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7 to consider possible changes, and other options, in response to Resolution 86 (Rev. Marrakesh, 2002) of the Plenipotentiary Conference, an advance publication, coordination, notification and recording procedures for frequency assignments pertaining to satellite networks, in accordance with Resolution **86 (Rev.WRC‑07)** to facilitate rational, efficient, and economical use of radio frequencies and any associated orbits, including the geostationary‑satellite orbit;

7(K) Issue K – Addition of a regulatory provision in RR Article **11** for the case of launch failure

Uganda Position

Uganda's position is method K2.

This method introduces an additional provision of RR No. 11.XX to regulate any launch failure on a case-by-case basis that such failure makes the satellite unable to start BIU or BBIU of a notified frequency assignment.

Proposal

ARTICLE 11

Notification and recording of frequency
assignments1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 7*bis*    (WRC‑12)

Section II − Examination of notices and recording of frequency assignments
in the Master Register

ADD UGA/82A21A11/1

11.XX In case of a newly launched satellite failure, before entering the ninety-day bringing into use or bringing back into use period, in the following case:

– the destruction of the satellite launched intended to bring or bring back the assignment into use,

the notifying administration may submit the case to the Board, within one month of the launch failure, for its consideration and careful investigation, taking into account all supporting materials, including details on the satellite that failed, to enable the Board to decide on the matter, as appropriate.

For any extension to be granted by the Board, the launch failure must have occurred at least four years after the date of receipt of the complete information under No. **9.1** or occurred during the suspension period under No. **11.49**, as appropriate. In no case shall the period of the extension of the regulatory time-limit exceed three years or the difference in time between the three-year period and the period remaining from the date of the launch failure to the end of the regulatory time-limit.

In considering such a matter, the Board may determine on a case-by-case basis whether it is appropriate to apply the provisions of No. **11.44B** or No. **11.49** to the relevant frequency assignments in this case.     (WRC‑15)

*NOTE − The inclusion of additional cases of launch failure is a topic for further discussions at WRC‑15.*

**Reasons:** This method gives the option for the RRB to make a decision on the extension of the regulatory limit based on a case-by-case basis in case of a satellite launch failure.
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