

Radiocommunication Bureau (Direct Fax N°. +41 22 730 57 85)

20 October 2005

Circular Letter CR/246

To Administrations of Member States of the ITU¹

Subject: Submission of administrative declarations for the production of a draft plan

References: Resolution COM5/1 of the first session of the Regional Radiocommunication Conference for the planning of digital terrestrial broadcasting service in parts of Regions 1 and 3, in the frequency bands 174-230 MHz and 470-862 MHz (RRC-04), Geneva, 2004

Report of the First meeting of the IPG (Document IPG-1/51)

Report of the meeting of the Working Party of the IPG (Document WPIPG/10)

To the Director-General

Dear Sir/Madam,

In the framework of the activities related to the first planning exercise and based upon the indications in the RRC-04 Report, the Radiocommunication Bureau implemented, partially, the concept of the administrative declarations, using the mechanism suggested by one regional group (see Addendum 1 to Circular Letter CR/229 of 24 May 2005). The implemented mechanism essentially dealt with the unilateral declaration of the administration responsible for a given digital broadcasting requirement. For the first planning exercise, some 150 000 administrative declarations have been received from 35 Member States and subsequently included in the planning process. For the additional case studies in the context of the first planning exercise, as decided by the first meeting of the Intersessional Planning Group (IPG), some 810 000 administrative declarations have been received from 49 Member States and subsequently included in the planning process. The increased number of administrative declarations for the additional test cases resulted in the improvement of the number of satisfied requirements (see Annex 1 to the Report from the first meeting of the WPIPG).

¹ This Circular Letter is primarily addressed to the Member States of Region 1 (except Mongolia) and to the Islamic Republic of Iran. It is for information only for other Member States.

2 This topic was discussed at the first meeting of the IPG (4-8 July 2005) and IPG approved a new mechanism for administrative declarations to be used for the production of the draft plan as well as a possible way to implement this new mechanism (see Annex 21 to <u>Document IPG-1/51</u>). Subsequently, the Working Party of the IPG, at it meeting on 28-29 September 2005, concluded to replace the concerned Annex 21 of document IPG-1/51 with the text included in Annex 1 to this Circular Letter. The WPIPG also requested the Bureau to convey the concerned text to the administrations in the planning area.

3 In conveying this information, I wish to inform your Administration that the Bureau is studying all the relevant aspects of this new mechanism with a view to implement them in practical data formats that would be suitable for automated treatment. To this end, the Bureau will provide, in an Addendum to this Circular Letter, the necessary details concerning the preparation of the administrative declarations for the draft plan, with examples. It is recalled that, in accordance with the decisions of the IPG, the administrative declarations for the draft plan are to be submitted in electronic format by **27 January 2006.** It is also recalled that the administrative declarations that were submitted for the first planning exercise will not be considered in the activities related to the preparation of the draft plan, given the fact that their application was restricted to the first planning exercise.

4 The Bureau remains at the disposal of your Administration for any clarification you may require with respect to the subjects covered in this Circular Letter.

Yours faithfully,

V. Timofeev Director, Radiocommunication Bureau

Annex: 1

Distribution:

- Administrations of Member States of the ITU
- Members of the Radio Regulations Board

Annex 1 to Circular Letter CR/246

Administrative declarations for the preparation of the draft plan

1 Introduction

The RRC-04 Report, § 5.1.5.1 recognizes that bilateral and multilateral discussions will aid the planning process and that administrations are encouraged, as part of the planning process, to agree, on a bilateral and multilateral basis, the mutual compatibility between the input requirements of digital terrestrial broadcasting services and the compatibility between those input requirements and other assignments and services. Such agreements need to be notified to the ITU Radiocommunication Bureau in order to assist the planning process.

Also in § 5.3.1.1.1.5 it is possible for two administrations to declare that two requirements, one for each administration, are compatible, without adversely affecting other administrations, even though the calculations using the methods of § 5.3.1.1.2 indicate that the requirements are incompatible. The same approach can be applied in cases where both requirements belong to the same administration.

The Bureau has, for the first planning exercise only, partially implemented the concept of the administrative declarations, notably the administrative declarations relating to digital requirements only, as specified in § 5.3.1.1.1.5 of the RRC-04 Report.

A complete mechanism for administrative declarations must be implemented and used in the development of the draft plan and during RRC-06. It should include a possibility for compatibility declarations between digital requirements as well as between a digital requirement and an analogue television assignment or an assignment to another primary service. It must be noted that from a formal point of view RRC-06 will decide on the procedures to be applied during the conference but that it would be an advantage for both the administrations and the ITU to develop a single procedure to be applied both before and during RRC-06. It is therefore proposed that IPG recommend to RRC-06 to use the procedure used for the development of the draft plan.

2 Definition of coordination and administrative declaration²

The term "coordination" is not defined in Article 1 of the RR³. However, its meaning is explained in Article 9 of the RR. In addition, provision No. A.9.II.2 of the RR, indicates that the word "coordination" as used throughout Article 9 refers also to the process of seeking an agreement of other administrations when required under RR9.21. Against this background, the Bureau understands the term "successfully coordinated assignment" that, for a given frequency assignment notified by administration ABC, it obtained agreement from another administration under the applicable provision of the RR, regional agreement or other arrangement. When such information is submitted to the Bureau (e.g. through any form of notice listed under Appendix 4 of the RR, in its item 11) the Bureau considers that the administration listed in item 11 (e.g. XYZ) has accepted the technical characteristics of the (successfully coordinated) frequency assignment from administration ABC. Therefore, in the application of the relevant procedures the Bureau considers as compatible the incoming assignment of administration ABC and all existing frequency assignments of administration XYZ that may be concerned (frequency overlap) and does not perform any calculation in this respect. In the majority of the procedures, this is one-way compatibility (from the incoming assignment of administration ABC towards the existing assignments of administration

² These considerations are limited to the terrestrial services.

³ The RR contains definitions of the terms "coordination area", "coordination contour" and "coordination distance" (see Nos. 1.171, 1.172 and 1.173 of the RR).

XYZ), but the same conclusion is drawn for the rare procedures that involve two-way compatibility assessment.

2.1 Administrative declarations

The concept of administrative declarations is described in § 5.3.1.1.1.5 of the RRC04 report.

2.1.1 Course of action for the first planning exercise

The concept of administrative declarations, as implemented for the first planning exercise (see Addendum 1 to CR/229) provided for the possibility for a given administration (ABC) to declare unilaterally that, for a given requirement identified in the administrative declaration, it accepts the interference from another administration's requirement (XYZ) also identified in the administrative declaration irrespective of the results from the compatibility analysis. Similarly, each administration has the possibility to declare as compatible two of its own requirements (irrespective of the results of the calculations). Any such declaration is equivalent to the statement that the two requirements indicated in the administrative declaration could share the same channel. In a similar manner as described above it was possible to submit an administrative declaration indicating an incompatibility. Such a declaration resulted in an indication to the synthesis process that two requirements could not share the same channel or frequency block irrespective of the results of the compatibility analysis.

2.2 Course of actions for the draft plan with regard to administrative declarations

Administrative declarations are used to indicate, irrespective of the results of the compatibility calculations, that:

- a given digital broadcasting requirement and another digital broadcasting requirement are compatible. This is equivalent to declaring that the two digital requirements can share a channel or a frequency block; or
- a given digital broadcasting requirement and an analogue TV assignment, or an assignment of other primary terrestrial services are compatible. This is equivalent to declaring that the requirement may use a particular channel or a frequency block.

An administrative declaration between two requirements or between a requirement and an assignment (analogue television or other services) included in the reference situation means the two requirements are compatible or the requirement and the assignment are compatible.

2.2.1 Submission of administrative declarations (bi-lateral and self declarations) for requirement versus requirement

Administrative declarations could be submitted once the complete set of input requirements from the administrations concerned are validated and posted on the BR web site.

Administrative declarations should be submitted before 27 January 2006 to be taken into account when producing the draft plan. Additional administrative declarations to be taken into account in the publication of additional synthesis results should be submitted before 20 March 2006 (see Annex 18 of Document IPG-1/51).

In the case where both requirements belong to the same administration, the administrative declarations (self declaration) could be sent as soon as the input requirements from the administration concerned are validated.

2.2.2 Submission of administrative declarations for requirement versus analogue television assignments and/or OS assignments

Administrative declarations for these cases could only be submitted once the complete reference situation is established and the digital requirements from the administration concerned are validated

and posted on the BR web site (i.e. after 31 January 2006). However, the major part of the reference situation will be known well before the indicated date.

- 5 -CR/246-E

2.2.3 Updating of administrative declaration

The BR is requested to update the list of administrative declarations provided by administrations after their validation and post it on its web site.

2.3 Coordination of existing digital assignments as contained in ST61 and GE89

For these cases, where administrations submit their digital requirements stemming from the successful applications of the procedures contained in ST61 and GE89, the following fields defined in CR/242 could be used to indicate the coordination status:

Extract from CR/242 for COORD_A and COORD_O:

- "
 - "t_adm in COORD_A": Optional. Administration with which coordination in relation to analogue assignments to broadcasting services was successfully completed for the requirement with one specific channel/frequency block identified. The coordination subsection contains multiple occurrences of administration codes. Administration codes shall correspond to ITU's administration symbols.
- "t_adm in COORD_O": Optional. Administration with which coordination in relation with assignments to primary services "other than broadcasting" was successfully completed for the requirement with one specific channel/frequency block identified. The coordination sub-section contains multiple occurrences of administration codes. Administration codes shall correspond to ITU's administration symbols.

In addition, it is recommended to use the "t_remarks" field:

The convention "t_remarks = 1.7.1" is used to indicate that the notified requirement corresponds to an existing digital broadcasting assignment (applies only to DT1 notices).

3 Scope of administrative declarations

The scope of administrative declarations is as follows:

- Administrative declarations can be supplied to the Bureau either prior to compatibility calculations or after reviewing the results of compatibility analysis (see section 2.2.1 and 2.2.2). All administrative declarations will be used in the same way, e.g. to declare compatibility between the requirements/assignments in order to remove constraints on the synthesis.
- A particular declaration is only valid if it refers to requirements/assignments submitted before the date of receipt of the declaration itself. Any modification to either of the requirements/assignments in the pair renders the corresponding declaration invalid.
- Administrative declarations should be understood by the Bureau to mean that the administration recognizes this incompatibility but wishes it to be ignored. A commitment on the part of administrations to accept the incompatibility is given automatically with the declaration itself.
- It should be noted that an administrative declaration provides an administrative solution to a technical problem: it declares that a calculated incompatibility in the compatibility assessment process is to be ignored in the subsequent synthesis process, but it does not eliminate the technical incompatibility, if such incompatibility really exists.

• Administrative declarations are preliminary agreements and they will only become permanent if used to establish the new plan. Administrative declarations may also be used in cases where both requirements belong to the same administration.

4 Withdrawal of administrative declarations

There is a close connection between the administrative declarations and the submission of new or modified requirements. Any modification to either of the requirements/assignments in the pair renders the corresponding declaration invalid. New administrative declarations should be provided when new or modified requirements are submitted.

It may therefore also be necessary to allow the withdrawal of administrative declarations as long as new or modified requirements may be submitted. In order not to negatively impact the final result of the planning it may be necessary to limit this possibility to the time before the last analysis.

In addition to the final date for the possibility to withdraw administrative declarations there will also be a need to agree on a deadline for submitting and withdrawing administrative declarations for each run of the planning software during the conference. This date should be between the end of the analysis and the start of the synthesis.

The implementation of the procedures described above need to be developed in detail.

If administrations are requested to submit a complete set of requirements for each planning (both for the development of the draft plan and during RRC-06) there will also be a need to submit a complete set of administrative declarations after the submission of the requirements.

5 Content of administrative declarations

There will be no need to record any bilateral agreement that results in modified requirements which are then compatible as calculated by the planning software.

If the bilateral discussion results in an agreement without changing the requirements, or changing them in such a way that they are still incompatible as calculated by the planning software, there is a need to submit administrative declarations to override the incompatibilities.

There is no need to record any information about administrative declarations after the completion of the planning at the ITU. The recording of bilateral agreements for other purposes will need to be considered by RPG when developing Articles 4 and 5 of the new agreement.

A paired administrative declaration may cover the following cases:

- one digital requirement from one administration versus one digital requirement from another administration;
- one digital requirement from one administration versus one analogue television assignment from another administration;
- one digital requirement from one administration versus one other service assignment from another administration.

In addition to the above paired declaration there is a need for declarations covering sets of requirements/assignments in the case where one requirement from one administration needs to be made compatible with all requirements/assignments from another administration:

- one digital requirement from one administration versus all digital requirements from another administration;
- one digital requirement from one administration versus all analogue television assignments from another administration;

• one digital requirement from one administration versus all other service assignments from another administration.

This also leads to generate declarations for:

- all digital requirements from one administration versus all digital requirements from another administration;
- all digital requirements from one administration versus all analogue television assignments from another administration;
- all digital requirements from one administration versus all other service assignments from another administration.

In addition to the above declarations between two different administrations, declarations could be developed for internal declaration (self declaration) for one administration, to remove incompatibilities that could be found between requirements and requirements/assignments belonging to the same administration.

6 Proposed new mechanism for administrative declarations

A mechanism for administrative declarations shall allow administrations to submit information on their agreements as well as about further concessions made upon reviewing results of compatibility analysis and plan synthesis. Administrative declarations are used solely to remove constraints on the synthesis and not to modify the requirements.

It should be noted that an incompatibility can be overridden only subject to consent of the administration responsible for a requirement or an assignment to analogue television or other services, which is a potential victim of interference.

Administrative declarations are required also for cases where both requirements belong to the same administration.

A distinction should be made between the form used by administrations to supply administrative declarations to the Bureau and the format used by the planning software to take the administrative declarations into account. The latter is considered to be internal to the Bureau and therefore not addressed in this proposal.

Administrations may supply a declaration stating that two requirements (or a requirement and an assignment to analogue television or other services) in the pair are compatible. The main implication of such a declaration is that two requirements could be assigned the same frequency in the synthesis process.

NOTE – This does not necessarily mean that these two requirements will get the same frequency.

6.1 Information necessary for administrative declarations

The following is considered to be the minimum information required for an administrative declaration to be valid:

- country code of the administration submitting the administrative declaration;
- unique identifier of the requirement/assignment under the responsibility of the administration which submits the administrative declaration;
- country code of the administration responsible for the other requirement/assignment involved in the administrative declaration;
- unique identifier of the other requirement/assignment;

• optional remark column indicating that there are special conditions in the agreement between the administrations.

The BR may create a unique identifier for any valid administrative declaration.

A possible format for paired administrative declaration is provided in Appendix 1 to this Annex.

6.2 Procedure of submission of administrative declarations

The following procedure is proposed:

Each administration shall create one single file containing all internal declarations and declarations concerning other administrations' requirements and analogue television assignments and other primary services.

Each declaration shall be agreed by both administrations (unless it is an internal declaration) and it implies that the requirements (or assignments to analogue television or other services) are compatible.

Administrations will send their file to the BR before the deadlines indicated in section 2 (see also Annex 18 of Document IPG1-51). The BR will cross-check the submitted administrative declarations from all administrations and will maintain only those declarations that are submitted by both administrations concerned. All other declarations, unless they are internal declarations, will be excluded from the concerned stage of the planning process.

As soon as the BR receives a file from an administration, it will indicate so on the ITU website. The content of the received file will be published. After the deadline, the BR will publish the declarations that were excluded from the concerned stage of the planning process.

Any change to one or more previously agreed declarations will require a new submission of the complete files by both administrations. For example if administration A submit new administrative declarations containing requirements/assignments belonging to administrations B and C. Then, administration A, B and C will have to send to the BR files containing all their administrative declarations. For internal declarations only the concerned administration has to submit a new file.

Before sending their files to the BR, there is a need to have some exchanges between administrations to ensure that the files which are provided to the BR, contain effectively all administrative declarations from the administrations concerned. Bi-lateral administrative declarations will only be taken into account if they are submitted by both administrations concerned and received by the BR before the dates indicated in sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.2.

6.3 Further considerations

The administrative declarations should be submitted in electronic format (see section 7).

The unique identifier of a requirement should not be changed during the planning process as long as this requirement remains unchanged. This information is essential for the purpose of coordination with other administrations and publication of results.

6.3.1 Administrative declarations involving two different countries

As mentioned in section 5, the administrative declarations need to cover the cases of one or more than one digital requirements from one administration versus one or more than one requirements/assignments from another administration (digital requirement or analogue television assignments or other services assignment). This could be implemented by providing a set of pairwise administrative declarations in the same file following the format given in Appendix 1 to this Annex.

6.3.1.1 Global declarations

From the above considerations (see also section 5), it follows that, in some situations, many individual (pair-wise) administrative declarations could be replaced with a kind of global declaration, with equivalent effect as many or several individual (pair-wise) administrative declarations, thus reducing substantively the number of individual administrative declarations.

In the case of a "global" declaration involving all requirements/assignments from a given administration, the BR may need to investigate the possibility to simplify the administrative declarations in the following manner. One possible option will be to provide in the administrative declarations the ITU Administration code of the administration concerned and an indicator for the type of systems concerned (digital requirements versus digital requirements and/or analogue television assignment and/or assignment of other services) instead of providing the whole list of paired requirements.

6.3.1.1.1 Global declarations – One to All

Among the cases listed in section 5, the following cases need to be addressed:

- one digital requirement from one administration versus all digital requirements from another administration;
- one digital requirement from one administration versus all analogue television assignments from another administration;
- one digital requirement from one administration versus all other service assignments from another administration.

Adm1	Fragment	Identifier of the requirement	Adm2	Element	Declaration
ABC	RC06	ABC_001	XYZ	All requirements	Global compatibility
ABC	RC06	ABC_001	XYZ	All analogue TV assignments	Global compatibility
ABC	RC06	ABC_001	XYZ	All assignments to other services	Global compatibility

The possible global declarations in this respect could be formulated as follows:

Here, as well, each global declaration would be valid only if submitted by both administrations ABC and XYZ.

6.3.1.1.2 Global declarations – All to All

Among the cases listed in section 5, the following cases need to be addressed:

- all digital requirements (or all assignments to analogue television, or all assignments to other primary services) from one administration versus all digital requirements from another administration;
- all digital requirements from one administration versus all analogue television assignments from another administration;
- all digital requirements from one administration versus all other service assignments from another administration.

- 10 -CR/246-E

Adm1	Element	Adm2	Element	Declaration
ABC	All requirements	XYZ	All requirements	Global compatibility
ABC	All requirements	XYZ	All analogue TV assignments	Global compatibility
ABC	All requirements	XYZ	All assignments to other services	Global compatibility
ABC	All analogue TV assignments	XYZ	All requirements	Global compatibility
ABC	All assignments to other services	XYZ	All requirements	Global compatibility

The possible global declarations in this respect could be formulated as follows:

According to the previous considerations and the decisions of the IPG-1, each global declaration would be valid only if submitted by both administrations ABC and XYZ.

6.3.1.1.3 Guidance when using global declarations between two administrations

Global administrative declarations should be used only in cases where:

- all requirements/assignments are known to be compatible due to, for example, additional attenuation not taken into account by the planning software;
- or/and administrations have investigated the compatibilities between the different requirements and notified a single channel per requirement (which may be different from one requirement to another).

The administrative declaration "One to All" may be used in the case of neighboring countries (or non-neighboring countries which are not geographically too distant, or which are not separated with a physical obstacle), there may be full compatibility for one or a part of the requirements of one administration with the totality of the requirements (as well as with the totality of the analogue television broadcasting assignment and the totality of other primary services assignments) of the other administration, irrespective of the assigned channels.

The global administrative declaration "All to All" should only be used in the following cases:

- for non-neighbouring countries, which are either geographically distant or separated with a physical obstacle, there may be full and mutual compatibility of all requirements of these two administrations, irrespective of the acceptable channels;
- when the totality of the requirements are mutually coordinated between two administrations. In this case, the requirements of both administrations would need to be associated with a specific acceptable channel or frequency block (i.e. no indication of a range of acceptable channels/frequency blocks).

6.3.1.2 Summary of the possible declarations between two administrations

Appendix 2 to this Annex provides a summary of all possible cases to be covered by declarations between two different administrations.

6.3.2 Self declaration

As mentioned in section 5, in addition to the above declarations between two different administrations, declarations should be developed to remove incompatibilities that could be found

between requirements and requirements/assignments belonging to the same administration (self declaration).

However, the scope of global declarations should be limited in case of self declaration since if some requirements from the same countries are notified with more than one acceptable channel (i.e. the VHF or UHF channel range) and if a "global" administrative declaration concerning these requirements is provided to the BR, then all these requirements will be considered as compatible by the planning software. This implies that all these requirements may be assigned with the same channel/frequency block. This may make the deployment of the networks impracticable within the concerned country since in reality these requirements will not be compatible together.

In addition when using the self declaration, administrations need to consider that an unbalanced access to frequency resources might arise, if, for example, an administration protects some other primary services (OS) in the production of the plan. Digital requirements of other administrations might be prevented to use the same frequency as the OS. If within one country digital input requirements are declared compatible with the protected primary other services, those requirements may be able to use the frequencies blocked by the OS and, as a consequence, gain privileged access to the spectrum.

Appendix 3 to this Annex provides a summary of the possible cases to be covered by self declarations.

7 Electronic format of the administrative declarations

7.1 Administrations should have the possibility of providing the administrative declarations in two options:

Option 1: providing a flat text file, one line per administrative declaration using a "semi-colon" as delimiter between data items.

Option 2: providing a MS Excel file in a format described on the ITU web site.

7.2 The administrative declaration files submitted in formats other than those described above will be disregarded.

8 Additional course of actions to be taken by the BR

It should be noted that the Display Tool developed by the BR allows generating administrative declarations containing all the requirements from a given administration, which may suffer from interference from requirements/assignments belonging to other administrations. This tool may be used when preparing additional administrative declarations in the preparation of the draft plan (i.e. based on the results of the analyses to be provided after 31 January 2006).

The BR is requested to investigate the possibility to develop a tool to generate the administrative declarations to be submitted before 27 January 2006.

Appendices: 3

Appendix 1 to Annex 1

Proposed format for pair-wise administrative declarations

Data item	Description
Administration code of the administration which submits the administrative declaration	Maximum of three characters, in accordance with the list of symbols for administrations used within ITU.
Indication of the "fragment" for the	One of the following values:
requirement/assignment under the responsibility of the administration which	RC06 (for a digital broadcasting requirement)
submits the administrative declaration	ST61 (for an analogue TV assignment which appears in the updated version of the ST61 Plan with a reference date of 31 October 2005)
	GE89 (for an analogue TV assignment which appears in the updated version of the GE89 Plan with a reference date of 31 October 2005)
	NTFD_RR (for an analogue TV assignment which appeared in the MIFR prior to 31 December 1989 and was eligible for inclusion in the reference situation)
	PLN_EXT (for an analogue TV assignment which appears in the updated version of the RCC list and was successfully coordinated by 31 October 2005)
	NTFD_RR (for an assignment of other primary terrestrial service and was eligible for inclusion in the reference situation)
"Identifier" of the requirement/assignment under the responsibility of the	For digital broadcasting requirement, the "identifier" has to correspond to the administration's unique identifier (see CR/242).
administration which submits the administrative declaration	For an analogue TV assignment or an assignment of other primary terrestrial services the "identifier" has to correspond to the BR assignment identifier (assign_id). With respect to the analogue TV assignments this information is to be retrieved from the following BR databases: the updated version of the ST61 Plan (if the fragment = ST61), the updated version of the GE89 Plan (if the fragment = GE89); the MIFR if the concerned analogue TV assignment appeared in the MIFR before 31 December 1989 and is eligible for inclusion in the reference situation (if the fragment = NTFD_RR), the updated version of the RCC List (if the fragment = PLN_EXT). With respect to the assignments of other primary terrestrial services, this information is to be retrieved from the MIFR (associated fragment = NTFD_RR).
Administration code of the administration responsible for the other requirement/assignment involved in the administrative declaration	Maximum of three characters, in accordance with the list of symbols for administrations used within ITU. In case of internal administrative declaration, this is the code of the submitting administration.

Table 1

Data item	Description
Indication of the "fragment" for the other	One of the following values:
requirement/assignment involved in the administrative declaration	RC06 (for a digital broadcasting requirement)
	ST61 (for an analogue TV assignment which appears in the updated version of the ST61 Plan with a reference date of 31 October 2005)
	GE89 (for an analogue TV assignment which appears in the updated version of the GE89 Plan with a reference date of 31 October 2005)
	NTFD_RR (for an analogue TV assignment which appeared in the MIFR prior to 31 December 1989 and was eligible for inclusion in the reference situation)
	PLN_EXT (for an analogue TV assignment which appears in the updated version of the RCC list and was successfully coordinated by 31 October 2005)
	NTFD_RR (for an assignment of other primary terrestrial service and was eligible for inclusion in the reference situation)
"Identifier" of the other requirement/assignment involved in the	For a digital broadcasting requirement, the "identifier" has to correspond to the administration's unique identifier (see CR/242) ;
administrative declaration	For an analogue TV assignment or assignment of other primary terrestrial services the "identifier" has to correspond to the BR assignment identifier (assign_id). With respect to the analogue TV assignments this information is to be retrieved from the following BR databases: the updated version of the ST61 Plan (if the fragment = ST61), the updated version of the GE89 Plan (if the fragment = GE89); the MIFR if the concerned analogue TV assignment appeared in the MIFR before 31 December 1989 and is eligible for inclusion in the reference situation (if the fragment = NTFD_RR), the updated version of the RCC List (if the fragment = PLN_EXT). With respect to the assignments of other primary terrestrial services, this information is to be retrieved from the MIFR (associated fragment = NTFD_RR).
Optional remark	Any information in the ISO 8859-1 (Latin-1) coded character set; this information is not validated by the BR, limited to 250 characters

Appendix 2 to Annex 1

Summary information regarding the application of administrative declarations between two different administrations

NOTE 1: Item 1 (digital requirement) and Item 2 (digital requirement, or assignment in the analogue TV broadcasting service, or assignment in other primary terrestrial services (OS)) are from two different administrations.

	Item 1		Item 2				Number of acceptable
Case	Dig. req	Dig. Req	Analogue TV ass.	OS	Remark	Administrative declaration	channels/frequency blocks for the digital requirement
1	One	One	-	-	-	Yes	1 or more
2	One	All	-	-	-	Yes (NOTE 2)	1 or more
3	All	All	-	-	-	Yes (NOTE 2)	1 or more
4	One	-	One	-	-	Yes	1 or more
5	One	-	All	-	4	Yes (NOTE 2)	1 or more
6	All	-	All	-	-	Yes (NOTE 2)	1 or more
7	One	-	-	One	-	Yes	1 or more
8	One	-	-	All	5	Yes (NOTE 2)	1 or more
9	All	-	-	All	-	Yes (NOTE 2)	1 or more
10	One	-	All	All	-	Yes (NOTE 2)	1 or more
11	All	All	All	All	-	Yes (<i>NOTE 2 AND</i> <i>NOTE 3</i>)	1 or more

NOTE 2: when using global declarations between two different administrations, administrations should consider the guidance given in section 6.3.1.

NOTE 3: there may be a need for several global declarations

⁴ The pre-coordination information may also be submitted using data item "t_adm" under sub-section "COORD_A" in the submitted notice of requirement (item 1).

⁵ The pre-coordination information may also be submitted using data item "t_adm" under sub-section "COORD_O" in the submitted notice of requirement (item 1).

Appendix 3 to Annex 1

Summary information regarding the application of the concept of administrative declarations for declaring internal compatibilities (self declaration)

NOTE 1: Item 1 (digital requirement) and Item 2 (digital requirement, or assignment in the analogue TV broadcasting service, or assignment in other primary terrestrial services (OS)) are from the same administration.

	Item 1		Item 2				Number of acceptable
Case	Dig. req	Dig. req	Analogue TV ass.	OS	Remark	Administrative declaration	channels/frequency blocks for the digital requirement
1	One	One			-	Yes	1 or more
2	One	All			-	Yes (NOTE 2)	1
3	All	All			-	Yes (NOTE 2)	1
4	One		One		-	Yes	1 or more
5	One		All		-	Yes (NOTE 2)	1 or more
6	All		All		-	Yes (NOTE 2)	1 or more
7	One			One	-	Yes	1 or more
8	One			All	-	Yes (NOTE 2)	1 or more
9	All			All	-	Yes (NOTE 2)	1 or more
10	One		All	All	6	_	1 or more
11	All	All	All	All	-	Yes (NOTE 2 AND NOTE 3)	1

NOTE 2: when using global self administrative declarations, administrations should consider the guidance given in section 6.3.2.

NOTE 3: there may be a need for several global declarations.

⁶ The internal compatibility information may also be submitted using data item "rrc_self_coord" in the notice of the requirement (item 1).