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This corrigendum applies to the Summary of Decisions and pertains only to the column ‘Action / Decisions and reasons’ as indicated below:

Under agenda item 7 on « Issues regarding the provision of STARLINK satellite services in the territory of the Islamic Republic of Iran”, replace:

| **Action / Decision and reasons** |
| --- |
| With reference to the information provided by the Administrations of Norway and the United States, the Board expressed regret that their responses had not focused on solutions and expressed grave concern at the complete lack of progress since its 96th meeting in resolving the long-standing matter.  It further clarified that there was no obligation for the satellite operator or notifying administration to track earth stations licensed by other countries to determine their location and compliance with its service contract or to remove a territory from the satellite coverage area, but that once unauthorized transmissions were reported in a specific territory, there was an obligation for the satellite operator to act, to the extent practicable, to remedy the situation pursuant to *resolves* 3ii) of Resolution **22 (Rev.WRC-23)**; that obligation should not be conditional on the ability of the reporting administration to provide information on terminals operating without authorization. |

With:

| **Action / Decision and reasons** |
| --- |
| With reference to the information provided by the Administrations of Norway and the United States, the Board expressed regret that their responses had not focused on solutions and expressed grave concern at the complete lack of progress since its 96th meeting in resolving the long-standing matter.  It further clarified that there was no obligation for the satellite operator or notifying administration to track earth stations licensed by other countries to determine their location and compliance with its service contract or to remove a territory from the satellite coverage area, but that once unauthorized transmissions were reported in a specific territory, there was an obligation for the satellite operator to act, to the extent practicable, to remedy the situation pursuant to *resolves* 3ii) of Resolution **22 (WRC-19)**; that obligation should not be conditional on the ability of the reporting administration to provide information on terminals operating without authorization. |