

INTERNATIONAL TELECOMMUNICATION UNION TELECOMMUNICATION DEVELOPMENT BUREAU

WORLD TELECOMMUNICATION DEVELOPMENT CONFERENCE (WTDC-98)

Valletta, Malta, 23 March - 1 April 1998

Corrigendum 1 to Document 12-E 20 February 1998 Original: English

For action

Agenda item: 1.7

PLENARY MEETING

Director of the BDT

SPECIAL ACTIONS FOR LDCs

1 Please replace paragraph 1.3 and Table 1.1 by the following text:

1.3 List of LDCs

The table overpage on Table 1.1 contains a list of 48 LDCs as currently defined by the UNGA. As from 1991, the list is updated only every three years. The updating in 1994 saw the "graduation" of Botswana and the inclusion of Angola and Eritrea. The revision of the list in December 1997 has brought about the surveillance of Cape Verde, Maldives and Western Samoa, and the continued surveillance of Vanuatu at the request of its government for graduation in the year 2000.

Glancing through the list one might think that some of the countries in it should never have been on the list because of their excellent endowment with natural resources, but external/internal factors such as civil strife, economic and/or natural disasters may have been principal factors at play to push them into the list of the LDCs. For the latest criteria for "inclusion" and "graduation" of countries into and from the list as devised by ECOSOC's Committee for Development Planning please refer to Annex 1 to this document.

- 2 -CMDT98/12(Corr.1)-E

TABLE 1.1

Countries	Africa	Americas	Asia & Pacific	Arab States	Year of Entry		
Afghanistan			Х		1971		
Angola	Х				1994		
Bangladesh			Х		1975		
Benin	Х				1971		
Bhutan			Х		1971		
Burkina Faso	Х				1971		
Burundi	Х				1971		
Cambodia			Х		1991		
Cape Verde	Х				1977		
Central African Republic	Х				1975		
Chad	Х				1971		
Comoros	Х				1977		
Djibouti				Х	1982		
Equatorial Guinea	Х				1982		
Eritrea	X				1994		
Ethiopia	X				1971		
Gambia	X				1975		
Guinea	X				1973		
Guinea Bissau	X				1981		
Haiti	21	Х			1971		
Kiribati			Х		1986		
Lao (PDR)			X		1980		
Lesotho	Х		Λ		1971		
Liberia	X				1990		
Madagascar	X				1990		
Malawi	X				1991		
Maldives	Λ		Х		1971		
Mali	Х		Λ		1971		
Mauritania	Λ			Х	1971		
	Х			Λ	1988		
Mozambique	Λ		V				
Myanmar			X X		1987		
Nepal	V		Х		1971		
Niger	X				1971		
Rwanda	X				1971		
Sao Tomé & Principe	X				1982		
Sierra Leone	Х				1982		
Solomon Islands			Х		1991		
Somalia				X	1971		
Sudan	**			Х	1971		
Tanzania	Х				1971		
Togo	Х				1982		
Tuvalu			Х		1986		
Uganda	Х				1971		
Vanuatu			X		1985		
Western Samoa			Х		1971		
Yemen				Х	1971		
Congo (DR ex. Zaire)	Х				1991		
Zambia	X				1991		
Total 48	29	1	13	5			

- 3 -CMDT98/12(Corr.1)-E

2 Please replace Table 2.2 by the following text:

TABLE 2.2

	TOTAL FELLOWSHIPS					ТО	TOTAL FELLOWSHIPS		
COUNTRY	1994	1995	1996	1997	COUNTRY	1994	1995	1996	1
Africa					Asia & Pacific				
Angola	6	12	14	3	Afghanistan	0	6	4	
Benin	16	21	20	19	Bangladesh	9	22	12	
Botswana	4	0	0	0	Bhutan	15	24	11	
Burkina Faso	17	19	24	19	Cambodia	16	24	53	
Burundi	23	15	8	15	Kiribati	3	4	3	
Cape Verde	27	12	11	14	Lao	27	36	41	
Central African Rep	13	12	17	14	Maldives	8	20	11	
Chad	14	12	18	18	Myanmar	3	10	7	
Comoros	10	10	15	17	Nepal	11	27	22	
Congo (DR ex. Zaire)	5	12	11	17	Solomon Islands	1	5	0	
Equatorial Guinea	7	9	9	12	Tuvalu	4	5	2	
Eritrea	2	9	10	8	Vanuatu	1	1	0	
Ethiopia	12	17	22	21	Western Samoa	3	7	2	
Gambia	8	14	12	12					
Guinea	12	17	19	18	Total ASP	101	191	168	
Guinea-Bissau	10	8	11	8					
Lesotho	8	10	12	5	<u>Americas</u>				
Liberia	9	8	3	4	Haiti	0	13	20	
Madagascar	10	8	10	7					
Malawi	10	7	14	14	Total AM	0	13	20	
Mali	31	24	50	30					
Mozambique	18	17	22	13	<u>Arab States</u>				
Niger	16	11	13	17	Djibouti	21	20	36	
Rwanda	3	9	11	11	Mauritania	19	15	22	
Sao Tomé & Principe	12	8	11	11	Somalia	0	0	0	
Sierra Leone	8	12	18	3	Sudan	15	11	21	
Tanzania	14	15	20	13	Yemen	8	10	14	
Togo	12	11	10	10					
Uganda	12	18	21	16	Total AR	63	56	93	
Zambia	15	13	10	15					
Total AFR	364	370	446	369	TOTAL LDCs	528	630	727	
					As if LDCs				
					Namibia	6	8	13	
					Senegal	6 11	8 19	13 19	
					Nicaragua	4	19	19 7	
						4	U	/	
					Total "As if LDCs"	21	27	39	

3 Please replace paragraph 2.6 by the following text:

2.6 Success stories

Any LDC whose average ML density has exceeded 1 in 100 countrywide and 5 in 100 population in cities may be considered as having attained reasonably good penetration of telecommunication services which permits easy access to these services. In fact any country aspiring to graduate from the list of LDCs must first closely approach the above ML density criteria.

Botswana which graduated from the list of LDCs in 1994 is a typical success story, with an ML density of 4 in 100 countrywide and 16/100 in Gaborone, its capital city. The network penetration in rural areas is remarkably good with virtually all towns and remote business concerns being linked to a modern and reliable network.

The Pacific Islands (Vanuatu, Kiribati, Solomon Islands, Western Samoa) have also developed remarkably good networks despite the onerous problem of extending services to hundreds of small, remote and sparsely populated islands/atolls strewn over large areas. The average ML density for these islands range from 1.73 to 5.04 although such densities are mitigated by the relatively small populations. **Vanuatu** which should have been removed from the LDC list in December 1997 has a ML density of 2.57 while Cape Verde, Maldives and Western Samoa which will "graduate" in 2000 have ML densities of 4.7, 6.47 and 4.62 respectively.

Resolution 1 of WTDC-94 expressed concern over the rapidly increasing number of LDCs. It is noted with satisfaction that the trend has been halted and is being reversed. The economic upturn in graduating LDCs is being led by rapid telecommunication network growth. The ML density is now widely regarded as a better economic indicator than the GDP per capita, giving wider acceptance to the assertion that **telecommunication is development**. It is expected that the number of LDCs should fall to around 40 by the year 2003 under the current criteria for listing of LDCs.

4 Please replace paragraph 5 of Annex 1 by the following text:

5 1997 Review

Under the 1997 review, the cut off points were: GDP per capita > \$US 865, APQLI wither > 52 or EDI > 29. Vanuatu having met these criteria in 1994 and remains well above the threshold for both GDP and APQLI, it had been recommended for immediate graduation in 1997, but at the request of its government it will be retained until 2000, while Cape Verde, Maldives and Western Samoa are recommended for graduation at the time of the next review in 2000 provided that they continue to meet the appropriate criteria at that time. Myanmar appeared to meet the criteria for graduation but the CDP considered that the GDP data did not accurately reflect the economic situation in that country and therefore recommended that it be retained in the list for a further review in 2000.

Cameroon met the criteria in part for inclusion in the list of LDCs but for reasons given in the CDP report, it was decided not to recommend it to the UNGA for inclusion.