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Summary of the revision

This revision adds the additional channel bandwidth of 224 MHz to the existing channel bandwidth series of 3.5, 7, 14, 28, 56 and 112 MHz in Annex 1 in the 32 GHz band. The scope has been modified accordingly.

Scope

This Recommendation specifies radio-frequency channel arrangements for fixed service systems with channel separation of 3.5, 7, 14, 28, 56, 112 and 224 MHz (including 56 MHz block arrangements) in the band 31.8‑33.4 GHz which has been identified for use for high density applications in the fixed service (HDFS).
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RF Radio frequency
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Related ITU Recommendations and Reports

Recommendation ITU-R F.746 - Radio-frequency arrangements for fixed service systems

The ITU Radiocommunication Assembly,

considering

*a)* that the band 31.8-33.4 GHz is allocated, among others, to the fixed service (FS) on a primary basis;

*b)* that the 31.8-33.4 GHz band is available for high-density applications in the FS;

*c)* that sharing in the 31.8-33.4 GHz band with radionavigation service (RNS), space research service (deep space, space-to-Earth) and inter-satellite service is considered to be feasible;

*d)* that harmonized RF arrangements can facilitate effective use of the spectrum;

*e)* that several systems with various transmission signal characteristics and capacities may be in simultaneous use in this frequency band;

*f)* that certain frequency block arrangements can be achieved by aggregating the frequency channels given in Annex 1;

*g)* that it may sometimes be desirable to interleave additional RF channels between those of the main pattern;

*h)* that a high degree of compatibility between FS systems of different frequency arrangements can be achieved by selecting channel centre frequencies within a homogeneous basic pattern;

*i)* that each region or country will have specific needs in how to use the band;

*j)* that different block sizes may be required to suit various applications;

*k*) that the continuously capacity growing request to radio links, especially as part of the mobile network evolution to IMT-2020, has been increasingly addressed in recent years,

recognizing

*a)* that some applications in this frequency band may require differing architectures (point‑to-point and multipoint systems), channel bandwidths, and systems characteristics including the accommodation of symmetrical and asymmetrical traffic; and may require the use of frequency block-based arrangements that may or may not align with the channel arrangement in Annex 1;

*b)* that, in accordance with the Radio Regulations (RR), administrations should take practical measures to minimize the potential interference between stations in the FS and airborne stations in the RNS in the 31.8‑33.4 GHz band, taking into account the operational needs of the airborne radar systems,

noting

that Recommendation ITU-R F.1571 recommends that the airborne stations in the RNS are encouraged to use the centre gap in the radio-frequency (RF) arrangements for the FS in order to facilitate the compatibility between stations in the FS and RNS, in particular in the vicinity of urban areas,

recommends

1that administrations should consider the RF channel arrangement given in Annex 1 for FS systems deployment in the 31.8-33.4 GHz frequency band;

2that when additional RF channels interleaved between those of the main pattern, as described in Annex 1, are required, the values of the centre frequencies of these RF channels should be below those of the corresponding main channel frequencies by a value of half the considered channel spacing;

3that those administrations wishing to implement FS systems in this band using a block‑based frequency arrangement should consider the guidance given in Recommendation ITU‑R F.1519;

4 that administrations wishing to implement block based arrangements, based on block‑size increments of 56 MHz, should consider the arrangement in Annex 2;

5that administrations are encouraged to avoid, where practicable, the use of the centre gap of the RF arrangements in order to facilitate the compatibility between stations in the FS and RNS, in particular in the vicinity of urban areas (see Note 1).

NOTE 1 – The centre gap to be avoided is at least 56 MHz as given in Annex 1.

Annex 1

Radio-frequency channel arrangement in the band 31.8-33.4 GHz

The RF channels for separations of 3.5 MHz, 7 MHz, 14 MHz, 28 MHz, 56 MHz, 112 MHz and 224 MHz shall be derived as follows:

let *fr* be the reference frequency of 32 599 MHz,

 *fn* be the centre frequency (MHz) of the RF channel in the lower half of the band,

  be the centre frequency (MHz) of the RF channel in the upper half of the band,

 frequency duplex spacing  812 MHz,

then the frequencies (MHz) of individual channels are expressed by the following relationships:

1. for channel separation of 224 MHz with interleaved arrangement by granularity of 112 MHz:

 lower half of the band: *fr*– 728  112 *n*

 upper half of the band: *fr*  84  112 *n*

where:

 *n*  1, 2, 3, … 5

b) for channel separation of 112 MHz:

 lower half of the band: *fr*– 784  112 *n*

 upper half of the band: *fr*  28  112 *n*

where:

 *n*  1, 2, 3, … 6

c) for channel separation of 56 MHz:

 lower half of the band: *fr*– 756  56 *n*

 upper half of the band: *fr*  56  56 *n*

where:

 *n*  1, 2, 3, … 12

d) for a channel separation of 28 MHz:

 lower half of the band: *fr* – 798  28 *n*

 upper half of the band: *fr*  14  28 *n*

where:

 *n*  1, 2, 3, … 27

e) for a channel separation of 14 MHz:

 lower half of the band: *fr* – 791  14 *n*

 upper half of the band: *fr*  21  14 *n*

where:

 *n*  1, 2, 3, … 54

f) for a channel separation of 7 MHz:

 lower half of the band: *fr* – 787.5  7 *n*

 upper half of the band: *fr*  24.5  7 *n*

where:

 *n*  1, 2, 3, … 108

g) for a channel separation of 3.5 MHz:

 lower half of the band: *fr* – 785.75  3.5 *n*

 upper half of the band: *fr*  26.25  3.5 *n*

where:

 *n*  1, 2, 3, … 216.

NOTE 1 – Systems using time division duplex (TDD) techniques can also operate in the above‑defined sub‑bands.

Centre gap  56 MHz for the 3.5, 7, 14 and 28 MHz channel separation, 140 MHz for the 56 MHz, 112 MHz and 224 MHz channel separation.

TABLE 1

Calculated parameters according to Recommendation ITU-R F.746

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| *XS*(MHz) | *n* | *f*1(MHz) | *fn*(MHz) | (MHz) | (MHz) | *ZS*1(MHz) | *ZS*2(MHz) | *YS*(MHz) | *DS*(MHz) |
| 224 | 1, …, 5 | 31 983 | 32 431 | 32 795 | 33 243 | 183 | 157 |  364 | 812 |
| 112 | 1, …, 6 | 31 927 | 32 487 | 32 739 | 33 299 |  127 |  101 |  252 | 812 |
| 56 | 1, ..., 12 | 31 899 | 32 515 | 32 711 | 33 327 |  99 |  73 |  196 | 812 |
| 28 | 1, ..., 27 | 31 829 | 32 557 | 32 641 | 33 369 |  29 |  31 |  84 | 812 |
| 14 | 1, ..., 54 | 31 822 | 32 564 | 32 634 | 33 376 |  22 |  24 |  70 | 812 |
| 7 | 1, ..., 108 | 31 818.5 | 32 567.5 | 32 630.5 | 33 379.5 |  18.5 |  20.5 |  63 | 812 |
| 3.5 | 1, ..., 216 | 31 816.75 | 32 569.25 | 32 628.75 | 33 381.25 |  16.75 |  18.75 |  59.5 | 812 |
| *XS*: separation between centre frequencies of adjacent channels.*YS*: separation between centre frequencies of the closest go and return channels.*ZS*1: separation between the lower band edge and the centre frequency of the lowest channel in the lower sub-band.*ZS*2: separation between centre frequency of the highest channel in the upper sub-band and the upper band edge.*DS*: duplex spacing  |

figure 1

Occupied spectrum: 31.8 to 33.4 GHz



*[Editor’s Note: The figure should be updated into an integral one containing all the bandwidth from 224 MHz to 3.5 MHz, and with the decreasing sequence from wider bandwidth to narrower bandwidth.]*

Annex 2

Radio-frequency block arrangement in the band 31.8-33.4 GHz
using block size increments of 56 MHz

This Annex provides an example RF block arrangement for the band 31.8-33.4 GHz using block size increments of 56 MHz. Guidance is provided on a flexible approach to specifying portions of the band for RF channel assigned point-to-point systems and RF block allocated systems.

For frequency division duplex (FDD) the band is divided into twelve (56 + 56) MHz symmetrically paired frequency blocks as follows:

TABLE 2

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Paired block | Lower frequencysub‑band block(MHz) | Upper frequencysub‑band block(MHz) |
| A/A'B/B'C/C'D/D'E/E'F/F'G/G'H/H'I/I'K/K'L/L'M/M' | 31 871-31 92731 927-31 98331 983-32 03932 039-32 09532 095-32 15132 151-32 20732 207-32 26332 263-32 31932 319-32 37532 375-32 43132 431-32 48732 487-32 543 | 32 683-32 73932 739-32 79532 795-32 85132 851-32 90732 907-32 96332 963-33 01933 019-33 07533 075-33 13133 131-33 18733 187-33 24333 243-33 29933 299-33 355 |

Different RF block arrangements can be achieved by aggregating the frequency blocks specified in Table 2.

As an example, the band may contain several aggregated blocks, arranged in a way shown in Table 3.

TABLE 3

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Paired block | Lower frequency block(MHz) | Upper frequency block (MHz) |
| 1 (2 × 112 MHz block)2 (2 × 112 MHz block)3 (2 × 112 MHz block)4 (2 × 56 MHz block)5 (2 × 56 MHz block)6 (2 × 56 MHz block)7 (2 × 168 MHz block) | 31 871-31 98331 983-32 09532 095-32 20732 207-32 26332 263-32 31932 319-32 37532 375-32 543 | 32 683-32 79532 795-32 90732 907-33 01933 019-33 07533 075-33 13133 131-33 18733 187-33 355 |

The 56 MHz block arrangement and possible aggregation are shown below in Figs 2 and 3, respectively.

FIGURE 2



FIGURE 3



For FDD systems, the blocks located in the lower part of each sub-band should be used preferably with the upper sub-band for uplink transmissions, and lower sub-band for downlink transmissions. Systems using TDD can also operate in the above-defined sub-bands.

Arrangements stated in Figs 2 and 3 do not preclude the use of other blocks or block pairs.
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