RECOMMENDATION ITU-R F.635-5

RADIO-FREQUENCY CHANNEL ARRANGEMENTS BASED ON A HOMOGENEOUS PATTERN FOR RADIO-RELAY SYSTEMS OPERATING IN THE 4 GHz BAND

(Question ITU-R 136/9)

(1986-1990-1992-1995-1997-1999)

The ITU Radiocommunication Assembly,

considering

a)   that high-capacity digital radio-relay systems of the order of 90 Mbit/s, 140 Mbit/s or synchronous digital hierarchy bit rates are required in the 4 GHz radio-frequency (RF) bands;

b)   that the lower band limits of the 4 GHz RF bands are not uniform and vary internationally from 3 400 to 3 800 MHz;

c)   that efficient use of bands of different width can be achieved by RF channel arrangements matched to the width of the band available;

d)   that a high degree of compatibility between RF channels of different arrangements can be achieved by selecting all channel centre frequencies from a uniform basic pattern;

e)   that the centre gaps of the individual channel arrangements and the guard spacing at the edges of the band can be chosen by non-occupancy of a suitable number of RF-channel positions in a homogeneous basic pattern;

f)   that the uniform basic pattern spacing should not be unjustifiably small (i.e. the number of RF-channel positions too high) nor so large as to jeopardize efficient use of the available spectrum;

g)   that the absolute frequencies of the basic pattern should be defined by a single reference frequency;

h)   that single- and multi-carrier digital radio-relay systems are both useful concepts to achieve the best technical and economic trade-off in the system design,

recommends

1   that the preferred RF channel arrangement for high-capacity digital radio-relay systems of the order of 90 Mbit/s, 140 Mbit/s or synchronous digital hierarchy bit rates (see Note 1), operating in the 4 GHz band (see Note 1), should be selected from a homogeneous pattern with the following characteristics.

Centre frequencies f_n of the RF channels within the basic pattern

$$f_n = 4\,200 - 10\,m \qquad \text{MHz} \tag{1}$$

where:

m : integral number depending on available frequency band: 1, 2, 3, . . . (see Note 2);

2   that all the go channels should be in one half of the band and all the return channels should be in the other half of the band;

3   that the channel spacing XS, the centre gap YS, the guard spaces Z_1S and Z_2S at the edges of the band and the antenna polarization should be agreed between the administrations concerned;

4 that the alternated or co-channel arrangement plan should be used, examples of which are shown in Fig. 1 (see also Note 3);

5 that if multi-carrier transmission (see Note 4 and Annex 1, § 5) is employed, the overall number of n carriers will be regarded as a single channel, the centre frequency and channel spacing of which will be that defined according to Fig. 1, disregarding the actual centre frequencies of the individual carriers, which may vary, for technical reasons, according to practical implementations.

NOTE 1 – Actual gross bit rates including overhead may be as much as 5% or more higher than net transmission rates.

NOTE 2 – Due regard should be taken of the fact that in some countries where additional RF channels interleaved between those of the main patterns are required, the values of the centre frequencies of these RF channels should be 5 MHz below those of the corresponding main channel centre frequencies as shown in the following equation:

$$f_n = 4\,195 - 10\,m \qquad \text{MHz}$$

NOTE 3 – Due regard should be taken of the fact that in some countries the band 3 700-4 200 MHz is used. A RF channel arrangement, using this band and based on the homogeneous pattern is given in Annex 1, § 4.

NOTE 4 – A multi-carrier system is a system with n (where $n > 1$) digitally modulated carrier signals simultaneously transmitted (or received) by the same RF equipment. The centre frequency should be regarded as the arithmetic average of the n individual carrier frequencies of the multi-carrier system.

FIGURE 1

Examples of channel arrangements based on *recommends* 1 and 2
(For definitions of *X*, *Y*, *Z* and *S* see Recommendation ITU-R F.746)


0635-01

ANNEX 1

Frequency arrangements derived from a homogeneous frequency pattern for the 4 GHz band

RF channel arrangements derived from *recommends* 1 for the 4 GHz band are described below.

1 90 MHz co-channel RF channel arrangements

The radio channelling plan shown in Fig. 2 for the frequency band 3 600-4 200 MHz is based upon the use of 140 Mbit/s systems employing reduced bandwidth quaternary phase shift keyed (RB 4-PSK) modulation.

FIGURE 2

Radio-frequency channel arrangements for the 4 GHz band

(All frequencies in MHz)

Symbol rate = 74 MBd
$X = 1.22$
$Y = 1.89$
$Z = 0.68$

0635-02

2 60 MHz RF channel arrangements

Table 1 describes RF channel arrangements for the band 3 600-4 200 MHz which are used for 16-QAM or 256-QAM systems.

The frequency channel arrangements for the 3-carrier transmission method are used for both the 16-QAM system and the 256-QAM system. The 16-QAM system transmits 155 Mbit/s in a 60 MHz bandwidth using 3 carriers and attains a spectrum utilization efficiency of 5 bit/s/Hz with cross-polarization. The 256-QAM system transmits 2×155 Mbit/s in a 60 MHz bandwidth using 3 carriers and attains a spectrum utilization efficiency of 10 bit/s/Hz with cross-polarization.

TABLE 1

RF channel arrangements for the 4 GHz band

Modulation (capacity per channel)	16-QAM (STM-1)	16-QAM (STM-1) 256-QAM ($2 \times$ STM-1)	256-QAM ($2 \times$ STM-1)[1]
Frequency band (MHz)	3 600-4 200	3 600-4 200	3 600-4 200
Centre frequency of the band f_0 (MHz)	3 900	3 900	3 900
Centre frequency of the carriers f_n (MHz)	$f_0 \pm (80 + 60\,n)$ $n = 0, 1, 2, 3$	$f_0 \pm 20\,n$ $n = 1, 2, \ldots 14$	$f_0 \pm (15 + 10\,n)$ $n = 0, 1, \ldots 27$
Interleaved or co-channel	Co-channel	Co-channel	Co-channel
Transmission method	Single carrier transmission method	3-carrier transmission method (20 MHz bandwidth/carrier)	6-carrier transmission method (10 MHz bandwidth/carrier)
Number of channels	8	10[2]	10[2]
Channel bandwidth XS (MHz) X	60 1.54	60 1.54	60 1.54
Centre gap YS (MHz) Y	160 4.12	60 1.54	60 1.54
Guard space ZS (MHz) Z	40 1.03	40 1.03	40 1.03

[1]   This arrangement is applicable to hops under very severe propagation conditions.

[2]   The capacity of the innermost radio-frequency channels is limited to two-thirds of the full capacity.

3   40 MHz alternated RF channel arrangement

In countries where the lower band limit is 3 600 MHz, the following frequency arrangement ensures the compatibility between 140 Mbit/s 16-QAM radio systems and 155 Mbit/s or 2×155 Mbit/s radio systems with a suitable higher level modulation and spectrum efficiency up to 7.25 bit/s/Hz. The frequency channel arrangement shown in Fig. 3 gives an alternated pattern of 7 go and 7 return channels with centre frequencies as follows:

f_n: centre frequency of one RF channel in the go (return) channel of the band (MHz)

$f_n =$ 4 200 – 10 m, where $m = 58, 54, 50, 46, 42, 38, 34$

f'_n: centre frequency of one RF channel in the return (go) channel of the band (MHz)

$f'_n =$ 4 200 – 10 m, where $m = 26, 22, 18, 14, 10, 6, 2$.

The frequency channel arrangements for the 6-carrier transmission method are used for the 256-QAM systems. These systems transmit 2×155 Mbit/s in a 60 MHz bandwidth using 6 carriers and are applicable to hops under very severe propagation conditions.

In these 3 or 6-carrier systems, a single antenna can be shared for transmitting and receiving signals. A cross-polarization interference canceller is also employed.

FIGURE 3

Radio-frequency channel arrangement for the 4 GHz band

(All frequencies in MHz)

4 **Description of the RF channel arrangement for the band 3.7-4.2 GHz**

4.1 The RF channel arrangement for a band 500 MHz wide and for up to six go and six return channels (Group 1) and an interleaved pattern of six go and six return channels (Group 2), each accommodating up to 1 260 telephone channels or the equivalent, or up to 2×45 Mbit/s, is shown in Fig. 4 and is derived as follows:

let f_r be the frequency of the lower edge of the band of frequencies occupied (MHz),

f_n be the centre frequency of one RF channel in the go (return) channel of the band (MHz),

f_n' be the centre frequency of one RF channel in the return (go) channel of the band (MHz),

then the frequencies of individual channels are expressed by the following relationships:

Group 1

go (return) channel, $f_n = f_r - 50 + 80\,n$ MHz

return (go) channel, $f_n' = f_r - 10 + 80\,n$ MHz

where:

$n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and 6.

Group 2

go (return) channel $f_n = f_r - 70 + 80\,(n - 6)$ MHz

return (go) channel, $f_n' = f_r - 30 + 80\,(n - 6)$ MHz

where:

$n = 7, 8, 9, 10, 11$ and 12.

FIGURE 4

Radio-frequency channel arrangements for the 3.7-4.2 GHz band

(All frequencies in MHz)

0635-04

4.2   In a section over which international connections are arranged, the go and return channels are in the same group and are adjacent channels in that group.

4.3   In any section, both the go and return channels of any one group are of one polarization.

4.4   In any section, the channels of each group are of different polarizations.

4.5   In general, the value of f_r is 3 700 MHz.

NOTE 1 – Subject to agreement between administrations concerned, 1 800 telephone channels may be accommodated on each RF channel using either Group 1 or Group 2 frequencies.

5   80 MHz co-channel dual polarized (CCDP) channel arrangements

The channel arrangements depicted in Figs. 5a), 5b), 5c) are based upon the use of a 2-carrier system transmitting $2 \times 2 \times 155.52$ Mbit/s ($4 \times$ STM-1) via two carrier pairs using both polarizations in the CCDP mode.

The radio channelling plan shown in Fig. 5a) is optimized for the frequency band 3 580-4 200 MHz.

A channel arrangement applicable to the whole frequency band 3 400-4 200 MHz is shown in Fig. 5b).

The proposed channel arrangements shown in Figs. 5a) and 5b) use the maximum possible number of 155.52 Mbit/s signals. In addition to the quadruplets of carriers in both go and return sub-band, two pairs of cross-polar single carriers are introduced as protection channels if necessary. Due to the fact that each carrier, i.e. baseband bit stream, can be switched individually, this ($n + 2$)-configuration acts at least as efficient as a ($n/2 + 1$)-configuration when used for frequency diversity.

Figure 5c) shows a channel arrangement for 3 400-3 800 MHz.

FIGURE 5

(All frequencies in MHz)

a) Channel arrangement in the band 3 580-4 200 MHz using a 2-carrier system transmitting
$(12 + 2) \times 155.52$ Mbit/s ($14 \times$ STM-1) in CCDP mode as available in Germany

b) Possible channel arrangement in the band 3 400-4 200 MHz using a 2-carrier system
transmitting $(16 + 2) \times 155.52$ Mbit/s ($18 \times$ STM-1) in CCDP mode

c) Channel arrangement in the band 3 400-3 800 MHz using a 2-carrier system
transmitting 8×155.52 Mbit/s ($8 \times$ STM-1) in CCDP mode as used in Switzerland

0635-05

6 30 MHz CCDP channel arrangement

In countries where the lower limit is 3 600 MHz, the following frequency arrangement allows the use of the band for the transmission of up to $18 \times$ STM-1 systems.

The frequency arrangement shown in Fig. 6 gives a co-channel pattern of 9 go and 9 return channels with centre frequencies as follows:

f_n : centre frequency of one RF channel in the go (return) part of the band (MHz)

$f_n = 4\,200 - 10\,m$, where $m = 58, 55, 52, 49, 46, 43, 40, 37, 34$

f'_n : centre frequency of one RF channel in the return (go) part of the band (MHz)

$f'_n = 4\,200 - 10\,m$, where $m = 26, 23, 20, 17, 14, 11, 8, 5, 2$.

FIGURE 6

Radio-frequency channel arrangement for the 4 GHz band

(All frequencies in MHz)

0635-06