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**I. Introduction**

CPM23-1 identified responsible groups and contributing groups involved in studies in relation with WRC-23 Agenda Items.

Among these study groups, those which have held their meeting (virtual or physical), have already sent necessary Liaison Statement to either responsible groups or contributing groups to initiate the required actions to be taken in this regard.

Other involved responsible groups that yet to meet, virtually or physically, are preparing draft Liaison Statements to be sent to contributing groups requesting them to provide technical characteristics and protection ratio/information in regard with the services which should be protected in accordance with the language /text used in the supporting Resolutions for WRC-23 Agenda Items.

The recipient working parties/study groups need to immediately initiate the required action with a view to provide the required information to the requesting working parties/study groups.

**II. Discussion**

Taking into account the language/text used in the supporting Resolutions for WRC-23 Agenda Items, which in almost all cases referred to the protection of services to which the band (s) is / are allocated and in most of the cases also for the protection of the service in the adjacent band(s). The important issues here are how the characteristics of those services are collected.

In some cases the characteristics are included in one or several ITU-R Recommendations. However, it is fundamental to ensure that a) once Recommendation(s) is/ are identified it is fundamental to ensure that those characteristics are precisely reflecting the actual ones and b) those characteristics should also reflect the existing and development of the services in question.

Very often working parties/study groups in the previous study cycles limited the characteristics which are recorded in the MIFR or in the process to be recorded therein. However it should be noted that the information in the MIFR are not the only one to be taken into account due to the fact that the services in questions are developing and the consideration of agenda items should not put any constrain nor prevent those development.

In addition, there are cases that some services in operation or to be operated may not necessary be required to be notified under Article 11 of the Radio Regulations due to the fact that sometimes the criteria for notification may not prevail and in some other occasions/cases due to the nature of the service the responsible administration will not notify them.

**III. Course of actions to be taken**

The recipient working parties/study groups of the above-mentioned Liaison Statements, when considering those documents, should not only take into account non contradicting Recommendations and those assignments recorded in the MIFR or under process to be recorded therein, but also asking all administrations to provide the characteristics of those assignments/ services which are not notified to the Bureau under Article 11 of the Radio Regulations but need to be protected under the given Agenda Item.

In view of the above, the RAG is kindly invited to bring this matter to the attention of the working parties/ study groups involved in the studies to be carried out under various agenda item of WRC‑23.
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