INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION

ITU-T

TELECOMMUNICATION
STANDARDIZATION SECTOR
OF ITU

X.680
Amendment 2
(06/99)

SERIES X: DATA NETWORKS AND OPEN SYSTEM COMMUNICATIONS

OSI networking and system aspects – Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1)

Information technology – Abstract Syntax Notation One (ASN.1): Specification of basic notation

Amendment 2: ASN.1 semantic model

ITU-T Recommendation X.680 – Amendment 2

(Previously CCITT Recommendation)

ITU-T X-SERIES RECOMMENDATIONS

DATA NETWORKS AND OPEN SYSTEM COMMUNICATIONS

For further details, please refer to ITU-T List of Recommendations.

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD 8824-1

ITU-T RECOMMENDATION X.680

**INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY – ABSTRACT SYNTAX NOTATION ONE (ASN.1):
SPECIFICATION OF BASIC NOTATION**

**AMENDMENT 2
ASN.1 semantic model**

Summary

Amendment 2 to ITU-T Rec. X.680 | ISO/IEC 8824-1 is expected to be mainly of use to tool builders. It is meant to ensure that they interpret the ASN.1 standard identically as far as mapping values to types is concerned. It specifies:

  a) what is legal ASN.1 and what is not;

  b) the precise value that any value reference name identifies; and

  c) the precise set of values that any type or value set reference name identifies.

FOREWORD

ITU (International Telecommunication Union) is the United Nations Specialized Agency in the field of telecommunications. The ITU Telecommunication Standardization Sector (ITU-T) is a permanent organ of the ITU. The ITU-T is responsible for studying technical, operating and tariff questions and issuing Recommendations on them with a view to standardizing telecommunications on a worldwide basis.

The World Telecommunication Standardization Conference (WTSC), which meets every four years, establishes the topics for study by the ITU-T Study Groups which, in their turn, produce Recommendations on these topics.

The approval of Recommendations by the Members of the ITU-T is covered by the procedure laid down in WTSC Resolution No. 1.

In some areas of information technology which fall within ITU-T's purview, the necessary standards are prepared on a collaborative basis with ISO and IEC.

NOTE

In this Recommendation, the expression "Administration" is used for conciseness to indicate both a telecommunication administration and a recognized operating agency.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS

The ITU draws attention to the possibility that the practice or implementation of this Recommendation may involve the use of a claimed Intellectual Property Right. The ITU takes no position concerning the evidence, validity or applicability of claimed Intellectual Property Rights, whether asserted by ITU members or others outside of the Recommendation development process.

As of the date of approval of this Recommendation, the ITU had not received notice of intellectual property, protected by patents, which may be required to implement this Recommendation. However, implementors are cautioned that this may not represent the latest information and are therefore strongly urged to consult the TSB patent database.

CONTENTS

INTERNATIONAL STANDARD

ITU-T RECOMMENDATION

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY – ABSTRACT SYNTAX NOTATION ONE (ASN.1): SPECIFICATION OF BASIC NOTATION

AMENDMENT 2
ASN.1 semantic model

1)   Subclause 3.8

Add the definitions 3.8.39 bis and 3.8.71 bis to subclause 3.8 and replace the definition of **governing; governor** *with the new 3.8.39 below:*

3.8.39   governing; governor (type): A type definition or reference which affects the interpretation of a part of the ASN.1 syntax, requiring that part of the ASN.1 syntax to reference values in the governor type.

3.8.39 *bis*   identical type definitions: Two instances of the ASN.1 "Type" production (see clause 16) are defined as identical type definitions if, after performing the transformations specified in Annex F, they are identical ordered lists of ASN.1 items (see clause 11).

3.8.71 *bis*   value mapping: A 1-1 relationship between values in two types that enables a reference to one of those values to be used as a reference to the other value. This can, for example, be used in specifying subtypes and default values (see Annex F).

Append to clause 3.8.50:

, and which governs the subtype notation.

2)   New subclause 5.9

Add a new subclause 5.9 as follows:

5.9   Value references and the typing of values

5.9.1   ASN.1 defines a value assignment notation which enables a name to be given to a value of a specified type. This name can be used wherever a reference to that value is needed. Annex F describes and specifies the **value mapping** mechanism which allows a value reference name for a value of one type to identify a value of a second type. Thus, a reference to the first value can be used where a reference to a value in the second type is required.

5.9.2   In the body of the ASN.1 standards, normal English text is used to specify legality (or otherwise) of constructs where more than one type is involved, but where the two types must be "compatible". For example, the type used in defining a value reference is required to be "compatible with" the governor type when the value reference is used. The normative Annex F uses the value mapping concept to give a precise statement about whether any given ASN.1 construct is legal or not.

3)　　　New subclause 13.6

Add a new subclause 13.6 as follows:

13.6　　　Where a "DefinedType" is used as part of notation governed by a "Type" (for example, in a "SubtypeElementSpec"), then the "DefinedType" shall be compatible with the governing "Type" as specified in F.6.2.

4)　　　New subclause 13.7

Add a new subclause 13.7 as follows:

13.7　　　Every occurrence within an ASN.1 specification of a "DefinedValue" is governed by a "Type", and that "DefinedValue" shall reference a value of a type that is compatible with the governing "Type" as specified in F.6.2.

5)　　　Subclause 15.2

In 15.2, change the sentence after the BNF by inserting immediately before "shall" the text:

　　　is governed by "Type" and

6)　　　Subclause 36.7

Add the following to the Note 3 example in 36.7:

An alternative unambiguous definition of "mystring" would be:

```
mystring MyAlphabet(BasicLatin) ::= "HOPE"
```

Formally, "mystring" is a value reference to a value of a subset of "MyAlphabet", but it can, by the value mapping rules of Annex F, be used wherever a value reference is needed to this value within "MyAlphabet".

7)　　　Subclause 48.3.2

Replace 48.3.2 with the following text:

48.3.2　　　A "ContainedSubtype" specifies all of the values in the parent type that are also in "Type". "Type" is required to be compatible with the parent type as specified in F.6.3.

8)　　　Subclause 48.5.2

In 48.5.2, delete the text ", or types formed from any of those types by tagging".

9)　　　Subclause 48.8.2

In 48.8.2, delete the text ", or types formed from any of those types by tagging".

10)   New Annex F

Add a new Annex F as follows:

Annex F

Rules for Type and Value Compatibility

(This annex forms an integral part of this Recommendation | International Standard)

This annex is expected to be mainly of use to tool builders to ensure that they interpret the language identically. It is present in order to clearly specify what is legal ASN.1 and what is not, and to be able to specify the precise value that any value reference name identifies, and the precise set of values that any type or value set reference name identifies. It is not intended to provide a definition of valid transformations of ASN.1 notations for any purpose other than those stated above.

F.1   The need for the value mapping concept (Tutorial introduction)

F.1.1   Consider the following ASN.1 definitions:

```
A ::= INTEGER
B ::= [1] INTEGER
C ::= [2] INTEGER (0..6,...)
D ::= [2] INTEGER (0..6,...,7)
E ::= INTEGER (7..20)
F ::= INTEGER {red(0), white(1), blue(2), green(3), purple(4)}
a A ::= 3
b B ::= 4
c C ::= 5
d D ::= 6
e E ::= 7
f F ::= green
```

F.1.2   It is clear that the value references a, b, c, d, e, and f can be used in value notation governed by A, B, C, D, E, and F, respectively. For example:

```
W ::= SEQUENCE {w1  A  DEFAULT a}
```

and:

```
x A ::= a
```

and:

```
Y ::= A(1..a)
```

are all valid given the definitions in F.1.1. If, however, A above were replaced by B, or C, or D, or E, or F, would the resulting statements be illegal? Similarly, if the value reference a above were replaced in each of these cases by b, or c, or d, or e, or f, are the resulting statements legal?

F.1.3   A more sophisticated question would be to consider in each case replacement of the type reference by the explicit text to the right of its assignment. Consider for example:

```
f INTEGER {red(0), white(1), blue(2), green(3), purple(4)} ::= green
W ::= SEQUENCE {
        w1  INTEGER {red(0), white(1), blue(2), green(3),  purple(4)}
            DEFAULT f}
x INTEGER {red(0), white(1), blue(2), green(3),  purple(4)} ::= f
Y ::= INTEGER {red(0), white(1), blue(2), green(3),  purple(4)}(1..f)
```

Would the above be legal ASN.1?

F.1.4   Some of the above examples are cases which, even if legal (as most of them are – see later text), users would be ill-advised to write similar text, as they are at the least obscure and at worst confusing. However, there are frequent uses of a value reference to a value of some type (not necessarily just an INTEGER type) as the default value for that type with tagging or subtyping applied in the governor. The **value mapping** concept is introduced in order to provide a clear and precise means of determining which constructs such as the above are legal.

F.1.5   Again, consider:

```
C ::= [2] INTEGER (0..6,...)

E ::= INTEGER (7..20)

F ::= INTEGER {red(0), white(1), blue(2), green(3), purple(4)}
```

In each case a new type is being created. For F we can clearly identify a 1-1 correspondence between the values in it and the values in the universal type "INTEGER". In the case of C and E, we can clearly identify a 1-1 correspondence between the values in them and a subset of the values in the universal type "INTEGER". We call this relationship a **value mapping** between values in the two types. Moreover, because values in F, C, and E all have (1-1) mappings to values of "INTEGER", we can use these mappings to provide mappings between the values of F, C, and E themselves. This is illustrated for F and C in Figure F.1.

Figure F.1

F.1.6   Now when we have a value reference such as:

```
c C ::= 5
```

to a value in "C" which is required in some context to identify a value in "F", then, provided a value mapping exists between that value in "C" and a (single) value in "F", we can (and do) define "c" to be a legal reference to the value in "F". This is illustrated in Figure F.2, where the value reference "c" is used to identify a value in "F", and can be used in place of a direct reference f1 where we would otherwise have to define:

```
f1 F ::= 5
```

F.1.7   It should be noted that in some cases there will be values in one type (7 to 20 in A of F.1.1 for example) that have value mappings to values in another type (7 to 20 in E of F.1.1 for example), but other values (21 upwards of A) that have no such mapping. A reference to such values in A would not provide a valid reference to a value in E. (In this example, the whole of E has a value mapping to a subset of A. In the general case, there may be a subset of values in both types that have mappings, with other values in both types that are unmapped.)

T0732170-99/d02

Figure F.2

F.1.8 In the body of the ASN.1 standards, normal English text is used to specify legality in the above and similar cases. Subclause F.6 gives the precise requirements for legality and should be referenced whenever there is doubt about a complex construction.

NOTE – The fact that value mappings are defined to exist between two occurrences of the "Type" construct permits the use of value references established using one "Type" construct to identify values in another "Type" construct which is sufficiently similar. It allows dummy and actual parameters to be typed using two textually separate "Type" constructs without violating the rules for compatibility of dummy and actual parameters. It also allows fields of information object classes to be specified using one "Type" construct and the corresponding value in an information object to be specified using a distinct "Type" construct which is sufficiently similar. (These examples are not intended to be exhaustive.) It is, however, recommended that advantage be taken of this freedom only for simple cases such as "SEQUENCE OF INTEGER", or "CHOICE {int INTEGER, id OBJECT IDENTIFIER}", and not for more complex "Type" constructs.

F.2 Value mappings

F.2.1 The underlying model is of types, as non-overlapping containers, that contain values, with every occurrence of the ASN.1 "Type" construct defining a distinct new type (see Figures F.1 and F.2). This annex specifies when **value mappings** exist between such types, enabling a reference to a value in one type to be used where a reference to a value in some other type is needed.

Example: Consider:

```
X ::= INTEGER

Y ::= INTEGER
```

X and Y are type reference names (pointers) to two distinct types, but value mappings exist between these types, so any value reference to a value of X can be used when governed by Y (for example, following DEFAULT).

F.2.2 In the set of all possible ASN.1 values, a value mapping relates a pair of values. The whole set of value mappings is a mathematical relation. This relation possesses the following properties: it is reflexive (each ASN.1 value is related to itself), it is symmetric (if a value mapping is defined to exist from a value $x1$ to a value $x2$, then there automatically exists a value mapping from $x2$ to $x1$), and it is transitive (if there is a value mapping from a value $x1$ to $x2$, and a value mapping from $x2$ to $x3$, then there automatically exists a value mapping from $x1$ to $x3$).

F.2.3 Furthermore, given any two types X1 and X2, seen as sets of values, the set of value mappings from values in X1 to values in X2 is a one-to-one relation, that is, for all values $x1$ in X1, and $x2$ in X2, if there is a value mapping from $x1$ to $x2$, then:

a)   there is no value mapping from $x1$ to another value in X2 different from $x2$; and

b)   there is no value mapping from any value in X1 (other than $x1$) to $x2$.

F.2.4   Where a value mapping exists between a value x1 and a value x2, a value reference to either one can automatically be used to reference the other if so required by some governor type.

> NOTE – The fact that value mappings are defined to exist between values in some "Type" constructs is solely for the purpose of providing flexibility in the use of the ASN.1 notation. **The existence of such mappings carries no implications whatsoever that the two types carry the same application semantics**, but it is **recommended** that ASN.1 constructs which would be illegal without value mappings are used only if the corresponding types do indeed carry the same application semantics. Note that value mappings will frequently exist in any large specification between two types that are identical ASN.1 constructs, but which carry totally different application semantics, and where the existence of these value mappings is never used in determining the legality of the total specification.

F.3   Identical type definitions

F.3.1   The concept of **identical type definitions** is used to enable value mappings to be defined between two instances of "Type" which are either identical or sufficiently similar that one would normally expect their use to be interchangeable. In order to give precision to the meaning of "sufficiently similar", this subclause specifies a series of transformations which are applied to each of the instances of "Type" to produce a **normal form** for those instances of "Type". The two instances of "Type" are defined to be identical type definitions if, and only if, their normal forms are identical ordered lists of the same ASN.1 items (see clause 11).

F.3.2   Each occurrence of "Type" in an ASN.1 specification is an ordered list of the items defined in clause 11. The normal form is obtained by applying the transformations defined in F.3.2.1 to F.3.2.4 in that order.

F.3.2.1   All the comments (see 11.6) are removed.

F.3.2.2   The following transformations are not recursive and hence need only to be applied once, in any order:

a)   For each integer type: the "NamedNumberList" (see 18.1), if any, is reordered so that the "identifiers" are in alphabetical order ("a" first, "z" last).

b)   For each enumerated type: numbers are added, as specified in 19.3, to any "EnumerationItem" (see 19.1) that is an "identifier" (without a number); then the "RootEnumeration" is reordered so that the "identifiers" are in alphabetical order ("a" first, "z" last).

c)   For each bitstring type: the "NamedBitList" (see 21.1), if any, is reordered so that the "identifiers" are in alphabetical order ("a" first, "z" last).

d)   For each object identifier value: each "ObjectIdComponent" is transformed into its corresponding "NumberForm" in accordance with the semantics of clause 31 (see the example in 31.11).

e)   For sequence types (see clause 24) and set types (see clause 26): any extension of the form "ExtensionAndException", "ExtensionAdditions", is cut and pasted to the end of the "ComponentTypeLists"; "OptionalExtensionMarker", if present, is removed.

   If "TagDefault" is "IMPLICIT TAGS", the keyword "IMPLICIT" is added to all instances of "Tag" (see clause 30) unless either:

   - it is already present; or

   - the reserved word item EXPLICIT is present; or

   - the type being tagged is a CHOICE type or;

   - it is an open type.

   If "TagDefault" is "AUTOMATIC TAGS", the decision on whether to apply automatic tagging is taken according to 24.2 (the automatic tagging will be performed later on).

   > NOTE – Subclauses 24.3 and 26.2 specify that the presence of a "Tag" item in a "ComponentType" which was inserted as a result of the replacement of "Components of Type" does not in itself prevent the automatic tagging transformation.

   If "ExtensionDefault" is "EXTENSIBILITY IMPLIED", an ellipsis ("…") is added after the "ComponentTypeLists" if it is not present.

f) For choice type (see clause 28): "RootAlternativeTypeList" is reordered so that the identifiers of the "NameType"s are in alphabetical order ("a" first, "z" last). If "TagDefault" is "IMPLICIT TAGS", the keyword "IMPLICIT" is added to all instances of "Tags" (see clause 30) unless either:

- it is already present; or

- the reserved word item EXPLICIT is present; or

- the type being tagged is a CHOICE type; or

- it is an open type.

If "TagDefault" is "AUTOMATIC TAGS", the decision on whether to apply automatic tagging is taken according to 28.3 (the automatic tagging will be performed later on). If "ExtensionDefault" is "EXTENSIBILITY IMPLIED", an ellipsis ("…") is added after the "AlternativeTypeLists" if it is not present.

F.3.2.3 The following transformations shall be applied recursively in the specified order, until a fix-point is reached:

a) For each object identifier value (see 31.3): if the value definition begins with a "DefinedValue", the "DefinedValue" is replaced by its definition.

b) For sequence types and set types: all instances of "COMPONENTS OF Type" (see clause 24) are transformed according to clauses 24 and 26.

c) For sequence, set and choice types: if it has earlier been decided to tag automatically (see F.3.2.2 items e) and f)), the automatic tagging is applied according to clauses 24, 26 and 28.

d) For selection type: the construction is replaced by the selected alternative according to clause 29.

e) All type references are replaced by their definitions according to the following rules:

- If the replacing type is a reference to the type being transformed, the type reference is replaced by a special item that matches no other item than itself.

- If the replacing type is a sequence-of type or a set-of type, the constraints following the replaced type, if any, are moved in front of the keyword "OF".

- If the replaced type is a parameterized type or a parameterized value set (see 8.2 of ITU-T Rec. X.683 | ISO/IEC 8824-4), every "DummyReference" item is replaced by the corresponding "ActualParameter".

f) All value references are replaced by their definitions; if the replaced value is a parameterized value (see 8.2 of ITU-T Rec. X.683 | ISO/IEC 8824-4), every "DummyReference" item is replaced by the corresponding "ActualParameter".

NOTE – Before replacing any value reference, the procedures of this annex shall be applied to ensure that the value reference identifies, through value mappings or directly, a value in its governing type.

F.3.2.4 For set type: the "RootComponentTypeList" is reordered so that the "ComponentType"s are in alphabetical order ("a" first, "z" last).

NOTE – Text in 11.9 (bstring), 11.10 (hstring), and 11.11 (cstring) specifies that new-lines and white space are not significant in such items. If two occurrences of such items contain different uses of new-lines and white space, they are treated as identical items for the purposes of F.3.3.

F.3.3 If two instances of "Type", when transformed to their normal form, are identical lists of ASN.1 items (see clause 11), then the two instances of "Type" are defined to be identical type definitions with the following exception: if an "objectclassreference" (see 7.1 of ITU-T Rec. X.681 | ISO/IEC 8824-2) appears within the normalized form of the "Type", then the two types are **not** defined to be identical type definitions, and value mappings (see F.4 below) will not exist between them.

NOTE – This exception was inserted to avoid the need to provide transformation rules to normal form for elements of syntax concerned with information object class, information object, and information object set notation. Similarly, specification for the normalization of all value notation and of set arithmetic notation has not been included at this time. Should there prove to be a requirement for such specification, this could be provided in a future version of this Recommendation | International Standard. The concept of identical type definitions and of value mappings was introduced to ensure that simple ASN.1 constructs could be used either by using reference names or by copying text. It was felt unnecessary to provide this functionality for more complex instances of "Type" that included information object classes, etc.

F.4   Specification of value mappings

F.4.1   If two occurrences of "Type" are identical type definitions under the rules of F.3, then value mappings exist between every value of one type and the corresponding value of the other type.

F.4.2   For a type, X1, created from any type, X2, by tagging (see clause 30), value mappings are defined to exist between all the members of X1 and the corresponding members of X2.

NOTE – Whilst value mappings are defined to exist between the values of X1 and X2 in F.4.2 above, and between the values of X3 and X4 in F.4.3, if such types are embedded in otherwise identical but distinct type definitions (such as SEQUENCE or CHOICE type definitions), the resulting type definitions (the SEQUENCE or CHOICE types) will not be identical type definitions, and there will be no value mappings between them.

F.4.3   For a type, X3, created by selecting values from any governor type, X4, by the element set construct or by subtyping, value mappings are defined to exist between the members of the new type and those members of the governor type that were selected by the element set or subtyping construct. The presence or absence of an extension marker has no effect on this rule.

F.4.4   Additional value mappings are specified in F.5 between some of the character string types.

F.4.5   A value mapping is defined to exist between all the values of any type defined as an integer type with named values and any integer type defined without named values, or with different named values, or with different names for named values, or both.

NOTE – The existence of the value mapping does not affect any scope rule requirements on the use of the names of named values. They can only be used in a scope governed by the type in which they are defined, or by a typereference name to that type.

F.4.6   A value mapping is defined to exist between all the values of any type defined as a bit string type with named bits and any bit string type defined without named bits, or with different named bits, or with different names for named bits, or both.

NOTE – The existence of the value mapping does not affect any scope rule requirements on the use of the names of named bits. They can only be used in a scope governed by the type in which they are defined, or by a typereference name to that type.

F.5   Additional value mappings defined for the character string types

F.5.1   There are two groups of restricted character string types, group A (see F.5.2) and group B (see F.5.3). Value mappings are defined to exist between all types in group A, and value references to values of these types can be used when governed by one of the other types. For the types in group B, value mappings never exist between these different types, nor between any type in group A and any type in group B.

F.5.2   Group A consists of:

```
UTF8String
NumericString
PrintableString
IA5String
VisibleString (ISO646String)
UniversalString
BMPString
```

F.5.3   Group B consists of:

```
TeletexString (T61String)
VideotexString
GraphicString
GeneralString
```

F.5.4   The value mappings in group A are specified by mapping the character string values of each type to UniversalString, then using the transitivity property of value mappings. To map values from one of the group A types to UniversalString, the string is replaced by a UniversalString of the same length with each character mapped as specified below.

F.5.5   Formally, the set of abstract values in UTF8String is the same set of abstract values that occur in UniversalString but with a different tag (see 36.13), and each abstract value in UTF8String is defined to map to the corresponding abstract value in UniversalString.

F.5.6   The glyphs (printed character shapes) for characters used to form the types NumericString and PrintableString have recognisable and unambiguous mappings to a subset of the glyphs assigned to the first 128 characters of ISO/IEC 10646. The mapping for these types is defined using this mapping of glyphs.

F.5.7   IA5String and VisibleString are mapped into UniversalString by mapping each character into the UniversalString character that has the identical (32-bit) value in the BER encoding of UniversalString as the (8-bit) value of the BER encoding of IA5String and VisibleString.

F.5.8   BMPString is formally a subset of UniversalString, and corresponding abstract values have value mappings.

F.6   Specific type and value compatibility requirements

This subclause uses the value mapping concept to provide precise text for the legality of certain ASN.1 constructs.

F.6.1   Any "Value" occurrence, *x-notation*, with a governor type, "Y", identifies the value, *y-val*, in the governor type "Y" that has a value mapping to the value *x-val* specified by *x-notation*. It is a requirement that such a value exists.

For example, consider the occurrence of "x" in the last line of the following:

```
X   ::= [0] INTEGER (0..30)
x X ::= 29
Y   ::= [1] INTEGER (25..35)
Z1  ::= Y (x | 30)
```

These ASN.1 constructs are legal, and in the last assignment the *x-notation* "x" is referencing the *x-val* 29 in "X" and, through value mapping, identifies the *y-val* 29 in "Y". The *x-notation* 30 is referencing the *y-val* 30 in "Y", and "Z1" is the set of values 29 and 30. On the other hand, the assignment:

```
Z2 ::= Y (x | 20)
```

is illegal because there is no *y-val* to which the *x-notation* 20 can refer.

F.6.2   Any "Type" occurrence, *t-notation*, that has a governor type, "V", identifies the complete set of values in the governor type "V" that have value mappings to any of the values in the "Type" *t-notation*. This set is required to contain at least one value.

For example, consider the occurrence of "W" in the last line of the following:

```
V  ::= [0] INTEGER (0..30)
W  ::= [1] INTEGER (25..35)
Y  ::= [2] INTEGER (31..35)
Z1 ::= V (W | 24)
```

"W" contributes values 25-30 to the set arithmetic resulting in "Z1" having the values 24-30. On the other hand, the assignment:

```
Z2 ::= V (Y | 24)
```

is illegal because there are no values in "Y" which map to a value in "V".

F.6.3   The type of any value supplied as an actual parameter is required to have a value mapping from that value to one of the values in the type governing the dummy parameter, and it is a value of that governor type which is identified.

F.6.4   If a "Type" is supplied as an actual parameter for a dummy parameter which is a value set dummy parameter, then all values of that "Type" are required to have value mappings to values in the governor of the value set dummy parameter. The actual parameter selects the total set of values in the governor which have mappings to the "Type".

F.6.5   In specifying the type, A, of a dummy parameter that is a value or a value set parameter, it is an illegal specification unless for all values of A, and for every instance of use of A on the right-hand side of the assignment, that value of A can legally be applied in place of the dummy parameter.

F.7   Examples

F.7.1   This subclause provides examples to illustrate subclauses F.3 and F.4.

F.7.2   Example 1

```
X ::=   SEQUENCE              X1 ::=  SEQUENCE
        {name VisibleString,          {name VisibleString,
         age  INTEGER}                -- comment --
                                      age  INTEGER}

X2 ::= [8] SEQUENCE           X3 ::=  SEQUENCE
        {name VisibleString,          {name VisibleString,
         age  INTEGER}                age  AgeType}
                              AgeType ::= INTEGER
```

X, X1, X2, and X3 are all identical type definitions. Differences of white space and comment are not visible, nor does the use of the "AgeType" type reference in X3 affect the type definition. Note, however, that if any of the identifiers for the elements of the sequence were changed, the types would cease to be identical definitions, and there would be no value mappings between them.

F.7.3   Example 2

```
B ::=   SET                   B1 ::=  SET
        {name VisibleString,          {age INTEGER,
         age  INTEGER}                name VisibleString}
```

are identical type definitions provided neither is in a module with "AUTOMATIC TAGS" in the module header, otherwise they are not identical type definitions, and value mappings will not exist between them. Similar examples can be written using CHOICE and ENUMERATED (using the "identifier" form of "EnumerationItem").

F.7.4   Example 3

```
C ::=   SET                   C1 ::=  SET
        {name [0]VisibleString,       {name VisibleString,
         age INTEGER}                 age INTEGER (1..64)}
```

are not identical type definitions, nor are either of them identical type definitions to either of B or B1, and there are no value mappings between any of the values of C and C1, nor between either of them and either of B or B1.

F.7.5   Example 4

```
x  INTEGER { y (2) } ::= 3
z  INTEGER ::= x
```

is legal, and assigns the value 3 to z through the value mapping defined in F.4.5

F.7.6   Example 5

```
b1 BIT STRING ::= '101'B
b2 BIT STRING {version1(0), version2(1), version3(2)} ::= b1
```

is legal, and assigns the value {version1, version3} to b2.

F.7.7   Example 6

With the definitions of F.1.1, SEQUENCE elements of the form:

```
X DEFAULT y
```

are legal, where X is any of A, B, C, D, E, or F, or any of the text to the right of the type assignments to these names, and y is any of a, b, c, d, e, or f, with the following exceptions: **E DEFAULT y** is illegal for all of a, b, c, d, f, and **C DEFAULT e** is illegal, because in these cases there are no value mappings available from the defaulting value reference into the type being defaulted.

ITU-T RECOMMENDATIONS SERIES

Series A   Organization of the work of the ITU-T

Series B   Means of expression: definitions, symbols, classification

Series C   General telecommunication statistics

Series D   General tariff principles

Series E   Overall network operation, telephone service, service operation and human factors

Series F   Non-telephone telecommunication services

Series G   Transmission systems and media, digital systems and networks

Series H   Audiovisual and multimedia systems

Series I   Integrated services digital network

Series J   Transmission of television, sound programme and other multimedia signals

Series K   Protection against interference

Series L   Construction, installation and protection of cables and other elements of outside plant

Series M   TMN and network maintenance: international transmission systems, telephone circuits, telegraphy, facsimile and leased circuits

Series N   Maintenance: international sound programme and television transmission circuits

Series O   Specifications of measuring equipment

Series P   Telephone transmission quality, telephone installations, local line networks

Series Q   Switching and signalling

Series R   Telegraph transmission

Series S   Telegraph services terminal equipment

Series T   Terminals for telematic services

Series U   Telegraph switching

Series V   Data communication over the telephone network

Series X   Data networks and open system communications

Series Y   Global information infrastructure

Series Z   Languages and general software aspects for telecommunication systems