing or other schemes that could disrupt such nondiscrimination.

3. Availability of certification practice statements Business is developing best practices for providing adequate notice to consumers of the responsibilities of Certification Authorities. Business is developing innovative services and technologies to provide easy access to notices of these responsibilities.

Governments should facilitate the emergence of borderless networks of certification authorities by supporting mutual recognition agreements. Governments should not impose any licensing schemes that could disrupt such mutual recognition. If required, accreditation should be voluntary, based on internationally recognized best practices.

4. Establishing ground rules for the digital marketplace

Contractual and other legal issues

Freedom of contract must prevail as the underlying principle of all efforts to create an appropriate legal environment for business-to-business transactions. Governments can support electronic commerce by enabling electronic contracting and by facilitating the legal recognition of digitally authenticated documents and contracts. Governments should avoid prescriptive and detailed legislation in these areas and ensure the development of facilitating legislation, which may assist the private sector in developing self-regulatory solutions.

| | | Business action / observations | Government action required |
|----|---|--|---|
| 1. | Removing legal/regulatory obstacles | Traditional self-regulatory solutions for international trade are continually being adapted to new business practice. | Countries should implement the UNCITRAL Model Law on Electronic Commerce, which provides for a particular country to address issues specific to its jurisdiction, as soon as |
| | | The global business community is analyzing existing legal and | possible. |
| | | policy barriers to the digital marketplace, and will make recommendations where appropriate. | UNCITRAL is currently considering work on removing barriers to electronic contracting. Some international conventions need to be |
| | | Business is developing technologies and procedures that enable the creation and determination of electronic | adapted (UN-ECE has published an analysis of international work to be done). The good cooperation that exists among private and public sector international legal expert bodies |
| | | "originals". | should be a model for future cooperation between business and governments with respect to electronic business. |

Government action required

2. Through various private-sector Create a new uniform legal trade promotion and facilitation framework organizations, a framework of self-regulatory rules for electronic business is evolving. In general, market forces should lead but business acknowledges that for some key issues there is no selfregulatory substitute for a reliable legal framework to provide certainty and confidence in electronic business.

Governments should continue to work at the international level to create a coherent legal framework to enable electronic business.

Freedom of contract should be the guiding principle for business-tobusiness (B2B) and business-toconsumer (B2C) relationships.

Governments should avoid expansive claims of jurisdiction in the B2B context by applying principles of country of origin and party autonomy and allowing self-regulation to demonstrate its efficacy.

The Hague Conference is currently negotiating a Convention on Jurisdiction and the Enforcement of Foreign Judgements in Civil and Commercial Matters. Given the jurisdictional complexities raised by electronic commerce and the lack of consensus among stakeholders on many jurisdictional issues, governments should limit the scope of the convention to business-to-business contractual activities with strong party autonomy.

Governments should rely on voluntary business self-regulatory practices and market pressures to develop more flexible and balanced solutions.

The use of alternative dispute resolution for consumers should be encouraged while maintaining court proceedings as the ultimate solution in case of unresolvable conflicts.

| | | Business action / observations | Government action required |
|---|---|---|--|
| 4. | Incorporation by reference | In electronic business, terms of legal significance will increasingly be incorporated into contracts by reference. Business will continue to develop more effective, transparent and user- friendly delivery mechanisms for the full definitions of such terms. | Governments should continue work on basic international principles for legal validity of incorporation by reference for all kinds of transactions. These rules should aim to provide certainty for all parties to electronic business transactions. |
| 5. | Transparency & availability of proprietary & best practice legal terms, model contracts, etc. | Online legal databases with user- friendly reference systems are being made available for use by parties conducting online transactions. | Governments are encouraged to promote such business-driven repositories and to contribute public legal terms and instruments to them. |
| 6. | Dispute settlement | Speed and expertise in settling electronic business disputes are important. Providers of alternate dispute settlement systems are implementing and continue to test tailor-made voluntary systems appropriate for the settlement of online disputes. | Governments should encourage the use of self-regulatory dispute settlement mechanisms as an effective way of resolving electronic business disputes. Courts should urgently develop electronic business expertise. |
| 7. Fraud and other commercial crime | | Business will continue to advise governments on appropriate action to combat electronic business fraud. Specialized business organizations will continue to provide information on fraud and fraudsters to the business community and, where appropriate and under adequate confidentiality arrangements, to law enforcement. | Criminal laws, courts and enforcement agencies should develop more expertise to deal with electronic business fraud and computer crime. When applying national criminal laws, government should take into consideration the global nature of electronic business. Close cooperation among governments and between governments and business is vital in this process. |
| | | Special business organizations are already investigating and will continue to investigate cybercrime cases. | Electronic authentication techniques are important for users to protect themselves against fraud. Their legal validity should be addressed as soon as possible. |

Government action required

8. Liability Business will review general F questions of liability in electronic g business and submit its findings si to relevant governmental th organizations. e

Freedom of contract should be the guiding principle. Governments should avoid creating liability rules that can impede the advancement of electronic business and should work with business to identify areas where liability rules are required.

Taxation and tariffs

Tax and tariff policy will be instrumental in determining whether the vast potential of global electronic business can be realized. The development of electronic business is a change in the way in which international business is conducted and requires a fundamental review of tax policies and laws, which have their origins in traditional, off-line commerce. Fundamentally, however, electronic business should compete with traditional commerce on a level playing field. Tax systems should not interfere with the operation of a market economy. A global perspective is required when addressing this subject, as electronic commerce cuts across national boundaries to a greater degree than traditional forms of business. Therefore, consistent taxation approaches at the international level are absolutely critical to ensure the effectiveness of tax treatment in the digital economy and the avoidance of double taxation.

Similar income should be treated equally in terms of direct and indirect tax requirements, regardless of whether it is earned through electronic means or through traditional channels of commerce. Electronic business however should not be the target of new and discriminatory taxes. The application of existing taxation on electronic commerce should be governed by the principles of tax neutrality and fairness.

Many taxation issues are not new or unique to electronic commerce but have already developed in conventional business transactions, which increasingly relies on new modes of communications and increasingly crosses national borders. The mode of doing business through electronic means may add new layers of difficulty but does not create a new problem. Any legal obligations should not be restricted to electronic business but should apply equally to conventional business as well.

The request for a tariff-free zone for electronic transmissions is based on a long tradition of reducing or eliminating barriers (such as customs duties) to international trade. Lowering trade barriers, including tariffs, is one of the most obvious means of encouraging international trade as well as global electronic business.

1. Customs duties Business is working with governments to ensure that the international trading system is as free as possible from barriers to trade, including custom duties.

Commitments in this area make the business environment stable and predictable and give business a clearer view of their future trade opportunities. With stability and predictability as part of the multilateral trading system, trade increases, investment is encouraged, jobs are created, and consumers can enjoy the benefits of competition -- choice and lower prices.

Government action required

The WTO May 1998 Moratorium on Customs Duties on Electronic Transmissions recognizes that crossborder electronic transmissions are not now considered imports subject to customs duties or border controls. There are no customs duties or border controls on telephone calls across borders; there are no customs duties or border controls on fax messages; and, there are no customs duties or border controls on email or computer links.

At the 2001 WTO Doha Ministerial Conference, members agreed to maintain their current practice of not imposing customs duties on electronic transmissions until the next Fifth WTO Session. For stability and predictability in international trade in the 21st Century, WTO members should make permanent the duty-free treatment of electronic transmissions and should consider in their ongoing work programme on electronic commerce to move beyond a temporary commitment to a permanent one.

2. Taxation Business strongly supports the use of partnership working groups between business and government – such as the OECD Technical Advisory Groups - to address the numerous taxation issues relating to electronic business.

Business is working with tax authorities to open this process broadly to interested business participants. We believe that to ensure the widespread support of the business community, even greater openness is called for to ensure timely and valuable input by those in the business community who have much to offer this process.

We also heartily endorse efforts to seek a truly international consensus on these taxation issues

Governments should work with industry in international fora such as the OECD Technical Advisory Groups. There should be no new or additional taxes, such as usage or bit

- be consistent with the principles of international taxation;
- be neutral with regard to other forms of commerce;

taxes. Future taxation policy on

electronic business should:

- be consistent across tax jurisdictions;
- avoid double taxation;
- minimize compliance costs; and
- be transparent, predictable and with simple rules to follow.

Applying existing taxation principles in the electronic medium must also be built upon tools that businesses already use or are required to develop to meet their market needs - it is only in this way that high tax compliance can be sustained with the least burden, and the fewest economic distortions.

Government action required

Trade facilitation and customs modernization

1.

2.

For business to use fully the benefits of electronic commerce, documentation in electronic form should not be denied legal acceptability solely on the grounds that it in digital form. The handling of customs documentation, for example, will be both faster and more cost effective if it can be made in electronic form. The legal acceptability of auditing records and receipts in electronic form from electronic business transactions are other examples of modernization that can substantially reduce costs and facilitate and promote electronic trade.

| | Business action / observations | Government action required | |
|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Private/public sector interface | Business and governments should work together to ensure compatibility between standards at appropriate interfaces. | Work on customs data requirements is under way in the WCO and the G7. In other fields, there does not seem to be an organized effort to harmonize requirements. OECD would be an appropriate forum to address interface issues relating to taxation. | |
| Customs modernization | Business is keen to continue to cooperate with governments within the World Customs Organization (WCO) and WTO on issues relating to customs modernization. Business will also continue to work with and advise customs authorities on issues relating to electronic business. | To enable businesses and consumers to reap the benefits of electronic commerce, governments should work through the WCO and WTO to enhance the efficiency and transparency of customs procedures through the use of information technologies. | |

Governments have an important role to play in addressing questions of trade policy and assuring the legal validity of documents in digital form.

capacity building strategies.

Government action required

3. Capacity building Business is looking forward to working with governments to offer advice on how to coordinate capacity building efforts in developing countries' customs administrations. Business can do this by sitting on advisory committees created to formulate Strengthening and streamlining the trade facilitation environment in all countries will require capacity building in a number of developing countries; governments should examine existing programs of assistance and conduct a needs assessment to develop an assistance plan to improve the basic facilitation environment of all countries.

This can be a collaborative effort with such organizations as the World Bank, the IMF, the WCO and the United Nations Conference on Trade and Development (UNCTAD).

Intellectual property

Adequate protection of intellectual property rights in intangible assets in cyberspace is a top priority. This should be achieved through a balanced approach that protects both the rights of content providers and the interest of various other stakeholders in the digital networked environment.

Business action / observations

Government action required

Copyright and Technology is being developed to 1. better track and protect neighbouring copyrighted materials, and rights manage rights. Business is in dialogue with governments to ensure that copyright (including neighbouring rights) regimes are applied to the digital environment in a manner that promotes electronic commerce while protecting intellectual property rights.

> Business sectors are committed to cooperating to prevent, deter and respond to infringements that take place over digital networks, including the implementation of technical protection measures; the development of marketplace solutions such as licensing; cooperation with law enforcement; and refining procedures for promptly responding to notification or facts and circumstances from which infringing activity is apparent.

Business will continue to provide information on the intellectual property implications of new technology.

WIPO adopted the Copyright and the Performances and Phonograms Treaties in December 1996. Governments should now move promptly to ratify and implement these treaties, taking into account the challenges and opportunities of the digital environment. The goal must be the establishment of a balanced and realistic framework of accountability that respects international norms: provides incentives for increased interindustry cooperation to deter and respond to infringements; promotes responsible business practices; does not impose unreasonable burdens on intermediaries; and preserves an appropriate role for courts. Any legislation that deals with the applicability of copyright infringement liability rules should carefully examine how these rules apply to all stakeholders in the digital networked environment. Any framework that provides for limitations on liability for service providers should be restricted to damages and other monetary relief.

Governments should consider further measures to secure property rights in the digital networked environment, including filling the gaps in protection for producers and performers of sound recordings left by the Performances and Phonograms Treaty.

Government action required

2. Business has highlighted the Governments should work together at Trademarks problems raised by the the international level in WIPO to discrepancy between the national ensure that national differences in scope of trademark laws and the trademark law and policy do not international nature of electronic impede the trademark owner's ability commerce and will work with to exploit and protect their trademarks WIPO to examine possible in cyberspace. solutions. (See also Domain name system under Internet governance above). 3. Business is contributing to **Databases** Governments should ensure that any WIPO's work on this issue. rights over databases should balance the interests of the creators of databases and the need to ensure the flow of and access to information.

> Governments should continue to work through WIPO towards adequate protection of intellectual property in databases.

IV Annex - Selected industry self-regulatory initiatives

This annex provides examples of cross-company and joint business/government initiatives aiming to enhance trust in electronic commerce. Innovative schemes operated by individual companies are not included – although very often such proprietary efforts play a crucial role in heightening, through competition, standards of good business practice, this action plan is not the appropriate place to provide information on private initiatives. Finally, the annex is not meant to be an exhaustive list of initiatives but rather an attempt to demonstrate the rich variety of projects that the private sector is initiating to remove barriers to electronic commerce.

Index

BBB*OnLine* Reliability and Privacy Seal Program, Code of Online Business Practices

Bolero System

Business code of conduct from the Association of the Swedish IT and Telecom Industry

The Canadian Association of Internet Providers (CAIP) Code Of Conduct

The Canadian Association of Internet Providers (CAIP) Privacy Code

The Canadian Association of Internet Providers CAIP ISP Fair Practices

The Canadian Association of Internet Providers CAIP Protection Portal

CAP admark Scheme for UK Internet Advertising

CaseTrust Accreditation Scheme

Canadian Standards Association Model Code for the Protection of Personal Information

CBI Contractual Clauses and Group Policies

Code of Conduct for Electronic Commerce

Cybercrime Unit of ICC Commercial Crime Services

Dispute resolution for electronic commerce

ESOMAR Guideline on Conducting Marketing and Opinion Research Using the Internet and ESOMAR Guideline on Internet Privacy Policies and Privacy Statements

European Advertising Standards Alliance (EASA): Cross-Border Complaints System

European Electronic Signature Standardisation Initiative (EESSI) Self-regulation for e-commerce marketing "The FEDMA's Ring of Confidence initiative"

General Usage for International Digitally Ensured Commerce (GUIDEC)

Guidelines for codes of practice for Internet Service Providers

Guidelines for transactions between virtual merchants and consumers

Guidelines for Electronic Direct Marketing

High assurance general ID-certificate with private key protected in an electronic ID-card

Hotlines Against Illegal Content

ICC Guidelines on advertising and marketing on the internet

International Content Rating Association (ICRA)

International DOI Foundation

International Online ADR

International Standardization of Online Trust Mark Programs

Internet One directory

New Zealand electronic Marketing Standards Authority (eMSA)

Online Ombuds Office

P3P

Paction – the model international sale contract application

PKI Assessment Guidelines

Standard Contractual Clauses for Transborder Dataflows TradeCard

TRUSTe

TTP.NL

WebTrust

World Chambers Network (WCN)

| Name of In | nitiative | | BBB <i>OnLine</i> Reliability and Privacy Seal Program, Code of Online Business Practices |
|--|-------------------|---|---|
| Objective | | | BBBOnLine was established to help build consumer trust and confidence in online commerce. The Reliability program seal assures that a company belongs to its local BBB; that it is an established business; has agreed to adhere to BBB standards of truthful advertising; and, has agreed to work with the BBB to resolve any disputes that may arise over its products or services. BBBOnLine Privacy offers a comprehensive assessment process to measure a company's ability to stand behind the promises made in its online privacy policy and offers a dispute resolution process for consumer privacy concerns. The Code of Online Business Practices is a guideline covering customer service and consumer protection issues for any online business. |
| Enforceme | nt mechanism(s | - | In both the Reliability and Privacy programs a company signs a license agreement committing to the principles of the program and the particular resolution process applicable to the program. |
| Leader(s) i | nitiative | Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | BBB <i>OnLine</i> is part of the Council of Better Business Bureaus representing close to 400 major corporations and approximately 140 local Better Business Bureaus. The local bureaus have over 270, 000 business as part of their membership base. |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | |
| [expected] | Date of issuance | ce / implementation | BBBOnLine Reliability was launched on 30 April 1997. BBBOnLine Privacy was launched on 17 March 1999. |
| Scope | General | | Both programs are applicable to all businesses that are able to meet the standards and other criteria of the program. |
| | Sector | | BBBOnLine Reliability is applicable to companies located in the United States and Canada. BBBOnLine Privacy is applicable to a company located anywhere in the world and has a reciprocal Japanese Privacy Seal in co-ordination with JIPDEC (the MITI sponsored Japanese Privacy Seal Authority.) BBBOnLine is working with organizations in different countries to build additional co-operative partnerships to address privacy and consumer protection issues. |
| | Geographic | | |
| Examples of | of application | | The BBB <i>OnLine</i> Reliability Program currently has over 10,000 participating websites. The BBB <i>OnLine</i> Privacy Program has over 800 approved websites with many more in the process. |
| Participation public sector | | | BBBOnLine works closely with the US Federal Trade Commission, State Attorney Generals and local law enforcement organisations if a fraudulent or scam type web site is identified. In developing the Privacy Program BBBOnLine worked closely with the Department of Commerce and Federal Trade Commission. The Council of Better Business Bureaus has a long successful history working closely with appropriate government organisations. |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | | Ms. Mercedes Lemp Marketing Director BBBOnLine Inc. 4200 Wilson Boulevard Arlington, VA 22202 Tel 703 247 3661 Fax 703-276-8112 e-mail: <u>mlemp@cbbb.bbb.org</u> URL: <u>www.bbbonline.org</u> |
| Possible/ex | spected evolution | on of the initiative | Goal is to partner with other organizations to develop a global approach to building consumer trust and confidence in online commerce. |

| Name of initiative | | | Bolero System | |
|--|------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Objective | | | Facilitating international trade through a technical and legal | |
| | | | infrastructure for electronic commerce | |
| Enforcem | ent mechanism(| (s) | Contract-based interfacing with domestic laws | |
| Leader(s) initiative Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | | U U | Bolero International Limited Bolero Association Limited | |
| Leuder(3) | linnarive | Supporting organisation(s) | Bolero-enabled partners listed at www.bolero.net | |
| [expected] |] Date of issuan | ce / implementation | September 1999 | |
| | General | | International trade | |
| Scope | Sector | | Initial focus on shipping | |
| | Geographic | | Worldwide | |
| Examples of application | | | Case studies appear at www.bolero.net. Users have realised substantial cost savings. | |
| Participation public sector | | | Customs and other regulatory offices participate actively. | |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | nation | www.bolero.net | |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | on of the initiative | Broadening focus to include an increasing number of trade transactions and instruments with both technical and legal support. | |

| Name of initiative | | | Business code of conduct from the Association of the Swedish IT and Telecom Industry |
|---|-------------------|--|--|
| Objective | | | The Association of the Swedish IT and Telecom Industry has issued seven business rules for their members. One of the rules regulates the following aspects of electronic information: Distribution of inappropriate or abusive information Respect of privacy and integrity Electronic marketing and spamming Transparency in pricing and other conditions |
| Enforceme | nt mechanism(s | 5) | Binding on members |
| Leader(s) initiative | | Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) Supporting organisation(s) | Association of the Swedish IT and Telecom Industry Confederation of Swedish Enterprise |
| Date of iss | uance / implem | entation | 1997 |
| | General | | Business-to-business and business-to-consumer |
| Scope | Sector | | |
| | Geographic | | |
| Examples of | of application | | 650 member companies |
| | on public sector | | |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | | www.itforetagen.se |
| Possible/ex | spected evolution | on of the initiative | |

| Name of Initiative | | | The Canadian Association of Internet Providers (CAIP) Code Of Conduct |
|---|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Objective | | | To govern the business conduct of ISPs as it relates to the public, public bodies and law enforcement officials. |
| Enforcem | ent mechanism(| s) | Voluntary adherence. |
| Leader(s) | Leader(s) initiative Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | | The Canadian Association of Internet Providers (CAIP) |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | Industry Canada |
| [expected] | Date of issuan | ce / implementation | October 1996 |
| Scope | General | | To assist ISPs with the development and implementation of internal policies and practices to comply with existing legal standards. |
| • | Sector | | Internet Service Providers |
| | Geographic | | Canada |
| Examples of application | | | The Code will guide ISPs when the receive complaints about illegal content on web sites or network abuse. |
| Participati | on public sector | ſ | Industry Canada |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | | www.caip.ca/caipcode.html |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | | CAIP is currently developing a "Fair Practices" guide for its ISP members that will, among other things, provide them with further direction regarding practical means and tools to comply with the Code of Conduct. |

| Name of Initiative | | | The Canadian Association of Internet Providers (CAIP) Privacy |
|---|------------------|---|--|
| | | | Code |
| Objective | | | To apply the principles of the Canadian Standards Association Model Code for the Protection of Personal Information to the online environment. |
| Enforceme | nt mechanism(s | 5) | Voluntary. |
| Leader(s) in | nitiative | Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | The Canadian Association of Internet Providers |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | Industry Canada |
| [expected] | Date of issuance | e / implementation | September 1998 |
| Scope | General | | The CAIP Privacy Code is a voluntary code that represents a formal statement of principles and guidelines concerning the minimum protection that CAIP members will provide to their users regarding the protection of personal information. The Code applies to the management of personal information about a CAIP member's users in any form whether oral, electronic or written that is collected, used or disclosed by a member. |
| | Sector | | Internet Service Providers |
| | Geographic | | Canada |
| | of application | | |
| | on public sector | | Ministry of Consumer and Commercial Relations (ON) |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | | www.caip.ca/privacy.html |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | on of the initiative | CAIP is currently developing a "Fair Practices" guide for its ISP members that will, among other things, provide them with further direction regarding practical means and tools to comply with the CAIP Privacy Code. |

| Name of Initiative | | | The Canadian Association of Internet Providers CAIP ISP Fair Practices |
|--|-------------------|----------------------|--|
| Objective | | | To provide CAIP members with practical means to comply with CAIP's Code of Conduct and Privacy Code, and thereby promote consumer confidence in the use of the Internet. |
| Enforceme | ent mechanism(s | 5) | Voluntary. |
| Leader(s) initiative Supporting organisation(s) Supporting organisation(s) | | implementing | The Canadian Association of Internet Providers (CAIP). |
| | | | Industry Canada |
| [expected] | Date of issuand | e / implementation | Launched 15 February 2001 |
| General General | | | To create modular action plans to help ISPs address issues relating to reputable delivery of service, content, privacy, security and unsolicited commercial email (spam). |
| | Sector | | Internet Service Providers (ISPs) |
| | Geographic | | Canada |
| Examples of application | | | The document will provide guidance to ISPs respecting procedures to follow when they receive complaints about illegal or objectionable content and the formation of contracts to be used with subscribers and web site owners. |
| Participation public sector | | | Industry Canada |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | | www.caip.ca |
| Possible/ex | xpected evolution | on of the initiative | |

| Name of Initiative | | | The Canadian Association of Internet Providers CAIP Protection Portal |
|--|------------------|----------------------|---|
| Objective | | | To provide a bilingual (English/French) public awareness web about Internet content issues for parents and consumers. |
| Enforcem | ent mechanism(s | s) | N/A |
| Leader(s) initiative Supporting organisation(s) Supporting organisation(s) | | implementing | The Canadian Association of Internet Providers (CAIP). |
| | | 11 0 | Industry Canada; Department of Justice |
| [expected] | Date of issuance | ce / implementation | 25 February 2001 |
| Scope | General | | To contribute to the education of Internet users regarding illegal and offensive content on the Internet. |
| | Sector | | General Public |
| | Geographic | | Canada |
| Examples of application | | | The Portal profiles and provides links to Canadian organizations that have launched special initiatives to address Internet content issues. |
| Participation public sector | | | Industry Canada; Department of Justice |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | | www.caip.ca/portal |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | on of the initiative | Additional profiles and links to be added as resources permit. |

| Name of Initiative | | | CAP admark Scheme for UK Internet Advertising (www.admark.org.uk) |
|---|--|----------|--|
| Objective | | | A self-regulatory scheme for UK Internet advertising so that advertisers can declare to consumers their intention to advertise in a legal, decent, honest and truthful way. Those joining the scheme agree to comply with the British Codes of Advertising and Sales Promotion and the rulings of the Advertising Standards Authority. Main aims are to: give consumers trust and confidence in Internet advertising, especially advertising in the admark scheme, and inspire the confidence of governments, opinion formers and consumers in self-regulation for Internet advertising to ensure that new legislation on advertising is kept to a minimum. |
| Enforcement mechanism(s) | | | advertisers, agencies and the media comply with the British Codes of Advertising and Sales Promotion and rulings of the Advertising Standards Authority, backed up by legislation applied by the Office of Fair Trading (a government department) through the Control of Misleading Advertisements Regulations 2000 as amended to incorporate comparative advertising. Adjudications on complaints under the Codes are published weekly on the ASA website (www.asa.org.uk). The use of the CAP admark scheme does not indicate "approval" by either the ASA or CAP of the advertising material on the Internet. ASA will consider complaints against UK advertisers who are not in the scheme as well as against those who are in the scheme. |
| Leader(s) | Leader(s) initiative Supporting organisation(s) Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | | The Committee of Advertising Practice The Advertising Standards Authority |
| Date of iss | suance / implem | entation | May 2001 |
| | Sector | | The British Codes of Advertising and Sales Promotion cover consumer- to-business and business-to-business non-broadcast advertising. The admark scheme is principally aimed at giving consumers confidence in business-to-consumer advertising. The admark scheme and the Codes apply to UK Internet advertising and |
| Scope | | | the use of the scheme will enable companies to declare to consumers that the UK is their county of origin and that they will cooperate with the ASA and CAP in resolving complaints. |
| Geographic Examples of application | | | The UK Codes also cover the Isle of Man and the Channel Islands.In 1998, ASA resolved 34 complaints about on-line ads, 6 required formal investigation and all of them were found to break the Codes, a further 8 presented smaller or clear-cut problems and were informally resolved. |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | | Allan Chant, ASA/CAP 2 Torrington Place, London WC1E 7HW tel: 44-(0)20 7580 5555 Fax: 44-(0)20 7631 3051 |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | | ASA is one of the 22 countries in the European Advertising Standards Alliance (see further in this annex). The scheme will also be recommended to the ICC for consideration in their discussion on the arbitration of complaints under its Internet Advertising Guidelines. The scheme has 18 founder members: MSN, Unilever, IBM, GlaxoSmithkline, Engage, 24/7, Egg, Nat West, Guardian Unlimited, Royal Mail, Yell, Nestle, Inspired Leaders, Nickelodeon, Hollinger Telegraph, Sainsbury, ANM and BT. |

| Name of In | itiative | CaseTrust Accreditation Scheme |
|---|---|--|
| Objective | | To drive businesses to excellence through consumers through the declaration of good businesses practices and Fair Trading elements in-built in the criteria. To profile businesses who are industry leaders who Go for CaseTrust Gold, a higher tier in the CaseTrust Accreditation Scheme overseas with our supporting organisations. |
| Enforcement mechanism(s) | | CaseTrust aims to address the assurance issue in e-commerce by making it compulsory for all e-commerce websites to have in place proper security and privacy systems in order to join the scheme. Participating online businesses are also required to adopt a set of business practices such as respecting the privacy of customers' personal information. Consumers can verify that the business is a genuine CaseTrust accredited business by referring to the online directory on the CASE website. The CaseTrust qualifying criteria set out the minimum standards that retailers must comply with. Businesses who qualify for the scheme will be awarded the CaseTrust logo, which may then be displayed prominently on their websites or storefronts. Checks will be conducted at random to ensure that the businesses practice what they preach. In addition, all retailers will be subjected to periodic assessment to ensure that standards are maintained. CaseTrust offers redress to consumers who may be dissatisfied with their purchases. If the matter is not resolved amicably within a reasonable period of time, it will then be brought up to the CASE mediation panel for resolution. The emphasis on dispute resolution and avoidance, also lays the ground for Fair Trading legislation. |
| Leader(s) | Issuing/ Implementing organisation(s) | Consumers Association of Singapore (CASE) |
| initiative | Supporting organisation(s) | Infocomm Development Authority of Singapore Productivity and Standards Board Singapore Tourism Board |
| Date of issu | ance / implementation | End 1999, Re-launched in April 2001 |
| ~ | General | |
| Scope | Sector | On-line & physical storefronts |
| Geographic | | Singapore |
| | of application | |
| Participation public sector | | NIL |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | CASE, CaseTrust Management Committee Ulu Pandan Community Building #05-01 170 Ghim Moh Road S297621 Tel: (65) 8751311 Fax: (65) 4637048 Email: casetrust@case.org.sg |
| | | enserres (0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0, |

| Name of Initiative | | | Canadian Standards Association Model Code for the Protection of Personal Information |
|--|----------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Objective | | | Addresses two broad concerns: the way in which organisations collect, use and disclose personal information; and the right of individuals to have access to personal information about themselves and to have the information corrected if necessary. |
| Enforcemen | nt mechanism(s | 5) | Voluntary, but referenced by law or regulatory bodies in a variety of situations in Canada. |
| Leader(s) in | Leader(s) initiative | | Canadian Standards Association (CSA) |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | Price Waterhouse - chair ITAC CBA Stentor American Express Public Interest Advocacy Centre Digital |
| [expected] | | ce / implementation | March 1996 |
| _ | General | | Consumer -to-Business |
| Scope | Sector | | Transportation, Telecommunications, IT, Insurance, Health and Banking |
| | Geographic | | Canada |
| Examples of application | | | Industry Canada - Jan 1998 - <i>The Protection of Personal Information</i> suggests using the CSA Model as a framework for Canadian legislation on privacy. |
| Participation public sector | | | Ministry of Consumer and Commercial Relations (ON) Industry Canada Office of Privacy Commissioner Department of Justice Heritage Canada |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | nation | CSA 178 Rexdale Blvd. Toronto, Ontario M9W 1R3 Tel: (416) 747-2272 Fax: (416) 474 2473 Grant Gillis, Project Manager, Quality Program <u>grant.gillis@csa.ca</u> www.csa.ca |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | | |

| Name of initiative | | | CBI Contractual Clauses and Group Policies |
|--|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Objective | | | To enable transfers of personal data to countries outside the EEA |
| Enforceme | nt mechanism(s | 5) | Via obligations and warranties |
| Leader(s) initiative Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | | 0 | CBI |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | |
| [expected] | [expected] Date of issuance / implementation | | Shared with but not approved by UK Information Commissioner and European Commission. |
| | General | | |
| Scope | Sector | | All sectors |
| | Geographic | | All countries |
| Examples of application | | | To ensure adequate protection for personal data being transferred from a subsidiary company in the EEA to a parent company outside the EEA. |
| Participation public sector | | • | |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | nation | Copies available to CBI members from CBI Information Centre +44 207 395 8247 |
| Possible/ex | pected evolution | on of the initiative | |

| Name of initiative | | | Cybercrime Unit of ICC Commercial Crime Services |
|------------------------------------|-------------|------------------|--|
| Objective | | | To investigate, collate & disseminate information to the industry on all matters relating to commercial cybercrime |
| Enforcement m | echanism(s) | | None |
| Leader(s) initiat | tive | Implementing | ICC Commercial Crime Services |
| | | organization(s) | |
| | | Supporting | |
| | | organization(s) | |
| (Expected) Date | of issuance | e/implementation | 01/01/2000 |
| | General | | Commercial Crime & Malpractice |
| Scope | | | Internet & Corporate Networks |
| | Sector | | Non-sector specific |
| Geographic | | ic | Worldwide |
| Examples of application | | | Closed down sites offering fraudulent financial proposals, providing a monthly bulletin on cyber crime, advising clients on wireless network vulnerabilities |
| Participation public sector | | | Yes, works closely with Government Agencies and Law Enforcement. |
| For full text/further information | | ation | P Mukundan, Director, ICC-Commercial Crime Services |
| Consult/contact | | | p.mukundan@icc-ccs.org.uk |
| Possible/expected evolution of the | | n of the | To become a focal point for business in respect of information regarding |
| Initiative | | | Commercial cybercrime. |

| Name of initiative | | | Code of Conduct for Electronic Commerce | |
|--|--|-------------------------------|--|--|
| Objective | | | To draft a framework with a minimum set of rules for model behaviour, which might gain commitment of all parties involved in electronic commerce and thus attribute to the process of building trust and confidence. The general principles Transparency, Reliability and Confidentiality and privacy have been taken as a starting point. | |
| Enforceme | nt mechanism(s | s) | Contractually binding for subscribers, possibly agreements on private-law settlement of disputes. Certification will possibly be another way of enforcement. | |
| Leader(s) i | Leader(s) initiative Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | | The Dutch Electronic Commerce Platform (ECP.NL). | |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | the Confederation of Netherlands Industry and Employers (VNO-NCW), individual companies, the Dutch consumer organisation Consumentenbond, as well as the Economic Affairs Department and the Justice Department. | |
| [expected] | Date of issuand | ce / implementation | The current version (4.0) of the Model Code of Conduct for Electronic Business was launched late October 2001 | |
| Scope | General | | Business-to-business; Business-to-consumer, Business-to-administration | |
| | Sector | | All sectors | |
| | Geographic | | No limits | |
| Examples of application | | | The Model Code of Conduct for Electronic Business is explicitly mentioned as an example in the Centre for Trade Facilitation and Electronic Business of the United Nations (UN/CEFACT) recommendation regarding E-commerce self-regulatory instruments. The leading Dutch trustmark 'Webtrader', which ceased to exist at the beginning of 2002, was based on the the Model Code of Conduct. It's successor 'Thuiswinkel Waarborg' is also based on the Model Code of Conduct. Numerous organisations have adopted Codes of Conduct for their e- business activities, which have been inspired by the Model Code of Conduct. | |
| Participation public sector | | | See "Supporting organisations" | |
| For full text/further information | | | Ton Wagemans (ton.wagemans@ecp.nl) | |
| consult/contact Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | on of the initiative | Bart Schermer (bart.schermer@ecp.nl By the end of february an initiative will be launched to help branches of trade implement the provisions of the Model Code of Conduct within their branche. This initiative is a joint effort of ECP.NL and Syntens, an innovation network for employers. | |

| Name of in | itiative | | Dispute resolution for electronic commerce |
|---|--|----------------------|---|
| Objective | | | ICC offers a variety of high-speed, low-cost methods for resolving electronic commerce disputes through arbitration under the 1998 ICC Rules of Arbitration; Fast-track arbitration under the 1998 rules; ICC ADR Rules and ICC Rules for Expertise |
| Enforcement mechanism(s) | | 8) | After voluntary incorporation into a contract an arbitration clause becomes binding upon the parties. Pursuant to various treaties (i.e. the New York Convention), arbitral awards are enforceable in signatory states. Amicable dispute resolution mechanisms may be appropriate vehicles for the enforcement of self-regulatory guidelines, codes and practices. |
| Leader(s) in | Leader(s) initiative Supporting organisation(s) Supporting organisation(s) | | ICC (International Chamber of Commerce) through its ICC Dispute Resolution Services |
| [expected] Date of issuance / implementation | | ee / implementation | ICC arbitration rules in effect since 1 January 1998. New ICC ADR rules in effect since 1 July 2001. ICC Rules for Expertise under revision but current version in force since 1 January 1993. |
| Scope | General | | Mostly business-to-business, but consumer-to-business disputes are not excluded. |
| • | Sector | | Non sector-specific. |
| | Geographic | | International |
| Examples of application | | | |
| | Participation public sector | | None |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | | www.iccarbitration.org Emmanuel Jolivet, +33-1-49532943 |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | on of the initiative | ICC dispute resolution mechanisms will continue to evolve to meet business needs in electronic commerce and generally. |

| Name of initiative | | | ESOMAR Guideline on Conducting Marketing and Opinion Research Using the Internet and ESOMAR Guideline on Internet Privacy Policies and Privacy Statements |
|--------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Objective | | | To outline the main principles which must be followed in carrying out research on (or about) the Internet and in reporting the findings of such research with the objective to protect the interests both of Internet respondents and of the users of Internet research findings. |
| Enforcement mechanism(s) | | s) | Complaints procedures through ESOMAR. This guideline is based on the principles of the ICC/ESOMAR International Code of Social and Marketing Research which has been undersigned by all ESOMAR members, the management of more than 1000 research institutes with ESOMAR members and by 102 associations in 51 countries worldwide. |
| Leader(s) i | Leader(s) initiative Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | | ESOMAR |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | World Federation of Advertisers (WFA), Advertising Research Foundation (ARF) |
| Date of is | Date of issuance / implementation | | ESOMAR 2000: ESOMAR Guideline on Conducting Marketing and Opinion Research Using the Internet ESOMAR 2001: ESOMAR Guideline on Internet Privacy Policies and Privacy Statements |
| | General | | Business-to-consumer and business-to-business |
| Scope | Sector | | Market, opinion and social research |

| Geographic | Worldwide |
|---|--|
| Examples of application | |
| Participation public sector | |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | Www.esomar.nl or i.vandermeersch@esomar.nl |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | Will be updated regularly as needed. Next up-date to be available in 2002 (ESOMAR Guideline on Conducting Marketing and Opinion Research Using the Internet) |

| Name of Initiative | | | European Advertising Standards Alliance (EASA): Cross-Border Complaints System |
|-------------------------|--|---|--|
| Objective | | | The key objectives of the EASA's development of self-regulation for the Internet are: a) To provide effective channels for the resolution of cross border complaints about advertisements; b) To develop high Internet standards through best advertising practice to give consumers confidence and trust in the advertisements they access; c) To give national, European and International Governments, consumer bodies and opinion formers confidence in the advertising industry's self-regulatory systems to keep the need for legislation to |
| Enforceme | nt mechanism(s | 2) | a minimum. National self-regulatory systems for advertising |
| Leader(s) i | ······································ | Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) Supporting | EASA Members – Self-regulatory Organisations |
| | | organisation(s) | - European Industry Associations |
| [expected] | Date of issuance | e / implementation | (July 1999 – issued to advertising industry bodies for consultation/feedback) Issuance expected in 2000 |
| Scope | General | | National self-regulatory codes/principles. The existing national self-regulatory systems for advertising in the EASA member countries |
| | Sector | | Advertising/Commercial Communications |
| T | Geographic | | The EASA has 38 members. 28 of these are self-regulatory bodies (SROs), and comprises of 22 European countries, including all European Union (EU) Member States, as well as four corresponding members in Canada, South Africa, New Zealand and the US. These members apply codes/principles of advertising practice which are based on those drawn up by ICC. EASA has since November 2001 accepted industry associations supporting self-regulation into membership. Currently there are 10 organisations in membership representing advertisers, agencies, and the media. EASA is now the single authorative voice for the European advertising industry on self-regulation. |
| Examples of application | | | The EASA established a cross border complaint procedure in 1992, and this has now been extended to co-ordinate consumer complaints about the content of electronic advertisements. The procedure is based on the country of origin principle, as prescribed in the EU Television Without Frontiers (Broadcasting) Directive (97/36/EC) and applies to complaints from one member country about advertisements that originate in another. These procedures are applicable for Internet advertising as self- regulation, backed up where appropriate by nationally based legislation, is seen to be the most appropriate and effective measure to provide |

| | protection for consumers while enabling commerce to flourish. To date the cross-border complaints system has closed a number of Internet complaints. The EASA has encouraged self-regulatory bodies (SROs) in membership to receive complaints online and provides a means via the EASA website for consumers to make online complaints which will be forwarded to the SRO in the country of origin. |
|--|--|
| Participation public sector | National organisations and EU |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | Dr Oliver Gray Director-General European Advertising Standards Alliance 10A Rue de la Pépinière B - 1000 Brussels Belgium Tel.: (+32 2) 513 78 06 Fax: (+32 2) 513 28 61 E-mail: library@easa-alliance.org |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | This will contribute to a wider evaluation of internet advertising and cross-border complaints handling with the advertising industry, and to the stimulation of discussions that will aid our understanding and further develop the practice and application of advertising self-regulation on a global level within the context of interactive new media. |

| Name of initiative | | | European Electronic Signature Standardisation Initiative (EESSI) |
|--------------------|-----------------|---|---|
| Objective | | | Industry initiative under the ICT Standards Board with the support of the two European standardisation bodies, CEN and ETSI, to provide implementation frameworks in support of the European Directive on Electronic Signature. |
| | | | Such frameworks should be built upon international standards and other form of voluntary agreements which can be used to provide legally recognised signatures not only across Europe, but at global level through international cooperation. |
| | | | The ultimate objective is the publication by CEN and ETSI and recognition by the European Commission of industry agreed documents, relating to the technical infrastructure and self-regulatory guidelines for certification authorities and the like. Some documents could ultimately become European standards. |
| Enforcem | ent mechanism(s | 3) | Compliance with the minimal legal framework stated by the European Directive |
| Leader(s) | initiative | Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | EESSI Steering Group, CEN; ETSI |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | ICT Standards Board ,CEN, ETSI |
| [expected] | Date of issuanc | e / implementation | 1 st step (assessment of existing standards, standardisation needs in light of market requirements, proposals for action) achieved (July 99). |
| | | | 2 nd step (work programme) first set of standards focusing on the use of PKI technologies for electronic signature in support of the implementation of the European Directive (April 01). |
| | | | 3 rd step (work programme) standards for the specifications for other classes of electronic signature (in progress) |
| Scope | General | | Legal recognition of electronic signature in the open electronic commerce environment |
| | Sector | | Non sector specific |

| Geographic | 1 st priority European Union (domain of application of the European Directive), overall objective: international. |
|---|--|
| Examples of application | e-Commerce |
| Participation public sector | European Commission, EU Member States |
| For full text/further information | 1 st step Final Report available on http://www.ict.etsi.org/eessi/EESSI- |
| consult/contact | homepage.htm/ |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | Consult http://www.ict.etsi.org/eessi/EESSI-homepage.htm |

| Name of initiative | | | Self-regulation for e-commerce marketing "The FEDMA's Ring of Confidence initiative" |
|---|------------------|---|---|
| Objective Enforcement mechanism(s) | | | To enhance consumer trust and confidence on electronic commerce; To promote best business practices for electronic commerce & therefore promote the growth of e-commerce in Europe. These objectives would be achieved through the implementation of several key elements which take into full consideration present consumer concerns: European Code of Conduct, European Guarantee Seal, Enforcement Mechanisms, Monitoring and Surveillance, Specific Software tools, specific services and awareness campaign. The review of the FEDMA Code started in July 2001. One of the |
| | | . | objectives of the review Task Force will be to set up enforcement Committee. |
| Leader(s) i | nitiative | Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | Federation of European Direct Marketing, FEDMA |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | National Direct Marketing Associations in Europe |
| [expected] | Date of issuance | e / implementation | Mid 2003 |
| G | General | | Business-to-consumer |
| Scope | Sector | | Any company having a web site |
| | Geographic | | No geographic boundaries: the Internet |
| Examples of application | | | The FEDMA Code of conduct for Electronic Commerce and Interactive Marketing was unanimously adopted by the members of the FEDMA board on 5 September 2000. FEDMA is currently working on a Data Protection Code, which should be completed by March 2002 FEDMA is working on the concept of a common set of standards and a seal to arrive at a common and compatible infrastructure for handling cross-border consumer disputes in the EU and North America. FEDMA will advance this concept in alliance with BBBOnline, and Eurochambres The Consumer Complaints Resolution Mechanism project (CCRM) aims to encourage trust and confidence in e-commerce with the creation and the development of a multilingual and interactive complaint form. This project will develop specified software tool for business to Consumer issues and will focus on a large awareness campaign For more information, please follow this link: http://www.fedma.org/code/page.cfm?id_page=74#liens05 |
| Participation public sector | | | Only in funding |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | | Alastair Tempest: <u>atempest@fedma.org</u> |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | | The whole project could be developed internationally through the International Federation of Direct Marketing Associations (IFDMA). |

| Name of initiative | | | General Usage for International Digitally Ensured Commerce (GUIDEC) |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Objective | | | A set of common definitions and business-generated best practices for certifying and "ensuring" electronic commerce to: facilitate further development of solutions to security identify problems in electronic commerce over the Internet Act as a unifying or starting point for some of the diverging policy approaches. |
| Enforcem | ent mechanism(| s) | Voluntary. |
| Leader(s) initiative Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) Supporting organisation(s) | | implementing organisation(s) | ICC (International Chamber of Commerce) |
| Date of is | suance / impler | nentation | November 1997, revised in 2001 |
| | General | | Business-to-business |
| Scope | Sector | | Non sector-specific. |
| | Geographic | | International |
| Examples of application | | | GUIDEC has been widely referred to in the press and by industry as a unique reference for industry best practices and harmonised definitions. It has also been used by UNCITRAL as reference material for its work on electronic signatures. |
| Participation public sector | | | None |
| For full text/further information | | | http://www.iccwbo.org |
| consult/contact | | | Ayesha Hassan, +33-1-49533013 |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | | Will be updated in 2003 |

| Name of initiative | | | Guidelines for codes of practice for Internet Service Providers |
|---|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Objective Enforcement mechanism(s) | | s) | This guideline consists of 7 Chapters, 20 articles and 2 additional rules. The objective of this guideline is to protect users (including minors) and to realise the sound development of Internet Connection Services by the members of Telecom Services Association of Japan by responding appropriately to various problems (illegal or harmful content etc.) arising from providing Internet Connection Services. Voluntary |
| Leader(s) | Leader(s) initiative Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | | Telecom Services Association of Japan (TELESA) |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | |
| [expected] | Date of issuance | ce / implementation | 16 February 1998 |
| Scope | General | | Business-to-business; Business-to-consumer; Generic |
| | Sector | | Internet Service Providers, Telecommunications Carriers |
| | Geographic | | Japan |
| Examples of application | | | The association consists of 388 enterprises. |
| Participation public sector | | | |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | | http://www.telesa.or.jp/e_guide/e_guid01.html |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | on of the initiative | The Guidelines will be reviewed continuously to ensure its efficacy as a guiding principle for members of the Association. |

| Name of initiative | | | Guidelines for Electronic Direct Marketing |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Objective | | | Electronic commerce targeting consumers in Japan |
| Enforcem | Enforcement mechanism(s) | | Compulsory to the JADMA members |
| Leader(s) initiative Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | | implementing | Japan Direct Marketing Association(JADMA) |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry |
| [expected |] Date of issuar | nce / implementation | 19 th January, 1999 |
| Scope | General | | Business-to-consumer |
| | Sector | | Non sector-specific |
| | Geographic | | Japan |
| Examples of application | | | The examples as yet |
| Participation public sector | | or | |
| For full text/further information | | | http://www.JADMA.org |
| consult/contact | | | jadma@JADMA.org |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | ion of the initiative | will be amended as needed |

| Name of initiative | | | Guidelines for transactions between virtual merchants and consumers |
|---|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Objective | | | To promote the sound and healthy development of EC, these guidelines have been adopted as policies aimed at companies and designed to make transactions fair and protect the consumer, with the emphasis on preventing trouble before it occurs. |
| Enforceme | ent mechanism(s | 5) | Voluntary |
| Leader(s) i | Leader(s) initiative Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | | Electronic Commerce Promotion Council of Japan (ECOM) |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | |
| [expected] | Date of issuand | ce / implementation | March 1999 |
| Scope | General | | Business-to-consumer |
| | Sector | | Internet shopping |
| | Geographic | | International |
| Examples of application | | | |
| Participation public sector | | | |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | nation | http://www.ecom.jp/ecom_e info@ecom.jp |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | on of the initiative | Will be updated regularly as needed |

| Name of initiative | Guidelines for Use of Smart Cards (contact/contactless) |
|--------------------|--|
| Objective | Targeting shops, businesses, and operation companies expected introduce |
| | smart cards and systems, these guidelines address a cross section of items |
| | to be examined and information required in the course of introducing |
| | such systems. They address the conceivable fields or situations of |
| | application and provide specific content related to those fields and |
| | situations. Overall, this report amounts to an effort to promote and |
| | facilitate the use of smart cards. |

| Enforcement mechanism(s) | | | Voluntary |
|---|------------------|----------------------|---|
| Leader(s) initiative Supporting organisation(s) | | implementing | Electronic Commerce Promotion Council of Japan (ECOM) |
| | | | |
| [expected] | Date of issuance | ce / implementation | March, 1998 |
| Scope | General | | Business-to-consumer |
| | Sector | | Non sector-specific |
| | Geographic | | International |
| Examples of application | | | |
| Participation public sector | | • | |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | | http://www.ecom.jp/ecom_e info@ecom.jp |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | on of the initiative | Developed to the Guidelines for Building IC Card Terminal Infrastructures, released in March 2000. |

| Name of initiative | | | Guidelines on Personal Information Protection in Electronic |
|---|--|-------------------------------|---|
| | | | Commerce in the Private Sector |
| Objective | | | To ensure the sound development of electronic commerce, all enterprises or individual businessmen that handle personal data of consumers in electronic commerce must take measures to protect these data. These guidelines have been prepared for the purpose of protecting personal data handled in electronic commerce. |
| Enforcemen | nt mechanism(s | 5) | Voluntary |
| Leader(s) in | Leader(s) initiative Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | | Electronic Commerce Promotion Council of Japan (ECOM) |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | |
| [expected] | Date of issuance | ce / implementation | March 1998 |
| Scope | Scope General | | Business-to-consumer |
| | Sector | | Non sector-specific |
| | Geographic | | Japan/International |
| Examples of application | | | |
| Participation public sector | | | |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | | http://www.ecom.jp/ecom_e info@ecom.jp |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | | Will be updated regularly as needed |

| Name of initiative | | | High assurance general ID-certificate with private key protected in an electronic ID-card |
|---|--|----------------------------|--|
| Objective | | | Co-operation between public and private sector in order to facilitate common rules for strong authentication, use of digital signatures and strong encryption during transport of data. These three basic security services can be used for electronic commerce as well for governments' need for a "single face to citizen" |
| Enforceme | ent mechanism(s | 5) | Voluntary by members of the non profit organisation |
| Need for in | nteroperability | | Certifications by the organisation until national standardisation decisions are made. |
| Leader(s) i | Leader(s) initiative Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | | SEIS – Secured Electronic Information in Society (A non-profit organisation with c 60 organisations from both private and public sectors). |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | GEA – Swedish Alliance for electronic business |
| [expected] | Date of issuance | ee / implementation | Technical specifications and a Certification Policy (16/06/98). Partly implemented by banks and the Swedish Post |
| ~ | General | | Generic. All specification published via the net. Free to be used. |
| Scope | Sector | | |
| | Geographic | | Sweden |
| Examples of application | | | First used in the finance sector for Internet Banking and other payment instructions. Public catalogue services not yet available. > 50 000 users. |
| Participation public sector | | | Members of SEIS |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | | gea@gea.ihb.se www.gea.nu |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | | The general ID-certificate can be used for applications of any other certificate belonging to other PKI-schemes (e.g. SET Certificates, email certificates etc) |

| Name of in | nitiative | | Hotlines against illegal content, child pornography, and racism/discrimination on the Internet |
|---|--|-------------------------------|---|
| Objective | | | Reports to these hotlines result in swift action against the reported illegal content, where necessary in cooperation with public official an/or police. In addition to being illegal, illegal content has a strong negative impact on Internet in general and specifically on the trustworthiness of the medium. |
| Enforceme | nt mechanism(| s) | Voluntary. Working on formal public-private partnership. |
| Leader(s) i | Leader(s) initiative Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | | NLIP is the Dutch ISPA (ISPA-NI): Internet Service Providers Association Netherlands |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | Government, police |
| [expected] | Date of issuand | ce / implementation | Operational for 3 years now |
| Scope | General | | All citizens and companies can report |
| | Sector | | Internet |
| | Geographic | | Netherlands |
| Examples of application | | | Many reports made to each hotline. See for instance yearly report (in English) at http://www.meldpunt.org/ |
| Participation public sector | | | Law department, department of the interior, police |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | | http://www.nlip.nl/ http://www.meldpunt.org/ mailto:hans.leemans@nlip.nl (president/CEO NILP) |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | | Organisational links being made to other European and global initiatives, cooperation with public authorities developing. Illegal content hotline will be developed |
| Name of in | nitiative | | ICC Guidelines on advertising and marketing on the internet |
|--|-----------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Objective | | | Guidelines proposing standards for ethical conduct of advertisers and marketers offering goods and services over Interactive Media to increase the public's confidence in marketing in the interactive media, to safeguard advertisers' freedom of expression, to minimise the incentive for governmental regulation, and to meet reasonable consumer privacy expectations. |
| Enforcement mechanism(s) | | 3) | Like ICC's other marketing codes, the Internet guidelines are voluntary but serve as a global reference point for principles of ethical behaviour by companies world-wide. They are of a general nature so that national and sector-specific rules can build on them. |
| Leader(s) initiative Supporting organisation(s) Supporting organisation(s) | | implementing organisation(s) | ICC (International Chamber of Commerce) |
| [expected] | Date of issuand | e / implementation | 2 April 1998 |
| Scope | General | - | The Guidelines set standards of ethical conduct to be observed by all involved with advertising and marketing activities on the Internet. |
| | Sector | | Non sector-specific |
| | Geographic | | International |
| Examples of application | | | The Guidelines serve as a basis for many self-regulatory e-advertising schemes currently being set up (some examples can be found in this Annex). They have been endorsed by over 100 companies and associations, and supported by the Global Business Dialogue for Electronic Commerce. |
| Participation public sector | | | None |
| For full text/further information | | nation | http://www.iccwbo.org |
| consult/contact | | | ICC, +33-1-49532827 |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | | Will be updated regularly as needed |

| Name of initiative | | International Content Rating Association (ICRA) |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|
| Objective | | Our mission is to develop, implement and manage an internationally acceptable voluntary self-rating system which provides Internet users world wide with the choice to limit access to content they consider harmful, especially to children. |
| Enforcement mechanism(s) | | Every content provider must agree to terms and conditions stating that they have not wilfully misrepresented themselves. In addition, every site is visited, spot checks undertaken and full audit of sites conducted. An automated web crawler visits every site to check for label integrity. We also respond to comments, complaints and suggestions from the general public. |
| Leader(s) initiative | Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | Internet Content Rating Association. |
| | Supporting organisation(s) | ICRA members include: Bell Canada, Internet Watch Foundation, T-Online International AG, Microsoft Corporation, Bertelsmann Foundation, IBM, NetMom, Cable & Wireless, Thus PLC, AOL Europe, Internet Association Japan, BT Openworld, AOL Inc, Childnet International, VeriSign, Digimarc Corporation, PAGi, .Kids Domains, Inc, Verizon, Yahoo! Inc |
| Date of issuance / implementation | | December 2000 onwards |
| Scope General | | The rating system is used by both content providers to self-rate their sites and by parents and other users to block potentially harmful material within their browsers. |

| Sector | The system is applicable to all sectors in all parts of the world. Commercial, educational, government, military and personal sites have all rated with ICRA. |
|--|---|
| Geographic | Global |
| Examples of application | Over 50,000 sites world-wide have rated with ICRA. ICRA will launch ICRAfilter in Q1 2002. |
| Participation public sector | ICRA has worked closely with government departments and agencies all over the world. Meetings have been held with the US Dept of Commerce, OECD, the Australian Broadcasting Authority and the European Commission amongst others. |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | Stephen Balkam; CEO, Internet Content Rating Association, 22 Old Steine, Brighton, East Sussex, BN1 1EL, United Kingdom. Telephone: +44 (0) 1273 648 332, <u>admin@icra.org</u> and David Kerr; Secretary General; Internet Content Rating Association; 5 Coles Lane; Oakington, Cambridge CB4 5BA; UK; +44 (1223) 23 7700; <u>chief@iwf.org.uk</u> |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | ICRAfilter to be launched in March 2002 |

| Name of initiative | | International DOI Foundation |
|--------------------------|---|--|
| Objective | | To support the needs of the intellectual property community in the digital environment, and specifically to promote and develop the use of the Digital Object Identifier. |
| | | The Digital Object Identifier (DOI®) is a system for interoperably identifying and exchanging intellectual property in the digital environment. It provides an extensible framework for managing intellectual content in any form at any level of granularity. The International DOI Foundation (IDF), a non-profit organization, manages development, policy, and licensing of the DOI to registration agencies and technology providers and advises on usage and development of related services and technologies. |
| | | The IDF utilises CNRI's Handle technology (www.handle.net) and a structured metadata component based on the EC-supported indecs project (www.indecs.org; IDF is one of the two bodies managing the indecs intellectual property rights). The DOI "application profile" integrates CNRI's digital object architecture and technology with well-structured metadata in order to provide a coherent and consistent means of expressing interoperable metadata and resolvable identifiers in a digital environment. |
| | | The IDF are supporting further developments such as the extension of the successful indecs project further into rights management. |
| Enforcement mechanism(s) | | Policies and procedures defined in the DOI handbook. Issuance of a DOI requires conformance with the Foundation's rules. An implementation of the Uniform Resource Name/ Uniform Resource Identifier specification as defined by the IETF and W3C. Conformance to standard syntax and other rules laid down by the Foundation and where appropriate formalised as international standards. |
| Leader(s) initiative | Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | The International DOI Foundation (www.doi.org) |
| | | |
| | | |

| 1 | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--|
| | Supporting organisation(s) | The Foundation is an open consortium that any organisation may join. Financial support for the DOI system is provided by members until such time as the network of DOI Registration Agencies can be self-funding. Current members: see <u>http://www.doi.org/idf-member-list.html</u> Prefix holders (organisations able to assign DOIs): see <u>http://www.doi.org/prefix_holders.html</u> Registration Agencies (organisations able to empower others to assign DOIs); see <u>http://www.doi.org/registration_agencies.html</u> Underlying technologies: indecs (<u>www.indecs.org</u>); handle (<u>www.handle.net</u>); CNRI (www.cnri.reston.va.us) |
| [expected] | Date of issuance / implementation | DOIs have been issued since October 1998. The DOI system is currently actively implemented by many publishers including over 70 through the CrossRef consortium (<u>www.crossref.org</u>) |
| ~ | General | Intellectual property rights owners and users |
| Scope | Sector | Non sector-specific |
| | Geographic | World |
| Examples of application | | Persistent identification of entities in the intellectual property system, e.g. textual abstractions (works), tradeable items (digital and physical files); licenseable items (e.g. performances), etc. |
| Participatio | on public sector | Open |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | Web site: <u>www.doi.org</u> Contact person: Norman Paskin, Director, International DOI Foundation PO Box 233; Kidlington, Oxford; OX5 1XU; U.K.; Tel: (+44) 1865 843798; Fax: (+44) 1865 843446; E mail: <u>n.paskin@doi.org</u> |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | Application of DOIs in other sectors beyond initial text implementations. Further development of the DOI system in collaboration with existing standard mechanisms for identification and metadata. IDF is currently supporting work to extend the indecs analysis into both the rights transaction and identity management (party identifiers) areas. Appointment of multiple registration agencies and further application development. Development of standard tools for multiple resolution of identifiers. |

| Name of initiative | | | International Online ADR |
|--|--|------------------------|--|
| Objective | | | To propose making dispute resolution procedures that suit to the international market and necessary conditions of dispute resolution organizations in order to maintain online trust mark authority and secure mark's effectiveness. (For an accomplishment of this objective, to get market needs and verify effectiveness of dispute resolution mechanism) |
| Enforceme | ent mechanism(s | 5) | Voluntary |
| Leader(s) initiative Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | | implementing | Electronic Commerce Promotion Council of Japan (ECOM) Korea Institute for Electronic Commerce (KIEC) BBBOnline |
| | Supporting organisation(s) | | Electronic Commerce Promotion Council of Japan(ECOM) |
| [expected] | [expected] Date of issuance / implementation | | January 2002 |
| Scope | General | | Business-to-consumer |
| | Sector | | Internet shopping |
| | Geographic | | Japan, Korea, USA, Canada |
| Examples of application | | | Net shopping dispute resolution service |
| Participation public sector | | | |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | nation consult/contact | http://www.ecom.jp/adr/ |

| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | Will be updated as needed |
|---|---------------------------|
| | |

| Name of initiative | | | International Standardization of Online Trust Mark Programs |
|--|------------------|--------------------|---|
| Objective | | | Global promotion of the mark program and creating awareness, promotion of international trade, standardization of online business criteria and enhancing the added values for mark granted businesses, setting of the international common logo mark, development of interoperable dispute resolution procedures and technology that supports them, and building a cooperative system among dispute resolution organizations. |
| Enforceme | ent mechanism(s | 5) | Voluntary |
| Leader(s) initiative Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | | implementing | Global Trust Mark Alliance |
| Supporting organisation(s) | | | Electronic Commerce Promotion Council of Japan(ECOM) |
| [expected] | Date of issuance | e / implementation | March, 2003 |
| Scope | General | | Business-to-consumer |
| | Sector | | Internet shopping |
| | Geographic | | Member countries of the Alliance |
| Examples | of application | | Online shopping mark |
| Participation public sector | | | |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | | http://www.ecom.jp/ecom_e http://mark.cin.or.jp/ http://www.jadma.org/ost/index.html |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | | Will be updated as needed |

| Name of initiative | Internet One |
|--------------------|--|
| Objective | Provide identification of legitimate Internet trading companies through an authoritative listing facilitaty associating trademarks; brand names, company names, telephone numbers, directly with internet addresses, URLs, IP addresses and domain names, irrespective of the country code top level domains or generic top level domains in which registration has been effected. Correlating "real world" identifiers with those of the "virtual world" gives consumers greater certainty that the entity known to them in the real world is indeed that visible to them in the virtual world. Legitimate Internet traders register their details against a nominal charge through a recognized domain name registrar, Internet service web hosting company, certification authority, PTT operator or with IN ONE directly. Users may retrieve registered information, free of charge, using any standard Internet browser, entering in the address line of the browser the requisite trademark/company name/telephone nr, followed by ".io" to be referred directly to the registered Internet site. Where multiple identical trademarks/brandnames/company names are entered, the IN ONE system automatically generates a directory style listing from which the user may distinguish and select their desired company/destination. IN ONE will warn consumers within about 4 seconds of being notified of the potential infringement that the goods or services may be imitations of their reputable namesakes. IN ONE leaves the determination of who is a |
| | legitimate trader up to specialized agencies. |

| Enforcement mechanisms | | | On-line dispute resolution/arbitration facilities |
|---|------------------|---------------------|--|
| | | | (http://internetONE.wipo.int |
| | | | National courts |
| | | Issuing/ | Internet ONE |
| | | implementing | Research Institute for Computer Science |
| Leader(s) initiative | | organisation(s) | World Intellectual Property Organization |
| | | Supporting | Council of Internet Domain Name Registrars |
| | | organisation(s) | World Chambers of Commerce Network |
| | | | Electronic Commerce Associations |
| [expected] | Date of issuand | ce / implementation | Current |
| <u> </u> | General | • | Business-to-business and business-to-consumer |
| Scope | Sector | | Non sector-specific |
| | Geographic | | Global |
| Examples | of application | | IN ONE is the only authoritative directory on the Intenet today using |
| | | | pioneering technology to a non-propietary platform. |
| Participati | on public sector | | Group of Eight Industrialized Nations, Government of Australia, France, |
| 1 | 1 | | Germany, Korea, Soviet Union, UK, USA and European Commission. |
| For full text/further information | | nation | http://www.io.io |
| consult/co | ntact | | Internet ONE |
| | | | P.O. Box 4040 |
| | | | Christchurch |
| | | | Dorset |
| | BH23 1XW | | BH23 1XW |
| | | | Fax 44 1202 430 580 |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | | IN ONE is seeking additional strategic partners from all nations and field |
| _ | | | of e-commerce. Designed in the UK, IN ONE and its partners have |
| | | | servers and infrastructure in New York, London, Amsterdam, Tokyo and |
| | | | Melbourne and already just under 100 partners from 23 countries. |
| | | | Readers of various Internet magazines voted IN ONE "best consumer |
| | | | customer service" at the recent European Internet Industry Awards 1999. |

| Name of initiative | | | The Online Trust Mark System for Winning Trust for Electronic Commerce |
|---|--|---------------------|---|
| Objective | | | To help consumers avoid trouble with false or deceptive EC merchants. The seal of approval are displayed on the homepages of EC merchants known to be engaged in legitimate business. |
| Enforceme | ent mechanism(| s) | Voluntary |
| Leader(s) | Leader(s) initiative Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | | Japan Chamber of Commerce and Industry Japan Direct Marketing Association |
| | Supporting organisation(s) | | Electronic Commerce Promotion Council of Japan(ECOM) |
| [expected] | Date of issuan | ce / implementation | September 1999 |
| Scope | General | | Business-to-consumer |
| | Sector | | Internet shopping |
| | Geographic | | Japan(For the shops whose headquarters are in Japan) |
| Examples | of application | | Online shopping mark |
| Participati | on public sector | r | |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | | http://www.ecom.jp/ecom_e http://mark.cin.or.jp/ http://www.jadma.org/ost/index.html |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | | Will be updated as needed |

| Initiative | | New Zealand electronic Marketing Standards Authority (eMSA) |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|
| Objective | | Maintains a self-regulatory certification and adjudication scheme for internet advertising and consumer complaint resolution in New Zealand. Advertising Codes of Practice administered by the New Zealand Advertising Standards Authority and the Code of Ethics of the New Zealand Direct Marketing Association will be applied to ensure consumer and governmental confidence in a self regulatory system to maintain standards in Advertising on the Internet. The certification |
| | | process will include requirements of the advertiser seeking certification as to the delivery of products and services offered and the availability of dispute resolution mechanisms for the benefit of the consumer. |
| Enforcement Mechanism(s) | | Voluntary agreements between advertisers, agencies, print and electronic media and New Zealand based internet service providers to observe standards required by the Fair Trading Act and related consumer protection legislation together with the Advertising Standards Authority Voluntary Codes of Advertising Practice the Code of Ethics of the New Zealand Direct Marketing Association. The agreement will require the advertiser to accept the jurisdiction of eMSA in determining consumer complaints arising from products and services purchased from the internet once the advertisers' internal dispute resolution procedures have been exhausted. The implementation of a verification programme modelled in the UK 'Trustmark' scheme. The scheme will be promulgated by eMSA. ASA and eMSA decisions will be published on the eMSA website, supplemented by the dissemination (free of charge) of written decisions to the media, government bodies, advertisers and advertising agencies. Certification will not extend to the content of any advertisement or the conduct of the advertiser. This is the rationale for the separation of the certification body and the adjudication body. New Zealand experience indicates that publicity provides the most effective method of enforcement of standards but it is also intended to seek agreement of internet service providers to remove sites containing offending material and to develop cross-border alliances to achieve |
| Leader(s) Initiative | Issuing Implementing Organisation | effective enforcement. New Zealand electronic Marketing Standards Authority |
| | Supporting Organisation(s) | The New Zealand Advertising Standards Authority; New Zealand Direct Marketing Association; The New Zealand Chambers of Commerce; The Ministry of Consumer Affairs; ICC New Zealand National Committee of Advertising, Marketing & Distribution; Association of New Zealand Advertisers; Communication Agencies Association of New Zealand |
| Date of Issuanc | e | May 2001 |
| Scope | General | Business to consumer primarily, business to business secondary |
| | Sector | All |
| | Geographic | New Zealand with cross-border alliances |
| Examples of Application | | The New Zealand Advertising Standards Authority has processed and adjudicated on complaints arising from advertising on the internet. To enable implementation of a certification process separation from the Advertising Standards Authority is deemed preferable. The Ministry of Consumer Affairs is seeking effective mechanisms for consumer complaint resolution arising from internet purchases. It supports the establishment of eMSA as the mechanism for achieving this end. eMSA consulted with Government Agencies with the intent of obtaining |
| Participation Public Sector | | governmental recognition and endorsement of a self regulatory regime for maintenance of standards for advertising on the internet. |

| Name of initiative | | | Online Ombuds Office |
|---|-------------------------|---|--|
| Objective | | | Online mediation and arbitration services |
| Enforceme | nt mechanism(| s) | |
| | | Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | Center for Information Technology and Dispute Resolution |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | University of Massachusetts |
| [expected] | Date of issuand | ce / implementation | Established in 1996 |
| | General | | Internet related disputes |
| Scope | Sector | | Commercial and Non-commercial |
| | Geographic | | International and Domestic |
| Examples of | Examples of application | | Domain name disputes, consumer, intellectual property |
| Participation public sector | | | |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | nation | www.ombuds.org, www.umass/dispute |
| Possible/ex | pected evolution | on of the initiative | |

| Name of In | itiative | | Platform for Privacy Preferences (P3P) |
|--|------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| Objective | | | Build privacy protection and personal information management tools into the infrastructure of the World Wide Web |
| Enforceme | nt mechanism(s | 5) | P3P is a voluntary technical specification |
| Leader(s) initiative Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | | U U | World Wide Web Consortium |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | 330+ W3C member organizations around the world |
| [expected] | Date of issuance | ce / implementation | Fall 1999 |
| | General | | Privacy protection |
| Scope | Sector | | |
| | Geographic | | Global |
| Examples of application | | | Ecommerce services on the Web provide users information about site's privacy practices and give users control over handling of personal information according to the users individual preferences |
| Participation public sector | | | Active consultation with US Government, European Commission, and other national governments |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | nation | Daniel J. Weitzner, Technology and Society Domain Leader, <djweitzner@w3.org> http://www.w3.org/p3p</djweitzner@w3.org> |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | on of the initiative | P3P may be the platform for empowering consumers to address onsumer protection and choice of law issues in a global environment |

| Name of initiative | | Paction – the model international sale contract application |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--|
| Objective | | Based on ICC's model contract for the international sale of goods, this |
| | | new online service allows the parties to prepare, negotiate and complete |
| | | contracts online. |
| Enforcement mechanism(s) | | Once entered into, the contract is enforceable per usual means and the |
| | | online application allows the parties to customize the contract to meet |
| | | their specific needs. |
| | Issuing/ | ICC (International Chamber of Commerce) |
| | implementing | |
| Leader(s) initiative | organisation(s) | |

| | | Supporting organisation(s) | |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|
| [expected] | Date of issuanc | e / implementation | Available now. |
| | General | | B2B |
| Scope | Sector | | Non sector-specific. |
| | Geographic | | International |
| Examples of | Examples of application | | |
| Participation public sector | | | None |
| For full text/further information | | ation | http://www.modelcontracts.com |
| consult/contact | | | Jonas ASTRUP +33-1-49532826 |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | on of the initiative | |

| Name of initiative | | | PKI Assessment Guidelines |
|-----------------------------------|--|----------|--|
| Objective | | | Describe the relevant components of a Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) and provide guidelines to assist certification authorities, relying parties, and other communities of interest, licensing or regulatory (including consumer protection) bodies, communities of interest, and others in making independent assessments of PKI trustworthiness. In particular, the PAG: provides a framework and guidelines for assessment of a PKI and |
| | | | PKI components, but does not mandate any particular criteria or conclusions; explains the basics of PKI assessment covering such topics as relevant terminology, and a tutorial; |
| | | | provides assistance in the drafting and analysis of certificate policies, certification practice statements; relying party agreements, and subscriber agreements, and includes various useful annexes, including sample scenarios and corresponding considerations for "typical" trustworthy transactions that are intended to enhance interoperability and certainty. |
| | | | The PAG is a logical extension of the Digital Signature Guidelines, (the "DSG"), a four and 1/2-year project of the American Bar Association's Information Security Committee published in August 1996. Although the focus and scope of the DSG and PAG differ, both are the product of intensive collaboration among technical, legal, business, and auditing professionals from around the world. Both are intended to facilitate secure electronic commerce. |
| Enforcemen | nt mechanism(s | s) | Voluntary adoption |
| Leader(s) in | Leader(s) initiative Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) Supporting organisation(s) | | Information Security Committee of the American Bar Association's Section of Science and Technology Law. |
| | | | Informal cooperation with diverse private and public entities. |
| Date of issuance / implementation | | entation | Public Draft for Comment published June 18, 2001 |
| Scope | | | Business-to-business as well as consumer-to-business also addresses government-to-citizen |
| | Sector | | Non-sector specific |
| Geographic | | | Global in scope |
| Examples of application | | | Quality assessment, evaluation for interoperability, underpinnings of seal programs, and basis for licensure. |
| Participation public sector | | | Considerable – informal and incremental participation in the ISC's drafting of the PAG |

| For full text/further information consult/contact | www.abanet.org/scitech/ec/isc or contact Steve Wu and Kimberly Kiefer, Co-Chairs, Information Security Committee: swu@verisign.com and KKiefer@brobeck.com; Ben Wilson and Randy Sabett, Co-Vice Chairs, Information Security Committee, ben.wilson@trustdst.com and rsabett@cooley.com. |
|--|--|
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | Updated occasionally. |

| Name of in | itiative | | Standard Contractual Clauses for Transborder Dataflows |
|---|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Objective | | | Building on the widely supported 1992 ICC/EC/Council of Europe model clauses, as well as a Recommendation by the Council of the OECD, these model clauses are intended to bridge the gap that has widened between some jurisdictions as a result of different approaches to privacy protection and offer more flexible mechanism (compared to governmental contractual clauses) in transferring data across borders. Once approved by Data Protection Agencies (DPAs), they can be used as an alternative to the DPAs own standard contractual clauses in transferring personal data from the area under jurisdiction of the approving DPA. |
| Enforceme | nt mechanism(s | 5) | Once voluntarily incorporated into a contract, the clauses become fully enforceable on both of the contracting parties. |
| Leader(s) i | Leader(s) initiative Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | | International Chamber of Commerce (ICC), the Federation of European Direct Marketing (FEDMA), the EU Committee of the American Chamber of Commerce in Belgium (Amcham), the Japan Business Council in Europe (JBCE), the Confederation of British Industry (CBI), International Communications Round Table (ICRT), and the European Information and Communications Technology Industry Association (EICTA). |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | |
| [expected] | Date of issuance | e / implementation | Currently seeking approval from the European Commission |
| | General | | |
| Scope | Sector | | All sectors |
| | Geographic | | All countries |
| Examples of application | | | Once approved by the European Commission, it can be used to ensure adequate protection for employee data being transferred from a subsidiary company in the EEA to a parent company outside the EEA. |
| Participation public sector | | | |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | nation | http://www.iccwbo.org/home/statements_rules/statements/2 001/itu.asp |
| | | | Ayesha Hassan, +33-1-4953013 |
| Possible/ex | pected evolution | on of the initiative | Will be updated as necessary |

| Name of in | nitiative | | TradeCard |
|---|----------------|---|--|
| Objective | | | To provide online transaction management and financial settlement for domestic and cross-border transactions that reduces the inefficiencies and uncertainties found in traditional domestic and cross-border trade transaction processes. To streamline and enhance the steps necessary for purchase order approvals, payment decisions and settlement, while providing a cost-effective, practical and patented service for financial supply chain management. |
| Enforceme | ent mechanism(| s) | Membership Contract |
| | | | ICC guidelines |
| Leader(s) | initiative | Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | TradeCard Inc. |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | |
| [expected] | Date of issuan | ce / implementation | First Quarter 2000 |
| | General | | Business to business |
| Scope | Sector | | Import/Export Community |
| | Geographic | | Global |
| Examples of application | | | Over 400 members conducting and settling transaction since November 1999. Members include some of the top U.S. retailers, electronics companies and apparel and footwear manufacturers. Also providing a white-labelled solution to banking institutions, i.e. JPMorgan Chase. |
| Participation public sector | | r | |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | nation | Michael Klausner, VP, Marketing mklausner@tradecard.com |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | on of the initiative | To reduce the barriers to trade To simplify and innovate the current trade finance process To increase the volume of world trade To reduce the cost of trade transactions |

| Name of Initiative | TRUSTe |
|--------------------------|--|
| Objective | Dedicated to enabling individuals and organizations to establish trusting relationships based on respect for personal identity and information in the evolving networked world" |
| Enforcement mechanism(s) | Sites that choose to become licensees of the TRUSTe program must sign a one-year contractually binding licensing agreement. The agreement must be renewed each year. The agreement stipulates conditions by which the licensee must adhere, including privacy principles and escalation procedures. The site must demonstrate, to TRUSTe's satisfaction, compliance with the TRUSTe privacy principles prior to obtaining the TRUSTe seal or trustmark. Over the course of the contract, subsequent reviews are conducted by TRUSTe to ensure the site is in compliance with TRUSTe's privacy principles and their own stated privacy practices. A variety of mechanisms including offsite surfing of the site and "seeding" technologies are also used. The TRUSTe program also includes a consumer dispute resolution where consumers can voice concerns about TRUSTe licensees should their interactions with the licensee prove unsatisfactory. Complaints generated by either a consumer or TRUSTe follow a progressive escalation process that is dictated by the licensing agreement. Sites have agreed, by signing the contract, to cooperate with TRUSTe's review and appeals process. TRUSTe was approved by the U.S. Federal Trade Commission as a Safe Harbor for the Children's Online Privacy Protection Act in May 2001. It |

| | | | also offers a seal for Japanese Language Web sites, eHealth, EU safe harbor, and Email Seal. |
|--|-----------------|---|---|
| Leader(s) initiative | | Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | TRUSTe—the digital industry's only non-profit, self-regulatory effort focusing exclusively on individual privacy rights online. |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | Founding Organisations: Electronic Frontier Foundation, CommerceNet Consortium |
| [expected] | Date of issuand | ce / implementation | Commercial launch of the program: June 1997 |
| | General | | Business-to-consumer primarily, business-to-business secondarily |
| | Sector | | All |
| Scope | Geographic | | US, with presence established in Europe and Asia |
| Examples of application | | | # of licensees: about 2000 sites as of January 2002 Influence of licensees: 15 of top 20 most visited sites, including all major Internet portals are TRUSTe licensees. |
| Participation public sector | | | TRUSTe has participated at a number of US government-sponsored forums including the FTC Privacy Workshops, the Department of Commerce Privacy Forum and privacy workshops. In addition, TRUSTe has testified at congressional hearings and has presented its program internationally to OECD-led privacy workshops. |
| For full text/further information consult/contact | | nation | www.truste.org Rebecca J. Richards rrichards@truste.org 1776 K St NW #360 Washington, DC 20006 1-202-483-1900 F: 1-202-719-7277 |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | on of the initiative | The TRUSTe program has evolved in the 5 years since its commercial launch. It is anticipated that further developments and changes will occur as market and government forces dictate. |

| Name of in | nitiative | | TTP.NL |
|-------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Objective | | | To stimulate the development of secure and trusted communication and storage of electronic information by developing a national, interoperable TTP-infrastructure which is in harmony with current market developments and needs. In the project TTP.NL working groups develop criteria that TTPs should comply with, an accreditation- and certification scheme and a supervising body that TTPs can join voluntarily. |
| Enforceme | ent mechanism(s | 5) | Accreditation- and certification scheme (to which TTP's can voluntarily adhere). Possibly a quality-mark for TTP's that participate. |
| Leader(s) | Leader(s) initiative Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | | The Confederation of Netherlands Industry and Employers(VNO-NCW); the Dutch Ministries of Economic Affairs; Transport, Public Works & Water Management; the Dutch Electronic Commerce Platform (ECP.NL) |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | Representatives of providers and users of TTP-services (a.o. notaries, post and banks), the Dutch private sector (a.o. consumer organisations, universities & large businesses). |
| [expected] | Date of issuance | ce / implementation | Summer 2002 |
| Scope | General | | Business-to-business; Business-to-consumer |
| | Sector | | All sectors |
| | Geographic | | |
| Examples of application | | | |

| Participation public sector | See "leaders initiative" |
|---|---|
| For full text/further information | Marjolijn Bonthuis (marjolijn.bonthuis@ecp.nl) |
| consult/contact | Marjolijn Durinck (<u>marjolijn.durinck@ecp.nl</u>) |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | In the timeframe of the project, it is expected that the necessary |
| | infrastructure and "tools" for the development of TTP-services in the |
| | Netherlands should be completed. During the project all relevant market |
| | parties will be consulted and informed. |

| Name of Initiative | | | WebTrust |
|--|----------------------|--|---|
| Objective | | | To build trust and confidence in eCommerce by increasing consumer confidence in using the Internet Helping businesses deliver on their sales promises |
| Enforcement mechanism(s) | | | WebTrust involves a full-scope audit of a website by an independent, specially trained CPA, or their equivalents around the world, using the WebTrust Principles and Criteria as a benchmark to determine the soundness of on-line businesses' activities. Due to the nature of an audit, WebTrust is an effective eCommerce fraud deterrent and a comprehensive eCommerce seal of assurance. All WebTrust audits are performed on site and involve a critical review of online businesses internal control policies and procedures related to eCommerce. |
| Leader(s) initiative | | Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) Supporting organisation(s) | American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants |
| [expected] Date of issuance / implementation | | | Version 1.0 issued September 1997; Version 1.1 issued April 1999; Version 2.0 issued August 1999; Version 3.0 to be issued Summer 2002 under the umbrella concept of Information System Trust Services. |
| Scope | cope General | | WebTrust entails an independent CPA performing an audit of a website's (1) business practices and privacy (tests to perform that an online business properly discloses business and privacy disclosures and can demonstrate compliance with those policies over a given time period); (2) transaction integrity (tests to determine that an on-line business can demonstrate a proven history of delivery goods and services at prices it agreed to with online consumers) (3) information security (tests to determine that appropriate security measures are in place (such as firewalls, SSL technology) both during transmission of data online and also once information reaches an on-line business and (4) confidentiality (tests focusing on confidential information obtained as a result of e-commerce from existing or potential business partners with an overall objective for the confidentiality of data exchanged over electronic networks such as the Internet or a Virtual Private Network. |
| | Sector Geographic | | WebTrust is available to all sectors (public and private) WebTrust is currently offered in the United States, Canada, the United Kingdom and Ireland, France, Australia, Argentina, Austria, Germany, Hong Kong, Italy, Netherlands, Scotland, Spain, and New Zealand. Plans are underway for future expansion into other European countries and Asia. Certain accounting firms have been granted world-wide licenses to offer WebTrust in any country where they have offices. WebTrust is scalable upwards to meet more rigorous laws or regulations in certain countries. |
| Examples of application | | | WebTrust is currently designed for use in business to consumer as well as a business to business applications. The AICPA has recently added WebTrust Confidentiality and WebTrust for Certificate Authorities applications. |
| | n public sector | | None |
| For full text | /turther inform | ation consult/contact | Anthony Pugliese, Director of Assurance Services (212) 596-6083, |

| | apugliese@aicpa.org Louis Matherne, Director and Assurance and Advisory Services, 212- 596-6027 Karyn Waller, Senior Technical Manager, Trust Services, (212) 596- 6054, kwaller@aicpa.org |
|---|--|
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | The AICPA anticipates WebTrust will evolve into the recognized seal of choice by consumers and businesses alike due to the fundamental nature of the program itself. It represents an independent examination by a qualified CPA or their equivalents around the world. WebTrust is an effective self- regulatory model for government due to its inherent fraud detection capabilities and its comprehensive nature. |

| Name of initiative | | | World Chambers Network (WCN) |
|---|-----------------|---|---|
| Objective | | | WCN allows chambers of commerce to promote their companies to the world and to network with other chambers of commerce around the world. Through their local chamber's participation, SME's have access to a global electronic network on the Internet for the exchange of business information and opportunities. |
| Enforcement mechanism(s) | | | Voluntary |
| Leader(s) initiative | | Issuing/ implementing organisation(s) | ICC (International Chamber of Commerce) |
| | | Supporting organisation(s) | ICC World Chambers Federation (WCF) Paris Chamber of Commerce and Industry G77 Chambers Trade Network |
| [expected] | Date of issuand | ce / implementation | 1994 |
| | General | | Chamber-to-chamber. Business-to-business. |
| Scope | Sector | | Non sector-specific. |
| | Geographic | | International |
| Examples of application | | | A manufacturer of personal conference phone found distributors in Mexico and Italy, and gained new US market information; Czech manufacturer of air fresheners found a buyer in Australia; joint venture in the production of bicycles was formed between a Czech bicycle company and a Macedonian firm. A company that sells ergonomic pens opened business contacts with one of the largest office supply chains in the United States |
| Participation public sector | | | None |
| For full text/further information | | | http://www.worldchambers.com |
| consult/contact | | | Anthony Parkes, +33-1-49532967 |
| Possible/expected evolution of the initiative | | | WCN will link into its system, the products and services of the chambers of commerce around the world. The network will expand its qualified, validated business opportunity service, providing a higher level of trust through the intervention of trusted third parties - chambers of commerce. |

Individual Submissions



Policy statement

Trade-related aspects of electronic commerce and telecommunications

ICC shares a common interest in working with the World Trade Organization (WTO) and its members to determine how the WTO can support the development of e-commerce in a manner that ensures participation by all countries in the online global economy. To begin this work, ICC would like to set forth the following negotiating objectives:

- 1. to promote the development of the infrastructure that is necessary to conduct ecommerce;
- 2. to promote the development of trade in goods and services via e-commerce; and
- 3. to prevent the establishment of new barriers to e-commerce during and after the GATS¹ 2000 negotiations.

Given that e-commerce is a new and dynamic medium to deliver goods and services, it needs comprehensive consideration to ensure its true potential. In that regard, ICC members view the following comments and negotiating objectives as part of an ongoing dialogue among business, governments, and other stakeholders that will take place formally and informally during the GATS 2000 negotiations and any potential new round. Interim progress on the objectives set forth would be most welcome.

While important progress has been achieved, a significant number of barriers remain, barriers which could and should be reduced in WTO GATS 2000 negotiations. Liberalization, complemented by transparency, competition, and regulatory reform are critical to economic growth and stability. Moreover, providers, users, and consumers stand to benefit from greater competition, choice, and convenience.

¹ General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS)



The success of WTO negotiations will depend on the active participation of developing countries. The elimination of obstacles to trade in goods and services and the effective and timely implementation of the Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property (TRIPS) agreements will play a critical role in the economic development of developing countries and in the seizing of digital opportunities through improved infrastructure, greater choice at lower costs, and improved efficiency. Therefore, ICC strongly believes that liberalization should occur at the earliest opportunity and underlines the need for the GATS 2000 negotiations to extend effective market access on a mutually-beneficial reciprocal basis, while recognizing the particular circumstances of developing countries.

1. To promote the development of e-commerce infrastructure, ICC urges WTO members to:

- work to increase membership in the Information Technology Agreement (ITA) and redouble their efforts to conclude the ITA II agreement. These agreements are important to ensure that all countries have access to the hardware and software necessary to deploy and access the e-commerce infrastructure;
- ensure that there are the necessary market access and national treatment commitments across sectors that are associated with the infrastructure needed for business-to-business and business-to-consumer e-commerce; and
- refrain from imposing new barriers to the development of the e-commerce infrastructure

Basic telecommunications and value-added services and certain financial services (i.e. payment systems) particularly are critical components of an e-commerce infrastructure. In both sectors, WTO members have made significant sector-specific commitments, which serve as a basic and necessary foundation for all e-commerce activity around the world. In the current GATS 2000 negotiations, all WTO members should strive for broader and deeper commitments in all subsectors of telecommunications and financial services in order to promote the development of the infrastructure needed for e-commerce specifically and global trade generally.

2. To promote the development of trade via e-commerce, ICC urges WTO members to:

• continue to pursue formal recognition in the WTO that current commitments under WTO Agreements including the General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT), the General Agreement on Trade in Services (GATS) and the WTO Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS), apply to electronic commerce;



- agree that the existing GATS classifications should be flexible enough to accommodate technological progress in the delivery of services. As technology evolves, the interpretation of existing classification of services based on this technology should also evolve to capture these advances. Through classification flexibility, WTO member countries can ensure that they benefit from the tremendous productivity increases and cost savings associated with the information technology revolutions;²
- ensure that electronically delivered products (i.e. good or service) receive market access and national treatment benefits that are no less favorable than those currently available for such products delivered physically;
- make meaningful market opening commitments in all service sectors, especially those services that can be delivered via e-commerce whether on a cross-border or consumption abroad basis; and
- ensure the effective and timely implementation of TRIPS by all existing and acceding WTO member states. With the rapid development of digital technologies and electronic services, the need for strong protection and enforcement of intellectual property rights is imperative. The TRIPS Agreement plays a very important role insofar as it provides minimum standards for such protection and enforcement.

3. To prevent the development of new barriers to e-commerce pending the completion of negotiations and beyond, ICC urges WTO members to:

- pursue a standstill commitment not to impose new restrictions that could adversely affect e-commerce during the course of the negotiations;
- pursue adoption of the recommendations made in the WTO 1998-99 work programme on e-commerce which include the need to avoid unnecessary barriers to e-commerce;
- agree that when legitimate policy objectives require domestic regulations, that those regulations will be, to the greatest extent possible, least trade restrictive, transparent and promote an open market environment;
- make permanent the practice of not imposing customs duties on electronic transmissions; and
- pursue the continuation of the work programme on electronic commerce within the WTO.

² Classification flexibility is limited to existing GATS classification schemes and does not refer to the classification of a product delivered electronically as either a good or a service.



The traditional role of the WTO – and its predecessor, the GATT – has been to reduce and eliminate barriers to trade. This is a role that the WTO can play for e-commerce by providing a forum in which WTO members can negotiate new commitments to reduce existing barriers to e-commerce and enforce existing commitments that protect e-commerce through the WTO Dispute Settlement Mechanism.

It is equally important that the WTO play a role in preventing the development of barriers to e-commerce. It is important that this approach underpin the GATS 2000 negotiations and the launch of a new round of negotiations.

Basic telecommunications, value-added services and computer and related services provide the infrastructure for electronic commerce. If countries have not already done so, they should fully liberalize these sectors during the GATS 2000 negotiations. Given ICC's deep involvement in representing business during the development of the "GATS Telecommunications Annex" and the "Basic Telecoms Agreement", specific suggestions relating to these sectors are set forth below.

ICC believes that existing telecommunications regulatory frameworks are ill suited for the Internet. Since, historically, telecommunication services have been provided by governmentowned or government-sanctioned monopolies, encouraging the movement to competitive telecommunications markets has required the development of a pro-competitive regulatory framework that relies, to some extent, on sector-specific, ex-ante rules. In contrast, electronic commerce has been markedly different. Since its inception, electronic commerce has been driven by highly competitive firms operating in an open and dynamic market. Therefore, ICC members agree that the "Reference Paper" on basic telecommunications services does not apply and should not be applied to value added services, including Internet services. However, a fundamental aspect of a competitive Internet market, is the ability of value-added service providers, including Internet Service Providers, to have access to and use of the underlying public telecommunications networks as provided for in the GATS Telecommunications Annex. As the existing basic telecommunications regulatory framework is designed for a specific purpose addressing a special situation (traditionally regulated monopoly telecommunications services). ICC does not support extending the provisions in the GATS "Reference Paper" on basic telecommunications services on interconnection to the Internet, but trusts in the appropriate application of competition law when needed.



Telecommunications

ICC members believe that liberalization of telecommunications services and facilities must continue to be a top priority of governments for the services negotiating objectives because of the dual role that telecommunications plays as a distinct sector and as the necessary infrastructure for the delivery or transport of other goods and services, including via the Internet and e-commerce. Opening markets for telecommunications also benefits consumers worldwide by bringing increased choices and lower prices.

Basic telecommunications

ICC continues to be concerned that several countries are not implementing their existing commitments and that some countries have not yet accepted the Fourth Protocol. During the WTO GATS 2000 negotiations, consideration should be given to the extent to which countries have met their current obligations with respect to their market access and national treatment commitments and implementation of the "Reference Paper" on basic telecommunications services.

For the GATS 2000 negotiations, ICC would like to see improvements in existing basic telecoms commitments as well as first-time commitments from WTO members that have not made basic telecoms commitments in the past.

ICC proposes the following general negotiating objectives:

Broader market access commitments

Several countries have committed to a narrow opening of their markets. Countries must commit to full-service market access. This is particularly important in light of the convergence of services made possible by advanced digital technology.

Timelier implementation dates

Countries with commitments for market access that are not effective until post-2001 should advance their implementation dates. While some countries have opened their markets prior to the dates in their schedules, a number of key markets have not scheduled commitments until after 2002.

Commitments to the "Reference Paper" on basic telecommunications services

For companies to compete effectively, countries should commit to the "Reference Paper" on regulatory principles for basic telecommunications services in its entirety.



Reduction or elimination of restrictions on foreign ownership

Significant progress in reducing foreign ownership restrictions was obtained during the basic telecommunications negotiations, but in many countries foreign ownership restrictions continue to apply.

Commitments from additional countries

Of the 140 WTO members, 80 governments have made some telecommunications market access commitments covering either basic or value-added services. ICC encourages all governments to make meaningful market-opening commitments, which at a minimum include:

- full liberalization by a date certain;
- progressive removal of foreign ownership restrictions; and
- adoption of the "Reference Paper" in its entirety.

Value-added services

A number of countries made commitments as part of the Uruguay Round to open their markets for the provision of value-added telecommunications. These commitments facilitate on-line information and data-processing services, as well as electronic mail and voice mail. ICC seeks and advocates further liberalization in the provision of value-added services in the GATS 2000 negotiations, namely.

- broader market-access commitments that cover the full range of value-added services;
- timelier implementation dates;
- reduction or elimination of foreign ownership restrictions; and
- commitments from additional countries.

Moreover, as stated above, ICC members agree that the "Reference Paper" on basic telecommunications services does not apply and should not be applied to value-added services, including Internet services. However, WTO members should take the necessary steps to prevent anti-competitive practices by public telecommunications network operators and service providers that discriminate against unaffiliated value-added service providers and Internet Service Providers in contravention of the "GATS Telecommunications Annex". Adherence to the Annex will ensure that all value-added service suppliers, including Internet Service Providers, have non-discriminatory access to and use of the traditional underlying public telecommunications infrastructure necessary for the provision of these services.

Document n° 373/400 Final 6 June 2001



International Chamber of Commerce The world business organization

Department of Policy and Business Practices

Commission on E-Business, IT and Telecoms

ICC list of actions for governments to undertake to attract private sector investment in electronic business, information technology and telecoms

Introduction

The International Chamber of Commerce (ICC) is actively engaged in numerous initiatives focused on information society possibilities and opportunities, and is committed to promoting the use of information technology as a tool for development. Ensuring positive development of the necessary infrastructures, and regulatory frameworks to be part of the digital economy, and global information exchange is an essential goal for both business and government. To that end, business has been working hard through independent projects to provide assistance to disadvantaged economic groups, localities, regions or countries, aimed at transforming the 'digital divide' into 'digital opportunities and possibilities'. Almost any sizeable company today has embraced local or regional responsibility to address issues that affect the development of information technology, and/or electronic commerce. However, these assistance programs will become a digital opportunity only if governments adopt a policy framework that ensures that access to digital information and communication networks is a viable option for the citizenry at large.

ICC believes that if governments take the actions set forth below they will create a policy framework that is more conducive to private sector investment, which is one of the greatest engines for economic growth. These concrete steps are consistent with the numerous E-Readiness tests that have been prepared by different bodies, with the goal of working to bring the results identified in E-Readiness tests to fruition.



Actions for governments to take

Infrastructure:

- Governments should make meaningful market-opening commitments in basic telecommunications, value-added services and computer and related services. Meaningful market-opening commitments include at a minimum:
 - full liberalization by a date certain;
 - progressive removal of foreign ownership restrictions;
 - adoption of the pro-competitive regulatory principles in the WTO Reference Paper for basic telecommunications only.
- Governments should adhere to the GATS Annex on Telecommunications for access to and use of public telecommunications networks for the provision of value-added services, including Internet services, and other sectors for which countries have made commitments
- Governments that are members of the WTO should adopt the Information Technology Agreement (ITA) and non-WTO members should eliminate customs duties on information technology products consistent with the ITA. This agreement is important to ensure that all countries have access to the hardware and software necessary to deploy and access the e-commerce infrastructure.

Trade promotion:

Services:

• Governments should liberalize those services that can be delivered electronically so that they can reap fully the benefits of technological advances and electronic commerce. For example, greater access to education, health care, etc. through liberalization can assist countries in obtaining the best information and services at lower cost through Internet.

Intellectual Property:

• Effective and timely implementation and enforcement of the WTO Agreement on Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPs). With the rapid development of digital technologies and electronic services, the need for clear protection and enforcement of intellectual property rights is imperative. The TRIPs Agreement plays a very important role insofar as it provides minimum standards for such protection and enforcement.



• Timely ratification of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) Copyright Treaty and the WIPO Performances and Phonograms Treaty, balancing the rights and obligations of network operators, service providers, content providers and users.

Information Flows:

• Governments should commit to maintaining the free flow of information. Given that information is the engine of the online marketplace, unnecessary restrictions on information flows within and among countries raise the costs for businesses which are ultimately passed on to consumers.

Institutional requirements:

Institution Building:

• Governments should ensure that they have effective institutions to uphold the law and to ensure effective competition within the marketplace. This includes an effective and efficient judiciary and competition authority, and attention to the need to facilitate global, technical interoperability and avoiding a patchwork of conflicting legal frameworks. This includes discussions with relevant stakeholders in critical infrastructures.

Transparency:

• Governments should ensure that their decision-making processes are transparent. Such transparency includes a standardized process by which the public is notified of pending policy development or changes with sufficient opportunity for public consultation. The lack of transparency will make informed investment decisions impossible, thereby limiting such investment.

Education:

• Governments must ensure a minimum level of education to ensure that populations can effectively utilize information technology and the information that flows through it. Moreover, governments must ensure that their educational system has the capacity and curriculum to train IT professionals to develop, deploy and maintain information systems.

Conclusions:

ICC has limited this list of actions to those key issues that are essential elements to a policy framework that will promote private sector investment in the electronic commerce and information technology infrastructures, and products and services that can be delivered via electronic commerce. For additional information please consult the ICC website at www.iccwbo.org

* * * * *

Digital Divide Survey

Global Information Infrastructure Commission April 4, 2001 Dublin, Ireland

Regional Responses



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

Awareness of Digital Divide



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

How Important is the Digital Divide?



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

How Important is the Digital Divide?



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

What does Digital Divide Mean?



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

What does Digital Divide Mean?



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

Opinion of Electronic Information



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

Opinion of Electronic Information



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

Is the Digital Divide Narrowing?



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

Is the Digital Divide Narrowing?



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

Disadvantages of Electronic Information



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

Disadvantages of Electronic Information


Why does Private Sector Care?



Percentage of Total Responses

GIIC Digital Divide Survey

Why does Private Sector Care?



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

Obstacles to Bridging the Digital Divide



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

Obstacles to Bridging the Digital Divide



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

Needed Public Policy



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

Needed Public Policy



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

Digital Bridge Applications



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

Digital Bridge Applications



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

Who Should Bridge the Digital Divide?



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

Who Should Bridge the Digital Divide?



GIIC Digital Divide Survey

Conclusions

- Geographies have different understandings, priorities, solutions
- Lack of access to infrastructure is major cause of digital divide
- Education and training need to be addressed in developing economies
- Market opportunities motivate solutions to digital divide
- Security is top concern with e-information
- Public-private partnerships are primarily responsible for closing digital divide



COMMITTED TO IMPROVING THE STATE OF THE WORLD

GLOBAL DIGITAL DIVIDE INITIATIVE

SHARED POINTS OF CONCERN FOR THE GLOBAL DIGITAL DIVIDE TASK FORCE OF THE WORLD ECONOMIC FORUM

Speaking to the Issue: Task Force Talking Points

The World Economic Forum's Governors of Information Technology and Media, Communication and Entertainment established the Global Digital Divide Task Force to develop and propagate creative public and private sector initiatives to transform the digital divide into an opportunity for growth. Since January 2000, the Task Force has gathered around the world to develop a framework for action on this important global issue. Based on discussions from these global gatherings and resulting actions, the Task Force directorate has summarized the key issues and shared points of common concern that may, we hope, provide a basis for your discussions with business leaders, policy-makers and civil society leaders globally.

The Task Force has identified "awareness" as a central objective for addressing the challenge of bridging the divide. Efforts towards realizing this development opportunity will be fortified when the private and public sectors and civil society develop, evolve and articulate a message together. We welcome you to share your experiences and your input as the points enumerated below continue to evolve in content and grow in number.

The "Why's"

- 1. Bridging the digital divide is a shared objective with shared benefits
- 2. Information and communication technologies (ICT), if developed and used appropriately, will enable an environment that promotes growth and will be self-sustaining
- 3. The digital divide will become the digital opportunity when business leaders, policy-makers and members of civil society are committed to pursuing initiatives together and in partnership with each other

The "How's"

- 4. Implement comprehensive and culturally relevant training so as to establish a sustainable digital infrastructure
- 5. Increase access to locally relevant and community-oriented content so as to invigorate broadbased social and economic development
- 6. Streamline the regulatory and licensing environment so as to promote competition, facilitate transparency and broad-based access to ICT

1. Bridging the digital divide is a shared objective with shared benefits

The discussion surrounding the divide emerges from the disparities between use of and access to information and ICT tools (e.g., in rural areas' access to telecentres). It is widely held that ICTs are tools that can enable a better quality of life. The mere presence of ICT will not guarantee economic and social development. However, the ways in which it is developed, structured and used will determine how countries can reach their national capabilities.

For example, through the development of ICT, policy-makers, educators, students, entrepreneurs, business leaders and the regular citizens will have tools that:

- facilitate more effective delivery of government services, such as healthcare and education, through, for example, linking hospitals, schools and libraries to the Internet to engage in information exchanges
- encourage the development, training and retention of a skilled local workforce through, for example, developing training programmes at telecentres or developing training materials focused on technical skill development
- enable greater participation in civil society through, for example, online "town squares" where the community can exchange relevant information
- help develop and grow the local economy and encourage local competitiveness through, for example, providing an electronic link between local businesses or consumers to exchange information and conduct business
- integrate the local community and economy into the global community and economy through, for example, providing an electronic link between the local consumer and producers with global consumers and producers to exchange information and conduct business.

2. Information and communication technologies (ICT), if developed and used appropriately, will enable an environment that promotes growth and will be self-sustaining

A nation's competitiveness is tied to its capacity for ICT creation and application. Information and communication technologies represent two key factors: 1) it is the infrastructure that enables the development and growth of market sectors, and 2) it is a market sector and service in its own right.

ICT development is about developing the communications infrastructure and about creating the right political, economic and social environment to promote social and economic growth that is sustainable. Development targeted at building infrastructure is critical. So too is developing and nurturing the spirit and culture of entrepreneurship. It is important to take a fresh look at national priorities and strategies that may encourage public-private partnerships in the development or formulation of:

- local and educational content
- government ICT application (e.g., delivery healthcare services)
- ICT infrastructure that provides access to all schools, libraries, and rural and community access centres
- pro-competitive regulatory market access strategies
- creative facilities to provide seed monies (including micro-lending) for innovative ICT projects
- tariff policies on the importation of ICT that are consistent with the WTO agreements
- financial sector reform to increase local availability of capital and consumer credit.

3. The digital divide will become the digital opportunity when business leaders, policymakers and members of civil society are committed to pursuing initiatives together and in partnership with each other

Separately, business leaders, policy-makers and members of civil society have undertaken creative initiatives to bridge the divide. Whether in the form of policy reform or pilot projects, these distinct but complementary initiatives should be pursued in consultation and coordination with each other. Each stakeholder group brings complementary expertise, interest, resources and processes to progress this issue domestically and globally.

 For example, projects to develop and build community centers in rural and hard-to-reach areas would benefit from an environment that is politically and economically enabling so as to ensure the sustainability of its objectives. Therefore, policy-makers and social entrepreneurs should work together to ensure that the right projects are deployed and that governing institutions provide an enabling environment.

4. Implement comprehensive and culturally relevant training so as to establish a sustainable digital infrastructure

Human resources are critical to a nation's competitiveness. To take full advantage of the benefits of the ICT revolution, a skilled and technically trained workforce is essential. An educated workforce is related positively to innovation and economic growth. Access to information and to training are critical elements for education in the Digital Age. As a first step, governments and the private sector together can ensure that:

- quality education is a top national priority
- · classrooms and libraries have access to ICT
- teachers and librarians are trained and technologically literate so that technology is integrated into the curriculum.

5. Increase access to locally relevant and community-oriented content so as to invigorate broad-based social and economic development

Online content should be developed to address the interests and concerns of the local community. Digital empowerment means that the community will have access to information that is locally relevant. Access to relevant content will enhance an individual's ability for full participation in the local and global communities. Policy-makers and business leaders should ensure that:

- content development is locally relevant and community-oriented
- content should be developed in its local language and the use of icons should be considered as an alternative.

6. Streamline the regulatory and licensing environment so as to promote competition, facilitate transparency and broad-based access to ICT

Regulatory barriers to entry in the form of foreign ownership, taxation, access costs, access to spectrum resources, for example, will affect the development of ICT, and therefore, broad access to ICT. Access to ICT is determined by the economics of access. A pro-competitive framework for regulatory market access will encourage affordability and low-cost access to ICT.

The **World Economic Forum** (<u>www.weforum.org</u>), based in Geneva, Switzerland, is an independent organization committed to improving the state of the world. Funded by the contributions of 1,000 of the world's foremost corporations, the Forum acts in the spirit of entrepreneurship in the global public interest to further economic growth and social progress. The Forum serves its members and society by creating partnerships between and among business, political, intellectual and other leaders of society to define, discuss and advance key issues on the global agenda.

Incorporated in 1971 as a foundation, the World Economic Forum is impartial and not-for-profit, and is tied to no political, partisan or national interests. In 1995 the Forum was awarded NGO consultative status with the Economic and Social Council of the United Nations.

The Global Digital Divide Initiative was launched at the Annual Meeting 2000 by top executives in the IT, telecoms, media and entertainment sectors to develop creative public private sector initiatives to transform the global digital divide into an opportunity for growth. The Task Force pursues this through three workstreams focusing on strategies, education and entrepreneurship. The Steering Committees for each workstream reflect the Task Force strategy for advancing this issue through public policy as well as the build-out of local projects. The Task Force is co-chaired by Jean Marie Messier, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Vivendi Universal, France; Jean Philippe Courtois, President, Microsoft EMEA, France; and Maureen O'Neil, President, International Research and Development Centre, Canada.