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7 to consider possible changes, and other options, in response to Resolution 86 (Rev. Marrakesh, 2002) of the Plenipotentiary Conference, an advance publication, coordination, notification and recording procedures for frequency assignments pertaining to satellite networks, in accordance with Resolution **86 (Rev.WRC‑07)** to facilitate rational, efficient, and economical use of radio frequencies and any associated orbits, including the geostationary‑satellite orbit;

7(L) Issue L – Modification of certain provisions of Article 4 of RR Appendices **30** and **30A** for Regions 1 and 3 namely replacement of tacit agreement with explicit agreement or alignment of those provisions of RR Appendices **30** and **30A** for Regions 1 and 3 with those of Appendix **30B**

Introduction

Tacit agreement, i.e. no reply means consent, has been the basis for RR Appendices 30 and 30A ever since their establishment by WARC-77 and WARC-83. The issue of tacit and/or explicit agreement and their corresponding consequences have been discussed at several WRCs, including WRC-97 and WRC-2000 which revised and replanned the Appendix 30 and 30A Plans for Region 1 and 3. As a result of these discussions, provisions wherein the Bureau explicitly informs identified administrations and also sends them reminders in the case of no reply, were included in the procedures for Appendices 30 and 30A to the Radio Regulations. It should also be noted that in respect of coordination of non-planned FSS under Article 9 of the Radio Regulations too, procedures are provided to address cases of no reply. However, the relevant provisions of Article 4 of Appendices 30 and 30A to the Radio Regulations adopted to date have not resolved the problem of reduction of EPM. As a result there are a number of assignments with large negative EPMs as result of non-reply to the request for coordination in the Plan of Appendices 30 and 30A for Regions 1 and 3.

Proposals

I.R. of Iran supports Method L1.

APPENDIX 30 (REV.WRC‑12)\*

Provisions for all services and associated Plans and List1 for  
the broadcasting-satellite service in the frequency bands  
11.7-12.2 GHz (in Region 3), 11.7-12.5 GHz (in Region 1)  
         and 12.2-12.7 GHz (in Region 2)    (WRC‑03)

ARTICLE 4     (Rev.WRC‑03)

Procedures for modifications to the Region 2 Plan or   
for additional uses in Regions 1 and 33

MOD IRN/61A21A12/1

## 4.1 Provisions applicable to Regions 1 and 3

...

4.1.10 An administration that has not notified its comments either to the administration seeking agreement or to the Bureau within a period of four months following the date of its BR IFIC referred to in § 4.1.5 shall be deemed to have not agreed to the proposed assignment. This time-limit may be extended:

– for an administration that has requested additional information under § 4.1.8, by up to three months; *or*

– for an administration that has requested the assistance of the Bureau under § 4.1.21, by up to three months following the date at which the Bureau communicated the result of its action.

...

**Reasons:** Addendum 1 to the Director’s Report to CPM15-2 (Document CPM15-2/41) provides information on the reduction in the EPM of many assignments in RR Appendices 30 and 30A as results of non-reply to the request for coordination.

The consequences of such a tacit agreement(s) in regard with Appendices 30 and 30A have resulted in the considerable reduction in the reference situation to the extent that the latter assignments may no longer identified as being adversely affected by subsequent modification(s) to Appendices 30 and 30A Plans.

Tacit agreement has the benefit of reducing the administrative burden on administrations, considering that in many cases the coordination trigger for the Appendices 30 and 30A bands are conservative.

To date, no such solutions were found and the reference situations of certain assignments belonging to those administrations which failed to reply within the four-months regulatory deadline were significantly reduced.

APPENDIX 30A (REV.WRC‑12)\*

Provisions and associated Plans and List1 for feeder links for the broadcasting-satellite service (11.7-12.5 GHz in Region 1, 12.2-12.7 GHz  
in Region 2 and 11.7-12.2 GHz in Region 3) in the frequency bands  
14.5-14.8 GHz2 and 17.3-18.1 GHz in Regions 1 and 3,  
and 17.3-17.8 GHz in Region 2     (WRC‑03)

ARTICLE 4     (Rev.WRC‑03)

Procedures for modifications to the Region 2 feeder-link Plan   
or for additional uses in Regions 1 and 3

MOD IRN/61A21A12/2

## 4.1 Provisions applicable to Regions 1 and 3

...

4.1.10 An administration that has not notified its comments either to the administration seeking agreement or to the Bureau within a period of four months following the date of its BR IFIC referred to in § 4.1.5 shall be deemed to have not agreed to the proposed assignment. This time-limit may be extended:

– for an administration that has requested additional information under § 4.1.8, by up to three months, *or*

– for an administration that has requested the assistance of the Bureau under § 4.1.21, by up to three months following the date at which the Bureau communicated the result of its action.

...

**Reasons:** Addendum 1 to the Director’s Report to CPM15-2 (Document CPM15-2/41) provides information on the reduction in the EPM of many assignments in RR Appendices 30 and 30A as results of non-reply to the request for coordination.

The consequences of such a tacit agreement(s) in regard with Appendices 30 and 30A have resulted in the considerable reduction in the reference situation to the extent that the latter assignments may no longer identified as being adversely affected by subsequent modification(s) to Appendices 30 and 30A Plans.

Tacit agreement has the benefit of reducing the administrative burden on administrations, considering that in many cases the coordination trigger for the Appendices 30 and 30A bands are conservative.

To date, no such solutions were found and the reference situations of certain assignments belonging to those administrations which failed to reply within the four-months regulatory deadline were significantly reduced
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