



Secretariat, WG-ITRs

DRAFT REPORT TO THE COUNCIL

Contact: Richard Hill

Tel: +41 22 730 5887
Fax: +41 22 730 5989
Email richard.hill@itu.int

• <http://www.itu.int/council> •



COUNCIL 2005

GENEVA, 12-22 JULY 2005

Document C05/xx-E

April 2005

English only

Agenda item: PL

Final report by the Chairman of the Council Working Group on the International Telecommunication Regulations (ITRs)

Summary

Resolution 121 (Marrakesh, 2002) resolves that the Union should continue a process of reviewing the ITRs and instructed Council to establish a working group on the ITRs to prepare a final report, at the latest by the 2005 session of Council, for transmission to the 2006 plenipotentiary conference.

Pursuant to Resolution 121, the extraordinary session of the 2002 Council at Marrakesh established a working group to continue a review of the ITRs. However, the nomination of the Chairman for the Working Group only took place at Council 2003. At Council 2003, Mr. Alaa Fahmy (Egypt) was appointed as Chairman of the Working Group and requested to start the ITRs review process, using mainly electronic working methods. At Council 2004, the Chairman presented a progress report.

Action required

Pursuant to Resolution 121, Council is requested to consider the final report by the Group and make any comments it considers appropriate before the final report and comments are transmitted to Member States and to the 2006 plenipotentiary conference, including recommendations on any appropriate treaty changes, and whether there is a need to convene a world conference on international telecommunications.

References

PP Res. 121. C04/17, C05/EP/xx.

REPORT BY THE CHAIRMAN OF THE COUNCIL WORKING GROUP ON ITR REVIEW (WG-ITR)

1. Introduction

1.1 The Plenipotentiary Conference (Marrakesh, 2002) adopted [Resolution 121](#), which resolved that the process of reviewing the [International Telecommunication Regulations](#) (ITRs), should be continued. To this end, it recommended that the Council establish a working group (WG-ITR), open to all Member States, to include appropriate legal, regulatory and technical experts, with the following terms of reference:

1. to take into account the results of the work carried out under Resolution 79 (Minneapolis, 1998) and all contributions to this conference on the issue as baseline reference documents;
2. to study the ITRs and prepare recommendations on which provisions, if any, should be terminated, retained in the ITRs, transferred to the Constitution or Convention, or embodied in ITU Recommendations;
3. to prepare recommendations of required draft texts for amending the Constitution and Convention, if deemed appropriate;
4. to consider whether there is a need for new provisions in the ITRs, which should be dealt with at a world conference on international telecommunications;
5. to identify new issues, if any, that may be the subject of ITU Recommendations;
6. to report to the Council annually on its progress with respect to the issues referred to above, including those conclusions of the group that could form the basis for the Recommendations of the three ITU Sectors;
7. to prepare a final report, at the latest by the 2005 session of the Council, for transmission to the 2006 Plenipotentiary Conference,

Resolution 121 also invites contributions from Member States, Sector Members, advisory groups, regional preparatory meetings, regional organizations (in line with Resolution 58, (Kyoto, 1994)) and other organizations.

1.2 In the 2003 ordinary session of Council, Mr. Alaa FAHMY (Egypt) was appointed as the Chairman of WG-ITR. Council requested that work be started as soon as possible, preferably by using electronic working methods ([see summary record, Document C03/93](#)).

1.3 In the 2004, the Chairman presented a report of work to date, C04/17.

2. Actions taken since Council 2004 and their results

2.1 As agreed at Council 2004, the Secretary-General sent DM-1141 on 22 June 2004 to all Member States, containing a questionnaire [drafted by the working group](#) and requesting replies by 15 September 2004. In addition, contributions to the work were requested by 15 November 2004.

2.2 The second meeting of WG-ITR was held on 6-7 December 2004, in Geneva. Some 45 delegates from 22 Member States attended the meeting. The meeting examined 33 responses to the questionnaire sent on 22 June. Responses can be found at:

<http://www.itu.int/ITU-T/secured/itr/replies/index.html>

It also examined 5 contributions from Member States, and 6 contributions from the Management Team (including the Secretariat) and TSB. The contributions can be found at:

<http://www.itu.int/ITU-T/secured/itr/documents/index.html>

The meeting agreed two documents to be used as inputs to the third and final meeting. The report of the second meeting, containing the cited documents, can be found at:

http://www.itu.int/ITU-T/itr/docs_1204.html

2.3 The third and final meeting of WG-ITR was held on 11-13 May 2005, in Geneva. Some ~~33~~ delegates from ~~18~~ Member States attended the meeting. The meeting examined the two input documents agreed at the second meeting, as well as 2 contributions. The contributions can be found at the URL cited above. This document ~~with~~ and its Attachment constitutes the report of the third and final meeting. Its annexes can be found at:

http://www.itu.int/ITU-T/itr/docs_0505.html

This document also constitutes the final report to Council as agreed at the third and final meeting. The Attachment to this report, containing ~~three~~ annexes can be found in C05/EP/xx.

2.4 The meeting thanked the Chairman, the management team and the Secretariat for their work.

3. Results in accordance with Terms of Reference

The following paragraphs describe how the Group has met the individual items 1 through 7 of its Terms of Reference (ToR).

3.1 The Group took into account, and used as baseline reference, the documents listed in Annex 1 of the Attachment (see C05/EP/xx).

3.2 The Group studied the ITRs in accordance with item 2 of the ToR. It was not possible to find consensus with respect to terminating, retaining or transferring current ITR provisions. The different ~~of~~ views are summarized in Annex 2 of the Attachment (see C05/EP/xx).

3.3 Covered by 3.2 above.

3.4 It was not possible to find consensus on new provisions. The different views are summarized in Annex 3 of the Attachment (see C05/EP/xx).

3.5 It was noted that several issues which have arisen since PP-02 are currently being studied in ITU-T and ITU-D. Taking that into account, the Group could not identify any additional issues which should be the subject of study in ITU-T or ITU-D. The Group noted that, in any case, any ITU member can initiate, via contributions, work in ITU-T or ITU-D.

3.6 The Group has reported annually to Council.

3.7 This document ~~with~~ and its Attachment constitutes the final report of the group submitted to Council 2005, for its consideration in accordance with Plenipotentiary Resolution 121.

4. Conclusion

There were three views ~~on~~ for how ~~to proceed~~ to satisfy the requirements of Plenipotentiary Resolution 121:

- a) Leave the ITRs unchanged.
- b) Amend the ITRs ~~so that they are timely updated in order to accurately reflect the prevailing situation~~, -this will include ~~s~~ adding new provisions.
- c) ~~Terminate~~ ~~Abrogate~~ the ITRs and transfer certain provisions to the CS, CV and ITU-T Recommendations.

Alaa M. FAHMY

Chairman, Council Working Group on ITR Review