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9 to consider and approve the Report of the Director of the Radiocommunication Bureau, in accordance with Article 7 of the Convention:

9.1 on the activities of the Radiocommunication Sector since WRC-15;

9.1 (9.1.5) Resolution **764 (WRC-15) -** Consideration of the technical and regulatory impacts of referencing Recommendations ITU**-**R M.1638-1 and ITU**-**R M.1849-1 in Nos. **5.447F** and **5.450A** of the Radio Regulations

# 1 Background

Based on different studies regarding the technical and regulatory impacts of referencing Recommendations ITU-R M.1638-1 and ITU-R M.1849-1 in RR Nos. **5.447F** and **5.450A**, different approaches (as alternatives for addressing the issue) were suggested for regulatory examples.

Approach A updates both footnotes by removing the references and replacing them with the sentence “Resolution **229** **(Rev.WRC-12)** applies”.

Approach B updates both footnotes by removing the references to the Recommendations and replacing them with a reference to RR No. **5.446A**.

# 2 Views

Approach A

To address the situation described in section 2/9.1.5/2 above, a first proposed approach is to delete the second sentence of the footnotes, where the Recommendations are referenced, and clarify that

the provisions of Resolution **229** **(Rev.WRC-12)** apply in this case, such as presented in section 2/9.1.5/4.1 below.[[1]](#footnote-1)\*

This approach is a long-term solution that would avoid reopening the issue of technical and regulatory impacts of referencing new Recommendation versions in RR Nos. **5.447F** and **5.450A**. This should in particular be seen in the light of the fact that, in practice, the coexistence between WAS/RLAN and radars is not driven by those two footnotes but by Resolution **229 (Rev.WRC-12)** that defines the conditions for the mobile service to operate in these bands.

# 3 Proposal

ARTICLE 5

Frequency allocations

Section IV – Table of Frequency Allocations
(See No. 2.1)

MOD IND/92A21A5/1#49965

5.447F In the frequency band 5 250-5 350 MHz, stations in the mobile service shall not claim protection from the radiolocation service, the Earth exploration-satellite service (active) and the space research service (active). Resolution **229** **(Rev.WRC**‑**12)** applies.     (WRC‑19)

MOD IND/92A21A5/2#49966

5.450A In the frequency band 5 470-5 725 MHz, stations in the mobile service shall not claim protection from radiodetermination services. Resolution **229** **(Rev.WRC**‑**12)** applies.     (WRC‑19)

SUP IND/92A21A5/3#49969

RESOLUTION 764 (WRC‑15)

Consideration of the technical and regulatory impacts of referencing Recommendations ITU-R M.1638-1 and ITU-R M.1849-1
in Nos. 5.447F and 5.450A of the Radio Regulations

\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

1. \* Note by the Secretariat: Sections 2/9.1.5/2 and 2/9.1.5/4.1 are sections of the CPM Report (see WRC-19 Doc. 3). [↑](#footnote-ref-1)